RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Dicationic imidazolium-based ILs: a potent strategy for applications requiring non-toxic materials with antimicrobial activity.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

ABSTRACT

New dicationic imdazolium-based ionic liquids (ILs) were synthesized, characterized and tested in regards to biocompatibility and antimicrobial activity. Insertion of a new cationic head and use of organic 18 anions increased the biocompatibility of the ILs developed. IC $_{50}$ (concentration necessary to inhibit 50% of enzymatic activity) values obtained were considerably higher than those described for monocationic ILs, which indicates an improvement on biocompatibility. Antimicrobial activity against bacterial species of clinical relevance in wounds and the oral environment was tested. The results showed that ILs were effective in inhibiting bacterial growth even below the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). It was 23 observed that structural features that confer higher hydrophobicity to ILs decreased both the IC_{50} and MIC simultaneously. However, it was possible to establish an equilibrium between those two effects, which

Page 3 of 18 RSC Advances

- 25 gives the safe range of concentrations that ILs can be employed. The results demonstrated that the
- 26 dicationic-imidazolium-based ILs synthesized may constitute a potent strategy for applications requiring
- 27 non-toxic materials exhibiting antimicrobial activity.
- 28 **Keywords**: Ionic Liquids, imidazolium, non-toxic, antimicrobial, materials.

29 **1. Introduction**

30 Ionic liquids (ILs) are a class of low temperature molten salts, comprised of an amphiphilic cationic moiety 31 and a weakly coordinated anion¹. Even though being described almost a century ago, ILs have recently 32 attracted interest in a assorted array of applications, ranging from synthetic processes in chemistry²⁻⁴, to 33 a number of biological processes⁵, and utilization as active pharmaceutical ingredients (API)⁶. The most 34 attractive property of ILs is the flexibility or 'tunability' in the design of physical, chemical and biological 35 properties by changing the structure of cation and anion⁷. Such possibilities have driven phenomenal 36 interest on ILs synthesis. Commonly studied ILs are comprised of bulky, N-containing organic cations 37 (e.g., imidazole and pyridine) in combination with anions, ranging from simple inorganic ions (e.g., 38 halides) to more complex organic species (e.g., sugars and amino acids). Imidazolium-based ILs are 39 among the most studied classes of ILs and recently, dicationic imidazolium-based ILs have emerged as a 40 new option for applications, for instance, uses as solvents⁸, surfactant^{9,10}, lubricant^{11,12}, and for 41 nanoparticles coating¹³. Although ILs have been proposed as new "green strategy", problems associated 42 with cytotoxicity and environmental contamination have been reported^{12,14,15}.

The cytotoxicity is the property of a compound trigger a toxic effect against human cells, and this effect has been broadly reported for monocationic ILs. For a homologous series, cationic alkyl chain length is 45 the main factor associated with toxic effects^{16–19}. Increase in alkyl chain length is related to an increase in 46 hydrophobicity and consequently cell damage¹⁶. Anionic moieties have been discussed to also play an important role in toxicity, however to a lesser extent in comparison to cations. Following the same trend observed for cations, more hydrophobic anions tend to exert a higher toxic effect than those considered 49 biocompatible and highly hydrophilic, such as chloride^{20,21}. Considering these findings, we hypothesize that introducing a new cationic moiety in the imidazolium cation can reduce the toxicity of ILs due to an 51 increase in polarity of the IL structure. Recently, Steudte et al.²², investigated the toxicity of pyridinium and imidazolium-based dicationic ILs. Dicationic imidazolium-based ILs were found to have considerably lower toxicity in comparison to analogous monocationic ILs, which supports our hypothesis. Furthermore, organic moieties such as amino acids and ascorbic acid are also considered as a strategy to design 55 biocompatible $ILs²³$.

56 Antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity of monocationic imidazolium-based ILs have been investigated^{1,15,24–} 2^{28} . The introduction of longer alkyl chains on the imidazolium cation generally results in potent activity, 58 which consequently lowers the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) against microorganisms^{1,27}. Luczak

RSC Advances Page 4 of 18

et al. investigated the role of cation and anions on the IL antimicrobial activity²⁷. They observed that the higher hydrophobicity of both moieties played a key role in increasing antimicrobial effectiveness, but the anion influence was relatively smaller as observed in the cytotoxic experiment. The antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity of ILs are directly related, as observed for conventional surfactants and cationic antimicrobial peptides. The antimicrobial mechanism of action of these compounds is the targeting of cell 64 membranes, which can compromise both microbial and human cells²⁹. For example, cationic antimicrobial peptides are known to exert a more specific toxic effect against gram-negative bacterial strains. However, 66 cell necrosis is also observed in treatments with these compounds, due to their intrinsic cytotoxicity²⁹. 67 Generally, in a homologous series of ILs, more hydrophobic structures result both in lower MIC and IC $_{50}$ (dose to inhibit 50% of enzymatic activity) values. This can be considered a nonspecific toxic effect triggered by these compounds, in which cell toxicity may be associated to a side effect of antimicrobial 70 activity^{30,31}. Therefore, a current drawback in antimicrobial applications of ILs is that effectiveness against bacteria comes with the cost of toxicity to host cells, which restricts the biological applications of such compounds.

In this study, we have developed a series of biocompatible ILs with antimicrobial activity against clinically relevant bacteria for *in vivo* applications. ILs were designed with structural features such as dicationic moiety and organic anions, which were observed to have a reduced toxic effect. Two imidazolium-based cations with different alkyl chain length connecting imidazolium heads were investigated (n=8 and n=10) in order to study the differences in hydrophobicity provided by the cationic moiety. A monocationic IL, with analogous structure to the dicationic IL, was also evaluated to compare the structural effect on cytotoxicity. Anions amino acid- and ascorbate-based were selected, as well as bromide. Clinically relevant bacterial strains were selected to evaluate antimicrobial activity.

