

Food & Function

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1 **Level of elements and antioxidant activity of commercial dietary supplement formulations**

2 **based on edible mushrooms**

3
4 Nebojša Stilinović^a, Biljana Škrbić^{b,*}, Jelena Živančev^b, Nataša Mrmoš^b, Nebojša Pavlović^a, Saša
5 Vukmirović^a

6
7 ^a University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Hajduk Veljkova 3, 21000, Novi Sad, Serbia

8 ^b University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000, Novi Sad, Serbia

9 * Corresponding author: Tel.: +381 21 485 3746; fax: +381 21 450 413. E-mail address:
10 biljana@tf.uns.ac.rs (B. Škrbić).

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 **Abstract**

26
27 Commercial preparations of *Cordyceps sinensis*, *Ganoderma lucidum* and *Coprinus comatus*
28 mushroom marketed as healthy food supplements in Serbia were analyzed by atomic absorption
29 spectrometry with a graphite furnace (GFAAS) for their elements content. Antioxidant activity
30 potentials and total phenolics of the same mushrooms were determined. The elements content of
31 mushroom samples ranged from 0.130-0.360 mg/kg for lead (Pb), <0.03-0.46 mg/kg for arsenic
32 (As), 0.09-0.39 mg/kg for cadmium (Cd), 98.14-989.18 mg/kg for iron (Fe), 0.10-101.32 mg/kg
33 for nickel (Ni), 5.06-26.50 mg/kg for copper (Cu), 0.20-0.70 mg/kg for cobalt (Co), 1.74-136.33
34 mg/kg for chromium (Cr) and 2.19-21.54 mg/kg for manganese (Mn). In the tests for measuring
35 antioxidant activity, methanolic extract of *C. sinensis* showed the best properties. Same was for
36 the analysis of selected phenolic compounds, *C. sinensis* found to have the highest content.
37 Commercial preparations of *C. sinensis* and *C. comatus* can be considered to be safe and suitable
38 food supplements included in well-balanced diets.

39
40 **Keywords:** *Cordyceps sinensis*, *Ganoderma lucidum*, *Coprinus comatus*, antioxidant activity,
41 element concentration

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50 **1. Introduction**

51

52 Interest in the safety of food supplements has been rapidly increasing as a consequence of
53 high levels of some contaminants found recently in different natural products.¹⁻⁴ In addition, there
54 is an increasing number of natural products, including food supplements, with perceived and real
55 health benefits on the market.⁵ As food supplements are one of the most easy to access
56 complementary and integrative therapies, they are widely used in modern Western diets. For
57 example, more than one half of the US population used them in 2003 to 2006 and similar trends
58 were observed in Western European countries.^{5,6} Their growing use is accompanied by an
59 increasing concern because the safety of these preparations is not generally assessed before they
60 enter the market. The traditional use of food supplements as a mushroom, herb or tea does not
61 guarantee its safety when used as a supplement. They may contain compounds of concern, like
62 elements, at levels far above those found in the regular diet, and therefore they can cause toxic
63 effects to living organisms.⁷⁻⁹ Numerous data on elements content in mushrooms is indicating
64 that they can accumulate large amounts of some toxic heavy elements, such as Pb, As and Cd.¹⁰⁻
65 ¹² A well documented example is the high accumulative capacity of *Coprinus comatus* for Pb.¹³
66 As they are able to concentrate, higher fungi are also able to exclude specific metal ions, playing
67 a key role in the cycling of trace elements with consequences on human health.^{9,13,14}

68 Except trace elements, mushrooms can contain significant amounts of indigestible fibres,
69 vitamins, and various physiologically active compounds. Synergy of these mushroom
70 components is probably the main cause for their therapeutic properties in preventing some human
71 diseases and disorders.^{9,14,15} Among therapeutic properties, it was found that mushrooms can
72 exhibit antioxidant activity also. Although almost all organisms are well-protected against

73 oxidative stress, supplements in the human diet are important to prevent or reduce free radical
74 damage. Mushrooms are widely recognized as a functional food and they are an easily accessible
75 source of natural antioxidants.¹⁵⁻¹⁷

76 There has been scarce data in the literature regarding the antioxidant properties and the
77 level of heavy elements in mushrooms that has been used as food supplements while, there has
78 not been reported data for *Cordyceps sinensis*, *Ganoderma lucidum* and *Coprinus comatus*
79 mushroom marketed as healthy food supplements in Serbia. Thus, the aim of present work is to
80 determine the level of elements and antioxidant potential of the methanol extracts of *Cordyceps*
81 *sinensis* (Berk.) Sacc., *Ganoderma lucidum* (Curtis) P. Karst. and *Coprinus comatus* (O. F. Müll.)
82 Pers. by four different antioxidant test systems namely; 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH),
83 hydroxyl (HO) and nitric oxide (NO) radical scavenging assays and ferric-reducing antioxidant
84 power assay (FRAP) in addition to determination of their total phenolic contents and LC-MS
85 analysis of the concentration of main phenolic compounds found in mushroom species.
86 Therefore, to our best knowledge, this is the first report of *Cordyceps sinensis* (Berk.) Sacc.,
87 *Ganoderma lucidum* (Curtis) P. Karst. and *Coprinus comatus* (O. F. Müll.) mushroom
88 commercial preparations with elements and antioxidant analysis.

