# Catalysis Science & Technology

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/catalysis

# Graphic abstract

Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst with ReO<sub>x</sub>-modified Ir-Rh alloy particles can convert furfural to 1,5-PeD in high yield.



# Performance and characterization of rhenium-modified Rh-Ir alloy catalyst for one-pot conversion of furfural into 1,5-pentanediol

# Sibao Liu, Yasushi Amada, Masazumi Tamura, Yoshinao Nakagawa\* and Keiichi Tomishige\*

Department of Applied Chemistry, School of Engineering, Tohoku University, 6-6-07, Aoba,

Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

\*Corresponding authors: Keiichi Tomishige and Yoshinao Nakagawa Department of Applied Chemistry, School of Engineering, Tohoku University Tel + Fax : +81-22-795-7214

E-mail : tomi@erec.che.tohoku.ac.jp and yoshinao@erec.che.tohoku.ac.jp

#### Abstract

One-pot selective conversion of highly concentrated furfural to 1,5-pentanediol (1,5-PeD) was carried out over Rh-added Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts through two-step reaction temperatures. Over the optimized catalyst, Rh(0.66 wt%)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, the maximum yield of 1,5-PeD was 71.1% from highly concentrated furfural (50 wt%) and 78.2% from diluted furfural (10 wt%). These values were higher than those obtained with Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> or Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed much higher activity in hydrogenation of furfural to tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol intermediate in the low temperature step than Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, although the hydrogenation activity was lower than that of Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. Long reaction time in the low temperature step is necessary to obtain good 1,5-PeD yield over Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> in two-step reaction of furfural. The hydrogenolysis activity of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> for tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol to 1,5-PeD in the high temperature step was higher than that of Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and was comparable to that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The characterization results of TPR, XRD, XANES, EXAFS, STEM-EDX and FT-IR of adsorbed CO indicated that Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts showed the structure of Ir-Rh alloy particles partially covered with ReO<sub>x</sub> species. The hydrogenation activity of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> for furan ring was higher than those of the mixture of Rh-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> or the mixture of Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. Both Ir-Rh alloy formation and ReO<sub>x</sub> modification of alloy particles are essential for the high hydrogenation activity.

# Keywords

hydrogenation, hydrogenolysis, furfural, rhodium, iridium

#### 1. Introduction

The sustainable production of transportation fuels and chemical products from biomass and its derived substrates are attracting more and more attentions since the shortage of fossil resources and an increasing energy demand.<sup>[1-8]</sup> Furanic compounds are promising intermediates in the production of non-petroleum-derived chemicals for their relatively low oxygen content. Furfural is produced by hydration + dehydration of hemicellulose from agricultural wastes and forest residues on an industrial scale, and it is considered as one of the top 10 chemical opportunities in biorefinery from carbohydrates.<sup>[9-12]</sup> Selective hydrogenation or total hydrogenation of furfural can give furfuryl alcohol (FFA) and tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THFA)<sup>[13-15]</sup>, which are important building blocks in the synthesis of diols, especially 1,5-pentanediol (1,5-PeD) that can be used as monomers of polyesters and polyurethanes.<sup>[16-19]</sup> Hydrogenolysis of THFA into 1,5-PeD has been developed using heterogeneous catalysts through multistep or one-step reaction. Schniepp and Geller proposed a multi-step reaction requiring the isolation and purification of intermediates and 70% yield of 1,5-PeD was obtained.<sup>[20]</sup> Tomishige and coworkers reported one-step hydrogenolysis of THFA into 1,5-PeD using Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Rh-MoO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/C or Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> at lower temperature of 373-393 K, 8 MPa.<sup>[21-27]</sup> The highest yield of 1,5-PeD reached 94%.<sup>[23]</sup> Dumesic et al.<sup>[28]</sup> also reported hydrogenolysis of THFA with good performance in the production of 1,5-PeD using Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/C (Vulcan XC-72). Chatterjee et al.<sup>[29]</sup> achieved about 73% yield of 1,5-PeD using Rh/MCM-41 in the supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>. Also some researchers have paid attention on conversion of furfuryl alcohol into diols. Adkins et al.<sup>[30, 31]</sup> conducted hydrogenolysis of furfuryl alcohol (FFA) using CuCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst at 448 K and 10-15 MPa with high conversion, and 30% of 1,5-PeD and 40% of 1,2-pentanediol (1,2-PeD) were obtained. Although hydrogenolysis of FFA or THFA can give

high yield of 1,5-PeD, the step of hydrogenation of furfural is needed. So, direct conversion of furfural into 1,5-PeD with high activity and selectively is more meaningful. Lu et al.<sup>[32]</sup> used Pt-Li/Co<sub>2</sub>AlO<sub>4</sub> catalyst to convert furfural into 1,5-PeD directly in an alcohol solvent, however the highest yield of 1,5-PeD was only 34.9%. Recently, we reported one-pot conversion furfural into 1,5-PeD over Pd-added Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst through two-step temperature reactions and the highest yield of 1,5-PeD is 71.4%.<sup>[33]</sup> Hydrogenation of furfural to THFA proceeds in lower temperature step and hydrogenolysis of THFA to 1,5-PeD proceeds in higher temperature step. However, the hydrogenolysis activity of Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was lower than Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>.



It is known that furfural can be polymerized easily to form humins under high reaction temperature and high concentration of furfural.<sup>[34]</sup> Rearrangement reactions to 1,4-disubstituted C5 compounds are also well known side-reactions in the reduction of furfural<sup>[17,19]</sup>. These side reactions remarkably decrease the target 1,5-PeD production. However, conversion of highly concentrated furfural makes large-scale industrial production easier in view of energy consumption. Therefore, conversion of highly concentrated furfural into 1,5-PeD with high yield would shed light on industrial application.

To improve the hydrogenolysis activity and the yield of 1,5-PeD from highly concentrated furfural, in this work, we reinvestigated the additive effect of noble metal to  $Ir-ReO_x/SiO_2$  catalyst for the one-pot aqueous phase conversion of furfural into 1,5-PeD. As a result, it was found that

Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscript

Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> is more effective than Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. We also characterized Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> with XRD, TPR, XANES, EXAFS, STEM-EDX and FT-IR of adsorbed CO.

# 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

The SiO<sub>2</sub> (G-6, BET surface area 535 m<sup>2</sup>/g) supplied by Fuji Silysia Chemical Ltd. was used as a support of the catalysts. Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts were prepared by sequential impregnation method. First, Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> samples were prepared by impregnating with an aqueous solution of Rh(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.). After evaporating the solvent and drying at 383 K for 12 h, they were impregnated with an aqueous solution of H<sub>2</sub>IrCl<sub>6</sub> (Furuya Metals Co., Ltd). After drying at 383 K for 12 h, the obtained Rh-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> samples were impregnated with an aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>ReO<sub>4</sub> (Soekawa Chemical Co., Ltd.). These catalysts were calcined in air at 773 K for 3 h after drying at 383 K for 12 h. The loading amounts of Ir and Re were 4.0 and 7.8 wt%, respectively (Re/Ir molar ratio = 2), and the loading amount of Rh is shown in the parenthesis as weight percent: i.e. Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> contains 0.66 wt% Rh. Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst with loading amount of 0.66, 4.0 and 7.8 wt% for Pd, Ir and Re, respectively, was prepared with the same procedure as that reported previously.<sup>[33]</sup> All the catalysts were used in powdered form with a granule size of <100 mesh.

# 2.2. Activity tests

Activity tests were performed in a 190-ml stainless steel autoclave with an inserted glass vessel. The catalyst was put into an autoclave together with a spinner and an appropriate amount of water and heated at 473 K with 8 MPa H<sub>2</sub> for 1 h for the reduction pretreatment. After the pretreatment, the autoclave was cooled down, and hydrogen was removed. Furfural (Wako Pure Chemical

Industries, Ltd., >95%) was put into the autoclave. The reaction mixture was composed of two layers. The upper layer was furfural-saturated aqueous solution and the bottom layer was furfural. After sealing the reactor, the air content was purged by flushing three times with H<sub>2</sub> (1 MPa, 99.99%; Nippon Peroxide Co., Ltd.). The reactor was pressurized with H<sub>2</sub> to 2.0-10.0 MPa and then heated to set temperature  $T_1$  (303-333 K). The temperature  $(T_1)$  was kept for 1-8 h (time 1). After this step (low temperature step), the reaction temperature was increased to another set temperature  $T_2$  (353-413 K). The temperature increase took us about 30 min. The temperature ( $T_2$ ) was kept for 0-48 h (time 2). These parameters ( $T_1$ , time 1,  $T_2$ , and time 2) were described for each result. The stirring rate was 500 rpm. After reaction, the reactor was cooled down and the gases were collected in a gas bag. The autoclave contents were transferred to a vial and diluted with the mixture of ethanol and water, and then the catalyst was separated by centrifugation and filtration. The products were analyzed using two kinds of gas chromatographs (Shimadzu GC-2014) equipped with FID. A TC-WAX capillary column (diameter 0.25 mmø, 30 m) or an Rtx-1-PONA capillary column (diameter 0.25 mmø, 100 m) were used for separation. The products were also identified using GC-MS (QP5050, Shimadzu). The products were furfuryl alcohol (FFA), tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THFA), 1,2,5-pentanetriol (1,2,5-PeT), 1,5-pentanediol (1,5-PeD), 1,4-pentanediol (1,4-PeD), 1,2-pentanediol (1,2-PeD), 1-pentanol (1-PeOH), 2-pentanol (2-PeOH), 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (2-MTHF), *n*-pentane, C-C cracking products (such as methane) and other products that could not be identified ("Others"). The amount of gas products (n-pentane and methane) were very small and they were included in "Others". The products were quantified by the external standard method using an aqueous solution of each product with known concentration. The conversion and selectivity were calculated on carbon basis similar to that reported previously.<sup>[35]</sup>

Conversion [%] =  $\frac{\text{mol of furfural after reaction}}{\text{mol of furfural charged}} \times 100$ Yield of products [%-C]= $\frac{\text{mol}_{\text{product}} \times \text{C atoms in product}}{\text{mol}_{\text{furfural charged}} \times \text{C atoms in furfural}} \times 100$ Yield of unidentified products [%-C]=(Conversion – the sum of yield of products) × 100 TOF(CO)(h<sup>-1</sup>)= $\frac{\text{product formation rate (mol·h<sup>-1</sup>)}}{\text{adsorption of CO (mol)}}$ 

The used catalyst was washed with excess water and dried in air, and then calcined at 573 K or 773 K for 3 h. A slight loss (<8% in weight) was observed during the recovery process and was compensated with fresh catalyst in each reuse experiment. The amount of eluted metal during the reaction was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, Thermo Scientific iCAP 6500).

