


Journal of Materials Chemistry B

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

ARTICLE

Biscarbazolymethane-Based Cyanine: A Two-Photon Excited Fluorescent Probe for DNA and Selective Cell Imaging

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Yong-Chao Zheng,^{a,c} Mei-Ling Zheng,^{a*} Shu Chen,^{a,c} Zhen-Sheng Zhao,^a and Xuan-Ming Duan^{a,b*}Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Here, we have introduced a novel biscarbazolymethane-based cyanine as two-photon excited fluorescent probe, 6,6'-bis[2-(1-methylpyridinium)vinyl]-bis(9-methyl-carbazol-3-yl)methane diiodide, which has two vinylpyridinium carbazole moieties connected by non-rigid methylene bridge. This molecule possesses a larger Stokes shift and enhanced two-photon absorption cross section than the previously reported vinylpyridinium carbazole monocyanine, which is mainly attributed by the "through-space" type intramolecular charge transfer. The low fluorescence quantum yield and 30-fold fluorescence enhancement once binding with calf thymus DNA highlight this molecule as a promising fluorescence light-up probe for DNA. The obvious induced circular dichroism signals have proved that the molecule with soft-connected bis-cationic centers can specifically interact with various DNA structures. Cell viability study shows the probe has a very low cytotoxicity. The probe exhibits high staining selectivity for mitochondria in living HeLa cell. Its capability to stain nuclear DNA has been confirmed by fixed cell staining. Furthermore, the application for two-photon excited fluorescence imaging demonstrates a high potential of the probe for nonlinear bioimaging with 3D resolution.

Introduction

Fluorescent probes, as powerful bioanalytical tools, have played key roles in the fields of bioimaging, disease diagnoses and therapy.^{1, 2} Two-photon absorption (TPA) has attracted considerable attention in micro/nanofabrication,³ optical power limiting,⁴ photodynamic therapy⁵ and bioimaging.⁶ In the past decade, extensive researches have been focused on developing novel probes for two-photon excited fluorescence (TPEF) microscopy imaging, which is more practically useful for non-invasive live cell imaging due to the deep penetration, low photobleaching and high spatial resolution.^{7, 8}

Several kinds of probes have been developed, such as small molecular probes,^{9, 10} analogs of biomolecules,¹¹ quantum dots^{12, 13} and nanoparticles^{14, 15}. Organic fluorescent probe, which is easily to be modified, probably contributes to the significant fluorescence enhancement once specifically binding to biomolecules. This kind of active light-up type probes has much higher signal/noise (S/N) ratio and is able to improve the imaging sensitivity and specificity *in vivo* in contrast to the traditional fluorophores.¹⁶


Nucleic acid is the key biomacromolecule in inherit and reproduction of life.^{17, 18} In recent years, the probes of DNA

have been extensively studied,¹⁹⁻²¹ of which the fluorescence intensity can be significantly enhanced when they are intercalated between two base pairs or bound to the minor grooves of the DNA chain.^{22, 23} Among them, carbazole scaffold with fused aromatics has recently attracted intense interest because of its biologically active property.²⁴ To date, a variety of carbazole derivatives from various natural or synthetic sources have been used as fluorescent probes and antitumor drugs.²⁵⁻²⁷ Carbazole-based cyanines are highly sensitive and efficient light-up fluorescent probes for DNA due to their good water solubility, biocompatibility and hypotoxicity,²⁸ which enable them to stain living tissues and cells.²⁹

Stilbazolium-substituted carbazole cores have been commonly employed as specific fluorescent labels for DNA and subcellular structures. Feng et al. and Chang et al. developed bisvinylpyridinium carbazole derivatives as sensitive probes for dsDNA and G-quadruplex considering their high binding affinity to DNA.^{28, 30} The binding preference of such derivatives with various DNA secondary structures has been further evaluated.³¹ Additionally, several vinylpyridinium carbazole derivatives with one-dimensional (1D) monocyanine or two-dimensional (2D) biscyanine structure, such as 3-[2-(1-

methylpyridinium)vinyl]-9-methyl-carbazoletosylate and 3,6-bis[2-(1-methylpyridinium)vinyl]-9-methyl-carbazole ditosylate, have also been used in TPEF imaging for staining DNA-rich subcellular structures considering their large TPA cross sections.²⁹ In previous work, we focused our efforts on the physical properties and the application of vinylpyridinium carbazole cyanines as TPEF probes.^{29, 32} The molecular structures engineered the specific labels of nucleus and mitochondria in living cells. These 1D and 2D cyanines exhibit quite different optical properties and biological characteristics.³² 1D monocyanine molecule is of C_v symmetric structure containing a donor (D) group and an acceptor (A) group linked by π conjugated system (D- π -A), while 2D C_{2v} symmetric biscyanine possesses A- π -D- π -A structure. C_{2v} molecule with 2D intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) is more likely to realize high TPA properties than that of the corresponding 1D compound.³³ Unfortunately, larger conjugated system of C_{2v} molecule compared to C_v molecule leads to the decrease of Stokes shift, which is unfavourable for a probe to be applied in fluorescence microscopy. However, biscyanines tend to exhibit stronger binding strength to DNA due to their symmetric structures and bis-cationic centres.³⁴