89

90

91

92 **2. Materials and methods**

93

94 *2.1. Reagents and solutions*

95 Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent (FCR), methanol, p.a. formic acid, potassium ferricyanide,
96 ferric chloride, N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (NEDA) and trichloroacetic acid

97 (TCA) were obtained from E.Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl
98 (DPPH), 2-deoxy-D-ribose, gallic acid, α -tocopherol as well reference standards of the phenolic
99 compounds were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (Steinheim, Germany). 2-thiobarbituric acid
100 and sulfanilamide were obtained from Fluka Chemie GmbH (Buchs, Switzerland) and sodium
101 nitroprusside from Renal (Budapest, Hungary). Ultra-pure deionised water type Milli-Q
102 (Simplicity, Millipore, France) with a specific resistivity of 18.2 M Ω /cm was used for preparation
103 of standards and sample solutions. Concentrated 69% nitric acid (ccHNO₃) ("for trace elements
104 analysis" grade) and 30% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) were purchased from J.T.Baker. All the
105 plastic and glassware were cleaned by soaking in a 20% hydrochloric solution overnight then in
106 20% nitric acid overnight and finally rinsed with Milli-Q water. The As, Cd, Pb, Ni, Co, Cr, Cu,
107 Fe and Mn stock standard solutions (1000 μ g/mL) were supplied by J.T.Baker. The working
108 standard solutions of 1 μ g/mL for each element were obtained by diluting stock solutions in 3%
109 nitric acid. The calibration curves were prepared using the so-called bulk solution prepared by
110 mixing the standard solutions and the subsequent dilution. Automix option of the GFAAS was
111 applied enabling automatic preparation of the calibration standards. All other reagents used in
112 this study were of analytical grade.

113

114 2.2. Mushrooms and extraction procedure

115 Commercial preparations of mushrooms *Coprinus comatus*, *Cordyceps sinensis* and
116 *Ganoderma lucidum* were used for the experiment. These commercial preparations can be found
117 in Serbian pharmacies as food supplements and represent 100-percent, finely minced dried
118 powder of whole mushroom. The mushroom samples (7 g) were extracted by using Soxhlet
119 extractor for 5 hours with methanol and then filtered. After that, methanolic extracts were
120 evaporated at 40 °C to dryness under reduced pressure and kept in the dark at 4 °C until tested.

121

122 *2.3. Microwave digestion*

123 Microwave (Ethos One, Milestone, Italy) with segmented rotor of high pressure (HPR-
124 1000/10S) and internal temperature sensor was used for digestion of the samples.

125 The method applied for heavy elements determination is previously used by Škrbić *et al.*¹⁸
126 Briefly, about 0.5 g of previously homogenized composite samples was weighted inside high-
127 pressure Teflon (TFM) vessels and 7 mL of ccHNO_3 (69%) and 1 mL of H_2O_2 (30%) were added.
128 The operational conditions and the heating program used were carried out according to the
129 conditions recommended by the manufacturer.

130 After cooling, digests were diluted with Milli-Q water to 25 mL in glass flask and finally,
131 transferred to previously acid-cleaned and labeled polypropylene vessel for further analysis.
132 From each kind of food samples three aliquots were digested and each sample solution was then
133 analyzed in triplicates.

134

135 *2.4. Instrumentation for elements analysis*

136 A Varian AA240/GTA120 model atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS) with deuterium
137 background correction, equipped with a graphite furnace (GF) for electrothermal atomization and
138 an automatic sampler was used in this study. The assembly was operated from an interfaced
139 computer running SpectrAA software. Varian hollow cathode lamps were used as line sources for
140 all analytes. Argon was used as the inert gas. The wavelengths used for determination of the
141 elements in dried mushroom samples were as follows: 193.7 nm for As; 228.8 nm for Cd; 283.3
142 nm for Pb; 240.7 nm for Co; 357.9 nm for Cr; 232 nm for Ni; 324.8 nm Cu; 372 nm for Fe; and
143 279.5 nm for Mn.

144

145 2.5. *Quality assurance*

146 Analytical method used was accredited according to ISO 17025. Thus, appropriate quality
147 assurance procedures and precautions were carried out to ensure the reliability of the results. The
148 developed method was validated by in-house quality control procedure. Summary of validation
149 data of GFAAS method for analysis of the selected elements in samples digested by microwave
150 are given in Table 1.

151 [Insert Table 1 about here]

152 Calibration curves were obtained with acidified aqueous element standards by external
153 calibration procedure. The correlation coefficients obtained for calibration curves were all greater
154 than 0.9950. The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated as
155 the mean signal of five blanks plus three or ten times the standard deviation, respectively.
156 Validation of the method accuracy was carried out by in house determination of the element
157 recoveries from dried mushroom samples.

158 Taking into consideration that the investigated elements are not regulated by the Serbian
159 and the European existing regulations for investigated samples, the arbitrary level for As (0.3
160 mg/kg), Cd (0.2 mg/kg), Pb (0.3 mg/kg), Ni (1 mg/kg), Co (0.1 mg/kg), Cr (1 mg/kg), Cu (2.5
161 mg/kg), Fe (1.5 mg/kg), and Mn(1.5 mg/kg) was chosen for the spiking. Recovery experiments
162 were performed in triplicates. The recoveries ranged from 60 to 132% (Table 1) while the
163 repeatability expressed as relative standard deviation of 3 spiked samples ranged from 1 to 19%
164 (Table 1).

165 All samples were analyzed in triplicate (n=3). Blank samples were included in every
166 batch of samples to check for possible contamination.

167

168 2.6. *Scavenging effect on 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical*

169 The free radical scavenging activity of the mushroom extracts was measured from the
170 bleaching of purple coloured methanol solution of DPPH according to Brand-Williams *et al.*¹⁹
171 One millilitre of 90 μ M methanolic DPPH solution was mixed with various volumes of
172 mushroom samples (20-300 μ L of 0.5% extract) and filled up to 4 ml with methanol. After a 60
173 min incubation period at room temperature the absorbance was read against a blank at 515 nm.
174 Free radical scavenging capacity was calculated as follows:

$$175 \quad \text{RSC} = 100 - 100 * A_{\text{sample}}/A_{\text{blank}},$$

176 where A_{blank} is the absorbance of diluted DPPH solution and A_{sample} is the absorbance of the test
177 compound. Vitamin E (α -tocopherol) was used as a control.

