# Chemical Science

## Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

# **Chemical Science**

### EDGE ARTICLE

#### Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

# Two-photon sensitive protecting groups operating *via* intramolecular electron transfer: uncaging of GABA and tryptophan

Karolina A. Korzycka,<sup>‡a</sup> Philip M. Bennett,<sup>‡a</sup> Eduardo Jose Cueto-Diaz,<sup>b</sup> Geoffrey Wicks,<sup>c</sup> Mikhail Drobizhev,<sup>c</sup> Mireille Blanchard-Desce,<sup>b</sup> Aleksander Rebane<sup>cd</sup> and Harry L. Anderson<sup>a\*</sup>

Improved photo-labile protecting groups, with high sensitivity to two-photon excitation, are needed for the controlled release of drugs, as tools in neuroscience and physiology. Here we present a new modular approach to the design of caging groups based on photoinduced electron transfer from an electron-rich two-photon dye to an electron acceptor, followed by scission of an ester to release a carboxylic acid. Three different electron acceptors were tested: nitrobenzyl, phenacyl and pyridinium. The nitrobenzyl system was ineffective, giving only photochemical decomposition and no release of the carboxylic acid. The phenacyl system also performed poorly, liberating the carboxylic acid in 20% chemical yield and 0.2% photochemical yield. The pyridinium system was most successful, and was tested for the release of two carboxylic acids:  $\gamma$ -amino butyric acid (GABA) and tryptophan. The caged GABA undergoes photochemical cleavage with a chemical yield of >95% and a photochemical yield of 1%; it exhibits a two-photon absorption cross section of 1100 GM at 700 nm, corresponding to a two-photon uncaging cross section of 10 ± 3 GM.

#### Introduction

Light-sensitive protecting groups have continued to gain importance as tools for investigating the role of physiologically active compounds, ever since they were first applied in biological system in 1978.<sup>1</sup> A number of UV- and visible-light cleavable cages have been developed<sup>2</sup> to allow rapid spatially and temporally controlled photo-release of various biomolecules within living cells.<sup>3</sup> In the 1990s, the concept of uncaging was extended to take advantage of two-photon absorption (TPA),<sup>4,5</sup> a nonlinear optical phenomenon in which excitation occurs by the simultaneous absorption of two photons, each having half the energy of the corresponding onephoton process. The main advantage of TPA is that excitation is effectively restricted to the focal volume, giving tight spatial control. Furthermore, TPA allows the use of near-IR wavelengths which diminishes photo-damage and improves tissue penetration by reducing scattering and avoiding absorption by natural pigments. Unfortunately, most protecting groups optimized for one-photon uncaging display low efficiency under two-photon excitation, because their chromophores lack the specific features required for efficient TPA. The process of uncaging can be divided into two steps: light absorption followed by bond scission. The efficiency of TPA is quantified by the TPA cross-section ( $\delta_a$ ), while the quantum yield of uncaging  $(\phi_u)$  measures the efficiency of photo-induced bond scission. The product of these two parameters, the two-photon uncaging cross section ( $\delta_u = \delta_a \phi_u$ ) is a figure of merit reflecting the overall sensitivity of a protecting group to two-photon uncaging. Typically,  $\delta_u$  values reported to date lie between 0.05 and 2.5  $\text{GM}^{2c,6,7}$  (1  $\text{GM} = 10^{50} \text{ cm}^4 \text{ s}$ photon<sup>-1</sup>) whereas  $\delta_u > 3$  GM is desired for efficient uncaging in living cells.<sup>8</sup> A common strategy for enhancing the TPA cross-section of a pre-existing protecting platform is to extend the  $\pi$ -system and modulate the strength of electron

**RSCPublishing** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Oxford University, Department of Chemistry, Chemistry Research Laboratory, 12 Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX1 3TA, UK. E-mail: harry.anderson@chem.ox.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)1865 285002; Tel: +44 (0)1865 275704

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Université de Bordeaux, Institut des Sciences Moléculaires, CNRS UMR 5255, 33400 Bordeaux, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn 12618, Estonia

<sup>†</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: One- and twophoton absorption spectra, emission spectra, synthetic procedures and characterization, square-wave and cyclic voltammetry, photolysis protocols. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

<sup>‡</sup> K.A.K. and P.M.B. made equal contribution to this work.

