

RSC Advances




This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



An electrochemical sensor was fabricated for the simultaneous determination of dopamine, melatonin and tryptophan in biological and pharmaceutical samples.



A new electrochemical sensor based on nitrogen-doped graphene/CuCo₂O₄ nanocomposite for simultaneous determination of dopamine, melatonin and tryptophan

Received 00th January 2015,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

F. Tadayon^{a,*} and Z. Sepehri^b

A new nanocomposite based on nitrogen-doped graphene nanosheets/CuCo₂O₄ nanoparticles was prepared and used as an electrode material for simultaneous determination of dopamine, melatonin and tryptophan. For this purpose, CuCo₂O₄ nanoparticles were supported on porous nitrogen-doped graphene nanosheets by a simple method. The nanocomposite was characterized by transmission electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction spectroscopy. Incorporation of prepared nanocomposite in the carbon paste electrode (CPE) increased the oxidation peak currents and reduced the overpotential of dopamine, melatonin and tryptophan. The new developed sensor was then employed for the simultaneous determination of target analytes with linear ranges of 0.010–3.0 μM, using differential pulse voltammetry. Detection limits of 0.0033, 0.0049 and 0.0041 μM were achieved for dopamine, melatonin and tryptophane, respectively. The selectivity of the method was studied and the results showed that the fabricated sensor is free from interference of organic compounds especially uric acid and ascorbic acid. Finally, the proposed electrochemical sensor was employed to determine analytes in urine, serum and pharmaceutical samples.

Introduction

Over the past decades, to meet the growing demands for ultrasensitive detection, researchers have developed many techniques to enhance the response of the electrochemical sensors by modifying with different functional materials. With the continuous development of nanotechnology, great attention has been paid to different nanomaterials, such as carbon-based nanomaterials, metal nanoparticles (NPs), quantum dots, magnetic NPs and polymeric NPs.^{1–4} Because of their biological compatibility, high surface area, chemical stability, excellent catalytic activity and conductivity, the introduction of nanomaterials has really improved the analytical performance of the sensing strategies to obtain the amplified detection signal and the stabilized sensing interface and good selectivity.^{1–5}

As a two-dimensional single-atom-thick carbon network, graphene (G) has been the research focus due to its unique structure and physiochemical properties.⁶ G has exhibited the specific characteristics including extremely electric conductivity, large specific surface area, unusual electronic structure, and upstanding thermal conductivity.^{6–10} The production of G by the reduction of G oxide (GO) in chemical

way produce hydroxyl (-OH) and carboxylate (-COOH) groups in the structure. These active functional groups enable the G structure to interact with metal NPs. These unique properties make G very useful for supporting metal NPs, and the obtained metal-NPs/G nanocomposites exhibit the synergistic effects and good sensitivity in their electrochemical detection behavior.^{11,12} The catalytic activity of the metal-NPs upon the electron transfer process paves the way for the production of metal-NPs/G composite based electrochemical sensors.^{12,13} However, G sheets tend to irreversible agglomeration and re-stacking because of their strong Van Der Waals interactions and π–π stacking,¹⁴ which causes some loss of performance for metal-NPs/G nanocomposites in the detection process. Furthermore, low reduction degree (especially for chemically reduced GO) and no-doping properties will also influence their efficiency.^{15,16} Thus, the prevention of aggregation and improvement of reduction degree and doping properties are greatly important for reduced graphene oxide (R-GO) in the preparation processes of metal-NPs/G nanocomposites. Nitrogen-doped graphene (N-G) can further improve the reactivity and electrocatalytic performance of G by forming a delocalized conjugated system with the sp²-hybridized carbon frameworks ascribed to the lone electron pairs of nitrogen atoms. Moreover, N-G provides abundant binding sites for non-covalent functionalization as well as the enhanced biocompatibility and sensitivity in biosensing applications^{14–17}. Also, the N-doping and high reduction degree properties of N-G as supporter can enhance their ov

^a Department of Chemistry, North Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. E-mail address: f_tadayon@iau-tnb.ac.ir

^b Department of Internal Medicine, Zabol University of Medical Sciences, Zabol, Iran.

electrical conductivities and improve their own crystal structure, the obtained metal NPs/N-G composites should possess excellent properties for sensing.¹⁴⁻¹⁷

Among the G based nanocomposite, there are seldom reports about G-spinel cobaltites composite. Complex oxides (containing two or more types of cations) with spinel structure are of intense interests in material research because of their remarkable optical, electrical, magnetic, catalytic properties and widespread applications in science and engineering. Among these, spinel cobaltites (MCo_2O_4 , M= Cu, Mn, Ni, Zn, Mg, etc.) have recently drawn considerable attention by virtue of their superior physicochemical properties and tremendous potential for many technological applications, ranging from catalysts and sensors to electrode materials and electrochromic devices.¹⁸⁻²⁰ The combination of N-G with CuCo_2O_4 NPs can result in the synergetic effects of two components and exhibit the enhanced performance. The N-G/ CuCo_2O_4 with rough interface can provide sufficient spaces for the some redox reactions with fast electron transfer rate.