178 The IC_{50} value, which represents the concentrations of the sample required to cause 50%
179 inhibition of DPPH radical, was estimated by linear regression analysis from the obtained RSC
180 values and was expressed in mg of mushroom extract per ml.

181
182 *2.7. Hydroxyl-radical scavenger capacity*

183 Hydroxyl-radical scavenger capacity was determined according to the method of Gutteridge.²⁰ A
184 0.1 mL of 2-deoxy-D-ribose solution (50 μ mol/L) in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) was mixed with
185 20 μ L of extract (concentration range – 12.5 to 200 mg/mL) or solvent in control, 0.1 mL H_2O_2
186 (0.015%), 0.1 mL FeSO_4 (10 mmol/L) and subsequently diluted with 2.7 mL of phosphate buffer
187 (pH 7.4). Amounts of 3 millilitres of phosphate buffer and 20 μ L of extract were added in the
188 blank probe. After incubation at 37 °C for 1 h, 0.2 mL of EDTA solution (0.1 mol/L) was added
189 to all the samples. Thiobarbituric acid-reactivity was developed by adding 2 mL of aqueous
190 mixture with TBA (3.75 mg/mL), HClO_4 (1.3%), and trichloroacetic acid (0.15 g/mL)) and
191 afterwards heating at 100°C for 10 min. The absorbance of cooled mixtures was measured at 532

192 nm. Vitamin E was used as a positive control. All samples and the control were made in
193 triplicate. IC₅₀ values were determined.

194

195 2.8. *NO scavenger capacity*

196 Nitric oxide radical scavenging capacity measurement was based on method of Lesjak *et*
197 *al.*²¹ The reaction mixture composed of sodium nitroprusside (10 mmol/L, 75 µL), phosphate
198 buffer, pH 7.4 (75 µL) and extract (10 µL, concentration range – 2.5 to 100 mg/mL) or standard
199 solution (α -tocopherol) was incubated for 90 min at 25 °C. Amounts of 10 µL of extract and 150
200 µL of buffer were added in the blank probe. After incubation, 150 µL of solution containing
201 equal amounts of sulfanilamide (2% in 4% phosphoric acid) and N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine
202 dihydrochloride (0.2%) was added to the reaction mixture and was left to stand for 3 min. The
203 absorbance of solutions was measured at 546 nm against appropriate blanks. All samples and
204 control were made in triplicate. IC₅₀ values were determined.

205

206 2.9. *Reducing power*

207 The reducing power was determined according to the method of Oyaizu.²² Each of the
208 extracts (1-10 mg/ml) in methanol (1 ml) was mixed with 2.5 ml of 200 mM sodium phosphate
209 buffer (pH 6.6) and 2.5 ml of 1% potassium ferricyanide. Reaction mixture was incubated at 50
210 °C for 20 min and then 2.5 ml of 10% trichloroacetic acid was added. The mixture was
211 centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 minutes. The upper layer (2.5 ml) was mixed with 2.5 ml of
212 deionised water and 0.5 ml of 0.1% ferric chloride, and the absorbance was measured at 700 nm
213 against a blank. Vitamin E (α -tocopherol) was used as a control.

214

215 2.10. Determination of total phenolics

216 Phenolic content of mushroom extracts was assayed using the method given in the
217 literature.²³ One hundred microlitre of 0.5% mushroom extracts were mixed with 500 µl of Folin-
218 Ciocalteu reagent and 400 µl of 7.5% sodium carbonate solution in test tubes. After being
219 vortexed and incubated in dark for 2 hours, absorbance was measured at 740 nm. The
220 concentrations of phenolic compounds were calculated from the standard gallic acid graph and
221 expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per g of dry extract:

$$222 \text{ Absorbance} = 0.0113 \text{ gallic acid (mg)} + 0.0019 \quad (R^2 : 0.9997)$$

223 All the assays for measuring of scavenging effect and reducing power, and determination
224 of total phenolics were carried out in triplicate and the mean values were calculated.

225

226 2.11. LC-MS/MS analysis of the selected phenolics

227 LC-MS/MS analysis of the selected phenolics was done after Orcic *et al.*²⁴ Extracts were
228 diluted with mixture of mobile phase solvents A (0.05% aqueous formic acid) and B (methanol),
229 in 1:1 ratio, to obtain a final concentration of 2 mg/mL. Working standards, ranging from 1.53
230 ng/mL to 25.0×10^3 ng/mL (15 standards), were prepared by serial 1:1 dilutions of standard
231 mixture with solvent (A:B=1:1). Samples were analyzed with Agilent Technologies 1200 Series
232 HPLC coupled with Agilent Technologies 6410A Triple Quad tandem mass spectrometer with
233 electrospray ion source, controlled by Agilent Technologies MassHunter Workstation software –
234 Data Acquisition (ver. B.03.01). Injection volume was 5 µL. Compounds were separated with
235 Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 (50 mm x 4.6 mm, 1.8 µm) rapid resolution column heated at 50 °C.
236 Mobile phase was delivered at flow rate of 1 mL/min in gradient mode (0 min 30% B, 6 min 70%
237 B, 9 min 100% B, 12 min 100% B, re-equilibration time 3 min). Eluted components were
238 detected by MS, using the ion source parameters as follows: nebulization gas (N₂) pressure 40

239 psi, drying gas (N₂) flow 9 L/min and temperature 350 °C, capillary voltage 4 kV, negative
240 polarity. Data were acquired in dynamic MRM mode, using the optimised compound specific
241 parameters. For all the compounds, peak areas were determined using Agilent MassHunter
242 Workstation Software – Qualitative Analysis (ver. B.03.01). Limits of determination of the
243 applied method for the studied compounds were in the range from 0.004 µg/mL to 0.04 µg/mL,
244 while the limits of quantitation were from 0.01 µg/mL to 0.02 µg/mL. Calibration curves were
245 plotted and samples' concentrations calculated using the OriginLabs Origin Pro (ver. 8.0)
246 software.

