

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

1	Determination of micro and macro elements in iron supplements used for
2	treatment of anemia and evaluation employing chemometric analysis tools
3	
4	Uenderson Araujo Barbosa ^{a,b,c} , Ivanice Ferreira dos Santos ^{a,b} , Sergio Luis Costa
5	Ferreira ^{a,b} , Ana Maria Pinto dos Santos ^{a,b}
6	
7	
8	^a Universidade Federal da Bahia, Instituto de Química, CEP 40170-270, Salvador-
9	Bahia, Brazil.
10	^b Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia, INCT, de Energia e Ambiente,
11	Universidade Federal da Bahia, 40170-290 Salvador-BA, Brazil.
12	^a Universidade Federal da Bahia, Faculdade de Medicina, CEP 40110-100, Salvador-
13	Bahia, Brazil.
14	
15	
16	Corresponding author's
17	Ana Maria Pinto dos Santos
18	E-mail: amps@ufba.br
19	Uenderson Araujo Barbosa
20	E-mail: uendersonaraujo@hotmail.com
21	Tel/Fax: 55-71-32355166
22	

22

23 Abstract

This paper propose a method using inductively coupled plasma optical emission 24 25 spectrometry (ICP OES) for the determination of Ca, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P and Zn in iron supplement used for the treatment of anemia and evaluation of the results by 26 chemometric analysis tools, principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical 27 28 cluster analysis (HCA). Sample preparation was performed by acid digestion using 29 3.0 mL of HNO₃ and 1.0 mL of H₂O₂ (30% v/v). Limits of quantification (mg L⁻¹) were 30 0.52 for Ca, 0.14 for K, 0.03 for Mg, 0.07 for Mn, 0.40 for Na, 0.36 for P and 0.24 for 31 Zn, showing that the method is sensitive for the determination of elements. There is 32 no certified reference material of ferrous supplement for evaluation of the accuracy. Thus, addition/recovery tests were performed to evaluate the accuracy of the 33 34 method. The recovery values achieved varied from 89.75 to 114.97%, confirming the 35 applicability of this method for quantification of the mentioned elements in these iron supplements. The method proposed was applied for determination of Ca, K, Mg, Mn, 36 Na, P and Zn in seventeen iron supplement samples with different chemical 37 38 composition. All results were evaluated by multivariate analysis tools, which have the 39 ability to characterize the samples by chemical composition and the analyte content. 40 Some samples showed higher values for some metals, indicating the importance of specific legislation also for these metals. 41

42

Keyword: Macro and micro element; Iron supplement; Anemia; Multivariate analysis;
ICP OES; PCA and HCA.

45

Iron deficiency is the principal cause of nutritional anemia in humans, quite common 47 in children and pregnant women. When it is severe, it causes anemia microcytic 48 characteristic and hypochromic, secondary to reduction in hemoglobin synthesis.¹ 49 Iron is a component of hemoglobin, and its deficiency may affect the metabolism of 50 51 the muscles, the activity of mitochondrial enzymes, gas exchange and has shown an association with behavioral and learning problems in children.² The content of 52 chemical elements in pharmaceutical products is a global concern. In general, during 53 development and manufacturing of pharmaceuticals, the raw materials used in 54 manufacturing these products, residues of catalysts derived from manufacturing 55 processes may cause contamination with elemental impurities.³ Other causes of 56 contamination can be the use of improper production processes or unclean 57 laboratories. Considering this, many papers have been developed involving the 58 determination of toxic chemical elements in different pharmaceuticals. Rao & Talluri 59 published a review paper reporting applications of inductively coupled plasma-mass 60 spectrometry (ICP-MS) in determination of inorganic impurities in drugs and 61 pharmaceuticals.⁴ Recently, Lewen presented a comprehensive discussion about the 62 use of atomic spectroscopy in the pharmaceutical industry for the determination of 63 64 trace elements in pharmaceuticals. Advantages and drawback of this technique for this purpose were presented.⁵ Støving *et al.* proposed a method for determination of 65 elemental impurities in tablets pharmaceuticals by inductively coupled plasma optical 66 emission spectrometry (ICP OES). Eighteen elements were determinated.⁶ Barbosa 67 et al. proposed a method using slurry sampling for determination of mercury in iron 68 supplements. The mercury content varied from 3.17 to 34.86 ng g^{-1,7} Tanase *et al.* 69 proposed a simple and fast method for routine determination of nickel in magnesium 70

stearate using electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS), after simple sample dissolution in acidified ethanol without mineralization.⁸ Antes *et al.*, proposed the development of a method using ICP-MS for determination of impurities in compound utilized for parenteral nutrition.⁹ Carvalho *et al.* evaluated the performance of the laser induced breakdown spectrometry for the determination of macro and micronutrients in pharmaceutical tablets.¹⁰ Portugal *et al.* determined lead in aluminum and magnesium antacids using ETAAS.¹¹