Dopamine (Dp), Melatonin (Me) and L-Tryptophan (Tp) are important biomolecules that coexist in the extracellular fluid of the central nervous system and serum. These compounds play implicit roles in neurochemistry and biomedicine. Dp is one of the most prominent catecholamines, functioning as a neurotransmitter in the central nervous system. Me, an indoleamine synthesized from an essential amino acid (Tp), is a hormone synthesized by the pineal parenchymal cells from serotonin by N-acetylation and O-methylation and secreted by them into the blood and the cerebrospinal fluid. Dp deficiency and variations in Me levels have been linked to Parkinson's disease. Also, abnormal metabolism of neurotransmitters, particularly DA and ML, is frequently observed in individuals with phenylketonuria and is believed to be involved.²¹⁻²³

Tp is an essential amino acid for humans and a precursor for serotonin, Me and niacin. A significant correlation has been reported between plasma Tp concentrations and depressive illness.^{24,25} Recently, effects of Tp and tyrosine supplementation were studied to optimize treatment in phenylketonuria with Dp and Me as biomarkers.²⁶ Therefore, development of a sensitive and selective method for the determination of Dp, Me and Tp is desirable.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has been published so far reporting the simultaneous determination of Dp, Me and Tp by using any kind of modified electrodes. These species always coexist in biological fluids, and it is very important to develop sensitive and selective sensors for their simultaneous determination of in analytical applications and diagnostic researches. In this work, a simple electrochemical method based on the differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) for the direct determination of Dp, Me and Tp at a N-G/ CuCo_2O_4 /CPE was proposed.

Experimental

Reagents and apparatus

All chemicals and reagents used in this work were of analytical grade and used as received without further purification. Paraffin oil and graphite powder were obtained from Merck Company and used as received. Dp, Me and Tp were prepared from Sigma-Aldrich. Deionized distilled water (DDW) was used to prepare all the solutions. The commercial pharmaceuticals available from a local pharmacy were subjected to the analysis. Britton-Robinson (B-R) solutions were prepared in DDW and were tested as the supporting electrolytes.

Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images were taken using Zeiss EM902A (Germany). X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, 38066 Riva, d/G.Via M. Misone, 11/D (TN) Italy) was employed to analyze the chemical components of the composites. Electrochemical experiments were carried out at room temperature using a Behpajoh potentiostat/galvanostat system (model BHP-2065). The electrochemical cell was assembled with a conventional three electrode system: saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as a reference electrode (Azar electrode) and a platinum disk as an auxiliary electrode. Different working electrodes including CPE and modified CPEs were used. The pH measurements were carried out using a Metrohm pH meter (model 713) with a combined pH glass electrode.

Preparation of nanocomposite

Preparation of GO

Natural graphite powder was used to synthesize GO according to a modified Hummers method.²⁷ Briefly, a mixture of 1 g of graphite and 23 mL of 98% H_2SO_4 was stirred at room temperature over 24 h, and then 100 mg of NaNO_3 was added to the mixture and stirred for another 30 min. Subsequently, the mixture was stirred below 5 °C in an ice bath, followed by the slow addition of 3 g of KMnO_4 to the graphite powder solution. After vigorous stirring at 35-40 °C for another 30 min, 46 mL of DDW was added to the above mixture. Finally, 140 mL of deionized water and 10 mL of 30% H_2O_2 were introduced into the mixture to complete the oxidation reaction. The GO solution was washed and filtered with HCl. Before complete drying, GO was dispersed in 800 mL of deionized water. The as-synthesized GO was ultrasonically dispersed in anhydrous ethanol for further use.

