Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/nanoscale

- **Functionalized Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Controlling the Movement of** 1
- **Immune Cells** 2
- Ethan E White^{a, c, e}, Alex Pai^{d, e}, Yiming Weng^{a, e}, Anil K. Suresh^{a, e}, Desiree Van Haute^{a, c}, 3
- Torkom Pailevanian^d, Darya Alizadeh^{a,b}, Ali Hajimiri^{d,*}, Behnam Badie^{b,*} and Jacob M. 4
- Berlin^{a,*} 5
- ^a Department of Molecular Medicine, ^b Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, 6
- Beckman Research Institute, ^c Irell & Manella Graduate School of Biological Sciences at City of 7
- Hope, 1500 East Duarte Road, Duarte, CA, 91010, United States. 8
- 9 ^d Department of Electrical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California
- Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, United States. 10
- 11 ^e These authors contribute equally.
- * Drs. Hajimiri, Badie, and Berlin, served as co-PI's for these studies. Contact info: 12
- *E-mail: jberlin@coh.org. Tel.: +1 626 256 4673* 13
- *E-mail: bbadie@coh.org. Tel.: +1 626 256 4673.* 14
- *E-mail: hajimiri@caltech.edu. Tel.: +1 626 395 2312.* 15
- 16

Table of Contents 17

Coated Iron Oxide Nanoparticles



- 18 Microglia
- Magnet Under Dish Coating super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with an immunostimulant, CpG 19
- 20 oligodeoxynucleotides, dramatically increases their uptake by microglia cells. Once loaded with the
- 21 nanoparticles, the microglia cells can be manipulated with magnets.
- 22

23 Abstract

Immunotherapy is currently being investigated for the treatment of many diseases, including 24 25 cancer. The ability to control the location of immune cells during or following activation would represent a powerful new technique for this field. Targeted magnetic delivery is emerging as a 26 27 technique for controlling cell movement and localization. Here we show that this technique can 28 be extended to microglia, the primary phagocytic immune cells in the central nervous system. The magnetized microglia were generated by loading the cells with iron oxide nanoparticles 29 functionalized with CpG oligonucleotides, serving as a proof of principle that nanoparticles can 30 31 be used to both deliver an immunostimulatory cargo to cells and to control the movement of the cells. The nanoparticle-oligonucleotide conjugates are efficiently internalized, non-toxic, and 32 immunostimulatory. We demonstrate that the in vitro migration of the adherent, loaded 33 34 microglia can be controlled by an external magnetic field and that magnetically-induced migration is non-cytotoxic. In order to capture video of this magnetically-induced migration of 35

- 36 loaded cells, a novel 3D-printed "cell box" was designed to facilitate our imaging application.
- 37 Analysis of cell movement velocities clearly demonstrate increased cell velocities toward the
- magnet. These studies represent the initial step towards our final goal of using nanoparticles to
 both activate immune cells and to control their trafficking within the diseased brain.

41 **1. Introduction**

Immunotherapy is an attractive treatment strategy for many diseases, including cancer.¹ 42 Generally, this strategy involves stimulating the immune system such that it recognizes the 43 44 diseased cells as foreign and eliminates them. A wide variety of agents, ranging from antibodies, to engineered immune cells, to potent adjuvants have been used to stimulate the immune system. 45 Immunotherapy would be greatly enhanced as a treatment strategy if the location of the immune 46 cells both during and after stimulation could be controlled. For the case of cancer 47 immunotherapy, it could be of great benefit to direct activated immune cells to the tumor in order 48 to promote antigen recognition specifically for cancer cells. Furthermore, the immune response 49 could be enhanced by maintaining a population of activated immune cells at the primary tumor 50 site and/or improving the trafficking of activated immune cells to distant foci of disease. 51

52 We have been pursuing the use of oligodeoxynucleotides that contain an unmethylated CpG motif (CpG) as immune stimulants for the treatment of glioblastoma. CpG is known to be 53 immunostimulatory by activating toll like receptor-9 (TLR9) which is expressed by normal and 54 glioma-associated human microglia and macrophages.²⁻⁵ Activation of TLRs enhances the 55 uptake of microorganisms by phagocytic cells, promotes secretion of Th1 cytokines, and 56 mediates leukocyte recruitment to infected tissues. TLR9 is not found on the cell surface, but is 57 initially located in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and is then found colocalized with CpGs in 58 the lysosomes following stimulation.⁶ Therefore, because CpG-induced immune stimulation is 59 dependent upon TLR9 interacting with CpG inside the endosomal compartment, using a platform 60 that achieves endosomal delivery of CpG may be an attractive strategy for enhancing CpG 61 efficacy.⁷ 62

Nanoparticles are appealing delivery platforms for CpG because, in general, 63 nanoparticles are rapidly endocytosed by phagocytic immune cells.⁸ Various nanoparticles have 64 been investigated as potential candidates for the delivery of CpGs, including liposomes.⁹ self-65 assembling DNA nanoparticles.¹⁰ poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanoparticles¹¹ and gold 66 nanoparticles.¹² For the treatment of brain cancers, we recently reported that the use of single-67 walled carbon nanotubes as delivery vehicles for CpG dramatically increased the efficacy of the 68 CpG, such that a single intracranial injection of the nanotube-CpG construct cured 60% of 69 glioma-bearing mice.^{13, 14} Moreover, when mice that were cured by treatment with the nanotube-70 CpG construct were rechallenged with a subcutaneous injection of GL261 glioma cells, every 71 mouse rejected the cancer cells, demonstrating a successful induction of systemic immunity. 72

This nanotube-CpG construct is readily taken up by macrophages, microglia, NK cells 73 and dendritic cells.¹³ We hypothesized that using super paramagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles 74 (SPIONs) as the delivery platform for the CpG would enable magnetic control of immune cells 75 that endocytosed the particles. SPIONs respond to magnetic fields and allow for the controlled 76 delivery of conjugated chemotherapeutics.¹⁵ This technique may even allow for targeted 77 delivery deep within the body.^{16, 17} Magnetic targeting of drug delivery has been applied to brain 78 tumors.¹⁸ Recently, magnetic targeting has been extended to cells by labeling desired cell 79 populations with SPIONs and other magnetic particles. One focus of this work has been 80 attracting loaded cells to magnetized implanted devices, such as stents.^{19, 20} Another primary 81 application has been targeting loaded stem cells for regenerative medicine.²¹⁻²⁴ A limited number 82 of publications have also described magnetic control of loaded immune cells.²⁵ Clinically, we 83 envision that a SPION-based immunotherapy could be injected intracranially, internalized by 84

local immune cells, and then enable the magnetically-controlled migration of these activatedimmune cells for the treatment of multifocal disease or deep brain tumors.

