ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

COMMUNICATION

Sterically controlled self-assembly of tetrahedral M_6L_4 cages via cationic N-donor ligands \dagger

Anssi Peuronen, ^a Samu Forsblom^a and Manu Lahtinen^a*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Tripodal cationic N-donor ligands exhibit sterically controlled self-assembly of tetrahedral M_6L_4 coordination cages that promote selective anion encapsulation (PF₆⁻ > OTf) in the solid state. The described method is a potential template for 10 stepwise assembly of hetero-ligand coordination cages and polymers.

Building and designing of hollow coordination cages, assembled from organic ligands and metal cations, has quickly become one of the hot topics in current chemistry.¹ Coordination cages have ¹⁵ an increasing number of potential applications that include their use as microenvironments for chemical reactions² and molecular containers for storage and transport purposes but also for isolation of unstable³ and non-crystalline species.⁴ In building of various coordination cages perhaps the most utilized ligand ²⁰ species are bowl-shaped tripodal ligands, composed from either pnictogenic (amine or phosphine) or aromatic cores using

- coordinating groups based mainly on imidazole or pyridyl moiety. Such ligands are especially keen to form cages with M_6L_8 composition if accompanied with metal cations that adopt square
- ²⁵ planar coordination geometry.⁵ To alter the cage composition, participating metal centres can be capped with auxiliary ligands or replaced with metals that favour tetrahedral or octahedral coordination geometries. Employment of such strategies together with tripodal ligands enables self-assembly of several different
- $_{30}$ cage topologies, including $M_3{L_2}^6$ and $M_6{L_4}^7$ species. To further expand the synthetic approaches for cage self-assembly and post-manipulation we report here a novel method of capturing an M_6L_4 self-assembly intermediate from a process that would generally yield an M_6L_8 species. This is done by steric crowding of the N-
- ³⁵ donor group of the tripodal ligand which effectively hinders the binding of several ligands to one metal cation. The described strategy allows labile auxiliary ligands to be coordinated to the metal nodes and thus presents a potential new route for carrying out post-synthetic structural manipulations. Furthermore, the ⁴⁰ cationic ligands used in this investigation promote selective anion binding inside the self-assembled cages.

For the described task two cationic ligands, L1 and L2, were synthesized. These consist of benzyl and mesityl cores, respectively, to which three 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane

⁴⁵ (DABCO) N-donor groups are connected by methylene bridges (Scheme 1). The geometry of L1 and L2 closely resembles that of 1,3,5-tris(4-pyridy1methyl)benzene (tpmb), a well establish neutral ligand species for assembling M₆L₈ cages.5^{a,8} On the other hand, the two cationic ligands (**L2** in particular) are ⁵⁰ considerably less flexible compared to **tpmb**.⁹ The steric properties of the two cationic ligands and **tpmb** are a key factor describing their different behaviour as building blocks for coordination cages. The bulky size and cationic charge of the DABCO moiety in **L1** and **L2** limits the number of distinct ⁵⁵ ligands coordinated to a single metal¹⁰ and weakens the metal-ligand bond, respectively.¹¹ However, previous studies regarding mono-alkylated DABCOs show that they are applicable N-donors toward variety of electron deficient species.¹²



Cage formation of L1 was studied by reacting its PF_6 salt with copper(II) trifluoromethanesulfonate (Cu(OTf)₂) in acetonitrile (MeCN) at ambient conditions to give a bright green solution. Slow evaporation of the solvent yielded prismatic pale green 65 crystals (see pictures of crystals in Fig. S1⁺) of 1 which were studied with X-ray diffraction. The formation of M₆L₈ species, suggested by the ligand geometry, was not observed. Instead, the self-assembly process is halted halfway and the described reaction yields a cage with M_6L_4 composition, more specifically ⁷⁰ $[Cu_6(L1)_4(MeCN)_{18}(PF_6)_4](PF_6)_{20} \cdot (MeCN)_{32}$ (henceforth abbreviated to $Cu_6(L1)_4$). The asymmetric unit of 1 is composed of two Cu-atoms, one half of the L1 ligand, three distinct PF_6 anions and six MeCN molecules, four of which are coordinated to the two Cu(II)-centres. Each of the Cu(II) centres acts as a linear 75 link between two distinct ligands generating a cage with +24 charge which exhibits slightly distorted [d(Cu - Cu) = 10.61 -10.93 Å] tetrahedral symmetry (Fig. 1). The described M₆L₄ composition is exceptional for a tetrahedral cage since each of metal cations are required to occupy the edges of the tetrahedron ⁸⁰ (cf. octahedral M₆L₄ architecture with cornering Pd(II) cations¹³) and thus need to adopt linear coordination between the tripodal ligands. This has been previously achieved by using a metal cation capable of octahedral coordination together with an



