JAAS

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/jaas

Table of contents entry:



Excitation and ionization processes of iron ions in argon-, neon- and argon-hydrogen glow discharges are described based on transition rate diagrams.

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Page 2 of 24

Excitation and transition rate diagrams of singly ionized iron in analytical glow discharges in argon, neon and argon-hydrogen mixture

Zdeněk Weiss*^a, Edward B.M. Steers^b, Juliet C. Pickering^c and Sohail Mushtaq^b

Receipt/Acceptance Data [DO NOT ALTER/DELETE THIS TEXT]

s Publication data [DO NOT ALTER/DELETE THIS TEXT]

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x [DO NOT ALTER/DELETE THIS TEXT]

Abstract

The emission spectra of iron observed using a Grimm-type glow discharge in argon, argon with 0.3% v/v hydrogen and neon were studied to identify major excitation and ionization processes of iron ions in the plasma. Transition rate diagrams are given for iron ions in 10 those discharges. These are particularly useful for describing situations in which the rate of radiative decay of excited states is comparable to or exceeds the rate of their collisional de-excitation. Relations between transition rate diagrams and Boltzmann plots are discussed. The following processes were identified to be responsible for excitation of Fe II glow discharge spectra: charge transfer from argon/neon ions to ground state- and metastable iron atoms, Penning excitation of ground state- and metastable iron ions by argon metastables and collisional excitation by electrons of metastable iron ions. No evidence was found that local thermodynamic equilibrium 15 exists for iron ions in a glow discharge plasma in any interval of excitation energies. Open questions remain concerning charge transfer and about conservation of spin in such reactions.

20 1. Introduction

Emission spectra produced by analytical glow discharges^{1,2} differ significantly from spectra generated by other common sources, such as ICP, spark, arc and laser-induced plasmas, reflecting different mechanisms 25 that dominate the excitation and ionization of the analyzed elements³⁻⁵. The demand for a better understanding of excitation processes in analytical glow discharges has increased during the past decade after the introduction of CCD spectrometers that can measure 30 emission spectra over wide continuous wavelength ranges, giving a large number of observed lines for most elements. To decide which lines are suitable for analysis of a given element in a given analytical application and to treat properly various excitation-related matrix ³⁵ effects⁶ are other reasons for the continuing work in this area.

There are two common methods that have been used in those studies so far: the first method is based on ratios of intensities of observed lines in a certain experiment 40 and in another experiment with changed discharge conditions. The plot of these ratios as a function of excitation energy then reflects populations of different excited levels at the changed conditions relative to the populations at the 'reference' conditions, and is suitable 45 to identify prospective selective excitation processes. This approach has been successfuly used in glow discharge optical emission spectrometry (GD-OES) over

^c Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, Prince Consort Road, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

the past ca 30 years and led to great progress in understanding the role of selective excitation processes ⁵⁰ in analytical glow discharges⁷⁻⁹ and also e.g. in ICP¹⁰. An advantage of using intensity ratios is that they are independent of the sensitivity function of the instrument used. However this approach has some serious drawbacks, e.g. that intensity ratios cannot be ⁵⁵ established for lines that do not exist (are not observed) in one of the two experiments, comparison of which is under study. The second most common approach assumes that the populations of various excited levels of an atom or ion under study reflect some kind of 60 equilibrium maintained by collisions between those atoms and ions and other species in the plasma and investigates whether or to which extent the observed intensities reflect the Boltzmann distribution of those populations (the Boltzmann plot)¹¹⁻¹³.

65 Recently, a new formalism was proposed by Weiss et al.¹⁴ to describe systematically radiative transitions occurring in emission spectra of an element and draw conclusions about the excitation processes involved. In this formalism, the rates of observed radiative 70 transitions are evaluated and then plotted as functions of energy of the levels involved. Such plots are called transition rate diagrams (TR diagrams). These diagrams can yield more complete information about the observed spectra than either of the two methods mentioned above 75 and do not depend on any assumptions about the actual population distributions, such as assumptions utilizing the concept of the local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE). This was demonstrated on the spectra of neutral and singly ionized manganese emitted by a Grimm-type ⁸⁰ glow discharge in argon, neon and an argon-hydrogen mixture¹⁴. To determine a TR diagram, the sensitivity function of the instrument used must be known and it is

^a LECO Instrumente Plzeň, spol. s r.o., Plaská 66, 323 25 Plzeň, Czech Republic.; E-mail: <u>weissz@leco.cz</u>

^b London Metropolitan University, 166-220 Holloway Road, London, N7 8DB, UK

necessary to consider all observable lines, not only a narrow wavelength region. These conditions apply to ⁸⁵ the Boltzmann plots as well. The successful application of TR diagrams for manganese suggests the use of this approach also for other elements and this study is an attempt to do so for the glow discharge spectra of singly ionized iron. Besides its importance in analytical ⁹⁰ applications, iron was selected also because its electron structure is well known and a reliable classification of Fe II emission lines can be found in the literature.

The excitation of iron in glow discharges has been studied extensively in various experimental setups and 95 under differing conditions^{8,9,11-13,15-22}. This paper is an attempt to describe major excitation processes of ionized iron in analytical glow discharges as completely as possible, based on the formalism of TR diagrams. Besides argon, which is the most common discharge gas ¹⁰⁰ in analytical applications, results for a discharge in neon are also included, to compare with an argon discharge and because discharges in neon may be useful in some situations. For a proper selection of analytical lines and interpretation of analytical data, it is often important to 105 consider effects on emission spectra of hydrogen and other reactive gases, which may be present as impurities in the discharge gas or may come from the sample^{18, 19}. Therefore, results for a glow discharge in argon with a small addition of hydrogen are also described.

110 Iron is a transition element with a complex atomic structure that results from the partly filled 3d subshell. Fig. 1 gives schematic diagrams of the term structure of Fe II. The ground state term of the Fe ion is a sextet, $3d^{6}(^{5}D)$ 4s $a^{6}D$. The term structure of Fe II is formed by $3d^{5} nln'l'$, $3d^{6}$ n_{115} nl and 3d⁷ systems. The singly excited ('normal') system is built on the $3d^6 (^{M}L) nl$ set of configurations, where (^{M}L) is the associated parent term in Fe III, and *nl* is the valence electron that defines the orbital. In the term diagram the configurations are arranged according to their parent terms. ¹²⁰ Only the orbital configurations are labelled. The boxes represent the energy range of all the known levels in a given subconfiguration. The doubly excited system, $3d^5$ (^{M}L) nln'l', is built upon the grandparent terms 3d⁵ (^{M}L) in Fe IV. Many intermediate and high-lying levels in both the 125 normal and doubly excited systems are affected by configuration mixing, giving more observed transitions than would be expected if LS selection rules were fully obeyed. A detailed description of the state of knowledge of the Fe II energy level system and spectrum can be found in ¹³⁰ Johansson²⁰ and Nave & Johansson²¹, where many newly identified lines and energy levels are reported.

