

Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1
2
3 1 **Stability-indicating LC method for the determination of cephalothin in lyophilized**
4
5 2 **powder for injection**
6
7 3
8
9 4
10
11

12 5 **Abstract**
13
14

15 6 A stability-indicating gradient reversed phase liquid chromatography (RP-LC) method has
16 7 been developed for the quantitative determination of cephalothin (CET), an antimicrobial
17 8 compound, in the presence of its impurities and degradation products generated from forced
18 9 degradation studies. The developed method is also applicable for the related substances
19 10 determination in bulk drugs. The chromatographic separation was achieved on an Agilent
20 11 Eclipse XDB-Phenyl, 250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 μm column with mobile phase containing a
21 12 gradient mixture of solutions A (aqueous ammonium phosphate buffer, pH 4.5) and B
22 13 (acetonitrile) as mobile phase. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min and the detection wavelength
23 14 was 238 nm. The drug substance was subjected to stress conditions of hydrolysis,
24 15 oxidation, photolysis, humidity and thermal degradation. Considerable degradation was
25 16 found to occur in base, acid and oxidative stress conditions. In the developed HPLC
26 17 method, the resolution between CET and its potential degradation products was found to be
27 18 greater than 2.4, further, the peak purity of CET in all conditions were more than 99%
28 19 proved the stability-indicating power of method. The less active metabolite of cephalothin,
29 20 deacetylcephalothin (impurity B), was identified and is showed significant formation
30 21 especially in basic condition. This method is capable to detect the degradation products of
31 22 CET at a level of 0.05% with respect to test concentration of 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for a 10 μL
32 23 injection volume. The HPLC method developed was validated with respect to linearity,
33 24 accuracy, precision, specificity, limit of quantitation, limit of detection and robustness and
34 25 system suitability. To our knowledge, a rapid stability-indicating LC method for CET has
35 26 not been published elsewhere and this method can be applicable to evaluate the quality of
36 27 product samples as well as in stability studies of cephalothin.
37 28
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 29 **Keywords:** Cephalothin, Degradation products, Liquid chromatography, Stability-
56 30 indicating, Validation
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **1 Stability-indicating LC method for the determination of cephalothin in lyophilized**
4 **2 powder for injection**
5
6
7
8
9
10

11 Karen de Souza Rugani ^{a,b}; Hérica Regina Nunes Salgado ^{b*}

12
13 ^a Departamento de Fármacos - Desenvolvimento e Validação, Bioagri Laboratórios – A
14 Mérieux NutriSciences Company, Rodovia Rio Claro – Piracicaba, SP 127, km 24, CEP
15 13412-000 – Piracicaba, SP, Brazil
16
17
18

19
20 ^b Departamento de Fármacos e Medicamentos, Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas,
21 UNESP – Univ Estadual Paulista, Rod. Araraquara-Jaú, km 1, CEP 14801-902 Araraquara,
22 SP, Brazil
23
24
25
26

27
28
29 * Corresponding author at: Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas,
30 Departamento de Fármacos e Medicamentos, Campus Universitário, 14801-902, Araraquara, SP, Brazil. Tel.:
31 +55 33016967; fax: +55 33016960.

32 *E-mail address:* salgadoh@fcarunesp.br (H.R.N. Salgado).
33
34
35
36
37

38 **Abstract**

39
40 A stability-indicating gradient reversed phase liquid chromatography (RP-LC) method has
41 been developed for the quantitative determination of cephalothin (CET), an antimicrobial
42 compound, in the presence of its impurities and degradation products generated from forced
43 degradation studies. The developed method is also applicable for the related substances
44 determination in bulk drugs. The chromatographic separation was achieved on an Agilent
45 Eclipse XDB-Phenyl, 250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm column with mobile phase containing a
46 gradient mixture of solutions A (aqueous ammonium phosphate buffer, pH 4.5) and B
47 (acetonitrile) as mobile phase. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min and the detection wavelength
48 was 238 nm. The drug substance was subjected to stress conditions of hydrolysis,
49 oxidation, photolysis, humidity and thermal degradation. Considerable degradation was
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 29 found to occur in base, acid and oxidative stress conditions. In the developed high
4
5 30 performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method, the resolution between CET and its
6
7 31 potential degradation products was found to be greater than 2.4, further, the peak purity of
8
9 32 CET in all conditions were more than 99% and proved the stability-indicating power of
10
11 33 method. The less active metabolite of cephalothin, deacetylcephalothin (impurity B), was
12
13 34 identified and showed significant formation especially in basic condition. This method is
14
15 35 capable to detect the degradation products of CET at a level of 0.05% with respect to test
16
17 36 concentration of 500 µg/mL for a 10 µL injection volume. The HPLC method developed
18
19 37 was validated with respect to linearity, accuracy, precision, specificity, limit of quantitation,
20
21 38 limit of detection and robustness. To our knowledge, a rapid stability-indicating LC method
22
23 39 for CET has not been published elsewhere and this method can be applicable to evaluate
24
25 40 the quality of product samples as well as in stability studies of cephalothin.
26
27 41