Preparation of CuCo_2O_4 NPs supported on N-RGO

The N-RGO/ CuCo_2O_4 nanocomposite was prepared by a simple solvothermal method. In a typical synthesis, 1.5 mL of a 0.2 M $\text{Co}(\text{OAc})_2$ aqueous solution and 0.75 mL of a 0.2 M $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$ aqueous solution were introduced in to 20 mL of 0.5 mg/mL GO ethanolic suspension, followed by the addition of 1 mL of $\text{NH}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ at room temperature. The reaction was kept at 80 °C in a water bath with stirring for 24 h. After that the reaction mixture was transferred to a 50 mL teflon-lined autoclave and placed in an oven at 160 °C for 3 h. The resulting product was collected by centrifugation and washed with ethanol and water. For control experimentation, N-RGO was made through the same procedure as N-RGO/ CuCo_2O_4 except




Fig. 1 Comparison of TEM images of (A) GO, (B) CuCo_2O_4 , (C) $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{N-RGO}$ and (D) XRD patterns.

for adding Co and Cu salts. Free CuCo_2O_4 NPs were made through the same procedure except for adding GO.²⁸

Preparation of the electrodes

The conventional CPE was prepared by hand-mixing of graphite powder and paraffin oil (with a 30/70 paraffin oil/graphite ratio (w/w)) in a mortar and pestle and a portion of the composite mixture was packed firmly with a piston-driven CPE holder. The G/CPE was prepared by mixing the components of unmodified mixture with G (12%, w/w). The $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ and N-RGO/ $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ were prepared by mixing the unmodified mixture with 12% w/w CuCo_2O_4 and N-RGO/ CuCo_2O_4 , respectively.

Results and discussion

Characterization of materials

The size, morphology and structure of the resulting materials were investigated by TEM. The morphology of GO, consisting of thin stacked flakes and having a well defined few-layer structure at the edge, can be clearly seen in Fig. 1a. Typical TEM micrograph of CuCo_2O_4 (Fig. 1b) shows the formation of uniform and monodispersed CuCo_2O_4 NPs with mean diameter and length about 15-25 nm. As shown in Fig. 1c, CuCo_2O_4 NPs are grown on a 3D flame like N-RGO and G sheets are completely covered with NPs.

The phase structure of synthesized samples was determined by XRD. The XRD patterns of N-RGO, CuCo_2O_4 NPs and N-RGO/ CuCo_2O_4 are shown in Fig. 1d. The synthesized GO displayed a typical characteristic (002) peak at $2\theta = 10^\circ$. The crystal structure of CuCo_2O_4 is in a cubic structure and the fitted lattice parameter of 8.131(5) are in good agreement with the standard JCPDS card no. 78-2177 ($a = 8.133 \text{ \AA}$). For N-RGO/ CuCo_2O_4 the diffraction peak arising from GO nearly disappeared at $2\theta = 10^\circ$, suggestive of less agglomeration of the G sheets in the nanocomposite. All of the other diffraction peaks can be indexed to the structure of CuCo_2O_4 in nanocomposite.

Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)

Fig. 2 exhibits the Nyquist plots of the CPE, G/CPE, $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ and N-RGO/ $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$. The CPE (curve a) revealed a very large semicircle domain, implying a very high electron




Fig. 2. Nyquist plots for CPE (a), $\text{Cu}_2\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ (b), G/CPE (c), N-RGO/ CuCo_2O_4 (d) in the presence of 1.0 mM $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-/3-}$ in B-R buffer solution (pH 7.0) with 0.1 M KCl.

transfer resistance (R_{et}) of the redox probe. After CPE was modified with $\text{Cu}_2\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4$ (curve b) or G (curve c), the R_{et} was a small semicircle domain, showing that the G/CPE and $\text{Cu}_2\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ promoted conductivity. When the electrode was conjugated with N-RGO/ $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ (curve d), the R_{et} again decreased. This was attributed to that the coating of N-RGO surface with CuCo_2O_4 nanoparticles increased the ability of the redox probe to electron transfer. It can be seen that the electron transfer resistance decreased in the order of: bare CPE < $\text{Cu}_2\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ < G/CPE < N-RGO/ $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$, which implying that CuCo_2O_4 and G were excellent electric conducting materials and accelerated the electron transfer.

Electrochemical behavior of analytes

CVs of Dp, Me and Tp at CPE, G/CPE, $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ and N-RGO/ $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ in buffer solutions (pH=3.0) are shown in Fig. 3. At CPE, the peaks of Dp, Me and Tp are rather broad and weak, indicating a slow process of electron transfer (curve b in Fig. 3A, B and C). For G/CPE and $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$, peaks with well defined shape and with the large peak currents were observed (curve c and d). The large oxidation peak current and shift in peak potential to less positive potentials for these electrodes




Fig. 3. CVs for (A) 2 μM Dp, (B) 2 μM Me, and (C) 2 μM Tp in B-R buffer solution (pH 3.0) on the surface of various electrodes; curve (a) CPE (b) G/CPE, (c) $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ and (d) $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{N-RGO}/\text{CPE}$ in presence of analytes. Insets show $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{N-RGO}/\text{CPE}$ in absence of analytes.