donating/accepting substituents. Dipolar, quadrupolar and octupolar architectures have been explored for functionalizing established caging groups such as coumarine,<sup>9</sup> 0nitrobenzyl,<sup>10,11c</sup> 2-(o-nitrophenyl)propyl,<sup>11</sup> phenacyl<sup>11c</sup> and quinoline<sup>12</sup> with vinyl, phenyl, stilbenyl, dihydronaphthalenyl, thienyl, biphenyl, fluorenyl and triphenylamine groups. The strategy of incorporating an existing protecting group into a conjugated donor- $\pi$ -acceptor system led to a dipolar protecting group within the nitrophenylbutyl series with a record  $\delta_{\mu}$  of 11 GM at 800 nm.<sup>11a</sup> However, as absorption and bond scission are inherently related, any alteration in the caging group influences both  $\delta_a$  and  $\phi_{\mu}$ . Therefore some structurally modified cages, such as BNSF (2,7-bis-{4-nitro-8-[3-(2-propyl)-styryl]}-9,9bis-[1-(3,6-dioxaheptyl)]-fluorene,  $\delta_u$  of 5 GM at 800 nm, 65% yield of uncaging),<sup>11b</sup> suffer from light-induced side reactions or decreased yield of release, compared to their parent protecting units. Since it proves extremely difficult to enhance  $\delta_a$  while preserving a high value of  $\delta_a$ , several attempts have been made to explore an alternative approach, in which the absorption and release steps are decoupled and occur in different parts of the caging platform. A modular design allows each process to be optimized independently. This concept was first demonstrated for one-photon photolysis, where two spatially separated steps of uncaging were linked by intramolecular photoinduced electron transfer (PeT)<sup>13</sup> or triplet sensitization.<sup>14</sup> Recently this strategy has been implemented in two-photon uncaging systems: the fluorenyl-nitroindolinyl derived protecting group ( $\delta_u$  of 0.5 GM at 730 nm), where the absorption step is followed by intramolecular FRET-mediated release<sup>15</sup> and nitrophenylbutyl-thioxanthone with intermolecular FRET ( $\delta_u$  of 0.86 GM at 766 nm).<sup>16</sup> Here, we report a study of PeT-mediated uncaging in a two-photon excitable system.<sup>17</sup> Drawing upon previously reported designs,<sup>13</sup> we devised a protecting group, the removal of which operates via intramolecular PeT between a photoexcited electron-donor (a TPA dye with high  $\delta_a$ ) and an electron-acceptor (pre-existing release unit) to achieve efficient release of physiologically active compounds (Figure 1a).

Our studies began with choosing a suitable electron-donor with high  $\delta_a$ . We selected a symmetric banana-shaped bisethynyl fluorene (BEF) dye, in which the core is extended with substituted anilines via acetylene bridges.<sup>18</sup> A pyridinium salt was chosen as a potential electron-acceptor, since it has been demonstrated to release carboxylic acids upon PeT.<sup>13b-c,19</sup> Figure 1b shows the proposed mechanism of photodeprotection. Light absorption generates a photosensitizerbased singlet state, which is quenched by electron transfer to the release group. The resulting charge-shifted state decays by  $\sigma$ -bond cleavage to liberate the physiologically active carboxylic acid. The symmetrical design was chosen to simplify the synthesis, while the aniline unit was substituted with heptaethyleneglycol chains to promote solubility in aqueous media. A model dye-unit BEF-OH was synthesized for the purpose of photophysical and electrochemical studies (Figure 2). We used caged tryptophan, BEF-Pyr-Trp, for testing the intramolecular PeT mediated uncaging mechanism.

L-Tryptophan (Trp) was selected as a model amino acid due to the presence of an indole chromophore that allows release to be quantified by HPLC, with UV detection. We also prepared caged  $\gamma$ -amino butyric acid (GABA), **BEF-Pyr-GABA**, to explore the utility of our protecting group for release of an inhibitory neurotransmitter.



**Figure 1**. Concept of a photolabile protecting group operating via photoinduced electron transfer. Upon two-photon excitation (*i*) the dye unit donates an electron to the release unit (*ii*), which undergoes photochemical reaction and liberates the drug (*iii*).



Figure 2. Structure of model electron-donor BEF-OH, caged tryptophan, BEF-Pyr-Trp, and caged GABA, BEF-Pyr-GABA; R = (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>7</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>.

#### Results and discussion

#### Synthesis

The reference dye **BEF-OH** was prepared as shown in Scheme 1. The synthesis started from *N*-(4-iodophenyly)diethanolamine<sup>20</sup> (1) by coupling with TIPS-acetylene to give intermediate 2, which was subsequently alkylated with hexaethyleneglycol monomethyl ether tosylate. Removal of TIPS group from 3 resulted in the key aniline intermediate 4, which was coupled with the central fluorene diiodide 5 (see ESI) under Sonogashira conditions to give **BEF-OH**. In a convergent synthesis towards GABA and tryptophan

Journal Name

derivatives, the fluorene core was appended with the pyridinium esters before conjugation with the substituted aniline units **4** using Sonogashira cross-coupling (Scheme 2). The final step involved removal of the Boc protecting groups to yield the trifluoroacetate salts **BEF-Pyr-GABA** and **BEF-Pyr-Trp**.



**Scheme 1.** Reagents: i) TIPS-acetylene, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, Cul, DIPA, 50 °C, 16 h, ii) CH<sub>3</sub>(OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>OTs, NaH, THF, reflux, 48 h, iii) TBAF, THF, 20 °C, 12 h, iv) **4**, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, Cul, DIPA, MeCN, 20 °C, 3 h; Ts = *p*-toluenesulfonate, TIPS = triisopropylsilyl, THF = tetrahydrofuran, DIPA = diisopropylamine, TBAF = tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride, R = (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>7</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>.



Scheme 2. Reagents: i) MeCN, reflux, 36 h, ii) 4, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, Cul, DIPA, 20 °C, 1 h, brine wash, iii) BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>, DCM, 0 °C  $\rightarrow$  20 °C, 5 h, iv) MeCN, 100 °C, 24 h, v) 4, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, Cul, DIPA, DCM, MeCN, 20 °C, 2 h, then purification in TFA-buffered solvent, vi) HCO<sub>2</sub>H, TIPS-H, 20 °C, 1 h.