Given that carbazole core in traditional biscyanines is a rigid structure, we are wondering whether a symmetric two-branched cyanine with two vinylpyridinium groups linked by non-rigid bridge would exhibit large Stokes shift, large TPA cross section and high affinity to DNA. In this paper, we have designed a novel biscarbazole-based cyanine TPEF probe, 6,6'-bis[2-(1-methylpyridinium)vinyl]-bis(9-methyl-carbazol-3yl)methane diiodide (**I**). As shown in Scheme 1, the molecule contains two vinylpyridinium carbazole moieties connected by methylene group. Firstly, the non-conjugated structure will keep the photophysical property of monocyanine, voiding the hyperchromism in UV and bathochromism in fluorescence, resulting in the large Stokes shift. Secondly, the molecule may exist the intramolecular charge transfer in the excited state through the methylene group ("through-space" type)^{35, 36}, which could dedicate to large TPA cross section. Thirdly, the non-rigid connected bis-cationic centres in the molecule would make it easier to adjust the distance of two cationic centres and the molecular orientation compared with the rigid-connection one in biscyanine, which will cause the strong interaction with DNA. In order to get better understanding of the molecular characteristics, we have investigated the properties of **I** in comparison to monocyanine, 3-[2-(1-methylpyridinium)vinyl]-9-methyl carbazole iodide (**II**) and its biscyanine counterpart, 3,6-bis[2-(1-methylpyridinium)-vinyl]-9-methyl carbazole diiodide (**III**). Aside from studying the interaction between these compounds and DNA, we have further applied them into staining HeLa cells. Molecule **I**, has been successfully demonstrated its capability to specifically bind towards DNA and its low cytotoxicity. These advantages predict the potential application in selective labelling of HeLa cells by both confocal fluorescence and TPEF microscopy bioimaging.



Scheme 1 The chemical structure of carbazole-based cyanine probes

Experimental section

Materials

9H-carbazole, sodium hydride (60% in mineral oil, NaH), trichlorophosphine oxide (POCl_3), methyl iodide (CH_3I), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), 4-methylpyridine and all of the solvents were obtained from Beijing Chemical Reagent Company and used without further purification. Sodium iodide (NaI), chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl) and *n*-butyllithium were purchased from Arcos Organics (Belgium). Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) and Tris-HCl buffer (pH = 7.4) were purchased from HEOWNS (China). MitoTracker Deep Red was purchased from Invitrogen. 3-bromo-9H-carbazole and calf thymus DNA (ct-DNA) were purchased from Sigma Co. (USA).

The concentration of ct-DNA was estimated by measuring the UV absorbance at 260 nm ($\epsilon = 6600 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$). All of the oligonucleotides were purchased from SBS Genetech Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China), purified by PAGE. All of them were dissolved in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer solutions containing 100 mM NaCl and 1 mM EDTA. Then DNA samples were heated to 94 °C for 5 min, gently cooled at room temperature, and then stored at 4 °C before use.

Measurements

^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini-300/Brucker AV 400 spectrometer using CDCl_3 or DMSO-d_6 as a solvent and all shifts are referred to tetramethylsilane (TMS). The chemical shift (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet) is shown in ppm. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on Microflex (Bruker, Germany). The ESI mass spectra were determined on a Q-ToF Mass Spectrometry (Waters). Melting points were measured on an X-4 microscopic melting-point apparatus produced by Beijing Focus Instrument Company.

UV-Vis spectra were recorded at the concentration of $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ on a Hitachi U-3900 spectrophotometer. One-photon excited fluorescence (OPEF) spectra were performed at the concentration of $2.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$ using a Hitachi F-4600 spectrometer. The fluorescence quantum yields were obtained following expression with fluorescein in 0.1 M NaOH aqueous solution as a reference standard ($\Phi_r = 0.90$) by equation (1).^{37, 38}

$$\Phi_s = \Phi_r \left[\frac{A_r(\lambda_r)}{A_s(\lambda_s)} \right] \left[\frac{I_r(\lambda_r)}{I_s(\lambda_s)} \right] \left[\frac{n_s}{n_r} \right]^2 \left[\frac{F_r}{F_s} \right] \quad (1)$$

Where Φ is the quantum yield, n is the refractive index, $I(\lambda)$ is the relative intensity of exciting light at wavelength λ , $A(\lambda)$ is the absorbance of solution at the exciting wavelength λ , and F is the integrated area under the emission spectrum. Subscripts s and r refer to the sample and reference solution, respectively.

TPEF spectra were recorded using SD2000 spectrometer (Ocean Optics), excited by a femtosecond laser (Mai Tai, Spectra-Physics, Fremont, CA) with a pulse width of 100 fs and repetition rate of 80 MHz. TPA cross sections were determined by the TPEF method.³⁹ It is assumed that the quantum efficiencies after two-photon excitation are the same as those after one-photon excitation. The TPA cross sections were obtained by calibration against fluorescein with known $\Phi\delta$ value in NaOH aqueous solution (pH = 11) at the concentration of 1.0×10^{-4} M. The samples were dissolved in solvents at the concentration of 1.0×10^{-4} M. The error of TPEF measurement is about 15%. To ensure that the measured signals were solely due to TPA, the dependence of TPEF on the incident intensity was verified in each case to be quadratic (Fig. S1). Then the TPA cross sections were calculated according to equation (2):

$$\delta_s = \delta_r \frac{C_r n_r F_s \Phi_r}{C_s n_s F_r \Phi_s} \quad (2)$$

Where δ is the TPA cross section, C and n are the concentration and refractive index of the sample solution, F is the integrated area obtained from the TPEF spectrum.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy

The circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded from both DNA and compounds absorb region on a Jasco J-815 spectrometer in a 1 cm quartz cuvette thermostat at 20 °C. 220 nm is the lowest wavelength achievable, because Tris-HCl absorbs strongly below 220 nm, leading to a rise of the photomultiplier voltage and unreliable results.⁴⁰ Each spectrum was the accumulation of four scans at a scanning speed of 200 nm/min, with a response time of 0.125 s, 0.5 nm pitch and 1 nm bandwidth. The probes with concentration ranged from 0 to 25 μ M were titrated into 5 μ M solutions of DNA. Each CD spectrum was taken after 10 min incubation.

Cell culture and fluorescence images


HeLa cells were cultured in culture media (DMEM/F12 1:1 (HyClone) with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin) at 37 °C under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ for 24 h. The sample was prepared using the glass-bottom cuvette (NEST). Precooled 99% methanol (-20 °C) was used to fix cells for 1.5 min, subsequently the methanol was removed and the cells were washed by PBS twice. Then the pretreated HeLa cells were stained with 1.5 μ M probe for 15 min at room temperature. Cells were rinsed by PBS twice and ready for confocal fluorescence microscopy observation.

