

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Dicationic imidazolium-based ILs: a potent strategy for applications requiring non-toxic materials with antimicrobial activity.



254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)





14

15 ABSTRACT

16 New dicationic imdazolium-based ionic liquids (ILs) were synthesized, characterized and tested in regards to biocompatibility and antimicrobial activity. Insertion of a new cationic head and use of organic 17 anions increased the biocompatibility of the ILs developed. IC₅₀ (concentration necessary to inhibit 50% of 18 19 enzymatic activity) values obtained were considerably higher than those described for monocationic ILs, 20 which indicates an improvement on biocompatibility. Antimicrobial activity against bacterial species of 21 clinical relevance in wounds and the oral environment was tested. The results showed that ILs were 22 effective in inhibiting bacterial growth even below the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). It was 23 observed that structural features that confer higher hydrophobicity to ILs decreased both the IC₅₀ and MIC 24 simultaneously. However, it was possible to establish an equilibrium between those two effects, which

- 25 gives the safe range of concentrations that ILs can be employed. The results demonstrated that the
- dicationic-imidazolium-based ILs synthesized may constitute a potent strategy for applications requiring
- 27 non-toxic materials exhibiting antimicrobial activity.
- 28 Keywords: Ionic Liquids, imidazolium, non-toxic, antimicrobial, materials.

29 1. Introduction

30 Ionic liquids (ILs) are a class of low temperature molten salts, comprised of an amphiphilic cationic molety and a weakly coordinated anion¹. Even though being described almost a century ago, ILs have recently 31 attracted interest in a assorted array of applications, ranging from synthetic processes in chemistry²⁻⁴, to 32 a number of biological processes⁵, and utilization as active pharmaceutical ingredients (API)⁶. The most 33 34 attractive property of ILs is the flexibility or 'tunability' in the design of physical, chemical and biological 35 properties by changing the structure of cation and anion⁷. Such possibilities have driven phenomenal 36 interest on ILs synthesis. Commonly studied ILs are comprised of bulky, N-containing organic cations 37 (e.g., imidazole and pyridine) in combination with anions, ranging from simple inorganic ions (e.g., 38 halides) to more complex organic species (e.g., sugars and amino acids). Imidazolium-based ILs are 39 among the most studied classes of ILs and recently, dicationic imidazolium-based ILs have emerged as a new option for applications, for instance, uses as solvents⁸, surfactant^{9,10}, lubricant^{11,12}, and for 40 nanoparticles coating¹³. Although ILs have been proposed as new "green strategy", problems associated 41 with cytotoxicity and environmental contamination have been reported^{12,14,15}. 42

43 The cytotoxicity is the property of a compound trigger a toxic effect against human cells, and this effect has been broadly reported for monocationic ILs. For a homologous series, cationic alkyl chain length is 44 the main factor associated with toxic effects^{16–19}. Increase in alkyl chain length is related to an increase in 45 hydrophobicity and consequently cell damage¹⁶. Anionic moieties have been discussed to also play an 46 47 important role in toxicity, however to a lesser extent in comparison to cations. Following the same trend 48 observed for cations, more hydrophobic anions tend to exert a higher toxic effect than those considered biocompatible and highly hydrophilic, such as chloride^{20,21}. Considering these findings, we hypothesize 49 50 that introducing a new cationic moiety in the imidazolium cation can reduce the toxicity of ILs due to an increase in polarity of the IL structure. Recently, Steudte et al.²², investigated the toxicity of pyridinium 51 52 and imidazolium-based dicationic ILs. Dicationic imidazolium-based ILs were found to have considerably 53 lower toxicity in comparison to analogous monocationic ILs, which supports our hypothesis. Furthermore, 54 organic moleties such as amino acids and ascorbic acid are also considered as a strategy to design biocompatible ILs²³. 55

Antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity of monocationic imidazolium-based ILs have been investigated^{1,15,24–} ²⁸. The introduction of longer alkyl chains on the imidazolium cation generally results in potent activity, which consequently lowers the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) against microorganisms^{1,27}. Luczak

et al. investigated the role of cation and anions on the IL antimicrobial activity²⁷. They observed that the 59 60 higher hydrophobicity of both moieties played a key role in increasing antimicrobial effectiveness, but the 61 anion influence was relatively smaller as observed in the cytotoxic experiment. The antimicrobial activity 62 and cytotoxicity of ILs are directly related, as observed for conventional surfactants and cationic antimicrobial peptides. The antimicrobial mechanism of action of these compounds is the targeting of cell 63 membranes, which can compromise both microbial and human cells²⁹. For example, cationic antimicrobial 64 peptides are known to exert a more specific toxic effect against gram-negative bacterial strains. However, 65 cell necrosis is also observed in treatments with these compounds, due to their intrinsic cytotoxicity²⁹. 66 Generally, in a homologous series of ILs, more hydrophobic structures result both in lower MIC and IC₅₀ 67 (dose to inhibit 50% of enzymatic activity) values. This can be considered a nonspecific toxic effect 68 69 triggered by these compounds, in which cell toxicity may be associated to a side effect of antimicrobial activitv^{30,31}. Therefore, a current drawback in antimicrobial applications of ILs is that effectiveness against 70 71 bacteria comes with the cost of toxicity to host cells, which restricts the biological applications of such 72 compounds.

73 In this study, we have developed a series of biocompatible ILs with antimicrobial activity against clinically 74 relevant bacteria for in vivo applications. ILs were designed with structural features such as dicationic 75 molety and organic anions, which were observed to have a reduced toxic effect. Two imidazolium-based 76 cations with different alkyl chain length connecting imidazolium heads were investigated (n=8 and n=10) 77 in order to study the differences in hydrophobicity provided by the cationic moiety. A monocationic IL, with 78 analogous structure to the dicationic IL, was also evaluated to compare the structural effect on 79 cytotoxicity. Anions amino acid- and ascorbate-based were selected, as well as bromide. Clinically 80 relevant bacterial strains were selected to evaluate antimicrobial activity.