87 Here we demonstrate that SPION-CpG conjugates are efficiently internalized by 88 microglia which allows for magnetic control of the loaded cells. The synthesis and 89 characterization of the SPION-CpG conjugates is described. Furthermore, the SPION-CpG 90 conjugates are shown to be non-toxic and immunostimulatory.



Figure 1: Synthesis and characterization of the SPION-CpG conjugates. (A) Schematic
illustration of the synthesis of SPION-CpG conjugates. (B) Hydrodynamic diameter and zeta
potential of SPION-CpG conjugates. (C) Transmission electron microscopy image of the
SPION-CpG conjugates. (D) LIVE/DEAD stain of N9 cells treated with 0.5 mg/mL for 12 h.
Red = ethidium homodimer (dead), green = calcein AM (live). (E) NFκB activation of RAW-

- Blue[™] mouse macrophage reporter cells treated with 0.1 mg/mL SPION-CpG (n=2, 6 replicates
 each). The average of the normalized data from two assays is shown.
- 100

101 **2. Results and discussion**

The long-term goal of this research is to generate an iron-oxide-based cancer 102 immunotherapy that enables magnetically-guided, modular localization of activated immune 103 104 cells in vivo. The objective of this manuscript is to provide proof-of-principle evidence that adherent, microglia-like immune cells can be loaded with immunostimulatory particles and 105 moved by magnetic fields in vitro. To perform the in vitro magnetic field influenced cell 106 movement studies, SPION-CpG conjugates (Fig 1A) were prepared from 30 nm SPIONs (Fig 107 S1A). The synthetic protocol was intended to produce CpG conjugated to PEG with a terminal 108 silvl ether for conjugation to the SPIONs, but Mass Spectrometry (MS) analysis (Fig S1C) 109 110 indicated that the reduction of RSSCpG was inefficient and thus the majority of material used to coat the SPIONs was likely RSSCpG. Nonetheless, it was clear that a functional coating was 111 112 achieved as demonstrated by the change in aqueous stability of the SPIONs. The as-received SPIONs were coated with oleic acid and thus not miscible with water, but, following sonication 113 with the CpG-containing material, the SPIONs entered the aqueous phase. Furthermore, zeta 114 potential measurements showed the resulting SPION-CpG particles to be negatively charged, 115 consistent with an oligonucleotide coating (Fig 1B). Dynamic light scattering measurements 116 indicated that the SPION-CpG conjugates have a hydrodynamic diameter of 94±5 nm, as 117 compared to 46±2 nm for the free SPIONs (Fig 1B). This is likely the result of small aggregates 118 forming following the coating, as revealed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Fig 1C). 119

Cell assays indicated that SPION-CpG was both non-cytotoxic (Fig 1D) and 120 immunostimulatory (Fig 1E). The LIVE/DEAD assay was used to evaluate cell viability of N9 121 mouse microglia cells after 12 h of loading at SPION-CpG concentrations up to 0.5 mg/mL. As 122 123 shown in Fig 1D and Fig S1D, the treated cells exhibited an equivalent level of viability as untreated control cells. In order to evaluate the immunostimulatory potential of SPION-CpG, an 124 NF κ B reporter cell line was used. Because NF κ B activity is increased in response to TLR9 125 126 activation by CpG, immune stimulation by SPION-CpG was expected to cause an increase in NFkB signal within this reporter system. Indeed, SPION-CpG treatment induced an increase in 127 NFkB activity when compared to untreated cells (Fig 1E). However, the NFkB activity of 128 SPION-CpG was less than an equivalent amount of free CpG (RSSCpG). This reduction in 129 activity has several possible causes. It is possible that some CpG was lost during the SPION-130 CpG synthesis process, thus resulting in lower NFkB activity after uptake by reporter cells. It is 131 132 also possible that the immunostimulatory activity of CpG was partially inhibited by conjugation to SPIONs. Phosphate groups are well-known for their ability to bind the surface of iron oxide.²⁶, 133 ²⁷ Therefore it is likely that the phosphorothioate backbone of the CpG oligonucleotide interacted 134 with the surface of the SPIONs, interfering with CpG release or its binding to TLR9.²⁸ Future 135 work will focus on investigating the mechanism of this phenomenon and further enhancing the 136 137 immunostimulatory activity of SPION-CpG.



Figure 2: SPION-CpG is internalized in N9 cells. Dark-field images of (A) microglia cells alone
and (B) cells loaded with SPION-CpG conjugates [0.5 mg/mL]. (C-D) TEM images of loaded
cells. ICP-MS analysis of (E) cells treated with increasing concentrations of SPION-CpG
9

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript

conjugates and Ferumoxytol. (F) Cell and supernatant fractions at various time points after
loading (n=2 with treatments performed in triplicate, average of two experiments is shown).
Error bars represent standard deviations of iron content in replicate wells.