26 42 **Keywords:** Cephalothin, Degradation products, Liquid chromatography, Stability-
27
28 43 indicating, Validation
29
30 44
31
32 45
33
34

35 46 1. Introduction

36
37
38 47 Cephalothin (USAN, United States Adopted Names), also named cefalotin (INN,
39
40 48 International Nonproprietary Name), is a first-generation cephalosporin antimicrobial
41
42 49 chemically described as (6R,7R)-3-[(acetyloxy)methyl]-8-oxo-7-[(2-thienylacetyl)amino]-
43
44 50 5-thia-1-azabicyclo[4.2.0]oct-2-ene-2-carboxylic acid (Figure 1), it has an empirical
45
46 51 formula of $C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_6S_2$, a molecular weight of 396.44 g mol⁻¹ ^{1,2} and a pK_a value of 2.22
47
48 52 ³. Cephalothin is given as the sodium salt by injection and 1.06 g of cephalothin sodium is
49
50 53 equivalent to about 1 g of cephalothin ².
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 55 Cephalosporins act by inhibiting synthesis of the bacterial cell wall and present a
4
5
6 56 larger spectrum of activity than penicillins due to be more stable to many bacterial β -
7
8 57 lactamases ^{4,5}. Cephalothin was the first cephalosporin available for clinical use in the U.S.
9
10 58 and it is most active against Gram-positive cocci, including oxacilin-susceptible
11
12 59 staphylococci and *Streptococcus* spp and has moderate activity against some Gram-
13
14 60 negative bacilli ⁶. It is indicated for treatment of infections of skin and soft tissue ⁷.
15
16
17 61 Cephalothin remains the antibacterial of choice for treatment of uncomplicated cellulitis ⁸.
18
19

20 62 Cephalothin is given by intramuscular (IM) and intravenous (IV) route, however,
21
22 63 the IV is preferred because the IM is very painful. It is widely distributed in body tissues
23
24 64 and fluids except the brain and cerebrospinal where the concentrations achieved are low
25
26 65 and unpredictable. About 70% of the dose is bound to plasma proteins and the plasma half-
27
28 66 life varies from about 30 to 50 minutes. Approximately 20 to 30% of CET is rapidly
29
30 67 deacetylated in the liver (hepatic biotransformation) and about 60 to 70% of a dose is
31
32 68 excreted in the urine as cephalothin and the less active metabolite, deacetylcephalothin ^{2,9}.
33
34
35
36

37 69 Cephalothin has been determined by spectrophotometry [BP, 2010] and by high-
38
39 70 performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) with ultraviolet detection ¹¹⁻¹³. Analysis of
40
41 71 cephalothin and its deacylated metabolites in human urine ¹⁴⁻¹⁵, in human plasma by LC
42
43 72 ^{16,17}, and its determination in rat blood ¹⁸ were also reported in the literature. However,
44
45 73 there is no reported stability-indicating LC method for the determination of cephalothin in
46
47 74 its lyophilized powder for injection dosage form.
48
49
50

51
52 75 Several analytical methods are described in the literature for analysis of
53
54 76 cephalosporins in raw material and finished product. Among these are found titrimetry ¹⁹;
55
56 77 high performance liquid chromatography ²⁰⁻²²; ultraviolet spectrophotometry ^{21,23,24} and
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 78 visible spectrophotometry ²⁵; infrared spectrometry ^{26,27}, agar diffusion ²⁸⁻³¹ and
4
5 79 turbidimetry bioassay ³².
6
7

8 80 In quality control of pharmaceutical products, the identification and quantification
9
10 81 of the active substance and its impurities are very important due to reasons of safety and
11
12 82 efficacy. The impurities and possible degradation products which may be present in the
13
14 83 medicines may change their chemical, pharmacological, and toxicological properties ^{33,34}.
15
16
17

18 84 The forced degradation studies are performed to facilitate the development of
19
20 85 analytical methods to obtain a better understanding of active ingredient and stability of the
21
22 86 product, and to provide information on routes of degradation and formation of degradation
23
24 87 products ^{34,35}. In this context, the stress test (forced degradation) is defined as a stability test
25
26 88 performed with the finished product subjected to conditions that exceed those used in
27
28 89 accelerated stability studies ³⁶. According to the ICH Q1A-R2 ³³, stress testing (forced
29
30 90 degradation) performed with the addition of drug can help identify the likely degradation
31
32 91 products, contribute to the definition of the intrinsic stability of the molecule, and also
33
34 92 validate the ability of the analytical procedure used to be a stability-indicating method.
35
36
37
38

39 93 Accordingly, the goal of the present work was to develop simple, sensitive and
40
41 94 selective LC stability-indicating method for the determination of CET in its lyophilized
42
43 95 powder for injection dosage form. Moreover, the present manuscript describes the
44
45 96 degradation behavior of cephalothin under acidic, basic, oxidative, thermal, humidity and
46
47 97 photolytic conditions with the identification of the main degradation product:
48
49 98 deacetylcephalothin. Stability-indicating methods are traditionally performed using
50
51 99 gradient elution, in order to ensure that degradation products of several chemical
52
53 100 compositions are all separated. Optimization of LC conditions to separate the CET and its
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 101 degradation products included the identification of impurity B (deacetylcephalotin), on a
4
5 102 reversed phase Phenyl column, and method validation will be discussed in Results, section
6
7
8 103 3.1.
9