# 2.3. Catalyst characterization

Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) was carried out in a fixed-bed reactor equipped with a thermal conductivity detector using 5% H<sub>2</sub> diluted with Ar (30 ml/min). The amount of catalyst was 0.05 g, and the temperature was increased from room temperature to 1123 K at a heating rate of 10 K/min. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded by a diffractometer (Rigaku MiniFlex 600) under air.

The extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra were measured at the BL01B1 station at SPring-8 with the approval of the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (JASRI; Proposal No. 2013B1037). The storage ring was operated at 8 GeV, and a Si (111) single crystal was used to obtain a monochromatic X-ray beam. Two ion chambers for  $I_0$  and I were filled with 85% N<sub>2</sub>+15% Ar and 50% N<sub>2</sub>+50% Ar, respectively, for Re  $L_3$ -edge and Ir  $L_3$ -edge measurements, and 100% Ar and 100% Ar for Rh *K*-edge measurement. We prepared the samples after the reduction. The reduction process was carried out in an autoclave. The standard reduction conditions

were the same as in activity tests. After cooling, the catalyst powder was transferred to the measurement cell in a glove bag filled with nitrogen. The thickness of the cell filled with the powder was 2 mm to give an edge jump of 1.2, 1.7 and 0.2 for Ir  $L_3$ -edge, Re  $L_3$ -edge and Rh K-edge measurement, respectively, in the case of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The EXAFS data were collected in a transmission mode. For EXAFS analysis, the oscillation was first extracted from the EXAFS data using a spline smoothing method.<sup>[36]</sup> Fourier transformation of the  $k^3$ -weighted EXAFS oscillation from the k space to the r space was performed to obtain a radial distribution function. The inversely Fourier filtered data were analyzed using a usual curve fitting method.<sup>[37, 38]</sup> For curve fitting analysis, the empirical phase shift and amplitude functions for the Re-O, Ir-Ir and Rh-Rh bonds were extracted from data for NH<sub>4</sub>ReO<sub>4</sub>, Ir powder and Rh foil, respectively. Theoretical functions for the Ir-Rh, Re-Ir, Re-Rh and Rh-Ir bond were calculated using the FEFF8.2 program.<sup>[39]</sup> The Re-Ir, Ir-Ir and Rh-Ir bonds are represented by the Re-Ir (or -Re), Ir-Ir (or -Re) and Rh-Ir (or -Re), respectively, in the curve fitting results. This is because it is very difficult to distinguish between Ir and Re as a scattering atom. Analyses of EXAFS data were performed using a computer program (REX2000, ver. 2.5.9; Rigaku Corp.). Error bars for each parameter were estimated by stepping each parameter, while optimizing the other parameters, until the residual factor becomes two times as its minimum value.<sup>[40]</sup>

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) photographs and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis were taken by means of the instrument (JEM-2010F, JEOL) operated at 200 kV. The sample powders after the reduction were dispersed in 2-propanol by supersonic wave and put on Cu grids for TEM observation under atmosphere.

Catalysis Science & Technology Accepted Manuscript

FT-IR spectra were recorded by a Nicolet 6700 FT-IR with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> in a diffuse reflectance mode, using an in situ cell (Thermo Spectra-Tech) equipped with a sample cup, heater and ZnSe windows. The powder of catalyst was placed in the sample cup. The cell was connected to the gas flow system. Pretreatment was carried out in H<sub>2</sub> flow at 473 K for 1 h. CO was introduced by pulses with N<sub>2</sub> as a carrier gas at room temperature until the CO coverage reached the saturation level. The FT-IR spectra were collected at room temperature. The amount of CO chemisorption was measured in a high-vacuum system using a volumetric method in the vacuum line. Before adsorption measurements, the catalysts were reduced in H<sub>2</sub> at 473 K for 1 h. Subsequently the adsorption equilibrium, the sample weight and the dead volume of the apparatus was about 1.1 kPa, 0.15 g and 43 cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Adsorption amount of CO is represented as the molar ratio to Rh (Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub>), Ir (Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>) and Ir + Rh (Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>).

# 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1 Conversion of furfural into 1,5-PeD over different catalysts

In our previous research, catalyst screening was conducted using diluted furfural (10 wt%) and one-step temperature condition at 393 K.<sup>[33]</sup> At that condition, Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst showed higher yields of 1,5-PeD than other noble-metal-added Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts including Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. However, the highest 1,5-PeD yield was obtained with two-step reaction, 313 K for 8 h and 373 K for 72 h. In this study we re-evaluated the additive effect of noble metal to Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> using highly concentrated furfural (50 wt%) and two-step reaction (313 K for 8 h and 373 K for 24 h).

First, we investigated the reactions during the low temperature step and the heating to  $T_2$  over various catalysts (Table 1). Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst gave FFA very selectively during the low

temperature step (Entry 1).<sup>[13]</sup> During the heating from  $T_1$  to  $T_2$ , the hydrogenation of furan rings didn't proceed over Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Entry 8), and side-reactions such as polymerization began to start, as reported earlier.<sup>[33]</sup> On the other hand, both Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed good yield of THFA after the low-temperature step (Table 1, Entries 2-3). Compared to Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst which has been determined as the best catalyst in the previous study,<sup>[33]</sup> larger amount of FFA remained over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, indicating that Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> has lower hydrogenation activity. The lower performance of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> than Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> in the previous report<sup>[33]</sup> may be due to the too short reaction time at low temperature in the activity tests for catalyst selection. When heated to 373 K, almost all the FFA was converted into THFA over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Entry 9). However, the selectivities to other products of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> were lower than those of Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, and the THFA yields were similar for both catalysts (Entry 10). GC-MS analysis suggested that "others" of Entries 2 and 3 contained significant 5-hydroxy-2-pentanone amount of and 1,5-dihydroxy-2-pentanone. These compounds are precursors of 1,4-pentanediol (1,4-PeD) and 1,2,5-pentanetriol (1,2,5-PeT). The physical mixtures of Rh(0.66)/SiO<sub>2</sub> with Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed only 6.6% yield of THFA after the low temperature step (Entry 4). The yield of THFA was still very low even after the reaction temperature reached  $T_2$  (Entry 11). On the other hand, the physical mixtures of Pd(0.66)/SiO2 with Ir-ReOx/SiO2 showed much higher yield of THFA than that of physical mixture of Rh(0.66)/SiO<sub>2</sub> with Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Entries 4,7, 11 and 14). From these results, it could be concluded that the synergistic interaction among Rh, Ir and ReO<sub>x</sub> in Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> enhanced the hydrogenation rate of furan ring.

The reaction results after high temperature step are listed in Table 2. Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst showed higher 1,5-PeD yield than Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Entry 5). Different Rh loading amount (Entries 1-8) were tested. The best performance was obtained when Rh loading amount was 0.66 wt%. In these conditions, over Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst significant amount of THFA intermediate remained in the reaction mixtures (Entry 9). When reaction time was prolonged to 48 h, the yield of 1,5-PeD over Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst was still lower than that over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst and larger amount of 1-pentanol was formed (Entry 10). The physical mixture of Rh(0.66)/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed only 2.1% of 1,5-PeD (Entry 11) while the mixture of Pd(0.66)/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed 35.0% yield of 1,5-PeD (Entry 12). Compared to Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, the synergistic interaction among Rh, Ir and ReO<sub>x</sub> in Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was more obvious.

The catalysts were prepared with sequential impregnation method, and drying at 383 K was conducted between the impregnation steps. We also prepared Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> samples with different preparation procedures: (A) successive impregnation with calcination after each drying process and (B) co-impregnation. However, the activity tests showed that both of samples A and B had lower hydrogenation activity than the catalyst prepared by standard method (Table S1). It should be noted that black precipitate was formed when the three precursors were mixed together in water. Preparation by these procedures ((A) or (B)) was unsuitable to develop the synergistic interaction.

Conversion of diluted furfural (10 wt%) was also conducted over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. The yields of 1,5-PeD over both Rh(0.66)- and Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts were higher and those of unidentified products were lower than in

the case of highly concentrated furfural, for the intrinsic polymerization property of highly concentrated furfural (Entries 15 and 17). After 24 h high-temperature step reaction, the yield of 1,5-PeD over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was higher than that over Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Entries 15 and 17). When the reaction time was prolonged to 32 h, the highest yield of 1,5-PeD over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> reached 78.2% in the low concentration of furfural conversion (Entry 16). This value was higher than the maximum yield over Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (71.4%). In addition, the reaction time is much shorter than the time required to obtain the highest yield over Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (72 h).