Fig. 4. DPVs of 2 μM Dp, 2 μM Me and 2 μM Tp in B-R buffer solution (pH 3.0) on the surface of various electrodes; curve (a) $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{N-RGO}/\text{CPE}$ in absence of analytes, (b) CPE (c) G/CPE, (d) $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ and (e) $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{N-RGO}/\text{CPE}$ in presence of analytes.

as compared to the CPE indicates the electrocatalytic effect of modified surfaces. Moreover, at $\text{N-RGO}/\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$, oxidation and reduction peaks of Dp are located at 457 mV and 151 mV showing a smaller peak-to-peak separation than that at CPE. The oxidation peak current is 5.5 times higher than that at CPE suggesting the excellent electrocatalytic activity of $\text{N-RGO}/\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4$ toward Dp. The similar electrocatalytic activity for Me is also found, which occurs with an increased peak current (5.1 times) and positive shift compared with bare CPE, indicating good electron transfer promotion ability of $\text{N-RGO}/\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$, also the oxidation peak current of Tp is 9.8 μA , which is about 5.8 times higher than that at CPE. Insets of Fig. 3 show CVs for $\text{N-RGO}/\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ in absence of analytes.

Fig. 4 shows the DPV responses at various electrodes in a ternary mixture solution of Dp, Me and Tp with 2.0 μM in B-R buffer solution (pH 3.0). At the CPE only a broad and overlapped oxidation peak is obtained and the potentials of Me and Tp are indistinguishable so that simultaneous determination of these biological molecules is impossible (Fig. 4b). However, at the $\text{N-RGO}/\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ (Fig. 4e), three sharp and well defined oxidation peaks with larger peak separation potential and higher peak currents corresponding to the oxidation of Dp, Me and Tp were appeared. The three

oxidation peaks for Dp, Me and Tp are well resolved at 440, 690 and 840 mV, respectively, with the peak separation potential is 250 mV (Dp-Me) and 150 mV (Me-Tp). All the voltammetric responses can demonstrate that the $\text{N-RGO}/\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ possess excellent electrocatalytic activities towards the oxidation of Dp, Me and Tp, which can be attributed to its unique structural features and excellent electrochemical properties.²⁹

Effect of pH and supporting electrolyte

A series of supporting electrolytes were tested (B-R, phosphate and acetate buffer solutions). Both the peak height and the peak shape were taken into consideration when choosing the supporting electrolyte. Of these, B-R buffer solution gave the best response.

The effect of pH on the response of Dp, Me and Tp was evaluated using DPV employing $\text{N-RGO}/\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$. The effect of pH on peak potential and peak current of Dp, Me and Tp was investigated over the pH range of 2-9 employing B.R. buffer solutions. As shown in Fig. 5b, for Dp, with increasing pH, the current increases and reaches a maximum value at pH=5.0 and decreases with increasing in pH values. The peak currents of Me and Tp initially go up with increasing pH (=3), and then decreases with increasing pH (>3). In addition, Fig. 5c shows that the peak potential of Dp, Me and Tp is also pH dependent and the potentials shifted negatively when the pH of the solutions increases due to the participation of protons in the electrode reaction. The potentials of Dp, Me and Tp followed the linear regression equations with pH: $E_{\text{pa}}(\text{V}) = -0.0602 \text{ pH} + 0.708$ ($R=0.990$), $E_{\text{pa}}(\text{V}) = -0.0264 \text{ pH} + 0.748$ ($R=0.984$) and $E_{\text{pa}}(\text{V}) = -0.0520 \text{ pH} + 1.130$ ($R=0.992$), respectively. For Dp and Tp the slopes is closed to the theoretical value of 59 mV per pH at 25 °C expected from the Nernst equation, indicates that the oxidation process is proton dependent and the electron transfer is accompanied by the transfer of an equal number of protons. Two electrons and two protons are involved for the oxidation of Dp and Tp. In the case of Me, the slope of 0.0264 V pH obtained on $\text{N-RGO}/\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{CPE}$ was almost similar to that shown in previous electrochemical investigations and it is





Fig. 5. a) 3D plots of influence of buffer pH on peak currents and potentials of analyte species, b) plots of I_p vs. pH and c) plots of E_p vs. pH from differential pulse voltammograms of 2.5 μM Dp, Me and Tp in different buffer solution.