2. Transition rate diagrams

The energy of a photon emitted in transition from level *i* to level *j* is $E_{ij} = E_i - E_j = hc/\lambda_{ij}$. The intensity of the ¹³⁵ spectral line is that energy multiplied by the number of

transitions per second, n_{ij} , namely $I_{ij} = n_{ij}hc/\lambda_{ij}$. Hence the transition rate $n_{ij} = I_{ij}\lambda_{ij}/hc$ is proportional to the product $I_{ij}\lambda_{ij}$ of the intensity I_{ij} of the emission line associated with the transition $i \rightarrow j$ and its wavelength λ_{ii} . ¹⁴⁰ Transition rates associated with different emission lines can then be evaluated from the observed spectrum, except for a common multiplicative constant. In this sense, the quantities referred to as transition rates are transition rates expressed in some arbitrary units that are the same throughout the whole paper. In these 145 considerations, it is supposed that the variations of instrument sensitivity with wavelength are known and corrected for, so that the "intensities" are on a uniform scale throughout. Every emission line in the spectrum 150 starts at a certain excited level i and ends at a level j. Hence, level *i* is radiatively *depopulated* by the transition associated with this line and the level *i* is radiatively *populated* by that transition. Thus each level *i* is radiatively depopulated at a rate equal to the sum of the rates of all transitions associated with the lines of which *i* is the upper level. Similarly, a level is radiatively populated at a rate equal to the sum of the rates of the radiative transitions populating this level, i.e., those transitions for which it is the lower level. As 160 an example, this calculation is shown in Table 1 for the level $3d^{6}(^{5}D)4p z^{6}P^{\circ}_{3/2}$ of Fe II in a glow discharge in neon. In the upper part of the table, 10 transitions are listed, which populate this level by decay of higher excited states (cascade excitation), and in the lower part ¹⁶⁵ of the table 4 transitions which depopulate this level are listed. The rate of each transition (column 7) was calculated as the product $I_{ij}\lambda_{ij}$ of the intensity I_{ij} of the corresponding line and its wavelength λ_{ij} . Total excitation/de-excitation rates of this level are given in 170 the final column. Because the de-excitation rate of this level is ca 1.8 times higher than the rate of its cascade excitation, an additional excitation of this level by collisional processes must occur, because, under steady conditions of the discharge, excitation and de-excitation 175 rates of each level must be equal. Total radiative excitation/de-excitation rates of different levels are then plotted as a function of their energy, where the deexcitation rates are represented by blue points as positive values, and the rates of radiative excitation by 180 transitions from higher levels are represented by red points with values increasing downward, see Fig. 2. The resulting plot is called the TR diagram¹⁴. TR diagrams are particularly useful for studying excitation processes in low pressure plasmas such as glow discharges, in which lifetimes of most excited states are largely limited by radiative decay. In such situations, the rate of collisional excitation of a given state is equal to the difference between the rate of its radiative de-excitation and the rate of its radiative excitation, which can both ¹⁹⁰ be calculated from the emission spectrum. It should be noted that the formalism of TR diagrams, as presented above, gives an accurate picture only if the selfabsorption of radiation can be neglected. Otherwise the true rates of radiative de-excitation would be higher

¹⁹⁵ than their estimates based on the measured line intensities.

The ordinate scale in all TR diagrams in this paper is such that (relative) transition rates concern a certain amount of iron sputtered per second, i.e., all transition ²⁰⁰ rates throughout this paper are corrected for different sputtering rates in different discharge gases. Using the terminology common in analytical glow discharge spectroscopy, emission yields are used throughout this paper instead of raw intensities to calculate the rates of ²⁰⁵ radiative transitions²³. Therefore, the TR diagrams for argon-, argon-hydrogen and neon discharges in this paper can be compared on a quantitative basis.

3. Experimental details

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

210 The detailed analysis of the observed Fe spectra presented below is based on data from the vacuum-uv high resolution Fourier transform spectrometer (FTS)²⁴ at Imperial College London, used in conjunction with a free-standing Grimm-type source¹. Three wavelength 215 ranges were selected by choosing appropriate free spectral ranges and suitable photomutiplier tube detectors and, where needed, optical filters. The combined wavelength range of these FTS measurements was from 151 to 630 nm. The resolution used was: $_{220} 0.035 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the visible region (>365 nm); 0.05 cm⁻¹ for the intermediate region (250-365 nm), and 0.07 cm⁻¹ for the uv-vuv region (151 - 250 nm). This corresponds to a resolution of 0.14 pm at 200 nm. In another set of experiments, the wavelength range was extended up to 225 900 nm (not in a neon discharge). To obtain accurate relative line intensities, the areas under the line profiles were integrated. Calculation of transition rates mentioned above in §2 assumes that the intensities I_{ii} are 'true' intensities, corrected for sensitivity variations 230 of the spectrometer used over the recorded spectral range. Hence, radiometric calibration of the instrument, providing its sensitivity as function of the wavelength, was necessary to obtain the corrected relative intensities. Radiometric calibration was performed using 235 standard lamps, a tungsten-halogen lamp and a deuterium lamp, with known radiation characteristics²⁵, and by the branching ratio method²⁵. If a level has decay channels to more than one lower level, the decay is said to be 'branched'. The ratio $I_{ii}/I_{ii'}$ of intensities of 240 radiation emitted in transitions from the same upper level i to lower levels j and j' is called the branching ratio and is given by the ratio of the corresponding transition probabilities divided by the wavelengths of those lines, $\lambda_{ij'}A_{ij}/(\lambda_{ij}A_{ij'})$, see Ref.²⁵. By comparison of ²⁴⁵ accurately established branching ratios with the actually measured intensity ratios, it is possible to calculate the ratios of the instrument sensitivities at the corresponding wavelengths. In this work, branching ratios in the Fe I^{26-28} and Fe $II^{28,29}$ spectra were used to 250 verify and complement the calibration data obtained using the standard lamps. The procedure will be

described in more detail in a forthcoming paper on a new catalogue of glow discharge spectra. Work on the catalogue started some time ago³⁰ in the framework of 255 the Analytical Glow Discharge Network "GLADNET"³¹, a Marie Curie Research Training Network (RTN) funded by the EC under its Sixth Framework Programme (FP6). The results presented here are based on the Fe glow discharge spectrum to be 260 published in this catalogue. Also, some software tools developed for the catalogue data were sucessfully used in this work. 1654 Fe II lines were identified in the spectrum (638 in an argon discharge and 1501 in a neon discharge) and 519 levels were considered to construct 265 the TR diagrams. Classification of the lines is based on the NIST database²⁸ and the work by Nave and Johansson²¹. Excitation energies in the Fe II spectrum are given relative to the ground state of the Fe atom throughout this paper. They differ from excitation energies relative to the ground state of the Fe^+ ion, more usually listed in the tables, by ionization energy of iron, $E_i = 7.9024 \text{ eV}.$

In addition to the FTS measurements, some complementary data were collected using the LECO ²⁷⁵ GDS500A GD-OES spectrometer³², a grating instrument with CCD detection, resolution of 60–70 pm and wavelength range of 165–465 nm, in the LECO European Technical Centre, Prague. These data were particularly useful in investigations of the effects of a ²⁸⁰ small addition of hydrogen to the argon discharge gas on the Fe II spectra, described in § 4.3. in this paper, in the vacuum ultraviolet wavelength region below 200 nm.