146

Next, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was used to quantify the 147 cellular uptake of the SPION-CpG by N9 microglia. Briefly, cells were treated with increasing 148 concentrations (0, 0.01, 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 mg/mL) of SPION-CpG conjugates for 12 h, washed 149 thoroughly, digested with nitric acid and analyzed using ICP-MS. A dose-dependent increase in 150 iron content above the normal background level in control cells was observed (Fig 2E). For 151 comparison, cells were also treated with the commercially available Ferumoxytol iron oxide 152 nanoparticles (sold under the name Feraheme®), which are approved for human use by the US 153 Food and Drug Administration. Ferumoxytol particles are similar in size to the SPIONs used in 154 this study, with an iron core diameter of 17-30 nm.²⁹ However, even when cells were exposed to 155 a 3× higher concentration of Ferumoxytol as compared to SPION-CpG, very little uptake of the 156 157 Ferumoxytol particles was observed. While there are a number of differences between our SPION-CpG and Ferumoxytol, we speculate that this increased loading of SPION-CpG was due 158 to the oligonucleotide coating. It has previously been shown that oligonucleotide coatings lead to 159 enhanced cell uptake mediated by scavenger receptors. ^{30, 31} Independent of the mechanism 160 responsible, the enhanced uptake for our SPION-CpG made this material appealing for use in the 161 magnetic control of microglia. 162

Further, dark-field microscopy imaging was performed to show the uptake and distribution of the particles over a relatively large area. N9 cells treated with SPION-CpG conjugates at a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL were assessed using a dark-field microscope.

Untreated control cells showed no uptake (Fig 2A), whereas cells treated with SPION-CpG 166 conjugates showed profound uptake of the SPIONs (Fig 2B). TEM imaging was also performed 167 to gather information on the intracellular distribution of the SPION-CpG conjugates. At low 168 169 magnification, TEM imaging performed across various regions of the grid revealed strong uptake of the SPION-CpG conjugates, in agreement with dark-field microscopy. Higher magnification 170 TEM imaging showed dense aggregates of particles that were predominantly located in 171 intracellular vesicles (Fig 2C-D). Some particles were observed in the cytoplasm as well, and no 172 particles were found in the nucleus. Similarly, no uptake was observed in the untreated control 173 174 cells (Fig S2A-B).

After verifying that SPION-CpG was internalized by N9 cells, the exocytosis of these 175 particles was then investigated. This has important implications in experiments involving 176 177 magnetically-induced movement. As a cell exocytoses SPIONs, its responsiveness to magnetic fields will decrease as a function of the amount of residual intracellular iron. Thus the rate of 178 exocytosis affects the time period over which the cells can be moved *in vitro*. In order to track 179 180 the rate of exocytosis, N9 cells were loaded and the iron content of both the cells and the cell media was measured at 0, 8, and 24 h after loading. Over a 24 h period, there was no detectable 181 increase in the iron content of the cell media nor was there a detectable decrease in the iron 182 content of the cell fraction (Fig 2F). Therefore, we concluded that exocytosis of SPION-CpG 183 was negligible in N9 cells over 24 h. The background iron level in the media at 0 h was 184 presumably residual free SPION-CpG which was not removed when the loaded cells were 185 washed with PBS two times. Longer time points were not investigated because 24 h proved 186 sufficient to demonstrate robust in vitro movement. 187

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript



188

Figure 3: Magnetically induced movement of the cells loaded with SPION-CpG conjugates. (A)
Color images of loaded cells after exposure to magnets of different shapes for 20 h. The
concentration of SPION-CpG used for loading was 0.1 mg/mL. (B-D) are the various controls
used for the magnetic movement experiments; (B) loaded cells not exposed to the magnet, (C)
unloaded cells exposed to the magnet, and (D) SPION-CpG only without cells. (E-F) SEM
images of cells after movement. (G-H) Brightfield and fluorescent images of LIVE/DEADstained cells after movement.

196

As a simple test to evaluate if SPION-CpG-loaded microglia could be controlled with an external magnetic field, three different shaped magnets were placed underneath glass bottom culture dishes containing adherent N9 cells loaded with SPION-CpG. After 20 h, the media was

200 aspirated, the plates were stained with Prussian blue, and photographs were taken to demonstrate the shape-dependent localization of the loaded cells (Fig 3A). Additionally, this magnetically 201 controlled cell movement was correlated with the dose of SPION-CpG given to the cells, with 202 203 higher loadings leading to more pronounced accumulation at the magnet (Fig S3A-C). As controls, we also imaged SPION-CpG particles only with magnet exposure, untreated cells with 204 magnetic exposure and cells loaded with SPION-CpG that were not exposed to any magnets. The 205 SPION-CpG only control shows almost no adherence of the particles, such that following 206 staining and washing, very little material remained in the dish (Fig 3D). Neither "empty" cells 207 208 that were exposed to the magnet nor loaded cells not exposed to the magnet migrated *in vitro*, confirming that the shape-dependent localization of the loaded cells was magnetically controlled 209 (Fig 3B-C). In order to more closely monitor the magnetically induced migration of the cells, 210 higher magnification images were recorded for loaded cells after 0, 2, 4, and 20 h of magnet 211 exposure (Fig S4M-P). Accumulation of the loaded cells at the edge of the magnet where the 212 magnetic field gradient was largest was observed by 4 h and increased until 20 h. Collectively, 213 214 these controls demonstrate that the accumulation at the edge of the magnet was due to SPIONloaded cells moving in response to the magnetic field. 215

In order to verify that magnetically-induced movement was nontoxic, the migrated cells were examined for changes in viability and cell morphology. Because the migrated cells comprise only a small percentage of the total cell population of the dish, assays that analyze the bulk cell population would not be able to detect changes in the migrated cell population due to a high background signal from the non-migrated cells. Furthermore, there is no good way to separate the migrated and non-migrated cell populations prior to analysis. Therefore, analysis of the migrated population was performed using imaging-based techniques. Imaging-based

techniques allow the spatial information from the cells to be preserved during the analysis,enabling quick identification of migrated and non-migrated populations.

Viability and cell morphology were examined via the LIVE/DEAD assay and SEM, respectively. After loaded cells were exposed to the magnet for 20 h and clear migration was observed, the cells in the dish were stained with calcein AM and ethidium homodimer, fixed, and then fluorescently imaged to assess viability. After fluorescent imaging, the same region of cells was imaged by SEM. No decrease in cell viability was detected for migrated cells nor were any gross abnormalities in cell morphology observed (Fig 3E-H, S3D-G).

