# NJC

Accepted Manuscript


This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical guidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

www.rsc.org/njc

New J. Chem

RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION

# Rational design of a rapid fluorescent approach for detection of inorganic fluoride in MeCN/$H_2O$: a new fluorescence switch based on *N*-aryl-1,8-naphthalimide

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Angela Bamesberger[a], Christopher Schwartz[a], Qiao Song[a], Weiwei Han[b], Zhi Wang[b], and Haishi Cao[a]*

**A fluoride chemosensor (FCS-1) based on 1,8-naphthalimide bearing a trimethylsilyl ether has been designed and synthesized. FSC-1 displayed high selectivity and sensitivity to fluoride in inorganic forms (KF and NaF) with a short response time in MeCN/$H_2O$ media.**

In the past few years, the development of artificial approaches for anion sensing has been an enormously active research field throughout several different disciplines of chemistry.[1] In particular, the detection of hazardous anions with high impact to ecosystem and human health has received extremely considerable attention.[2] As an essential anion for humans, fluoride ($F^-$) is a common additive to drinking water and toothpaste for its beneficial effect to human health.[3] In the biological systems, certain levels of fluoride are critical to maintaining normal physiological functions (e.g., regulating cellular pH and osmosis).[4] While the optimal intake of fluoride according to the data published from U.S. Public Health Service, is 1 mg per day for humans,[5] too much will lead to poisoning effects, such as fluorosis and urolithiasis.[6] Thus, a rapid, facile and quantitative approach for the measurement of fluoride is highly needed.[7] Since fluoride is both very basic and electronegative, numerous fluorogenic sensors have been designed on the basis of molecules containing a Lewis acid boron atom or hydrogen bonds that are able to strongly interact with fluoride to trigger the fluorescence change as the detection signal.[8] The downside of this type sensor is that other anions, like $CN^-$, $OAc^-$ and $H_2PO_4^-$, may cause significant interference.[9] To improve the affinity to fluoride, desilylation-based sensors were designed by using an irreversible fluoride-triggered Si-O cleavage reaction widely used as a protection method for alcohols in organic synthesis. These reaction-based sensors have been reported for excellent selectivity and sensitivity to organic fluoride (tetrabutylammonium fluoride) over other interference anions.[10] However, detection of inorganic fluoride has still been a challenge, particularly for samples with low fluoride concentration in water-containing media due to the high solvation that caused long reaction time.[11] Therefore, water friendly sensors with the ability to detect inorganic fluoride are quite rare and highly desirable.[12]

In the present paper, we report the design and synthesis of a robust sensor (FCS-1) based on fluoride-triggered desilylation of trimethylsilyl ether with high affinity and sensitivity to rapidly recognize fluoride over other anions in MeCN/$H_2O$ media. 4-methoxy-*N*-aryl-1,8-naphthalimide and trimethylsilyl (TMS) ether were employed as the fluorophore and the recognition unit for FCS-1, respectively. As a fluorescent molecule, *N*-aryl-1,8-naphthalimide has been intensively investigated and used as the fluorophore for chemosensors due to its unique photophysical properties.[13] Based on previous research work in our group, we found that the intramolecular H-bond between the phenolic and naphthalimide moieties in *N*-aryl-1,8-naphthalimide, significantly affected the fluorescence properties of whole molecule. This new result explicitly suggested that the aryl moiety may significantly affect photophysical properties of *N*-aryl-1,8-naphthalimide that could be used as an optical switch to design fluorescence sensors.[14] Inspired by this research work, we developed a new sensor (FCS-1) containing a trimethylsilyl ether on aryl ring with a strong fluorescence emission. In the presence of fluoride, trimethylsilyl ether was hydrolyzed by cleavage of Si-O bond that led to significant fluorescence quenching due to photoinduced electron transfer (PET). (Scheme 1)




**Scheme 1** Sensing process of FCS-1/FCS-2 for fluoride.

The FCS-1 and FCS-2 were synthesized by a three-step reaction as shown in the Scheme 2. The compounds (**a**) were prepared from commercially available 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride and *ortho*/*para*-aminophenols (*ortho* for FCS-1 and *para* for FCS-2) by a condensation reaction. Then, intermediates were refluxed with sodium methoxide in methanol to provide methoxy-substituted

derivatives **(b)** that were consequently treated with chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl) in pyridine to afford FCS-1 (50.7%) or FCS-2 (40.1%). Products were characterized by $^{1}$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR and HRMS.