2. Results and Discussion

82 The IL compounds synthesized are liquid at a temperature of 25 °C. Structures were rationalized in terms of finding a balance between hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity. The structures of the designed compounds are illustrated in **Figure 1,** as well as the monocationic IL. The additional imidazolium head on the cationic moiety was proposed in an attempt to reduce toxicity, which has been previously reported 86 for imidazolium-based monocationic $\text{ILs}^{14,17,32}$. Antimicrobial activity against different groups of gram-positive and gram-negative clinically relevant bacterial strains in oral applications was also accessed for 88 dicationic based ILs and correlated with IC_{50} values.

89

90 **Figure 1.** Structure of investigated ILs.

91 **3.1. Cytotoxicity of dicationic imidazolium-based ILs**

92 Cytotoxicity screening of all ILs synthesized (Figure 1) was based on a 24 h toxicity assay using MC3T3- 93 E1 pre-osteoblast cells. IC₅₀ values were calculated using a dose-response model, which was obtained 94 from sigmoidal fitting of response curves of percent inhibition *versus* logarithmic concentration of IL using 95 Origin Software. Calculated IC₅₀ results are shown in Table 1 while the graphs are demonstrated in 96 **Figure 2** (**a**) and (**b**) for ILs **1**-**6, IL-13,** and **7**-**12**, respectively.

97 **Table 1. IC50 values of dicationic imidazolium-based ILs.**

	IC_{50} (mM)		IC_{50} (mM)
$IL-1$	24.6 ± 3.5	$IL-8$	3.1 ± 1.2
$IL-2$	3.6 ± 0.6	$IL-9$	8.5 ± 1.5
$IL-3$	8.3 ± 3.0	$IL-10$	12.3 ± 0.5
$IL-4$	12.5 ± 0.2	$IL-11$	12.9 ± 1.1
$IL-5$	25.7 ± 8.7	$IL-12$	13.9 ± 2.7
$IL-6$	24.2 ± 10.3	$IL-13$	1.51 ± 0.2
$IL - 7$	12.3 ± 0.1		

98 From non-linear fitting, r^2 values obtained were above 0.95. The relationship between chemical structure and toxicity was investigated. ILs from **IL-1** to **IL-7 and IL-13** had the alkyl chain of imidazolium dication with 8 carbons while ILs from **IL-8** to **IL-12** had the alkyl chain with 10 carbons. The comparison between IC50 values obtained for IL–**1** and IL–**13,** revealed that dicationic IL had expressive higher values than the analogous monocationic. This result supports our hypothesis that dicationic IL toxicity was reduced, in

RSC Advances Page 6 of 18

comparison to monocationic IL, due to an additional cationic head. This result implies that there is a possibility of using higher concentrations of ILs without triggering toxic effects against bone cells. Cation 105 hydrophobic effect has been previously discussed in the literature for monocationic ILs^{16,17,32}. Mclaughlin 106 et al.¹⁶ observed that the cytotoxicity of ILs was governed by alkyl chain length. It was found that the higher toxicity exerted by more hydrophobic ILs (with longer alkyl chains) is associated with an increase 108 in membrane permeability and change in the physical properties of the lipid bilayer¹⁶. We assume that, in dicationic IL, the alkyl chain is "trapped" between the two cationic imidazolium heads losing the ability to interact with the cell membrane, which reduce its toxic effect. However, the effect of hydrophobicity of the two different dicationic moieties investigated in this work on the toxicity of ILs was also observed. The compounds with cationic alkyl chain with 10 carbons (ILs **7**–**12**) showed an increase in toxicity compared with ILs with 8 carbons (ILs **1**–**6**)... The anion also played an important effect, ILs with more hydrophobic 114 anions such as phenylalanine-based (IL-3 and IL-9) had lower IC₅₀ values than those prepared with more hydrophilic anions such as bromide (IL-**1** and IL-**7**) and histidine-based (IL-**5** and IL-**11**). The anion 116 influence on IL cytotoxicity has been studied both theoretically and experimentally by Stolte *et al.* ²⁰ The authors observed an increased toxic effect of more hydrophobic anions and this feature was related to stronger interactions with cell membranes and hydrophobic protein domains, which may potentially 119 disrupt essential physiological functions²⁰. Moreover, results obtained in this present work corroborates 120 the trend observed for cholinum-based ILs synthesized with amino-acids as the anionic moiety²³, in which higher toxicity was correlated with hydrophobic features of amino-acid structures.

Figure 2. Dose-response curves of ILs with (**a**) 8 and (**b**) 10 carbons in the cation alkyl chain with different anionic moieties as illustrated in **Figure 1**.

The lowest IC50 values were found for ILs synthesized with ascorbate as anion (IL-**2** and IL-**8**). This was in fact an unexpected behavior given the hydrophilic nature of this anion. To better understand this result, cell cultures exposed to ascorbate-based ILs were further investigated by optical microscopy. Microscopy

Page 7 of 18 RSC Advances

revealed the formation of IL crystals in concentrations at and above 10 mM. An interesting finding was the affinity between those crystals with pre-osteoblast cells. In **Figure 3** (**a**) and (**b**), it is possible to verify crystals (red arrows) formed on cells surfaces (black arrows). We speculate that these IL crystals could 131 be triggering an additional toxic effect to the cells, reducing the IC_{50} . The affinity between 132 ascorbate/ascorbic acid and osteoblasts-like cells has been previously reported in the literature³³. Furthermore, the transport of polar anionic compounds across biological membranes was investigated by 134 Vincent et al..³⁴ They observed that this process may be facilitated when anions are paired with lipophilic ammonium cations, which works as a phase transfer. Therefore, we hypothesize that cationic moieties could be acting as a phase transfer, increasing the affinity of ascorbate anionic moiety with cells.