81 2. Results and Discussion

The IL compounds synthesized are liquid at a temperature of 25 °C. Structures were rationalized in terms of finding a balance between hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity. The structures of the designed compounds are illustrated in **Figure 1**, as well as the monocationic IL. The additional imidazolium head on the cationic moiety was proposed in an attempt to reduce toxicity, which has been previously reported for imidazolium-based monocationic ILs^{14,17,32}. Antimicrobial activity against different groups of grampositive and gram-negative clinically relevant bacterial strains in oral applications was also accessed for dicationic based ILs and correlated with IC₅₀ values.

Dicationic ILs				
IL	$\underset{A^{\odot}}{\overset{H_{3}C}{\to}} \overset{-N \bigoplus N}{\underset{A^{\odot}}{\oplus}} \underset{A^{\odot}}{\overset{H_{3}}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{N \bigoplus N}{\underset{A^{\odot}}{\oplus}} \overset{-CH_{3}}{\overset{H_{3}}{\longrightarrow}}$	IL		
n = 8	A	n = 10		
IL - 1	Br⊖	IL - 7		
IL - 2	HO OH OH	IL - 8		
IL - 3	O NH₂ O [⊖]	IL - 9		
IL - 4	H ₃ C H ₃ C CH ₃ NH ₂ O [⊙]	IL - 10		
IL - 5		IL - 11		
IL - 6	H ₃ C [→] S → O NH ₂ O [☉]	IL – 12		
Monocationic IL				
IL - 13	H ₃ C-N	CH3		

89

90

Figure 1. Structure of investigated ILs.

91 3.1. Cytotoxicity of dicationic imidazolium-based ILs

92 Cytotoxicity screening of all ILs synthesized (Figure 1) was based on a 24 h toxicity assay using MC3T3-93 E1 pre-osteoblast cells. IC_{50} values were calculated using a dose-response model, which was obtained 94 from sigmoidal fitting of response curves of percent inhibition *versus* logarithmic concentration of IL using 95 Origin Software. Calculated IC_{50} results are shown in **Table 1** while the graphs are demonstrated in 96 **Figure 2 (a)** and **(b)** for ILs **1-6, IL-13,** and **7-12**, respectively.

97 Table 1. IC₅₀ values of dicationic imidazolium-based ILs.

IL	IC ₅₀ (mM)	IL	IC ₅₀ (mM)
IL-1	24.6 ± 3.5	IL-8	3.1 ± 1.2
IL-2	3.6 ± 0.6	IL-9	8.5 ± 1.5
IL-3	8.3 ± 3.0	IL-10	12.3 ± 0.5
IL-4	12.5 ± 0.2	IL-11	12.9 ± 1.1
IL-5	25.7 ± 8.7	IL-12	13.9 ± 2.7
IL-6	24.2 ± 10.3	IL-13	1.51 ± 0.2
IL-7	12.3 ± 0.1		

From non-linear fitting, r^2 values obtained were above 0.95. The relationship between chemical structure and toxicity was investigated. ILs from **IL-1** to **IL-7 and IL-13** had the alkyl chain of imidazolium dication with 8 carbons while ILs from **IL-8** to **IL-12** had the alkyl chain with 10 carbons. The comparison between IC₅₀ values obtained for IL–1 and IL–13, revealed that dicationic IL had expressive higher values than the analogous monocationic. This result supports our hypothesis that dicationic IL toxicity was reduced, in

103 comparison to monocationic IL, due to an additional cationic head. This result implies that there is a 104 possibility of using higher concentrations of ILs without triggering toxic effects against bone cells. Cation hydrophobic effect has been previously discussed in the literature for monocationic ILs^{16,17,32}. Mclaughlin 105 et al.¹⁶ observed that the cytotoxicity of ILs was governed by alkyl chain length. It was found that the 106 107 higher toxicity exerted by more hydrophobic ILs (with longer alkyl chains) is associated with an increase in membrane permeability and change in the physical properties of the lipid bilayer¹⁶. We assume that, in 108 dicationic IL, the alkyl chain is "trapped" between the two cationic imidazolium heads losing the ability to 109 110 interact with the cell membrane, which reduce its toxic effect. However, the effect of hydrophobicity of the 111 two different dicationic moleties investigated in this work on the toxicity of ILs was also observed. The 112 compounds with cationic alkyl chain with 10 carbons (ILs 7-12) showed an increase in toxicity compared 113 with ILs with 8 carbons (ILs 1-6)... The anion also played an important effect, ILs with more hydrophobic 114 anions such as phenylalanine-based (IL-3 and IL-9) had lower IC_{50} values than those prepared with more 115 hydrophilic anions such as bromide (IL-1 and IL-7) and histidine-based (IL-5 and IL-11). The anion influence on IL cytotoxicity has been studied both theoretically and experimentally by Stolte et al. 20 The 116 117 authors observed an increased toxic effect of more hydrophobic anions and this feature was related to 118 stronger interactions with cell membranes and hydrophobic protein domains, which may potentially disrupt essential physiological functions²⁰. Moreover, results obtained in this present work corroborates 119 the trend observed for cholinum-based ILs synthesized with amino-acids as the anionic moiety²³, in which 120 121 higher toxicity was correlated with hydrophobic features of amino-acid structures.







The lowest IC₅₀ values were found for ILs synthesized with ascorbate as anion (IL-**2** and IL-**8**). This was in fact an unexpected behavior given the hydrophilic nature of this anion. To better understand this result, cell cultures exposed to ascorbate-based ILs were further investigated by optical microscopy. Microscopy

128 revealed the formation of IL crystals in concentrations at and above 10 mM. An interesting finding was the 129 affinity between those crystals with pre-osteoblast cells. In Figure 3 (a) and (b), it is possible to verify crystals (red arrows) formed on cells surfaces (black arrows). We speculate that these IL crystals could 130 131 be triggering an additional toxic effect to the cells, reducing the IC_{50} . The affinity between ascorbate/ascorbic acid and osteoblasts-like cells has been previously reported in the literature³³. 132 133 Furthermore, the transport of polar anionic compounds across biological membranes was investigated by Vincent et al..³⁴ They observed that this process may be facilitated when anions are paired with lipophilic 134 135 ammonium cations, which works as a phase transfer. Therefore, we hypothesize that cationic moieties 136 could be acting as a phase transfer, increasing the affinity of ascorbate anionic moiety with cells.



