ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Arene Non-Innocence in Dinuclear Complexes of Fe, Co, and Ni Supported by a *para*-Terphenyl Diphosphine

СО

Fe₃(CO)₁₂

THF, UV

Ni(COD)₂

THF

CI

NiCl₂(dme)

2

Kyle T. Horak,^a Alexandra Velian,^a Michael W. Day,^a and Theodor Agapie^{a,*}

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Cofacial Fe₂, Co₂, and Ni₂ complexes supported by a *para*terphenyl diphosphine ligand were prepared. Central arene deplanarization and a $\mu_2:(\eta^3,\eta^3)$ coordination mode suggest partial bis-allyl character in the Fe₂ and Co₂ complexes. An oxidation induced shift in Fe₂-arene binding highlights the non-innocent nature of the arene ligand.

Dinuclear metal complexes have the potential to act in a cooperative fashion in small molecule activation and multi-electron processes.¹ In particular, low-valent Fe₂, Co₂, and Ni₂ complexes have been shown to participate in a variety of transformations, including proton reduction,^{1a-c} dihydrogen activation,^{1d} Pauson-Khand-type cycloadditions, 1e C–C coupling, $^{1f-h}$ group transfer, 1i , 1j and carbon dioxide activation.1k-n The development of novel dinucleating ligand scaffolds and complexes capable of both undergoing redox processes and facilitating novel chemical transformations is currently a topic of active research.² Our group has recently utilized bis- and tris(phosphinoaryl)benzene ligands as multinucleating scaffolds for Ni and Pd complexes, where the central arene participates as a flexible donor ligand.^{19, 3} Herein, we describe a series of dinuclear, first-row, transition metal complexes supported by a para-terphenyl diphosphine, compound 1 (Scheme 1), and the changes in Fe₂-arene coordination upon one-electron redox chemistry.

After multiple days of ultraviolet irradiation, a tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution of compound 1 and $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ yielded a Fe_2 complex, 2, in 11% isolated yield (Scheme 1). Refluxing a toluene (PhMe) solution of compound 1 and $Co_2(CO)_8$ at 110 °C overnight cleanly afforded a Co_2 complex, 3, in 54% isolated yield (Scheme 1). The analogous cofacial Ni₂ complex was prepared by the reduction of a previously synthesized Ni¹–Ni¹ dichloride complex, 5, ¹⁹ with Na[Co(CO)₄], which served as a source of both reducing equivalents and carbon monoxide (CO) ligands. The Ni₂ complex, 4, was isolated in 11% yield (Scheme

1). An alternative synthesis of complex 4 involved the careful addition of four equivalents of CO to two equivalents of $Ni(COD)_2$ (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) and compound 1 (Scheme 1). However, this method was lower yielding and not used as the primary synthetic route. The low yields for compounds 2 and 4 are due to purification procedures, which require isolation of crystalline material. The reaction mixtures for the syntheses of these complexes display the desired compounds as the major species by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy.

[Fc][PF₆]

THF

Co₂(CO)₈

PhMe, reflux

NaCo(CO)₄

THE

2 Ni(COD)₂

4 CO



Single crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies of complexes 2, 3, and 4 confirmed the stabilization of dinuclear fragments by metal-

CO

οć

1.466(1)1.420(1) 1.416(1)c2/2 5\C5 -Ai 1.425(1) 3 /1.424(1) /c.4 C3/ 1.472(1) Fe1-Fe2 - 2.7562(2) 1 - 2.1849(9) 4 - 2.1944(9) 2 - 2.0151(9) 5 - 2.0222(9) 3 - 2.1199(9) 6 - 2.1328(9) 1.444(2) 1.463(2) 5 1.409(2) 6 Fe⁴/c5 1 C2/ Ar -Ar Ee^ 3 1.397(2) 2 /1.433(2) с4 1.471(2) C6 Fe1-Fe2 - 2.6320(3) **1** - 2.065(1) **4** - 2.064(1) 2 - 2.169(1) 5 - 2.073(2) **3** - 2.164(1) **6** - 2.298(2) 1.418(2)C1 5/ \1.425(2) 1.465(2)/ Č02 4 C2, _\C5 1 Ar P2 1.427(2) 2 Co1 1.475(2) 3` ∕c.₄ C3 1.421(2) Co1-Co2 - 2.6035(2) **1** - 2.142(1) **4** - 2.137(1) **2** - 1.984(1) **5** - 1.981(1) **3** - 2.124(1) **6** - 2.128(1) 1.432(2) C6 C1 1.372(2) 1.419(2)/ C2 Ni1 C5 Ar -Ai 3 Ni₂4 / 1.441(2) 1.440(2) √c₄ 1.408(2) Ni1-Ni2 - 2.6313(3) **1** - 2.193(2) **3** - 2.270(2) **2** - 2.165(2) **4** - 2.152(1)

indicating the complex was not locked out as the C_1 solid-state structure due to partial rotation of Ni₂-unit. In agreement, the ³¹P