The movement of magnetized cells is primarily determined by the external magnetic field 231 profile and strength, the hydrodynamic properties of the cell in its environment, and the 232 effectiveness of SPION uptake by the cells. An important evaluation of cell motility is the 233 234 quantification of movement velocity. Therefore, in order to analyze the movement velocity of cells, an innovative imaging, incubation, and magnetic manipulation apparatus was designed to 235 track magnetized cells in magnetic fields (Fig S4Q). The apparatus consists of a custom "cell 236 237 box" fitted onto a standard sized petri dish. N9 cells were plated onto the coverslip portion of the cell box and then loaded with SPION-CpG. After cell loading, the entire box assembly was 238 then inverted into a petri dish containing culture medium. For time-lapse imaging, the cell box 239 and petri dish were placed onto the stage of an inverted microscope equipped with incubation 240 capabilities. A ceramic permanent magnet was then placed on the opposite side of the coverslip 241 to which the cells were attached. 242



Figure 4: Histograms of (A) horizontal and (B) vertical cell velocities in motility experiment. Magnetized cells were imaged over a period of 12 h under the exposure of a magnetic field. The external magnet was placed in the positive vertical position to the cells. Velocities were calculated by extracting the change in cell position from one frame to the next. The average velocity was $0.2\pm3.72 \,\mu$ m/min and the vertical direction and $0.03\pm1.69 \,\mu$ m/min in the horizontal direction.

251

244

During magnet exposure, the cells were imaged at 2 frames/min for a period of 20 h. Cell positions were extracted using an image processing algorithm.³² The distribution of cell velocities in the vertical direction (toward the magnet) and horizontal direction is shown in Fig 4A-B. The histograms in Fig 4A-B show that the cell movement in the horizontal direction was symmetrical, while cell movement in the vertical direction was skewed toward the externallyapplied magnetic field. In some cases cells migrated toward the magnet at velocities exceeding $30 \mu m/min$.

259

261 **3. Experimental**

Ultrasonication was performed using a QSONICA Sonicator Q700 (QSONICA, Newtown, CT, 262 USA) equipped with a cup horn cooled with running water from the sink. Super paramagnetic 263 264 iron oxide nanoparticles (30 nm, catalogue #SOR-30) were purchased from Ocean Nanotech, Springdale, AR, USA. Silane-PEG-NH₂ (MW = 2000, Catalogue #PG2-AMSL-2k) was 265 purchased from NANOCS. Dithiotheritol (DTT) was purchased from VWR. Fully 266 phosphorothioated CpG oligonucleotides bearing a terminal dithiol (5-HO-C₆-SS-C₆-267 T*A*A*A*C*G*T*T*A*T*A*A*C*G*T*T*A*T*G*A*C*G*T*C*A*T*-3) (RSS-CpG) was 268 provided by the DNA core facility at Beckman Research Institute at City of Hope. 269 Sulfosuccinimidyl 6-(3'-[2-pyridyldithio]-propionamido)hexanoate (Sulfo-LC-SPDP) 270 was purchased from ProteoChem (catalogue #c1118). Illustra NAP-25 columns (catalogue #17-0852-271 272 01) were purchased from GE Healthcare. All other chemicals and reagents were from standard commercial sources. N9 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (with high 273 glucose, L-glutamine, sodium pyruvate) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 274 275 HEPES (0.01M), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL) incubated at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. For movement experiments, cells were cultured in glassbottom 276 dishes (MatTek Corporation, catalogue # P35G-0-14-C). Coverslip removal fluid (catalogue # P 277 DCF OS 30) was obtained from MatTek. 278

279 **3.1. SPION-CpG synthesis**

Silane-PEG-NH₂ (29 eq, 17mM) and Sulfo-LC-SPDP (17 eq, 10mM) were dissolved in deionized water and stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. Meanwhile, RSS-CpG (1 eq) was dissolved in deionized water containing at least 2.5mM DTT and stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. DTT-treated RSS-CpG was then passed through a nap-25 column (GE Healthcare)

in order to remove excess DTT. The CpG solution was then added to the Silane-PEG-NH₂containing reaction and heated in a 60 °C oil bath for at least 2 hours. After 2 hours, the reaction was isopropanol-precipitated, dialyzed against deionized water using a <3.5kD MWCO membrane, and lyophilized.

The lyophilized material was dissolved in deionized water and diluted to an effective 288 CpG concentration of 2 mg/mL based on UV absorbance at 260 nm (RSSCpG ε_{260nm} = 261900 L 289 mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). Then SPIONs (25 mg/mL in chloroform) were combined with the product stock 290 solution in a 1:1 mass ratio (SPION : CpG). The mixture was rotated for 10 minutes and 291 distributed into 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes with <1 mL in each. To disperse the SPIONs, the 292 sample tubes were ultrasonicated for a process time of 4 h (80 amplitude, 15 s on, 15 s off). The 293 SPION-CpG conjugates were then dialyzed (100 kD MWCO) against deionized water. After 294 dialysis, the samples were diluted to a final concentration of 1 mg/mL SPIONs and 1 mg/mL 295 RSSCpG (assuming no loss) using deionized water. During synthesis of SPION-CpG, 296 discoloration of sample tubes and sedimentation upon dialysis membranes was observed, 297 298 indicating that some of the material was lost. However, it was not feasible to quantify the SPION loss on these surfaces. Similarly, the amount of CpG lost during SPION-CpG synthesis is also 299 difficult to quantify. Therefore as an approximation, the concentrations reported in subsequent 300 experiments assumed no loss of SPIONs and no loss of CpG. At worst, when using this 301 approximation we underestimated the immunostimulatory potential and cell uptake efficiency of 302 SPION-CpG. 303

304 3.2. Mass Spectrometry

Using 3kD MWCO centrifugal spin filters (Amicon Ultra 0.5 mL, catalogue # UFC500324,
 EMD Millipore), crude from the RSSCpG reduction reaction was washed with an ammonium

acetate – acetic acid buffer (pH = 4.5) and deionized water for desalting. The sample was introduced by nanoelectrospray into a Thermo LTQ-FT operated in negative ion mode under manual control. Ions were detected in the ICR cell at resolution 100,000 (at m/z 400).