The determination of macro and microelements using ICP OES has been applied in 78 several matrices. Dos Santos et al. determined the mineral composition of raw and 79 cooked okra.¹² Anderson et al. evaluated the chemical profiling of coffee samples to 80 differentiate their geographic growing origins.¹³ The use of principal component 81 analysis (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) for the result analysis is an 82 83 good strategy used as routine in the evaluated of chemical results. Barbosa et al. proposed the evaluation of metals and metalloids content in the Chapeu-de-Couro 84 (Echinodorus macrophyllus (Kunth) Micheli) employing chemometric analysis tools.¹⁴ 85 Froes et al. proposed the use of exploratory analysis for evaluate of microelements in 86 87 fruit juice determination by ICP OES. Other papers describe the application of these statistic tools for evaluation of chemical dates. ^{15 16 17} 88

The intake of macro and micro elements is associated to main foods used by anemic patients in daily diet and the intake of drugs are by a long periods of time, even after the recovered values of erythrocytes in the blood.¹⁸ As drugs for treatment of anemia are potential sources of high level of chemical elements and because of long term use, the ingestion of large quantities may be harmful to health. These elements even macronutrient and non-toxic elements can have harmful effects for health if consumed at high levels.²²⁻²⁵

96	Therefore, this paper proposes a method using ICPOES for the determination of Ca,
97	K, Mg, Mn, Na, P and Zn in iron supplement used for the treatment of anemia and
98	evaluation of the results using the multivariate analysis techniques, PCA and HCA.
99	

100 **2. Experimental**

101 **2.1. Instrumentation**

A Varian model Vista PRO inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (Mulgrave, Australia) with axial viewing and a charge coupled device detector was used for multi-element determination. A Sturman-Master chamber and a V-Groove nebulizer were also used. The instrument conditions and analytical lines for each element are given in Table 1. For sample preparation a digester block Model TE-040/25, TECNAL (São Paulo, Brazil) with closed vessels and electrical heating was used.

109

Table 1

110 **2.2. Reagents and solutions**

All chemical reagents used were of analytical grade and obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Ultrapure water with resistivity of 18 M Ω cm was obtained from a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The nitric acid solutions were prepared using the reagent from Merck (Germany) that double distilled in a MILESTONE quartz distillation system. All containers and glassware were maintained in a 10 % v/v nitric acid solution for at least 12 h for decontamination before use. Calibration solutions for all elements were prepared daily by the serial dilution of stock solution (1000 mg L^{-1} or 4000 mg L^{-1}) from Merck

(Germany) with a 0.05% (v/v) nitric acid solution.

120

121 **2.3. Sample collection and Preparation**

Seventeen commercial samples of the iron supplements used in the treatment of iron 122 123 deficiency anemia were purchased from pharmacies located in different cities of Brazil. Samples from different laboratories, have been maintained in their original 124 125 containers, only were opened when they were used in the experiments. The mass 126 0.1 g of solid sample or 500 μ L of liquid sample (approximately 0.5 g) were directly introduced in the digester tube. Then, 3 mL of concentrated bidistilled nitric acid and 127 1 mL of hydrogen peroxide were added. The system was closed with cold finger and 128 129 heated to 150 °C for 4 hours using heating block. Later, the contents were transferred to centrifuge tubes and topped up with ultrapure water to the 25 mL mark. 130

131 **3. Results and discussions**

132 **3.1. Validation study according to the ICH Guideline specificity**¹⁹

In the validation step, some analytical characteristics of the method used for metals 133 quantification were evaluated. The linearity of any analytical methodology used for 134 impurities quantification should be evaluated from the limit of quantification to the 135 maximmum point of the calibration curve. The limits of detection (LD) and 136 quantification (LQ) were determined based on ICH recommendations and IUPAC²⁰, 137 calculated as 3δ /s and 10δ /s, respectively, where δ is the standard deviation of the 138 139 blank solution and s the slope of the analytical curve employed. The precision (expressed as relative standard deviation RSD) was evaluated by intraday and 140 intermediate precision (different days) with experiments using the same iron 141

supplement samples used in the recovery studies. For evaluate the accuracy of the 142 method, two additions were performed, more details and results are presented in 143 144 Table 2. The robustness was evaluated by an Mg II/Mg I emission intensity ratio equal to or greater than 8, point to a robust plasma condition, wherein the ICP 145 system able to accommodate alterations in the concentrations of major elements, 146 147 acids, and other components, without any significant variation in the intensities of the analyte lines²¹. In this study, the intensity ratio calculated (to calibration curve and 148 149 samples) was greater than 8. The results demonstrate that the proposed method is 150 robust, sensitive and accurate for determination of the analytes.