#### **One- and Two-Photon Absorption and Fluorescence Spectra**

The one-photon absorption and emission spectra of **BEF-OH** in water and polar organic solvents (EtOH and THF) are shown in Figure 3. The fluorene-based dye exhibits a strong absorption at 300–400 nm (maximum: 380 nm;  $\varepsilon_{380} = 9.0 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Comparison of the absorption spectra in water and organic solvents reveals that the one-photon absorption properties of **BEF-OH** are independent of the solvent polarity. However, the fluorescence spectrum is sensitive to the environment, being



Figure 3. Absorption (solid) and emission (dashed) spectra of BEF-OH in THF (black), EtOH (red) and water (blue). Excitation wavelength: 366 nm.



Figure 4. TPA spectra (circles) overlaid with double-wavelength one-photon absorption spectra (lines); blue - **BEF-OH** recorded in water, black - **BEF-OTBDMS** (*t*-butyldimethylsilyl ether derivative) recorded in EtOH.

red-shifted and broadened in polar solvents. The Stokes shifts are 78, 83 and 128 nm in THF, EtOH and water, respectively. Bathochromic shifts in the luminescence spectra of bananashaped fluorene-dyes have previously been attributed to symmetry breaking and the formation of a polar excited state, which is stabilized in polar solvents.<sup>21</sup> The fluorescence quantum yield ( $\phi_f$ ) of **BEF-OH** is about 0.42 in organic solvents (0.43 in THF, 0.41 in EtOH, referenced to quinine in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), but it falls to 0.1 in water. The TPA spectra of BEF-OH and its t-butyldimethylsilyl ether derivative, BEF-**OTBDMS** (Figure S28), in water and EtOH, respectively, are compared in Figure 4. The TPA maxima are 1150 GM at 700 nm (for BEF-OTBDMS in EtOH) and 1100 GM at 715 nm (for BEF-OH in water). These cross-sections are similar to those reported previously for closely related dyes.<sup>18,22</sup> The spectrum is slightly broader and red-shifted in water, but the spectra are similar, revealing that the TPA is insensitive to the solvent environment. In both solvents, there is a shoulder in the TPA spectrum at twice the wavelength of the one-photon allowed  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$  transition. However, the TPA spectra are dominated by peaks corresponding to the one-photon forbidden, two-photon allowed higher-energy electronic or vibronic transitions. This behavior is similar to that reported for slightly noncentrosymmetric D- $\pi$ -D quadrupolar chromophores.<sup>18</sup>

The model electron acceptor, *N*-methyl pyridinium hexafluorophosphate (**Pyr**) (Figure 5), displays weak absorption in the UV region ( $\lambda_{max} = 270 \text{ nm}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{270} = 0.5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in THF, Figure S26), with no significant absorption at wavelengths greater than 300 nm. The difference between the absorption spectra of the dye unit **BEF-OH** and release platform **Pyr**, mean that the fluorene dye is the only absorbing species at wavelengths longer than 300 nm.

#### **Thermodynamics of Electron Transfer**

The fundamental requirement for efficient PeT is that the Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G_{\rm ET}$ ) for the process must be negative. The energy of the singlet excited state of the dye ( $E_{00}$ ) must be greater than the energy cost of transferring an electron from the donor to the acceptor, i.e. greater than the difference between the oxidation potential of the donor ( $E_{\rm OX}$ ) and the reduction potential of the acceptor ( $E_{\rm RED}$ ), corrected by the Coulombic stabilization of the charges, as summarized by Figure 5 and equation (1),<sup>23</sup>

$$\Delta G_{\rm ET} = N_{\rm A} \left\{ e[E_{\rm OX} - E_{\rm RED}] + w({\rm D}^{+}{\rm A}^{-}) - w({\rm DA}) \right\} - E_{00} \quad (1)$$

where  $w(D^+A^-)$  and w(DA) are terms that factor in electrostatic interaction in the products and reactants:

$$w(D^+A^-) = \frac{z(D^+)z(A^-)e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r a}; \quad w(DA) = \frac{z(D)z(A)e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r a}$$
(2)

and  $N_{\rm A}$  is the Avogadro constant, e is the elementary charge,  $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity,  $\varepsilon_r$  is the dielectric constant of the solvent, a is the distance of charge separation and z(D/A) is charge of the species (D: donor; A: acceptor). The excited state energy  $(E_{00})$  is defined as the energy of transition between the lowest vibrational level of the ground and excited states, and can be estimated from the point of overlap between the absorption and emission spectra. The oxidation potential of the donor  $(E_{OX})$  and reduction potential of the acceptor  $(E_{RED})$  can be measured electrochemically. The excited state energy of BEF-OH in THF was estimated at 2.96 eV (418 nm) and the first oxidation potential was determined to be 0.36 V (relative to ferrocene in THF with 0.1 M  $Bu_4PF_6$ ). The reduction potential of Pyr relative to ferrocene is -1.76 V under the same conditions. The free Gibbs energy ( $\Delta G_{\rm ET}$ ) for PeT calculated according to Equation 1 for the pair BET-OH and Pyr is -0.84 eV indicating that PeT is strongly favorable. The Coulombic term is zero in the case of the pyridinium-based systems because electron transfer does not result in formation of a charge-separated state but only in the migration of a preexisting charge. An extended study of photoinduced electron transfer in model dyads, in which the BEF electron donor is covalently linked to a variety of electron acceptors, is reported separately.24

Page 4 of 8



Figure 5. Diagram representing the energy levels of the species involved in electron transfer. Right: structure of *N*-methyl pyridinium hexafluorophosphate (**Pyr**), the model compound used in electrochemical measurements.