**Scheme 2** The synthetic route of FCS-1 and FCS-2

MeOH, MeCN and MeCN/$H_2O$ mixture (v/v=7:3) were chosen to investigate the spectral properties of FCS-1 and FCS-2 (Table 1). FCS-1exhibited the maximum absorption at 366 nm (ε =13600 $M^{-1}cm^{-1}$) and emission at 442 nm in MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3). No significant variation was observed for absorption and emission spectra in different solvents. However, the quantum yield of FCS-1 decreased from 0.417 in MeCN to 0.213 in MeCN/$H_2O$ and 0.152 in MeOH with increasing polarity of solvents due to the strong solvation effect. FCS-2 displayed similar absorption at 367 nm and emission at 449 nm, but low quantum yield (0.016) in MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3).

**Table 1** Photophysical properties of FCS-1 and FCS-2.

| Media | FCS-1 | | | | FCS-2 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\lambda_{ab}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{em}$ (nm) | ε ($M^{-1}cm^{-1}$) | Φ ($10^{-2}$) | $\lambda_{ab}$ (nm) | $\lambda_{em}$ (nm) | ε ($M^{-1}cm^{-1}$) | Φ ($10^{-2}$) |
| MeOH | 366 | 446 | 13,780 | 15.2 | 367 | 445 | 14,470 | 1.5 |
| MeCN | 362 | 440 | 13,470 | 41.7 | 362 | 444 | 14,740 | 1.6 |
| MeCN/$H_2O$ (7:3) | 366 | 442 | 13,600 | 21.3 | 367 | 449 | 15,090 | 1.6 |

Since FCS-1 was designed on the basis of desilylation of trimethylsilyl ether, we believed that FCS-1 would show higher sensitivity to fluoride over other anions and the fluoride-triggered Si-O bond cleavage would increase the electron density of aryl moiety that consequently induced strong fluorescence change. If a rapid and quantitative deprotection of trimethylsilyl ether is achieved by fluoride, FCS-1 will provide a sensitive method for the detection of fluoride based on the change of fluorescence. The recognition properties of FCS-1 to fluoride and other anions were investigated by using fluorescence titration in the MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3) solvent system at room temperature. As shown in Fig. 1a, FCS-1 displayed a strong fluorescence with an emission wavelength at 442 nm. With addition of increasing concentration of fluoride (0-10 equivalent TBAF), a remarkable fluorescence quenching at 442 nm was observed, clearly indicating the fluoride-triggered cleavage reaction occurred in FCS-1 and the product with a phenolate on aryl moiety resulted in significant fluorescence quenching. The maximum quenching (20% of original fluorescence intensity) was achieved in the presence of three equivalents TABF. This strongly supported the high efficiency of the fluoride-triggered desilylation that allowed FCS-1 to behave as a sensor with rapid response to fluoride. The detection limit was calculated to be 41.8 ppb. For the comparison, fluorescence titrations were also conducted to $Cl^-$, $Br^-$, $I^-$, $NO_3^-$, $HSO_4^-$, $CN^-$, $SCN^-$, $OAc^-$, and $H_2PO_4^-$ under the same conditions, but none of them led to significant fluorescence quenching as fluoride, revealing the high affinity of FCS-1 to fluoride (Fig. 1b).




**Fig.1** (a) The fluorescence spectra change of FCS-1 ($1.0\times10^{-5}$M) upon addition of 0-10 equivalent TBAF. (b) The fluorescence intensity (at 442 nm) change of FCS-1 ($1.0\times10^{-5}$M) upon addition of 0-10 equivalent TBA salts of $F^-$, $Cl^-$, $Br^-$, $I^-$, $NO_3^-$, $HSO_4^-$, $CN^-$, $SCN^-$, $OAc^-$, and $H_2PO_4^-$ (The fluorescence measurements were conducted in MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3) at 22 °C, $\lambda_{ex}$= 366 nm).

In nature, fluoride widely exists in the ionic forms that require the detecting approach possessing a high sensitivity to fluoride in inorganic form. For reaction based fluoride sensors, the responding time determined by the reaction rate is one of the most crucial factors during the sensing process. Although the desilylation based fluoride sensors significantly subsided the effects from interference anions, long reaction time, up to several tens of minutes or even hours, has remarkably hampered their applications for samples containing inorganic fluoride with low concentration.[15] Based on these considerations, FCS-1 was designed by using trimethylsilyl ether as the recognition moiety to react with fluoride. Compared to other silyl ethers, such as *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether (TBDMS), *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl ether (TBDPS), and triisopropylsilyl ether (TIPS), trimethylsilyl ether showed lower hydrophobicity and less steric hindrance that allowed FCS-1 to react with fluoride quickly in the water-containing media.