All the experiments were carried out with 'standard' ²⁸⁵ operating conditions of the glow discharge, i.e. a dc discharge with a 4 mm internal diameter anode, with constant voltage – constant current stabilization at 700 V, 20 mA. The spectra of iron were collected using a 99.9% pure Fe sample. The discharge gas was argon, ²⁹⁰ neon or argon with added hydrogen at the level of 0.3% v/v, below referred to as an Ar(H) mixture. The pressure of the working gas at the discharge conditions mentioned above was 5.6 torr for a discharge in argon and 12.7 torr for a discharge in neon.

295

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Fe II spectrum in argon discharge

TR diagram of Fe II in an argon discharge is shown in Fig. 2. The most prominent peak in the upper side of the diagram at ≈ 15.6 eV corresponds to de-excitation of the Fe II levels populated by asymmetric charge transfer (ACT) reaction between neutral iron atoms and argon ions, see e.g. Ref.⁸ by Steers et al.:

$$Fe^{0} + Ar^{+} \rightarrow Fe^{+} + Ar^{0} + \Delta E$$
 (1)

51

56

57

58

59

60

³⁰⁵ ACT is a resonant process, selectively populating Fe II levels that are close to the energy of Ar ions (15.76 eV and 15.94 eV for the metastable $Ar^{+*} {}^{2}P_{1/2}$ ion), so that ΔE is a small positive or negative value. Also, preservation of total spin of the reacting species is ³¹⁰ assumed for an ACT reaction⁸. This leads to excitation of Fe II quartets and sextets because the ground state term of the Fe atom is a quintet. The most strongly excited Fe II levels by Ar⁺-ACT are those belonging to a sextet term, $3d^{5}(^{6}S)4s4p(^{3}P)$ y⁶P°, (15.59-15.61 eV). ³¹⁵ Their radiative decay dominates the TR diagram in Fig. 2, populating levels belonging to the Fe II ground term (the y⁶P°- a⁶D multiplet, $\lambda = 161-163$ nm, marked in the TR diagram in Fig 2) and also levels of the 3d⁵4s² a⁶S term at $\approx 10.79 \text{ eV} (y^6 \text{P}^\circ - a^6 \text{S}, \lambda = 257-258 \text{ nm})$. Close to 320 the sextet term are quartet terms with strong transitions between the levels at 15.50-15.55 eV and 10.53-10.75 eV, $\lambda = 249-255$ nm. However, the TR diagram in Fig. 2 gives only a very rough outline of the situation and a more detailed view can be obtained by plotting the 325 transition rates on a logarithmic scale and by distinguishing the multiplicities of the levels by different colours of the corresponding points. Such a modified TR diagram is in Fig. 3.

In the Fe II spectrum in an argon discharge, not many 330 levels are subject to cascade processes (excitation by decay of higher excited levels followed by radiative deexcitation) and for those that are, the observed rates of cascade excitation are small compared to their deexcitation rates. Hence, it is very likely that, for most 335 levels, the de-excitation rates in the upper part of the TR diagram in Fig. 3 reflect well their collisional excitation. Concerning the charge transfer reaction mentioned above, levels of the sextet term $3d^{5}(^{6}S)4s4p(^{3}P) y^{6}P^{\circ}$ are more strongly excited than the nearby quartet Fe II ³⁴⁰ levels with a similar energy: their de-excitation rates are higher by an order of magnitude. A stronger excitation of the sextet levels mentioned above than the nearby quartet levels was observed also by Zhang et al.¹³. If this behaviour is related to the term multiplicity (there ³⁴⁵ are no other Fe II sextet terms with energy suitable for Ar⁺-ACT), it means that parallel orientation of the spins of the reacting particles is preferred over the antiparallel. Some quartet levels just above the argon ionization energy are also excited, with the excitation ³⁵⁰ rates falling off as the energy difference increases. An expanded portion of the TR diagram between 15 eV and 48 19 eV is in Fig. 4. It shows that also some doublet Fe II 49 50 levels with energies close to the argon ionization energy are excited. It is unclear how these are excited, as the 355 total spin would not be preserved if they were excited 52 by Ar⁺-ACT. Further discussion of Fe II levels excited 53 by Ar⁺-ACT is in §4.4. below, in comparison with a 54 neon discharge. 55

The two octet levels at ≈ 14.4 eV in Fig. 3 with low de-₃₆₀ excitation rates belong to the term $3d^{5}(^{6}S)4s4p(^{3}P^{\circ})$ $z^{8}P^{\circ}$, are partly metastable and decay by intercombination transitions to the levels of the ground state

Fe II term, $3d^{6}(^{5}D)4s$ $a^{6}D$ (a sextet). Therefore, the corresponding transition probabilities are probably low 365 and the populations of these levels are most likely controlled by collisional processes (the positions of the corresponding points in the TR diagram in Fig. 3 do not reflect the rate of their collisional excitation).

From the diagram in Fig. 3, it is apparent that there are also excited levels with relatively high energies, 370 although these are much less excited than levels with energies close to 15.6 eV and lower, and there is a clear trend showing decrease of (collisional) excitation rates with increasing energy. Exceptions to this rule are two well pronounced peaks at ≈ 19.48 eV and ≈ 21.35 eV. 375 The first of these consists of transitions involving two quartet terms, $3d^{6}({}^{3}F1)4p \ u^{4}F^{\circ}$ and $3d^{6}({}^{3}F1)4p \ {}^{4}D^{\circ}$, at 19.47-19.49 eV, and most likely reflects the Penning *excitation* of Fe⁺ ground state ions by Ar metastables (E $_{380} \approx 11.55 \text{ eV}$):

$\begin{array}{l} Fe^{+}[3d^{6}(^{5}D)4s \ a^{6}D] + Ar^{*}[3s^{2} \ 3p^{5}(^{2}P^{\circ}_{3/2})4s \ ^{3}P_{2}] \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow Fe^{+}s[3d^{6}(^{3}F1)4p \ u^{4}F^{\circ}] + Ar \ [3s^{2}3p^{6} \ ^{1}S_{0}] + \Delta E \end{array}$	(2)
$\begin{array}{l} Fe^{+}[3d^{6}({}^{5}D)4s \ a^{6}D] + Ar^{*}[3s^{2} \ 3p^{5}({}^{2}P^{\circ}{}_{3/2})4s \ {}^{3}P_{2}] \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow Fe^{+}*[3d^{6}({}^{3}F1)4p \ {}^{4}D^{\circ}] + Ar \ [3s^{2} \ 3p^{6} \ {}^{1}S_{0}] + \Delta E \end{array}$	(3)

385 These reactions have a resonant character and exhibit a perfect energy match, with $\Delta E = 0.02 \cdot 0.03$ eV. Also spin is conserved.