The cell viability was tested using MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay, which is based on the mitochondrial conversion of tetrazolium salt. The HeLa cells were seeded in 96-well microplates (Costar,

Corning, NY) at a density of 1.0×10^4 cells/well in 100 μ L DMEM medium. After attachment for 24 h, the cells were incubated with probes at various concentrations for another 24 h in a final volume containing 150 μ L medium, and then the medium was removed. After that, 150 μ L of fresh medium and 10 μ L of MTT (5 mg/mL in PBS) were added to each well, and the culture plates were incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 4 h. After removing the medium, 150 μ L of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to each well to dissolve the dye. The absorbance at 492 nm was measured using a microplate reader. Each data point was derived from three parallel samples.

Confocal fluorescence imaging was performed with Nikon multiphoton microscopy (A1R MP) with a 60 \times oil-immersion objective lens and living cell work station. Confocal fluorescence images were obtained with an excitation of 488 nm (Coherent). TPEF imaging was excited at 820 nm using a femtosecond laser (Mai Tai, Spectra-Physics).

Synthetic procedures



Reagents and conditions: a) *n*-butyllithium, anhydrous THF, -80 °C–RT, N₂; b) TMSCl, NaI, CH₃CN, RT; c) POCl₃/DMF, CICH₂CH₂Cl, reflux; d) 1,4-dimethylpyridinium iodide, piperidine (catalytic amount), ethanol, reflux.

Scheme 2 Synthesis route of the new cyanine

As shown in Scheme 2, compound **I** was synthesized in a facile way.^{41, 42} Compounds **II** and **III** were synthesized according to the literatures.^{43–45}

Synthesis of bis(9-methyl-carbazol-3-yl)methanol (A) In a 100 mL flask, a solution of 3-bromo-N-methyl-carbazole (2.63 mmol, 683 mg) in anhydrous THF (30 mL) was stirred and cooled at -80 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. A solution of *n*-butyllithium (3.75 mmol, 1.5 mL) in hexane (2.5 M) was slowly added to solution and stirred for 30 min. Then a suspension of 9-methylcarbazol-3-ylaldehyde (2.60 mmol, 545 mg) in 20 mL anhydrous THF was added. After one hour, the cooling bath was removed and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched by adding 30 mL water and extracted with dichloromethane. The solvent was removed under vacuum. After recrystallization using dichloromethane, **A** was obtained as white solid (789 mg, 78% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): 2.35 (d, 1 H, *J* = 3.6 Hz), 3.85 (s, 6 H), 6.30 (d, 1 H, *J* = 3.6 Hz), 7.20 (t, 2 H, *J* = 3.6 Hz), 7.37 (t, 2 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.52 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 8.07 (d, 2 H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 8.21 (s, 2 H). HR-MS (C₂₇H₂₂N₂O): Calcd.: 390.1732; Found: 390.1721.

Synthesis of bis(9-methyl-carbazol-3-yl)methane (B) In a 100 mL flask, a solution of NaI (2.00 mmol, 320 mg), chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl) (2.00 mmol, 0.2 mL) in CH₃CN

(15 mL) was stirred at 0 °C with an ice-water bath under N₂ atmosphere. A solution of **A** (0.50 mmol, 200 mg) in CH₃CN (10 mL) was added dropwise to maintain the reaction temperature below 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight (12 h) and was recooled to 5 °C before use. A solution of NaOH (60 mg with 30 mL H₂O) was added over 10 min. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×25 mL). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and rotary evaporated to give a viscous liquid, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography, elution of the column with ethyl acetate and petroleum ether mixture (1:20) gave white powder **B** (95 mg, 51% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): 3.83 (s, 6 H), 4.37 (s, 2 H), 7.18 (t, 2 H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 7.31–7.38 (m, 6 H), 7.45 (t, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.97 (s, 2 H), 8.01 (d, 2 H, *J* = 7.6 Hz). HR-MS (C₂₇H₂₂N₂): Calcd.: 374.1783; Found: 374.1745.

Synthesis of 6,6'-methylenebis(9-methyl-carbazole-3-carbaldehyde) (C) To a 250 mL three-necked flask containing DMF (1.5 mL, 15 mmol) with an ice-water, trichlorophosphine oxide (2.5 mL, 15 mmol) was added dropwise; after half one hour, a solution of **B** (3.00 mmol, 1.12 g) in 1,2-dichloroethane (10 mL) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was allowed to reflux for 24 h at 90 °C with N₂ atmosphere. The resulting suspension was carefully poured into ice water and then neutralized by saturated NaOH solution to slightly tune the alkalinity and then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×100 mL). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and rotary evaporated to give a viscous liquid, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography, elution of the column with ethyl acetate and petroleum ether mixture (1:3) gave a light yellow powder **C** (0.38 g, 30%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): 3.90 (s, 6 H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 7.39(d, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.45 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.99 (s, 2 H), 8.01 (d, 2 H, *J* = 6.4 Hz), 8.55 (s, 2 H), 10.05 (s, 2 H). HR-MS (C₂₉H₂₂N₂O₂): Calcd.: 430.1681; Found: 430.1650.

Synthesis of 6,6'-bis[2-(1-methylpyridinium)vinyl]-bis(9-methyl-carbazol-3-yl)methane diiodide (I) To a 100 mL flask containing 1,4-Dimethyl-pyridiniumiodide (10 mmol), **C** (4 mmol) in ethanol (30 mL), piperidine (catalytic amount) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was allowed to reflux for 48h. After filtration and recrystallization using methanol, **I** was obtained as reddish-yellow solids. Yield: 51.3%. Mp>290 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): 3.91 (s, 6 H), 4.22 (s, 6 H), 4.37 (s, 2 H), 7.48–7.53(m, 4 H), 7.60 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.71 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.86 (d, 2 H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 8.07 (s, 2 H), 8.07–8.19(m, 8 H), 8.53 (s, 2 H), 8.77 (d, 2 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): 29.3, 40.8, 47.3, 110.3,

110.5, 120.4, 120.8, 121.6, 122.1, 123.3, 126.8, 127.0, 134.3, 140.5, 142.8, 142.9, 145.3, 153.7. MS (ESI): *m/z* Calcd.: for C₄₃H₃₈I₂N₄ 305.4[M-2I]²⁺; Found: 305.4.