Fig. 1 shows the effect of reaction times on the conversion of furfural over Rh(0.66)- and Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. For simplicity, the yields of the main products such as FFA, THFA, 1,2,5-PeT and 1,5-PeD are displayed. The data in detail are shown in Tables S2 and S3 (ESI). In the low-temperature step, almost all the furfural was converted over both Rh(0.66)- and Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts in 1 h, and the main products were FFA and THFA. As time going, FFA was further hydrogenated into THFA, indicating that the formation of THFA originated from furfural via selective hydrogenation of furfural into FFA and subsequent hydrogenation of furan rings. The rate of hydrogenation of furan ring as determined by the slope of THFA yield during 1-3 h was higher over Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> than that over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The high activity of Pd-added catalyst may be due to the strong interaction between the Pd metal and  $\pi$  electrons of the FFA molecule resulting from rehybridization of sp<sup>2</sup> to sp<sup>3</sup> of C on the Pd surface.<sup>[41]</sup> The yield of 1,2,5-PeT increased as reaction time was prolonged. The production of 1,2,5-PeT originates from FFA by ring-opening acid hydrolysis reaction and subsequent hydrogenation.<sup>[42]</sup> The hydrogenation

373 K, the product distributions were almost the same over Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. In the case of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, hydrogenation of FFA was not complete after 8 h. However, it proceeded quickly during the heating to  $T_2$ . At high-temperature step, 1,5-PeD began to be produced over both catalysts. As reaction time going, the yield of 1,5-PeD increased gradually while THFA and 1,2,5-PeT decreased, suggesting that both THFA and 1,2,5-PeT were the intermediates of 1,5-PeD. Based on reaction time 4 h (as time 2), the formation rate of 1,5-PeD over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst was 2.3 times higher than that of Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. The difference was even larger in TOF(CO) values (190  $h^{-1}/57 h^{-1}$ ). These data indicate the higher hydrogenolysis activity of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. To compare the hydrogenolysis activity of these catalysts more clearly, catalytic tests using 50 wt% THFA as reactant were also conducted (Table 3). Indeed, the hydrogenolysis activity of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was higher than that of Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and it was comparable to that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The selectivities to 1,5-PeD were very high for all of these three catalysts and no 1,2,5-PeT was formed over all the catalysts. Therefore, higher performance of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> is originated from high selectivity in the hydrogenation of furfural and high activity in the hydrogenolysis reaction. Formation of 1,2,5-PeT intermediate was probably due to the hydrolysis and hydrogenation of FFA. The possible reaction routes was shown in Scheme 1.

# 3.2 Effect of reaction conditions

Table 4 shows the effect of reaction temperature on production of 1,5-PeD from furfural over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> under 6 MPa of hydrogen. After low temperature step, the yield of THFA increased as  $T_1$  increased from 303 K to 323 K and the yield decreased at 333 K (Entries 1-4). At  $T_1$  = 333 K, the selectivity to "Others" was very high and the colour of solution was pale yellow, while

at lower  $T_1$  the solutions were almost colourless. This suggests that polymerization of furfural proceeded at high  $T_1$ . After high temperature step, the yield of 1,5-PeD was lower and that of 1,4-PeD and 1,2,5-PeT was higher when higher  $T_1$  was applied (Entries 5-8). This indicated that high  $T_1$  leads rearrangement side reaction to 1,4-PeD<sup>[19]</sup> and hydrolysis + hydrogenation reaction to 1,2,5-PeT<sup>[42]</sup>. In addition, as  $T_1$  increased, yield of unidentified products increased even after high temperature step. Therefore, lower  $T_1$  was more preferred to the production of 1,5-PeD. As the temperature of high-temperature step ( $T_2$ ) increased from 353 K to 413 K, the yield of 1,5-PeD showed a volcano-type performance and that of THFA and 1,2,5-PeT, which are the intermediates of 1,5-PeD, decreased gradually (Entries 9-12). The overhydrogenolysis product 1-pentanol increased quickly as reaction temperature increased. As a result, 373 K was optimum. It should be noted that the yield of 1-pentanol reached 34.6% when reaction temperature was 413 K while 1-pentanol is very attractive for gasoline components for its moderate vapor pressure and low water solubility.<sup>[43]</sup>

Table 5 shows the effect of hydrogen pressure on conversion of furfural over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. A large increases in the 1,5-PeD yield and conversions of THFA and 1,2,5-PeT occurred when the H<sub>2</sub> pressure was elevated from 2 to 8 MPa, in consistent with the first-order dependence of hydrogenolysis rate over Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>[27]</sup> The highest yield of 1,5-PeD was 71.1% when the pressure was 8 MPa (Entry 4). The yield of unidentified products decreased sharply as H<sub>2</sub> pressure increased. This is probably because low H<sub>2</sub> pressure decreases the hydrogenation rate of furfural to THFA, and reaction time of low temperature step becomes too short. However, hydrogen pressure increased further to 10 MPa, the yield of 1,5-PeD decreased

slightly and the overhydrogenolysis product 1-pentanol increased with an increase in pressure (Entry 5).

# 3.3 Catalyst stability

Table 6 lists the results of the activity test of the reused Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The method of the catalyst reuse was as follows: the catalyst was washed with water and dried at 383 K for 12 h and calcined in air at 573 K or 773 K for 3 h. Before the activity test, the reduction pretreatment was carried out in the same way for the fresh catalyst. First, the used catalyst was calcined at 773 K, similarly to the cases with Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub><sup>[44,45]</sup> or Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub><sup>[33]</sup>. The 1,5-PeD yield was decreased with reuses in both cases for concentrated and diluted furfural. This is contrast to the case of Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> that showed similar activity after reuses.<sup>[33]</sup> To identify the cause of the catalyst deactivation, Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) and XRD characterizations were conducted. ICP analysis of the reaction solution after the catalyst had been removed by filtration showed no leaching of Ir and Rh (below detection limit) and slight leaching of Re (0.8-2.8% for each run, Table 6). The XRD peaks (Fig. S1, ESI) of the catalysts after the 3<sup>rd</sup> run became sharper than those of the catalysts after reduction and the 1<sup>st</sup> reaction, indicating the aggregation of metal during regeneration. The aggregation could be the reason for the decrease in the 1,5-PeD formation. We further conducted the reuse test with low calcination temperature (573 K) during regeneration process in order to suppress aggregation. However, the 1.5-PeD yield was more significantly decreased (Table 6). The XRD pattern of reused catalyst showed aggregation similarly to the case with 773 K calcination (Fig. S1). Therefore, Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst more easily aggregates than Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, resulting lower stability. The more rapid deactivation of used catalyst after

#### **Catalysis Science & Technology**

573 K calcination may be due to formation of carbonaceous deposit which can be removed by calcination at higher temperature.

# 3.4 Catalyst characterization

The profiles of temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> after calcination are shown in Fig. 2 and the results including the consumption of H<sub>2</sub> and the calculated valence of Re are listed in Table 7. In the case of the monometallic catalysts, Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> was reduced at very low temperature (Fig. 2a). Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> was completely reduced at 600 K (Fig. 2b) while ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was partially reduced at 800 K to the valence of +1.6 (Fig. 2c).<sup>[44]</sup> In the cases of the bimetallic catalysts,  $Ir-ReO_x/SiO_2$  showed only one large peak centered at around 460 K (Fig. 2f).<sup>[44, 45]</sup> Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed two peaks between 365 K and 436 K (Fig. 2e). Rh-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed one broad peak at temperature range between Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2d). In the case of trimetallic catalysts, Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed only one broad signal when loading amount of Rh was below 1 wt%. The reduction temperature range was lower than Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> indicating the presence of Rh promoted the reduction of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. In the case of the catalysts with loading amount of Rh  $\leq$  0.5 wt%, the H<sub>2</sub> consumption signal was shifted to low temperature range with increasing Rh amount (Fig 2g-i). The reduction temperature was almost unchanged by more Rh addition (Fig. 2j-1). When the loading amount of Rh was 2 wt%, three signals appeared (Fig. 2m). The two signals at lower temperature may be assigned to Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> reduction, suggesting that Ir-free Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub> species existed on Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. The peak areas in the profiles of Ir-ReOx/SiO2 and Rh-Ir-ReOx/SiO2 corresponded to the reduction of Re species to the valence of 2-5 in addition to the total reduction of Ir and Rh. The valence of Re in Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was lower than that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, demonstrating that the addition of Rh

promoted the reduction of Re species (Table 8). The valence of Re species after reduction was also determined by Re  $L_3$ -edge XANES (3.1 for Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and 2.3-2.4 for Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>). Similarly to TPR results, the valence of Re in Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was lower than that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The difference in the valence from the XANES analysis and TPR can be explained by the difference of pretreatment conditions between  $P_{H2} = 8$  MPa in water in XANES/EXAFS measurement and  $P_{H2} = 0.05$  atm in N<sub>2</sub> flow in TPR. Similar tendency in the valence difference was also observed in the cases of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> <sup>[44-46]</sup>, Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub><sup>[47]</sup> and Pt-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>[48, 49]</sup> The spectra and the detailed analysis of Re  $L_3$ -edge XANES are shown in Fig. 3. We have reported that the Re  $L_3$ -edge XANES analysis of Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, and the valence of Re was determined to be 3.1. The activity trend of these catalysts (Table 3); Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> > Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> > Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> for hydrogenolysis is different from the order of the valence of Re.