NMR spectrum shows a single peak, even at -78 °C. The lower

energetic barrier for the fluxional process in 4 versus 3 may be due to a

smaller molecular distortion, particularly of the central ring, necessary

for partial rotation of the M₂ unit of 4.

coordinate in a $\mu_2:(\eta^3,\eta^3)$ fashion to the central arene. In **2**, the phosphines coordinate to each Fe center are roughly colinear with the Fe–Fe vector, resulting in a structure of $pseudo-C_{2v}$ symmetry. The zig-zag arrangement of the P_2Co_2 moiety in 3, imposed by the bridging and terminal CO ligands on Co, effects the overall pseudo-C2 symmetry of the dicobalt complex In complex 2, the planes defined by C1-C2-C3 and C4-C5-C6 show an average dihedral angle of 26° with the C1-C3-C4-C6 plane. Central arene deplanarization is also observed in complex 3, with a dihedral angle of averaging approximately 23° between both the C2-C3-C4 and C5-C6-C1 planes with the C1-C2-C4-C5 plane. The deplanarized central arene carbons of complex 3 are ortho to the aryl substituents, oriented to match the Co-Co vector. The C–C bonds separating the η^3 -M moieties are considerably elongated, averaging approximately 1.469 Å for C1-C6 and C3-C4 in complex 2 and 1.470 Å for C1-C2 and C4-C5 in complex 3. These structural features are consistent with reduction of the arene to generate a bis-allyl motif and formal oxidation of the M₂ core by two electrons to yield a $M^{I}-M^{I}$ unit (M = Fe, Co). Further supporting this assignment are short M-arene distances that are consistent with literature bisallyl Fe₂ or Co₂ complexes.⁴ Bridging arene complexes of Fe₂ and Co₂ displaying a bis-allyl motif are very rare and typically have the two metal centers bound in transfacial manner.^{5, 6} Compounds 2 and **3** represent unusual examples of cofacially coordinated μ_2 -arene complexes. The Fe-Fe distance in complex 2 (2.7563(2) Å) is comparable to a cofacial μ_2 : (η^3, η^3) -toluene Fe₂ complex at 2.746(1) Å,^{5b} but shorter than typical bis-allyl diiron compounds which range between 2.927(3) and 3.138(3) Å.^{4b-d} The Co–Co distance (2.6035(2) Å) in 3 is in the range for a formal single Co°-Co° bond.7

arene interactions (Figure 1). The dinuclear core of complex 2 and 3

In contrast to the Fe₂ and Co₂ systems, the Ni₂ core of **5** binds $\mu_2:(\eta^2,\eta^2)$ to adjacent C–C bonds of the central arene. In the solidstate, **4** shows partial localization of single bond character at C1–C6, C2–C3, and C4–C5 indicative of disrupted aromaticity. No deplanarization of the central arene ligand is observed, suggesting that bisallyl character is not present. While transfacial $\mu_2:(\eta^2,\eta^2)$ -arene Ni^oNi^o complexes are known,⁸ **4** displays the first structurally characterized cofacial $\mu_2:(\eta^2,\eta^2)$ binding mode. The coordination sphere of Ni in **4** is reminiscent of Ni₂(CO)₃(dppm)₂ with a phosphine donor replaced by an arene double bond for each metal center (dppm = bis(diphenyphosphino)methane).^{10a}

The ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the central arene protons of complex 2, 3, and 4 are shifted upfield in comparison to the parent ligand, as a singlet at 4.39 ppm, a pair of 1:1 singlets at 4.29 and 3.95 ppm, and a singlet at 5.85 ppm, respectively, indicating that strong metal-arene interactions and disruption of aromaticity are preserved in solution. The NMR spectra of 2 are consistent with the high symmetry observed in the solid-state structure. Variable temperature (VT) ¹H NMR experiments with **3** show broadening of the central arene and methine protons upon heating, with coalescence observed around 80 °C (Figure S11). The fluxional process consistent with the observed NMR features involves the interconversion of the two pseudo- C_2 isomers by partial rotation of the Co_2 unit around the central arene ring. VT ¹H NMR studies with complex 4 show decoalescence of the central arene protons into a pair of doublets at -20 °C (Figure S12). Further cooling to -78 °C was not sufficient to resolve the central arene protons into four separate resonances

Figure 1. Solid-state structures as 50% thermal ellipsoids of complexes (from top to bottom) 2, $2 \cdot [PF_6]$, 3, and 4. Hydrogen atoms, solvents, and counteranions have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances for each complex are shown on right.