3-[2-(1-methylpyridinium)vinyl]-9-methyl-carbazole iodide (II) and **3,6-bis[2-(1-methylpyridinium)vinyl]-9-methyl-carbazole diiodide (III)** were also obtained by the similar procedure as described for **I**.

Compound **II** Yield: 60.3%. Mp>290 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): 3.94 (s, 3 H), 4.24 (s, 3 H), 7.30 (t, 1 H, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 7.50–7.56 (m, 2 H), 7.66 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.73 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.91 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 8.17–8.23 (m, 4 H), 8.58 (s, 1 H), 8.80 (d, 2 H, *J* = 6.4 Hz). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): 30.6, 48.1, 111.2, 111.4, 121.1, 121.4, 121.7, 122.5, 123.3, 123.9, 124.2, 127.6, 127.9, 142.8, 143.4, 143.8, 146.2, 154.5. MS (ESI): *m/z* Calcd.: for C₂₁H₂₉IN₂ 299.2 [M-I]⁺; Found: 299.1.

Compound **III** Yield: 48.8%. Mp>290 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): 3.99 (s, 3 H), 4.26 (s, 6 H), 7.56 (s, 1 H), 7.60 (s, 1 H), 7.79 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 7.98 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 8.21–8.26 (m, 6 H), 8.64 (s, 2 H), 8.83 (d, 4 H, *J* = 6.8 Hz). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆, ppm): 29.5, 46.7, 110.5, 120.5, 121.1, 122.5, 122.8, 126.6, 127.0, 141.9, 142.4, 144.8, 152.8. MS (ESI): *m/z* Calcd.: for C₂₉H₂₇I₂N₃ 208.6 [M-2I]²⁺; Found: 208.7.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Diarylmethane can be synthesized using Fiedel-Craft reaction.⁴⁶ We failed in synthesizing the intermediate **B**, bis(9-methyl-carbazol-3-yl)methane, via a direct Fiedel-Craft reaction between carbazole and chloromethylcarbazole derivatives due to the polymerization of halomethyl carbazole derivatives in acidic condition.⁴⁷ The carbazolylation afforded bis(9-methylcarbazol-3-yl)methane core via tandem Grignard reaction and iodo-trimethylsilane (TMSI) mediated reduction encouraged us.⁴² Starting from 3-bromo-9-methylcarbazole and 9-methylcarbazolyl-3-aldehyde, compound **A** was obtained by 9-methylcarbazole carbonylation with 78% yield after recrystallization in dichloromethane. A further reduction reaction of **A** with TMSI provided **B** in 53% yield after column chromatography. Finally, compound **C**, synthesized by Vilsmeier-Haack reaction⁴⁸, was reacted with N-methyl-4-methyl-pyridium iodide and converted into **I** in moderate yield by the Knoevenagel reaction according to literatures.^{43–45} Compounds **II** and **III** were synthesized in the similar way.

Table 1 Optical properties of cyanines at room temperature

	Solvent	$\lambda_{\text{abs}}^{\text{a}}/\text{nm}$	$\varepsilon^{\text{b}}(10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1})$	$\lambda_{\text{em}}^{\text{c}}/\text{nm}$	$\lambda_{\text{em}}^{\text{d}}/\text{nm}$	ST ^e /nm	ϕ^{f}	$\delta^{\text{g}}/\text{GM}$
I	Glycol	442	5.96	588	591	146	0.011	497
	DMSO	437	5.80	596		159	0.005	
	Tris-HCl buffer	414	4.03	615		201	<0.001	
	With ct-DNA ^h	430	2.67	585		155	0.024	
II	Glycol	434	3.12	577	576	143	0.127	161
	DMSO	430	2.90	585		155	0.113	
	Tris-HCl buffer	418	3.21	580		162	0.015	
	With ct-DNA ^h	446	2.52	570		114	0.123	
III	Glycol	455	5.30	571	570	116	0.023	578
	DMSO	452	5.13	582		130	0.016	
	Tris-HCl buffer	445	4.43	555		110	0.005	
	With ct-DNA ^h	465	4.28	572		107	0.037	

^a the wavelength of absorption maximum; ^b the extinction coefficient; ^c the wavelength of one-photon emission maximum; ^d the wavelength of two-photon emission maximum; ^e Stokes shift; ^f fluorescence quantum yield; ^g The maximum TPA cross measured by TPEF method (1 GM = $10^{-50} \text{cm}^4 \text{s photon}^{-1}$); ^h 5 equiv. of ct-DNA was added.

One- and two-photon photophysical properties

The normalized one-photon absorption and fluorescence spectra in DMSO of **I**, **II**, **III** are shown in Fig. 1a. The absorption spectra shape of **I** and **II** are extremely similar. Unlike them, **III** shows a lowest excited band at 445 nm and the second excited band at 403 nm, attributed to the coupling of two ICT branches. The increased vinylpyridinium carbazole moiety in **I** leads to the twice higher extinction coefficients (ε) in comparison to **II**. The absorption and emission maximum of **I** show 7 nm and 11 nm red shift in relative to those of **II**, respectively (Table 1). We suppose the red shift phenomena of **I** mainly results from the two branched components similar to **II** via “through-space” charge transfer.³⁵

With this in mind, we further investigated the TPA properties of the compounds. Glycol was used as a viscous solvent to improve the fluorescence quantum yields in order to reduce the error of TPA cross sections caused by the quantum efficiency. **I** exhibits a maximum at 591 nm in TPEF spectra when excited at 820 nm in glycol. The TPEF spectra are in accordance with the OPEF spectra (Fig. S2). Using the TPEF method, TPA spectra were obtained as shown in Fig. 1b. The large δ_{max} of **III** (578 GM) is in good agreement with the absorption spectrum, which is assigned to the second ICT state from the strong interaction between two branched vinyl groups. Obviously, TPA spectra of **I** and **II** are also very similar in consistent with their one-photon absorption spectra. The maximum TPA cross section (δ_{max}) of **I** is 497 GM, about 3 times larger than that of **II** (161 GM). Therefore, the non-rigid connection can not simply be described as two non-interacting monocyamine groups. We believe that the effective through-space interaction between carbazole-based monocyamine moiety through the methylene group contribute to the TPA enhancement of **I** compare to **II**.⁴⁹ The enhanced TPA cross section implies the potential of **I** for TPEF imaging applications.