151

Table 2

3.2 Determination of micro and macro elements in iron supplements

Seventeen samples from various pharmacies located in Brazil were prepared and analyzed. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry was used to determine calcium, potassium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, phosphorus and zinc. The results are shown in Table 3 with concentrations expressed as mg of analyte per kg of sample.

158

Table 3

159 **3.3. Principal component analysis**

The results of the determination of the elements in the seventeen iron supplement samples analyzed in triplicate were evaluated using principal component analysis (PCA). The evaluation was performed on auto-scaled data because of different orders of magnitude in element concentrations. PCA was performed using crossvalidation as a validation method, and after a normalized Varimax rotation, that

maximizes the loading of the variables in a factor and minimizes it in all the others,
84.27% of the total variance was explained by two principal components (PCs),
which show eigenvalues of >1.0 (4.72 and 1.16 for PC1 and PC2, respectively).

168

Table 4

From loadings of variables along the first two PCs listed in Table 4, Ca, K, Na and P concentrations are the dominating features in PC1, and which PC1 can explain 67.57% of total variance. These four elements contribute to the major variability presented in the iron supplement samples. The biplot graph of the first two components is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 - Biplot graph for PC1 and PC2 for samples. (*Iron supplement - organic tablets* (*●*), *inorganic tablets* (*O*), *organic liquids* (*■*), *inorganic liquids*

176 *(D*).



177

The triplicates of one sample (iron supplement organic table) with high 178 concentrations for these four elements have high scores on PC1 and other samples 179 have low concentrations for these four elements on PC1. The second PC offers the 180 highest weights for Zn and Mg contents (Table 5), explains 16.70% of the total 181 182 variance of the data set and was able to characterize the samples by chemical composition and magnesium showed negative loading. Thus, samples with high Mg 183 184 concentrations have low Zn content. The inorganic samples (tablets and liquids) have major content of the zinc. In general, inorganic tablets have higher concentrations 185 186 than the liquid inorganic samples. The inorganic tablet samples have higher content of magnesium and form a group separated from organic tablets and organic liquids. 187

Indeed, the tablets are produced with magnesium stearate to reduce friction between
 the solid particules.²²

190 **3.4. Hierarchical cluster analysis**

191 HCA was applied to the scaled dates using Ward's method with Euclidean distances 192 to calculate the sample interpoint distances, where all points are rotated to orthogonal axes with no change to preserve all of the information in the original data 193 194 matrix. The dendrogram obtained is shown in Fig. 2 and show a non-complete 195 separation of the groups. One sample of iron supplement organic table with high 196 concentrations for all elements is separate of all other samples to a distance of approximately 28000. The other samples are separated into three clusters to a 197 198 distance of approximately 6000, tablets samples, liquid samples and a cluster of organic liquid samples that resemble to inorganic solid samples. This last cluster is 199 separated to a distance of 4000, showing that there are differences in the 200 compositions of the samples. 201

Figure 2 - Dendrogram for iron supplement samples showing Ward's method with Euclidean distances





The graph of matrix plot was used for evaluate the samples comportment for the all 206 analytes determination. A matrix plot is a graph that you can use to assess the 207 208 relationship among several pairs of variables at the same time. A matrix plot is a set of individual scatterplots. A matrix of plots is useful when you have many variables 209 210 and you would like to examine relationships between all pairs of variables. An each Y 211 versus each X plot displays a plot for each possible Y-X combination, when specify the y variables and x variables. The matrix 7x7 has 21 different combinations for the 212 all analytes. The graph of matrix obtained showed in Figure 3. 213

Figure 3 - Graph of matrix to samples comportment for the all analytes determinated

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscrip



215



3.6. Evaluation of the micro and macro elements content in iron supplements

The content of micro and macro elements of iron supplements was determined by the analysis of samples collected from the brazilian pharmacies. The average and

concentration ranges (expressed as mg of analyte per kg of sample) for calcium,
potassium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, phosphorus and zinc are shown in
Table 5.

228

Table 5

The Pharmacopoeias (Brazilian, US and European) does not establish maximum 229 230 allowable limits for these elements in medicines. The pharmacopoeias establish maximum values for daily intake under mass of element per day. The 231 232 pharmacopoeias not establish maximum limits by not consider these elements as potentially dangerous. However, as can be seen in Table 5, organic medicines 233 should be administered with precaution in patients with hypertension (with 234 cardiovascular risk associated)²³ and renal insufficiency as showing greater 235 potassium and sodium values that can generate a hyperkalemia²⁴ and metabolic 236 acidosis²⁵. The tablets of iron supplements show high values for magnesium that 237 may cause acute renal failure.²⁶ 238

239

240 **4. Conclusions**

The results confirm that the tablets iron supplements have highest concentrations of zinc and magnesium, principally associated with the production process.

