ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ChemComm

ChemComm

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

Cu₂O mesoporous spheres with high internal diffusion capacity and improved catalytic ability for aza-Henry reaction driven by visible light

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Xiaobin Fan*

Jun Wang, Jingwen Ma, Xiaojie Li, Yang Li, Guoliang Zhang, Fengbao Zhang, and

Mesoporous Cu₂O spheres with large pore size (LP-Cu₂O) bring out the better performance towards photocatalytic aza-Henry reaction than Cu₂O spheres with small pore size (SP-Cu₂O). This work highlights the internal diffusion coefficient as a critical parameter for fabrication of porous catalysts.

Organic synthesis driven by visible light has become one of the fastest growing fields in organic chemistry, because the visible light accounts for the major part of the sun light which is a clean, abundant, and reproducible energy resource.1 Photocatalysts are often indispensable in organic reaction driven by visible light since the majority of organic substrates do not absorb the photons in the visible region.^{1f,2} Recently, photo-induced organic catalytic reactions have been conducted by using the homogeneous organic dyes (Eosin Y or Rose Bengal) and photo redox inorganic (Ir (III) or Ru (II)) complexes as photocatalysts.³ However, the practical applications of these photocatalysts are hampered because of their high costs and the difficulty of separating them from the mixture. The ability to recover and reuse heterogeneous photocatalysts can not only eliminate the contamination of the organic products but also reduce the waste disposal cost in large scale reactions.^{2b,4} As an important type of heterogeneous catalysts, the inorganic semiconductor with suitable band-gap can absorb the visible light and use the photo generated electrons/hole to catalyze a lot of reactions.⁵ Being a p-type oxide semiconductor with a direct band gap of 2.17 eV, the Cu₂O has been widely used for the visible light driven photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants and photon-activated water splitting.⁶ In addition, Cu₂O porous materials have been successfully synthesized and applied in dye adsorption and catalysis.⁷ However, the example in which they photocatalyze bond formation in organic synthesis has not been reported currently. Therefore, their practical efficiency for catalyzing the bond formation is still a mystery.

The photocatalytic process always contains three procedures as follow: the hole-electron separation, electron/hole transfer and surface reaction. Hole-electron separation and electron/hole transfer are conducted quite fast, while the surface reaction is a relatively slow process. Therefore surface reaction process is the rate determining step, which takes the major impact on the reaction rate. Since the reaction catalyzed by heterogenetic catalysts occurs when

the reactant molecules come in contact with the active surface, mass transfer limitations play an important role on the surface reaction.⁸ As an important type of heterogeneous catalysts, the porous materials with tunable pore architecture are getting considerable attention, because of their ability to interact with atoms, ions and molecules not only at their surfaces, but interior of the material.⁹ The mass transfer limitations of the porous catalysts contain both external mass transfer and internal mass transfer. In a reaction with the porous solid as catalysts, the mass transfer of the reactants first proceed from the bulk fluid to the external surface of the solid, then into and through the pores within the pellet to the catalytic surface of the pores, where the reaction occurs.⁸ With sufficient stirring of the reaction system, the influence of external diffusion can be ignored, whereas the internal diffusion will play an important role on the catalytic performance.¹⁰ As the internal diffusion rate is proportional to the pore size, the internal diffusion rate can be adjusted via controlling the pore size of the material, and maximum performance of these catalysts may be observed in the mesoporous systems.

RSCPublishing



Scheme 1. Illustration for the process and proposed mechanism of aza-henry reaction catalysed by porous Cu₂O spheres under blue LED illustration.

In this work, we report the fabrication of the porous Cu_2O spheres with different pore sizes by using an etching strategy. Different from common mineral acids, L-proline in organic solvent can readily etch Cu_2O spheres with a controllable manner, resulted in the mesoporous Cu_2O spheres. Then, we studied their performance on the aza-Henry reaction. Comparing studies show that the Cu_2O spheres with larger pore size takes the higher reactive activity and can be readily reused in catalyzing visible light promoted aza-Henry reactions of N-aryltetrahydroisoquinoline and nitromethane, nitroethane via intermolecular C–H functionalization (Scheme 1). The results prove that internal diffusion takes a profound effect on the performances of Cu₂O MPNS as photocatalyst for the organic synthesis.