Figure 3. Adsorption of ascorbic acid based IL crystals (red arrows) on the surface of cells (black arrows) with magnification of (a) 40X and (b) 20X. -

3.2. Antimicrobial Evaluation

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of all ILs under study was evaluated. MICs were determined for two groups of bacterial strains. The first group (group 1) was comprised of *E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa and Staphylococcus epidermidis* (gram-positive), which are opportunistic pathogens associated with 144 infections on biomedical devices and responsible for up to 60% of all prosthetic infections since 1980 $35-38$. 145 These microorganisms have also been associated with oral diseases such as peri-implantitis³⁹⁻⁴¹. MIC values were determined and are given in **Table 2.** ILs were more effective towards *S. epidermidis* while a lower antimicrobial effect was observed for *E. faecalis*. Another interesting finding was the influence of structural features on antimicrobial activity. The two gram-positive organisms were more sensitive to differences in IL hydrophobicity than the gram-negative organism, as can be observed in **Table 2**. While MIC varied for *E. faecalis and S. epidermidis*, the results of *P. aeruginosa* were similar regardless of the different ILs used. This trend is in accordance with the previous findings reported in the literature and can be explained by the differences in cell envelope composition of gram-positive and gram-negative

- 153 microorganisms¹. The higher activity against gram-positive strains were observed for more hydrophobic
- 154 ILs, composed by cationic moiety with n=10 (**IL-9 IL-12**), as can be observed in **Table 2**.

155 **Table 2**. MIC (mM) for dicationic imidazolium-based ILs

156

The second group (group 2) tested was comprised of gram-positive oral streptococcal species *(Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus salivarius*, *Streptococcus sanguinis, Streptococcus gordonii*, and *Streptococcus uberis*). MIC results are summarized in **Table 3**. Streptococci are classified as cariogenic bacteria and produce acid metabolites, which decreases pH and leads to tooth surface 161 demineralization⁴². Lowered pH is also associated with surface damage of dental implants in which active 162 dissolution of metal ions *in vivo* can be triggered, ultimately leading to implant failure⁴³. These bacterial 163 strains are additionally associated with oral diseases such as root canal and peri-implantitis⁴². In general, higher antimicrobial activity of ILs with 10 methylene groups in the cationic alkyl chain length (ILs **7**-**12**) was observed. As mentioned above, gram-positive strains are more sensitive to a difference in hydrophobicity of ILs, which can explain this trend. **IL**-**1** and **IL-2** were observed to be less effective against those microorganisms, which can be related to a higher hydrophilicity of anionic moiety of these compounds. Unlike the results observed with cells, the toxic effect of ILs with ascorbic acid as anionic moiety (IL-**2** and IL-**8**) was not observed, which supports our hypothesis of increase in the affinity between those ILs with osteoblast-like cells.

171 **Table 3**. MIC (mM) for dicationic imidazolium-based ILs

172 In order to evaluate the correlation between MIC and IC₅₀, these results were plotted in Figure 4 (a) and **(b)** for both groups of bacteria investigated. Interestingly, a conflict between cytotoxicity and antimicrobial activity does not occur for some ILs, mainly when considering oral bacteria. When the red line 175 (corresponding to IC_{50} values) is above MIC bars, the IL can be considered a strong candidate for biological applications. This means that in contact with both bacteria and host cells, the IL is able to limit bacterial growth but not host cell proliferation.

178 **Figure 4.** Comparison between MIC and IC₅₀ results of ILs for (a) group 1 and (b) group 2.

To further investigate the antimicrobial effects of ILs, bacteria growth rate (GR) experiments were performed to investigate ILs inhibitory effect in sub-MIC concentrations. This was important because the MIC measurements gave only an endpoint (24 hour) view of bacterial growth effects. Bacterial growth was monitored over a 24 hour period using a 96-well spectrophotometer. Due to technical limitations in generating a microaerophilic atmosphere, only bacteria from testing group 1 were evaluated. We chose a gram-positive and gram-negative that ILs were effective against (*P. aeruginosa* and *S. epidermidis*, respectively). As expected, no growth was observed for cultures with MIC IL concentrations. *P. aeruginosa* showed a decreased growth rate at sub-MIC concentrations of **IL-1** – **IL-6** and **IL-7** – **IL-12** as shown in Figure **5** (**a**) and (**b**), respectively. Similar results were observed for *S. epidermidis*, in which

bacterial proliferation was decreased in the presence of **IL-7** – **IL-12** (**Figure 5** (**d**)). However for IL-**1** – IL-**6** in sub-MIC concentration was observed bacterial growth similar to positive control, indicating loss of ILs antimicrobial activity under those conditions. These observations indicate that even in sub-MIC concentrations for some ILs, inhibition of bacterial growth occurs. This further points towards a potential

use of these compounds as antibacterial materials.

Figure 5. Growth rate experiments for *P. aeruginosa* (**a**) IL-**1** – IL-**6**, (**b**) IL-**7** – IL-**12** and *S. epidermidis* (**c**) IL-**1** – IL-**6**, (**d**) IL-**7** – IL-**12**.