The peak at ≈ 21.35 eV in the TR diagram in Fig. 3 consists of transitions involving the ⁴D, ⁴G, ⁴H, ⁴I terms, all with the same subconfiguration, $3d^6({}^3G)4d$. In a 390 search for possible energy resonances, it was found that these levels can be excited by Penning excitation of Fe⁺ metastables with energies of 9.57-9.63 eV $(3d^7 a^4P)$ in collisions with argon metastables with energy 11.72 eV, $_{395} 3s^2 3p^5 (^2P^{\circ}_{1/2}) 4s ^3P_0$:

$$Fe^{+}[3d^{7} a^{4}P] + Ar^{*}[3s^{2}3p^{5}(^{2}P^{\circ}_{1/2})4s {}^{3}P_{0}] \rightarrow \rightarrow Fe^{+}[3d^{6}(^{3}G)4d {}^{4}X] + Ar [3s^{2}3p^{6} {}^{1}S_{0}] + \Delta E$$
 (4)

where ${}^{4}X$ means one of the ${}^{4}D$, ${}^{4}G$, ${}^{4}H$, ${}^{4}I$ terms. The 3d⁷ $a^{4}P$ metastable levels of Fe⁺ are (weakly) populated by 400 radiative de-excitation of several higher Fe⁺ levels, with total cascade excitation rate of the 3d⁷ a⁴P term of $\approx 2.7 \times 10^3$ units (see the three quartet levels at ca 9.6 eV in the bottom part of the TR diagram in Fig. 3). This would be sufficient to balance the loss of these 405 metastables by the Penning reaction (4) mentioned above, as the combined de-excitation rate of the Fe⁺ levels at ≈ 21.35 eV is only 2.4x10². Moreover, the 3d⁷ a^4P term is only ≈ 1.7 eV above the ground state of the Fe⁺ ion and collisions of ground state Fe⁺ ions with 410 electrons are likely to contribute significantly to the creation of these metastables.

In the energy range between the levels excited presumably by Ar⁺-ACT with ground state Fe atoms, reaction (1), and the peak corresponding to the Penning excitation of Fe^+ ions by reactions (2), (3), there are a 415 number of levels with radiative de-excitation rates

between 10^2 and 10^3 (see the TR diagram in Figs. 3, 4). Excitation of these levels can occur by charge transfer between Ar^+ ions and *metastable* (excited) iron atoms, 420 i.e., by the reactions (5), (6) below:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

$$Fe^{*}(m) + Ar^{+}[3p^{5} {}^{2}P^{\circ}_{3/2}] \rightarrow Fe^{+} + Ar^{0} + \Delta E$$
 (5)

$$Fe^{*}(m) + Ar^{*+}[3p^{5} {}^{2}P^{\circ}_{1/2}] \rightarrow Fe^{+} + Ar^{0} + \Delta E,$$
 (6)

while the reaction (5) involves ground state argon ions $(3p^{5} {}^{2}P^{\circ}_{3/2}, E = 15.76 \text{ eV})$ and reaction (6) the $3p^{5} {}^{2}P^{\circ}_{1/2}$ 425 metastable argon ions (E=15.94 eV). The iron atom has a number of metastable states, the transitions of which to the Fe I ground state $(3d^64s^2 a^5D_4)$ are either parityforbidden or spin-forbidden or both. In the plot in Fig. 4, several groups of states are marked, of which higher 430 de-excitation rates were observed than in their vicinity. The sextet states at ≈ 15.6 eV are excited by Ar⁺-ACT with ground state Fe atoms, reaction (1), as discussed above. The other groups of states marked in Fig. 4 are listed in Table 2, rows 2-3, together with their energies 435 and spin multiplicities (2S+1). For these groups of states, charge transfer reactions of the type (5), (6) were found that are likely to be responsible for their excitation: the corresponding energy decrements ΔE are sufficiently small and multiplicities of those states are 440 such that total spin in these reactions is conserved. Such reactions are represented by the corresponding energy decrements and listed in Table 2. Reactions with argon metastable ions, Eqn. (6), are specified by the sign *, referring to a remark under the table, and all other 445 suggested reactions are of the type (5), with argon ground state ions. Table 2 shows the terms of Fe I, not individual metastable levels. Each energy decrement ΔE in Table 2 corresponds to an ACT reaction with Fe atom in the state with the lowest energy in the term, which 450 has also the highest quantum number J and thus the highest statistical weight. One more remark to be made is that the combined de-excitation rate of all levels presumably excited by Ar⁺-ACT (E=15.4-18.6 eV) is \approx 82% of the total de-excitation rate of all observed Fe II 455 transitions and the combined de-excitation rate corresponding to Ar⁺-ACT with the ground state Fe atoms only (15.4-15.9 eV) is \approx 77%. This means that $\approx 4/5$ of all photons in the Fe II spectrum in argon discharge come from Fe ions created by the Ar⁺-ACT 460 reaction.

What remains to be discussed are the Fe II levels between 12.6 and 13.8 eV. Transitions involving these levels exhibit a very similar pattern in argon and neon discharges. The Fe II TR diagram for a glow discharge ⁴⁶⁵ in neon is in Fig. 5: see the quartet and sextet levels around 13 eV in the upper part of the diagram and compare with those levels in the TR diagram for argon discharge in Fig. 3. Therefore, in the following section, excitation of those levels in both discharge gases is ⁴⁷⁰ discussed together.

4.2. Fe II levels between 12.6 and 13.8 eV in argon and neon discharges

Because excitation of those levels in both discharge
⁴⁷⁵ gases is similar, the excitation mechanism will not be a selective one, tied to certain characteristic energy of argon or neon. Zhang et al. reported¹³ that populations of these levels in an argon discharge follow the Boltzmann distribution with a temperature of ≈6500 K.
⁴⁸⁰ This would imply that conditions close to local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE)²⁵ should exist for those levels. To confirm or dismiss this hypothesis, excitation functions in this energy region were determined also from our data, both for argon and neon ⁴⁸⁵ discharges (see Figs 6 and 7). The Boltzmann distribution²⁵ can be expressed as

$$\frac{n_2}{n_1} = \frac{g_2}{g_1} e^{\frac{-\Delta E}{kT}}$$
(7)

where n_1 , n_2 are populations of two atomic or ionic levels with excitation energies E_1 , E_2 and statistical weights g_1 , g_2 , T is excitation temperature, k is the ⁴⁹⁰ Boltzmann constant and $\Delta E = E_2 - E_1$. Intensity I_{ik} of a line corresponding to a transition $i \rightarrow k$ is