#### **Fluorescence Quenching**

The efficiency of electron transfer in the systems reported here can be evaluated from their fluorescence quantum yields, because PeT competes directly with fluorescence. Comparison of the fluorescence quantum yields of the free donor unit (**BEF-OH**;  $\phi = 0.10$  in water) and the donor incorporated into the dyad (**BEF-Pyr-GABA**;  $\phi = 0.001$  in water) shows that the fluorescence of the fluorene dye is severely quenched by the presence of acceptor, implying that intramolecular PeT is fast and efficient. Using this information and Equation 3, the quantum yield of charge transfer is estimated to be near unity ( $\phi_{CT} = 0.98$ ).

$$\phi_{\rm CT} = 1 - \frac{\phi_{f\,(linked\,system)}}{\phi_{f\,(parent\,dye)}} \tag{3}$$

#### **Hydrolytic Stability**

A prerequisite for applications of a caged drug in physiological experiments is that it must be stable in aqueous media, in the absence of light, at least for a few hours. The stability of BEF-Pvr-GABA was assessed in aqueous buffers at pH 7.4 by HPLC and in non-buffered D<sub>2</sub>O by NMR at 20 °C. We found that its stability is sensitive to pH and to the composition of the buffer. The half-life of BEF-Pyr-GABA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was found to be ca. 15 h (Figure 6; [BEF-Pyr-GABA]: 20 µM). In contrast, in NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-based artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF buffer, pH 7.4, which is routinely used as a medium in experiments with neurons) the half-life was reduced to 1.5 h. An extensive set of troubleshooting experiments, in which eight versions of aCSF-buffer were prepared (each missing one different component) allowed us to identify HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> as the detrimental component. When NaHCO<sub>3</sub> with HEPES [4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1was replaced piperazineethanesulfonic acid, an alternative component of standard buffers used in neuroscience] the half-life of BEF-Pyr-GABA rose to ca 50 h. No hydrolysis was detected in nonbuffered  $D_2O$  (Figure S31). These observations highlight the necessity of evaluating the stability of caged compounds under conditions identical to those of the final target application. We have not investigated how bicarbonate catalyzes this hydrolysis reaction, but the hydrolysis of  $\alpha$ -aminoacid esters under similar conditions has been attributed to CO2-mediated carbamate

Journal Name

#### **Chemical Science**

formation and intramolecular cyclization.<sup>25</sup> The low hydrolytic stability of GABA-pyridinium esters in standard aCSF buffer poses a limitation for the use of these compounds under strictly physiological conditions that will need to be addressed in future molecular designs.



Figure 6. Stability of BEF-Pyr-GABA (20  $\mu$ M) in aqueous buffers at pH 7.4 at 20 °C: HEPES-based aCSF – black, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> based aCSF – red, PBS – blue; HEPES: 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid, aCSF: artificial cerebrospinal fluid, PBS: phosphate-buffered saline. Changes in concentration of BEF-Pyr-GABA were determined by HPLC. Mono-exponential fitting curves were applied to the data.

The hydrolytic stability of **BEF-Pyr-Trp** was monitored only by HPLC and is different from that of **BEF-Pyr-GABA**. **BEF-Pyr-Trp** has a half-life of only about 2 h at pH 7.4 (in both PBS and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> based aCSF buffers). The hydrolysis proceeds more slowly at pH 3.0 (citric acid/citrate buffer), with a half-life of 3 h (Figure S36). The close proximity of the protonated amino group to the ester functionality probably increases the electrophilicity of the carbonyl center, enhancing the hydrolytic instability of the tryptophan derivative. **BEF-Pyr-Trp** also undergoes decomposition at low pH, in a reaction that appears to be associated with the fluorene dye rather than ester hydrolysis (Figure S37).

#### **Uncaging Studies**

We evaluated the uncaging properties of **BEF-Pyr-Trp** and **BEF-Pyr-GABA** in a series of one-photon irradiation experiments. The method used to monitor release of the amino acid was dictated by properties of caged species. The high aqueous solubility of **BEF-Pyr-GABA** facilitated photolysis at mM concentration and we followed the reaction by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. It was not possible to monitor the release of GABA by HPLC because this amino acid lacks a UV chromophore. In contrast, **BEF-Pyr-Trp** gave broad <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra at mM concentrations, presumably due to aggregation. Photolysis studies were conducted at  $\mu$ M concentration and the indole motif in the side chain of tryptophan enabled quantification of the released amino acid by HPLC.