Moreover, we noticed that compound **I** is highly sensitive to the micro-environment. In the Tris-HCl buffer solution, the absorption maximum of **I** was blue shifted to 414 nm with a extinction coefficient reduced to $4.03 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$, which was only 20% larger than that of **II**. Meanwhile, when 5 equiv. of ct-DNA was added to the buffer solution, the absorption maximum of **I** was red shifted to 430 nm and the extinction coefficient was reduced to $2.67 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$. When excited at $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{abs}}$, these compounds showed a weak emission in Tris-HCl buffer while the fluorescence quantum yield increased significantly in organic solvent. The emission maximum of **I** in Tris-HCl buffer appeared at 615 nm with 201 nm Stokes shift, which was 39 nm larger than that of **II**, indicating that the excited state arising from the through-space charge transfer was highly stabilized by the aqueous medium (Fig. S3). It is important to note that the fluorescence signal of **I** was too low to be calculated accurately ($\phi_{\text{FL}} < 0.001$) in the buffer alone, but more than 30-fold enhancement was observed at saturation with low ct-DNA concentration (5 equiv.). The fluorescence enhancement can be attributed to the restricted intramolecular rotation of **I** after binding with DNA, which would cause the reduction of the nonradiative decay. The significant decrease of extinction coefficient and dramatic increase of fluorescence intensity with the existence of DNA in buffer solution (Fig. 1c) indicate that **I** is expected to interact with DNA and immobilize inside DNA. The result highlights that **I** is of high potential as an excellent fluorescence light-up red probe for DNA at a low concentration.




Fig. 1 (a) Normalized absorption and one-photon induced fluorescence spectra of **I**, **II** and **III** in DMSO; (b) TPA spectra of **I**, **II** and **III** in the wavelength range of 760 to 900 nm in glycol. (c) Absorption spectra and fluorescence spectra of **I** (1×10^{-5} M) without and with 5 equiv. of calf thymus DNA (ct-DNA) in Tris-HCl buffer.

Table 2 oligonucleotides used in this study

	Sequence(5'-3')	Structure
LD	GCGCAATTGCGC	duplex
drew AT	CGCGAAATTTTCGCG	duplex
HUM24	TTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGG	quadruplex
c-kit2	GGGCGGGCGCGAGGGAGGGG	quadruplex

Determining the binding mode by circular dichroism titration

The enhanced fluorescence intensity of probes in DNA can be attributed to the micro-environment change or the interaction with DNA, thus we further studied the CD spectra to verify the probe-DNA interactions using different sequences of DNA. Previous work has shown that carbazole dication derivatives can interact with both AT and GC sequences of DNA, especially prefer to bind towards AT-rich regions.⁵⁰ It has also been shown that bisvinylpyridinium carbazole derivatives can stabilize the G-quadruplex DNA structure in human telomeres.⁵¹ CD spectra of DNA and induced CD (ICD) spectra of ligand can be employed to characterize structure and dynamics of DNA-ligand complexes.^{52, 53} CD spectra profile (Fig. S4) of oligonucleotides (Table 2) we used in Tris-HCl buffer with Na^+ confirmed that the parallel quadruplex of c-kit2⁵⁴ with a positive band around 260 nm and a negative band around 240 nm, antiparallel quadruplex of HUM24 with two positive bands at 245 nm and 290 nm and a negative band at 265 nm, the same B-DNA structure of LD and drewAT with a positive band at 280 nm and a negative band at 255 nm.⁵⁵

As the binding mode plays an important role in illustrating the interaction of DNA and probe molecules, we performed the CD titration to determine the binding mode of probe-DNA interaction. Although this class of compounds shows significant fluorescence enhancement when binding with various oligonucleotides,^{30, 31} CD spectra show more detailed difference in binding properties. In the presence of **I** in LD and drew AT, increased positive CD signals at 358 nm and 437 nm were induced along with the increase of probe concentration (Fig. 2a and 2b). The phenomenon provides strong evidence of groove binding mode as groove complex formation generally exhibits strong ICD signal.⁵⁶ In general, groove binder prefers to bind within minor groove in the AT riched region for the larger negative electrostatic potentials and the steric hindrance caused by guanine.⁵⁷ Large ICD signals were also observed in the case of **III** within the absorption region of probe, which had been proved as a minor-groove binder to duplex DNA (Fig. S5). The results provide further evidence that molecule **I** can insert into minor-groove in the central AT region of the two duplex DNA.⁵⁸ In addition, an excitonic ICD signal showed a split negative couplet band (500 nm for **I** and 420 nm for **III**) was observed as a result of the interaction between molecules outside DNA and their adjacent bound ones in minor groove. On the contrary, **II** did not exhibit obvious ICD signals when interacted with LD and drew AT (Fig. S6), indicating the intercalation binding mode and weak binding strength.²⁸




Fig. 2 Circular dichroism spectra of 5 μM (a) LD, (b) drew AT, (c) HUM24 and (d) c-kit2 upon titration of **I** (0-25 μM) in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 100 mM NaCl and 1 mM EDTA. The inset in (c) and (d) shows the corresponding induced CD spectra during titration