Scheme 1. The oxidation mechanism of Dp, Me and Tp

suggested that the number of electrons transferred in the oxidation of Me is double that of protons.^{30,31} The reaction mechanism for the oxidation of Dp, Me and Tp is as given in Scheme 1.

Effect of scan rate on the electrochemical oxidation of Dp, Me and Tp

To investigate the reaction kinetics, the effect of scan rate on the peak currents and peak potentials of Dp, Me and Tp was studied (Fig. 6). Insets of Fig. 6 show that the peak currents changed in a linear relationship with the square root of scan rates in ranges of 10–400, 10–300, and 10–250 mV s^{-1} for Dp, Me and Tp, respectively, and by R-squared values of above 0.99 for all analytes. These plots indicate that the

electrochemical reactions for these molecules are diffusion controlled. Also for more investigations, log peak currents versus log scan rates were plotted for analytes. For these plots when the slope is 0.5, the electrochemical reaction is a diffusion controlled process, and when equals to 1, the electrochemical reaction occurs via an adsorption-controlled process.³² From insets of Fig. 6 the plots of log anodic peak currents versus log scan rates have slopes of 0.485, 0.565 and 0.514 for Dp, Me and TP, respectively. These values show that the electrochemical reactions for these biomolecules are governed by diffusion control and the surface of N-RGO/CuCo₂O₄/CPE was not fouled by them.

Individual and simultaneous voltammetric determination of Dp, Me and Tp

The above findings enabled us to develop a simple and suitable method for Dp, Me and Tp determination using N-RGO/CuCo₂O₄/CPE. As Fig. 7 (A–C) shown, when detecting the individual, the concentration of one substance was changed with the others remained constant. Keeping the concentration of Me and Tp both at 2.0 μM , the peak current increased proportionally with adding concentrations of Dp from 0.010 to 3.0 μM . A linear regression equation between the oxidation peak current with the concentration of Dp was obtained $I_p = 14.98 C + 0.4382$ (I_p in μA , C in μM) with $R^2 = 0.9997$. The detection limit for Dp was calculated to be 0.0032 μM . Similarly, to keep the concentration of Dp and Tp both at 2.0 μM , the concentration of Me was changed within the range of 0.010 to 3.0 μM . The linear regression equation of Me was given by:

$I_p = 10.00 C + 0.507$ with $R^2 = 0.9993$. The detection limit for Me was calculated to be $0.0049 \mu\text{M}$. In the same way, the concentration of Dp and Me remained were kept at $2.0 \mu\text{M}$ and the concentration range of Tp was from 0.01 to $3.0 \mu\text{M}$, the linear regression equation of Tp was: $I_p = 11.94 C + 0.492$ with $R^2 = 0.9907$ and the detection limit for Tp was $0.0041 \mu\text{M}$. The DPVs for different concentrations of Dp, Me and Tp were illustrated in Fig. 8. The resulting calibration plots are linear over the range from 0.01 to $3.0 \mu\text{M}$ for Dp, Me and Tp. The calibration curves and correlation coefficients are

Dp: $I_p (\mu\text{A}) = 14.71 C_{\text{Dp}} (\mu\text{M}) + 0.521 R^2 = 0.999$

Me: $I_p (\mu\text{A}) = 9.95 C_{\text{Me}} (\mu\text{M}) + 0.509 R^2 = 0.997$

Tp: $I_p (\mu\text{A}) = 11.97 C_{\text{Tp}} (\mu\text{M}) + 0.516 R^2 = 0.998$

The limits of detection were $0.0033 \mu\text{M}$ for Dp, $0.0049 \mu\text{M}$ for Me and $0.0041 \mu\text{M}$ for Tp based on $3s_b/m$, where s_b is the standard deviation of the mean value for 5 independent voltammetric response of the blank solution.