Fig. 1. Right: structure of cage $Cu_6(L1)_4$ found in 1 with the four encapsulated anions PF_6^- anions shown. Left: detailed structure of one of the six metal coordination centres (disordered MeCN molecules and 5 certain hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Distances (Å): Cu1-N1 = 2.079(3), Cu1-N5 = 2.027(5), Cu1-N6 = 2.055(10); angles (°): N1-Cu1-N1' = 178.7(2), N5-Cu1-N1 = 90.73(16), N6-Cu1-N1 = 91.0(3), N5-Cu1-N5' = 132.6(3). Symmetry code (') = 1/2-x, y, 3/2-z.

equatorial capping ligand.⁷ In $Cu_6(L1)_4$, however, no auxiliary ¹⁰ ligands on the Cu(II) cations are required to create the tetrahedral cage as steric hindrance between the DABCO groups of adjacent ligands inhibits any further coordination of L1 to the Cu(II) cation. Instead, the equatorial coordination sites of each Cu(II) centre are occupied by three MeCN molecules, two of which lie

- ¹⁵ outside (exohedral) and one inside (endohedral) the cage, resulting in a distorted trigonal bipyramidal coordination geometry. Since MeCN ligand is very labile, the Cu(II) nodes are expected to be susceptible to post-synthetic modifications particularly at the exohedral equatorial sites.
- ²⁰ Interestingly, the solid state structure of **1** also features encapsulation of four PF₆⁻ anions inside the central cavity of $Cu_6(L1)_4$ cage which are exclusively selected from the reaction mixture of both PF₆⁻ and OTf in 1:1 ratio. The endohedral PF₆⁻ are each located between the three DABCO groups of one L1
- ²⁵ ligand $[d(P1 \cdots C_6\text{-centroid})=4.763(2) \text{ Å}]$ at the corner of the Cu₆(L1)₄ tetrahedron (Fig. 1). Thus, the anion binding seems to be driven by an electrostatic interaction between the PF₆⁻ anion and the triangular pocket of the cationic ligand. The remaining space inside the cage is taken by the six disordered Cu-³⁰ coordinated MeCN molecules which exhibit close contacts to the
- endohedral anions (Fig. S6†). As a result, they are likely to have a stabilizing role in the PF_6 encapsulation.

Next, a reaction similar to one described above was carried out using ligand L2. The pale green hexagon-shaped crystals of 2

- ³⁵ (Fig. S1[†]) were obtained by slow evaporation of a dilute MeCN solution containing L2 and Cu(OTf)₂. Instead of the primitive tetragonal unit cell of 1, crystals of 2 exhibit face centred cubic cell with twice the volume compared to 1. The structure of 2 was successfully solved in space group *Fm*-3*m* where the asymmetric ⁴⁰ shows one third of the ligand, one Cu-atom, in addition to two
- anions and two acetonitrile molecules one of which is coordinated to the Cu(II). When the site specific symmetry operations are applied, a $Cu_6(L2)_8$ species is generated. However, after a thorough analysis of the composition of 2 and its structure
- ⁴⁵ we came to a conclusion that it is best described in terms of two $Cu_6(L2)_4$ tetrahedrons disordered in 0.5:0.5 ratio with overlaying Cu(II) nodes making the cage structurally equal to $Cu_6(L1)_4$ found in 1 (Fig. 2).¹⁴ Similar to $Cu_6(L1)_4$ the endohedral environment of $Cu_6(L2)_4$ consist of six disordered MeCN



Fig. 2. Two illustrations, a truncated stellated octahedron (an Archimedean solid, left) and a simplified molecular model (right), of the two-fold disorder of the two tetrahedral $\operatorname{Cu}_6(L2)_4$ units (shown in green and yellow) in structure of 2.

⁵⁵ molecules, each of which are coordinated to one of the six Cu(II) nodes. Furthermore, four PF_6^- anions are located in the cationic pockets of the ligands [$d(P1\cdots$ mesityl-centroid)=4.699(5) Å] as in Cu₆(L1)₄.