247

248 **3. Results and discussion**

249

250 *3.1. Concentration of elements*

251 Elements concentrations of the investigated mushroom species were measured on a dry
252 weight basis (d.w.). It should be stressed that there is a consensus that dry weight of mushrooms
253 is 10% of their fresh weight.^{14,25} In this study, concentrations of 9 trace elements (Pb, As, Cd, Fe,
254 Ni, Cu, Co, Cr and Mn) have been determined. The conventionally adopted as heavy elements
255 studied in the experiments are Pb, As and Cd.^{9,11} The levels of trace elements in the analyzed
256 samples have been shown in Table 2.

257 Concentrations of the elements in mushrooms are generally species-dependent. The trace
258 elements contents of the species mainly depend on the ability of the species to extract elements
259 from the substrate, and on the selective uptake and deposition of elements in their tissues.
260 Furthermore, biochemical and chemical parameters of the substrate, the age of mycelium,
261 substrate composition and the interval between fructification events can affect concentrations of
262 the elements in mushrooms.^{9,11,14,25}

263 [Insert Table 2 about here]

264 Results from a great number of original papers, dealing with heavy elements in edible
265 mushrooms show that cadmium, arsenic and lead are the elements of toxicological importance.¹⁰⁻
266 ¹² In the present study, the contents of Pb and Cd did not exceed the statutory limits of 0.3 and
267 0.2 mg/kg fresh weight, respectively, for edible mushrooms (corresponding to 2.0 and 3.0 mg/kg
268 dry weight), set by the EU.²⁶ In the study of Garcia *et al*¹³ *C. comatus* had the highest Pb content
269 among 28 species of edible mushrooms collected in the northern parts of Spain. According to
270 them, *C. comatus* is the mushroom with high capacity of Pb accumulation. They have measured
271 mean value of 3.6 mg/kg dry weight which is under maximum allowed concentration. On the
272 contrary Cocchi *et al*²⁷ in the study of 60 mushroom species, showed that *C. comatus* had Pb
273 level below allowed concentration which is also observed in the present study.

274 There is presently no legislation for arsenic in mushrooms within the EU. There are only
275 recommendations on intake limitations with provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) value of
276 0.003 mg/kg body weight or 0.18 mg for an average consumer (considering 60 kg of body
277 weight).²⁸ PTWI value for Cd is 0.006 mg/kg body weight or 0.36 mg for an average consumer.²⁹
278 According to EFSA³⁰ two toxicological reference dietary intake values of Pb are identified for
279 adults: 0.00063 mg/kg body weight/day (or 0.04 mg) for nephrotoxic effects and 0.0015 mg/kg
280 body weight/day (or 0.09 mg) for cardiovascular effects. These values correspond to 0.04 and
281 0.09 mg for Pb, 0.05 mg for Cd and 0.03 mg for As, on a daily basis. In addition, for intake
282 calculations, 30 g portion of dry weight mushrooms per meal is assumed.^{9,11,31} The element
283 intakes as mg per serving are presented in Table 3. By comparison of these three mushrooms it
284 can be noticed that *G. lucidum* has highest concentration of Pb and Cd, and *C. comatus* has
285 highest concentration of As, but these values are within safe limits being for *G. sinensis* 10% (for
286 nephrotoxic effects) and 4% (for cardiovascular effects), 6% and 3% of toxicological references

287 for Pb, Cd and As, respectively.^{26,28-31} Therefore, the intake of elements of toxicological
288 importance (Pb, Cd, As) by consumption of 30 g portion of dry weight mushrooms daily poses no
289 risk.

290 We could not reach any record for element content of commercial, cultivated or wild-
291 growing *G. lucidum* and *C. sinensis* in the literature. As far as the literature survey could as
292 certain, elements levels of only wild-growing *C.comatus* has previously been evaluated.^{13,27,32,33}

293 Among investigated trace elements iron was the element with the highest concentration in
294 all three studied mushrooms. The highest Fe content was found in the samples of *G. lucidum*
295 (989.18 ± 56.38) whereas the lowest was in *C. sinensis* (98.14 ± 1.16). In the study of Yamac *et*
296 *al*³² the range of Fe concentrations was between 110 and 11460 mg/kg in 15 different mushroom
297 species. Among these investigated species the second highest Fe concentration was determined as
298 3640 mg/kg in the sample of *C. comatus*. Wide variations in iron content are evident from data of
299 numerous studies which confirms our findings.^{11,14,25,33,34}

300 Fe is followed with Cr and Ni in the samples of *G. lucidum* and with Cu in the samples of
301 *C. sinensis* and *C. comatus*. From the data for numerous species, usual chromium contents were
302 between 0.5 and 5 mg/kg d.w., less frequently between 5 and 10 mg/kg d.w. and in only several
303 reports above 10 mg/kg d.w.^{9,11,14,32-34} The highest Cr concentration determined here is $136.33 \pm$
304 15.27 mg/kg d.w. in *G. lucidum*. However, the Cr levels in *C. sinensis* and *C. comatus* are $1.74 \pm$
305 0.48 and 3.90 ± 0.11 mg/kg d.w.

