ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Journal Name

RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION

Synthesis of Vinyl Germylenes

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Małgorzata Walewska,^a Judith Baumgartner^b and Christoph Marschner^a

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A disilylated germylene phosphine adduct was obtained by reaction of tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl potassium with PMe₃ and GeCl₂·dioxane. The adduct reacted with tolane to give a germacyclopropene, while reactions with phenylacetylene occurred by insertion into the Si-Ge bonds giving vinyl-germylene adducts. Release of the mono- or divinyl-germylenes by base abstraction led to insertion into Si-Si bonds and formation of silagermetes.

Research concerned with heavy carbene analogs (tetrylenes) bearing π -donating substituents has become a flourishing field in recent times.¹ In comparison analogous compounds with bulky aryl or alkyl groups have received less attention.² A likely reason for this diminished interest is a higher intrinsic reactivity of the latter, associated with lacking π -stabilization. Switching from alkyl or aryl to silyl groups even increases the reactivity and frequently such compounds can only be isolated in the form of base adducts,^{3,4} dimers,⁵ or rearrangement products.^{5,6} Our recent work in this field has focused on disilylated plumbylenes,^{7,8} stannylenes^{7,9,10} and germylenes.^{11–13} Most of these studies were concerned with cyclic compounds but earlier work by Klinkhammer^{14,15} and Stalke¹⁶ as well as recent reports by Escudie and Castel¹⁷ and by Jones, Kaltsoyannis, Mountford, and Aldridge¹⁸ demonstrated the versatility of the tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl group as substituent in this context.

Our attempts to employ this bulky silyl group for the synthesis of disilylated germylenes were guided by Stalke's account¹⁶ reporting rearrangement of bis[tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl]germylene to hexakis(trimethylsilyl)disilagermirane as well as our previous experience with cyclic disilylated germylenes and stannylenes where the use of trialkylphosphines, or N-heterocyclic carbenes allowed the isolation of base adducts of the respective tetrylenes.^{8–13}

Reaction of two equiv tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl potassium¹⁹ with GeCl₂·dioxane in the presence of the N-heterocyclic carbene 1,3diisopropyl-4,5-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene (^{Me}IiPr)²⁰ afforded the respective bis[tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl]germylene base adduct (**1a**) in close to 80 % yield (Scheme 1). Attempts to repeat the reaction with Et₃P as base revealed that this phosphine is not able to stabilize the germylene effectively and formation of hexakis-(trimethylsilyl)disilagermirane was observed.¹⁶ However, using the smaller Me_3P and running the reaction at low temperature (-30°C) effected adduct formation (**1b**) (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Synthesis of bis[tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl]germylene base adducts

As the reaction of free silylenes and germylenes with alkynes was found to proceed to silirenes^{21–23} and germirenes^{24–26} we were interested to check for similar reactivity of base adducts **1a** and **1b**. No conversion was observed in attempts to react **1a** with either tolane or phenylacetylene at ambient or slightly elevated temperature (50°). At higher temperature decomposition of **1a** begins. Adduct **1b** on the other hand, while not stable enough to allow its isolation in solid state, turned out to be suitable to study basic reactivity patterns with alkynes. The reaction with tolane showed formation of germirene **2** and thus supported reaction of **1b** as a germylene (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2 α -Insertion of phenylacetylene into the Si-Ge bonds of germylene PMe₃ adduct **1b** vs tolane addition

Page 2 of 4

When switching from tolane to phenylacetylene we were not entirely convinced to expect formation of another germirene. No precedence for this reaction could be found in the literature. Germylene insertion into the rather polar C-H bond was thus considered a plausible alternative pathway. Also, a reaction following a course similar to Wesemann's recent reports of phosphine stabilized stannylenes which upon reaction with phenylacetylene gave the product of alkyne insertion into the Sn-P bond,^{27,28} seemed possible.

However, reaction of **1b** with phenylacetylene did not give any of the anticipated products. Instead the unexpected insertion product **3** (Scheme 2) was observed. Compound **3** was formed by regio- and stereoselective insertion of the alkyne into a Ge-Si bond. It is thus the PMe₃ adduct of a germylene bearing one silyl and one vinyl substituent (Scheme 2). A second reaction step was found to occur in the presence of another equivalent of phenylacetylene yielding again in a regio- and stereoselective way the divinylated germylene adduct **4** (Scheme 2).