10
11 104 The system suitability method acceptance criteria set in each condition of CET
12
13 105 samples were: tailing factor ≤ 2.0 , resolution between CET and any of the components $>$
14
15 106 2.0 and theoretical plates >2000 . Hence, this method was successfully validated according
16
17 107 to the ICH guideline Q2 (R1) ³⁷.
18
19 108

20 21 22 23 109 **2. Materials and Methods**

24 25 26 110 *2.1. Materials*

27
28
29 111 Cephalothin sodium salt reference standard was assigned purity 99.2% and supplied
30
31 112 by Sigma–Aldrich (Germany). Cephalotin for impurity B identification reference substance
32
33 113 was supplied by European Pharmacopoeia (Strasbourg, France). All reagents used in the
34
35 114 experimental procedure were of analytical grade. Acetonitrile, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂),
36
37 115 phosphoric acid and concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl) were obtained from Merck
38
39 116 (Darmstadt, Germany). Ammonium phosphate dibasic was supplied by Synth (Diadema,
40
41 117 Brazil) and sodium hydroxide pellets (NaOH) was supplied by Vetec (Rio de Janeiro,
42
43 118 Brazil). The cephalothin sodium lyophilized powder for injection, which was claimed to
44
45 119 contain 1 g of active drug (cephalothin), was kindly donated by União Química
46
47 120 Pharmaceutical Industry (Pouso Alegre, Brazil). Ultrapure water was obtained from a Milli-
48
49 121 Q™ Plus apparatus (Millipore™, USA) and was used to prepare all solutions. Millex
50
51 122 PVDF membrane filters with a pore size of 0.45 μm and diameter of 33.0 mm (Millipore™,
52
53 123 Tullagreen, Carrigtwohill, Ireland) was used. All other chemicals were of analytical grade.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

124 2.2. *Chromatographic system*

125 An Agilent model 1200 DAD/UV LC system, consisting of a quaternary pump
126 (G1311A), a degasser (G1322A), a column thermostat (G1316A), a diode array detector
127 (DAD) (G1315A), and an thermostatted autosampler (4 C) (G1329A), was used. The
128 chromatographic data were recorded using a computer system with ChemStation data
129 acquiring software (also from Agilent). The peak purity was determined using a diode array
130 detector (DAD).

131 Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Eclipse XDB - Phenyl column (250
132 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm particle size) – Agilent™ applying gradient elution based on a mobile
133 phase A (20 mM ammonium phosphate buffer, pH 4.5) and mobile phase B (acetonitrile).
134 The system was programmed at 35°C for the column oven, 238 nm for detection
135 wavelength and 10 µL for injection volume in a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The LC gradient
136 program (Time (in min) / % mobile phase B) was set as 0.0/10; 2.0/10; 15.0/30; 15.1/10
137 and 30.0/10.

139 2.3. *Preparation of sample for LC analysis*

140 2.3.1. *Standard preparation*

141 The cephalothin standard (10 mg) was accurately weighted and transferred into a 20
142 mL volumetric flask, diluted with purified water, and sonicated by 10 minutes to prepare
143 the standard solution at concentration of 500 µg/mL. Solutions were filtered through a 0.45
144 µm PVDF membrane filter (Millipore, Ireland) prior to injection.

145

146 2.3.2. *Sample preparation*

1
2
3 147 For the analysis of cephalothin, an amount of powder equivalent to 10 mg of CET
4
5 148 was transferred to a 20 mL volumetric flask with ultrapure water and sonicated for 10 min,
6
7
8 149 followed by the dilution to volume with ultrapure water obtained the concentration of 500
9
10 150 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. These solutions were filtered through a 0.45 μm PVDF filter before injections.
11
12

13 151

15 152 *2.4. Forced degradation studies*

17
18 153 Forced degradation conditions used are more severe than accelerated stability
19
20 154 testing such as temperature (50°C or 60°C); relative humidity ($\geq 75\%$); hydrolysis at high
21
22 155 and low pH; oxidation and photolysis³⁷. When performing forced degradation study, the
23
24 156 ideal range of degradation of the active ingredient is 5-20%, in order to cause degradation
25
26 157 but not so intense that generates secondary products^{35,38}. The stress solutions were
27
28 158 prepared to obtain a final concentration of 500 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of CET. Prior to injection, samples
29
30 159 were withdrawn at appropriate time, neutralized (in case of acid and alkali hydrolysis),
31
32 160 diluted with purified water and passed through Millex 0.45 μm membrane filter. The total
33
34 161 chromatographic run time was about two times higher than the retention time of the drug
35
36 162 peak in order to allow the elution of all possible degradation products formed. In all stress
37
38 163 conditions the peak area of CET and its degradation products were obtained. The stress
39
40 164 samples were assayed against a qualified cephalothin reference standard and the peak purity
41
42 165 tests were carried out for the cephalothin and its degradation products peaks by using DAD-
43
44 166 UV detector. The identification of the impurity B (deacetylcephalothin) was carried out.
45
46
47
48
49