The interaction between G-quadruplex and ligands is complex because there are several binding sites in diverse types of quadruplex structures. Previous work has proved that the analogs of **III** show strong ICD signal when binding to telomeric G-quadruplex.⁵⁹ In our study, we also observed the similar result in HUM24, one of the human telomeric DNA (Fig. S5). It can be dominated by its binding preference to the end of G-quartet with the diagonal loop. As for c-kit2 with a parallel structure, ICD signal was not observed even at high probe/DNA ratio. The reason may lie in the absence of diagonal loop in parallel type G-quadruplex.⁶⁰ Interestingly, obvious ICD signals were induced in absorption region of **I** when interacted with both HUM24 and c-kit2. The quite different ICD patterns when **I** and **III** interacted with HUM24 could be attributed to the different binding modes. It is likely that the binding mode of **I** to G-quadruplex is external stacking on the end surface of the G-quartet or groove binding. Moreover, Fig. 2c and 2d showed similar ICD patterns characterized by a positive and a negative band of **I** in HUM24 and c-kit2. But the difference in peak location implies that groove binding is likely to be the major binding mode as the ICD signals are perturbed by different quadruplex structures.⁶¹ However, the induced CD signals of **II** in quadruplex are also negligible, indicating that the methylene bridge in **I** makes molecule

adjust configuration and orientation easily to fit the binding sites of DNA. Although the detailed binding preference deserves further investigation, it is clear that this symmetric molecule (**I**) with bis-cationic centres provided by two non-rigid connected carbazole-based monocyamine can effectively interact with various DNA structures.

Confocal fluorescence and TPEF microscopy imaging

Based on the understanding of the interaction with DNA, the probe with fluorescence enhancement would be prospective for the cell imaging. The cytotoxicity of the organic molecular probes is an important issue for living organelles staining. We preformed the MTT assay to examine the cytotoxic behavior of the three probes. HeLa cell viability was assessed 24 h after incubating with different concentrations of probes. Control experiments were conducted in a similar manner without the presence of the probes. As shown in Fig. 3a, the viability of HeLa cells was over 80% even at 100 μM of **I**. However, the analogues of previously reported carbazole-based cyanine **II** and **III** exhibited obvious cytotoxicity at high concentration, of which the IC-50 values were 26.3 μM and 47.8 μM, respectively. The low cytotoxicity of probe **I** enables it to make a trade-off between visibility and cytotoxicity easily for




Fig. 3 (a) Cell viability of HeLa cells after incubating with probes of increasing concentrations, as determined by the MTT test. Confocal fluorescence images ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 488$ nm) obtained following incubations of (b) living HeLa cells with **I** (3 μM) for 2 h and (c) fixed HeLa cells with **I** (1.5 μM) for 15 min. Scale bar is 5 μm.

fluorescence imaging.

Fluorescence microscopy is a powerful tool to monitor and visualize the intracellular distribution of probes. Confocal microscopy imaging study of these probes in living HeLa cells was carried out to investigate the staining selectivity. The excitation wavelength was 488 nm and the emission was collected in a range of 570–620 nm. Previous work about the characteristics of 1D and 2D carbazole-based cyanines imaged in HeLa cells showed that molecules with a short alkyl chain (CH_3) on the carbazole nitrogen were directed to both mitochondria and nucleus.⁶² Our observations are mostly consistent with the result that probe **II** and **III** are distributed on both cytoplasm and nucleus without specific staining selectivity to a single subcellular structure (Fig. S7). On the contrary, probe **I** exhibited specific localization in cell cytoplasm with high selectivity (Fig. 3b). Further analysis of the staining dynamics in living cell also demonstrated that **I** could penetrate the cell membrane directly and accumulate in cytoplasm region specifically with continuously increased fluorescence intensity (Fig. S7). To determine the cellular localization of the probe, we performed co-localization experiment with MitoTracker Deep Red. The resulting images (Fig. S8) confirmed that probe **I** accumulated mainly in mitochondria. An explanation for that is cationic fluorescent probes, such as rhodamine 123, can always locate to mitochondrial regions because they might be attracted by the relatively high negative electric potential across the mitochondrial membrane.⁶³ Note that the large molecular

dimension and the chromophore shape of **I** limit its karyotheca permeability, which results in the high staining selectivity for mitochondria in living cell. We further applied **I** to stain fixed cells. The fluorescence intensity was significantly enhanced when **I** entered into nucleus. The image exhibits a high contrast nuclear staining with a low cytoplasmic background (Fig. 3c). The result clearly illustrates that probe **I** can interact efficiently with the nuclear DNA, although further molecule modification is needed to achieve karyotheca permeability in living cell.




Fig. 4 Two-photon excited ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 820$ nm) fluorescence images obtained following incubations of HeLa cells with (a) **I**, (b) **II** and (c) **III** with a concentration of 3 μM for 2 h. (d) 3D TPEF images of HeLa cells incubated with **I**. The penetration depths are 11.5 μm. Scale bar is 5 μm.

Furthermore, the performance of TPEF imaging with this newly designed probe **I** has been further investigated considering its large TPA cross section and the significant fluorescence enhancement in subcellular targets. The excitation wavelength was 820 nm. TPEF images exhibited good brightness and excellent contrast even though the observations were performed without removing fluorescent probe in the surrounding medium (Fig. 4a–c). The result demonstrates that TPEF intensity was significantly enhanced when the probe bounded with the subcellular targets. The 3D optical section of HeLa cells (Fig. 4d) proves that the intrinsic 3D resolution and increased cell penetration depth can be well achieved using **I** as a TPEF probe. The staining selectivity and high brightness of TPEF images demonstrate the potential of **I** for further application in biophotonics and medical science.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have designed and synthesized a novel biscarbazolymethane-based cyanine, 6,6'-bis[2-(1-methylpyridinium)vinyl]-bis(9-methyl-carbazol-3-yl)methane diiodide (**I**), as a TPEF probe for DNA and living cell imaging. The molecule was designed by connecting two vinylpyridinium carbazole moieties with non-rigid methylene bridge. The