High efficiency of ILs against clinically relevant bacteria and low toxicity of tested ILs emerges as a powerful strategy for applications in the biomedical field. Although studies involving cytotoxicity and 197 antimicrobial activity of ILs have been widely described, there are only a few reports exploring these 198 features of dicationic imidazolium-based $IL^{22,25}$. Also, this is the first study involving antimicrobial activity of ILs against oral bacteria. Hence, ILs designed in this work, which demonstrated high biocompatibility and antimicrobial activity have potential application in this field. Investigation of such strains provided a better idea about how these materials may work to protect the oral environment.

3. Experimental

3.1. Materials

The chemicals used were received as follow: 1,8-Dibromooctane (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA); L-Phenylalanine and L-Leucine (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA); L-Ascorbic Acid (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA); 1-methylimidazole, 1,10-Dibromodecane, L-Histidine, L-Methionine, AMBERLITE IRN-78 OH and ethyl ether (Acros Organics, NJ, USA); acetonitrile and ethanol (Fisher Science, Waltham, MA, USA). All chemical products were of high-grade purity and were used without additional purification.

3.2. Synthesis and Characterization

Fifty mmol of 1-methylimidazole and acetonitrile (50 mL) were added to a flask connected to a reflux condenser under inert atmosphere and stirred for 2 minutes. Then, 25 mmol of dibromide alkyl were slowly added for synthesis of ILs **1** and **7 (Figure 1)**. The reaction mixture was maintained at 70 °C for 72 h. Finally, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, washed with diethyl ether, and the 215 mixture was dried under vacuum (4 mbar, 50 °C, 48 h) to obtain a product with high purity. To synthesize the monocationic IL (IL-13), the same procedure was used with an equimolar (10 mM) ratio for 1- 217 methylimidazole and 1-bromooctane, according to the literature⁷.

ILs **2**-**6** and **8**-**12 (Figure 1)** were synthesized according to the procedure proposed by Fukumoto et. al 219 performed with slight modifications⁴⁴. 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane hydroxide and 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane hydroxyde were prepared from 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane bromide and 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane bromide ethanolic solutions, respectively using anion exchange resin. ILs **2**-**6** and **8**-**12** (**Figure 1**) were prepared by adding dropwise 1,8-bis(3- methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane hydroxide or 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane dihydroxyde ethanolic to a slight excess equimolar ascorbic-acid or amino-acid ethanolic solution. The mixture was 225 then stirred at 25°C for 12h. Then solvent was evaporated at 70 °C under vacuum. Nine mL of acetonitrile and 1 mL of methanol were added to the reaction mixture under vigorous stirring. The mixture was then filtered to remove excess amino acid or ascorbic acid. The filtrate was subsequently evaporated 228 to remove solvents and the product was dried in vacuum for 48h at 70 °C. The structures of the resulting 229 ILs were confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (500 MHz Bruker spectrometer, Billerica, MA) and mass spectrometry (Shimadzu, Kyoto, KYT)**.** The NMR spectrums are available in the electronic supporting information (ESI). The thermal characterization was performed using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) .

1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane dibromide (**IL**-**1**): C16H28Br2N4, MW: 436.23 g/mol; From 8.2g (50 mmol) of 1H-methylimidazole, and 13.6g (100 mmol) of 1,8-dibromooctane, 21.1g of IL-1 was obtained 235 (Yield : 97%); Tg: -37.91 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.42 (s, 2H), 7.91 (s, 2H), 7.81 (s, 2H), 4.21 236 (t, 4H), 3.89 (s, 6H), 1.78 (qui, 4H), 1.25 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 136.5 (2 C), 123.5 (2 C), 122.2 (2 C), 48.7 (2 C), 35.7 (2 C), 29.3 (2 C), 28.1 (2 C), 25.3 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 79.332 (Anion).

239 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane diascorbate (**IL-2**): C₂₈H₄₄N₄O₁₂, MW: 628.676 g/mol; From 4.3g (10 mmol) of IL-1, and 3.5g (20 mmol) of L-ascorbic acid, 4.4g of IL-2 was obtained (Yield : 71%); Tg:- 241 26.65°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.21 (s, 2H), 7.77 (s, 2H), 7.70 (s, 2H), 4.16 (t, 4H), 4.07 (d, 2H, 242 ascorbate), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.45 (m, 6H, ascobate), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.27 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 172.99 (2 C, ascorbate), 136.43 (2 C), 123.45 (2 C), 122.08 (2 C), 113.01 (2C, ascorbate) 79.19 (2C, ascrobate), 71.90 (2C ascorbate), 63.81 (2C, ascorbate), 48.52 (2 C), 35.58 (2 C), 29.21 (2 C), 28.01 (2 C), 25.26 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 175.059 (Anion).

246 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane diphenylalanine (IL-3): $C_{36}H_{52}N_6O_4$, MW: 632.850 g/mol; From 4.3g (10 mmol) of IL-1, and 3.3g (20 mmol) of L-phenylalanine, 5.0g of IL-3 was obtained (Yield : 82%); 248 Tg: -25.18°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.67 (s, 2H), 7.78 (s, 2H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 7.21 ((m, 8H, phenylalanine), 7.13 (t, 2H, phenylalanine) 4.16 (t, 4H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.07 (d, 2H, phenylalanine), 3.01 (d, 250 2H, phenylalanine), 2.46 (t, 2H, phenylalanine), 1.76 (qui, 4H), 1.25 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.48 (2 C, phenylalanine), 140.91 (2C, phenylalanine), 136.97 (2 C), 128.95 (2C, phenylalanine), 127.51 (4C, phenylalanine), 125.05 (2C, phenylalanine), 123.24 (2 C), 121.90 (2 C), 57.71 (2C, phenylalanine), 48.32 (2 C), 42.11 (2C, phenylalanine), 35.31 (2 C), 29.09 (2 C), 27.82 (2C), 25.08 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 164.210 (Anion).