Some samples demonstred to have higher concentrations of all analytes and organic iron supplements higher concentrations of sodium. This way, the use of iron supplements in patients with altered physiology should be made with precaution, as the literature describes the negative effects of the ingestion of high quantities of sodium and magnesium.

The existing Pharmacopoeias should include maximum values for each analyte in the regulamentation. 250

251 Acknowledgements

- 252 The authors are grateful to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e
- 253 Tecnalógico (CNPq) and to Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível
- 254 Superior (CAPES) for providing grants and fellowships and for financial support.

255

256 **References**

[1] L. de Falco, M. Sanchez, L. Silvestri, C. Kannengiesser, M.U. Muckenthaler, A. Iolascon, L. Gouya, C. Camaschella, C. Beaumont, *Haematologica*, 2013, **98**, 845.

[2] M. Andro, P. Le Squere, S. Estivin, A. Gentric, Eur. J. Neurol., 2013, 20, 1234.

[3] D.R. Abernethy, A.J. De Stefano, T.L. Cecil, K. Zaidi, R.L. Williams, *Pharm. Res.-DORDR*, 2010, **27**, 750.

[4] R.N. Rao, M.V.N.K. Talluri, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed. Anal., 2007, 43, 1.

[5] N. Lewen, J. Pharmaceut. Biomed. Anal., 2011, 55, 653.

[6] C. Støving, H. Jensen, B. Gammelgaard, Stefan Stürup, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 2013, 84, 209.

[7] U.A. Barbosa, L.O.B. Silva, I. F. dos Santos, S.L.C. Ferreira, A.M.P. dos Santos, *Curr. Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **11**, 44

[8] A. Tanase, A. Miu, *Rev. Roum. Chim.*, 2013, 57, 971.

[9] F.G. Antes, M.F. Mesko, J.S. Barin, C.M. Moreira, E.M.M. Flores, V.L. Dressler, *Microchem. J.*, 2011, **98**, 144.

[10] G.G.A. de Carvalho, L.C. Nunes, P.F. de Souza, F.J. Krug, T.C. Alegre, D. Santos Jr., *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*,2010, **25**, 803.

[11] L.A. Portugal, G.D. Matos, D.C. Lima, G.B. Brito, A.P. Fernandes, S.L.C. Ferreira, *Microchem. J.*, 2011, **98**, 29.

[12] I.F. dos Santos, A.M.P dos Santos, U.A. Barbosa, J.S. Lima, D.C. dos Santos,G.D. Matos, *Microchem. J.*, 2013, **110**, 439.

[13] K.A. Anderson, B.W. Smith, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2002, 50, 2068.

[14] U.A. Barbosa, I.F. dos Santos, A.M.P dos Santos, D.C. dos Santos, G.M. da Costa, *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.*, 2013, **154**, 412.

[15] J. dos Santos, M.L.P. dos Santos, M.M. Contia, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **21**, 1468.

[16] A. Kolasani, H. Xu, M. Millikan, Food Chem., 2011, 127, 1465–1471.

[17] L. Tormen, D.P. Torres, I.M. Dittert, R.G.O. Araújo, V.L.A. Frescura, A.J. Curtius, *J. Food Compos. Anal.*, 2011, **24**, 95-102.

[18] L.S. Goodman, A.G. Gilman, L.L Brunton, elenveth ed. Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 2006.

[19] ICH Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology Q2(R1), 2006.

[20] IUPAC Analytical Chemistry Division, Spectrochim. Acta B, 1978, 33, 242.

[21] J.M. Mermet , Anal. Chim. Acta, 1991, 250, 85.

[22] K. Sugisawa, T. Kaneko, T. Sago, T. Sato, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 2009, **49**, 858.

[23] L. Wei, I.S. Mackenzie, T.M. MacDonald, J. George, *Expert Opin. Drug Saf.*, 2014, **13**, 1515.

[24] M. Tazoe, M. Narita, R. Sakuta, T. Nagai, N. Narita, *Brain Dev.-JPN*, 2007, **29**, 369.

[25] D. Street, J.J. Nielsen, J. Bangsbo, C. Juel, *J. Physiol.-LONDON*, 2005, **566**, 481.

[26] W.H., Huang, J.L. Lin, J. Toxicol.-Clin. Toxic., 2004, 42, 305.