Figure 4 shows XRD patterns of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after reaction and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Rh-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub>, ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> after reduction with 8 MPa H<sub>2</sub> at 473 K for 1 h. In the cases of monometallic catalysts, Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed the peaks at  $2\theta = 41.3^{\circ}$ , 47.3° and 69.1° which were assigned to Ir metal.<sup>[50]</sup> Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed no diffraction peaks, because of low Rh amount and / or the high dispersion. ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed small peaks at  $2\theta = 37.5^{\circ}$ , 40.5° and 42.9° which were assigned to Re metal<sup>[51]</sup>. It should be noted that most Re species were in the oxidized state based on the TPR and XANES results. In the cases of bimetallic catalysts, Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> also showed no peaks, suggesting that Rh and Re species were highly dispersed. Rh-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> exhibited the pattern which was similar to Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst but the peak of metal (111) was shifted to smaller angle indicating the formation of Rh-Ir alloy. Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed the pattern whose peak positions were almost the same as those of Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> while the peaks were broadened by the addition of Re, as reported earlier.<sup>[44]</sup>

#### **Catalysis Science & Technology**

The peaks corresponding to Re metal or ReO<sub>x</sub> were not detected at all. These results indicate that Ir metal and highly dispersed Re species are present and the average metal particle size of Ir was decreased by addition of Re<sup>[44]</sup>. In the case of Rh-added Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, the XRD patterns of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> were similar to that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and the peak of metal (111) plane (around 41°) was shifted to smaller angle gradually with the increasing Rh amount, especially  $\geq$  1 wt% (Fig. 4B), from 41.3 ° (Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>) to 41.0 ° (Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>), suggesting that Rh-Ir alloy was formed.

Further characterization of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts were carried out using Ir  $L_3$ -edge, Re  $L_3$ edge and Rh K-edge EXAFS (Tables 8-10) and the detailed spectra and analyses are shown in Figs. 5-7. The Ir  $L_3$ -edge curve fitting results of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after reduction were different from that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, and this can be explained by the presence of the Ir-Rh bond, which suggests the interaction between Ir and Rh. If the curve fittings were carried out without Ir-Rh bond, the fitting was much worse than that with Ir-Rh bond (Table S4 and Fig. S2, ESI), which strongly supported the existence of Ir-Rh bond. The bond distance of the Ir-Rh bond is about 0.271-0.272 nm, which lies between the Ir-Ir bond (0.276 nm) and Rh-Rh (0.268 nm). At the same time, Ir-Ir bond distance on Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts is almost as same as that in Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> metal. The behavior of these bond lengths suggests the formation of Ir-Rh alloy with predominantly metallic Ir. The coordination number (CN) of the Ir-Rh bond increased and the CN of the Ir-Ir bond decreased with increasing Rh amount. However, the sum of coordination number (CN) of Ir-Rh and Ir-Ir in Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was 10.7-10.9 which is comparable to that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that Ir-Rh alloy particle size of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> is almost the same as that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> which was determined to be 1.9 nm.<sup>[44]</sup>

Fig. 6 shows the Re  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS spectra of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after catalytic use and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after reduction. Table 9 listed the curve fitting results. The curve fitting analysis

indicated the presence of the Re-O, Re-Ir (or -Re) in all of the samples, with bond length (*R*) of 0.203-0.205 and 0.266-0.267 nm, respectively, and CN of 1.0-1.7 and 5.3-6.1, respectively. The presence of the Re-O bond indicates that Re species is not fully reduced to the metallic state and this is supported by TPR and Re  $L_3$ -edge XANES analysis. Although the Re-Rh bond on Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was not detected, both Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed Re-Rh bond and the CN increased with the increasing Rh amount, indicating that the interaction between ReO<sub>x</sub> species and Rh species exists. If the curve fitting was carried out without Re-Rh bond, the fitting was much worse than that with Re-Rh bond (Table S5 and Fig. S3, ESI), which strongly supported the existence of Re-Rh bond. The CN of the Re-Ir (or -Re) bonds decreased with increasing Rh content, which is explained by the increase in CN of the Re-Rh bond.

The Rh *K*-edge EXAFS curve fitting analysis of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed that Rh was in metallic state (Table 10 and Fig. 7). The presence of both the Rh-Rh bond and Rh-Re (or -Ir) bond was verified. The CN of the Rh-Rh bond increased with increasing Rh content. If the curve fitting was carried out without Rh-Rh bond, the fitting was much worse than that with Rh-Rh bond (Table S6 and Fig. S4, Supplementary data), which strongly supported the existence of Rh-Rh bond. The coordination number of the Rh-Ir bond and Rh-Rh bond in Rh *K*-edge EXAFS gives the information on the miscibility. In the homogenously mixed alloy, the ratio (relative to the Rh atom) of the coordination number  $CN_{Rh-Ir}$  to  $CN_{Rh-Rh}$  is equal to the mole fraction ratio  $n_{Ir}/n_{Rh}$  of the elements in the bulk, i.e.  $CN_{Rh-Ir}/CN_{Rh-Rh} = n_{Ir}/n_{Rh}^{[52]}$ . In the case of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, it is difficult to determine  $CN_{Rh-Ir}$  directly from the result of Rh *K*-edge EXAFS analysis, because the contribution of Ir and Re as a backscattering atom is not distinguishable. Therefore,  $CN_{Rh-Ir}$  was determined by using the relationship of  $CN_{Rh-Ir} = n_{Ir}/n_{Rh} \times CN_{Ir-Rh}$ . As a result,  $CN_{Rh-Ir}$  is calculated to be 7.2, 5.0 and 2.8 for

Rh(0.66)-, Rh(1)- and Rh(2)- Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. In the case of Rh(0.66)- and Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, the ratio of coordination number  $CN_{Rh-Ir}/CN_{Rh-Rh}$  are 3.6 and 1.8, respectively, which are almost the same as the actual mole fraction ratio  $n_{Ir}/n_{Rh}$  3.3 and 2.1, respectively. This finding indicates that metallic Rh atoms can be mixed well with Ir atoms. However, in the case of Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, the ratio of coordination number  $CN_{Rh-Ir}/CN_{Rh-Rh}$  are 0.6 which is much lower than the actual mole fraction ratio  $n_{Ir}/n_{Rh}$  1.1, indicating that the miscibility of Ir-Rh alloy was low. There are two possible structures of Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> to explain the low miscibility of Ir-Rh alloy. The first one is that Rh metal particles can be partially formed without the interaction with Ir-Rh alloy. The other one is that the surface segregation of Rh species in the Ir-Rh alloy particles. On the other hand, TPR profiles gave three signals which is analogous to Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> which has been reported before<sup>[33]</sup>, suggesting that Ir-free Rh metal particles modified with ReO<sub>x</sub> species existed on Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

Based on the above results on the structural analysis, Rh(0.66)- and Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst has the structure that Ir-Rh alloy formed and ReO<sub>x</sub> species are located on Ir-Rh metals. The miscibility of Ir-Rh alloy was well. On Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, both Ir-Rh alloy partially covered with ReO<sub>x</sub> species and small Rh metal particles also partially covered with ReO<sub>x</sub> species existed on SiO<sub>2</sub> support. This property is unique for the case of Rh addition, judging from no alloy formation in the case of Pd and Ru addition.<sup>[33, 53]</sup>

STEM-EDX analysis with element mapping of the Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst was conducted to investigate the distribution of the metallic nanoparticles on the silica (Fig. 8). Fig. 8b and c showed the image of the silica support. The distribution of Si is similar to that of O. The blue area in the image of Fig. 8d is of the Ir metal phase. The green one of Fig. 8e is attributed to Rh metal

species, and the green area well overlapped the blue one. It is highly likely that the two metals formed alloy in the catalyst. For Re (Fig. 8f), it is difficult to determine the dispersion because  $\text{ReO}_x$  can be easily oxidized during the preparation of TEM-EDX samples. The image suggested higher concentration of Re on the metal particles. The Re intensity on the support might be due to the oxidized species.

The FT-IR spectra of adsorbed CO on the in situ reduced catalysts at room temperature are given in Fig. 9. The IR spectrum of  $Rh(0.66)/SiO_2$  interacting with CO at room temperature exhibited a strong band at 2072 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which can be attributed to linear adsorbed CO; a doublet at 2092 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 2034 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which can be assigned to the symmetric and asymmetric carbonyl stretching frequencies of gem-dicarbonyl Rh(I)(CO)<sub>2</sub>; and a broad peak at 1865 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is assigned to bridge-bonded CO.<sup>[54]</sup> The formation of the dicarbonyl species could be an indication of highly dispersed Rh since it is widely accepted that the dicarbonyl species can only be formed on highly dispersed rhodium.<sup>[55,</sup> <sup>56]</sup> All of the Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> didn't show the peak assigned to the bridge CO adsorption on Rh metal surface, but showed similar spectral patterns to that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> with broader absorption band indicating that Rh was in metallic state. The position of the peak top was located between 2066 and 2069 cm<sup>-1</sup> which can be assigned to the linear CO adsorbed on Ir-Rh alloy surface. In addition, metal Rh is also partially covered with the low-valent ReO<sub>x</sub> species which could not adsorb CO. In the case of Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, a small adsorption band at 2099 cm<sup>-1</sup> appeared. This peak is assigned to the symmetric carbonyl stretching frequencies of gem-dicarbonyl Rh(I)(CO)<sub>2</sub>, indicating that some Rh metal particles with smaller size existed on Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

According to the catalytic performance and the proposed catalyst model, the formation of Ir-Rh alloy partially covered with ReO<sub>x</sub> species on Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts played an important

role in catalytic performance. The physical mixture of Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts showed higher yield of THFA in the low temperature step than the physical mixture of Rh(0.66)/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts or the physical mixture of Rh(0.66)-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, indicating that Ir-Rh alloy enhanced the hydrogenation activity of furan rings (Table 1, Entries 4-6 and 11-13). However, the yield of THFA was still much lower than that of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Table 1, Entries 2, 5, 9 and 13). The yield of target product 1,5-PeD in two-step reaction (Table 2, Entries 5 and 13) was also lower. The CO adsorption amount of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (0.020 mmol) was similar to that of these mixed catalysts (0.022, 0.021 and 0.022 mmol for Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> + Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Rh(0.66)/SiO<sub>2</sub> + Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh(0.66)- $ReO_x/SiO_2$  $Ir-ReO_x/SiO_2$ , respectively). Therefore, +high performance of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> will be due to the Ir-Rh alloy modified with ReO<sub>x</sub> species. This unique structure improves the total hydrogenation performance in low temperature step which is the key step for the production of 1,5-PeD. It should be noted that Rh catalysts modified with metal oxide such as ReO<sub>x</sub> have high activity in THFA hydrogenolysis, similarly to Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst<sup>[21, 27]</sup>. On the other hand, Pd has almost no activity in THFA hydrogenolysis. Higher hydrogenolysis activity of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> than Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> may be due to the difference of intrinsic activity between Rh and Pd.