50 167

53 168 *2.4.1. Acid and base hydrolytic degradation*

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 169 The cephalothin sample was treated separately with in 0.1 M HCl and 0.01 M
4
5 170 NaOH. The acid solution was exposed to a temperature of 60°C for 40 minutes and the
6
7
8 171 basic solution to a room temperature for 5 minutes. The forced degradation in acidic and
9
10 172 basic media was performed in the dark. The solutions were neutralized and then diluted
11
12 173 with purified water.
13
14

15 174

17 175 *2.4.2. Oxidative degradation*

19
20 176 To study the oxidative degradation on the drug sample, a solution of 3% H₂O₂ was
21
22 177 added and kept at room temperature for 20 minutes in the dark. The resultant solution was
23
24 178 diluted with purified water.
25
26

27 179

29 180 *2.4.3. Humidity degradation*

31
32 181 The dry powder of the drug sample was placed at 30°C / 75% RH (in a climate
33
34 182 chamber) for 10 days to study humidity degradation. After, the sample was dissolved and
35
36 183 diluted with purified water.
37
38

39 184

41 185 *2.4.4. Thermal degradation*

43
44 186 The dry powder of the drug sample was placed in oven at 60°C for 10 days to study
45
46 187 dry heat degradation. Powder was then dissolved and diluted with purified water.
47
48

49 188

51 189 *2.4.5. Photochemical degradation*

53
54
55 190 The photochemical stability of the drug was studied by exposing the dry sample
56
57 191 powder to ultraviolet (UV) and cool white fluorescence (VIS) lamps for 10 days at 25°C.
58
59
60

1
2
3 192 The illumination used was not less than 1.2 million lux hours (VIS) neither 200 watt h/m²
4
5 193 (UV). The sample powder was then dissolved and diluted with purified water.
6
7

8 194

9
10 195 *2.4.6. Standard preparation: Cephalothin for Impurity B identification*
11

12
13 196 A standard solution containing 220 µg/mL of cefalotin for Impurity B identification
14
15 197 (deacetylcephalothin) was prepared in purified water and analyzed in the forced
16
17 198 degradation test. This solution was filtered through a 0.45 µm PVDF membrane filter
18
19 199 (Millipore, Ireland) prior to injection.
20
21

22 200

23
24 201 *2.5 Analytical method validation*
25
26

27 202 The developed chromatographic method was validated for the following
28
29 203 parameters: specificity, linearity, range, precision, accuracy, detection limit, quantitation
30
31 204 limit and robustness³⁷.
32
33

34 205

35
36 206 *2.5.1. Specificity*
37
38

39 207 The specificity was conducted during the forced degradation studies (section 2.4).
40
41 208 Placebo was also evaluated under the same conditions. Peak purity tests were used to show
42
43 209 that the CET chromatographic peak is only attributable to this component.
44
45

46 210

47
48 211 *2.5.2. Precision*
49

50 212 The precision of the method was evaluated by injection of six independent
51
52 213 preparations of cephalothin sample at a concentration of 500 µg/mL quantified against a
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 214 reference standard; the % RSD of assay was calculated. The intermediate precision of the
4
5 215 method was evaluated using two different analysts in different days.
6
7

8 216

9
10 217 *2.5.3. Detection limit (LOD) and Quantitation limit (LOQ)*
11

12 218 The LOD and LOQ were determined at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1 and 10:1,
13 219 respectively, by injecting a series of dilute solutions of CET with known concentrations.
14

15 220

16
17 221 *2.5.4. Linearity*
18

19 222 Linearity standard solutions were diluted from cephalothin stock solution prepared
20 223 in purified water (1250 µg/mL) at five concentration levels from 50 to 150% of assay
21 224 analyte concentration (250, 375, 500, 625 and 750 µg/mL). Each concentration was
22 225 analyzed three times and the calibration curve was constructed using analyte standard peak
23 226 area ratio *versus* concentration of analyte. Linearity was evaluated by linear least-squares
24 227 regression analysis.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 228

35
36 229 *2.5.5. Accuracy*
37

38 230 The accuracy of the method was evaluated in triplicate at three concentration levels
39 231 (375, 500 and 625 µg/mL) by standard addition technique. The percentage of recoveries
40 232 was calculated at each level.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 233

49 234 *2.5.6. Robustness*
50

51 235 To determine the robustness of the developed method, experimental conditions were
52 236 deliberately altered and the retention time and area of cephalothin were assessed. The flow
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 237 rate of the mobile phase was changed to 0.9 and 1.1 mL/min, the column temperature was
4
5 238 studied at 30°C and 40°C, the effect of the percent organic strength was studied by varying
6
7
8 239 initial proportion of acetonitrile by 8% and 12% and the pH of 20mM ammonium
9
10 240 phosphate buffer was changed to 4.4 and 4.6. Only one parameter was changed while the
11
12 241 others were kept constant.
13
14
15 242
16
17 243