$$I_{ik} = n_i A_{ik} \frac{hc}{\lambda_{ik}} \tag{8}$$

where A_{ik} is the transition probability, λ_{ik} is the wavelength of that line, h is the Planck constant, c is the speed of light and n_i is the population of the upper level i of that transition. In a Boltzmann plot, the quantity 495 hc.n_i/g_i= $\lambda_{ik}I_{ik}/g_iA_{ik}$ is plotted as a function of energy E_i, on a logarithmic scale. Such a plot may not be necessarily linked to a certain Boltzmann distribution and can be understood in a more general meaning 500 simply as the excitation function plotted with a logarithmic ordinate scale. As such, it is a legitimate tool for studying general population distributions. It should be noted that only if the points in such plot were to follow a straight line with a negative slope, can it be inferred that the plot reflects some kind of 505 thermodynamic equilibrium, with temperature inversely proportional to the slope of that line. Excitation functions derived from our data are in Figs. 6, 7 and do not exhibit such a feature. The dashed line in Fig. 6 is ⁵¹⁰ only to show what Zhang et al. reported to be a good linear fit to their data¹³ and is *not* a linear fit to our data. Zhang et al. worked with different discharge parameters (a 8-mm diameter anode, 800 V, 30 mA) and used fewer lines, spanning over a narrower wavelength range to 515 avoid the need for determining the change with wavelength of the sensitivity of their instrument. Transition probabilities used to calculate the excitation functions in Figs. 6, 7 were taken from the work by Fuhr and Wiese³³. From the plots in Figs. 6, 7 it is apparent

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

520 that the level populations do not follow a clear trend as function of energy and any 'temperature' based on a certain limited group of lines is meaningless. Probably the most appropriate statement about these excitation functions is that they do not depend much on energy in 525 this region, certainly not in a way that would justify introduction of a 'temperature' in the order of 10^3 - 10^4 K. The points in Fig. 7 are less scattered than in Fig. 6. This is probably because the corresponding lines are much stronger in a neon discharge than in argon (≈ 10 530 times) and the uncertainty associated with the measured intensities is thus smaller. It is difficult to estimate the uncertainties of individual points in both plots. Some considerations of this kind will be given in the forthcoming paper on a new catalogue of glow ⁵³⁵ discharge spectra, mentioned above in §3.

The Fe⁺ ion has a number of metastable states between ≈ 10.7 eV and 12.6 eV, the radiative decay of which is parity-forbidden and which belong e.g. to the following terms: a sextet, $3d^54s^2 a^6S$ (10.79 eV), a number of ₅₄₀ quartets (up to 11.1 eV) and a number of doublets, up to 12.6 eV. These metastable levels are populated by radiative decay of higher excited states (see the lower part of the TR diagrams in Figs. 3, 5) and presumably also by collisions of electrons with Fe⁺ ions. A 545 hypothesis may be suggested that collisions with electrons of these metastables contribute significantly to the excitation of the Fe II levels between 12.6 and 13.8 eV investigated here. For LTE to exist for these states, electron density would have to be sufficiently high to 550 prevent the would-be collisional equilibrium with electrons from being perturbed by radiative decay of these states²⁵. That is apparently not the case here, as follows from the excitation functions in Figs. 6, 7.

555 4.3. Fe II spectrum in an argon-hydrogen discharge

A TR diagram of Fe II in an Ar(H) discharge is in Fig. 8. Compared with the TR diagram for a discharge in pure argon (Fig. 3), the most apparent feature is that the peak at ≈ 15.6 eV and vicinity, corresponding to the Ar⁺-560 ACT reaction, is greatly suppressed, whilst the other groups of states are either not suppressed or suppressed to a much lesser extent. Many weak lines from the discharge in argon were not observed in the Ar(H) discharge, which is the reason why many Fe II levels 565 with low de-excitation rates, displayed in Fig. 3, are missing in Fig. 8. A clearer picture is given in Fig. 9 in which ratios of de-excitation rates in Ar- and Ar(H) discharge are plotted with a linear ordinate scale as a function of energy of the Fe II levels. As mentioned 570 above, transition rates are corrected for a different sputtering rate of iron in both discharges, by a factor $q_{Ar(H)}(Fe)/q_{Ar}(Fe) = 0.77^{18,34}$. Hence, in an approximation of negligible collisional de-excitation, the plot in Fig. 9 can be interpreted as relative 575 probability of a level to be excited in Ar(H) discharge, compared to argon discharge. The plot in Fig. 9 is in

good agreement with the results described by Steers et al.¹⁸ and gives more information than Ref.¹⁸ for levels above 18 eV. The Ar(H)/Ar factors of $\approx 0.15-0.25$ were 580 observed for all levels that are close to 15.6-15.8 eV, including the doublet levels. Hydrogen greatly reduces the population of Ar⁺ ions, creating ArH⁺ ions instead³⁵ and reducing thereby the rate of the Ar⁺-ACT reactions in the discharge³⁶. This is the case here. It is remarkable that the Fe II doublet levels that are close to the 595 resonance energy for Ar⁺-ACT also behave in the same manner as the quartet and sextet levels for which the Ar⁺-ACT reaction preserves spin. The levels between 12.6 and 13.8 eV, described earlier under §4.2, split into ⁵⁹⁰ two groups, of which the sextet levels, with lower energies, are suppressed and the quartet levels are not. They exhibit a peak in the vicinity of 13.6 eV, the ionization energy of hydrogen. This behaviour was also described by Steers et al.¹⁸ and attributed to charge $_{595}$ transfer reaction between iron atoms and H^+ ions.

The Fe II levels around 18 eV, excited presumably by Ar⁺-ACT with iron metastables (Table 2) are also slightly suppres-sed in Ar(H) discharge but less than those around 15.6-15.8 eV mentioned above. Finally, ⁶⁰⁰ most of the levels around 19.5 eV excited by Penning excitation of Fe⁺ ions by argon metastables, Eqns (2), (3), are virtually unaffected by the addition of hydrogen. Lines associated with transitions from those levels are weak (see Fig. 3), which causes increased uncertainties ⁶⁰⁵ of the measured intensities and the scatter of the points around 19.5 eV in Fig. 9.

4.4. Fe II spectrum in a neon discharge

A TR diagram of Fe II in neon discharge is in Fig. 5 and an initial discussion of its implications is given in this section. As in the case of the Ar(H) discharge, transition rates were corrected for a different sputtering rate of iron in argon and neon discharges, with a factor $q_{Ne}(Fe)/q_{Ar}(Fe) = 0.45$, and the TR diagrams for argon 615 and neon discharge in this paper can thus be compared on a quantitative basis. One result that can be derived from such comparison is e.g. that the combined deexcitation rate corresponding to all observed transitions in the spectrum (i.e. the number of emitted photons per 620 certain number of sputtered iron atoms) is 3.9×10^5 units in argon discharge and 1.8×10^6 in neon, i.e., 4.6 times bigger.