Figure 3. Adsorption of ascorbic acid based IL crystals (red arrows) on the surface of cells (black arrows)
with magnification of (a) 40X and (b) 20X. -

140 **3.2. Antimicrobial Evaluation**

141 The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of all ILs under study was evaluated. MICs were determined 142 for two groups of bacterial strains. The first group (group 1) was comprised of E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa 143 and Staphylococcus epidermidis (gram-positive), which are opportunistic pathogens associated with infections on biomedical devices and responsible for up to 60% of all prosthetic infections since 1980^{35–38}. 144 These microorganisms have also been associated with oral diseases such as peri-implantitis³⁹⁻⁴¹. MIC 145 146 values were determined and are given in Table 2. ILs were more effective towards S. epidermidis while a 147 lower antimicrobial effect was observed for E. faecalis. Another interesting finding was the influence of 148 structural features on antimicrobial activity. The two gram-positive organisms were more sensitive to 149 differences in IL hydrophobicity than the gram-negative organism, as can be observed in Table 2. While 150 MIC varied for E. faecalis and S. epidermidis, the results of P. aeruginosa were similar regardless of the 151 different ILs used. This trend is in accordance with the previous findings reported in the literature and can 152 be explained by the differences in cell envelope composition of gram-positive and gram-negative

- 153 microorganisms¹. The higher activity against gram-positive strains were observed for more hydrophobic
- 154 ILs, composed by cationic moiety with n=10 (**IL-9 IL-12**), as can be observed in **Table 2**.

Ionic Liquid		MIC (mM)	
	E. faecalis	P. aeruginosa	S. epidermidis
IL – 1	79	79	79
IL – 2	156	20	10
IL – 3	79	20	10
IL – 4	79	20	20
IL – 5	79	20	10
IL – 6	79	20	10
IL – 7	39	39	2
IL – 8	79	20	20
IL – 9	5	20	5
IL – 10	10	20	2
IL – 11	20	20	5
IL – 12	20	20	2

155 **Table 2**. MIC (mM) for dicationic imidazolium-based ILs

156

157 The second group (group 2) tested was comprised of gram-positive oral streptococcal species (Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus salivarius, Streptococcus sanguinis, Streptococcus gordonii, and 158 159 Streptococcus uberis). MIC results are summarized in Table 3. Streptococcci are classified as cariogenic 160 bacteria and produce acid metabolites, which decreases pH and leads to tooth surface demineralization⁴². Lowered pH is also associated with surface damage of dental implants in which active 161 dissolution of metal ions *in vivo* can be triggered, ultimately leading to implant failure⁴³. These bacterial 162 strains are additionally associated with oral diseases such as root canal and peri-implantitis⁴². In general, 163 164 higher antimicrobial activity of ILs with 10 methylene groups in the cationic alkyl chain length (ILs 7-12) was observed. As mentioned above, gram-positive strains are more sensitive to a difference in 165 166 hydrophobicity of ILs, which can explain this trend. IL-1 and IL-2 were observed to be less effective 167 against those microorganisms, which can be related to a higher hydrophilicity of anionic moiety of these 168 compounds. Unlike the results observed with cells, the toxic effect of ILs with ascorbic acid as anionic 169 molety (IL-2 and IL-8) was not observed, which supports our hypothesis of increase in the affinity 170 between those ILs with osteoblast-like cells.

171 Table 3. MIC (mM) for dicationic imidazolium-based ILs

	S. sanguinis	S. salivarius	S. mutans	S. gordoni	S. uberis
IL – 1	79	79	39	39	20
IL – 2	79	39	39	39	39
IL – 3	20	20	10	79	20
IL – 4	39	20	10	10	10
IL – 5	20	20	20	10	20
IL – 6	20	20	10	20	20
IL – 7	10	5	2	5	2
IL – 8	20	20	10	20	10
IL – 9	10	5	5	5	2
IL – 10	10	5	5	5	5
IL – 11	10	5	5	5	2
IL – 12	10	5	5	5	5

In order to evaluate the correlation between MIC and IC_{50} , these results were plotted in **Figure 4 (a)** and (b) for both groups of bacteria investigated. Interestingly, a conflict between cytotoxicity and antimicrobial activity does not occur for some ILs, mainly when considering oral bacteria. When the red line (corresponding to IC_{50} values) is above MIC bars, the IL can be considered a strong candidate for biological applications. This means that in contact with both bacteria and host cells, the IL is able to limit bacterial growth but not host cell proliferation.



Figure 4. Comparison between MIC and IC₅₀ results of ILs for (a) group 1 and (b) group 2.

179 To further investigate the antimicrobial effects of ILs, bacteria growth rate (GR) experiments were 180 performed to investigate ILs inhibitory effect in sub-MIC concentrations. This was important because the 181 MIC measurements gave only an endpoint (24 hour) view of bacterial growth effects. Bacterial growth was monitored over a 24 hour period using a 96-well spectrophotometer. Due to technical limitations in 182 183 generating a microaerophilic atmosphere, only bacteria from testing group 1 were evaluated. We chose a 184 gram-positive and gram-negative that ILs were effective against (P. aeruginosa and S. epidermidis, 185 respectively). As expected, no growth was observed for cultures with MIC IL concentrations. P. 186 aeruginosa showed a decreased growth rate at sub-MIC concentrations of IL-1 - IL-6 and IL-7 - IL-12 as 187 shown in Figure 5 (a) and (b), respectively. Similar results were observed for S. epidermidis, in which

- bacterial proliferation was decreased in the presence of IL-7 IL-12 (Figure 5 (d)). However for IL-1 IL6 in sub-MIC concentration was observed bacterial growth similar to positive control, indicating loss of ILs
 antimicrobial activity under those conditions. These observations indicate that even in sub-MIC
 concentrations for some ILs, inhibition of bacterial growth occurs. This further points towards a potential
- 192 use of these compounds as antibacterial materials.