One-photon uncaging of the pyridinium-based protecting group was initially investigated by irradiating a 1 mM  $D_2O$ solution of **BEF-Pyr-GABA** with a broad UV-A source (300– 400 nm, peak 350 nm) in an NMR tube. At this concentration, the transmittance of the solution is negligible across the entire wavelength range of the light source. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (with *t*-butanol as an internal reference) demonstrated the release of GABA with a chemical yield of >95% (Figure 7). Two GABA molecules are released from each molecule of **BEF-Pyr-GABA**, which demonstrates that the BEF chromophore is able to undergo two cycles of photoreduction. We were unable to identify the chemical products generated by photolysis of the caging group.

The photolysis of **BEF-Pyr-Trp** (20  $\mu$ M in water) with 300–400 nm light (350 nm peak) was monitored by HPLC. Complete consumption of the starting material resulted in release of tryptophan with 83 % chemical yield. No photochemically generated byproducts were detected but decomposition of the chromophore unit was observed after the uncaging events.



**Figure 7.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra from a representative uncaging experiment, which shows the disappearance of caged-GABA signals with a concurrent increase of a new set of multiplets later found to be free GABA (signals "a-c"). Decrease in intensity of signal "d" (5.29 ppm) is not shown.



**Figure 8.** The change in concentration of **BEF-Pyr-GABA** (black squares) and free GABA (red triangles) over time. Concentration of free GABA and **BEF-Pyr-GABA** were determined by integration of signal "c" and "d" respectively relative to *t*-butanol. The presence of free GABA was confirmed by doping an irradiated solution with an authentic sample, which resulted in no new signals and an increase in the intensity of the suspected GABA signals (Figure S30).

The quantum yield of uncaging of **BEF-Pyr-GABA** was determined by comparison with the commercially available DPNI-GABA, which has a known  $\phi_u$  of 0.085.<sup>26</sup> Solutions of **BEF-Pyr-GABA** and DPNI-GABA (1 mM, D<sub>2</sub>O) were irradiated simultaneously (300–400 nm, peak 350 nm), and their respective rates of uncaging ( $k_u$ ) were determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (see ESI). Under these concentrated conditions, the rate of uncaging depends only on the light intensity and the quantum yield, but not on the molar absorption coefficient. The uncaging quantum yield of **BEF-Pyr-GABA** was calculated using Equation 4 to give  $\phi_u = 0.009 \pm 0.003$ .

$$\phi_{u\,(\text{BEF-Pyr-GABA})} = 0.085 \left(\frac{k_{u\,(\text{BEF-Pyr-GABA})}}{k_{u\,(\text{DPNI-GABA})}}\right) \tag{4}$$

This determination of the uncaging quantum yield of **BEF-Pyr-GABA** was verified using ferric oxalate actinometry,<sup>27</sup> which gave an uncaging quantum yield of  $\phi_u = 0.088 \pm 0.004$  for DPNI-GABA (in close accord with the published value) and an uncaging quantum yield of  $\phi_u = 0.009 \pm 0.004$  for **BEF-Pyr-GABA**.

The fluorescence quenching experiments showed that 98% of absorption events lead to charge transfer, while the overall uncaging quantum yield is only about 1%, which suggests that decay of the excited state is dominated by back electron transfer to the ground state. Nevertheless, due to the high value of the TPA cross section (1100 GM at 700 nm), the calculated two-photon uncaging cross section for **BEF-Pyr-GABA** is  $\delta_u = \delta_a \phi_u = 10 \pm 3$  GM at 700 nm, which is comparable to the highest reported value (11 GM for the 2-(*o*-nitrophenyl)propyl caged GABA).<sup>11a</sup>

The uncaging quantum yield for **BEF-Pyr-Trp** was also determined by ferric oxalate actinometry. A solution of **BEF-Pyr-Trp** (~1.5  $\mu$ M, pH 3.0) was irradiated at 360 nm with a fluorimeter and photorelease of tryptophan was monitored by HPLC (Figure 9), using a protocol designed to take account of competing background hydrolysis (see ESI). The quantum yield of uncaging was measured for **BEF-Pyr-Trp** as  $\phi_u = 0.0025$  (at 360 nm), so the calculated two-photon uncaging cross-section for **BEF-Pyr-Trp** at 720 nm is only  $\delta_u = 2.5$  GM. The reasons for the difference in uncaging quantum yield between **BEF-Pyr-Trp** and **BEF-Pyr-GABA** are unclear and will require further investigation.

To prove that photo-cleavage of our new protecting group is sensitized by the absorption of the fluorene-based dye, rather than by direct excitation of pyridinium unit, we investigated the efficiency of uncaging as a function of irradiation wavelength. For this purpose, solutions of **BEF-Pyr-Trp** (1.5  $\mu$ M, pH 3.0) were irradiated at 340, 360, 380, 400 and 420 nm for 3800 s, and dark control experiments were carried out, to account for background hydrolysis (Figure 9). The extent of uncaging correlates closely with the absorption spectrum of the BEF chromophore (Figure 10), confirming the active role of the fluorene-dye in uncaging and PeT mediated release of tryptophan from **BEF-Pyr-Trp**. Preliminary experiments were carried out to test the twophoton excited release of GABA in the proximity of cultured neurons using **BEF-Pyr-GABA**. Upon two-photon excitation at 720 nm (300 fs, Ti:Sapphire laser; 2–5 ms duration), we observed changes in membrane potential with kinetics consistent with activation of GABA-A receptors, indicating GABA release. Further experiments are needed to test the effectiveness of this caged compound, and to quantify sideeffects, such as the biological activity of the caged drug.