Excitation of the low-energy Fe II states at ≈12.6-13.8 eV was discussed in §4.3. The ionization energy of neon ⁶²⁵ is 21.56 eV and the difference between the TR diagrams in argon (Fig. 3) and neon (Fig. 5) suggests that the Ne⁺-ACT reaction with iron plays a very significant role in populating Fe II levels above ca 20.6 eV. A large number of levels with de-excitation rates >10³ spans ⁶³⁰ from ≈20.6 to 21.6 eV, which is a much wider interval than the width of the peak in the TR diagram in Fig. 3, corresponding to the Ar⁺-ACT reaction. We observed a

similar phenomenon for manganese14. An expanded section of the Fe II TR diagram in neon discharge, 635 spanning the energy range from 19 to 23 eV, is shown in Fig. 10, together with a diagram depicting energies of all existing Fe II levels in that interval, sorted by their spin multiplicity. It is remarkable that the strongly excited levels also include some octet states at 21.1-₆₄₀ 21.5 eV, close to the resonance energy for Ne⁺-ACT, but not compatible with the requirement of spin conservation in the Ne⁺-ACT reaction with ground state Fe atoms. This particularly concerns the $3d^{5}(^{6}S)4p^{2}$ ⁸P term at 21.1-21.2 eV. A closer look shows that all 645 existing Fe II octet states within a \approx 1eV-wide energy interval below the ionization energy of neon are excited, whilst the levels that are closer to the neon ionization energy, belonging to the term $3d^{5}4s(^{7}S)4d^{8}D$ (21.47) eV), are much less excited than those at 21.1-21.2 eV ⁶⁵⁰ (3d⁵4p² ⁸P). Also, there are a number of *doublet* levels, relatively strongly excited, in that energy range. They also do not comply with the Wigner spin rule for direct Ne⁺-ACT reaction with ground state Fe atoms. Many of them decay by inter-combination transitions to sextet 655 levels at 18.2-18.4 eV, with the wavelengths of the corresponding lines around 500 nm. A notable feature in the plot in Fig. 10 is a peak at ≈ 20.7 eV of the Fe II doublet levels. To determine accurately the relations between deexcitation rates of levels with different 660 multiplicities in the vicinity of the resonance energy for the Ne⁺-ACT reaction, a very good radiometric calibration is needed: the strongly excited octet levels at 21.1-21.2 eV of the term $3d^{5}(^{6}S)4p^{2}$ ⁸P decay by transitions in the vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) region, the 665 sextet levels by transitions in a wide range from VUV to \approx 360 nm, the quartets largely by transitions around 230-240 nm and the doublets mostly by transitions in the visible region around 500 nm. Estimated uncertainty of the radiometric calibration used in this study may be $_{670}$ up to $\approx 30\%$ relative in some narrow wavelength regions. Despite that, the plot in Fig. 10 gives a reasonably good basic view of the situation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

For comparison with the Fe-Ar⁺ ACT reaction, an expanded section of the Fe II TR diagram in argon 675 discharge is shown in Fig. 11, in the range of 12-16 eV, i.e., spanning over the energy interval width of 4 eV as in the plot in Fig. 10. The peak corresponding to Ar⁺-ACT (Fig. 11) is much narrower than the energy interval supposedly related to Ne⁺-ACT (Fig. 10). This 680 may be largely due to different distributions of Fe II states with suitable multiplicities below the resonance energies for both charge transfer reactions (see Figs. 10, 11). But, for example, the $3d^54s^2 d^4P$ term (15.02-15.04 eV) is clearly out of the energy region where the Ar^+ -685 ACT reaction operates, as no lines originating from its levels were observed in the spectrum (these would be lines of the $3d^54s^2 d^4P - 3d^6(^5D)4p z^4F^\circ$ multiplet, $\lambda \approx$ 771-800 nm).

The sextet states at 22.32 eV with de-excitation rates of $690 \text{ } 6x10^2 \text{ to } 1.7x10^3 \text{ units in the TR diagram in Fig. 10 can}$

be excited by a Ne⁺-ACT reaction with metastable iron atoms, in analogy to the reactions (5), (6), occurring in argon discharge. Full classification of the reacting species is as follows:

The energy decrement ΔE in reaction (9) is 0.10 eV. Fe II levels below ca 18.5 eV are subject to massive cascade excitation from higher levels. For example, the ⁷⁰⁰ quartet levels at ≈ 15.5 -16.2 eV have comparable rates of cascade excitation and de-excitation, suggesting that collisional excitation probably plays only a small role for them. Therefore, any considerations concerning collisional excitation in this region must be made with ⁷⁰⁵ caution and based on *net de-excitation rates*, i.e., with the cascade excitation rates of these levels subtracted.

This section is to be considered only as an introduction to excitation processes of iron ions in a neon discharge. Further work would be required to obtain a more 710 complete picture.

5. Summary and conclusions

Besides the plots of intensity ratios of emission lines as a function of energy, there are two major tools for describing excitation processes, based on information 714 that can be derived from emission spectra: the TR diagrams and the Boltzmann plots. TR diagrams were defined in §2 and Boltzmann plots were mentioned in §4.3. It is worthwhile summarizing here their 720 similarities and differences, which is done in Table 3. Boltzmann plots are more useful in describing higher power atmospheric discharges, such as ICP, than a glow discharge, because, at a higher pressure, collisional processes dominate the excitation/de-excitation paths conditions close to local thermodynamic and 724 equilibrium are more likely to occur. The present study uses largely TR diagrams.

The process that dominates excitation of the Fe II spectrum both in argon and neon glow discharges is the asymmetric charge transfer reaction between ions of the discharge gas and neutral iron atoms. Besides ground state Fe atoms, charge transfer reactions with argon and neon ions were confirmed also for a number of Fe I metastable states. In the case of Ar⁺-ACT, the Fe I ₇₃₅ metastables up to the energy of ≈ 2.9 eV are involved. Sextet levels at ≈ 15.60 eV are more strongly excited by Ar⁺-Fe charge transfer, than quartet levels with almost the same energy. There are differences between Ar⁺-Fe and Ne⁺-Fe charge transfer reactions, the latter leading 740 to a much wider range of strongly excited Fe II levels $(\approx 20.6-21.6 \text{ eV})$ than the Ar⁺-Fe reaction $(\approx 15.5-15.9)$ eV). This is partly due to the distribution of energy levels of appropriate energy and multiplicity. Also, in the neon discharge, a number of strongly excited 745 doublet and octet Fe II states was observed with

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
ğ
10
10
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
J∠ 22
აა ე⊿
34
30
30
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

800

energies close to the neon ionization energy. If they are excited by Ne⁺-ACT, it would mean that the Wigner spin rule, according to which the combined spin of reacting particles is conserved, is violated in the Ne⁺-750 ACT reaction. In argon discharge, this might concern some doublet Fe II levels close to the argon ionization energy. But their radiative de-excitation rates are by two orders of magnitude lower than those of the most strongly excited levels. The Ar⁺-ACT reaction is 810 755 strongly suppressed by small amounts of hydrogen. This has consequences for analytical methodology, namely, that the strong Fe II lines of the y⁶P^o-a⁶D multiplet, λ =161-163 nm, are very sensitive to the presence of hydrogen, either as an impurity in the argon plasma⁸¹⁵ ⁷⁶⁰ (from moisture) or coming from the analyzed sample. Besides charge transfer, also other selective reactions were identified, occurring only at narrow energy

were identified, occurring only at narrow energy intervals. They include Penning excitation of ground ⁸ state- and metastable Fe⁺ ions by argon metastables. All ⁷⁶⁵ these reactions produce characteristic peaks at the corresponding energies in the TR diagrams.