Figure 5. Growth rate experiments for *P. aeruginosa* (a) IL-1 – IL-6, (b) IL-7 – IL-12 and *S. epidermidis*(c) IL-1 – IL-6, (d) IL-7 – IL-12.

High efficiency of ILs against clinically relevant bacteria and low toxicity of tested ILs emerges as a powerful strategy for applications in the biomedical field. Although studies involving cytotoxicity and antimicrobial activity of ILs have been widely described, there are only a few reports exploring these features of dicationic imidazolium-based IL^{22,25}. Also, this is the first study involving antimicrobial activity of ILs against oral bacteria. Hence, ILs designed in this work, which demonstrated high biocompatibility and antimicrobial activity have potential application in this field. Investigation of such strains provided a better idea about how these materials may work to protect the oral environment.

202 3. Experimental

203 **3.1. Materials**

The chemicals used were received as follow: 1,8-Dibromooctane (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA); L-Phenylalanine and L-Leucine (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA); L-Ascorbic Acid (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA); 1-methylimidazole, 1,10-Dibromodecane, L-Histidine, L-Methionine, AMBERLITE IRN-78 OH and ethyl ether (Acros Organics, NJ, USA); acetonitrile and ethanol (Fisher Science, Waltham, MA, USA). All chemical products were of high-grade purity and were used without additional purification.

210 **3.2.** Synthesis and Characterization

Fifty mmol of 1-methylimidazole and acetonitrile (50 mL) were added to a flask connected to a reflux condenser under inert atmosphere and stirred for 2 minutes. Then, 25 mmol of dibromide alkyl were slowly added for synthesis of ILs **1** and **7 (Figure 1)**. The reaction mixture was maintained at 70 °C for 72 h. Finally, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, washed with diethyl ether, and the mixture was dried under vacuum (4 mbar, 50 °C, 48 h) to obtain a product with high purity. To synthesize the monocationic IL (IL-13), the same procedure was used with an equimolar (10 mM) ratio for 1methylimidazole and 1-bromooctane, according to the literature⁷.

218 ILs 2-6 and 8-12 (Figure 1) were synthesized according to the procedure proposed by Fukumoto et. al 219 performed with slight modifications⁴⁴. 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane hydroxide and 1,10-bis(3methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane hydroxyde were prepared from 1.8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane 220 221 bromide and 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane bromide ethanolic solutions, respectively using 222 anion exchange resin. ILs 2-6 and 8-12 (Figure 1) were prepared by adding dropwise 1,8-bis(3-223 methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane hydroxide or 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane dihydroxyde 224 ethanolic to a slight excess equimolar ascorbic-acid or amino-acid ethanolic solution. The mixture was 225 then stirred at 25°C for 12h. Then solvent was evaporated at 70 °C under vacuum. Nine mL of 226 acetonitrile and 1 mL of methanol were added to the reaction mixture under vigorous stirring. The mixture 227 was then filtered to remove excess amino acid or ascorbic acid. The filtrate was subsequently evaporated 228 to remove solvents and the product was dried in vacuum for 48h at 70 °C. The structures of the resulting 229 ILs were confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (500 MHz Bruker spectrometer, Billerica, MA) and mass spectrometry (Shimadzu, Kyoto, KYT). The NMR spectrums are available in the electronic 230 231 supporting information (ESI). The thermal characterization was performed using differential scanning 232 calorimetry (DSC, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA).

2331,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane dibromide (IL-1): $C_{16}H_{28}Br_2N_4$, MW: 436.23 g/mol; From 8.2g (50234mmol) of 1H-methylimidazole, and 13.6g (100 mmol) of 1,8-dibromooctane, 21.1g of IL-1 was obtained235(Yield : 97%); Tg: -37.91 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.42 (s, 2H), 7.91 (s, 2H), 7.81 (s, 2H), 4.21236(t, 4H), 3.89 (s, 6H), 1.78 (qui, 4H), 1.25 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 136.5 (2 C), 123.5 (2

C), 122.2 (2 C), 48.7 (2 C), 35.7 (2 C), 29.3 (2 C), 28.1 (2 C), 25.3 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 276.081
(Cation), 79.332 (Anion).

2391,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane diascorbate (**IL-2**): $C_{28}H_{44}N_4O_{12}$, MW: 628.676 g/mol; From 4.3g240(10 mmol) of IL-1, and 3.5g (20 mmol) of L-ascorbic acid, 4.4g of IL-2 was obtained (Yield : 71%); Tg:-24126.65°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.21 (s, 2H), 7.77 (s, 2H), 7.70 (s, 2H), 4.16 (t, 4H), 4.07 (d, 2H,242ascorbate), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.45 (m, 6H, ascobate), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.27 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz,243DMSO): δ 172.99 (2 C, ascorbate), 136.43 (2 C), 123.45 (2 C), 122.08 (2 C), 113.01 (2C, ascorbate)24479.19 (2C, ascrobate), 71.90 (2C ascorbate), 63.81 (2C, ascorbate), 48.52 (2 C), 35.58 (2 C), 29.21 (2245C), 28.01 (2 C), 25.26 (2 C). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 175.059 (Anion).

246 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane diphenylalanine (IL-3): C₃₆H₅₂N₆O₄ MW: 632.850 g/mol; From 247 4.3g (10 mmol) of IL-1, and 3.3g (20 mmol) of L-phenylalanine, 5.0g of IL-3 was obtained (Yield : 82%); 248 Tg: -25.18°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.67 (s, 2H), 7.78 (s, 2H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 7.21 ((m, 8H, 249 phenylalanine), 7.13 (t, 2H, phenylalanine) 4.16 (t, 4H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.07 (d, 2H, phenylalanine), 3.01 (d, 2H, phenylalanine), 2.46 (t, 2H, phenylalanine), 1.76 (gui, 4H), 1.25 (m, 8H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, 250 DMSO): ō 176.48 (2 C, phenylalanine), 140.91 (2C, phenylalanine), 136.97 (2 C), 128.95 (2C, 251 252 phenylalanine), 127.51 (4C, phenylalanine), 125.05 (2C, phenylalanine), 123.24 (2 C), 121.90 (2 C), 253 57.71 (2C, phenylalanine), 48.32 (2 C), 42.11 (2C, phenylalanine), 35.31 (2 C), 29.09 (2 C), 27.82 (2C), 254 25.08 (2 C). MS m/z molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 164.210 (Anion).