1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane dileucine (**IL**-**4**): C28H52N6O4, MW: 536.762 g/mol; From 4.3g (10 256 mmol) of IL-1, and 2.6g (20 mmol) of L-leucine, 4.2g of IL-4 was obtained (Yield: 78%); Tg: -40.07°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.73 (s, 2H), 7.82 (s, 2H), 7.74 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, 4H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 2.79 (t, 2H, leucine), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.70 (t, 2H, leucine), 1.41 (t, 2H leucine) 1.27 (m, 8H), 1.06 (m, 2H , leucine), 0.85 (d, 6H leucine) 0.81 (d, 6H, leucine) . ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 177.61 (2 C, leucine), 137.26 (2 C), 123.44 (2C), 122.12 (2C), 54.46 (2 C, leucine), 48.51 (2 C), 45.61 (2 C, leucine), 35.53 (2 C), 29.28 (2 C), 28.04 (2C), 25.29 (2 C), 24.58 (2 C, leucine), 23.65 (3 C, leucine), 21.83 (3 C, leucine). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 130.367 (Anion).

263 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane dihystidine (**IL-5**): C₂₈H₄₄N₁₀O₄, MW: 584.726 g/mol; From 4.3g (10 mmol) of IL-1, and 3.1g (20 mmol) of L-histidine, 4.7g of IL-5 was obtained (Yield: 81%); Tg: -21.11°C; 265 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.54 (s, 2H), 7.80 (s, 2H), 7.73 (s, 2H), 7.42 (s, 2H, hystidine), 6.64 (s, 2H, hystidine), 4.16 (t, 4H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.06 (d, 2H, hystidine), 2.88 (d, 2H, hystidine), 2.45 (d, 2H, 267 hystidine), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.23 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.36 (2 C, hystidine), 136.83 (2) 133.80 (2 C, hystidine), 123.30 (2 C), 121.97 (2 C), 56.14 (2 C, hystidine), 48.40 (2 C), 35.41 (2 C), 33.51 (2 C, hystidine), 29.09 (2C), 27.86 (2 C), 25.12 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 154.287(Anion).

Page 13 of 18 RSC Advances

271 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane dimethionine (IL-6): C₂₆H₄₈N₁₀O₄, MW: 600.882 g/mol; From 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-1, and 3.0g (20 mmol) of L-methionine, 4.3g of IL-6 was obtained (Yield: 75%); Tg: - 273 47.41°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.66 (s, 2H), 7.82 (s, 2H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 4.16 (t, 4H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 2.92 (d, 2H, methionine), 2.48 (m, 4H, methionine), 2.00 (s, 6H, methionine), 1.78 (m, 4H), 1.78 (t, 2H, 275 methionine), 1.51 (t, 2H), 1.27 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.56 (2 C, methionine), 137.19 (2C), 123.34 (2 C), 122.05 (2 C), 55.29 (2 C, methionine), 48.39 (2C), 35.86 (2 C, methionine), 35.42 (2 C), 30.78 (2 C, methionine), 29.18 (2 C), 2.92 (2C), 25.18 (2 C), 14.54 (2 C, methionine). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 276.081 Cation), 148.271 (Anion).

279 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl)decane dibromide (**IL – 7)** C18H32Br2N4, MW:464,28g/mol; From 8.2g 280 (50 mmol) of 1H-methylimidazole, and 15.0g (100 mmol) of 1,10-dibromoodecane, 22.3g of IL-7 was 281 obtained (Yield : 96%); MP:130,77°C or Tg: -21,21 °C;¹H NMR(500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.26 (s, 2H), 7.83 (s, 282 2H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, 4H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 1.78 (m, 4H), 1.25 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 283 136.3 (2 CH), 123.4 (2 CH), 122.1 (2 CH), 48.7 (2 CH₂), 35.6 (2 CH₃), 29.2 (2 CH₂), 28.5 (2 CH₂), 28.1 (2 284 CH₂), 25.3 (2 CH₂). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 79.350 (Anion).

285 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane diascorbate (IL-8): C₂₈H₄₄N₄O₁₂, MW: 654.714 g/mol; From 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 3.5g (20 mmol) of L-ascorbic acid, 4.9g of IL-8 was obtained (Yield : 75%); 1987 Tg: -60.13 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.14 (s, 2H), 7.76 (s, 2H), 7.69 (s, 2H), 4.22 (d, 2H, 288 ascorbate), 4.14 (t, 4H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.43 (m, 6H, ascobate), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.25 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 172.45 (2 C, ascorbate), 136.47 (2 C), 123.55 (2 C), 122.18 (2 C), 114.48 (2C, ascorbate) 77.70 (2C, ascrobate), 70.76 (2C ascorbate), 63.23 (2C, ascorbate), 48.72 (2 C), 35.58 (2 C), 29.35 (2 C), 28.70 (2C), 28.32 (2 C), 25.46 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 175.279 (Anion).

1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane diphenylalanine (**IL**-**9**): C36H52N6O4, MW: 632.850 g/mol; From 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 3.3g (20 mmol) of L-phenylalanine, 4.7g of IL-9 was obtained (Yield: 74%); 295 Tg: -48.25°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.49 (s, 2H), 7.78 (s, 2H), 7.72 (s, 2H), 7.19 ((m, 8H, phenylalanine), 7.12 (t, 2H, phenylalanine) 4.15 (t, 4H), 3.85 (s, 6H), 3.02 (d, 4H, phenylalanine), 2.41 (t, 297 2H, phenylalanine), 1.76 (qui, 4H), 1.23 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.32 (2 C, phenylalanine), 141.40 (2C, phenylalanine), 137.07 (2 C), 129.12 (2C, phenylalanine), 127.68 (4C, phenylalanine), 125.16 (2C, phenylalanine), 123.44 (2 C), 122.12 (2 C), 57.98 (2C, phenylalanine), 48.57 (2 C), 42.58 (2C, phenylalanine), 35.55 (2 C), 29.34 (2 C), 28.63 (2C), 28.26 (2 C), 25.41 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 164.184 (Anion).

