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#### Abstract

Motivated in part by the recent observation of liquid glass in suspensions of ellipsoidal colloids, we examine the structure of jammed two-dimensional ellipse packings over a much wider range of particle aspect ratios ( $\alpha$, the ratio of the major and minor axis lengths) than has been previously attempted. We determine the jamming densities $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ to high precision, and find empirical analytic formulae that predict $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ to within less than $0.1 \%$ for all $1 \leq \alpha \leq 10$, for three different particle dispersities. Then we explore how these packings' local structural order varies with $\alpha$. We find that the densest packings possess unusually-well-defined nearest-neighbor shells, including both a higher fraction $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}$ of particles with exactly six contacts and a previously-unreported short-range order marked by "kinetically suppressed" regions in their positional-orientational pair correlation function $g(r, \Delta \theta)$. We also show that the previously-reported approach to isostaticity (coordination number $Z_{\mathrm{J}} \rightarrow Z_{\text {iso }} \equiv 6$ ) with increasing $\alpha$ is interrupted and then reversed as local nematic order increases: $Z_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ drops towards 4 as ellipses are more often trapped by contacts with a parallel-oriented neighbor on either side and a perpendicularly-oriented neighbor on either end. Finally we show that $\phi_{\mathrm{J}} / \phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ (where $\phi_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the saturated RSA packing density) is nearly $\alpha$-independent for systems that do not develop substantial local hexatic or nematic order during compression.


## 1 Introduction

Most real granular materials are composed of aspherical, shapeanisotropic particles. Theoretical efforts aiming to explain the various ways in which constituent-particle anisotropy affects systems' jamming phenomenology have focused primarily on simple models in which the degree of anisotropy can be controlled by varying one parameter: the aspect ratio $\alpha$. The variation of jamming phenomenology with $\alpha$ is the simplest for high-symmetry convex shapes, and as a consequence, the theoretical study of anistropic-particle jamming began with ellipses and ellipsoids. 1-1-3
Jamming of low-aspect-ratio ellipses has been extensively studied ${ }^{[1+6]}$ and is now fairly well understood. In particular, for $\alpha-1 \ll 1$, the linear increase in $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}\left[\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)-\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(1) \sim(\alpha-1)\right]$ has been explained in terms of particles' ability to pack more efficiently than disks by rotating away from contacts, ${ }^{122}$ and the singularity in the average coordination number $Z_{J}$ of marginally jammed states $\left[Z_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)-Z_{\mathrm{J}}(1) \propto \sqrt{\alpha-1}\right]$ has been explained by the divergence in the number of quartic modes as $\alpha \rightarrow 1.24$ On the other hand, while these early studies explained the most essential features of the variation of low-aspect-ratio ellipses' jamming phenomenology with $\alpha$, they did not establish precise analytic

[^0]formulas for $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ or $Z_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$, or examine the local structural ordering of jammed packings in much detail.

Recent experiments have demonstrated the existence of a "liquid glass" state in both quasi-2D ${ }^{7-9]}$ and $3 D^{10[11]}$ suspensions of ellipsoidal colloids. In a liquid glass, particles rotations' are arrested but they remain free to translate within locally-nematic precursor domains. By definition, this state occupies packing fractions $\phi$ that are between systems' orientational and translational glass transitions, i.e. all $\phi_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {rot }}(\alpha) \leq \phi \leq \phi_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {trans }}(\alpha)$. Liquid glasses' existence was predicted nearly 25 years ago by mode coupling theory ${ }^{12}$ and confirmed nearly 10 years ago by Monte Carlo simulations of hard ellipses, 8 yet they remain poorly understood. The well-established, intimate connection between the glass and jamming transitions ${ }^{13114}$ suggests that at least some of ellipses' liquid-glass physics is controlled by their jamming phenomenology. However, jamming of ellipses with $\alpha$ that are sufficiently large for systems to form the (essential) locally-nematic precursor domains as systems are being compressed has been almost completely neglected by theorists. Only Ref. ${ }^{[3]}$ examined ellipses with $\alpha>2.5$, and no studies have examined systems with $\alpha>5$.