255 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane dileucine (IL-4): $C_{28}H_{52}N_6O_4$ MW: 536.762 g/mol; From 4.3g (10 256 mmol) of IL-1, and 2.6g (20 mmol) of L-leucine, 4.2g of IL-4 was obtained (Yield: 78%); Tg: -40.07°C; ¹H 257 NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.73 (s, 2H), 7.82 (s, 2H), 7.74 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, 4H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 2.79 (t, 2H, leucine), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.70 (t, 2H, leucine), 1.41 (t, 2H leucine) 1.27 (m, 8H), 1.06 (m, 2H, leucine), 258 0.85 (d, 6H leucine) 0.81 (d, 6H, leucine) . ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 177.61 (2 C, leucine), 137.26 (2 259 260 C), 123.44 (2C), 122.12 (2C), 54.46 (2 C, leucine), 48.51 (2 C), 45.61 (2 C, leucine), 35.53 (2 C), 29.28 261 (2 C), 28.04 (2C), 25.29 (2 C), 24.58 (2 C, leucine), 23.65 (3 C, leucine), 21.83 (3 C, leucine). MS m/z 262 molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 130.367 (Anion).

263 1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane dihystidine (IL-5): C₂₈H₄₄N₁₀O₄, MW: 584.726 g/mol; From 4.3g (10 mmol) of IL-1, and 3.1g (20 mmol) of L-histidine, 4.7g of IL-5 was obtained (Yield: 81%); Tg: -21.11°C; 264 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.54 (s, 2H), 7.80 (s, 2H), 7.73 (s, 2H), 7.42 (s, 2H, hystidine), 6.64 (s, 2H, 265 266 hystidine), 4.16 (t, 4H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.06 (d, 2H, hystidine), 2.88 (d, 2H, hystidine), 2.45 (d, 2H, hystidine), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.23 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.36 (2 C, hystidine), 136.83 267 (2) 133.80 (2 C, hystidine), 123.30 (2 C), 121.97 (2 C), 56.14 (2 C, hystidine), 48.40 (2 C), 35.41 (2 C), 268 269 33.51 (2 C, hystidine), 29.09 (2C), 27.86 (2 C), 25.12 (2 C). MS m/z molecular ion: 276.081 (Cation), 270 154.287(Anion).

1,8-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) octane dimethionine (IL-6): C₂₆H₄₈N₁₀O₄, MW: 600.882 g/mol; From 4.6g 271 272 (10 mmol) of IL-1, and 3.0g (20 mmol) of L-methionine, 4.3g of IL-6 was obtained (Yield: 75%); Tg: -47.41°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.66 (s, 2H), 7.82 (s, 2H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 4.16 (t, 4H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 273 274 2.92 (d, 2H, methionine), 2.48 (m, 4H, methionine), 2.00 (s, 6H, methionine), 1.78 (m, 4H), 1.78 (t, 2H, 275 methionine), 1.51 (t, 2H), 1.27 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.56 (2 C, methionine), 137.19 (2C), 123.34 (2 C), 122.05 (2 C), 55.29 (2 C, methionine), 48.39 (2C), 35.86 (2 C, methionine), 276 277 35.42 (2 C), 30.78 (2 C, methionine), 29.18 (2 C), 2.92 (2C), 25.18 (2 C), 14.54 (2 C, methionine). MS m/z 278 molecular ion: 276.081 Cation), 148.271 (Anion).

- 2791,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl)decane dibromide (**IL 7**) $C_{18}H_{32}Br_2N_4$, MW:464,28g/mol; From 8.2g280(50 mmol) of 1H-methylimidazole, and 15.0g (100 mmol) of 1,10-dibromoodecane, 22.3g of IL-7 was281obtained (Yield : 96%); MP:130,77°C or Tg: -21,21 °C; ¹H NMR(500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.26 (s, 2H), 7.83 (s,2822H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, 4H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 1.78 (m, 4H), 1.25 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 283136.3 (2 CH), 123.4 (2 CH), 122.1 (2 CH), 48.7 (2 CH₂), 35.6 (2 CH₃), 29.2 (2 CH₂), 28.5 (2 CH₂), 28.1 (2284CH₂), 25.3 (2 CH₂). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 79.350 (Anion).
- 285 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane diascorbate (IL-8): C₂₈H₄₄N₄O₁₂ MW: 654.714 g/mol; From 286 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 3.5g (20 mmol) of L-ascorbic acid, 4.9g of IL-8 was obtained (Yield : 75%); 287 Tq: -60.13 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.14 (s, 2H), 7.76 (s, 2H), 7.69 (s, 2H), 4.22 (d, 2H, 288 ascorbate), 4.14 (t, 4H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.43 (m, 6H, ascobate), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.25 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR 289 (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 172.45 (2 C, ascorbate), 136.47 (2 C), 123.55 (2 C), 122.18 (2 C), 114.48 (2C, 290 ascorbate) 77.70 (2C, ascrobate), 70.76 (2C ascorbate), 63.23 (2C, ascorbate), 48.72 (2 C), 35.58 (2 C), 291 29.35 (2 C), 28.70 (2C), 28.32 (2 C), 25.46 (2 C). MS m/z molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 175.279 292 (Anion).
- 293 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane diphenylalanine (IL-9): C₃₆H₅₂N₆O₄ MW: 632.850 g/mol; From 294 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 3.3g (20 mmol) of L-phenylalanine, 4.7g of IL-9 was obtained (Yield: 74%); Tg: -48.25°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.49 (s, 2H), 7.78 (s, 2H), 7.72 (s, 2H), 7.19 ((m, 8H, 295 296 phenylalanine), 7.12 (t, 2H, phenylalanine) 4.15 (t, 4H), 3.85 (s, 6H), 3.02 (d, 4H, phenylalanine), 2.41 (t, 2H, phenylalanine), 1.76 (qui, 4H), 1.23 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.32 (2 C, 297 phenylalanine), 141.40 (2C, phenylalanine), 137.07 (2 C), 129.12 (2C, phenylalanine), 127.68 (4C, 298 299 phenylalanine), 125.16 (2C, phenylalanine), 123.44 (2 C), 122.12 (2 C), 57.98 (2C, phenylalanine), 48.57 300 (2 C), 42.58 (2C, phenylalanine), 35.55 (2 C), 29.34 (2 C), 28.63 (2C), 28.26 (2 C), 25.41 (2 C). MS m/z 301 molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 164.184 (Anion).