The IR spectrum of complex **2** displays peaks corresponding to terminal CO stretches at 1966, 1914, 1903, and 1880 cm⁻¹. This is comparable to an asymmetrically substituted [FeFe]-hydrogenase model complex, $(\mu$ -pdt)[Fe¹(CO)₂(PMe₃)][Fe¹(CO)₂(IMes)] (pdt = 1,3-propanedithiolate, IMes = 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene), with CO stretches at 1972, 1933, 1897, and 1882 cm⁻¹.⁹ Complex **3** shows peaks consistent with the presence of two terminal (1946 and 1928 cm⁻¹) and one bridging (1771 cm⁻¹) CO ligands, as observed in the solid-state.¹⁰ Similarly, complex **4** shows IR

Journal Name

absorptions for two terminal (1972, 1952 cm⁻¹) and one bridging (1803 cm⁻¹) CO ligands.¹⁰

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) studies of complex **2** revealed a quasireversible oxidation at -0.44 V versus the ferrocene (Fc) and ferrocenium couple (Figure S13). Complexes **3** and **4** did not show reversible redox events in CV studies, and chemical oxidations led to decomposition. The addition of one equivalent of [Fc][PF₆] to a THF solution of complex **2** resulted in a color change from orange-red to green. The one-electron oxidized complex, **2**•[**PF**₆], was isolated in 41% yield, but was found to be unstable in solution at ambient temperatures. However, crystals of complex **2**·[**PF**₆] grown at -35 °C are stable for weeks in the solid-state and proved suitable for XRD analysis.

Oxidation of complex 2 results in significant structural changes to both the coordination mode of the Fe_2 core to the central arene and the nature of the central arene deplanarization (Figure 1). The diiron core binds in an μ_2 : (η^2, η^4) fashion, consistent with a distorted neutral ene-diene assignment for the arene. The longest C-C distances are C1–C2 and C3–C4 (average of 1.467 Å), which correspond to the bonds separating the metal-bound olefin and diene fragments. This is an unusual structural motif for an arene coordinated to a dinuclear unit. The Fe–Fe bond of 2•[PF₆] has contracted to 2.6320(3) Å, over 0.12 Å shorter than the Fe-Fe bond of complex 2. This is suggestive of a strengthened metal-metal bonding interaction and is in agreement with the shorter Fe–Fe bond of 2.423 Å observed in a comparatively more oxidized literature μ_2 -arene Fe₂ complex.^{5c} The IR spectrum of **2**•[**PF**₆] shows peaks at 2010, 1972, 1938, and 1917 cm⁻¹ consistent with terminal CO stretches. These values are indicative of weaker back-bonding from metal to CO in $2 \cdot [PF_6]$ compared to 2, consistent with a higher formal oxidation state in the former. The aforementioned [FeFe]-hydrogenase model complex has been chemically oxidized by one electron and also shows higher terminal CO stretching frequencies at 2036, 1997, and 1987 cm^{-1,9} with shifting of the IMes ligand from an apical to a basal position. Given the transspanning nature of the phosphine arms in compound 1, such a rearrangement is not readily accommodated and instead M-arene coordination is perturbed from $\mu_2:(\eta^3,\eta^3)$ to give a *pseudo*-square pyramidal geometry around Fe1. The neutral ene-diene assignment of the central arene challenges a formal oxidation state assignment of $2 \cdot [PF_6]$ as $Fe^{!}Fe^{!!}$ and underscores the potential redox participation of the central arene in the interconversion of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{2} \cdot [\mathsf{PF}_6]$. Such ambiguity in formal oxidation state assignments is not uncommon for non-innocent ligands.11 Although the central arene may also be regarded as a formal electron acceptor and redox non-innocent ligand, its most notable aspect is the ability to accommodate a variety of coordination modes and support reversible electron-transfer chemistry at the Fe₂-(μ_2 -arene) unit.

In summary, the compounds presented here show that the combination of pendant donors surrounding an arene moiety provides a versatile multidentate platform to support a variety of dinuclear complexes of first-row transition metals. Beyond the intriguing structural aspects, the redox-induced reorganization of the arene highlights its flexible coordination modes and the ability to accommodate electron transfer chemistry. Future work will focus on employing the redox non-innocence and coordination flexibility of π -bound aromatic systems for reactivity.

We thank Lawrence M. Henling for crystallographic assistance. We are grateful to Caltech and NSF CAREER CHE-1151918 for funding. T.A. is a Sloan and Cottrell Fellow. The Bruker X-ray diffractometer was purchased via an NSF CRIF:MU award to Caltech, CHE-0639094.

Notes and references

^{*a*} Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, USA.