Interferences, stability and reproducibility studies

Several compounds and ions from common co-existing substances were selected to evaluate the anti-interference ability of N-RGO/CuCo₂O₄/CPE. The effect of foreign compounds were tested by analyzing a standard solution of $1.5 \mu\text{M}$ Dp, $1.0 \mu\text{M}$ Me and $2.0 \mu\text{M}$ Tp. The tolerance limit is defined as the maximum concentration of influence substances which causes a $\pm 5\%$ relative error. It is found that species like glucose, lactose and sucrose, uric acid, ascorbic acid (till 100 fold excess), Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Al³⁺, CO₃²⁻, NO₃⁻, ClO₄⁻, SCN⁻ (till 1000 fold excess) did not interfere in the analysis of Dp, Me and Tp. The interference caused by same concentration of tyrosine was serious on tryptophan signal. Because tyrosine has similar electroactive group as tryptophan, its oxidation potential is close to tryptophan and thus affect the oxidation peak current of tryptophan. Hence determination of Dp, Me and Tp was not considerably affected by common interfering species, which shows that the method is more selective towards the drugs. The stability of the electrode was also tested. The peak current only decreased less than 5% after the electrode was stored at room temperature for 22 days. In addition, in order to evaluate the reproducibility of the modified electrode, a series of five N-RGO/CuCo₂O₄/CPEs were prepared for the detection of $2.0 \mu\text{M}$ of analytes. The RSD ($n = 5$) of peak currents for Dp, Me and Tp were calculated as 3.3%, 3.5% and 3.1%, respectively, which suggests that the reproducibility of the proposed electrode was good. The above results indicate that the as-obtained N-RGO/CuCo₂O₄/CPE presents well anti-interference ability, stability and reproducibility.

Determination of Dp, Me and Tp in pharmaceutical, urine and serum samples

To confirm the practical usefulness of this proposed method for simultaneous determination of Dp, Me and Tp in pharmaceutical samples and biological fluids such as human urine, serum, Dp injection and Me tablet were selected as real samples for analysis using the standard addition technique. For

sample pretreatments, both urine and serum samples were centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 3 min and the supernatants were collected, finally the prepared samples were diluted 50 times with B-R buffer solution (pH 3.0).

The results are summarized clearly in Table 1, with the recoveries of the spiked samples ranged from 96.0% to 104.0%. It was evident that the N-RGO/CuCo₂O₄/CPE could be successfully applied for the simultaneous determination of Dp, Me and Tp in real samples. Moreover, the proposed method has good figures of merits in comparison with other reported methods in literatures. Some figures of merit related to previous reports and the present study are shown in Table 2.




Fig. 6 CVs for CuCo₂O₄/N-rGO/CPE in B-R Buffer (pH 3.0) containing $2 \mu\text{M}$ of (A) Dp with scan rates ranging from a to h as 10 – 400 mV s^{-1} , respectively (B) Me with scan rates ranging from a to g 10 – 300 mV s^{-1} and (C) Tp with scan rates ranging from a to f as 10 – 250 mV s^{-1} . Insets show the linear relationship of the peak current versus square root of the scan rate ($v^{1/2}$) and \log peak currents versus \log scan rate




Fig. 7 (A) DPVs for Dp at the $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{N-rGO}/\text{CPE}$ in the presence of 2.0 μM Me and Tp in B-R buffer solution ($\text{pH}=3.0$). Dp concentrations (from a to n): 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.3, 2.5, 2.7 and 3 μM . (B) DPVs for Me at the $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{N-rGO}/\text{CPE}$ in the presence of 2 μM Dp and Tp concentrations (from a to n): 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.3, 2.5, 2.7 and 3 μM . (C) DPVs for Tp at the $\text{CuCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{N-rGO}/\text{CPE}$ in the presence of 2.0 μM Dp and Me in B-R buffer solution ($\text{pH}=3.0$). Tp concentrations (from a to n): 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.3, 2.5, 2.7 and 3 μM .




Fig. 8. DPVs for different concentrations of Dp, Me and Tp in pH 3 B-R buffer solution. Concentrations of Dp, Me and Tp from (a) to (n): 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.3, 2.5, 2.7 and 3 μM

Table 1 Results for Dp, Me and Tp determination (μM) in various real samples obtained by the proposed method under the optimum conditions.

samples	Analyte	Added (μM)	Found (μM)	Recovery (%)
Urine	Dp	1.00	0.970	97.0
		2.00	2.05	102
	Me	1.00	1.01	101
		2.00	2.06	103
Human serum	Dp	1.00	0.980	98.0
		2.00	2.04	102
	Me	1.50	1.46	97.3
		2.50	2.45	98.0
Dp injection	Me	1.50	1.45	96.6
		2.50	2.57	102
	Tp	1.50	1.53	102
		2.50	2.48	99.2
Me Tablet	Dp	0.000	0.810	-
		0.500	1.29	97.5
	Me	1.20	2.03	102
		0.500	0.520	104
Tp	Me	1.20	1.24	103
		0.500	0.480	96.0
	Tp	1.20	1.18	98.3
		0.500	0.510	102
	Dp	1.20	1.22	102
		0.000	0.540	-
	Me	0.500	1.05	102
		1.20	1.73	98.1
Tp	0.500	0.510	102	
	1.20	1.19	99.1	