310 3.3. NFκB activity assay

RAW-Blue[™] mouse macrophage reporter cells (Invivogen) were cultured at 5000 cells per well 311 (using a 96-well plate; 6 repeat per group). Cells were treated with 10 µL of RSSCpG, SPION-312 CpG, or water for 16 hours. Both RSSCpG and SPION-CpG treated wells had the same final 313 CpG concentration (0.1 mg/mL). The level of secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) 314 was quantified by incubating 10 µL of supernatant with 190 µL QuantiBlue[™] substrate 315 (InvivoGen) for 1h and reading absorption at 620 nm using DTX 880 Multimode Detector 316 (Beckman Coulter). QuantiBlue[™] substrate was prepared by mixing one pouch of QUANTI-317 318 Blue in 100 mL of deionized water. The solution was then filtered using a 0.2 µm membrane. QuantiBlue[™] substrate was warmed at 37[°]C prior to use. 319

320 **3.4.** Physical characterizations

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and zeta potential (ZP) measurements were performed (ionic strength 10^{-3} M using NaCl) on a Brookhaven 90 Plus/BI-MAS Instrument (Brookhaven Instruments, New York). DLS measurements were obtained by performing 10 runs at 30 s per run and the ZP values by measuring 10 runs involving 20 cycles per run. For the zeta potential measurements the samples were diluted to achieve an effective concentration of 0.01 w/v% (assuming no SPION loss). Each time, prior to performing DLS and ZP measurements the sample was ultrasonicated for 1 h.

328 **3.5. LIVE/DEAD staining and light microscopy**

Cytotoxicity assessment was performed using the LIVE/DEAD staining assay (Invitrogen). N9 329 microglia cells seeded at 9.6×10^4 cells per well in a glass bottom cell culture dish were grown to 330 80% confluence. For each viability assay, the cells were washed with PBS, treated with the 331 332 SPION-CpG conjugates and incubated for 12 h. After exposure to the different SPIONs, the cells were rinsed with PBS and stained with calcein-AM and ethidium homodimer (EthD-1 or EthD-333 2). The dyes were mixed together and appropriately diluted so that the effective working solution 334 contains 2 µM of calcein-AM and 4 µM of ethidium homodimer which was then directly added 335 to cells following manufacturer's protocol. After incubation with the dye, the cells were treated 336 with fixative (either glutaraldehyde for post-movement SEM samples or paraformaldehyde) and 337 imaged. 338

Light microscopy images were obtained on several instruments: Nikon Eclipse TS100 339 340 microscope equipped with Infinity2 camera (Nikon Instruments Inc., USA), Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U Inverted Fluorescence microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc., USA), Zeiss Axio 341 Observer Z1 Inverted microscope with a Hamamatsu EMCCD C9100-13 Monochrome Camera, 342 343 and a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal laser-scanning microscope with a Plan-Apochromat 20×/0.8 objective (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Thornwood, NY). For confocal images, optical sections 344 were collected at 1 µm spacing and shown as a maximum intensity projection using Zen 2009 345 software (Carl Zeiss). For the Zeiss Axio Observer, Zen 2012 blue software was used. 346

347 3.6. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) for uptake and exocytosis

To quantify the total uptake of the SPION-CpG by the microglia N9 cells we performed ICP-MS (4500 Series, Hewlett Packard) measurements. The cells were grown as described above and treated with various concentrations of SPION-CpG (0, 0.01, 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 mg/mL) or Ferumoxytol (1.5 mg/mL). After 12 h of exposure, the medium was aspirated, the cells were

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript

352 washed once with PBS and were collected by treating with 0.2% trypsin-EDTA, followed by 353 washing two times with PBS and table-top centrifugation (1000 rpm, 5 min), acid digested overnight using 1 mL of 67-70% BDH Aristar ® Plus Nitric Acid, and analyzed using ICP-MS 354 upon appropriate dilution. A standard curve was made using serial dilution of a 1ppm solution of 355 Iron standard solution (Spex CertiPrep). Iron concentration was determined by ICPMS Analysis 356 on a HP 4500 Series using a concentric nebulizer. Scott type spray chamber, and a fixed quartz 357 injector torch. A CX interface was used. Plasma power was 1500 Watt. Helium was used as the 358 collosion gas (4 mL/min). Data was analyzed quantitatively in a spreadsheet program. 359

To evaluate exocytosis, N9 cells were plated at 6,000 cells per well in a 96 well plate (18,000 cells/cm²) and allowed to adhere for 24 h. The cells were then loaded at a 0.1 mg/mL concentration of SPION-CpG for 2 h. After loading, the cells were washed twice with PBS and 150 μ L fresh media was added to each well. At t = 0 h, 8 h, and 24 h, media in the well was completely removed ("supernatant" fraction) and both the amount of iron in both the supernatant and cell fractions was quantified via ICP-MS. Each group was in triplicate and two independent experiments were performed.

ICP-MS for the exocytosis experiment was performed as follows: 200 μ L BDH Aristar **®** Plus Nitric Acid (70%) was added to each sample tube (for the supernatant fraction) or well of a 96 well plate (cell fraction) to dissolve the iron. Each tube or well was washed once with 200 μ L of 70% Nitric acid, the sample was then diluted to 3.4 mL with 3 mL of 2% nitric acid solution. Iron concentration was determined by ICPMS Analysis on Agilent 7500 Series using a concentric nebulizer, Scott type spray chamber, and a fixed quartz injector torch. A CX interface was used. Plasma power was 1500 Watt. Helium was used as the collosion gas (4 mL/min). A

standard curve was made using serial dilution of a 1ppm solution of Iron standard solution (SpexCertiPrep). Data was analyzed quantitatively in a spreadsheet program.