Fig. 1 SEM (a, b, e, f) and TEM (c, d, g, h) images of SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O. Inset in (h) shows the HRTEM of LP-Cu₂O.

The morphologies of the samples were characterized by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Fig. 1a and 1e show FESEM images of SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O. They illustrate that both the SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O spheres are dispersed uniformly and with average diameter estimated to be about 320 nm. Fig. 1c and 1g are the corresponding TEM images, which further prove that the obtained Cu₂O spheres have the uniform size and porous structure. The magnified SEM (1b, 1f) and TEM (1d, 1h) images of typical sphere illustrate both samples have the short-range-ordered porous network features and the pore size of LP-Cu₂O is larger than the SP-Cu₂O, after the etching treatment. Noting that extending the etching time will destroy the mesoporous structure, as shown in Fig. S1, a great portion of spheres are broken when the etching time is increased to 10 h. From HRTEM shown in the inset of image (1h), the clear lattic fringes reveal the high crystallinity of the product. The lattice spacing of the LP-sphere is measured to be 0.24 nm, which corresponds to the lattice spacing of the (111) crystal plane of the cubic Cu₂O.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was employed to characterize the crystal structure of the samples. Fig. S2 shows the X-ray patterns of the samples of SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O, the strong diffraction peaks exhibit the high crystallinity of the samples and all the peaks can be well indexed to the 110, 111, 200 and 220 peaks of cubic Cu₂O according to the JCDPS card (No.05-0667). The average crystal sizes of the SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O were calculated to be 7.62 nm and 6.35 nm, respectively, using the Debye-Scherrer formula based on the broader peaks in XRD patterns. The reduced grain size may be caused by the etching treatment. Moreover, no other diffraction peaks belonging to possible impurities such as Cu and CuO could be detected, indicating the prepared two samples with high purity.



Fig. 2 (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm. (b) The corresponding BJH pore size distribution curve of the SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O.

Nitrogen sorption measurement, which was conducted at 77 K, was used to study the porosity of the Cu₂O spheres. Fig. 2a shows the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O. It

is obvious that these two isotherms belong to type IV hysteresis loops at relative pressures of $P/P_0 = 0.42-0.97$, providing the evidence for the mesoporous structure. The BET surface area for SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O are measured to be 61.2 and 63.4 m²g⁻¹, respectively, which suggests that the surface area do not change significantly after the etching treatment. BJH pore size distribution in Fig. 2b displays the pore size distribution is narrow. The mean pore size of LP-Cu₂O increases from 5.0 to 15.1 nm after etching process. In addition, we could see a little peak appeared at about 5 nm from BJH curve of LP-Cu₂O in Fig. 3b due to a small part of unetched pores.

According to the mass transfer theory, the diffusion of liquid within the pore of the porous solid is generally consistent with Fick-Diffusion.¹¹ When the liquid diffuses in the pore with large pore diameter, the collision occurs mainly between the fluid molecules rather than with the pore wall. The effective diffusion coefficient can be defined as follow:

$$D_{ABP} = \frac{\varepsilon D_{AB}}{\tau}$$

ε: The void fraction of the porous catalyst

 τ : The twist factor

 D_{AB} . The diffusion coefficient of the component A in the solvent D_{ABP} : The effective diffusion coefficient

The void fraction ε is proportional to the pore size and pore volume. The twist factor τ is inversely proportional to the crystal size and pore size. D_A is a constant in a certain solution system. According to the above data, the LP-Cu₂O has a larger pore diameter and pore volume, while a smaller crystal size than SP-Cu₂O. It can draw that the LP-Cu₂O has a larger value of ε and a smaller value of τ than SP-Cu₂O. Therefore, D_{ABP} value of the LP-Cu₂O is larger than SP-Cu₂O. In other words, the component A diffuse faster in the pore of LP-Cu₂O than in the SP-Cu₂O.