optical properties of **I** were successfully demonstrated. The 201 nm Stokes shift in buffer solution and 3-fold enhancement of TPA cross section comparing to the monocyamine **II** are mainly attributed by the “through-space” type intramolecular charge transfer through the two vinylpyridinium carbazole groups. This new probe exhibits a very weak emission and 30-fold fluorescence enhancement when binding with ct-DNA, giving promise as a fluorescence light-up probe for DNA. The obvious ICD signals in CD spectra proved that molecule **I** with soft-connected bis-cationic centres can specifically interact with various DNA structures by distinctive binding mode. Cell viability study shows the probe has a very low cytotoxicity. The application of **I** for confocal fluorescence microscopy imaging exhibits the high staining selectivity for mitochondria in living HeLa cell. Its capability to stain nuclear DNA was confirmed by fixed cell staining. Furthermore, the properties of large TPA cross section and significant fluorescence enhancement in subcellular targets enable **I** to become a highly sensitive TPEF probe for nonlinear bioimaging with high brightness, contrast and 3D resolution. The successful design of this carbazole-based cyanine provides a new way for developing fluorescent light-up probe for DNA and TPEF cell imaging.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61205194, 91123032, 61275171), the National Basic Research Program of China (2010CB934103), International Cooperation Program of MOST (2010DFA01180) for financial support. The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. Wei-qiang Chen for valuable discussions about the molecules design and synthesis.

Notes and references

^a Laboratory of Organic NanoPhotonics and Key Laboratory of Functional Crystals and Laser Technology, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.29 Zhongguancun East Road, Beijing 100190, P. R. China.

^b Chongqing Institute of Green and Intelligent Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.266 Fangzheng Ave, Shuitu technology development zone, Beibei District, Chongqing 400714, P. R. China.

^c University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.29 Zhongguancun East Road, Beijing 100190, P. R. China.

Phone: +86-10-82543596, Fax: +86-10-82543597

E-mail address: zhengmeiling@mail.ipc.ac.cn, xmduan@mail.ipc.ac.cn.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: quadratic dependence of TPA, TPEF spectra, ABS and OPEF spectra in buffer and glycol, CD spectra of oligonucleotides, CD titrations spectra of probe **II** and **III**, staining dynamics analysis of the probes, co-localization with MitoTracker Deep Red, NMR, ESI-MS spectra of molecules. See DOI: XXXXXXXX

1. H. Kobayashi and P. L. Choyke, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **44**, 83-90.

2. G. M. van Dam, G. Themelis, L. M. A. Crane, N. J. Harlaar, R. G. Pleijhuis, W. Kelder, A. Sarantopoulos, J. S. de Jong, H. J. G. Arts, A. G. J. van der Zee, J. Bart, P. S. Low and V. Ntziachristos, *Nat. Med.*, 2011, **17**, 1315-1319.
3. W.-E. Lu, X.-Z. Dong, W.-Q. Chen, Z.-S. Zhao and X.-M. Duan, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 5650-5659.
4. C. W. Spangler, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 1999, **9**, 2013-2020.
5. M. Velusamy, J.-Y. Shen, J. T. Lin, Y.-C. Lin, C.-C. Hsieh, C.-H. Lai, C.-W. Lai, M.-L. Ho, Y.-C. Chen, P.-T. Chou and J.-K. Hsiao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 2388-2397.
6. M. D. Cahalan, I. Parker, S. H. Wei and M. J. Miller, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 2002, **2**, 872-880.
7. W. Denk, J. Strickler and W. Webb, *Science*, 1990, **248**, 73-76.
8. C. Xu, W. Zipfel, J. B. Shear, R. M. Williams and W. W. Webb, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 1996, **93**, 10763-10768.
9. M. S. T. Gonçalves, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **109**, 190-212.
10. J. Chan, S. C. Dodani and C. J. Chang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 973-984.
11. R. W. Sinkeldam, N. J. Greco and Y. Tor, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 2579-2619.
12. T. Jamieson, R. Bakhshi, D. Petrova, R. Pocock, M. Imani and A. M. Seifalian, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**, 4717-4732.
13. E. Sharon, R. Freeman and I. Willner, *Anal. Chem.*, 2010, **82**, 7073-7077.
14. C. S. Thaxton, D. G. Georganopoulou and C. A. Mirkin, *Clin. Chim. Acta*, 2006, **363**, 120-126.
15. M.-L. Zheng, K. Fujita, W.-Q. Chen, X.-M. Duan and S. Kawata, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 8988-8993.
16. H. Zhang, J. Fan, J. Wang, S. Zhang, B. Dou and X. Peng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11663-11669.
17. J. D. Watson and F. H. C. Crick, *Nature*, 1953, **171**, 737-738.
18. L. H. Hurley, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2002, **2**, 188-200.
19. V. P. Tokar, M. Y. Losytskyy, V. B. Kovalska, D. V. Kryvorotenko, A. O. Balanda, V. M. Prokopets, M. P. Galak, I. M. Dmytruk, V. M. Yashchuk and S. M. Yarmoluk, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2006, **16**, 783-791.
20. Z. Li, S. Sun, Z. Yang, S. Zhang, H. Zhang, M. Hu, J. Cao, J. Wang, F. Liu, F. Song, J. Fan and X. Peng, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**, 6473-6481.
21. Y. Hong, S. Chen, C. W. T. Leung, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Chemistry – An Asian Journal*, 2013, **8**, 1806-1812.
22. M. L. Kopka, C. Yoon, D. Goodsell, P. Pjura and R. E. Dickerson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 1985, **82**, 1376-1380.
23. M. Read, R. J. Harrison, B. Romagnoli, F. A. Tanious, S. H. Gowan, A. P. Reszka, W. D. Wilson, L. R. Kelland and S. Neidle, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2001, **98**, 4844-4849.
24. H.-J. Knölker and K. R. Reddy, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 4303-4428.
25. K. Nozaki, K. Takahashi, K. Nakano, T. Hiyama, H.-Z. Tang, M. Fujiki, S. Yamaguchi and K. Tamao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2003, **115**, 2097-2099.
26. U. Jacquemard, S. Routier, A. Tatibouet, J. Kluza, W. Laine, C. Bal, C. Bailly and J.-Y. Merour, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2004, **2**, 1476-1483.
27. S. Yoon, J.-H. Kim, Y. J. Lee, M. Y. Ahn, G. Choi, W. K. Kim, Z. Yang, H. J. Lee, H. R. Moon and H. S. Kim, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 2012, **697**, 24-31.
28. X. J. Feng, P. L. Wu, F. d. r. Bolze, H. W. C. Leung, K. F. Li, N. K. Mak, D. W. J. Kwong, J.-F. o. Nicoud, K. W. Cheah and M. S. Wong, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 2194-2197.