306 The reported Ni values for wild-growing mushrooms were usually from traces to 15
307 mg/kg d.w.^{9,14,32,33} The highest Ni level was reported by Demirbas.³⁵ This author determined
308 value of 145 mg/kg d.w. in *Pleurotus ostreatus*. In the present study Ni concentration in *C.*
309 *comatus* is 0.10 ± 0.02 , in *C. sinensis* is 2.18 ± 0.25 and in *G. lucidum* is 101.32 mg/kg d.w. It is

310 important to point out that Ni is an essential element for many organisms, but nutritional
311 requirements or recommended dietary allowances have not been established.^{9,14}

312 *G. lucidum* has also highest values of Mn (21.54 ± 1.582 mg/kg d.w) and Co (0.70 ± 0.04
313 mg/kg d.w) and in the case of Cu, *C. comatus* has the highest concentration (26.50 ± 2.49 mg/kg
314 d.w). Data obtained in this study about levels of Cu, Co and Mn in commercial mushroom
315 preparations is highly in agreement with those presented in other reports dealing with wild-
316 growing species.^{9,12,14,32,33}

317

318 3.2. Antioxidant activity

319 Antioxidant activity can be explained with different mechanisms of action, such as
320 inhibition of oxidizing enzymes, chelation of transition metals, transfer of hydrogen or single
321 electron to radicals, singlet oxygen deactivation, or enzymatic detoxification of reactive oxygen
322 species. Therefore, in order to extensively characterize the antioxidant potential of extracts there
323 is need for combining several different methods.²¹ Thus, methanolic solutions of DPPH,
324 hydroxyl, and nitric oxide radicals were used for testing of the radical scavenging ability of
325 mushroom extracts. Determined scavenging capacities expressed as IC₅₀ values are shown in
326 Table 4.

327 The advantage of DPPH is its stability in the radical form and being unaffected by certain side
328 reactions.³⁶ All the assessed extracts were able to reduce DPPH in dose dependent manner with
329 the IC₅₀ values ranging from 172 to 483 µg/ml. All the extracts proved to have free radical
330 scavenging activity but to different extent (Table 4). Comparing results of the present study with
331 those of other authors it can be noticed that the scavenging activity of here investigated extracts is
332 significant. As shown in Table 4 methanolic extract of *C. sinensis* has the highest scavenging
333 activity with measured IC₅₀ value of 172 µg/ml. In the study of Dong and Yao³⁷ aqueous extract

334 from cultural mycelia of *C. sinensis* demonstrated similar antioxidant action. IC₅₀ value of *C.*
335 *comatus* extract is 236 µg/ml which is considerably lower than the values from studies of Li *et*
336 *al*³⁸ (786 µg/ml of ethanolic extract) and Vaz *et al*³⁹ (256 µg/ml of ethanolic extract). The highest
337 IC₅₀ value is obtained for *G. lucidum* extract but that result is in accordance with other published
338 data on the same mushroom.^{40,41}

339 Unlike DPPH test, the scavenging effect of the *C. comatus* extract on the highly reactive
340 hydroxyl radical was higher than the two other mushroom extracts and α -tocopherol also. The
341 IC₅₀ value was not determined for *G. lucidum* extract and α -tocopherol because they reached no
342 more than 27% of inhibition in the investigated concentration range. The activity regarding
343 neutralization of the nitric oxide radical was practically the same for α -tocopherol. In this test, *C.*
344 *sinensis* extract showed highest IC₅₀ value and *C. comatus* extract did not reach 50% inhibition
345 under the same conditions. The result of well-known liposoluble antioxidant, α -tocopherol, can
346 be explained by poor solubility of it in aqueous buffers, while there is need of further
347 investigation for the explanation of the results of *G. lucidum* extract in hydroxyl radical and *C.*
348 *comatus* extract in nitric oxide radical test.²¹

349 [Insert Table 4 about here]

350
351 The assay of reducing activity was based on the reduction of Fe³⁺/ferricyanide complex.
352 Presence of reducers (antioxidants) causes the reduction of Fe³⁺/ferricyanide complex to the
353 ferrous form. Therefore, ferrous ion concentration was then monitored by measuring the
354 formation of Perl's Prussian blue at 700 nm.^{15,42} Table 5 shows the reducing power of mushroom
355 methanolic extracts as a function of their concentration. The reducing power of the mushroom
356 methanolic extracts increased with concentration. At 10 mg/ml concentration, the absorbance
357 values were higher than 1.0 for the all extracts. According to the results, the most active

358 mushroom is *C. sinensis* with an absorbance value of 1.392 ± 0.009 . At maximal concentration
359 value, this mushroom is followed by *C. comatus* and *G. lucidum*, respectively. This is highly in
360 agreement with those found in similar studies.^{37,39-41} Reducing power test confirmed DPPH test,
361 or vice versa, because same results have been achieved in both with *C. sinensis* as the most active
362 and *G. lucidum* as the least active mushroom.

363

364 [Insert Table 5 about here]

365 Phenolic substances have received special attention in the past 20 years because of their
366 putative role in the prevention of oxidative stress. The antioxidant potential of various dietary
367 phenolic compounds have been described as exerting a variety of biological actions such as free-
368 radical scavenging, chelation of metals and modulation of enzyme activity. Traditionally, plant
369 extracts, including essential oils, are considered to be a main source of phenolic compounds.
370 There are numerous studies in different experimental models showing positive antioxidant
371 activity of plants thanks to their high content of phenolic compounds.^{43,44} Recently, it has been
372 found that mushrooms contain low molecular weight compounds, such as phenols, which are
373 very efficient scavengers of peroxy radicals.^{37,39,41} Thus, the total phenolic contents of the
374 mushrooms have been also evaluated.