Figure 9. The change in concentration of tryptophan upon photolysis of **BEF-Pyr-Trp** at pH 3.0 and 360 nm; red circles – photolyzed sample, blue squares – control samples stored in the dark, black triangles – net concentration of tryptophan released upon uncaging. The concentration of tryptophan was determined by HPLC.



Figure 10. Normalized absorption spectrum of **BEF-Pyr-Trp** in citric acid/sodium citrate buffer (pH 3.0) (black line) plotted in the scale with extent of photolysis, expressed as yield of released tryptophan upon 3800 s of irradiation of **BEF-Pyr-Trp** at 340–420 nm (blue circles).

#### **Non-Pyridinium Designs**

The pyridinium group is an excellent electron-acceptor, and the results presented above show that it gives very efficient PeT, however the efficiency of cleavage of the charge-separated state is disappointing ( $\phi_u \approx 1\%$ ). The pyridinium group may also exhibit undesirable reactivity towards nucleophiles, so we decided to explore other electron acceptors. We chose to investigate phenacyl, for which uncaging via PeT has been previously reported<sup>13b</sup> and nitrobenzyl esters (Figure 11). The reduction potentials of both methyl 4-acetylbenzoate (**Phen**)

lic and the susceptibility to hydrolysis under physiological conditions make ester-linked phenacyl platforms unattractive release units. (6). We Photolysis of **BEF-NB-Trp** was tested in a range of

solvents (5  $\mu$ M concentration in water, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-aCSF, acetonitrile, methanol and THF) using a broad UV-A light source (300–400 nm; peak: 350 nm), but no release of tryptophan was observed. Photochemical decomposition of **BEF-NB-Trp** occurred, but it did not result in formation of free tryptophan. The same result was observed when irradiation was carried out at 280 nm. Tryptophan was liberated cleanly in 75% yield by hydrolysis of **BEF-NB-Trp** in the dark over 40 h in NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-based aCSF buffer.

#### Conclusions

In this study, we have investigated an approach to the rational design of two-photon photo-labile protecting groups. A protecting group has been developed which operates by PeT between an electron-rich fluorene-based dye and a pyridinium electron-acceptor. The fluorescence of the dye is quenched by electron transfer to the pyridinium; charge-transfer leads to bond scission, to liberate a carboxylic acid. Our protecting group has been demonstrated to release the neurotransmitter GABA and amino acid L-tryptophan upon irradiation with light of wavelength 340-420 nm, in aqueous solution in nearly quantitative chemical yields. This group exhibits a high TPA cross-section (1100 GM at 700 nm) and highly efficient chargetransfer between the electron donor and acceptor was observed (98%). The fast back electron transfer from the charge-shifted state reduces the overall quantum efficiency of uncaging to around 1% which, when combined with the TPA cross-section, results in a two-photon uncaging cross-section of approximately  $\delta_{\mu} = 10$  GM (700 nm) for **BEF-Pyr-GABA**. Wavelength-dependent uncaging experiments confirmed electron-transfer mediated release of caged tryptophan with efficiency of release proportional to the extinction coefficient of the fluorene dye within 340-420 nm.

A key objective for future research will be to apply the modular design strategy demonstrated in this study to create an electron donor-acceptor pair for which the back electron transfer is suppressed, so that bond-scission becomes the main decay pathway. The susceptibility of pyridinium esters towards hydrolysis can lead to practical difficulties for uncaging studies in aqueous media, and it would be useful to extend these systems to non-ester linking unit that is are more stable to aqueous hydrolysis, such as carbamates.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Seth R. Marder for valuable discussion, Prof. Ole Paulsen, Dr Yu Zhang and Dr Golnaz Borghei for carrying out preliminary electrophysiology experiments with **BEF-Pyr-GABA**. This work was funded by the European Commission Seventh Framework Programme (TOPBIO ITN, project-grant agreement no. 264362). We thank the EPSRC Mass Spectrometry Service (Swansea) for mass spectra.

and methyl 4-nitrobenzoate (NB) were measured by cyclic and square wave voltammetry, giving  $E_{\text{RED}}$  of **Phen**: -2.18 V;  $E_{\text{RED}}$ of NB: -1.47 V (vs. Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup> in THF with 0.1 M Bu<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>). We estimated the values of the Coulombic term, equation (2), in BEF-Phen-Ind and BEF-NB-Trp from the distance of photoinduced charge separation (a) using molecular mechanics calculations (see ESI). This gave  $w(D^+ A^-) = -0.21$  eV for both compounds. Calculation of Gibbs energy of the photoinduced electron transfer ( $\Delta G_{\rm ET}$ ), according to equation (1), revealed that it is energetically favorable in both systems, giving  $\Delta G_{\rm ET}$  of -0.54 eV and -1.25 eV for Phen and NB respectively. To evaluate the photo-release properties of phenacyl and nitrobenzyl derived groups, we synthesized their tryptophan analogues for HPLC-monitored uncaging experiments. In the case of the phenacyl group, attack of the free amino group of tryptophan on the ketone group to form a 6-membered ring posed a limitation for protection of  $\alpha$ -amino acids. This issue was overcome by use of the alternative structure: 3indolepropionic acid (Ind), which possesses an indole chromophore but lacks the amino group, allowing us to preserve the absorption properties of tryptophan while avoiding cyclization. Structures of nitrobenzyl tryptophan (BEF-NB-Trp) and phenacyl protected 3-indolepropionic acid (BEF-Phen-Ind) are shown in Figure 11 (for synthesis see ESI).