In this paper, we examine the structure of jammed twodimensional ellipse packings over a much wider range of aspect ratios $(1 \leq \alpha \leq 10)$ than has previously been attempted. All of our results for $\alpha<\sim 3$ are consistent with previous studies, ${ }^{1+1 / 6}$ but we
go beyond previous work by (1) identifying novel, nearly-exac** analytic expressions for $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ and (2) performing a detailed characterization of jammed states' local structural order.

We show that the primary signature distinguishing jammed ellipse packings with $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\max }$ [where $\alpha_{\max }$ is the aspect ratio at which $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ is maximized] from those with lower $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ is that the former possess unusually-well-defined nearest-neighbor shells, including both a higher fraction $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}$ of particles with exactly six contacts and a previously-unreported short-range order marked by "kinetically suppressed" regions ${ }^{\dagger}$ in the positionalorientational pair correlation function $g(r, \Delta \theta)$. These shells' excellent commensurability allows minimization of intermediaterange density fluctuations and hence maximization of $\phi_{J}$. For $\alpha>3$, we show that $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$ drops slowly towards 4 with increasing $\alpha$, as local nematic order increases and ellipses are more often trapped by contacts with a parallel-oriented neighbor on either side and a perpendicularly-oriented neighbor on either end. This result stands in stark contrast to the one that might have been expected from Refs. ${ }^{[1+6}$, which suggested $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} Z_{\mathrm{J}}=6$. We also show that the ratio $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\alpha)$, where $\phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\alpha)$ is ellipses' random sequential adsorption (RSA) density ${ }^{+1}$ is nearly constant for systems that do not develop substantial local hexatic or nematic order during compression. Finally we relate the functional form of our analytic expressions for $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ to both previously known physics and the structural trends reported below, and discuss how our results may prove useful for the further development of firstprinciples theories of anisotropic-particle jamming.

## 2 Methods

To facilitate comparison of jammed and saturated-RSA ellipse packings, we examined the same set of 81 different particle aspect ratios (over the range $1 \leq \alpha \leq 10$ ) considered in Ref. ${ }^{16}$. To understand the effects of particle dispersity, we employed three different probability distributions for the ellipses' inital minor-axis lengths $\sigma$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\text {mono }}(\sigma)=\delta(\sigma-.07) \\
P_{\mathrm{bi}}(\sigma)=\frac{\delta(\sigma-.05 a)}{2}+\frac{\delta(\sigma-.07)}{2}  \tag{1}\\
P_{\text {contin }}(\sigma)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{7}{4 \sigma^{2}}, & .05 \leq \sigma \leq .07 \\
0 \quad, \quad \sigma<.05 \text { or } \sigma>.07
\end{array}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\delta$ is the Dirac delta function and $\sigma$ is expressed in arbitrary units of length. $P_{\text {mono }}$ yields monodisperse systems, $P_{\mathrm{bi}}$ yields the

[^1]50:50 bidisperse mixtures of large and small particles with size ratio $R_{\text {large }} / R_{\text {small }}=1.4$ that have been the standard model for studies of granular materials for the past 25 years,,$^{1718}$ and $P_{\text {contin }}$ yields continuously-polydisperse systems in which equal areas are occupied by particles of different sizes ${ }^{8}$

For each $\alpha$ and particle dispersity, 100 jammed packings were prepared using the following procedure: $N=1000$ nonoverlapping ellipses of aspect ratio $\alpha$ were placed with random positions and orientations in square $L \times L$ domains, with $L=36.1818 \sqrt{\alpha} \cdot$ Periodic boundary conditions were applied along both directions, so these initial states had packing fractions below 0.01. Jammed states were obtained using a Monte Carlo (MC) particle-growth algorithm. Each MC cycle consisted of:

1. Attempting to translate particle $i$ by a random displacement of maximum magnitude 0.05 f along each Cartesian direction and rotate it by an angle of maximum magnitude $(10 f / \alpha)^{\circ}$,
2. Repeating step 1 for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, and
3. Increasing all particles' $\sigma$ by the maximum possible factor consistent with hard-particle constraints, i.e. the factor that brings one pair of ellipses into tangential contact.