 Table 1. Oxidative coupling reaction of N-aryl-tetrahydroisoquinolines with nitroalkanes.

	+ R' `NO	u ₂ O (460 nm) ►	
Catalyst	Ar	R	Yield [%]
SP-Cu ₂ O	[C6H5]	[H]	67.7
LP-Cu ₂ O ^a	[C6H5]	[H]	90.3
LP-Cu ₂ O ^b	[C6H5]	[Me]	86.2
LP-Cu ₂ O ^c	[C6H5]	[Et]	88.4
LP-Cu ₂ O ^d	[4-MeO-C6H5]	[H]	82.7
Blank	[C6H5]	[H]	4.8

All experiments were performed with 2 mg of photocatalysts, 0.1 mmol of N-aryltetrahydroisoquinoline derivative in 1 mL of neat nitroalkanes. Reactions were run in Schlenk tubes with an attached oxygen ballon and irradiated with 4 W of blue LEDs for 8 h. The footnotes a, b, c, d represent the reactions between corresponding N-aryl-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives and nitroalkanes with LP-Cu₂O as catalysts.

Catalytic activities of the SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O towards photocatalytic aza-Henry reaction were evaluated with N-aryltetrahydroisoquinoline as the amine substrate and nitro-alkanes as solvent. The reaction was carried out in a Schlenk tubes with oxygen as oxidizing agent and blue Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs, hv 460 nm, 4 W) as light source (Fig. S3). The reaction results were shown in table 1. Compared with SP-Cu₂O, the LP-Cu₂O showed a better reactivity after 8 h of irradiation (SP-Cu₂O, LP-Cu₂O^a), which indicated LP-Cu₂O takes a higher catalytic activity than SP-Cu₂O under the same reaction conditions. Various N-aryltetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives were reacted with nitromethane, nitroethane, or 1-nitro-propane and gave the desired coupling product in good yields of 86.2–90.3% (LP-Cu₂O^{a, b, c}). Nitromethane always gave better results than other nitroalkanes and the reaction was insensitive to electronic effects on the aromatic rings (LP-Cu₂O^d). A low yield of product was observed in the absence of the catalyst (Blank). The mechanism of the Cu₂O photocatalytic aza-Henry reaction is not clear at this stage. However, according to our results and literature report, the mechanism can be proposed as follow (Scheme 1). The VB, generated under Blue LED irradiation, is able to abstract an electron from the tertiary via a single electron transfer process. The aminyl cation radical then lost a hydrogen atom by a radical anion to generate iminium ion. The iminium can be trapped by nucleophiles to obtain the final product.



Fig. 3 (a) The yield of product as function of reaction time in the LP-Cu₂O catalysed aza-Henry reaction between tetrahydroisoquinoline and nitromethane. (b) Recycling experiments for LP-Cu₂O catalysed aza-Henry reaction between N-aryl-tetrahydroisoquinoline and nitromethane.