Figure 11. The structures of alternative electron acceptor units: methyl 4-acetylbenzoate (Phen) and methyl 4-nitrobenzoate (NB) and corresponding protecting groups based on the banana-shaped fluorene dye BEF-Phen-Ind and BEF-NB-Trp; R =  $(CH_2CH_2O)_7CH_3$ .

Photolysis of **BEF-Phen-Ind** was investigated by irradiating a solution in water (17  $\mu$ M) with a broad UV-A light source (300–400 nm; peak: 350 nm), monitoring the progress of uncaging by HPLC. 3-Indolepropionic acid was liberated with a modest 20% chemical yield, despite full consumption of the starting material. HPLC analysis did not reveal formation of any other products of photolysis and we were unable to define the fate of the remaining 80% of starting material. The quantum yield of uncaging was determined by use of ferric oxalate actinometry, giving  $\phi_u = 0.0022$ , corresponding to a two-photon uncaging cross section of  $\delta_u = 2.4$  GM at 700 nm. The limited scope of substrates that can be caged (due to the reactivity of the ketone group), the low chemical yield of photorelease and

Page 8 of 8

#### Notes and references

- J. H. Kaplan, B. Forbush III and J. F. Hoffman, *Biochemistry*, 1978, 17, 1929.
- (a) A. P. Pelliccioli and J. Wirz, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2002, 1, 441; (b) C. G. Bochet, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 2002, 125; (c) P. Klán, T. Šolomek, C. G. Bochet, A. Blanc, R. Givens, M. Rubina, V. Popik, A. Kostikov and J. Wirz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, 113, 119, (d) H. Yu, J. Li, D. Wu, Z. Qiua and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, 39, 464.
- 3. G. Mayer and A. Heckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 4900.
- M. Pawlicki, H. A. Collins, R. G. Denning and H. L. Anderson, *Chem. Angew. Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 3244.
- 5. W. Denk, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1994, 91, 6629.
- (a) G. Bort, T. Gallavardin, D. Ogden and P. I. Dalko, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 4526; (b) A. Specht, F. Bolze, Z. Omran, J.-F. Nicoud and M. Goeldner, HFSP J., 2009, 3, 255; (c) C. Brieke, F. Rohrbach, A. Gottschalk, G. Mayer and A. Heckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 8446; (d) D. Warther, S. Gug, A. Specht, F. Bolze, J.-F. Nicoud, A. Mourot and M. Goeldner, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2010, 18, 7753.
- 7. (a) O. D. Fedoryak, J.-Y. Sul, P. G. Haydon and G. C. R. Ellis-Davies, Chem. Commun., 2005, 3664; (b) G. C. R. Ellis-Davies, M. Matsuzaki, M. Paukert, H. Kasai and D. E. Bergles, J. Neurosci., 2007, 27, 6601; (c) N. Gagey, P. Neveu and L. Jullien, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 2467; (d) N. Gagey, P. Neveu, C. Benbrahim, B. Goetz, I. Aujard, J.-B. Baudin and L. Jullien, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 9986; (e) T. Furuta, S. S. Wang, J. L. Dantzker, T. M Dore, J. W. Bybee, E. M. Callaway, W. Denk and R. Y. Tsien, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1999, 96, 1193; (f) V. Nikolenko, R. Yuste, L. Zayat, L. M. Baraldo and R. Etchenique, Chem. Commun., 2005, 1752; (g) M. Salierno, E. Marceca, D. S. Peterka, R. Yuste and R. Etchenique, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2010, 104, 418; (h) E. Fino, R. Araya, D. S. Peterka, M. Salierno, R. Etchenique and R. Yuste, Front. Neural Circuits, 2009, 3, 1; (i) A. Specht, J.-S. Thomann, K. Alarcon, W. Wittayanan, D. Ogden, T. Furuta, Y. Kurakawa and M. Goeldner, ChemBioChem., 2006, 7, 1690; (j) Y. Zhu, C. M. Pavlos, J. P. Toscano and T. M. Dore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 4267; (k) M. J. Davis, C. H. Kragor, K. G. Reddie, H. C. Wilson, Y. Zhu and T. M. Dore, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 1721; (1) M. Petit, C. Tran, T. Roger, T. Gallavardin, H. Dhimane, F. Palma-Cerda, M. Blanchard-Desce, F. C. Acher, D. Ogden and P. I. Dalko, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 6366.
- N. I. Kiskin, R. Chillingworth, J. A. McCray, D. Piston and D. Ogden, *Eur. Biophys. J.*, 2002, 30, 588.
- (a) J. P. Olson, H.-B. Kwon, K. T. Takasaki, C. Q. Chiu, M. J. Higley, B. L. Sabatini and G. C. R. Ellis-Davies, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 5954; (b) J. M. Amatrudo, J. P. Olson, G. Lur, C. Q. Chiu, M. J. Higley and G. C. R. Ellis-Davies, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2014, **5**, 64; (c) Y. Sakamoto, S. Boinapally, C. Katan and M. Abe, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 7171; (d) C. Bao, G. Fan, Q. Lin, B. Li, S. Cheng, Q. Huang and L. Zhu, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 572.
- (a) I. Aujard, C. Benbrahim, M. Gouget, O. Ruel, J.-B. Baudin, P. Neveu and L. Jullien, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 6865; (b) S. Boinapally, B. Huang, M. Abe, C. Katan, J. Noguchi, S. Watanabe, H. Kasai, B. Xue and T. Kobayashi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 7822.