# 4. Conclusions

In one-pot two-step temperature reaction, addition of Rh to Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>) gave higher yield of 1,5-PeD from furfural in shorter total reaction time than the addition of Pd (Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>). Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> showed higher activity for hydrogenolysis of tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol intermediate to 1,5-PeD than Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and the activity was

comparable to that of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. For the total hydrogenation of furfural to tetrahydrofurfrual alcohol, Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> has lower activity, but lower selectivity to undesirable side products than Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The highest yield of 1,5-PeD was 71.1% from highly concentrated furfural (50 wt%) and 78.2% from diluted furfural (10 wt%) when using Rh (0.66 wt%)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> as catalyst. The lower performance of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> than Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> in the previous report<sup>[33]</sup> may be due to the too short reaction time for hydrogenation (at low temperature) in the activity tests. In view of stability, Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst can aggregate more easily than Pd-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>.

Characterizations of TPR, XRD, XANES, EXAFS STEM-EDX and FT-IR of adsorbed CO were performed. The results indicated that Rh(0.66)- and Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst has the structure of Ir-Rh alloy partially covered with ReO<sub>x</sub> species. However, besides ReO<sub>x</sub>-species-modified Ir-Rh alloy, small size Rh particles modified with ReO<sub>x</sub> species also existed on Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts.

High performance of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> could be attributed to its unique structure. The ReO<sub>x</sub>-species-modified Ir-Rh alloy enhanced the hydrogenation rate of furfural into tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THFA) intermediate in the low temperature step as compared to Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. THFA was converted to 1,5-pentandiol by hydrogenolysis during the high temperature step over the same ReO<sub>x</sub>-modified Ir-Rh alloy.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was in part supported by the Cabinet Office, Government of Japan through it's "Funding Program for Next Generation World-Leading Researchers". Sibao Liu thanks the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for the financial support. Authors appreciate Dr. Ya Xu for STEM-EDX observation

of the catalyst.

#### References

- [1] G. W. Huber, S. Iborra and A. Corma, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 4044-4098.
- [2] J.C. Serrano-Ruiz, R. Luque and A. Sepulveda-Escribano, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, 40, 5266-5281.
- [3] P. Gallezot, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1538-1558.
- [4] M. Schlaf, Dalton Trans., 2006, 4645-4653.
- [5] H. Kobayashi and A. Fukuoka, Green. Chem., 2013, 15, 1740-1763.
- [6] A. Corma, S. Iborra and A. Velty, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 2411-2502.
- [7] A. M. Ruppert, K. Weinberg and R. Palkovits, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 2564-2602.
- [8] M. Yabushita, H. Kobayashi and A. Fukuoka, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 2014, 145, 1-9.
- [9] J. J. Bozell and G. R. Petersen, Green. Chem., 2010, 12, 539-554.
- [10] A. S. Mamman, J. M. Lee, Y. C. Kim, I. T. Hwang, N. J. Park, Y. K. Hwang, J. S. Chang and J. S. Hwang, *Biofuels Bioprod. Biorefin.*, 2008, 2, 438-454.
- [11] R. Xing, A. V. Subrahmanyam, H. Olcay, W. Qi, G. P. van Walsum, H. Pendse and G. W. Huber, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 1933-1946.
- [12] R. Weingarten, G. A. Tompsett, W. C. Conner and G. W. Huber, J. Catal., 2011, 279, 174-182.
  [13] M. Tamura, K. Tokonami, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49,
- 7034-7036
- [14] Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, Catal. Commun., 2010, 12, 154-156.
- [15] Y. Nakagawa, H. Nakazawa, H. Watanabe and K. Tomishige, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 1791-1797.
- [16] Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, Catal. Surv. Asia, 2011, 15, 111-116.
- [17] J. P. Lange, E. van der Heide, J. van Buijtenen and R. Price, ChemSusChem, 2012, 5, 150–166.
- [18] Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, Catal. Today, 2012, 195, 136-143.
- [19] Y. Nakagawa, M. Tamura and K. Tomishige, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 2655-2668.
- [20] L.E. Schniepp and H.H. Geller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1946, 68, 1646-1648.
- [21] S. Koso, I. Furikado, A. Shimao, T. Miyazawa, K. Kunimori and K. Tomishige, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 2035–2037.
- [22]S. Koso, N. Ueda, Y. Shinmi, K. Okumura, T. Kizuka and K. Tomishige, *J. Catal.*, 2009, 267, 89–92.
- [23] K. Y. Chen, S. Koso, T. Kubota, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *ChemCatChem*, 2010, 2, 547-555.
- [24] S. Koso, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, J. Catal., 2011, 280, 221-229.
- [25] S. Koso, H. Watanabe, K. Okumura, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2012, **111-112**, 27-37.
- [26] S. Koso, H. Watanabe, K. Okumura, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 3079-3090.
- [27] K. Y. Chen, K. Mori, H. Watanabe, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, J. Catal., 2012, 294, 171-183.

- [28] M. Chia, Y.J.P. Torres, D. Hibbitts, Q. Tan, H.N. Pham, A.K. Datye, M. Neurock, R.J. Davis and J.A. Dumesic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 12675-12689.
- [29] M. Chatterjee, H. Kawanami, T. Ishizaka, M. Sato, T. Suzuki and A. Suzuki, *Catal. Sci.Technol.*, 2011, **1**, 1466-1471.
- [30] H. Adkins and R. Connor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1931, 53, 1091-1095.
- [31] R. Connor and H. Adkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1932, 54, 4678-4690.
- [32] W. Xu, H. Wang, X. Liu, J. Ren, Y. Wang and G. Lu, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 3924–3926.
- [33] S. B. Liu, Y. Amada, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *Green Chem.*, 2014, 16, 617-626.
- [34] K. Zeitsch, *The Chemistry and Technology of Furfural and its Many By-Products*, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2000
- [35] K. Y. Chen, M. Tamura, Z. L. Yuan, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *ChemSusChem*, 2013, 6, 613-621.
- [36] J.W. Cook and D.E. Sayers, J. Appl. Phys., 1981, 52, 5024-5031.
- [37] K. Okumura, J. Amano, N. Yasunobu and M. Niwa, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 1050-1057.
- [38] K. Okumura, S. Matsumoto, N. Nishiaki and M. Niwa, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2003, 40, 151-159.
- [39] A.L. Ankudinov, B. Ravel, J.J. Rehr and S.D. Conradson, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1998, **58**, 7565-7576.
- [40] K. Tomishige, K. Asakura and Y. Iwasawa, J. Catal., 1994, 149, 70-80.
- [41] R. Alamillo, M. Tucker, M. Chia, Y. Pagán-Torres and J. Dumesic, *Green Chem.*, 2012, 14, 1413-1419.
- [42] S. Sitthisa and D. E. Resasco, *Catal. Lett.*, 2011, 141, 784-791.
- [43] D. E. Resasco, S. Sitthisa, J. F. T. Prasomsri and M. Pilar ruiz, *Heterogeneous in biomass to Chemicals and Fuels*, Research Signpost, 2012, 155-188.
- [44] Y. Amada, Y. Shinmi, S. Koso, T. Kubota, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2011, 105, 117-127.
- [45] Y. Nakagawa, X. H. Ning, Y. Amada and K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, 2012, **433-434**, 128-134
- [46] Y. Amada, H. Watanabe, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa, K. Okumura and K. Tomishige, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012,**116**, 23503-23514.
- [47] Y. Shinmi, S. Koso, T. Kubota, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2010, **94**, 318-326.
- [48] T. Ebashi, Y. Ishida, Y. Nakagawa, S.-i. Ito, T. Kubota and K. Tomishige, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 6518-6526.
- [49] Y. Ishida, T. Ebashi, S.-i. Ito, T. Kubota, K. Kunimori and K. Tomishige, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 5308-5310.
- [50] X. Hong, B. Li, Y. J. Wang, J. Q. Lu, G. S. Hu and M. F. Luo, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2013, 270, 388-394.
- [51] H.-D. Kim, H. J. Park, T.-W. Kim, K.-E. Jeong, H.-J. Chae, S.-Y. Jeong, C.-H. Lee and C.-U. Kim, *Catal. Today*, 2012, **185**, 73-80.
- [52] <u>http://www.asminternational.org/asmenterprise/apd</u>.
- [53] M. Tamura, Y. Amada, S. B. Liu, Z. L. Yuan, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2013, DOI: 10.1016/j.molcata.2013.09.015.
- [54] A.C. Yang and C. W. Garland, J. Phys. Chem., 1957, 61, 1504-1512.

- [55] P. Basu, D. Panayotov and J. T. Yates, J. Phy. Chem., 1987, 91, 3133-3136.
- [56] P. Basu, D. Panayotov and J. T. Yates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2074-2081.