302 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane dileucine (IL-10): C₃₀H₅₆N₆O₄, MW: 564.82 g/mol; From 4.6g 303 (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 2.6g (20 mmol) of L-leucine, 4.3g of IL-10 was obtained (Yield: 78%); Tg: -33.92°C; 304 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.87 (s, 2H), 7.84 (s, 2H), 7.77 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, 4H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 2.78 (t, 305 2H, leucine), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.70 (t, 2H, leucine), 1.41 (t, 2H leucine) 1.24 (m, 12H), 1.05 (m, 2H , leucine), 0.83 (d, 6H leucine) 0.80 (d, 6H, leucine) . ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 179.72 (2 C, leucine), 137.32 (2 C), 123.25 (2C), 121.96 (2C), 54.39 (2 C, leucine), 48.34 (2 C), 45.85 (2 C, leucine), 35.30 (2 C), 29.23 (2 C), 28.47 (2C) 28.10 (2C), 25.25 (2 C), 24.41 (2 C, leucine), 23.48 (3 C, leucine), 21.63 (3 C, leucine). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 130.367 (Anion).

1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane dihystidine (**IL**-**11**): C30H48N10O4, MW: 612.780 g/mol; From 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 3.1g (20 mmol) of L-histidine, 4.4g of IL-11 was obtained (Yield: 72%); Tg: - 312 39.33°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.55 (s, 2H), 7.81 (s, 2H), 7.73 (s, 2H), 7.42 (s, 2H, hystidine), 6.64 (s, 2H, hystidine), 4.16 (t, 4H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.06 (d, 2H, hystidine), 2.88 (d, 2H, hystidine), 2.46 (d, 314 2H, hystidine), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.23 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 177.08 (2 C, hystidine), 137.02 (2) 134.08 (2 C, hystidine), 123.46 (2 C), 122.14 (2 C), 56.53 (2 C, hystidine), 48.58 (2 C), 35.55 (2 C), 33.15 (2 C, hystidine), 29.34 (2 C), 28.60 (2C), 28.24 (2 C), 25.39 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 154.287(Anion).

1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane dimethionine (**IL**-**12**): C28H52N6O4S2, MW: 600.882 g/mol; From 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 3.0g (20 mmol) of L-methionine, 4.8g of IL-12 was obtained (Yield: 80%); Tg: 320 -56.42°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.91 (s, 2H), 7.87 (s, 2H), 7.80 (s, 2H), 4.20 (t, 4H), 3.90 (s, 6H), 2.87 (d, 2H, methionine), 2.48 (m, 4H, methionine), 2.00 (s, 6H, methionine), 1.79 (m, 4H), 1.79 (t, 2H, 322 methionine), 1.47 (t, 2H), 1.24 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.21 (2 C, methionine), 137.05 (2C), 123.36 (2 C), 122.04 (2 C), 55.18 (2 C, methionine), 48.49 (2C), 35.57 (2 C, methionine), 35.46 (2 C), 30.72 (2 C, methionine), 29.27 (2 C), 28.56 (2C), 28.19 (2 C), 25.34 (2 C), 14.52 (2 C, methionine). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 148.236 (Anion).

326 1-Octyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide (IL-13): C₁₂H₂₃BrN_{2,} MW: 275,23 g/mol; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.25 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 4.19 (t, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 1.79 (m, 2H), 1.26 (m, 10H). 0.87 (t, 328 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 136.46 (1C), 123.54 (1C), 122.22 (1C), 48.71 (1C), 35.74 (1 C) 31.13 (1 C), 29.37 (1C), 28.45 (1C), 28.30 (1C), 25.46 (1 C), 22.02 (1 C), 13.91 (1 C).

3.3. Cytotoxicity evaluation

Cytotoxicity was evaluated *in-vitro* using osteoblast cell culture (mouse pre-osteoblast cell line MC3T3- E1). Cells were cultured according to standard procedures (culture in alpha minimum essential media 334 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum) and incubated at 37° C in a humidified atmosphere. Osteoblasts were seeded at a density of 10,000 cells per well in 96-well microtiter plates. After 24 hours of incubation, medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing IL dilutions at the 337 concentration range of 10 8 M to 10 1 M. After 24 hours, the wells were washed with PBS, then 100 µL of media and 10 µL of 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) reagent were

Page 15 of 18 RSC Advances

339 added to each microtiter plate well and cells returned to incubation for 4 h. After this period, 100 µl of detergent solution was added to each well and the plate was incubated overnight. Absorption was measured at 570 nm with a Spectrophotometer (Biotek, Winooski, VT). Percentage cell viability was 342 calculated relative to untreated control wells at each time point after subtraction of the blank value¹⁸. The microscopy images was performed using an Olympus IX83 Microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Tokyo, JA). **3.4. Antimicrobial Activity**