Compounds **3** and **4** are interesting as they are stabilized versions of heavy analogs²⁹ of the elusive vinyl carbenes.^{30,31} No stable examples³² of vinyl carbenes are yet known, as they instantaneously cyclize to cyclopropenes. Although this reaction is believed to involve singlet vinyl carbenes³⁰ the free germylenes derived from **3** and **4** do not react analogously. Instead of cyclopropene formation insertion into Si-Si bonds is preferred. We are not aware of any known vinylgermylenes. The fact that they are stable is however in agreement with theoretical work, which states that the parent vinylgermylene is more stable than the isomeric germirene.³³

NMR-spectroscopically the reactions of 1b with phenylacetylene and tolane are remarkably clean. However, similar to adduct 1b also compounds 3 and 4 are very reactive and difficult to isolate. This property is likely associated with the rather weak interaction of the germylenes with PMe₃.¹³ The fact that it is possible to obtain crystals of compounds 3 (although in a low isolated yield) and 4 suggests that the interaction between PMe_3 and the free germylenes of **3** and **4** is stronger than the interaction between PMe₃ and bis[tris(trimethylsilyl)silyl]germylene. The slightly more deshielded ³¹P resonance of 1b compared to 3 and and 4 is also supportive of this argument. The sole side-products in the isolation attempts of 3 and 4 are those featuring intramolecular insertion of the free germylenes into pendant Si-Si bonds. This reactivity can be triggered deliberately by abstraction of the PMe₃ from 3 and 4 either by application of vacuum or by addition of the Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (Scheme 3). In both cases insertion of the respective free germylene occurs into a Si-SiMe₃ in γ -position causing the formation of silagermetes 5 and **6**.¹³



Scheme 3 Rearrangement of germylene PMe $_3$ adducts **3** and **4** upon loss of PMe $_3$

The NHC stabilized germylene **1a**, the alkyne addition product **2**, the insertion products **3** and **4**, as well as the intramolecular addition products **5**, and **6** were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The molecular structures of the germylene adducts **1a** (Figure S-1), **3** (Figure 1), and **4** (Figure S-3) display the characteristic elongated bonds to the low valent germylene atoms.

This is illustrated by comparison of the Si-Ge distances of **1a** (2.4861(11) Å) and **3** (2.4816(8) Å) to those of **2** (2.4049(7) Å) (Figure S-2), **5** (2.4031(6), 2.4220(7), and 2.4354(6) Å) (Figure 1), and **6** (2.3959(8) and 2.4132(8) Å) (Figure S-4). Likewise the Ge-C bonds of **3** (2.031(2) Å) and **4** (2.043(2) Å) are distinctly longer than the related bond in **6** (1.997(2) Å).



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of phenylacetylene insertion product 3

The same behavior was previously observed also for the cyclic disilylated germylene adducts.^{11,13} The Ge-P distances of **3** (2.3900(7) Å) and **4** (2.4261(9) Å) are slightly elongated compared to the cyclic disilylated germylene PEt₃ adducts,¹¹ but match the value for Power's aryl-germylgermylene PMe₃ adduct.³⁴ Other bond distances such as Si-Si and Si-C bond lengths are within the typically observed ranges. The structures of both **3** and **4** show some alignment of a vinyl π -system with the germylene p-orbital thus indicating a possible conjugative interaction.



Fig. 2 Crystal structure of silagermete 5

NMR spectroscopic analysis of the observed compounds proved to be straightforward. The ²⁹Si-NMR resonances of **1a** (δ (ppm) = -8.8 (*Si*Me₃), -122.9 (*Si*(SiMe₃)₃) and **1b** (δ (ppm) = -8.7 (d, SiMe₃, ³*J*_{Si-P} = 11 Hz), -119.9 (Si(SiMe₃)₃) are close to those of **5** (δ (ppm) = -8.4 (*Si*Me₃), -116.6 (*Si*(SiMe₃)₃) and (Me₃Si)₃SiGeMe₃ (δ (ppm) = -9.7 (*Si*Me₃), -127.9 (*Si*(SiMe₃)₃)^{35,36} thus indicating that the electronic influence of the base stabilized germylene is not much different from that of a germyl group. The ²⁹Si-NMR resonances of the vinylated compounds resemble that of related simpler systems.^{37,38}

¹³C-NMR spectra of **3**, and **4** show that the resonances of the carbon atoms attached to stabilized germylenes is strongly deshielded to values of $\delta = 173$ and even 177 ppm. However, this large down-field

shift is also present in compound **6**, where the germanium atom is tetracoordinate. Nevertheless compared to related structures^{39,40} this spectroscopic behavior is unusual.

Conclusions

The synthesis of acyclic disilylated germylene base adducts was demonstrated. Reaction of the PMe₃ adduct with phenylacetylene gave vinylated germylene adducts which represent higher analogs of vinyl carbenes. In terms of the current discussion of low valent main group compounds mimicking transition metal complexes⁴¹⁻⁴³ one could call such a reaction a migratory insertion. With respect to the mechanism of the vinyl germylene formation we are convinced that the first step of the reaction pathway is germirene formation. Support for this assumption comes from the observation that heating of diphenylgermirene **2** gives a silagermete analogous to **5**.⁴⁴ Computational studies of this unusual reactivity as well as a more comprehensive reactivity study of the germylene adducts are currently under investigation.

Support for this study was provided by the Austrian Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung (FWF) via the projects P-22678 (C. M.) and P- P-25124 (J. B.).