This implementation of step (3) preserved the particle dispersities defined in Eq. 1. The move-size factor $f$ was set to 1 at the beginning of the runs, and multiplied by $3 / 4$ whenever 100 cycles had passed without a successful translation/rotation attempt. Runs were terminated and the configurations were considered jammed when $f$ dropped below $10^{-9}$, the minimum value allowed by our double-precision numerical implementation of this algorithm. Throughout this process, inter-ellipse overlaps were prevented using Zheng and Palffy-Muhoray's exact expression 20 for their distance of closest approach $d_{\text {cap }}$.

Since this protocol attempts to move only one particle at a time, it produces packings which are locally rather than collectively or strictly jammed ${ }^{21}$ In other words, while vanishingly few particles in these packings (aside from the rattlers) can be moved or rotated while fixing the positions and orientations of all other particles, one might be able to increase their density using collective, multi-particle MC moves or by allowing the cells to undergo shear deformation. ${ }^{[22}$ Also note that our protocol generates ellipse packings that are closer to the MRJ ${ }^{23}$ (fast particle growth) end of the spectrum. Increasing particles' $\sigma$ by a smaller factor during step (3) or repeating steps (1-2) multiple times during each MC cycle would produce denser, more-ordered packings.

We characterized the structural order of the jammed packings using several commonly employed metrics:

In addition to $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$, we examined the fractions $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}\left(f_{\mathrm{Z}=4}\right)$ of particles that have exactly six (four) contacts. These quantities couple intimately to ellipse packings' structural order. For example, $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}=1$ in the triangular lattice (the densest crystalline

[^2]packing of both disks and ellipses) and in "ideal amorphous" disk packings where high $\phi$ are obtained by allowing particles' radii to vary, ${ }^{24}$ while $f_{\mathrm{Z}=4}=1$ in "checkerboard"-like phases formed by perpendicularly-oriented, short single-layer lamellae ${ }^{25}$ as well as in collectively-jammed rectangular ellipse lattices. ${ }^{2}$

Local nematic order was characterized using the standard order parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{18 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{18} \frac{\left.3 \cos ^{2}\left(\Delta \theta_{i j}\right)\right\rangle-1}{2} \equiv \frac{3\left\langle\cos ^{2}(\Delta \theta)\right\rangle-1}{2}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \theta_{i j}$ is the orientation-angle difference between ellipses $i$ and $j$, and the average is performed over the 18 nearest neighbors of each ellipse. Here 18 was chosen because it corresponds to the total number of first, second, and third nearest neighbors for particles in a triangular lattice; this choice makes $S$ a measure of mid-range nematic order. $S$ is 1 for a perfectly-nematicallyordered and zero for an orientationally-disordered material. To gain additional insight into connections between the variations of $S$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ with $\alpha$, we examined spatial fluctuations of the local packing fraction over the same length scale used to calculate $S$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \phi=\sqrt{\left\langle\phi^{2}\right\rangle-\langle\phi\rangle^{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\phi$ is the packing fraction within randomly located circular "windows" of radius $R$, where $R$ is chosen to make the average window contain 19 particles ${ }^{\| I}$

Local hexatic order was characterized using the Steinhardtlike ${ }^{27}$ order parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{6}=\frac{1}{6 N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{6} \exp \left(6 i \Theta_{i j}\right)\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Theta_{i j}$ is the angle between the vector $\vec{r}_{i j}$ connecting ellipses $i$ and $j$ and an arbitrary fixed axis, and the inner sum is taken over the 6 nearest neighbors of each monomer $i$. This metric has been shown to be useful in identifying the onset of liquid-crystalline order in hard-disk systems. ${ }^{28} \Psi_{6}$ is 1 for the triangular lattice (at any density) since the angles between its $\left\{\vec{r}_{i j}\right\}$ are multiples of $60^{\circ}$, and zero for a perfectly-orientationally-disordered material since the angles between its $\left\{\vec{r}_{i j}\right\}$ are random.