Page	28	of	49
------	----	----	----

<b>F</b> (		$T_1$	Time 1	$T_2$	Time 2	Conversion					Yield /	%				
Entry	Catalysts	/ K	/ h	/ K	/ h	/ %	1,5-PeD	1,4-PeD	1,2-PeD	1-PeOH	2-PeOH	THFA	FFA	2-MTHF	1,2,5-PeT	Others
1	Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	-	-	>99.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.5	96.9	0.6	0.0	< 2.0
2	Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	-	-	>99.9	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.0	58.2	14.4	1.4	4.8	19.8
3	Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	-	-	>99.9	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.1	0.0	66.8	0.0	3.6	6.5	21.0
4	Rh(0.66)/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	313	8	-	-	>99.9	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.0	6.6	86.6	0.7	0.0	5.3
5	Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>	313	8	-	-	>99.9	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.0	21.5	55.7	1.2	0.0	19.8
6	Rh(0.66)-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup>	313	8	-	-	>99.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	81.7	0.9	0.0	15.6
7	Pd(0.66)/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	313	8	-	-	>99.9	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.0	49.3	8.8	2.8	1.4	36.7
8	Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	0	>99.9	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.9	87.9	1.9	0.0	8.3
9	Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	0	>99.9	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.0	67.3	0.3	1.5	11.1	17.7
10	Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	0	>99.9	0.2	3.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	69.7	0.0	3.2	11.5	11.8
11	Rh(0.66)/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	313	8	373	0	>99.9	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.0	11.0	61.3	1.4	0.0	25.1
12	Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>	313	8	373	0	>99.9	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.0	31.2	22.2	1.6	1.4	41.2
13	Rh(0.66)-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup>	313	8	373	0	>99.9	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.0	4.8	59.9	2.7	0.0	30.9
14	Pd(0.66)/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	313	8	373	0	>99.9	0.3	2.9	0.4	0.1	0.1	56.4	0.1	3.4	6.8	29.7

PeD: pentanediol; PeOH: pentanol; THFA: tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol; FFA: furfuryl alcohol; 2-MTHF: 2-methyltetrahydrofuran; PeT: pentanetriol; Others: unidentified products.

Pretreatment: 473 K, H<sub>2</sub> (8 MPa), 1 h.

Reaction conditions: furfural (3 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (3 g), catalyst (Re/Ir=2; 300 mg), initial H<sub>2</sub> (6 MPa).

a: Physical mixture of Rh(0.66)/SiO2 and Ir-ReO3/SiO2 with the same metal amount as Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO3/SiO2. b: Physical mixture of Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO2 (300 mg) and Ir-ReO3/SiO2 (300 mg). c: Physical mixture of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO3/SiO2 (300 mg) and Ir-ReO3/SiO2 (300 mg). Rh(0.66)-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (300 mg) and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (300 mg). d: Physical mixture of Pd(0.66)/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> with the same metal amount as Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>.

# **Catalysis Science & Technology**

Γ.		$T_1$	Time 1	$T_2$	Time 2	Conversion					Yield /	%				
Entry	Catalysts	/ K	/ h	/ K	/ h	/ %	1,5-PeD	1,4-PeD	1,2-PeD	1-PeOH	2-PeOH	THFA	FFA	2-MTHF	1,2,5-PeT	Others
1	Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	1.4	1.1	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.4	9.7	1.2	0.0	85.4
2	Rh(0.1)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	2.6	12.2	1.1	0.7	0.3	20.3	0.4	3.5	14.4	44.5
3	Rh(0.3)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	58.6	5.2	1.2	7.3	0.5	8.3	0.0	2.2	9.4	7.3
4	Rh(0.5)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	64.4	4.1	0.9	7.2	0.3	7.8	0.0	2.3	6.5	6.3
5	Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	65.8	3.9	0.6	7.5	0.3	7.1	0.0	2.4	3.6	9.0
6	Rh(0.75)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	62.7	3.6	0.7	8.0	0.3	9.3	0.0	2.0	2.8	10.7
7	Rh(1)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	59.3	3.4	0.7	5.4	0.2	14.7	0.0	2.1	3.7	10.6
8	Rh(2)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	53.6	3.5	0.6	6.8	0.3	10.3	0.0	2.7	2.8	19.3
9	Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	44.5	6.1	0.5	3.3	0.3	20.9	0.0	3.7	4.6	16.0
10	Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	48	>99.9	61.5	5.4	0.4	13.2	0.7	5.0	0.0	5.0	3.3	5.4
11	Rh(0.66)/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	2.1	12.7	0.6	0.4	0.1	14.5	0.3	2.4	5.7	61.1
12	Pd(0.66)/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	35.0	4.7	0.3	2.2	0.2	16.4	0.0	3.8	4.0	33.5
13	Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	8.0	12.9	1.1	0.9	0.3	31.4	0.0	4.8	11.0	29.7
14	Rh(0.66)- ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> +Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	0.1	9.4	0.6	0.4	0.0	7.4	3.6	2.5	0.0	75.9
15 <sup>e</sup>	Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	72.4	2.8	0.7	6.3	0.2	11.8	0.0	1.4	3.5	< 2.0
16 <sup>e</sup>	Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	32	>99.9	78.2	2.8	1.2	9.5	0.2	6.4	0.0	1.4	2.0	< 2.0
17 <sup>e</sup>	Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	313	8	373	24	>99.9	55.6	5.8	0.8	3.5	0.2	24.6	0.0	2.5	3.8	3.4

**Table 2.** Conversion of furfural over different catalysts from low temperature step to high temperature step

PeD: pentanediol; PeOH: pentanel; THFA: tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol; FFA: furfuryl alcohol; 2-MTHF: 2-methyltetrahydrofuran; PeT: pentanetriol; Others: unidentified products.

Pretreatment: 473 K, H<sub>2</sub> (8 MPa), 1 h.

Reaction conditions: furfural (3 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (3 g), catalyst (Re/Ir=2; 300 mg), initial H<sub>2</sub> (6 MPa). e: furfural (1g), H<sub>2</sub>O (9 g), catalyst (Re/Ir=2; 100 mg), initial H<sub>2</sub> (6 MPa).

a: Physical mixture of Rh(0.66)/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> with the same metal amount as Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. b: Physical mixture of Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (300 mg) and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (300 mg). c: Physical mixture of Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (300 mg) and Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (300 mg).

 $Rh(0.66)-ReO_x/SiO_2 \ (300 \ mg) \ and \ Ir-ReO_x/SiO_2 \ (300 \ mg). \ d: \ Physical \ mixture \ of \ Pd(0.66)/SiO_2 \ and \ Ir-ReO_x/SiO_2 \ with \ the \ same \ metal \ amount \ as \ Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO_x/SiO_2.$ 

#### Table 3. Hydrogenolysis of THFA over different catalysts

Catalysts	Conversion			Selectivity / %		
(Re/Ir=2)	/ %	1,5-PeD	1,2-PeD	1-PeOH	2-PeOH	Others
Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	63.7	92.8	0.0	6.9	0.0	0.3
Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	39.0	94.7	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.5
Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	53.6	95.2	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.5

PeD: pentanediol; PeOH: pentanol; Others: 2-methyltetrahydrofuran+1-butanol+pentane.

Pretreatment: 473 K, H<sub>2</sub> (8 MPa), 1 h.

Reaction conditions: THFA (3 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (3 g), catalyst (300 mg), H<sub>2</sub> (6 MPa), 373 K, 2 h.

					A 2	1									
Enter	$T_1$	Time 1	$T_2$	Time 2	Conversion					Yield /	%				
Entry	/ K	/ h	/ K	/ h	/ %	1,5-PeD	1,4-PeD	1,2-PeD	1-PeOH	2-PeOH	THFA	FFA	2-MTHF	1,2,5-PeT	Others
1	303	8	-	-	>99.9	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	52.5	25.3	0.5	3.7	16.9
2	313	8	-	-	>99.9	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.0	58.2	14.4	1.4	4.8	19.8
3	323	8	-	-	>99.9	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.0	62.0	13.1	0.8	4.0	19.0
4	333	8	-	-	>99.9	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	57.6	4.2	1.7	4.2	30.3
5	303	8	373	24	>99.9	66.4	3.8	0.5	7.6	0.3	7.8	0.0	2.4	4.5	6.6
6	313	8	373	24	>99.9	65.8	3.9	0.6	7.5	0.3	8.3	0.0	2.4	3.2	8.1
7	323	8	373	24	>99.9	60.8	4.7	0.7	6.8	0.4	7.5	0.0	2.4	6.4	10.4
8	333	8	373	24	>99.9	53.8	5.2	0.7	5.7	0.3	11.7	0.0	2.6	7.3	12.7
9	313	8	353	24	>99.9	38.5	3.9	0.6	1.4	0.1	31.7	0.0	2.1	15.6	6.1
10	313	8	373	24	>99.9	65.8	3.9	0.6	7.5	0.3	8.3	0.0	2.4	3.2	8.1
11	313	8	393	24	>99.9	57.3	5.5	0.6	17.3	1.1	1.7	0.0	4.5	1.3	10.7
12	313	8	413	24	>99.9	37.7	3.1	0.2	34.6	1.9	1.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	15.9

**Table 4.** Conversion of furfural over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> at different temperature.

PeD: pentanediol; PeOH: pentanol; THFA: tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol; FFA: furfuryl alcohol; 2-MTHF: 2-methyltetrahydrofuran; PeT: pentanetriol; Others: unidentified products.

Pretreatment: 473 K, H<sub>2</sub> (8 MPa), 1 h.

Reaction conditions: furfural (3 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (3 g), catalyst (Re/Ir=2; 300 mg), initial H<sub>2</sub> (6 MPa).

Entre	Pressure	Conversion		Yield / %								
Entry	/ MPa	/ %	1,5-PeD	1,4-PeD	1,2-PeD	1-PeOH	2-PeOH	THFA	FFA	2-MTHF	1,2,5-PeT	Others
1	2	>99.9	1.5	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.0	6.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	91.2
2	4	>99.9	6.9	12.1	0.4	0.6	0.2	30.1	0.0	3.1	14.8	31.7
3	6	>99.9	65.8	3.9	0.6	7.5	0.3	8.3	0.0	2.4	3.2	8.1
4	8	>99.9	71.1	3.4	0.5	10.8	0.3	4.8	0.0	2.2	1.8	5.2
5	10	>99.9	69.8	3.8	0.5	14.0	0.4	5.6	0.0	2.5	1.8	< 2.0

**Table 5.** Conversion of furfural over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> at different pressure.

PeD: pentanediol; PeOH: pentanol; THFA: tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol; FFA: furfuryl alcohol; 2-MTHF: 2-methyltetrahydrofuran; PeT: pentanetriol; Others: unidentified products.