375 Once again, *C. sinensis* found to be the best among the investigated mushroom species.
376 The *C. sinensis* sample presented the highest content of phenolic compounds (211.667 mg
377 GAE/g of dry extract). This value is followed by *C. comatus* (151.017 mg GAE/g of dry extract)
378 and *G. lucidum* (79.109 mg GAE/g of dry extract). As shown previously, *G. lucidum* has highest
379 concentration of Ni and this can be explanation for the weakest antioxidant activity. This is
380 supported by an experiment with *Macrolepiota procera* exposed to high Ni concentrations. The

381 exposure to nickel induced oxidative stress, which has initiated an efficient antioxidant defense
382 system of mushroom.⁴⁵

383 It must be noted that Folin-Ciocalteu method, employed here for measuring total phenolic
384 content, has several analytical interferences. In this method reagent mixture of phosphotungstic
385 and phosphomolibdic acid also reacts with other non-phenolic reducing compounds leading to an
386 overestimation of the phenolic content. Nevertheless, this method is widely used prior to liquid
387 chromatography quantification of phenolic compounds.^{39,41,46} Same steps were carried out in this
388 study, after Folin-Ciocalteu method, LC-MS/MS technique was used for accurate measuring of
389 the selected phenolics.

390 As can be found in the literature, the phenolic composition of the mushrooms seems to be
391 characterized by mainly the presence of phenolic acids. This confirms our finding that myricetin,
392 phenolic compound which is not a phenolic acid, was not present in the investigated mushroom
393 samples. In general, it is assumed that only plants, not mushrooms or fungi, possess the ability to
394 synthesize flavonoids, such as myricetin.^{46,47} Further, phenolic acids can be divided into two
395 major subgroups, hydroxybenzoic acids and hydroxycinnamic acids. Hydroxybenzoic acids are
396 commonly present as a component of complex polymers like lignins, tannins and sugar
397 derivatives and hydroxycinnamic acids are mainly bound to cell-wall structural components.⁴⁶ In
398 this study *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid was found in all three mushroom extracts, being the major
399 compound in the case of *C. sinensis* and *C. comatus*. In the case of *G. lucidum*, protocatechuic
400 acid, which also belongs to hydroxybenzoic acids, was the most prominent phenolic. Gallic acid,
401 phenolic compound from the same group, was present only in the extract of *C. sinensis* in the
402 concentration similar to *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid. Phenolic compound from the hydroxycinnamic
403 acids group found here was *p*-coumaric acid, which was not the case for caffeic acid. *P*-coumaric
404 acid was detected in the samples of *C. sinensis* and *C. comatus* (Table 6). In the literature can be

405 found that wild growing *C. comatus* has excellent phenolic profile and antioxidant activity. In our
406 study, concentration of *p*-hydroxybenzoic, *p*-coumaric and protocatechuic acid in *C. comatus*
407 sample was higher from that found in the literature.³⁹

408 Taking into the consideration a sum of investigated phenolics, *C. sinensis* showed the
409 highest concentration (Table 6). Therefore, the pronounced antioxidant activity of the methanolic
410 extract of *C. sinensis*, manifested as free radical scavenging and reducing power, is possibly due
411 to its high phenolic content. To our knowledge this is the first report of LC-MS analysis of
412 phenolic compounds from *C. sinensis* mushroom.

413

414 [Insert Table 6 about here]

415

416

417

418 **4. Conclusion**

419

420 Commercial preparations of *C. sinensis* and *C. comatus* can be considered to be safe and
421 suitable food supplements included in well-balanced diets due to their favorable trace elements
422 content and as a rich source of antioxidants. On the other hand, commercial preparation of *G.*
423 *lucidum* needs to be further studied. At the end, from this study can be concluded that other
424 dietary supplements, not only plants, can reduce the amount of free radicals and be potent and
425 safe antioxidants.

426

427 **Acknowledgments**

428

429 The results presented here are obtained within the Projects No. 172050 and No. 41012 supported
430 by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

431

432

433 **References**

434

- 435 1. T. Tagami, K. Kajimura, Y. Satsuki, A. Nakamura, M. Okihashi, S. Takatori, et al. *J. Nat.*
436 *Med.-Tokyo*, 2008, **62**, 126–129.
- 437 2. R. L., Self and W. Wu, *Food Control*, 2012, **25**, 13-16.
- 438 3. K. E. Kelley, S. Hernández-Díaz, E. L. Chaplin, R. Hauser, and A. A. Mitchell, *Environ.*
439 *Health Persp.*, 2012, **120**, 379–384.
- 440 4. O. Tascone, C. Roy, J. Filippi and U. J. Meierhenrich, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2014, **406**,
441 971–980.
- 442 5. B. Schilter, C. Andersson, R. Anton, A. Constable, J. Kleiner, J. O'Brien, et al. *Food*
443 *Chem. Toxicol.*, 2003, **41**, 1625–1649.
- 444 6. M. Frenkel, D. I. Abrams, E. J. Ladas, G. Deng, M. Hardy, J. L., Capodice, et al. *Integr.*
445 *Cancer Ther.*, 2013, **20**(10), 1–16.
- 446 7. M. C. M. I. Rietjens, W. Slob, C. Galli and V. Silano, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 2008, **180**, 131–136.
- 447 8. J. P. L. Van den Berg, L. Serra-Majem, P. Coppens and M. C. M. I. Rietjens, *Food*
448 *Funct.*, 2011, **2**, 760–768.
- 449 9. M. Aloupi, G. Koutrotsios, M. Koulousaris and N. Kalogeropoulos, *Ecotox. Environ. Safe*,
450 2012, **78**, 184–194.
- 451 10. I. Turkecul, M. Elmastas and M. Tuzen, *Food Chem.*, 2004, **84**, 389–392.