18 244 **3. Results**

19 245 20 246 *3.1. Optimization of chromatographic conditions*

21 247 The main objective of the chromatographic method is to separate cephalothin from
22
23 248 its degradation products. During the development of this method, different stationary
24
25
26 249 phases such as C18, C8, phenyl and cyano as well as different compositions of mobile
27
28
29 250 phase were tested (potassium phosphate buffer, triethylamine 0.1% (v/v), triethylamine
30
31 251 0.1% + acetic acid 0.1% (v/v), sodium perchlorate buffer, acetonitrile, methanol and
32
33 252 ethanol). The chromatographic separation was achieved on an Eclipse XDB-Phenyl
34
35
36 253 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5µm) column using mixture of aqueous 20 mM ammonium phosphate
37
38
39 254 buffer - pH 4.5 and acetonitrile as a mobile phase in a gradient mode. The flow rate of the
40
41
42 255 mobile phase was 1.0 mL/min, the column temperature was 35 °C, at 238 nm, and the peak
43
44
45 256 shape of the cephalothin was found to be symmetrical. In optimized chromatographic
46
47
48 257 conditions CET and its degradation products were separated with resolution greater than 2.
49
50 258 The retention time of CET was found to be 14.7 min (Figure 2).
51

52
53 259

54 55 260 *3.2. System suitability*

1
2
3 261 System suitability tests are used to verify that the resolution and reproducibility
4
5 262 were adequate for the analysis performed. The parameters measured were tailing factor,
6
7
8 263 capacity factor, theoretical plates, retention time and repeatability as %R.S.D. of peak area
9
10 264 for six injections of a standard solution of a 500 µg/mL of CET (100% concentration). The
11
12 265 tailing factor showed less than 2, the capacity factor was more than 2 and the theoretical
13
14
15 266 plates were more than 2000. The average of retention time was 14.8 minutes and the %RSD
16
17 267 of peak area was 0.71%.

20 268

22 269 *3.3. Linearity*

23
24
25 270 The linearity for detector response was observed in the concentration range of 250-
26
27 271 750 µg/mL for cephalothin. The calibration curve was constructed with concentration
28
29 272 against peak area. The regression equation for the calibration curve was found to be
30
31 273 $y=19.61312x + 32.45824$ and the correlation coefficient (r^2) of 0.99999 was obtained. Good
32
33 274 linearity was found between the peak area and analyte concentration.

36 275

39 276 *3.4. Precision*

40
41
42 277 The repeatability of the method was assessed by six determinations of the
43
44 278 concentration of 500 µg/mL representing 100%. The intermediate precision was carried out
45
46 279 by a second analyst in a different day. The % RSD of cephalothin during the repeatability
47
48 280 and the intermediate precision was 0.548% and 0.547%, respectively, confirming good
49
50 281 precision of the method.

54 282

56 283 *3.5. Limit of detection (LOD) and Limit of quantitation (LOQ)*

60

1
2
3 284 The LOD and LOQ were determined experimentally for cephalothin and results
4
5 285 were found to be 0.0760 µg/mL and 0.2532 µg/mL, respectively.
6
7
8 286

9
10 287 *3.6. Accuracy*
11
12

13 288 The accuracy of the method was evaluated by recovery studies and was carried out
14 289 by standard addition method at three different levels (75%, 100% and 125%) described in
15
16 290 table 1. The percentage of recoveries for cephalothin and percent RSD were found to be,
17
18 291 respectively, 99.41% and 0.54%.
19
20
21
22

23 292

24
25 293 *3.7. Forced degradation studies (Specificity)*
26
27

28 294 The specificity of the developed method was determined by injecting sample
29
30 295 solutions (500 µg/mL) which were prepared by stress conditions. Degradation in
31
32 296 cephalothin sample was observed when subjected to stress conditions like acid hydrolysis,
33
34 297 base hydrolysis and oxidation. Under oxidative conditions, photodegradation and humidity
35
36 298 conditions, in 10 days, cephalothin sample showed stable. The summary of forced
37
38 299 degradation results is given in Table 2 and 3. Typical chromatograms of stress testing are
39
40 300 shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. The placebo was also evaluated (Figure 5) and peak purity
41
42 301 test results confirmed that the cephalothin peak is homogenous and pure in all the analyzed
43
44 302 stress samples (Figure 6). The impurity B (deacetylcephalothin) was identified by
45
46 303 comparative analysis with a reference standard solution (Figure 7).
47
48
49
50

51 304 Accounting for the fact that additional peaks of degradation products appeared in
52
53 305 the chromatograms in addition to the impurity B, the proposed method demonstrated
54
55 306 excellent selectivity and resolution between CET and the other compounds (minimum
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 307 resolution of 2.4), being, therefore, applicable for routine cephalothin analysis, including
4
5 308 samples from stability studies.
6
7