Enterococcus faecalis V583 (gram-positive), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (gram-positive), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 (gram-negative) and gram-positive human oral strains *Streptococcus mutans* UA159, *Streptococcus salivarius* 13419, *Streptococcus sanguinis* 10556, *Streptococcus gordonii* DL1.1 and *Streptococcus uberis* 13419 were used to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of synthesized ILs. Two-fold serial dilutions of each IL were made in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth (100 µl) in a 96-well microtiter plate over the range of 350-0.6 mM. Overnight cultures of each bacterial strain in BHI were diluted to an 351 optical density at 600 nm (OD $_{600nm}$) of 0.01. Five µl of diluted culture was used to inoculate the wells of the 352 96-well IL testing plate, and the plate was incubated for 24 hours at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Oral streptococcal strains were incubated in a microaerophilic environment (BD GasPak EZ Campy Container System) per the 354 manufacturer's recommendations for 24 hours at 37 °C. Positive (inoculated BHI with no IL) and negative (uninoculated BHI broth) growth controls were included in each assay. Four replicates were performed for each IL sample and twelve replicates were used for positive and negative controls. The lowest concentration of IL for which no bacterial turbidity (growth) was visible was recorded as the MIC.

3.5. Bacterial Growth Rate

Growth rates were determined by the broth microdilution method in a 96-well microtiter plate with BHI broth and ILs. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (gram-positive) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 (gram-negative) were exposed to MIC and sub-MIC IL concentrations. Three replicates were performed for each IL concentration and twelve replicates were used for positive and negative controls. Culture conditions 363 and bacterial inocula were identical to those used for MIC experiments. OD $_{600nm}$ readings were taken for 24 hours using an automated plate reader (Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA). Results were averaged and plotted against time using Origin Software (OriginLab Corporation, USA). IL-treated samples were compared with positive controls to evaluate bacterial growth inhibition.

4. CONCLUSION

A series of new biocompatible and antimicrobial dicationic imidazolium-based ILs was developed. New 369 compounds were synthesized and characterized through ${}^{1}H$ NMR, ${}^{13}C$ NMR, mass spectrometry and 370 thermal analysis. Toxicity was investigated and IC_{50} was determined for all ILs. In general, association of cations and anions with hydrophobic characteristics triggered higher toxicity toward osteoblast-like cells.

RSC Advances Page 16 of 18

ILs with ascorbic-acid as anionic moiety were the only exception due to the crystallization of these compounds in cell medium. Interaction between these ILs and osteoblast cells will be further investigated in future studies. Antimicrobial activity was also examined and oral streptococci were sensitive to ILs. In general, emergence of cation and anion hydrophobicity triggered a higher antimicrobial activity. Conflict between cytotoxicity and antimicrobial activity was not observed for most of the ILs, particularly considering oral bacteria. These results point to a potential use of investigated ILs in applications including biocompatible materials with antimicrobial activity. Future work will evaluate the efficacy of ILs in animal models of infection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the University of Texas at Dallas (UTD) for providing financial support for this study (startup funds DCR), fellowships from Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (IMG), CNPq (MAPM) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Cientifíco e Tecnológico (CNPq) (Universal/Proc. 471519/2009, Universal/Proc 475556/2012-7) (MAPM and CPF). We also acknowledge the National Science Foundation, NSF-MRI grant (CHE-1126177) used to acquire the Bruker Advance III 500 NMR equipment, Dr. Mihaela Stefan and Dr. Hien Nguyen from Chemistry Department of UTD.

REFERENCES

- 1. L. Carson, P. K. W. Chau, M. J. Earle, M. a. Gilea, B. F. Gilmore, S. P. Gorman, M. T. McCann, and K. R. Seddon, *Green Chem.*, 2009, **11**, 492.
- 2. M. A P. Martins, C. P. Frizzo, D. N. Moreira, N. Zanatta, and H. G. Bonacorso, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2015–50.
- 393 3. C. P. Frizzo, M. R. B. Marzari, C. R. Bender, I. M. Gindri, J. Trindade, L. Buriol, G. S. Caleffi, H. G.
394 Bonacorso, N. Zanata, and M. a. P. Martins, Monatshefte für Chemie Chem. Mon., 2014, 145. Bonacorso, N. Zanata, and M. a. P. Martins, *Monatshefte für Chemie - Chem. Mon.*, 2014, **145**, 797–801.
- 4. G. C. Paveglio, K. Longhi, D. N. Moreira, T. S. Mu, A. Z. Tier, I. M. Gindri, C. R. Bender, C. P. Frizzo, N. Zanatta, H. G. Bonacorso, and M. A. P. Martins, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2014, **2**, 1895-1901.
- 5. S. Dreyer and U. Kragl, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2008, **99**, 1416–24.
- 6. C. P. Frizzo, I. M. Gindri, A. Z. Tier, L. Buriol, D. N. Moreira, and M. A. P. Martins, in Pharmaceutical Solids: Solids to Liquids using Ionic Liquid Design, ed. Jun-ichi Kadokawa, Intech, Rijeka, Croatia, 1sr ed., 2013, vol. 1, ch. 21, pp. 557-579.
- 7. P. Wasserscheid and W. Keim, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2000, **39**, 3772–3789.
- 8. G. N. Sheldrake and D. Schleck, *Green Chem.*, 2007, **9**, 1044.