Furthermore, we explored the photocatalytic reaction rates of SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O. As shown in Fig. 3a, the photo-oxidation aza-Henry reaction was fitted as zero order reaction, which meant that the concentration of reagents in solution did not affect the reaction rate. Theoretically, in addition to the internal diffusion, the performances of the mesoporous Cu₂O spheres may be also affected by the intrinsic catalytic activity, catalyst dosage, light intensity and etc. In order to study the effect of internal diffusion to the performance of the mesoporous Cu₂O spheres, other factors should be excluded. The influencing of intrinsic catalytic activity to the reaction could be neglected owing to the similar crystallinity and crystal size properties of the SP-Cu₂O and LP-Cu₂O. The impact of catalyst dosage to the reaction can also be ignored. Considering we took the same amount of LP-Cu₂O and SP-Cu₂O for this reaction, due to the nearly same specific surface area value of the two catalysts, the total catalytic surface area will be the same. In this reaction system, the light intensity doesn't take an effect on the catalytic activity of catalyst, because the reaction was conducted under the same light resource, the impact of light intensity on the reaction rate can be ignored. Compared with SP-Cu₂O, the LP-Cu₂O showed an increase in reaction rate and overall yield of the reactions, which meant that the LP-Cu₂O spheres had a higher actual catalytic activity. The actual catalytic activity was proportional to its effective surface area where the reagent can attach. Since the LP-Cu₂O and SP-Cu₂O possess the similar BET surface area, when we used the same amount of the catalysts the total catalytic surface area will be the same. Therefore, the actual catalytic activity was defined by the concentration gradient inside the pores, which was determined by mass transfer. Since the reaction was conducted at the sufficient stirring condition, the effect of the external diffusion to the reaction rate can be ignored, thereby the internal diffusion played an important role on the reaction rate. The results demonstrated that the reaction catalysed by the porous Cu₂O sphere with the large effective diffusion coefficient has the higher catalytic activity. The results also gave us a proposal that the fabrication of porous catalyst should be not only to increase the surface area, but also to improve the efficiency of mass transfer.

The reusability of LP-Cu₂O was evaluated by repeating the same reaction procedure using the recovered catalyst. The solid catalyst

was recycled by centrifuging the residue mixture, washing with ethanol repeatedly and drying in vacuum at 50 °C for 2 h. As shown in Fig. 3b, LP-Cu₂O could be reused for at least 5 cycles without distinct loss of its catalytic activity. The morphology and crystal structure showed no obvious change after 5 cycles of photocatalytic reaction (Fig. S4). All these results showed the high photocatalytic activity and good stability of the LP-Cu₂O.

Conclusions

In summary, the porous Cu_2O spheres with different pore size were successfully fabricated by template method and etching treatment. The obtained porous Cu_2O spheres have high specific surface area, high crystallinity and well-defined morphology. In the light driven aza-Henry reactions, the LP- Cu_2O exhibited better catalytic activity compared with SP- Cu_2O . The results show the internal diffusion takes the great impact on the reaction catalysed by porous catalysts and the reaction rate can be effectively improved by accelerating the internal diffusion rate, which can be attained by using the catalysts with large effective diffusion coefficient.

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Funds for Excellent Young Scholars (no. 21222608), Research Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 21106099), Foundation for the Author of National Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of China (no. 201251), the Tianjin Natural Science Foundation (no. 11JCYBJC01700) and the Program of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities (no. B06006).

Notes and references

State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China. Tel: (+86) 22-27408778, Fax: (+86) 22-27408778, E-mail: xiaobinfan@tju.edu.cn.