Pretreatment: 473 K, H<sub>2</sub> (8 MPa), 1 h.

Reaction conditions: furfural (3 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (3 g), catalyst (Re/Ir=2; 300 mg), T<sub>1</sub>: 313 K, Time 1: 8 h, T<sub>2</sub>: 373 K, Time 2: 24 h.

Usess	Calcined	Commission					Yield / %						Re leaching
Usage times	temperature / K	Conversion – /%	1,5-PeD	1,4-PeD	1,2-PeD	1-PeOH	2-PeOH	THFA	FFA	2-MTHF	1,2,5-PeT	Others	amount / %
1	-	>99.9	65.8	3.9	0.6	7.5	0.3	8.3	0.0	2.4	3.2	8.1	2.8
2	773	>99.9	54.6	3.4	0.7	4.0	0.2	22.0	0.0	1.6	7.6	5.9	0.8
3	773	>99.9	47.9	3.4	0.7	2.6	0.2	24.3	0.2	1.6	8.8	10.3	2.1
2	573	>99.9	54.6	4.5	1.1	5.1	0.3	14.9	0.0	2.1	9.4	8.0	0.8
3	573	>99.9	16.9	4.7	1.3	1.1	0.2	44.1	0.2	1.6	19.6	10.4	5.0
$1^{a}$	-	>99.9	72.4	2.8	0.7	6.3	0.2	11.8	0.0	1.4	3.5	< 2.0	0.4
2 <sup>a</sup>	773	>99.9	61.2	2.6	0.6	3.1	0.1	26.3	0.0	1.1	4.1	< 2.0	0.2
3 <sup>a</sup>	773	>99.9	45.3	2.7	0.5	1.6	0.1	32.0	0.0	1.2	7.8	8.7	0.2

**Table 6.** Reuse of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> for conversion of furfural.

PeD: pentanediol; PeOH: pentanol; THFA: tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol; FFA: furfuryl alcohol; 2-MTHF: 2-methyltetrahydrofuran; PeT: pentanetriol.

Pretreatment: 473 K, H<sub>2</sub> (8 MPa), 1 h. Calcination time before reuse: 3 h.

Reaction conditions: furfural (3 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (3 g), catalyst (Re/Ir=2; 300 mg), a: furfural (1 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (9 g), catalyst (Re/Ir=2; 100 mg). T<sub>1</sub>: 313 K, Time 1: 8 h, T<sub>2</sub>: 373 K, Time 2: 24 h.

Table 7. S	Summary	of character	rization	results
------------	---------	--------------	----------	---------

Catalant	Metal ar	nount / mi	$mol \cdot g_{cat}^{-1}$	TPR		Re $L_3$ -edge XANES	CO adsorption	Dispersion <sup>b</sup>
Catalyst	Rh	Ir	Re	$H_2$ consumption / mmol · g <sub>cat</sub> <sup>-1</sup>	Valence of Re <sup>a</sup>	Valence of Re	$/ \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}$	/ %
Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	_	0.208	0.416	0.927	4.5	3.1	0.037	18
Rh-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.064	-	0.416	1.05	2.4		0.037	52
Rh(0.1)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.010	0.208	0.416	1.27	3.0		0.061	28
Rh(0.3)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.029	0.208	0.416	1.41	2.4		0.062	26
Rh(0.5)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.049	0.208	0.416	1.36	2.8		0.060	23
Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.064	0.208	0.416	1.35	3.0	2.4	0.066	24
Rh(0.75)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.073	0.208	0.416	1.28	3.4		0.068	24
Rh(1)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.097	0.208	0.416	1.36	3.2	2.3	0.070	23
Rh(2)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.194	0.208	0.416	1.40	3.7	2.4	0.092	23
Rh(0.66)/SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.064	_	-	_	_		0.032	49
Rh(0.66)-Ir/SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.064	0.208	-	_	_		0.036	13

a: 7-2×[(amount of H<sub>2</sub> consumed, mol)-2×(Ir loading amount, mol)-3/2×(Rh loading amount, mol)]/(Re loading amount, mol).

b: Dispersion (%) = [CO adsorption amount]/[(Ir loading amount) +( Rh loading amount)] ×100

Catalyst	Shells	CN <sup>a</sup>	$R / 10^{-1} \text{ nm}^{b}$	$\sigma$ / 10 <sup>-1</sup> nm <sup>c</sup>	$\Delta E_0 / \mathrm{eV}^\mathrm{d}$	$R_{\rm f}$ / %
Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>f</sup>	Ir-Ir (or -Re)	$10.6\pm0.9$	$2.76\pm0.01$	$0.067\pm0.004$	$-1.0 \pm 1.2$	0.9
Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Ir-Ir (or -Re)	$8.6\pm0.9$	$2.76\pm0.01$	$0.068\pm0.004$	$-1.2 \pm 1.1$	1.6
	Ir-Rh	$2.2\pm0.4$	$2.72\pm0.02$	$0.081\pm0.006$	$9.8\pm3.5$	
Rh(1)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Ir-Ir (or -Re)	$8.5\pm0.8$	$2.77\pm0.01$	$0.069\pm0.003$	$-0.2\pm1.2$	1.7
	Ir-Rh	$2.4\pm0.3$	$2.72\pm0.02$	$0.081\pm0.005$	$9.2\pm3.5$	
Rh(2)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Ir-Ir (or -Re)	$7.9\pm0.7$	$2.77\pm0.01$	$0.069\pm0.004$	$0.6 \pm 1.3$	2.2
	Ir-Rh	$2.8\pm0.5$	$2.71\pm0.01$	$0.079\pm0.005$	$9.9\pm2.7$	
Ir powder	Ir-Ir	12	2.77	0.06	0	_

**Table 8** Curve fitting results of Ir  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction.

<sup>a</sup>Coordination number. <sup>b</sup>Bond distance. <sup>c</sup>Debye-Waller factor. <sup>d</sup>Difference in the origin of photoelectron energy between the reference and the sample.

<sup>e</sup>Residual factor. <sup>f</sup>After glycerol hydrogenolysis<sup>[44]</sup>. Fourier filtering range: 0.163-0.325 nm.
**Technology Accepted Manuscript** 

õ

Science

Catalysis

Catalyst	Shells	CN <sup>a</sup>	$R / 10^{-1} \text{ nm}^{b}$	$\sigma / 10^{-1} \mathrm{nm^{c}}$	$\Delta E_0 / \mathrm{eV}^\mathrm{d}$	$R_{\rm f}/\%^{\rm e}$
Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>f</sup>	Re-O	$1.7\pm0.5$	$2.03\pm0.03$	$0.080\pm0.016$	$0.6 \pm 5.0$	2.5
	Re-Ir (or -Re)	$6.1 \pm 1.1$	$2.68\pm0.01$	$0.082\pm0.006$	$8.1\pm1.8$	
Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Re-O	$1.4\pm0.4$	$2.04\pm0.02$	$0.085\pm0.010$	$-2.1\pm3.6$	1.9
	Re-Ir (or -Re)	$5.9\pm0.4$	$2.67\pm0.01$	$0.087\pm0.002$	$6.6\pm1.2$	
Rh(1)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Re-O	$1.0\pm0.2$	$2.04\pm0.03$	$0.085\pm0.008$	$-3.0\pm6.0$	2.2
	Re-Ir (or -Re)	$5.7\pm0.6$	$2.67\pm0.01$	$0.086\pm0.004$	$5.8\pm1.2$	
	Re-Rh	$0.4\pm0.2$	$2.64\pm0.02$	$0.062\pm0.014$	$-1.3\pm6.2$	
Rh(2)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Re-O	$1.3\pm0.4$	$2.05\pm0.03$	$0.086\pm0.009$	$-1.3 \pm 4.1$	2.3
	Re-Ir (or -Re)	$5.3\pm0.6$	$2.66\pm0.01$	$0.087\pm0.003$	$5.1 \pm 1.4$	
	Re-Rh	$0.7\pm0.1$	$2.63\pm0.01$	$0.061\pm0.005$	$-6.0\pm2.9$	
NH <sub>4</sub> ReO <sub>4</sub>	Re=O	4	1.73	0.06	0	_

**Table 9** Curve fitting results of Re  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction.

<sup>*a*</sup>Coordination number. <sup>*b*</sup>Bond distance. <sup>*c*</sup>Debye-Waller factor. <sup>*d*</sup>Difference in the origin of photoelectron energy between the reference and the sample. <sup>*e*</sup>Residual factor. <sup>*f*</sup>After glycerol hydrogenolysis<sup>[44]</sup>. Fourier filtering range: 0.138-0.325 nm.

Catalyst	Shells	CN <sup>a</sup>	$R / 10^{-1} \text{ nm}^{b}$	$\sigma / 10^{-1} \mathrm{nm^c}$	$\Delta E_0 / \mathrm{eV}^\mathrm{d}$	$R_{\rm f}$ / % <sup>e</sup>
Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Rh-Rh	$2.0\pm0.5$	$2.68\pm0.02$	$0.087\pm0.007$	$-1.7\pm3.9$	2.2
	Rh-Ir (or -Re)	$7.0\pm0.6$	$2.69\pm0.01$	$0.078\pm0.003$	$-1.8 \pm 1.1$	
Rh(1)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Rh-Rh	$2.8\pm0.6$	$2.68\pm0.01$	$0.085\pm0.008$	$-4.5\pm2.6$	2.3
	Rh-Ir (or -Re)	$6.2\pm0.6$	$2.69\pm0.01$	$0.082\pm0.003$	$0.1 \pm 1.1$	
Rh(2)-Ir-ReO <sub>x</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub>	Rh-Rh	$4.9\pm0.5$	$2.68\pm0.01$	$0.082\pm0.004$	$0.0 \pm 1.2$	2.1
	Rh-Ir (or -Re)	$4.2\pm0.9$	$2.69\pm0.01$	$0.086\pm0.004$	$2.5\pm2.2$	
Rh foil	Rh-Rh	12	2.68	0.06	0	_

**Table 10** Curve fitting results of Rh *K*-edge EXAFS of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction.

<sup>a</sup>Coordination number. <sup>b</sup>Bond distance. <sup>c</sup>Debye-Waller factor. <sup>d</sup>Difference in the origin of photoelectron energy between the reference and the

sample. <sup>e</sup>Residual factor. Fourier filtering range: 0.163-0.310 nm.