4. Conclusions

In this work, a novel sensor based on N-RGO/CuCo₂O₄ nanocomposite as modifier in CPE, has fabricated that provides an extremely sensitive and selective method for the simultaneous determination of Dp, Me and Tp. Both CV and DPV studies reveal that the potential separations between them were large enough to allow their simultaneous detection. Moreover, appreciable reproducibility and lower detection limits were attained for the three biomolecules at N-RGO/CuCo₂O₄/CPE. Hence, it was employed for the analysis of Dp, Me and Tp in human urine, serum and pharmaceutical samples. Recovery values ranging from 97 - 104% were obtained for real sample analysis. The cost-effectiveness and easy preparative method are added advantages of this sensor. All these factors amalgamate to make this sensor a potential candidate for the detection of these species in routine analysis.

5. Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of this work by the North Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University.

Table 2 Comparison of some characteristics of the different modified electrodes for the determination of Dp, Me and Tp.

Electrode	Method	Linear range (μM)			Detection limit (μM)			Simultaneously with other analytes	Refs.
		ML	DA	TP	ML	DA	TP		
G-Fe ₃ O ₄ /CPE	SWV	0.02-5.8	0.02-5.8	-	0.0084	0.0065	-	-	6
ZnO nanorods modified carbon paste electrode	SWV	N.R.	0.3- 10.0 and 10.0 to 100.0	-	N.R.	0.056	-	methionine and caffeine	33
boron-doped diamond electrode	CV	345-688	-	-	10.3	-	-	-	34
MWNT/GCE	CV	0.08-10	-	-	0.02	-	-	-	35
boron-doped diamond electrode	SWV	0.5-4	-	-	0.11	-	-	-	27
MWCNTs-CHNPs/CILE	DPV	0.01-50	-	-	0.004	-	-	I-Dopa	36
MnHCFPEDOT/GCE	CV	100-4600	-	-	100	-	-	Catechin	37
TiO ₂ -GR/4-ABAS/GCE	DPV	-	1-400	1-400	-	0.3	0.3	-	38
GNPs/Plimox	-	-	5.0-268.0	3.0-34.0 and 84.0-464	-	0.08	0.7	Ascorbic acid and uric acid	39
AgNPs/rGO	LSV	-	10-800	10-800	-	5.4	7.5	ascorbic acid, uric acid	40
GS-PTCA	DPV	-	0.4-370	0.4-140	-	0.13	0.06	ascorbic acid, uric acid	41
MWCNT/GO	amperometry	-	-	0.05-4.25	-	-	0.008	-	42
NiCo ₂ O ₄ /Nano-ZSM-5/GCE	DPV	-	0.6-900	0.9-1000	-	0.5	0.7	Ascorbic acid and uric acid	43
MIP/G	SWV	0.05-100	-	-	0.006	-	-	-	44
N-RGO/CuCo ₂ O ₄	DPV	0.01-3.0	0.01-3.0	0.01-3.0	0.0049	0.0033	0.0041	-	This work

References

- C. Zhu, G. Yang, H. Li, D. Du and Y. Lin, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **87**, 230-249.
- A. Walcarius, S. D. Minteer, J. Wang, Y. Lin and A. Merkoçi, *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*, 2013, **1**, 4878-4908.
- A. Chen and S. Chatterjee, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 5425-5438.
- H. Bagheri, A. Afkhami, Y. Panahi, H. Khoshsafar and A. Shirzadmehr, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 2014, **37**, 264-270.
- H. Bagheri, R.P. Talemi and A. Afkhami, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 58491-58498.
- A. T. Lawal, *Talanta*, 2015, **131**, 424-443.
- H. Bagheri, A. Afkhami, P. Hashemi and M. Ghanei, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 21659-21669.
- H. Bagheri, A. Afkhami, H. Khoshsafar, M. Rezaei, S. J. Sabounchei and M. Sarlakifar, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **870**, 56-66.
- A. Afkhami, H. Khoshsafar, H. Bagheri and T. Madrakian, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **203**, 909-918.
- A. Afkhami, H. Khoshsafar, H. Bagheri and T. Madrakian, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **831**, 50-59.
- H. Bagheri, S. M. Arab, H. Khoshsafar and A. Afkhami, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 3875-3881.
- E. Er, H. Çelikkan, N. Erk and M. L. Aksu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **157**, 252-257.