376 **3.7. Dark-field microscopy imaging**

Cells were grown to 80% confluence on a glass bottom cell culture dish for 24-48 h. The cells 377 were loaded with 0.5 mg/mL SPION-CpG for 2 h. After loading, excess media was removed, 378 and the cells were washed extensively with PBS. The cover slips were carefully detached from 379 380 the bottom of the dishes using a sharp edge forcep and allowed to dry. A drop of non-drying immersion oil (Cargille Laboratories, Cedar Glove, NJ) was put on the cover slip and the cover 381 slip was mounted onto the glass slide and was adhered using nail polish to prevent drying. Dark-382 field microscopy imaging was performed using a CytoViva dark field microscope system 383 equipped with CytoViva Hyperspectral Imaging System 1.2. 384

385 **3.8. Electron Microscopy (SEM and TEM)**

TEM imaging was performed on a FEI Tecnai 12 TEM equipped with a Gatan Ultrascan 2K 386 CCD camera at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV. Cells treated with SPION-CpG conjugates 387 388 (0.5 mg/mL) as described earlier, were collected by treating with 0.2% trypsin-EDTA, followed by washing with PBS and table top centrifugation (2000 rpm, 5 min), fixed with 2% 389 glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Cacodylate buffer (Na(CH₃)2AsO₂•3H₂O), pH 7.2, at 4°C overnight. 390 The following day the cells were washed three times with 0.1 M Cacodylate buffer, post-fixed 391 with 1% OsO4 in 0.1 M Cacodylate buffer for 30 min and washed three times with 0.1 M 392 Cacodylate buffer. The samples were then dehydrated using 60%, 70%, 80%, 95% ethanol and 393 100% absolute ethanol (twice), propylene oxide (twice), and were left in propylene 394 oxide/Eponate (1:1) overnight at room temperature under sealed environment. The following day 395 396 the vials were left open until the propylene oxide was evaporated ($\sim 2-3$ h). The samples were

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript

Nanoscale

infiltrated with 100% Eponate and polymerized at ~64°C for 48 hours. Ultra-thin sections (~70
nm thick) were cut using a Leica Ultra cut UCT ultra-microtome equipped with a diamond knife,
and the sections were picked up on 200 mesh copper EM grids. The grids were stained with 2%
uranyl acetate for 10 minutes followed by Reynold's lead citrate staining for a minute prior to
imaging.

SEM images of the magnetically-moved cells were obtained on an FEI Quanta 200 402 scanning electron microscope. Immediately after LIVE/DEAD staining, cells were fixed with 2% 403 glutaraldehyte in 0.1M Cacodylate buffer (Na(CH₃)₂AsO₂ · 3H₂O), pH7.2, at 4°C. The coverlip 404 was then removed from the glassbottom dish using Coverslip Removal Fluid (MatTek) following 405 the manufacturer's protocol. The coverslip was then washed three times with 0.1M Cacodylate 406 buffer, pH 7.2, post-fixed with 1% OsO₄ in 0.1M Cacodylate buffer for 30 min and washed three 407 times with 0.1M Cacodylate buffer. The samples were then dehydrated through 60%, 70%, 80%, 408 409 95% ethanol, 100% absolute ethanol (twice). The samples were dried in a critical-point dryer and then coated with gold and palladium (Au: Pd 60/40 ratio) in a Cressington 308R coating system. 410

411 **3.9.** Magnetic-field induced qualitative cell movement *in vitro* by bright-field microscopy

For the magnetically induced cell movement experiments, microglia N9 cells were cultured as 412 described above. Cells were seeded at 9.3×10^5 cells per dish (9.7×10^4 cells/cm²), grown to 90% 413 confluence and treated with various concentrations (low; 0.1 mg/mL, medium; 0.3 mg/mL and 414 high; 0.5 mg/mL) of SPION-CpG conjugates. After 2 h of incubation, the loading medium was 415 aspirated, the cells were washed extensively with PBS (carefully, until most of the free SPION-416 CpG was gone), supplemented with fresh growth medium and were placed in the incubator with 417 magnet placed underneath the dish separately. Further to show the controlled movement of cells, 418 419 three different shapes of magnets were used; square, circular, and rectangular. The movement of

420 cells towards the magnet was assessed at various times (0 h, 2 h, 4 h and 20 h) using microscopy. In order to verify that increased iron concentration at the edge of the magnet was SPION-CpG-421 loaded cells and not free particles in solution, a cell-free SPION-CpG-only control was included. 422 423 After movement, the cells were stained for iron using Prussian blue staining as per manufacturer's (Polyscience Inc. Warrington, PA) instructions. Briefly, equal volumes of 4% 424 potassium ferrocyanide and 4% hydrochloric acid were mixed together to prepare the working 425 solution. The standard staining required at least two changes of the working solution treatments 426 for 10 minutes each. After which the cells were rinsed three times with distilled water and 427 stained using nuclear fast red for 3 min. Finally, the cells are rinsed in running tap water for 1 428 minute and imaged immediately. 429

430 **3.10.** Cell box design

431 An imaging apparatus was required to image, incubate, and magnetically manipulate cells loaded with SPION-CpG. The apparatus, or cell box, was inserted onto a petri dish containing cell 432 media. It was comprised of a 3D printed ABS substrate (Fig S4Q) attached to a large coverslip 433 434 (48 mm x 65 mm no 1, Ted Pella, catalogue #260365). The cell box provides an enclosed environment for long term cell viability and proliferation while allowing for external 435 manipulation of magnet fields. In order to maintain the pH of cell media, exogenous CO₂ was 436 supplied through the inlet port of the custom cell box and evenly distributed to distribution 437 channels inside the petri dish. A pressure relief port prevented the accumulation of pressure and 438 ensured a continuous flow of atmosphere. 439

440 The cell box was printed using a 3D printer (Replicator 2, Makerbot) and is both airtight441 and waterproof. The cell box features a hollow center to enable light illumination during bright

field microscopy. A slotted coverslip face allows for structural support of the coverslip andcoverslip adhesive. The cell box was printed with ABS filament (ABS filament, Makerbot).