8 309

9
10 310 *3.8. Robustness*

11
12 311 To determine the robustness of the developed method, experimental conditions were
13
14 312 deliberately altered, and in all the varied chromatographic conditions (flow rate, column
15
16 313 temperature, composition of organic solvent and pH value), no significant change was
17
18 314 observed and %RSD values showed less than 2, confirming the robustness of the method.
19
20
21

22 315

23 24 316 **4. Discussion**

25
26
27 317 The liquid chromatographic procedure was carried out to develop a stability-
28
29 318 indicating method to characterize degradation products from the studied cephalosporin.
30
31 319 Chromatographic conditions were elected after different mobile phases with different
32
33 320 organic solvent ratios were tested. Moreover, different brands of chromatographic columns
34
35 321 were also tested to optimize the separation, and the effects of organic solvent proportions
36
37 322 and compositions were examined.
38
39

40
41 323 The low LOD and LOQ values found are indicative of the high sensitivity of the
42
43 324 method. Besides, an analytical method can be considered specific, when it can demonstrate
44
45 325 drug separation and quantitation from the bulk physical mixture, finished product, its
46
47 326 degradation products and excipients. Our results obtained in the stress degradation are in
48
49 327 accordance with the current literature about the structural modifications of cephalothin.
50
51

52 328

53 54 55 329 **5. Conclusions**

56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 330 HPLC methods have been widely used by pharmaceutical laboratories and they
4
5 331 have gained popularity in stability studies due to their sensitivity, specificity and high-
6
7 332 resolution capacity. A validated stability-indicating LC method was developed for
8
9 333 quantitative determination of cephalothin and also is applicable for the related substances
10
11 334 determination. The proposed LC method is found to be sensitive, precise, accurate, linear,
12
13 335 robust, specific and convenient for the separation of cephalothin in the presence of its
14
15 336 degradation products, including deacetylcephalothin, its less active metabolite. The method
16
17 337 was fully validated showing satisfactory data for all the method validation parameters
18
19 338 tested. Hence, the developed LC method can be used for the quality control and also for
20
21 339 analysis of stability samples. The HPLC method developed is very simple and results
22
23 340 confirm suitable precision, accuracy, and specificity. Therefore, the method could be useful
24
25 341 for both routine analytical and quality control assay of cephalothin in pharmaceutical form
26
27 342 and it could be a very powerful tool to investigate chemical stability of this cephalosporin.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 343
35 344

36 345 **Acknowledgements**

37
38
39
40 346 We thank the laboratory União Química Pharmaceutical Industry (Pouso Alegre, Brazil) for
41
42 347 the kind donation of medicines. This work was supported by Bioagri – a Mérieux
43
44 348 NutriSciences Company (Bioagri Laboratórios (Piracicaba, Brazil) and H.R.N. Salgado is
45
46 349 grateful to the PACD-FCF-UNESP (Araraquara, Brazil) and CNPq for financial supports.
47
48
49
50