## **Figure captions**

Fig. 1. Time course of conversion of furfural to 1,5-PeD over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> or Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

Scheme 1 Possible reaction routes of conversion of furfural.

**Fig. 2.** TPR profiles of the catalysts. (a) Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%), (b) Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ir=4 wt%), (c) ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Re=7.8 wt%), (d) Rh-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%, Ir=4 wt%), (e) Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%, Re=7.8 wt%), (f) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ir=4 wt%, Re/Ir=2), (g) Rh(0.1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (h) Rh(0.3)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (i) Rh(0.5)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (j) Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (k) Rh(0.75)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (l) Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and (m) Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>.

**Fig. 3.** Results of Re  $L_3$ -edge XANES analysis of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction. (I) Re  $L_3$ -edge XANES spectra, (II) Relation between white line area and valence of Re. (a) Re powder, (b) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after glycerol hydrogenolysis<sup>[44]</sup>, (c) Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (d) Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (e) Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction, (f) ReO<sub>2</sub>, (g) ReO<sub>3</sub> and (h) Re<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>.

**Fig. 4.** XRD patterns of catalysts. (a)  $Ir/SiO_2$  (Ir=4 wt%), (b) Rh/SiO\_2 (Rh=0.66 wt%), (c)  $ReO_x/SiO_2$  (Re=7.8 wt%), (d) Rh-ReO\_x/SiO\_2 (Rh=0.66 wt%, Re=7.8 wt%), (e) Rh-Ir/SiO\_2 (Rh=0.66 wt%, Ir=4 wt%), (f) Ir-ReO\_x/SiO\_2 (Ir=4 wt%, Re/Ir=2) after reduction at 473 K for 1 h. (g) Rh(0.1)-Ir-ReO\_x/SiO\_2, (h) Rh(0.3)-Ir-ReO\_x/SiO\_2, (i) Rh(0.5)-Ir-ReO\_x/SiO\_2, (j) Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO\_x/SiO\_2, (k) Rh(0.75)-Ir-ReO\_x/SiO\_2, (l) Rh(1)-Ir-ReO\_x/SiO\_2 and (m) Rh(2)-Ir-ReO\_x/SiO\_2 after reaction. (A) 2 theta range 30-75°, (B) 2 theta range 38-46°.

**Fig. 5.** Results of Ir  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS analysis of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction. (I)  $k^3$ -Weighted EXAFS oscillations. (II) Fourier transform of  $k^3$ -weighted Ir  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS, FT range: 30–130nm<sup>-1</sup>. (III) Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line) and calculated data (dotted line), Fourier filtering range: 0.163-0.325 nm. (a) Ir powder, (b) IrO<sub>2</sub>, (c) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after glycerol hydrogenolysis<sup>[44]</sup> and (d-f) Rh–Ir–ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction ((d) Rh = 0.66 wt%, (e) Rh = 1 wt% and (f) Rh = 2 wt%).

**Fig. 6.** Results of Re  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS analysis of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction. (I)  $k^3$ -Weighted EXAFS oscillations. (II) Fourier transform of  $k^3$ -weighted Re  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS, FT range: 30–120 nm<sup>-1</sup>. (III) Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line) and calculated data (dotted line), Fourier filtering range: 0.138–0.325 nm. (a) Re powder, (b) NH<sub>4</sub>ReO<sub>4</sub>, (c) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after glycerol hydrogenolysis<sup>[44]</sup> and (d-f) Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction ((d) Rh = 0.66 wt%, (e) Rh = 1 wt% and (f) Rh = 2 wt%).

**Fig. 7.** Results of Rh *K*-edge EXAFS analysis of Rh–Ir–ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction.

(I)  $k^3$ -Weighted EXAFS oscillations. (II) Fourier transform of  $k^3$ -weighted Rh *K*-edge EXAFS, FT range: 30–130 nm<sup>-1</sup>. (III) Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line) and calculated data (dotted line), Fourier filtering range: 0.163–0.310 nm. (a) Rh foil, (b) Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (c-e) Rh–Ir–ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction ((c) Rh = 0.66 wt%, (d) Rh = 1 wt% and (e) Rh = 2 wt%).

**Fig. 8.** TEM image and STEM-EDX mappings of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after reduction. The maps reflect the measured (b) Si K intensity, (c) O K intensity, (d) Ir M intensity, (e) Rh L intensity, (f) Re M intensity.

**Fig. 9.** DRIFT spectra of CO adsorbed on the catalysts after reduction at 473 K. (a) Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%), (b) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ir=4 wt%, Re/Ir=2), (c) Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (d) Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and (e) Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>.





**Fig. 1.** Time course of conversion of furfural to 1,5-PeD over Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> or Pd(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> Conditions: furfural (3 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (3 g), catalyst (300 mg), initial H<sub>2</sub> (6 MPa),  $T_1$ : 313 K,  $T_2$ : 373 K.



Scheme 1 Possible reaction routes of conversion of furfural.



**Fig. 2.** TPR profiles of the catalysts. (a) Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%), (b) Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ir=4 wt%), (c) ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Re=7.8 wt%), (d) Rh-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%, Ir=4 wt%), (e) Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%, Re=7.8 wt%), (f) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ir=4 wt%, Re/Ir=2), (g) Rh(0.1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (h) Rh(0.3)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (i) Rh(0.5)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (j) Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (k) Rh(0.75)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (l) Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and (m) Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. Y-axis is normalized by the weight of the sample. Conditions: sample (50 mg, calcined at 773 K for 3 h) and H<sub>2</sub>/Ar (5% v/v, 30 ml min<sup>-1</sup>) at the heating rate of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. 3.** Results of Re  $L_3$ -edge XANES analysis of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction. (I) Re  $L_3$ -edge XANES spectra, (II) Relation between white line area and valence of Re. (a) Re powder, (b) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after glycerol hydrogenolysis<sup>[44]</sup>, (c) Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (d) Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (e) Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction, (f) ReO<sub>2</sub>, (g) ReO<sub>3</sub> and (h) Re<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>.



**Fig. 4.** XRD patterns of catalysts. (a) Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ir=4 wt%), (b) Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%), (c) ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Re=7.8 wt%), (d) Rh-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%, Re=7.8 wt%), (e) Rh-Ir/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%, Ir=4 wt%), (f) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ir=4 wt%, Re/Ir=2) after reduction at 473 K for 1 h. (g) Rh(0.1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (h) Rh(0.3)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (i) Rh(0.5)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (j) Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (k) Rh(0.75)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (l) Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and (m) Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after reaction. (A) 2 theta range 30-75°, (B) 2 theta range 38-46°, intensity  $\times$  4.



**Fig. 5.** Results of Ir  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS analysis of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction. (I)  $k^3$ -Weighted EXAFS oscillations. (II) Fourier transform of  $k^3$ -weighted Ir  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS, FT range: 30–130nm<sup>-1</sup>. (III) Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line) and calculated data (dotted line), Fourier filtering range: 0.163-0.325 nm. (a) Ir powder, (b) IrO<sub>2</sub>, (c) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after glycerol hydrogenolysis<sup>[44]</sup> and (d-f) Rh–Ir–ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction ((d) Rh = 0.66 wt%, (e) Rh =1 wt% and (f) Rh = 2 wt%).



**Fig. 6.** Results of Re  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS analysis of Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction. (I)  $k^3$ -Weighted EXAFS oscillations. (II) Fourier transform of  $k^3$ -weighted Re  $L_3$ -edge EXAFS, FT range: 30–120 nm<sup>-1</sup>. (III) Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line) and calculated data (dotted line), Fourier filtering range: 0.138–0.325 nm. (a) Re powder, (b) NH<sub>4</sub>ReO<sub>4</sub>, (c) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after glycerol hydrogenolysis<sup>[44]</sup> and (d-f) Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction ((d) Rh = 0.66 wt%, (e) Rh = 1 wt% and (f) Rh = 2 wt%).



**Fig. 7.** Results of Rh *K*-edge EXAFS analysis of Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction. (I)  $k^3$ -Weighted EXAFS oscillations. (II) Fourier transform of  $k^3$ -weighted Rh *K*-edge EXAFS, FT range: 30-130 nm<sup>-1</sup>. (III) Fourier filtered EXAFS data (solid line) and calculated data (dotted line), Fourier filtering range: 0.163-0.310 nm. (a) Rh foil, (b) Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (c-e) Rh-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after the reduction ((c) Rh = 0.66 wt%, (d) Rh = 1 wt% and (e) Rh = 2 wt%).



**Fig. 8.** TEM image and STEM-EDX mappings of Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after reduction. The maps reflect the measured (b) Si K intensity, (c) O K intensity, (d) Ir M intensity, (e) Rh L intensity, (f) Re M intensity.



**Fig. 9.** DRIFT spectra of CO adsorbed on the catalysts after reduction at 473 K. (a) Rh/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rh=0.66 wt%), (b) Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Ir=4 wt%, Re/Ir=2), (c) Rh(0.66)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, (d) Rh(1)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and (e) Rh(2)-Ir-ReO<sub>x</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>.