The fluoride titrations were conducted by using TBAF and inorganic fluoride (KF and NaF) in the MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3) media (Fig. 2a). After incubating FCS-1 with each fluoride for 5 minutes,




**Fig. 2** The fluorescence intensity change (at 442 nm) of FCS-1 ($1.0\times10^{-5}$ M) (a) upon addition of 0-10 equivalent TBAF, KF, and NaF (b) in the present of 3 equivalent TBAF, KF, and NaF within 10 min in the MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3) media at 22 °C ($\lambda_{ex}$= 366 nm).

fluorescence quenching was observed for all three sources of fluoride. Although FCS-1 showed high sensitivity to KF and TBAF in the MeCN/$H_2O$ media, significant fluorescence quenching was also observed with increasing concentration of NaF. The kinetics of desilylation for FCS-1 was investigated by using the three fluoride

sources (Fig. 2b). TABF and KF displayed high reaction rate with FCS-1 and reached maximum fluorescence quenching within 6 minutes. NaF gave slightly lower reaction rate, but up to 88% of FCS-1 was desilylated in 10 minutes. The overall rate constants of FCS-1 ($1.0\times10^{-5}$ M) based on Pseudo-first-order reaction were calculated to be $7.8\times10^{-3}$ $S^{-1}$, $7.1\times10^{-3}$ $S^{-1}$, and $4.2\times10^{-3}$ $S^{-1}$ for TBAF, KF and NaF ($3.0\times10^{-5}$ M) respectively.

To investigate the quenching mechanism of FCS-1 triggered by fluoride, the frontier molecular orbitals of FCS-1 were calculated. Based on the recent investigation by Heagy *et al*., the naphthalene moiety and aryl moiety of *N*-aryl-1,8-naphthalimides could be considered as two separated systems and the aryl moiety may significantly affect the photophysical properties of whole molecule.[16] Therefore, compared to strong fluorescence from FCS-1, the fluoride-triggered desilylation yielded a phenolate on aryl moiety showing weak fluorescence emission. Our hypothesis is fluorescence quenching was caused by photoinduced electron transfer (PET) from the electron-rich aryl moiety to the electron-deficient naphthalimide ring at excited state. To verify the hypothesis, the geometric structure of FCS-1 was optimized by (B3LYP/6-31G*) model and HOMO/LUMO were calculated for naphthalimide and aryl moieties by using Gaussian 09.[17] As shown in Fig. 3, FCS-1 did not show significant electron transfer at excited state because the HOMO of naphthalimide (-6.13 eV) had similar energy level with aryl moiety (-6.11 eV). With addition of fluoride, the desilylation of FCS-1 yielded a phenolate, in which the HOMO of aryl moiety increased to -5.25 eV that allowed the electron transfer to naphthalimide moiety at excited state, resulting in significant fluorescence quenching. However, disruption of the internal charge transfer (ICT) between methoxy group and carbonyl group by formation of phenolate could be another reason to explain the fluoride-triggered fluorescence quenching. To distinguish these two possibilities, the UV-Vis spectra of FCS-1 were collected with addition of fluoride. (Fig.S2) The lack of variation in the absorption spectra of FCS-1, including the maximum absorption wavelength shift or intensity change, indicated that ICT was not considerably disrupted during the desilylation process and confirmed photoinduced electron transfer is the predominated mechanism to explain fluorescence quenching.




**Fig.3** Photoinduced electron transfer (PET) between naphthalene and aryl moieties led to fluorescence quenching in the presence of fluoride.

Moreover, FCS-2 with a *para*-trimethylsilyl ether on aryl moiety was synthesized to investigate the recognition properties to fluoride as a comparison of FCS-1. FCS-2 also showed specific recognition to fluoride (TBAF) over the other nine anions as observed from FCS-1, indicating the high affinity of trimethylsilyl ether as recognition unit for detecting fluoride (Fig.4a). However, compared to FCS-1, FCS-2 displayed a lower quantum yield (0.016) in MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3) and less fluorescence quenching (51% of original fluorescence intensity) triggered by fluoride, which may be caused by a weaker electron transfer effect. Because of less steric hindrance of *para*-trimethylsilyl ether, FCS-2 exhibited a high desilylation rate with addition of fluoride in the MeCN/$H_2O$ media, in particular for TABF and KF (Fig.4b). The overall rate constants of FCS-2 ($1.0\times10^{-5}$ M) were calculated to be $1.9\times10^{-2}$ $S^{-1}$, $1.6\times10^{-2}$ $S^{-1}$, and $2.7\times10^{-3}$ $S^{-1}$ for TBAF, KF and NaF ($3.0\times10^{-5}$ M) respectively.