The lowest levels contributing to Fe II emission spectrum, at energies 12.6-13.8 eV, are probably mainly excited by electronic collisions, besides radiative decay 770 of higher excited states. Contrary to the earlier work by Zhang et. al.¹³, no evidence was found for any kind of local thermodynamic equilibrium in this energy region. Hence, any 'temperatures', as derived from Fe II glow discharge emission spectra, are meaningless. Prospects 775 to find conditions close to LTE may exist for the lowest (metastable) Fe II levels, the populations of which could be possibly determined by absorption measurements.

The processes mentioned above can satisfactorily explain excitation of the Fe II spectrum in argon ⁷⁸⁰ discharge. The Fe II spectrum in a neon glow discharge is much more complex, due to cascade processes (excitation by radiative decay of higher Fe II levels). A detailed study of prospective selective excitation reactions for Fe II levels below ca 18.5 eV in neon ⁷⁸⁵ discharges would be therefore much more difficult than in an argon discharge.

To summarise, the excitation of Fe⁺ ions in argon, neon- and argon-hydrogen glow discharges has been described, based on the formalism of transition rate ⁷⁹⁰ diagrams. The data presented here can be used as basis for collisional-radiative models of iron in glow discharge plasmas and to compare the predictions of such models with experimental data.

795 **References**

1 K.R. Marcus and J.A.C. Broekaert, *Glow Discharge Plasmas in Analytical Spectroscopy*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2003

860

2 R.E. Steiner, C.M. Barshick, A. Bogaerts, *Glow* Discharge Optical Spectroscopy and Mass Spectrometry, in: R.A. Meyers (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Analytical Chemistry, J.Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2009

- 3 P.W.J.M. Boumans, *Theory of Spectrochemical Excitation*, Hilger & Watts, London 1966
- 4 Amoruso S., Bruzzese R., Spinelli N., Velotta R. Characterization of laser ablation plasmas, *Journal of Physics B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* 1999, **32**, R131
- 5 H. Haraguchi, T. Hasegawa, M. Abdullah, Inductively coupled plasmas in analytical atomic spectrometry: excitation mechanisms and analytical feasibilities, *Pure* & *Appl. Chem.*, 1988, **60**, 685
- 6 Z. Weiss, Glow discharge excitation and matrix effects in the Zn–Al–Cu system in argon and neon, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B*, 2007, **62**, 787.
- 7 E.B.M. Steers and J. Fielding, Charge-transfer excitation processes in the Grimm lamp, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 1987, **2**, 239
- 8 E.B.M. Steers and A. Thorne, Application of high resolution Fourier transform spectrometry to the study of glow discharge sources. 1. Excitation of iron and chromium spectra in a microwave-boosted glow discharge source, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*,1993, **8**, 309
- 9 S. Mushtaq, E.B.M. Steers, J.C. Pickering and K. Putyera, Selective and non-selective excitation /ionization processes in analytical glow discharges: excitation of the ionic spectra in argon/helium mixed plasmas, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2014, **29**, 681
- 10 G.C.-Y. Chan, G.M. Hieftje, Using matrix effects as a probe for the study of the charge-transfer mechanism in inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2004, **59**, 163
- 11 K. Wagatsuma, R. Oka and S. Urushibata, Excitation processes in introduction of bias current to a radiofrequency glow discharge plasma evaluated from Boltzmann plots of iron atomic and ionic spectral lines, *Anal. Sci.*, 2012, 28, 759
- 12 L. Zhang, S. Kashiwakura and K. Wagatsuma, Boltzmann statistical consideration on the excitation mechanism of iron atomic lines emitted from glow discharge plasmas, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2011, 66, 785
- 13 L. Zhang, S. Kashiwakura and K. Wagatsuma, Deviation from Boltzmann distribution in excited energy levels of singly-ionized iron in an argon glow discharge plasma for atomic emission spectrometry, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2012, **67**, 24
- 14 Z. Weiss, E.B.M. Steers, J.C. Pickering and S. Mushtaq, Transition rate diagrams A new approach to the study of selective excitation processes: The spectrum of manganese in a Grimm-type glow discharge, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2014, **92**, 70
- 15 S. Johansson, U. Litzén, Possibilities of obtaining laser action from singly ionised iron group elements through charge transfer in hollow cathode lasers *J. Phys. B*, 1980, **13**, L253
- 16 E.B.M. Steers and J. Leis, Excitation of the spectra of neutral and singly ionized atoms in the Grimm-type discharge lamp, with and without supplementary microwave excitation, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 1991, 46, 527
- 17 K. Wagatsuma, K. Hirokawa, Classification of singly ionized iron emission lines in the 160-250 nm wavelength region from Grimm-type glow discharge plasma, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 1996, **51**, 349
- 18 E.B.M. Steers, P. Šmíd, Z. Weiss, Asymmetric charge transfer with hydrogen ions —an important factor in the

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscri

905

910

915

"hydrogen effect" in glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B*, 2006, **61**, 414

- 19 P. Šmíd, E.B.M. Steers, Z. Weiss, J. Pickering and V. Hoffmann, The effect of hydrogen and nitrogen on emission spectra of iron and titanium atomic lines in analytical glow discharges, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2008, 23, 1223
- 20 S. Johansson, *Physica Scripta*, 2009, T134, 014013 doi:10.1088/0031-8949/2009/T134/014013
- 21 G. Nave and S. Johansson, The spectrum of Fe II, *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, 2013, 204:1
- 22 I. Korolov, G. Bánó, Z. Donkó, A. Derzsi, P. Hartmann, Experimental study of the asymmetric charge transfer reaction between Ar+ ions and Fe atoms, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134**, 064308
- 23 Z.Weiss, Emission yields and the standard model in glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy: links to the underlying physics and analytical interpretation of the experimental data, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B*, 2006, **61**, 121
- 24 J. C. Pickering, High resolution Fourier transform spectroscopy with the Imperial College (IC) UV-FT spectrometer, and its applications to astrophysics and atmospheric physics: a review, *Vibrational Spectroscopy*, 2002, **29**, 27
- 25 A. Thorne, U. Litzén and S. Johansson, *Spectrophysics: Principles and Applications*, Springer, 1999
- 26 J.C. Pickering, S.Johansson, P.L. Smith, The FERRUM project: Branching ratios and atomic transition probabilities of Fe II transitions from the 3d⁶(a³F)4p subconfiguration in the visible to VUV spectral region, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 2001, **377**, 361
- 27 S. D. Bergeson, K. L. Mullman, M.E. Wickliffe, J. E. Lawler, U. Litzén, S. Johansson, Branching fractions and oscillator strengths for Fe II transitions from the 3d⁶(⁵D)4p subconfiguration, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 1996, **464**, 1044