- 452 11. P. K. Ouzouni, P. G. Veltsistas, E. K. Paleologos and K. A. Riganakos, *J. Agric. Food*
453 *Chem.*, 2007, **20**, 480–486.
- 454 12. J. Falandysz, A. Frankowska, G. Jarzynska, A. Dryzalowska, A. K. Kojta, and D. Zhang,
455 *J. Environ. Sci Heal B*, 2011, **46**, 231–246.
- 456 13. M. A. Garcia, J. Alonso, M. I. Fernandez, and M. J. Melgar, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009,
457 **167**, 777–783.
- 458 14. P. Kalač, *Food Chem.*, 2010, **122**, 2–15.
- 459 15. C. Sarikurkcü, B. Tepe, D. K. Semiz and M. H. Solak, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2010, **48**,
460 1230–1233.
- 461 16. J. A. Vaz, S. A. Heleno, A. Martins, G. M. M. H. Almeida Vasconcelos and I. C. F. R.
462 Ferreira, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2010, **48**, 2881–2884.
- 463 17. X. Yan, Z. Zhang, H. Yao, Y. Guan, J. Zhu, L. Zhang, et al. *Phytother. Res.*, 2013, **27**,
464 1597–1604.
- 465 18. B. Škrbić, J. Živančev and N. Mrmoš, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2013, **58**, 440–448.
- 466 19. W. Brand-Wiliams, M. E. Cuvelier and C. Berset, *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.*, 1995, **28**, 25-
467 30.
- 468 20. J. M. C. Gutteridge, *Biochem. J.*, 1987, **243**, 709-714.
- 469 21. M. M. Lesjak, I. N. Beara, D. Z. Orčić, G. T. Anačkov, K. J. Balog, M. M. Francišković,
470 et al. *Food Chem.*, 2011, **124**, 850-856.
- 471 22. M. Oyaizu, *Jpn. J. Nutr.*, 1986, **44**, 307–315.
- 472 23. G.T. Kroyer, *Innov. Food Sci. and Emerg.*, 2004, **5**(1), 101-105.
- 473 24. D. Orčić, M. Francišković, K. Bekvalac, E. Svirčev, I. Beara, M. Lesjak, et al. *Food*
474 *Chem.*, 2014, **143**, 48-53.
- 475 25. P. Kalač and L. Svoboda, *Food Chem.*, 2000, **69**, 273–281.

- 476 26. Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006, 2006. URL <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:364:0005:0024:EN:PDF>. Accessed
477 10.12.13.
- 478
- 479 27. L. Cocchi, L. Vesconi, L. E. Petrini and O. Petrini, *Food Chem.*, 2006, **98**, 277–284.
- 480 28. JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). (2011a). Evaluation of
481 certain food additives and contaminants. 72nd Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert
482 Committee on Food Additive. WHO Technical Report Series 959.
- 483 29. JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). (2011b). Evaluation of
484 certain food additives and contaminants. 73rd Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert
485 Committee on Food Additive. WHO Technical Report Series 960.
- 486 30. EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). (2010). EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the
487 Food Chain (CONTAM). Scientific Opinion on Lead in Food. Adopted on 18 March
488 2010. *EFSA J.* 8 (4), 1570 (p. 147).
- 489 31. Commission of the European Communities, Directorate-General of Health and Consumer
490 Protection. Assessment of the dietary exposure to arsenic, cadmium, lead and mercury of
491 the population of the EU member states, 2004. URL
492 [http://ec.europa.eu/food/food/chemicalsafety/contaminants/scoop_3-2-
493 11_heavy_metals_report_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/food/food/chemicalsafety/contaminants/scoop_3-2-11_heavy_metals_report_en.pdf). Accessed 10.12.13.
- 494 32. M. Yamac, D. Yıldız, C. Sarıkurkcu, M. Celikkollu and M. H. Solak, *Food Chem.*, 2007,
495 **103**, 263–267.
- 496 33. F. Zhu, L. Qu, W. Fan, M. Qiao, H. Hao and X. Wang, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 2011,
497 **179**, 191–199.
- 498 34. O. Isildak, I. Turkekul, M. Elmastas and M. Tuzen, *Food Chem.*, 2004, **86**, 547–552.
- 499 35. A. Demirbas, *Food Chem.*, 2001, **75**, 453–457.

- 500 36. R. Amarowicz, R. B. Pegg, P. Rahimi-Moghaddam, B. Barl, and J. A. Weil, *Food*
501 *Chem.*, 2004, **84**, 551–562.
- 502 37. C. H. Dong, and Y. J. Yao, *LWT – Food Sci. Technol.*, 2008, **41**, 669–677.
- 503 38. B. Li, F. Lu, X. Suo, H. Nan and B. Li, *Molecules*, 2010, **15**, 1473-1486.
- 504 39. J. A. Vaz, L. Barros, A. Martins, C. Santos-Buelga, M. H. Vasconcelos and I. C. F. R.
505 Ferreira, *Food Chem.*, 2011, **126**, 610–616.
- 506 40. R. Saltarelli, O. Ceccaroli, M. Iotti, A. Zambonelli, M. Buffalini, L. Casadei, et al. *Food*
507 *Chem.*, 2009, **116**, 143–151.
- 508 41. S. A. Heleno, L. Barros, A. Martins, M. R. P. Queiroz, C. Santos-Buelga, and I. C. F. R.
509 Ferreira, *Food Research International*, 2012, **46**, 135–140.
- 510 42. I. C. F. R. Ferreira, P. Baptista, M. Vilas-Boas, and L. Barros, *Food Chem.*, 2007, **100**,
511 1511-1516.
- 512 43. A. Gismondi, L. Canuti, M. Grispo and A. Canini, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 2014, **90**, 702-
513 708.
- 514 44. G. Di Marco, A. Gismondi, L. Canuti, M. Scimeca, A. Volpe and A. Canini, *Plant*
515 *Biology*, 2013, DOI: 10.1111/plb.12102.
- 516 45. P. Baptista, S. Ferreira, E. Soares, V. Coelho and M. D. Bastos, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*,
517 2009, **57**, 7145–7152.
- 518 46. L. Barros, M. Duenas, I. C. F. R. Ferreira, P. Baptista and C. Santos-Buelga, *Food Chem.*
519 *Toxicol.*, 2009, **47**, 1076-1079.
- 520 47. A. Gismondi, M. Serio, L. Canuti and A. Canini, *Am. J. Plant Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 1573-1580.
521
522
523