29. M.-L. Zheng, K. Fujita, W.-Q. Chen, N. I. Smith, X.-M. Duan and S. Kawata, *ChemBioChem*, 2011, **12**, 52-55.
30. C.-C. Chang, J.-Y. Wu, C.-W. Chien, W.-S. Wu, H. Liu, C.-C. Kang, L.-J. Yu and T.-C. Chang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2003, **75**, 6177-6183.
31. B. Dumat, G. Bordeau, E. Faurel-Paul, F. Mahuteau-Betzer, N. Saettel, M. Bombled, G. Metgé, F. Charra, C. Fiorini-Debuisschert and M. P. Teulade-Fichou, *Biochimie*, 2011, **93**, 1209-1218.
32. J. Gu, W. Yulan, W.-Q. Chen, X.-Z. Dong, X.-M. Duan and S. Kawata, *New J. Chem.*, 2007, **31**, 63-68.
33. L. Porrès, O. Mongin, C. Katan, M. Charlot, T. Pons, J. Mertz and M. Blanchard-Desce, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **6**, 47-50.
34. S. Neidle, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2001, **18**, 291-309.
35. J. Zyss, I. Ledoux, S. Volkov, V. Chernyak, S. Mukamel, G. P. Bartholomew and G. C. Bazan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 11956-11962.
36. S. Olsen, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2013, **117**, 2455-2468.
37. G. A. Crosby and J. N. Demas, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1971, **75**, 991-1024.
38. R. Velapoldi and H. Tønnesen, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2004, **14**, 465-472.
39. C. Xu and W. W. Webb, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 1996, **13**, 481-491.
40. P. Hazel, J. Huppert, S. Balasubramanian and S. Neidle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 16405-16415.
41. E. J. Stoner, D. A. Cothron, M. K. Balmer and B. A. Roden, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 11043-11062.
42. J. S. Yadav, D. C. Bhunia, K. Vamshi Krishna and P. Srihari, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 8306-8310.
43. W.-J. Kuo, G.-H. Hsiue and R.-J. Jeng, *Macromolecules*, 2001, **34**, 2373-2384.
44. B. J. Coe, J. A. Harris, I. Asselberghs, K. Clays, G. Olbrechts, A. Persoons, J. T. Hupp, R. C. Johnson, S. J. Coles, M. B. Hursthouse and K. Nakatani, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2002, **12**, 110-116.
45. Y. Zhang, L. Wang, T. Wada and H. Sasabe, *Macromolecules*, 1996, **29**, 1569-1573.
46. C. Borger, M. P. Krah, M. Gruner, O. Kataeva and H.-J. Knolker, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 5189-5193.
47. K. Tani, N. Sakumoto, K. Kubono, K. Hori, Y. Tohda, H. Benten, H. Ohkita, S. Ito and M. Yamamoto, *Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **38**, 140-141.
48. A. Vilsmeier and A. Haack, *Ber.*, 1927, **60**, 119-122.
49. G. P. Bartholomew, M. Rumi, S. J. K. Pond, J. W. Perry, S. Tretiak and G. C. Bazan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 11529-11542.
50. F. A. Tanious, D. Ding, D. A. Patrick, C. Bailly, R. R. Tidwell and W. D. Wilson, *Biochemistry*, 2000, **39**, 12091-12101.
51. C.-C. Chang, I. C. Kuo, I. F. Ling, C.-T. Chen, H.-C. Chen, P.-J. Lou, J.-J. Lin and T.-C. Chang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2004, **76**, 4490-4494.
52. N. C. Garbett, P. A. Ragazzon and J. B. Chaires, *Nat. Protocols*, 2007, **2**, 3166-3172.
53. M. Eriksson and B. Nordén, in *Methods in Enzymology*, ed. M. J. W. Jonathan B. Chaires, Academic Press, 2001, pp. 68-98.
54. V. Kuryavii, A. T. Phan and D. J. Patel, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2010, **38**, 6757-6773.
55. T.-M. Ou, Y.-J. Lu, J.-H. Tan, Z.-S. Huang, K.-Y. Wong and L.-Q. Gu, *ChemMedChem*, 2008, **3**, 690-713.
56. B. Nordén and T. Kurucsev, *J. Mol. Recognit.*, 1994, **7**, 141-155.
57. L. H. Hurley, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1989, **32**, 2027-2033.
58. F. A. Tanious, D. Ding, D. A. Patrick, R. R. Tidwell and W. D. Wilson, *Biochemistry*, 1997, **36**, 15315-15325.
59. J.-Y. Wu, C.-C. Chang, C.-S. Yan, K.-Y. Chen, I. C. Kuo, C.-Y. Mou and T.-C. Chang, *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.*, 2003, **21**, 135-140.
60. C.-C. Chang, C.-W. Chien, Y.-H. Lin, C.-C. Kang and T.-C. Chang, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2007, **35**, 2846-2860.
61. X.-F. Zhang, H.-J. Zhang, J.-F. Xiang, Q. Li, Q.-F. Yang, Q. Shang, Y.-X. Zhang and Y.-L. Tang, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2010, **982**, 133-138.
62. E. De Meulenaere, W.-Q. Chen, S. Van Cleuvenbergen, M.-L. Zheng, S. Psilodimitrakopoulos, R. Paesen, J.-M. Taymans, M. Ameloot, J. Vanderleyden, P. Loza-Alvarez, X.-M. Duan and K. Clays, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 984-995.
63. L. V. Johnson, M. L. Walsh and L. B. Chen, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 1980, **77**, 990-994.