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details, Figure S1-S4. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- (a) W. C. Lin and D. Y. Yang, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 4862; (b) X. W. Gao, Q. Y. Meng, M. Xiang, B. Chen, K. Feng, C. H. Tung and L. Z. Wu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 2158; (c) H. Jiang, Y. Z. Cheng, R. Z. Wang, Y. Zhang and S. Y. Yu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 6164; (d) Z. J. Feng, J. Xuan, X. D. Xia, W. Ding, W. Guo, J. R. Chen, Y. Q. Zou, L. Q. Lu and W. J. Xiao, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 2037; (e) W. P. Li, X. B. Zhu, H. B. Mao, Z. K. Tang, Y. X. Cheng and C. J. Zhu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 7521; (f) D. M. Schultz and T. P. Yoon, Science, 2014, 343, 985; (g) J. M. R. Narayanam and C. R. J. Stephenson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 102; (h) S. Zhu, A. Das, L. Bui, H. Zhou, D. P. Curran and M. Rueping, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1823.
- 2 (a) A. G. Condie, J. C. Gonzalez-Gomez and C. R. J. Stephenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 1464; (b) Z. Xie, C. Wang, K. E. deKrafft and W. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 2056; (c) D. P. Hari and B. Konig, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 3852.
- (a) D. A. Nicewicz and T. M. Nguyen, Acs Catalysis, 2014, 4, 355; (b)
 Y. Q. Zou, L. Q. Lu, L. Fu, N. J. Chang, J. Rong, J. R. Chen and W. J. Xiao, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit., 2011, 50, 7171; (c) J. N. Du, K. L. Skubi, D. M. Schultz and T. P. Yoon, Science, 2014, 344, 392; (d) J. A. Terrett, M. D. Clift and D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 6858; (e) X. Li, X. Y. Gu, Y. J. Li and P. X. Li, Acs Catalysis, 2014, 4, 1897; (f) Z. Lu, J. D. Parrish and T. P. Yoon, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, 70, 4270; (g) J. C. Tellis, D. N. Primer and G. A. Molander, Science, 2014, 345, 433; (h) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic and D. W. C. MacMillan, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 5322; (i) A. E. Hurtley, Z. Lu and D. W. C. MacMillan, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 5322; (i) A. E. Hurtley, Z. Lu and I. M. Science, 2014, Science, 2014, 113, 5322; (i) A. E. Hurtley, Z. Lu and I. M. Science, 2014, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 5322; (i) A. E. Hurtley, Z. Lu and I. M. Science, 2014, Science, 2014, 113, 5322; (i) A. E. Hurtley, Z. Lu and I. M. Science, 2014, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 5322; (i) A. E. Hurtley, Z. Lu and I. M. Science, 2014,

T. P. Yoon, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2014, **53**, 8991; (j) A. G. Griesbeck, *Beilstein j. org. chem.*, 2014, **10**, 1097; (k) S. Maity, M. Z. Zhu, R. S. Shinabery and N. Zheng, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2012, **51**, 222; (l) Y. Pan, S. Wang, C. W. Kee, E. Dubuisson, Y. Yang, K. P. Loh and C. Tan, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 3341.

- 4 P. Wu, C. He, J. Wang, X. Peng, X. Li, Y. An and C. Duan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, **134**, 14991.
- 5 M. Cherevatskaya, M. Neumann, S. Fuldner, C. Harlander, S. Kummel, S. Dankesreiter, A. Pfitzner, K. Zeitler and B. Konig, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2012, **51**, 4062.
- 6 (a) B. X. Li, T. X. Liu, L. Y. Hu and Y. F. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2013, 74, 635;(b) Y. Zhang, B. Deng, T. R. Zhang, D. M. Gao and A. W. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 5073;(c) W. C. Huang, L. M. Lyu, Y. C. Yang and M. H. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 1261;(d) M. Hara, T. Kondo, M. Komoda, S. Ikeda, K. Shinohara, A. Tanaka, J. N. Kondo and K. Domen, Chem. Commun., 1998, 357.
- (a) Y. Shang, D. F. Zhang and L. Guo, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 856;
 (b) J. L. Liu, Z. Y. Gao, H. J. Han, D. P. Wu, F. Xu, H. X. Wang and K. Jiang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2012, 185, 151.
- 8 R. Klaewkla, M. Arend and W. F. Hoelderich, *Mass Transfer-Advanced Aspects*, In Tech, 2011.
- 9 (a) L. L. Zhang, Z. P. Mao, J. D. Thomason, S. W. Wang and K. Huang, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 2012, 95, 1832; (b) K. M. Parida and B. Naik, J. Colloid Interf. Sci, 2009, 333, 269.
- 10 Q. S. Zhao, Y. Li, R. Liu, A. Chen, G. L. Zhang, F. B. Zhang and X. B. Fan, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 15039.
- 11 R. B. Bird, W. E. Stewart and E. N. Lightfoot, *Transport Phenomena* (2nd Edition), John Wiley & Son, New York, 2002.