3021,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane dileucine (**IL-10**): $C_{30}H_{56}N_6O_4$, MW: 564.82 g/mol; From 4.6g303(10 mmol) of IL-7, and 2.6g (20 mmol) of L-leucine, 4.3g of IL-10 was obtained (Yield: 78%); Tg: -33.92°C;304¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.87 (s, 2H), 7.84 (s, 2H), 7.77 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, 4H), 3.88 (s, 6H), 2.78 (t,3052H, leucine), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.70 (t, 2H, leucine), 1.41 (t, 2H leucine) 1.24 (m, 12H), 1.05 (m, 2H ,

leucine), 0.83 (d, 6H leucine) 0.80 (d, 6H, leucine) . ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 179.72 (2 C, leucine),
137.32 (2 C), 123.25 (2C), 121.96 (2C), 54.39 (2 C, leucine), 48.34 (2 C), 45.85 (2 C, leucine), 35.30 (2
C), 29.23 (2 C), 28.47 (2C) 28.10 (2C), 25.25 (2 C), 24.41 (2 C, leucine), 23.48 (3 C, leucine), 21.63 (3 C,
leucine). MS *m/z* molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 130.367 (Anion).

310 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane dihystidine (IL-11): C₃₀H₄₈N₁₀O₄, MW: 612.780 g/mol; From 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 3.1g (20 mmol) of L-histidine, 4.4g of IL-11 was obtained (Yield: 72%); Tg: -311 39.33°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.55 (s, 2H), 7.81 (s, 2H), 7.73 (s, 2H), 7.42 (s, 2H, hystidine), 312 6.64 (s, 2H, hystidine), 4.16 (t, 4H), 3.87 (s, 6H), 3.06 (d, 2H, hystidine), 2.88 (d, 2H, hystidine), 2.46 (d, 313 2H, hystidine), 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.23 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 177.08 (2 C, hystidine), 314 137.02 (2) 134.08 (2 C, hystidine), 123.46 (2 C), 122.14 (2 C), 56.53 (2 C, hystidine), 48.58 (2 C), 35.55 315 316 (2 C), 33.15 (2 C, hystidine), 29.34 (2 C), 28.60 (2C), 28.24 (2 C), 25.39 (2 C). MS m/z molecular ion: 317 304.262 (Cation), 154.287(Anion).

318 1,10-bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl) decane dimethionine (IL-12): C₂₈H₅₂N₆O₄S₂ MW: 600.882 g/mol; From 4.6g (10 mmol) of IL-7, and 3.0g (20 mmol) of L-methionine, 4.8g of IL-12 was obtained (Yield: 80%); Tg: 319 -56.42°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO): δ 9.91 (s, 2H), 7.87 (s, 2H), 7.80 (s, 2H), 4.20 (t, 4H), 3.90 (s, 6H), 320 2.87 (d, 2H, methionine), 2.48 (m, 4H, methionine), 2.00 (s, 6H, methionine), 1.79 (m, 4H), 1.79 (t, 2H, 321 methionine), 1.47 (t, 2H), 1.24 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 176.21 (2 C, methionine), 322 323 137.05 (2C), 123.36 (2 C), 122.04 (2 C), 55.18 (2 C, methionine), 48.49 (2C), 35.57 (2 C, methionine), 324 35.46 (2 C), 30.72 (2 C, methionine), 29.27 (2 C), 28.56 (2C), 28.19 (2 C), 25.34 (2 C), 14.52 (2 C, 325 methionine). MS m/z molecular ion: 304.262 (Cation), 148.236 (Anion).

3261-Octyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide (**IL-13**): C₁₂H₂₃BrN₂, MW: 275,23 g/mol; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO):327 δ 9.25 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 4.19 (t, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 1.79 (m, 2H), 1.26 (m, 10H). 0.87 (t,3283H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO): δ 136.46 (1C), 123.54 (1C), 122.22 (1C), 48.71 (1C), 35.74 (1 C) 31.13329(1 C), 29.37 (1C), 28.45 (1C), 28.30 (1C), 25.46 (1 C), 22.02 (1 C), 13.91 (1 C).

330

331 3.3. Cytotoxicity evaluation

332 Cytotoxicity was evaluated *in-vitro* using osteoblast cell culture (mouse pre-osteoblast cell line MC3T3-333 E1). Cells were cultured according to standard procedures (culture in alpha minimum essential media 334 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum) and incubated at 37° C in a humidified atmosphere. 335 Osteoblasts were seeded at a density of 10,000 cells per well in 96-well microtiter plates. After 24 hours 336 of incubation, medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing IL dilutions at the 337 concentration range of 10^{-8} M to 10^{-1} M. After 24 hours, the wells were washed with PBS, then 100 µL of 338 media and 10 µL of 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) reagent were

added to each microtiter plate well and cells returned to incubation for 4 h. After this period, 100 µl of detergent solution was added to each well and the plate was incubated overnight. Absorption was measured at 570 nm with a Spectrophotometer (Biotek, Winooski, VT). Percentage cell viability was calculated relative to untreated control wells at each time point after subtraction of the blank value¹⁸. The microscopy images was performed using an Olympus IX83 Microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Tokyo, JA).