Notes and references

- ^{*a*} Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Technische Universität Graz, Stremayrgasse 9, 8010 Graz, Austria.
- ^b Institut für Chemie, Karl Franzens Universität Graz, Stremayrgasse 9, 8010 Graz, Austria.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Experimental details concerning synthesis and characterization, ORTEP plots of compounds **1a**, **2**, **4**, and **6**, crystallographic details as well as crystallographic information for compounds **1a**, and **2** – **6** in CIF format.]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- 1. M. Asay, C. Jones and M. Driess, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 354–396.
- Y. Mizuhata, T. Sasamori and N. Tokitoh, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, 109, 3479–3511.
- H. Tanaka, M. Ichinohe and A. Sekiguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 5540–5543.
- S. Inoue and C. Eisenhut, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 18315– 18318.
- H. Kobayashi, T. Iwamoto and M. Kira, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 15376–15377.
- X.-Q. Xiao, H. Zhao, Z. Xu, G. Lai, X.-L. He and Z. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 2706–2708.
- H. Arp, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, P. Zark and T. Müller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 10864–10875.
- H. Arp, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, P. Zark and T. Müller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 6409–6415.
- H. Arp, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner and T. Müller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 5632–5635.
- H. Arp, C. Marschner, J. Baumgartner, P. Zark and T. Müller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 7949–7959.
- J. Hlina, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, L. Albers and T. Müller, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 3404–3410.
- J. Hlina, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, P. Zark and T. Müller, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 3300–3308.

- J. Hlina, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, L. Albers, T. Müller and V. Jouikov, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 9357–9366.
- K. W. Klinkhammer and W. Schwarz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 1334–1336.
- 15. K. Klinkhammer, Polyhedron, 2002, 21, 587–598.
- A. Heine and D. Stalke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 113– 115.
- N. Katir, D. Matioszek, S. Ladeira, J. Escudié and A. Castel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 5352–5355.
- A. V. Protchenko, A. D. Schwarz, M. P. Blake, C. Jones, N. Kaltsoyannis, P. Mountford and S. Aldridge, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 568–571.
- 19. C. Marschner, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1998, 221-226.
- 20. N. Kuhn and T. Kratz, Synthesis, 1993, 561–562.
- S. Ishida, T. Iwamoto and M. Kira, *Heteroatom Chem.*, 2011, 22, 432–437.
- 22. P. P. Gaspar, A. M. Beatty, T. Chen, T. Haile, D. Lei, W. R. Winchester, J. Braddock-Wilking, N. P. Rath, W. T. Klooster, T. F. Koetzle, S. A. Mason and A. Albinati, *Organometallics*, 1999, 18, 3921–3932.
- 23. P. Jiang, D. Trieber and P. P. Gaspar, *Organometallics*, 2003, 22, 2233–2239.
- 24. F. Meiners, W. Saak and M. Weidenbruch, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2002, 628, 2821–2822.
- 25. W. Ando, H. Ohgaki and Y. Kabe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 659-661.
- 26. A. Krebs and J. Berndt, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, **24**, 4083–4086.
- S. Freitag, K. M. Krebs, J. Henning, J. Hirdler, H. Schubert and L. Wesemann, *Organometallics*, 2013, 32, 6785–6791.
- S. Freitag, J. Henning, H. Schubert and L. Wesemann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 5640–5643.
- M. J. Cowley, V. Huch, H. S. Rzepa and D. Scheschkewitz, *Nat Chem*, 2013, 5, 876–879.
- R. A. Moss, J. Tian, R. R. Sauers, R. S. Sheridan, A. Bhakta and P. S. Zuev, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4645–4648.
- A. de Meijere, D. Faber, U. Heinecke, R. Walsh, T. Müller and Y. Apeloig, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, 2001, 663–680.
- S. E. Boganov, V. I. Faustov, K. N. Shavrin, V. D. Gvozdev, V. M. Promyslov, M. P. Egorov and O. M. Nefedov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 14688–14698.
- 33. R. Becerra, S. E. Boganov, M. P. Egorov, V. I. Faustov, I. V. Krylova, O. M. Nefedov, V. M. Promyslov and R. Walsh, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, 6, 3370–3382.
- A. F. Richards, A. D. Phillips, M. M. Olmstead and P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 3204–3205.
- S. P. Mallela, M. A. Ghuman and R. A. Geanangel, *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, 1992, 202, 211–17.
- 36. L. Albers, Master thesis, Universität Oldenburg, 2011.
- Z. Wang, J.-P. Pitteloud, L. Montes, M. Rapp, D. Derane and S. F. Wnuk, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, 64, 5322–5327.
- H.-M. Chen and J. P. Oliver, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 316, 255– 260.
- V. Y. Lee, M. Ichinohe and A. Sekiguchi, J. Organomet. Chem., 2001, 636, 41–48.
- 40. T. Matsuno, M. Ichinohe and A. Sekiguchi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 1200–1201.

- 41. D. Martin, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, 2, 389–399.
- 42. P. P. Power, Nature, 2010, 463, 171–177.
- B. Blom and M. Driess, in *Functional Molecular Silicon Compounds II*, ed. D. Scheschkewitz, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2014, pp. 85– 123.
- 43. We want to thank a reviewer of this manuscript for suggesting to subject germirene **2** to forced conditions.