- 1. (a) C. Tard and C. J. Pickett, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 2245-2274; (b) F. Gloaguen and T. B. Rauchfuss, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 100-108; (c) M. Y. Darensbourg, E. J. Lyon and J. J. Smee, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2000, 206, 533-561; (d) J. M. Camara and T. B. Rauchfuss, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 26-30; (e) T. Shibata, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 2328-2336; (f) R. Mazzoni, M. Salmi and V. Zanotti, Chem. Eur. J., 2012, 18, 10174-10194; (g) A. Velian, S. Lin, A. J. M. Miller, M. W. Day and T. Agapie, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 6296-6297; (h) R. Beck and S. A. Johnson, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 9233-9235; (i) C. A. Laskowski and G. L. Hillhouse, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 321-325; (j) C. A. Laskowski and G. L. Hillhouse, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 6114-6120; (k) D. L. Delaet, R. Delrosario, P. E. Fanwick and C. P. Kubiak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 754-758; (1) C. H. Lee, D. S. Laitar, P. Mueller and J. P. Sadighi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 13802-13803; (m) E. Simon-Manso and C. P. Kubiak, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 96-102; (n) F. R. Lemke, D. L. Delaet, J. L. Gao and C. P. Kubiak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 6904-6906; (o) S. Friedle, E. Reisner and S. J. Lippard, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 2768-2779; (p) V. Ritleng and M. J. Chetcuti, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 797-858; (q) R. M. Haak, S. J. Wezenberg and A. W. Kleij, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 2713-2723; (r) N. Wheatley and P. Kalck, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 3379-3419.
- 2. A. L. Gavrilova and B. Bosnich, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 349-383.
- (a) S. Suseno, K. T. Horak, M. W. Day and T. Agapie, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 6883-6886; (b) S. Lin, D. E. Herbert, A. Velian, M. W. Day and T. Agapie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15830-15840; (c) S. T. Chao, N. C. Lara, S. Lin, M. W. Day and T. Agapie, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 7529-7532.
- (a) P. Aguirre-Etcheverry, A. E. Ashley, G. Balazs, J. C. Green, A. R. Cowley, A. L. Thompson and D. O'Hare, *Organometallics*, 2010, 29, 5847-5858; (b) R. C. Kerber, M. J. Miran, B. Waldbaum and A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics*, 1995, 14, 2002-2008; (c) C. F. Putnik, J. J. Welter, G. D. Stucky, M. J. D'Aniello Jr., B. A. Sosinsky, J. F. Kirner and E. L. Muetterties, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, 100, 4107-4116; (d) S. A. R. Knox, D. A. V. Morton, A. G. Orpen and M. L. Turner, *Inorg. Chim. Acta.*, 1994, 220, 201-214.
- (a) C. C. Lu, C. T. Saouma, M. W. Day and J. C. Peters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 4-5;
 (b) J. J. Schneider, U. Specht, R. Goddard, C. Krüger, J. Ensling and P. Gütlich, *Chem. Ber.*, 1995, **128**, 941-945;
 (c) K. Jonas, G. Koepe, L. Schieferstein, R. Mynott, C. Kruger and Y. H. Tsay, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1983, **22**, 620-621.
- 6. A CSD search of dinuclear Co or Fe complexes bound to a cyclic C6fragment yielded one cofacial and one transfacial Fe2 complex and 1 transfacial Co2 complex with a μ2:(η3,η3) binding mode.
- N. Casati, P. Macchi and A. Sironi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7736-7739.
- (a) S. A. Johnson, E. T. Taylor and S. J. Cruise, *Organometallics*, 2009, 28, 3842-3855; (b) I. Bach, K. R. Porschke, R. Goddard, C. Kopiske, C. Kruger, A. Rufinska and K. Seevogel, *Organometallics*, 1996, 15, 4959-4966.
- T. B. Liu and M. Y. Darensbourg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 7008-7009.
- (a) J. A. Osborn, G. G. Stanley and P. H. Bird, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, 110, 2117-2122; (b) E. M. Vogl, J. Bruckmann, C. Kruger and M. W. Haenel, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1996, **520**, 249-252.
- (a) R. F. Munha, R. A. Zarkesh and A. F. Heyduk, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, 42, 3751-3766; (b) O. R. Luca and R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 1440-1459; (c) V. T. Annibale and D. T. Song, *Rsc. Adv.*, 2013, 3, 11432-11449; (d) R. Eisenberg and H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 50, 9741-9751; (e) P. J. Chirik and K. Wieghardt, *Science*, 2010, 327, 794-795.

Table of Contents entry:



Hapticity changes of a $Fe_2(\mu$ -arene) moiety upon one-electron oxidation highlight the use of an arene as a non-innocent ligand.