344 **3.4.** Antimicrobial Activity

345 Enterococcus faecalis V583 (gram-positive), Staphylococcus epidermidis (gram-positive), Pseudomonas 346 aeruginosa PA14 (gram-negative) and gram-positive human oral strains Streptococcus mutans UA159, 347 Streptococcus salivarius 13419, Streptococcus sanguinis 10556, Streptococcus gordonii DL1.1 and 348 Streptococcus uberis 13419 were used to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of synthesized ILs. Two-fold 349 serial dilutions of each IL were made in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth (100 µI) in a 96-well microtiter 350 plate over the range of 350-0.6 mM. Overnight cultures of each bacterial strain in BHI were diluted to an 351 optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600nm}) of 0.01. Five µl of diluted culture was used to inoculate the wells of the 96-well IL testing plate, and the plate was incubated for 24 hours at 37 °C. Oral streptococcal strains were 352 incubated in a microaerophilic environment (BD GasPak EZ Campy Container System) per the 353 354 manufacturer's recommendations for 24 hours at 37 °C. Positive (inoculated BHI with no IL) and negative 355 (uninoculated BHI broth) growth controls were included in each assay. Four replicates were performed for 356 each IL sample and twelve replicates were used for positive and negative controls. The lowest 357 concentration of IL for which no bacterial turbidity (growth) was visible was recorded as the MIC.

358 3.5. Bacterial Growth Rate

359 Growth rates were determined by the broth microdilution method in a 96-well microtiter plate with BHI 360 broth and ILs. Staphylococcus epidermidis (gram-positive) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14 (gram-361 negative) were exposed to MIC and sub-MIC IL concentrations. Three replicates were performed for each 362 IL concentration and twelve replicates were used for positive and negative controls. Culture conditions and bacterial inocula were identical to those used for MIC experiments. OD_{600nm} readings were taken for 363 364 24 hours using an automated plate reader (Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA). Results were averaged and 365 plotted against time using Origin Software (OriginLab Corporation, USA). IL-treated samples were 366 compared with positive controls to evaluate bacterial growth inhibition.

367 4. CONCLUSION

A series of new biocompatible and antimicrobial dicationic imidazolium-based ILs was developed. New compounds were synthesized and characterized through ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, mass spectrometry and thermal analysis. Toxicity was investigated and IC₅₀ was determined for all ILs. In general, association of cations and anions with hydrophobic characteristics triggered higher toxicity toward osteoblast-like cells.

372 ILs with ascorbic-acid as anionic moiety were the only exception due to the crystallization of these 373 compounds in cell medium. Interaction between these ILs and osteoblast cells will be further investigated 374 in future studies. Antimicrobial activity was also examined and oral streptococci were sensitive to ILs. In 375 general, emergence of cation and anion hydrophobicity triggered a higher antimicrobial activity. Conflict between cytotoxicity and antimicrobial activity was not observed for most of the ILs, particularly 376 377 considering oral bacteria. These results point to a potential use of investigated ILs in applications 378 including biocompatible materials with antimicrobial activity. Future work will evaluate the efficacy of ILs in 379 animal models of infection.

380 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the University of Texas at Dallas (UTD) for providing financial support for this study (startup funds DCR), fellowships from Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (IMG), CNPq (MAPM) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Cientifíco e Tecnológico (CNPq) (Universal/Proc. 471519/2009, Universal/Proc 475556/2012-7) (MAPM and CPF). We also acknowledge the National Science Foundation, NSF-MRI grant (CHE-1126177) used to acquire the Bruker Advance III 500 NMR equipment, Dr. Mihaela Stefan and Dr. Hien Nguyen from Chemistry Department of UTD.

388 **REFERENCES**

- L. Carson, P. K. W. Chau, M. J. Earle, M. a. Gilea, B. F. Gilmore, S. P. Gorman, M. T. McCann, and K. R. Seddon, *Green Chem.*, 2009, **11**, 492.
- M. A P. Martins, C. P. Frizzo, D. N. Moreira, N. Zanatta, and H. G. Bonacorso, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, 108, 2015–50.
- C. P. Frizzo, M. R. B. Marzari, C. R. Bender, I. M. Gindri, J. Trindade, L. Buriol, G. S. Caleffi, H. G. Bonacorso, N. Zanata, and M. a. P. Martins, *Monatshefte für Chemie Chem. Mon.*, 2014, **145**, 797–801.
- G. C. Paveglio, K. Longhi, D. N. Moreira, T. S. Mu, A. Z. Tier, I. M. Gindri, C. R. Bender, C. P.
 Frizzo, N. Zanatta, H. G. Bonacorso, and M. A. P. Martins, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2014, 2, 1895-1901.
- 399 5. S. Dreyer and U. Kragl, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2008, **99**, 1416–24.
- 6. C. P. Frizzo, I. M. Gindri, A. Z. Tier, L. Buriol, D. N. Moreira, and M. A. P. Martins, in
 Pharmaceutical Solids: Solids to Liquids using Ionic Liquid Design, ed. Jun-ichi Kadokawa, Intech,
 Rijeka, Croatia, 1sr ed., 2013, vol. 1, ch. 21, pp. 557-579.
- 403 7. P. Wasserscheid and W. Keim, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2000, **39**, 3772–3789.
- 404 8. G. N. Sheldrake and D. Schleck, *Green Chem.*, 2007, **9**, 1044.