Page 17 of 18 RSC Advances

- 9. Q. Q. Baltazar, J. Chandawalla, K. Sawyer, and J. L. Anderson, *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, 2007, **302**, 150–156.
- 10. Z. Ding and A. Hao, *J. Dispers. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, **31**, 338–342.
- 11. G. Yu, S. Yan, F. Zhou, X. Liu, W. Liu, and Y. Liang, *Tribol. Lett.*, 2006, **25**, 197–205.
- 12. F. Pagano, S. Stolte, J. Thöming, O. Areitioaurtena, P. Stepnowski, S. Steudte, and A. Igartua, *Chemosphere*, 2012, **89**, 1135–1141.
- 411 13. I. M. Gindri, C. P. Frizzo, C. R. Bender, A. Z. Tier, M. a P. Martins, M. a Villetti, G. Machado, L. C.
412 Rodriguez, and D. C. Rodrigues, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 11536–43. Rodriguez, and D. C. Rodrigues, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 11536–43.
- 14. A Romero, A Santos, J. Tojo, and A Rodríguez, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2008, **151**, 268–273.
- 15. R. G. Gore, L. Myles, M. Spulak, I. Beadham, T. M. Garcia, S. J. Connon, and N. Gathergood, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 2747.
- 16. M. McLaughlin, M. J. Earle, M. A. Gîlea, B. F. Gilmore, S. P. Gorman, and K. R. Seddon, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 2794.
- 17. K. Radošević, M. Cvjetko, N. Kopjar, R. Novak, J. Dumić, and V. G. Srček, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2013, **92**, 112–8.
- 18. M. Cvjetko, K. Radošević, A. Tomica, I. Slivac, J. Vorkapić-Furač, and V. G. Srček, *Arh. Hig. Rada Toksikol.*, 2012, **63**, 15–20.
- 19. J. Ranke, A. Müller, U. Bottin-Weber, F. Stock, S. Stolte, J. Arning, R. Störmann, and B. Jastorff, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2007, **67**, 430–8.
- 20. S. Stolte, J. Arning, U. Bottin-Weber, M. Matzke, F. Stock, K. Thiele, M. Uerdingen, U. Welz-Biermann, B. Jastorff, and J. Ranke, *Green Chem.*, 2006, **8**, 621.
- 21. M. T. Garcia, P. J. Scammells, and N. Gathergood, *Green Chem.*, 2005, **7**, 9.
- 22. S. Steudte, S. Bemowsky, M. Mahrova, U. Bottin-Weber, E. Tojo-Suarez, P. Stepnowski, and S. Stolte, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 5198.
- 23. X.-D. Hou, Q.-P. Liu, T. J. Smith, N. Li, and M.-H. Zong, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e59145.
- 24. M. T. Garcia, I. Ribosa, L. Perez, A. Manresa, and F. Comelles, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 2536–45.
- 25. M. Messali, Z. Moussa, A. Y. Alzahrani, M. Y. El-Naggar, A. S. ElDouhaibi, Z. M. a Judeh, and B. Hammouti, *Chemosphere*, 2013, **91**, 1627–34.
- 26. S. Y. Choi, H. Rodríguez, A. Mirjafari, D. F. Gilpin, S. McGrath, K. R. Malcolm, M. M. Tunney, R. D. Rogers, and T. McNally, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 1527.
- 27. J. Łuczak, C. Jungnickel, I. Łącka, S. Stolte, and J. Hupka, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 593.
- 28. K. M. Docherty and C. F. Kulpa, Jr., *Green Chem.*, 2005, **7**, 185.
- 29. G. Laverty and B. Gilmore, *SOJ Microbiol Infect Dis.,* 2014, **2**, 1-8.
- 30. K. S. Egorova and V. P. Ananikov, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, **7**, 336–60.
- 31. D. Q. McNerny, P. R. Leroueil, and J. R. Baker, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2010, **2**, 249–59.
- 32. A. García-Lorenzo, E. Tojo, J. Tojo, M. Teijeira, F. J. Rodríguez-Berrocal, M. P. González, and V. S. Martínez-Zorzano, *Green Chem.*, 2008, **10**, 508.
- 33. E. Schweinzer and H. Goldenberg, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1992, **206**, 807–12.
- 34. S. P. Vincent, J.-M. Lehn, J. Lazarte, and C. Nicolau, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2002, **10**, 2825–34.
- 35. E. M. Hetrick and M. H. Schoenfisch, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 780–9.
- 36. a Simchi, E. Tamjid, F. Pishbin, and a R. Boccaccini, *Nanomedicine*, 2011, **7**, 22–39.
- 37. S. Svensson, F. Suska, L. Emanuelsson, A. Palmquist, B. Norlindh, M. Trobos, H. Bäckros, L. Persson, G. Rydja, M. Ohrlander, B. Lyvén, J. Lausmaa, and P. Thomsen, *Nanomedicine*, 2013, **9**, 1048–56.
- 38. L. Pulido, E. Ghanem, A. Joshi, J. J. Purtill, and J. Parvizi, *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.*, 2008, **466**, 1710–5.
- 39. G. Dahlén, S. Blomqvist, A. Almståhl, and A. Carlén, *J. Oral Microbiol.*, 2012, **4**, 1–7.
- 40. M. Albertini, L. López-Cerero, M. G. O'Sullivan, C. F. Chereguini, S. Ballesta, V. Ríos, M. Herrero-Climent, and P. Bullón, *Clin. Oral Implants Res.*, 2014, 1–5.
- 41. M. Kazemzadeh-Narbat, S. Noordin, B. a Masri, D. S. Garbuz, C. P. Duncan, R. E. W. Hancock, and R. Wang, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B. Appl. Biomater.*, 2012, **100**, 1344–52.
- 42. D. Nováková, P. Svec, M. Kukletová, L. Záčková, and I. Sedláček, *Folia Microbiol. (Praha).*, 2013, **58**, 649–56.
- 43. D. Rodrigues, P. Valderrama, T. Wilson, K. Palmer, A. Thomas, S. Sridhar, A. Adapalli, M. Burbano, and C. Wadhwani, *Materials (Basel).*, 2013, **6**, 5258–5274.
- 44. K. Fukumoto, M. Yoshizawa, and H. Ohno, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 2005, 2398–2399.