51 350

52 53 54 351 **References**

55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 352 1. M.J. O'Neil, *The Merck index: an encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs and biologicals*.
4
5 353 2006, 14th ed, Whitehouse Station, pp.328.
6
7
- 8 354 2. S.C. Sweetman, *Martindale: the complete drug reference*, 2009, 36th ed. London,
9
10 355 Pharmaceutical Press.
- 11
12 356 3. Q.A Xu and L.A. Trissel, *Stability-indicating HPLC methods for drug analysis*. 2008, 3rd
13
14 357 Ed, Washington, Pharmaceutical Press, pp.168-170.
15
16
- 17 358 4. J.G. Hardman and L.E. Limbird, *Goodman & Gilman: The pharmacological basis of*
18
19 359 *therapeutics*, 2003, 10th Ed, Rio de Janeiro, McGraw Hill, pp.903-908.
20
- 21
22 360 5. B.G. Katzung, *Basic and clinical pharmacology*. 2005, 9th Ed, Rio de Janeiro,
23
24 361 Guanabara Koogan, pp. 620-621.
25
- 26 362 6. H.S. Sader and R.N. Jones, Historical overview of the cephalosporin spectrum: four
27
28 363 generations of structural evolution, *Antimicrob. Newslett.*, 1992, **8**, pp.75-84.
29
- 30
31 364 7. Brazil. Ministry of Health. 2007. 5th ed. Brasília, obtained from web site:
32
33 365 <http://portal.saude.gov.br/portal/arquivos/pdf/renome2006.pdf> (accessed 3 November
34
35 366 2013).
36
- 37 367 8. A.G. Vasconcellos, R.D. Leal, A. Silvany-Neto and C.M. Nascimento-Carvalho,
38
39 368 Oxacilin or cefalotin treatment of hospitalized children with cellulitis, *Jpn. J. Infect.*
40
41 369 *Dis.*, 2012, **65**, pp.7-12.
42
- 43 370 9. A. Korolkovas, *Guanabara Therapeutic Dictionary*, 2010, Guanabara Koogan, 17th ed.
44
45 371 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- 46
47
48 372 10. Brazilian Pharmacopoeia, 2010, 5th ed. 2010, ANVISA, Brasília, Brazil.
49
- 50
51 373 11. V.D. Gupta and K.R. Stewart, Quantitation of carbenicillin disodium, cefazolin sodium,
52
53 374 cephalothin sodium, nafcillin sodium, and ticarcillin disodium by high-pressure liquid
54
55 375 chromatography. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1980, **69**, pp.1264-1267.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 376 12. European Pharmacopoeia, 2011, 7th ed, Strasbourg, Council of Europe, 2, pp.1603-
4 377 1604.
5
6
7
8 378 13. US Pharmacopoeia 36th, 2013, US Pharmacopeial Convention, Rockville, MD,
9 379 pp.2906–2907.
10
11 380 14. M.J. Cooper, M.W. Anders and B.L. Mirkin., Ion-pair extraction and high-speed liquid
12 381 chromatography of chephalothin and deacetylcephalothin in human serum and urine.
13
14 382 *Drug Metab. Dispos.*, 1973, **1**, pp.659-662.
15
16
17 383 15. R.P. Buhs, T.E. Maxim, N. Allen, T.A. Jacob and F.J. Wolf, Analysis of cefoxitin,
18 384 cephalothin and their deacylated metabolites in human urine by high-performance
19 385 liquid chromatography, *J. Chromatogr.*, 1974, **99**, pp.609-618.
20
21
22
23 386 16. I. Nilsson-Ehle, T.T. Yoshikawa, M.C. Schotz and L.B. Guze, Quantitation of
24 387 antibiotics by high-pressure liquid chromatography: cephalothin, *Antimicrob. Agents*
25 388 *Chemother.*, 1978, **13**, pp.221-227.
26
27
28
29
30 389 17. B.C. McWhinney, S.C. Wallis, T. Hillister, J.A. Roberts, J. Lipman and J.P.J. Ungerer,
31 390 Analysis of 12 beta-lactam antibiotics in human plasma by HPLC with ultraviolet
32 391 detection, *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2010, **878**, pp.2039-2043.
33
34
35
36 392 18. Y.L. Chang, Y.F. Chen, C.F. Chen and T.H. Tsai, Determination of unbound
37 393 cephalothin in rat blood by on-line microdialysis and microbore liquid
38 394 chromatography, *J. Chromatogr. B*, 2000, **742**, pp.125-130.
39
40
41
42 395 19. A.H. Moreno and H.R.N. Salgado, Comparison of high performance liquid
43 396 chromatography and three titrimetric methods for the determination of ceftazidime in
44 397 pharmaceutical formulations, *Adv. Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **2**, pp.6-13,. DOI:
45 398 10.5923/j.aac.20120203.01
46
47
48
49
50 399 20. A.H. Moreno and H.R.N. Salgado, Development and validation of HPLC method for
51 400 determination of ceftazidime, *J. AOAC Int.*, 2007, **90**, pp.739-743.
52
53
54
55 401 21. D.C.M. Vieira and H.R.N. Salgado, Comparison of HPLC and UV spectrophotometric
56 402 methods for the determination of cefuroxime sodium in pharmaceutical products, *J.*