- 405 9. Q. Q. Baltazar, J. Chandawalla, K. Sawyer, and J. L. Anderson, *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem.*406 *Eng. Asp.*, 2007, **302**, 150–156.
- 407 10. Z. Ding and A. Hao, J. Dispers. Sci. Technol., 2010, **31**, 338–342.
- 408 11. G. Yu, S. Yan, F. Zhou, X. Liu, W. Liu, and Y. Liang, *Tribol. Lett.*, 2006, **25**, 197–205.
- 409 12. F. Pagano, S. Stolte, J. Thöming, O. Areitioaurtena, P. Stepnowski, S. Steudte, and A. Igartua,
 410 *Chemosphere*, 2012, **89**, 1135–1141.
- 13. I. M. Gindri, C. P. Frizzo, C. R. Bender, A. Z. Tier, M. a P. Martins, M. a Villetti, G. Machado, L. C.
 Rodriguez, and D. C. Rodrigues, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 6, 11536–43.
- 413 14. A Romero, A Santos, J. Tojo, and A Rodríguez, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2008, **151**, 268–273.
- R. G. Gore, L. Myles, M. Spulak, I. Beadham, T. M. Garcia, S. J. Connon, and N. Gathergood, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 2747.
- 416 16. M. McLaughlin, M. J. Earle, M. A. Gîlea, B. F. Gilmore, S. P. Gorman, and K. R. Seddon, *Green* 417 *Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 2794.
- 418 17. K. Radošević, M. Cvjetko, N. Kopjar, R. Novak, J. Dumić, and V. G. Srček, *Ecotoxicol. Environ.* 419 Saf., 2013, **92**, 112–8.
- 420 18. M. Cvjetko, K. Radošević, A. Tomica, I. Slivac, J. Vorkapić-Furač, and V. G. Srček, *Arh. Hig. Rada* 421 *Toksikol.*, 2012, **63**, 15–20.
- J. Ranke, A. Müller, U. Bottin-Weber, F. Stock, S. Stolte, J. Arning, R. Störmann, and B. Jastorff, *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2007, **67**, 430–8.
- 424 20. S. Stolte, J. Arning, U. Bottin-Weber, M. Matzke, F. Stock, K. Thiele, M. Uerdingen, U. Welz-425 Biermann, B. Jastorff, and J. Ranke, *Green Chem.*, 2006, **8**, 621.
- 426 21. M. T. Garcia, P. J. Scammells, and N. Gathergood, *Green Chem.*, 2005, 7, 9.
- 427 22. S. Steudte, S. Bemowsky, M. Mahrova, U. Bottin-Weber, E. Tojo-Suarez, P. Stepnowski, and S. Stolte, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 5198.
- 429 23. X.-D. Hou, Q.-P. Liu, T. J. Smith, N. Li, and M.-H. Zong, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e59145.
- 430 24. M. T. Garcia, I. Ribosa, L. Perez, A. Manresa, and F. Comelles, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 2536–45.
- 431 25. M. Messali, Z. Moussa, A. Y. Alzahrani, M. Y. El-Naggar, A. S. ElDouhaibi, Z. M. a Judeh, and B.
 432 Hammouti, *Chemosphere*, 2013, **91**, 1627–34.
- 433 26. S. Y. Choi, H. Rodríguez, A. Mirjafari, D. F. Gilpin, S. McGrath, K. R. Malcolm, M. M. Tunney, R.
 434 D. Rogers, and T. McNally, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 1527.
- 435 27. J. Łuczak, C. Jungnickel, I. Łącka, S. Stolte, and J. Hupka, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 593.
- 436 28. K. M. Docherty and C. F. Kulpa, Jr., *Green Chem.*, 2005, **7**, 185.

- 437 29. G. Laverty and B. Gilmore, SOJ Microbiol Infect Dis., 2014, 2, 1-8.
- 438 30. K. S. Egorova and V. P. Ananikov, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, **7**, 336–60.
- 439 31. D. Q. McNerny, P. R. Leroueil, and J. R. Baker, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed.* 440 *Nanobiotechnol.*, 2010, **2**, 249–59.
- 441 32. A. García-Lorenzo, E. Tojo, J. Tojo, M. Teijeira, F. J. Rodríguez-Berrocal, M. P. González, and V.
 442 S. Martínez-Zorzano, *Green Chem.*, 2008, **10**, 508.
- 443 33. E. Schweinzer and H. Goldenberg, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1992, **206**, 807–12.
- 444 34. S. P. Vincent, J.-M. Lehn, J. Lazarte, and C. Nicolau, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2002, **10**, 2825–34.
- 445 35. E. M. Hetrick and M. H. Schoenfisch, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 780–9.
- 446 36. a Simchi, E. Tamjid, F. Pishbin, and a R. Boccaccini, *Nanomedicine*, 2011, 7, 22–39.
- 37. S. Svensson, F. Suska, L. Emanuelsson, A. Palmquist, B. Norlindh, M. Trobos, H. Bäckros, L.
 Persson, G. Rydja, M. Ohrlander, B. Lyvén, J. Lausmaa, and P. Thomsen, *Nanomedicine*, 2013,
 9, 1048–56.
- 450 38. L. Pulido, E. Ghanem, A. Joshi, J. J. Purtill, and J. Parvizi, *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.*, 2008, **466**, 451 1710–5.
- 452 39. G. Dahlén, S. Blomqvist, A. Almståhl, and A. Carlén, *J. Oral Microbiol.*, 2012, **4**, 1–7.
- 453 40. M. Albertini, L. López-Cerero, M. G. O'Sullivan, C. F. Chereguini, S. Ballesta, V. Ríos, M. Herrero-454 Climent, and P. Bullón, *Clin. Oral Implants Res.*, 2014, 1–5.
- 455 41. M. Kazemzadeh-Narbat, S. Noordin, B. a Masri, D. S. Garbuz, C. P. Duncan, R. E. W. Hancock, 456 and R. Wang, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B. Appl. Biomater.*, 2012, **100**, 1344–52.
- 457 42. D. Nováková, P. Svec, M. Kukletová, L. Záčková, and I. Sedláček, *Folia Microbiol. (Praha).*, 2013,
 458 58, 649–56.
- 43. D. Rodrigues, P. Valderrama, T. Wilson, K. Palmer, A. Thomas, S. Sridhar, A. Adapalli, M. Burbano, and C. Wadhwani, *Materials (Basel).*, 2013, 6, 5258–5274.
- 461 44. K. Fukumoto, M. Yoshizawa, and H. Ohno, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, 2398–2399.

462

463