444 **3.11.** Live cell imaging

In preparation for live cell imaging, the cell box was assembled and N9 cells plated on it. The 445 box was first sterilized by soaking in 0.6% NaOCl and rinsed thoroughly with autoclaved 446 deionized water in order to remove residual NaOCl (bleach). A large coverslip (48 mm x 65 mm 447 no 1,Ted Pella, catalogue #260365) was autoclaved and attached to the cell box using a non-448 cytotoxic silicone based adhesive (Silbione Med Adhesive 4100 RTV, Factor II, catalogue #A-449 4100). The box-coverslip assembly was then left at room temperature in a sterile environment 450 (biosafety hood) for about 2 days in order to allow the adhesive to cure. After 2 days, an 451 autoclaved polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) dam was placed on the coverslip in order to form a 452 453 makeshift tissue culture dish. The PDMS dam was created by first synthesizing a ~ 0.5 cm thick rectangular slab of PDMS on a clean glass surface (Sylgard 184 Silicone elastomer kit, Dow 454 Corning, follow manufacturer's protocol) and then cutting an $\sim 9 \text{ cm}^2$ rectangular hole in the 455 456 PDMS. When this PDMS dam is set on the box-coverslip assembly, it forms a water-tight seal with the coverslip. Once the dam is in place, N9 cells $(8.72 \times 10^5 \text{ cells or } 9.7 \times 10^4 \text{ cells/cm}^2)$ were 457 plated on the coverslip and allowed to adhere for 8 h in the incubator. The cells were then loaded 458 at 0.1 mg/mL SPION-CpG for 2 h, following the protocol for the previous cell movement 459 experiment. After loading, the cells were washed with PBS, the PDMS dam was removed and 460 the box-coverslip assembly was inverted into a 100 mm petri dish containing enough media to 461 submerge the coverslip (10 - 20 mL). 462

Live cell imaging was performed on a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 Inverted microscope with the Pecon/Zeiss Incubation System. Images were obtained using a 10x/ 0.3NA EC-Plan Neofluar

objective and a Hamamatsu EMCCD C9100-13 Monochrome Camera. The microscope and 465 camera were controlled using the Zen 2012 Blue Edition software. After connecting the cell box 466 to the microscope, pre-magnet images were taken for reference. A ceramic magnet was then 467 468 placed on top of the coverslip portion of the cell box above the region of the coverslip where cells are adhered. To prevent the magnet from moving during the experiment, the magnet was 469 secured to the coverslip with tape. Using time-lapse imaging (1 brightfield image every 30 s), the 470 motion of cells within one field of view near the magnet were tracked over 20 h (the same time 471 scale used for the other movement experiment). 472

473



474

Figure 5: Tracking magnetized cells under the exposure of a magnetic field. The cell position
was calculated by identifying its centroid position.³² The cell speed was calculated using the total
distance traveled between consecutive frames.

478

479 **3.12. Cell tracking**

Magnetized cells were imaged inside the cell box for 20 h at a frame rate of 2 frames/min in order to observe long-term motility. The centroid postion of the cell was determined using a computer vision algorithm as seen in Fig 5.³² A 2D cross correlation was computed to determine position offsets in consecutive frames. All cells move in the same plane. The velocities of the cells were examined both in the direction of the magnet (positive vertical direction) as well as the horizontal direction, as seen in Fig 4A-B. The velocity of the cell from one frame to the next was calculated by multiplying the displacement in one frame by the frame rate.

487

488 **4.** Conclusions

SPION-CpG conjugates were non-toxic to N9 microglia cells and were efficiently internalized into endosmal compartments. This enabled magnetic control over microglia motility *in vitro*. This work establishes the possibility of using a nanoparticle to both stimulate immune cells and to control their trafficking. Future experiments will investigate the optimal coating for the SPIONs to further enhance immune cell activation.

494

495 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge ICP-MS instrumentation under the supervision of Nathan Dalleska at the Environmental Analysis Center at the California Institute of Technology. We thank Denise Keen from the Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Core Facility at the Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope for assistance with mass spectrometry. The authors gratefully acknowledge Marcia M. Miller, Zhuo Li and Ricardo Zerda for assistance with the TEM from

the COH Electron Microscope Core Facility. The authors gratefully acknowledge Brian 501 Armstrong and Tina Patel for assistance with fluorescent and bright field microscopy from the 502 Light Microscopy Digital Imaging Core. The authors gratefully acknowledge Kaushik Dasgupta 503 504 and Jeff Sherman for their dedicated assistance in magnetic manipulation of magnetized cells. The authors would like to also thank Brian Hong for proofreading this manuscript. Finally, the 505 authors would like to thank ONR N00014-02-1 0958 for funding for the TEM, NSF DBI-506 507 9970143 for funding for the Ultramicrotome, R21NS081594, R01CA155769, R21CA189223, City of Hope-Caltech Biomedical Research Initiative, The Kenneth T. and Eileen L. Norris 508 Foundation, STOP Cancer, and the ThinkCure! Foundation for research funding. Research 509 reported in this publication included work performed in the Light Microscopy Digital Imaging 510 and Electron Microscopy Cores supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National 511 512 Institutes of Health under award number P30CA33572.

513

Electronic Supplementary Material: Supplementary material with the transmission electron microscopy images of the particles, additional independent experiments for the NF κ B activity and exocytosis assays, TEM images for the SPION untreated cells, bright field microscopy images of the cells alone in the presence and absence of magnet, images of the magnetic movement experiments at higher doses of SPION, full uncropped images of the post-migration LIVE/DEAD assay, and a video file of cell movement is available in the online version of this article.

521 **References**

522 1. I. Mellman, G. Coukos and G. Dranoff, *Nature*, 2011, **480**, 480-489.