**Fig. 4** The fluorescence quenching (at 449 nm) of FCS-2 ($1.0\times10^{-5}$ M) (a) in the present of difference anions of TBA salts (0-10 equivalent), (b) upon addition of 3 equivalent TABF, KF and NaF within 10 min in the MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3) media at 22 °C ($\lambda_{ex}$= 367 nm).

In summary, we developed a desilylation based sensor (FCS-1) by using a trimethylsilyl ether as the recognition unit for the detection of fluoride. High sensitivity and affinity to fluoride have been observed for FCS-1 over other interference anions. Particularly, FCS-1 displayed high sensitivity to inorganic fluoride including KF and NaF in the MeCN/$H_2O$ (v/v=7:3) media with a short response time (5 min) that showed a potential application of FCS-1. Moreover, the phenolate formed from fluoride-triggered desilylation exhibited strong PET effect that provided a novel sensing mechanism based on *N*-aryl-1,8-naphthalimide for design fluorescence approaches in the future.

Authors are grateful to Nebraska-EPSCoR and URF from University of Nebraska at Kearney for financial supports.

## Notes and references

*a. University of Nebraska at Kearney, Department of Chemistry, Kearney Nebraska, 68849,U.S.A. E-mail: caoh1@unk.edu; Fax:+01-3088658399; Tel: +01-3088658105*
*b. Key Laboratory for Molecular Enzymology and Engineering, the Ministry of Education, Jilin University, Changchun 130021, P. R. China.*
†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Detailed synthetic procedures, characterization data including $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR spectra, HRMS data, and absorption spectra. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

1 A.P. Demchenko, *Introduction to Fluorescence Sensing*, Springer, 2009.
2 E. M. Nolan and S. J. Lippard, *Chem. Rev*., 2008, **108**, 3443; H. N. kim, W. X. Ren, J. S. Kim and J. Yoon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3210.
3 F. Du, Y. Bao, B. Liu, J. Tian, Q. Li and R. Bai, *Chem. Commun*., 2013, **49**, 4631.
4 M. Cametti and K. Rissanen, *Chem. Soc. Rev*., 2013, **42**, 2016.
5 K. L. Kirk, *Biochemistry of the Elemental Halogens and Inorganic Halides*, Plenum, New York, 1991.
6 G. Waldbott, *Clin. Toxicol*., 1981, **18**, 53; S. Matuso, K. Kiyomiya, M. Kurebe, *Arch. Toxicol*., 1998, **72**, 798; M. Kleerekoper, *Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am*., 1998, **27**, 441.
7 S. V. Bhosale, S. V. Bhosale, M. B. Kalyankar and S. J. Langford, *Org. Lett*., 2009, **11**, 5418; Y. Li, J. Shao, X. Yu, X. Xu, H. Lin, Z. Cai and H. Lin, *J. Fluoresc*., 2010, **20**, 3; P. Sokkalingam and C.-H. Lee, *J. Org. Chem*., 2011, **76**, 3820; P. Rajamalli and E. Prasad, *Org. Lett*.,

2011, **13**, 3714; H. C. Schmidt, L. G. Reuter, J. Hamacek and O. S. Wenger, *J. Org. Chem*., 2011, **76**, 9081; J. Wang, F. Bai, B. Xia, L. Sun and H. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 1985; A. Mallick, U. K. Roy, B. Haldar and S. Pratihar, *Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 1247; S. H. Mashraqui, S. S. Ghorpade, S. Tripathi and S. Britto, *Tetrahedron Lett*., 2012, **53**, 765; L. Weber, D. Eickhoff, J. Kahlert, L. Böhling, A. Brockhinke, H.-G. Stammler, B. Neumann and M. A. Fox, *Dalton Trans*., 2012, **41**, 10328; L. Xin, B. Yang, X. Wang, J. Wang, B. Chen, Q. Wu, H. Peng, L. Zhang, C. Tung and L. Wu, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 2843; H. G. Im, H. Y. Kim, M. G. Choi and S.-K. Chang, *Org. Biomol. Chem*., 2013, **11**, 2966.

8 M. Melaimi and F. P. Gabbaï, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2005, **127**, 9680; Y. Zhao, C. Zhao, L. Wu, L. Zhang, C. Tung and Y. Pan, *J. Org. Chem*., 2006, **71**, 2143; Z. Xu, S. K. Kim, S. J. Han, C. Lee, G. Kociok-kohn, T. D. James and J. Yoon, *Eur. J. Org. Chem*., 2009, 3058; C. R. Wade, A. E. J. Broomsgrove, S. Aldridge and F. P. Gabbaï, *Chem. Rev*., 2010, **110**, 3958; J. F. Zhang, C. S. Lim, S. Bhuniya, B. R. Cho and J. S. Kim, *Org. Lett*., 2011, **13**, 1190; J. Cao, C. Zhao, P. Feng, Y. Zhang and W. Zhu, *RSC Adv*., 2012, **2**, 418; H. Lenormand, J.-P. Goddard and L. Fensterbank, *Org. Lett*., 2013, **15**, 748.