524

525

526

527

528 **Table 1.** Summary of the validation data of GFAAS method for heavy elements analysis determination

	Pb	As	Cd	Ni	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn
LOD (mg/kg)	0.003	0.04	0.001	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.30	0.002
LOQ (mg/kg)	0.003	0.13	0.001	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.61	0.010
Recovery (%)	132	74	89	60	60	90	115	125	123
RSD (%)	1	19	7	7	1	19	12	14	7

529

530 **Table 2.** Mean value \pm standard deviation (as mg/kg, dry weight basis) of investigated elements in studied dried mushroom samples

531 corrected for in house determined recoveries

Samples	Pb	As	Cd	Fe	Ni	Cu	Co	Cr	Mn
<i>C. sinensis</i>	0.130 \pm 0.097	<0.03	0.09 \pm 0.01	98.14 \pm 1.16	2.18 \pm 0.25	5.06 \pm 0.24	0.20 \pm 0.01	1.74 \pm 0.48	2.19 \pm 1.018
<i>G. lucidum</i>	0.360 \pm 0.045	0.12 \pm 0.03	0.39 \pm 0.02	989.18 \pm 56.38	101.32 \pm 2.82	13.09 \pm 2.40	0.70 \pm 0.04	136.33 \pm 15.27	21.54 \pm 1.582
<i>C. comatus</i>	0.27 \pm 0.025	0.46 \pm 0.01	0.35 \pm 0.01	452.97 \pm 9.13	0.10 \pm 0.02	26.50 \pm 2.49	0.27 \pm 0.01	3.90 \pm 0.11	14.03 \pm 2.033

532

533

534

535 **Table 3.** Daily intakes of elements as mg per serving

Samples	Pb	As	Cd	Fe	Ni	Cu	Co	Cr	Mn
<i>C. sinensis</i>	0.004 ± 0.003	<0.001	0.003 ± 0.000	2.944 ± 0.035	0.065 ± 0.008	0.152 ± 0.007	0.006 ± 0.000	0.052 ± 0.014	0.066 ± 0.031
<i>G. lucidum</i>	0.011 ± 0.001	0.004 ± 0.001	0.012 ± 0.001	29.675 ± 1.691	3.040 ± 0.085	0.393 ± 0.072	0.021 ± 0.001	4.090 ± 0.458	0.646 ± 0.047
<i>C. comatus</i>	0.008 ± 0.001	0.014 ± 0.000	0.011 ± 0.000	13.590 ± 0.274	0.003 ± 0.001	0.795 ± 0.075	0.008 ± 0.000	0.117 ± 0.003	0.421 ± 0.061

536

537

538

539

540 **Table 4.** IC₅₀ values for evaluated antioxidant assays of examined mushroom species and α-tocopherol^a

Samples	IC ₅₀ values for scavenging activity (μg/ml)		
	Radical species		
	DPPH	HO	NO
<i>C. sinensis</i>	172.2 ± 6.3	383.7 ± 16.2	299.1 ± 12.9
<i>G. lucidum</i>	482.7 ± 10.1	n.a. ^b	314.2 ± 8.3
<i>C. comatus</i>	235.7 ± 8.9	307.9 ± 6.2	n.a.
α-tocopherol	2.5 ± 0.1	n.a.	n.a.

541 ^a Values are expressed as means ± SD of three parallel measurements542 ^b n.a., 50% inhibition not achieved

543 **Table 5.** Reducing power of mushroom species expressed as absorbance of 700 nm^a

Samples	Concentration (mg/ml)				
	0.1	1	2	5	10
<i>C. sinensis</i>	-	0.142 ± 0.004	0.252 ± 0.004	0.647 ± 0.008	1.392 ± 0.009
<i>G. lucidum</i>	-	0.107 ± 0.003	0.236 ± 0.002	0.583 ± 0.004	1.018 ± 0.002
<i>C. comatus</i>	-	0.084 ± 0.003	0.196 ± 0.004	0.525 ± 0.008	1.090 ± 0.007
α-tocopherol	0.179 ± 0.001	-	-	-	-

544 ^a Values are expressed as means ± SD of three parallel measurements

545

546 **Table 6.** Concentrations of main phenolic compounds found in mushroom species (expressed as µg of phenolics per gram of
547 mushroom dry extracts).

Compound	Extract		
	<i>C. sinensis</i>	<i>G. lucidum</i>	<i>C. comatus</i>
<i>p</i> -Hydroxybenzoic acid	185.6	28.1	78.1
Protocatechuic acid	17.1	172.8	6.6
Gallic acid	140.5	< LOD ^a	< LOD
<i>p</i> -Coumaric acid	11.9	< LOD	32.4
Caffeic acid	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD
Myricetin	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD
Total phenolic compounds	355.1	200.9	117.7

548 ^a < LOD– peak not observed, concentration is lower than the LOD

549

550

551 Highlights

552

553 - Dietary supplements based on edible mushrooms from Serbian pharmacies were investigated.

554 - *C. sinensis* mushroom showed best antioxidant properties.555 - *C. sinensis* and *C. comatus* elements concentrations are within safe limits.556 - *G. lucidum* has very high level of nickel and the weakest antioxidant properties.

557

558

559

560

561