523	2.	Y. Meng, M. Kujas, Y. Marie, S. Paris, J. Thillet, J. Y. Delattre and A. F. Carpentier, J Neurooncol,
524	2	2008, 88 , 19-25.
525	3.	S. F. Hussain, D. Yang, D. Suki, E. Grimm and A. B. Heimberger, <i>J Transi Med</i> , 2006, 4 , 15.
526	4.	S. F. Hussain, D. Yang, D. Suki, K. Aldape, E. Grimm and A. B. Heimberger, <i>Neuro Oncol</i> , 2006, 8 ,
527	_	261-279.
528	5.	M. Bsibsi, R. Ravid, D. Gveric and J. M. van Noort, <i>Journal of neuropathology and experimental</i>
529		neurology, 2002, 61 , 1013-1021.
530	6.	E. Latz, A. Schoenemeyer, A. Visintin, K. A. Fitzgerald, B. G. Monks, C. F. Knetter, E. Lien, N. J.
531		Nilsen, T. Espevik and D. T. Golenbock, <i>Nature immunology</i> , 2004, 5 , 190-198.
532	7.	B. Badie and J. M. Berlin, Immunotherapy, 2013, 5, 1-3.
533	8.	M. A. Dobrovolskaia, P. Aggarwal, J. B. Hall and S. E. McNeil, Molecular pharmaceutics, 2008, 5,
534		487-495.
535	9.	Y. Suzuki, D. Wakita, K. Chamoto, Y. Narita, T. Tsuji, T. Takeshima, H. Gyobu, Y. Kawarada, S.
536		Kondo, S. Akira, H. Katoh, H. Ikeda and T. Nishimura, Cancer Research, 2004, 64, 8754-8760.
537	10.	S. Rattanakiat, M. Nishikawa and Y. Takakura, Eur J Pharm Sci, 2012, 47, 352-358.
538	11.	S. L. Demento, N. Bonafe, W. G. Cui, S. M. Kaech, M. J. Caplan, E. Fikrig, M. Ledizet and T. M.
539		Fahmy, <i>Journal of Immunology</i> , 2010, 185 , 2989-2997.
540	12.	M. Wei, N. Chen, J. Li, M. Yin, L. Liang, Y. He, H. Y. Song, C. H. Fan and Q. Huang, Angew Chem Int
541		Edit, 2012, 51 , 1202-1206.
542	13.	D. C. Zhao, D. Alizadeh, L. Y. Zhang, W. Liu, O. Farrukh, E. Manuel, D. J. Diamond and B. Badie,
543		Clinical Cancer Research, 2011, 17 , 771-782.
544	14.	H. Fan, I. Zhang, X. Chen, L. Zhang, H. Wang, A. Da Fonseca, E. R. Manuel, D. J. Diamond, A.
545		Raubitschek and B. Badie, Clin Cancer Res, 2012, 18, 5628-5638.
546	15.	S. C. McBain, H. H. Yiu and J. Dobson, Int J Nanomedicine, 2008, 3 , 169-180.
547	16.	B. Shapiro, Journal of magnetism and magnetic materials, 2009, 321 , 1594.
548	17.	A. Nacev, S. H. Kim, J. Rodriguez-Canales, M. A. Tangrea, B. Shapiro and M. R. Emmert-Buck,
549		International Journal of Nanomedicine, 2011, 6 , 2907-2923.
550	18.	B. Chertok, B. A. Moffat, A. E. David, F. Yu, C. Bergemann, B. D. Ross and V. C. Yang,
551		Biomaterials, 2008, 29 , 487-496.
552	19.	S. V. Pislaru, A. Harbuzariu, R. Gulati, T. Witt, N. P. Sandhu, R. D. Simari and G. S. Sandhu, J Am
553	-	<i>Coll Cardiol</i> . 2006. 48 . 1839-1845.
554	20.	B. Polvak, J. Fishbein, M. Chorny, J. Alferiev, D. Williams, B. Yellen, G. Friedman and R. J. Levy,
555	_0.	Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2008. 105 , 698-703.
556	21.	P. G. Kyrtatos, P. Lehtolainen, M. Junemann-Ramirez, A. Garcia-Prieto, A. N. Price, J. F. Martin, D.
557		G. Gadian, O. A. Pankhurst and M. F. Lythgoe. <i>IACC. Cardiovascular interventions</i> , 2009, 2 , 794-
558		802
559	22	A S Arbab F K Jordan J B Wilson G T Yocum B K Lewis and J A Frank Human gene
560	22.	therany 2004 15 351-360
561	23	V Vanecek V Zahlotskii S Forostvak I Ruzicka V Hervnek M Bahic P lendelova S
562	25.	Kubinova A Deineka and E Sykova Int I Nanomedicine 2012 7 3719-3730
562	24	A Vanai II O Hafeli A I Metcalfe D Soema I Addo C V Gregory-Evans K Do X Shan O I
567	۲4.	Moritz and K. Gregory-Evans Cell transplantation 2012 21 1127 1149
504	25	INITIC and N. OLEGULY-EVAID, CEILLIANSPIALICUUL, 2012, 21, 1157-1140.
505	25.	E. S. Jang, J. H. Shill, G. Rell, W. J. Park, K. Cheng, A. Chen, J. C. Wu, J. B. Sunwoo and Z. Cheng,
500	26	Divinuicinuis, 2012, 33 , 3304-3332. S. Lauront D. Forgo, M. Dort A. Boch, C. Bohie, L. Vander Elet and D. N. Muller, Chemical
50/	20.	S. Laurent, D. Forge, IVI. Port, A. Roch, C. RODIC, L. Valluer Eist allu R. IN. Muller, Chemical
200		$I \in V[\subseteq W_3, \angle \cup \cup 0, \bot \cup 0]$

569	27.	Y. Sahoo, H. Pizem, T. Fried, D. Golodnitsky, L. Burstein, C. N. Sukenik and G. Markovich,
570		Langmuir, 2001, 17 , 7907-7911.
571	20	T Haas I Metzger E Schmitz A Heit T Müller E Latz and H Wagner Immunity 2008 28

- 57128.T. Haas, J. Metzger, F. Schmitz, A. Heit, T. Müller, E. Latz and H. Wagner, *Immunity*, 2008, 28,572315-323.
- 573 29. R. T. Castaneda, A. Khurana, R. Khan and H. E. Daldrup-Link, *Journal of visualized experiments :*574 *JoVE*, 2011, e3482.
- 575 30. N. L. Rosi, D. A. Giljohann, C. S. Thaxton, A. K. Lytton-Jean, M. S. Han and C. A. Mirkin, *Science*,
 576 2006, **312**, 1027-1030.
- 577 31. P. C. Patel, D. A. Giljohann, W. L. Daniel, D. Zheng, A. E. Prigodich and C. A. Mirkin, *Bioconjugate chemistry*, 2010, **21**, 2250-2256.
- 579 32. T. L. Hedrick, *Bioinspiration & Biomimetics*, 2008, **3**, 034001.

580