9 Q. Lu, L. Dong, J. Zhang, J. Li, L. Jiang, Y. Huang, S. Qin, C. Wu and X. Yu, *Org. Lett*., 2009, **11**, 669; ; M. Kumar, R. Kumar and V. Bhalla, *Tetrahedron Lett*., 2013, **54**, 1524; J. Zhao, G. Li, C. Wang, W. Chen, S. Chye, J. Loo and Q. Zhang, *RSC Adv*., 2013, **3**, 9653.

10 S. Y. kim and J. Hong, *Org. Lett*., 2007, **9**, 3109; Y. Kim, M. Kim and F. P. Gabbaï, *Org. Lett*., 2010, **12**, 600; V. Bhalla, H. Singh and M. Kumar, *Org. Lett*., 2010, **12**, 628.

11 O. A. Bozdemir, F. Sozmen, O. Buyukcakir, R. Guliyev, Y. Cakmak and E. U. Akkaya, *Org. Lett*., 2010, **12**, 1400.

12 T. Nishimura, S. Xu, Y. Jiang, J. S. Fossey, K. Sakurai, S. D. Bull and T. D. James, *Chem. Comm*., 2013, **49**, 478.

13 Z. Xu, Y. Xiao, X. Qian, J. Cui and D. Cui, *Org. Lett*., 2005, **7**, 889; S. Paudel, P. Nandhikonda and M. D. Heagy, *J. Fluoresc*., 2009, **19**, 681; P. Nandhikonda, M. P. Begaye, Z. Cao and M. D. Heagy, *Org. Biomol. Chem*., 2010, **8**, 3195; R. M. Duke, E. B. E. B. Veale, F. M. Pfeffer, P. E. Kruger and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Soc. Rev*., 2010, **39**, 3936; L. Song, Y. Yang, Q. Zhang, H. Tian and W. Zhu, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2011, **115**, 14648.

14 H. Cao, D. I. Diaz, N. DiCesare, J. R. Lakowicz and M. D. Heagy, *Org. Lett*., 2002, **4**, 1503; H. Cao, V. Chang, R. Hernandez and M. D. Heagy, *J. Org. Chem*., 2005, **70**, 4929; J. Wang, L. Yang, C. Hou and H. Cao, *Org. Biomol. Chem*., 2012, **10**, 6271.

15 R. Hu, J. Feng, D. Hu, S. Wang, S. Li, Y. Li and G. Yang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*., 2010, **49**, 4915.

16 P. Nandhikonda, M. P. Begaye, Z. Cao and M. D. Heagy, *Chem. Commun*., 2009, **33**, 4941; P. Nandhikonda, M. P. Begaye, Z. Cao and M. D. Heagy, *Org. Biomol. Chem*., 2010, **8**, 3195.

17 Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.

18 Experimental section: (1) Fluoride titration: FCS-1 (3.91 mg) was dissolved in 10.0 mL MeCN/$H_2O$ mixture (v/v=7:3) to prepare a stock solution ($1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ M). The fluoride stock solutions ($1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ M) were prepared by dissolving TBAF•$3H_2O$ (3.15 mg) into 10 mL MeCN/$H_2O$ mixture (v/v=7:3), KF (5.81 mg) into 100 mL MeCN/$H_2O$ mixture (v/v=7:3), and NaF (4.20 mg) into 100 mL MeCN/$H_2O$ mixture (v/v=7:3). FCS-1 stock solution (10 μL) was incubated with 0 to 100 μL fluoride stock solutions diluted to 1 mL for 6 min at room temperature (22 °C). Then, the samples were transfered into a fluorescence cuvette with 1.4 mL volume to collect fluorescence spectra by using a FluoroMax-4 Spectrofluorometer (slit = 1 nm, $\lambda_{ex}$= 366 nm). (2) Kinetics study: FCS-1 stock solution (10 μL) was added into 990 μL MeCN/$H_2O$ mixture (v/v=7:3) containing fluoride stock solution (30 μL). The fluorescence emission variation at 442 nm were monitored between 0 to 10 min at 22 °C by using a FluoroMax-4 Spectrofluorometer (slit = 1 nm, $\lambda_{ex}$= 366 nm).



 