- (a) L. Donato, A. Mourot, C. M. Davenport, C. Herbivo, D. Warther, J. Léonard, F. Bolze, J.-F. Nicoud, R. H. Kramer, M. Goeldner and A. Specht, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 1840; (b) S. Gug, F. Bolze, A. Specht, C. Bourgogne, M. Goeldner and J.-F. Nicoud, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 9525; (c) A. Specht, F. Bolze, L. Donato, C. Herbivo, S. Charon, D. Warther, S. Gug, J.-F. Nicoud and M. Goeldner, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2012, **11**, 578; (d) S. Gug, S. Charon, A. Specht, K. Alarcon, D. Ogden, B. Zietz, J. Léonard, S. Haacke, F. Bolze, J.-F. Nicoud and M. Goeldner, *ChemBioChem.*, 2008, **9**, 1303; (e) F. Bolze, J.-F. Nicoud, C. Bourgogne, S. Gug, X. H. Sun, M. Goeldner, A. Specht, L. Donato, D. Warther, G. F. Turi and A. Losonczy, *Optical Materials*, 2012, **34**, 1664.
- S. Picard, E. Genin, G. Clermont, V. Hugues, O. Mongin and M. Blanchard-Desce, *New. J. Chem.*, 2013, 37, 3899.
- (a) D. E. Falvey and C. Sundararajan, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2004, **3**, 831; (b) K. Lee and D. E. Falvey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 9361; (c) C. Sundararajan and D. E. Falvey, *Org. Lett.*, **7**, 2631.
- (a) D. Wöll, J. Smirnova, W. Pfleiderer and U. E. Steiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 2975; (b) G. Papageorgiou, D. Ogden and J. E. T. Corrie, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 7228; (c) G. Papageorgiou, D. Ogden and J. E. T. Corrie, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, **7**, 423.
- S. Picard, E. J. Cueto-Diaz, E. Genin, G. Clermont, F. Acher, D. Ogden and M. Blanchard-Desce, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 10805.
- M. C. Pirrung, T. M. Dore, Y. Zhu and V. S. Rana, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 5313.
- Related studies were reported in a PhD thesis by Jing Wang, University of Arizona, 2007; thesis advisor: S. R. Marder.
- O. Mongin, L. Porrès, M. Charlot, C. Katan and M. Blanchard-Desce, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2007**, 13, 1481.
- (a) C. Sundararajan and D. E. Falvey, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 5547;
   (b) C. Sundararajan and D. E. Falvey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 8000;
   (c) C. Sundararajan and D. E. Falvey, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2006, **5**, 116.
- C. Monnereau, E. Blart and F. Odobel, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, 46, 5421.
- F. Terenziani, A. Painelli, C. Katan, M. Charlot and M. Blanchard-Desce, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 15742.
- C. Katan, M. Charlot, O. Mongin, C. Le Droumaguet, V. Jouikov, F. Terenziani, E. Badaeva, S. Tretiak and M. Blanchard-Desce, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, **114**, 3152.
- IUPAC. Compendium of Chemical Terminology, 2nd ed. (the "Gold Book"). Compiled by A. D. McNaught and A. Wilkinson. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford (1997). XML on-line corrected version: http://goldbook.iupac.org (2006-) created by M. Nic, J. Jirat, B. Kosata; updates compiled by A. Jenkins. ISBN 0-9678550-9-8.
- 24. A. I. Ciuciu, K. A. Korzycka, W. J. Lewis, P. M. Bennett, H. L. Anderson and L. Flamigni, 2015, accepted for publication..
- (a) I. M. Kovach, I. H. Pitman and T. Higuchi, J. Pharm. Sci., 1981, 70, 881; (b) R. W. Hay and L. Main, Aust. J. Chem., 1968, 21, 155.
- 26. G. Papageorgiou and J. E. T. Corrie, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 9668.
- 27. (a) J. Goedhart and T. W. J. Gadella, *Biochemistry*, 2004, 43, 4263;
  (b) H. J. Kuhn, S. E. Braslavsky and R. Schmidt, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1989, 61, 187;
  (c) M. Montalti, A. Credi, L. Prodi and M. T. Gandolfi, *Handbook of Photochemistry*, Taylor & Francis Group, Florida, 2006, p 601–604.