- 1
2
3 403 *Chromatogr. Sci.*, 2011, **49**, pp.508-511.
4
5
6 404 22. A.H. Moreno and H.R.N. Salgado, Stability study and degradation kinetics of
7
8 405 ceftazidime in pharmaceutical preparations, *Adv. Analytical Chem.*, 2012, **2**, pp.1-5.
9
10 406 DOI: 10.5923/j.aac.20120201.01
11
12 407 23. A.H. Moreno and H.R.N. Salgado, Rapid and selective UV spectrophotometric method
13
14 408 for the analysis of ceftazidime, *J. AOAC Int.*, 2009, **92**, pp.820-823.
15
16
17 409 24. T.M. Pedroso and H.R.N. Salgado, Validation of cefazolin sodium in pharmaceutical
18
19 410 dosage forms by UV-spectrophotometric method, *Phys. Chem.*, 2013, **3**, pp.11-20.
20
21 411 doi:10.5923/j.pc.20130301.03
22
23 412 25. A.H. Moreno and H.R.N. Salgado, Spectrophotometric determination of ceftazidime in
24
25 413 pharmaceutical preparations using neocuproin as a complexing agent, 2008, *Anal.*
26
27 414 *Let.*, **41**, pp.2143-2152. DOI: 10.1080/00032710802240818
28
29
30 415 26. A.H. Moreno and H.R.N. Salgado, Development and validation of quantitative analysis
31
32 416 of ceftazidime in powder for injection by infrared spectroscopy, *Phys. Chem.*, 2012, **2**,
33
34 417 pp.6-11. DOI: 10.5923/j.pc.20120201.02
35
36 418 27. D.C.M. Vieira, P.C. Ricarte and H.R.N. Salgado, Development and validation of the
37
38 419 quantitative analysis of cefuroxime sodium in powder for injection by infrared
39
40 420 spectroscopy, *Adv. Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **2**, pp.80-87.
41
42 421 28. A.H. Moreno and H.R.N. Salgado, Microbiological assay for ceftazidime injection, *J.*
43
44 422 *AOAC Int.*, 2007, **90**, pp.1379-1382.
45
46
47 423 29. Tozo, G.C.G. and Salgado, H.R.N. 2007. Microbiological assay for cefoxitin sodium in
48
49 424 dosage form. *J. AOAC Int.* 90, 452-455.
50
51 425 30. D.C.M. Vieira, P.C. Ricarte and H.R.N. Salgado, Validation of microbiological assay
52
53 426 for determination of cefuroxime in injectable preparations. *Latin Am. J. Pharm.*, 2012,
54
55 427 **31**, pp.746-750.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 428 31. P.V. Aléssio and H.R.N. Salgado, Development and validation of a successful
4
5 429 microbiological agar assay for determination of ceftriaxone sodium in powder for
6
7 430 injectable solution, *Pharmaceutics*, 2012, **4**, pp.334-342, DOI:
8
9 431 10.3390/pharmaceutics4030334.
- 10
11 432 32. T.M. Pedroso and H.R.N. Salgado, Development and validation of a microbiological
12
13 433 assay by turbidimetry to determine the potency of cefazolin sodium in lyophilized
14
15 434 powder, *Anal. Methods*, 2014. *in press*.
- 16
17
18 435 33. ICH, Stability testing of new drug substances and products, Q1A (R2), 2003,
19
20 436 International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for
21
22 437 Registration of Pharmaceuticals for human use, IFPMA, Geneva.
- 23
24 438 34. L.C. Vaucher, C.S. Paim, A.D. Lange and E.E.S. Schapoval, LC method for
25
26 439 telithromycin in tablets: A stability-indicating assay, *Int. J. Pharmaceutics*, 2009, **33**,
27
28 440 pp.82-87.
- 29
30 441 35. K.M. Alsante, A. Ando, R. Brown, J. Ensing, T.D. Hatajik, W. Kong, and Y. Tsuda,
31
32 442 The role of degradant profiling in active pharmaceutical ingredients and drug products,
33
34 443 *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*, 2007, **59**, pp.29–37.
- 35
36 444 36. S. Klick, P.G. Muijsellar, J. Waterval, T. Eichinger, C. Korn, T.K. Gerding, A.J.
37
38 445 Debets, C.S. Griend, C. Beld, G.W. Somsen and Jong, G.J., Toward a generic approach
39
40 446 for stress testing of drug substances and drug products. *Pharmaceutical Technol.*,
41
42 447 2005, pp.48-66.
- 43
44 448 37. ICH Q2 (R1), 2005. Validation of analytical procedures: text and methodology. In:
45
46 449 Proceedings of the International Conference on Harmonization.
- 47
48 450 38. D.W. Reynolds, K.L. Facchine, J.F. Mullaney, K.M. Alsante, T.D. Hatajik and M.G.
49
50 451 Motto, Available guidance and best practices for conducting forced degradation
51
52 452 studies, *Pharm.Tech.*, 2002, **26**, pp.48-55.
- 53
54 453

1 **Table 1**

2 Results of recovery for cephalothin.

	<i>Amount of Standard Cephalothin added ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)</i>	<i>Total Found ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)</i>	<i>Recovery (%)*</i>	<i>Mean Recovery (%)</i>
R1	123.15	123.07	99.93	
R2	246.30	243.50	98.86	99.41
R3	369.46	367.44	99.45	

3 * Mean value of three replicates

11 **Table 2**

12 Summary of forced degradation results of cephalothin.

<i>Stress condition</i>	<i>Time</i>	<i>% Degradation</i>	<i>Purity factor</i>
Acid hydrolysis (0.1 M HCl, 60 °C)	40 minutes	16.10	99.92
Base hydrolysis (0.01 M NaOH, 60 °C)	5 minutes	14.92	99.91
Oxidation (3% H ₂ O ₂ , room temperature)	20 minutes	20.24	99.98
Photodegradation (UV/VIS)	10 days	0.10	99.98
Thermal (60 °C)	10 days	-0.81	99.98
Humidity (30 °C / 75% RH)	10 days	-1.32	99.91

13

14 **Table 3**

15 Summary of degradation products.

<i>RRT</i>	<i>Acid hydrolysis</i> (%) <i>area</i>	<i>Basic hydrolysis</i> (%) <i>area</i>	<i>Oxidation</i> (%) <i>area</i>
0.26	ND	ND	0.13
0.29	ND	0.11	ND
0.37	ND	0.06	ND
0.39	ND	ND	0.13
0.44	0.73	2.86	1.54
0.49	ND	ND	0.25
0.58	0.23	ND	ND
0.60	ND	ND	1.80
0.72 (impurity B)	3.05	10.49	0.12
0.76	ND	ND	9.50
0.77	ND	ND	1.26
0.82	0.04	ND	ND
0.87	1.54	ND	ND
0.89	0.13	0.16	0.14
0.92	1.80	ND	ND
1.04	ND	0.05	ND
1.18	ND	0.05	ND
1.28	ND	0.04	ND

16 RRT: Retention relative time; ND: not detected

17

18