- A. Kramida, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader and NIST ASD Team (2013). NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.1), [Online]: http://physics.nist.gov/asd [2014, May 10]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD
- 29 T. R. O'Brian, M. E. Wickliffe, J. E. Lawler, W. Whaling, J.W. Brault, Lifetimes, transition probabilities and level energies in Fe I, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 1991, **8**, 1185
- 30 Z. Weiss, E.B.M. Steers, P.Šmíd and V. Hoffmann, Towards a catalogue of glow discharge emission spectra, *Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2009, 24, 27
- 31 N. Jakubowski, E. Steers, A. Tempez, Glow and Glory, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2007, 22, 715
- 32 K. Marshall, P. M. Willis, Centered Sphere Spectrometer, US Patent 6023330
- 33 J.R. Fuhr, W.L. Wiese, A critical compilation of atomic transition probabilities for atomic and singly ionized iron, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 2006, **35**, 1669
- 34 Z. Weiss, E.B.M. Steers, P.Šmíd, Excitation of zinc in a Grimm-type glow discharge: effects of hydrogen and other excitation-related matrix effects, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2005, 20, 839
- 35 P.H. Ratcliff, W.W. Harrison, The effect of water wapor in glow discharge mass spectrometry, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 1994, **49**, 1747-1757
- 36 V.-D. Hodoroaba, V. Hoffmann, E.B.M. Steers, K. Wetzig, Emission spectra of copper and argon in an argon glow discharge containing small quantities of hydrogen, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 2000, **15**, 951.

930

1

2

870

875

880

885

890

895

Table 1

Calculation of radiative excitation / de-excitation rates of the Fe II level $3d^{6}(^{5}D)4p z^{6}P^{\circ}_{3/2}$ in a glow discharge in neon

λ_{ij} / nm	I _{ij} / arb.units	the level from/into *)				transition ra	te / arb.units	
		E / eV *)	config.	term	J	the line	total	
	trai	nsitions pop	ulating the 3d ⁶ ((⁵ D)4p z ⁶ F	P° _{3/2} level at 1	13.3108 eV		
286.412	0.087	17.6384	3d ⁶ (⁵ D)5s	e ⁶ D	5/2	103		
284.778	0.68	17.6632	"	"	3/2	783		
283.822	0.46	17.6779	"	"	1/2	511		
247.640	0.44	18.3159	3d ⁶ (⁵ D)4d	⁶ D	3/2	133		
246.774	1.77	18.3335	"	"	1/2	572		
245.590	6.5	18.3577	"	⁶ P	5/2	2 239	8 101	
245.003	5.6	18.3698	"	"	3/2	1756		
238.737	7.7	18.5025	"	⁶ S	5/2	1 947		
158.701	0.094	21.1232	$3d^{5}4s(^{7}S)4d$	⁶ D	3/2	25		
158.645	0.26	21.1260	"	"	5/2	68		
	trans	sitions depo	pulating the 3d	⁶ (⁵ D)4p z ⁶	P° _{3/2} level at	13.3108 eV		
232.740	13	7.9853	3d ⁶ (⁵ D)4s	a ⁶ D	5/2	3196		
233.801	29	8.0094	"	"	3/2	7017	14.000	
234.429	19	8.0236	"	"	1/2	4 521	14 880	
492 392	0.093	10.7935	$3d^{5}4s^{2}$	a^6S	5/2	146		

*) the upper level of transitions populating the level $3d^{6}(^{5}D)4p \ z^{6}P^{\circ}_{3/2}$ and the lower level of transitions depopulating the level $3d^{6}(^{5}D)4p \ z^{6}P^{\circ}_{3/2}$

Table 2

Possible excitation of some Fe II states by ACT between Ar^+ ions and Fe metastable atoms (reactions (5), (6)): energy decrements ΔE in eV

		r						
			excited Fe II levels observed in emission spectra					
		E / AV	16.47-	16.91-	17.73-			
		L/CV	-16.51	-16.96	-17.81	18.19	18.36	18.58
		2S+1	4	2, 4	4,6	6	6	4
Fe I metastables								
		E / eV						
$3d^{7}(^{4}F)4s$	a ⁵ F	0.85-1.00	0.12					
"	a ³ F	1.49-1.61		(0.29)				
$3d^{7}(^{4}P)4s$	a ⁵ P	2.17-2.22			0.16			
$3d^6(^5D)4s4p(^3P^\circ)$	$z^7 D^{\circ}$	2.40-2.48				- 0.03, 0.15*		
$3d^{7}(^{2}G)4s$	a ³ G	2.69-2.73						0.05*
$3d^{6}(^{5}D)4s4p(^{3}P^{\circ})$	$z^7 F^{\circ}$	2.81-2.89					0.21	
3d ⁷ (⁴ P)4s	b ³ P	2.83-2.86						0.01, 0.19*

* this energy decrement corresponds to a reaction with argon metastable ions (15.94 eV)

Table 3

TR diagrams and Boltzmann plots: an overview

feature	TR diagrams	Boltzmann plots (excitation functions)
the quantity on abscissa	E_i	E_i
the quantity on ordinate	$\sum_i \lambda_{ik} I_{ik}$, $\sum_k \lambda_{ik} I_{ik}$	$\lambda_{_{ik}}I_{_{ik}}/(g_{_i}A_{_{ik}})$
ordinate scale	linear or logarithmic	logarithmic
the points represent	levels (or terms)	observed lines
type of information displayed	relative transition rates	relative populations
brings information about	levels (terms)	upper levels of observed lines
radiometric intensity calibration	needed	needed
extra information required	none	transition probabilities
		statistical weights of the levels
suitable for investigating	selective collisional excitation	existence of LTE
	cascade processes	excit. temperature if LTE exists
	radiative population rates	
most useful if	RD >> CD *)	RD << CD *)

*) RD = the rate of radiative decay, CD = the rate of collisional de-excitation



$1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9\ 11\ 12\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9\ 11\ 12\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9\ 11\ 12\ 12\ 12\ 12\ 12\ 12\ 12\ 12\ 12$			nal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript
52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59			Journal of
60	14 <i>[journal]</i> , [year], [vol] , 00–00	This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]	7















Fig. 7 Excitation function for Fe II lines with excitation energies of 12.6 – 13.8 eV in a neon discharge.