The cage self-assembly was also studied by NMR spectroscopy in solution (details in ESI[†]). Small scale reactions were conducted using $Pd(BF_4)_2$ with L1 and L2 in 6:4 metalligand ratios. ¹H NMR measurements show rapid and quantitative formation of highly symmetric species corresponding to Pd_6L_4 demonstrating the stability of described cages also in solution 65 (Fig. 3). The addition of another four equivalents of ligand affords no further complexation as the size of the DABCO groups sterically prevents the formation of Pd_6L_8 species. These findings are consistent with the observations made on the basis of structures of 1 and 2.



Fig. 3. Partial ¹H NMR spectrum showing the formation of $Pd_6(L2)_4$ cage in solution (CD₃CN) upon mixing of $Pd(BF_{4})_2$ and L2 in 6:4 ratio (top). Bottom: Addition of 4 more equivalents of L2 does not result in further complexation.

⁷⁵ To further investigate the anion encapsulation properties of reported M_6L_4 species in solution, we measured the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of Pd₆(**L2**)₄ at multiple temperatures between 238 K and 300 K (Fig. S2†). The results show that both PF₆⁻ and BF₄⁻ exist only in one environment. The lack of peaks corresponding to either encapsulated BF₄⁻ or PF₆⁻ can be explained by rapid endo-exo exchange of anions through the four large openings on the triangular faces of the tetrahedral cage. Furthermore, the spacious interior of the M₆L₄ assembly does not provide strong enough stabilization of the PF₆⁻ anions in solution in respect to ⁸⁵ the NMR timescale.¹⁵ Instead, the anion encapsulation stems from electrostatic attraction between the cationic ligand and PF_6^- and is supported by the endohedral MeCN molecules as observed in the solid state.

- The flexibility of the interior of the $Cu_6(L2)_4$ assembly was ⁵ further demonstrated by an additional crystallization experiment where PF_6^- is excluded from the reaction mixture and replaced with a bulky bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (NTf₂⁻) anion. The chartreuse coloured crystals of **3** were obtained by the procedure described above using Cu(OTf)₂ and NTf₂⁻ salt of L2. The
- ¹⁰ subsequent single crystal X-ray analysis of **3** revealed a tetrahedral cage assembly analogous to **1** and **2**, but in which the endohedral surrounding consists of four OTf anions instead of PF_6^- (Fig. 4). OTf anions are encapsulated in the cationic pockets of the L2 ligands (*cf.* PF_6^- in **1** and **2**) by facing the SO₃⁻ groups
- towards the cations. In addition, the endohedral Cu-coordinated MeCNs, observed in **1** and **2**, have been replaced by water molecules that better suit the endohedral space (Figs. S5 and S6 in ESI†). This gives **3** a total composition of $[Cu_6(L2)_4(MeCN)_{12}(H_2O)_6(OTf)_4](OTf)_8(NTf_2)_{12}\cdot(MeCN).$



Fig. 4. $Cu_6(L2)_4$ cage assembly in structure 3 (right) showing the four encapsulated OTf anions. Left: a detailed view of the C-H···O interactions between one of the L2 ligands of the cage and an OTf anion (ellipsoids are drawn at the 20 % probability level).

The described results show that OTf anion is readily encapsulated inside $Cu_6(L2)_4$ in the absence of PF_6^- (3), but is excluded if PF_6^- is present in the reaction (1 and 2). The preference of PF_6^- over OTf, observed in 1 and 2, most likely arises from stronger cation-anion interaction between PF_6^- and the 30 cationic ligand in addition to better size-match of the four PF_6^-

and the endohedral environment of the cationic M_6L_4 cage.

In summary, we have established cationic tripodal N-donor ligands based on DABCO moiety, as useful tools in self-assembly of tetrahedral coordination cages exhibiting unusual tetrahedral

- $_{35}$ M₆L₄ composition. The cage self-assembly is controlled by the steric interactions between the DABCO N-donor groups hindering the generation of M₆L₈ species. The described strategy is a promising route to controlled stepwise synthesis of heteroligand coordination cages and caged polymers. Moreover, the
- ⁴⁰ presented cages show selective anion encapsulation ($PF_6^- > OTf$) towards fluorinated anions in the solid state which is based on the interaction between the cationic ligand and anion. Further investigation of cationic ligands, involving their modification and self-assembly with other *d*-block elements accompanied with ⁴⁵ guest-host studies, is currently ongoing in our laboratory.

Authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of University of Jyväskylä and the Inorganic Materials Chemistry Graduate Program. The authors would also like to thank Ms. Elina Hautakangas for carrying out the elemental analyses.