13. S. Pruneanu, F. Pogacean, A. R. Biris, M. Coros, F. Watanabe, E. Dervishi and A. S. Biris, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **89**, 246-252.
14. L. Meng, Y. Xia, W. Liu, L. Zhang, P. Zou and Y. Zhang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **152**, 330-337.
15. Y. Wang, Y. Shao, D. W. Matson, J. Li and Y. Lin, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 1790-1798.
16. S. Park, J. An, J. R. Potts, A. Velamakanni, S. Murali and R. S. Ruoff, *Carbon*, 2011, **49**, 3019-3023.
17. H. Xu, J. Xiao, B. Liu, S. Griveau and F. Bedioui, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2015, **66**, 438-444.
18. E. Alizadeh-Gheshlaghi, B. Shaabani, A. Khodayari, Y. Azizian-Kalandaragh and R. Rahimi, *Powder Technol.*, 2012, **217**, 330-339.
19. M. Salavati-Niasari, N. Mir and F. Davar, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2009, **70**, 847-852.
20. J. Zhu and Q. Gao, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2009, **124**, 144-152.
21. D. Bonnefont-Rousselot and F. Collin, *Toxicology*, 2010, **278**, 55-67.
22. T. Meng, Z.-H. Zheng, T.-T. Liu and L. Lin, *Neurochem. Res.*, 2012, **37**, 1050-1056.
23. S. Yano, K. Moseley and C. Azen, *The Journal of pediatrics*, 2013, **162**, 999-1003.
24. M. Kameya, H. Onaka and Y. Asano, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2013, **438**, 124-132.
25. A. Coppen, E. Eccleston and M. Peet, *The Lancet*, 1972, **300**, 1415-1416.
26. S. Yano, K. Moseley and C. Azen, *The Journal of pediatrics*, 2014, **165**, 184-189.e181.
27. W. S. Hummers Jr and R. E. Offeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 1339-1339.
28. R. Ning, J. Tian, A. M. Asiri, A. H. Qusti, A. O. Al-Youbi and X. Sun, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 13146-13151.
29. C. Wei, Q. Huang, S. Hu, H. Zhang, W. Zhang, Z. Wang, M. Zhu, P. Dai and L. Huang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **149**, 237-244.
30. A. Levent, *Diamond Relat. Mater.*, 2012, **21**, 114-119.
31. W. Xiao-Ping, Z. Lan, L. Wen-Rong, D. Jian-Ping, C. Hong-Qing and C. Guo-Nan, *Electroanalysis*, 2002, **14**, 1654-1660.
32. A. A. Rafati, A. Afraz, A. Hajian and P. Assari, *Microchim. Acta*, 2014, **181**, 1999-2008.
33. E. Molaakbari, A. Mostafavi and H. Beitollahi, *Sens. Actuators B: Chemical*, 2015, **208**, 195-203.
34. A. T. Ball and B. A. Patel, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **83**, 196-201.
35. W. Qu, F. Wang, S. Hu and D. Cui, *Microchim. Acta*, 2005, **150**, 109-114.
36. A. Babaei, A. R. Taheri and I. K. Farahani, *Sens. Actuators B: Chemical*, 2013, **183**, 265-272.
37. T.-H. Tsai, Y.-C. Huang and S.-M. Chen, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2011, **6**, 3238-3253.
38. C.-X. Xu, K.-J. Huang, Y. Fan, Z.-W. Wu, J. Li and T. Gan, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 2012, **32**, 969-974.
39. C. Wang, R. Yuan, Y. Chai, S. Chen, F. Hu and M. Zhang, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **741**, 15-20.
40. B. Kaur, T. Pandiyan, B. Satpati and R. Srivastava, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 2013, **111**, 97-106.
41. W. Zhang, Y. Chai, R. Yuan, S. Chen, J. Han and D. Yuan, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **756**, 7-12.
42. J.F. Han, Q.Q. Wang, J.F. Zhai, L. Hana and S.J. Dong, *Analyst*, 2015, **140**, 5295-5300.
43. B. Kaur, B. Satpati and R. Srivastava, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 1115-1124.
44. P. Gupta and R.N. Goyal, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 40444-40454.