50 Notes and references

 ^a Department of Chemistry, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, Jyväskylä, FI-40014-JY, Finland. E-mail: manu.k.lahtinen@jyu.fi
 † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental section, computational analysis and additional figures. CCDC reference
 ⁵⁵ numbers: 978171, 978172 and 992712. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- numbers. 978171, 978172 and 992712. See DOI: 10.1039/0000000X
- S. J. Dalgarno, N. P. Power and J. L. Atwood, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, 252, 825–841.
- Y. Inokuma, M. Kawano and M. Fujita, *Nature Chem.*, 2011, 3, 349– 358.
- 3 P. Mal, B. Breiner, K. Rissanen, J. R. Nitschke, Science, 2009, 324, 1697–1699.
- 4 Y. Inokuma, S. Yoshioka, J. Ariyoshi, T. Arai, Y. Hitora, K. Takada, S. Matsunaga, K. Rissanen and M. Fujita, *Nature*, 2013, 495, 461– 466.
- a) D. K. Chand, K. Biradha, M. Fujita, S. Sakamoto and Kentaro Yamaguchi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2486–2487; b) H.-K. Liu and X. Tong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 1316–1317; c) N. Li, F. Jiang, L. Chen, X. Li, Q. Chena and M. Hong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 2327–2329; d) X.-J. Li, F.-L. Jiang, M.-Y. Wu, S.-Q. Zhang, Y.-F. Zhou and M.-C. Hong, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 4116–4122; e) J. Park, S. Hong, D. Moon, M. Park, K. Lee, S. Kang, Y. Zou, R. P. John, G. H. Kim and M. S. Lah, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 10208–10213; f) D. Moon, S. Kang, J. Park, K. Lee, R. P. John, H. Won, G. H. Seong, Y. S. Kim, G. H. Kim, H. Rhee and M. S. Lah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, 128, 3530–3531; g) M. Hong, Y. Zhao, W. Su, R. Cao, M. Fujita, Z. Zhou and A. S. C. Chan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, 122, 4819–4820; h) Y. Wang, T. Okamura, W.-Y. Sun and N. Ueyama, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2008, 8, 802–804.
- 6 a) M. Fujita, S. Nagao and K. Ogura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 1649–1650; b) H.-K. Liu, W.-Y. Sun, D.-J. Ma, K.-B. Yub and W.-X. Tang; Chem. Commun., 2000, 591–592.
- 7 L. Jiang, P. Ju, X.-R. Meng, X.-J. Kuang and T.-B. Lu, Sci. Rep., 2012, 3, 668.
- 8 M. Yoneya, T. Yamaguchi, S. Sato and M. Fujita, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 14401-14407.
- 9 For previous investigations describing the behaviour of L1 and L2, see a) P. J. Garratt, A. J. Ibbett, J. E. Ladbury, R. O'Brien, M. B. Hursthouse and K. M. Abdul Malik, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, 54, 949-968; b) A. Peuronen, E. Lehtimäki and M. Lahtinen, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, 13, 4615–4622.
- 10 According to Cambridge Structural Database, the highest number of DABCO-moieties coordinated to a single metal atom is found to be three (two examples found with ref. codes VEFMID and YAPTIT). Data acquired on Mar. 12th 2014, from WebCSD; I. R. Thomas, I. J. Bruno, J. C. Cole, C. F. Macrae, E. Pidcock and P. A. Wood, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2010, **43**, 362-366.
- 11 On the basis of density functional theory (DFT) analysis, carried out to simplified model systems, metal-ligand bond between Cu¹ and mono-alkylated DABCO is weakened by roughly 25 and 39 kJ/mol compared to neutral DABCO and pyridine, respectively (details in ESI[†]).
- 12 a) W. J. Rozell and J. S. Wood, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1977, 16, 1827–1833;
 b) D. V. Konarev, S. S. Khasanov, A. Otsuka, G. Saito and R. N. Lyubovskaya, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, 12, 5225–5230; c) A. Peuronen, A. Valkonen, M. Kortelainen, K. Rissanen, and M. Lahtinen, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2012, 12, 4157–4169.
- 13 M. Fujita, D. Oguro, M. Miyazawa, H. Oka, K. Yamaguchi and K. Ogura, *Nature*, 1995, **378**, 469–471.
- 14 The disordered model is favoured by the fact that, in case of M_6L_8 species, the chemical environment around the Cu(II) node would become extremely crowded and result in partial overlapping DABCO groups. Moreover, the disorder appears to be systematic instead of an unique abnormality of a single crystal as equal disorder was determined for a number of crystals of **2**. See further details of the structure refinement in ESI⁺.
- 15 R. Custelcean, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 1813-1824.