Finally we calculated the positional-orientational pair correlation function $g(r, \Delta \theta)$, which is the ratio of the number of ellipse pairs with center-to-center distance $r$ and orientation-angle difference $\Delta \theta$ to the number that would be present in an ideal gas of these particles. In other words $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ is just the generalization of the standard pair correlation function $g(r)$ to include orientationangle differences. Our recent study ${ }^{16}$ showed that this metric is key to understanding how the structure of saturated RSA ellipse packings varies with $\alpha$.

All numerical data presented below are averages over the 100
|| This $\delta \phi$ is better suited to analyzing density fluctuations in polydisperse systems than the more commonly employed hyperuniformity metric ${ }^{26} \Sigma^{2}(R)=\left\langle n^{2}(R)\right\rangle-\langle n(R)\rangle^{2}$, where $n(R)$ is the number of particles whose centers lie within these windows, because $\Sigma^{2}(R)$ does not account for local variations in the relative concentrations of differently-sized particles.
packings we prepared for each $\alpha$ and $P(\sigma)$.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Numerical results and analytic formulae for $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$

Figure 1 shows $\phi_{J}(\alpha)$ for all three particle dispersities. Differences between results for bidisperse and continuously-polydisperse systems are minimal, while the differences between these and results for monodisperse systems are expected from the latter's wellknown tendency to crystallize even under rapid Lubachevsky-Stillinger-style compression. ${ }^{29}$ All data for $\alpha<\sim 3$, and the basic features of the entire $\phi_{J}(\alpha)$ curves, are qualitatively consistent with previous studies. ${ }^{11+6}$ Our data show that $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)>\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }} \equiv$ $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(1)$ for $1<\alpha<2.70(1<\alpha<4.46)$ [ $1<\alpha<4.35$ ] for monodisperse (bidisperse) [continuously-polydisperse] ellipses, indicating that particle anisotropy enhances packability over these ranges of $\alpha$. Surpisingly, $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}^{\text {bi }}>\phi_{\mathrm{J}}^{\text {contin }}>\phi_{\mathrm{J}}^{\text {mono }}$ over the range $1.5<\sim \alpha<\sim 8.5$, suggesting that a size ratio of 1.4 is large enough for the small ellipses in bidisperse mixtures to fill the gaps between the larger ones in an at-least-semicoherent fashion. This effect is probably comparable to the well-known ability of bidsperse spheres to form both ordered and disordered packings that are denser than those formed by their monodisperse and (in some cases) continously-polydisperse counterparts. $30 \mid 31$


Fig. 1 Jamming densities for ellipses with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 10$. Symbols show data from our LS runs while curves respectively show Eqs. 911 and the inset shows the fractional difference of the predictions of these equations from the data. Measured values of $\alpha_{\max }$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}\left(\alpha_{\max }\right)$ for monodisperse (bidisperse) [continuously-polydisperse] systems are respectively 1.3 (1.45) [1.45] and 0.8924 (0.8927) [0.8917].

With the exception of Ref. ${ }^{3}$, previous studies of ellipse jamming have not attempted to find a functional form describing their $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ over a wide range of $\alpha$. Ref. ${ }^{3]}$ found that results for $1 \leq \alpha \leq 5$ are well described by the cubic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)=c_{0}+c_{1}(1-1 / \alpha)+c_{2}(1-1 / \alpha)^{2}+c_{3}(1-1 / \alpha)^{3} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fits of this functional form to the $\phi_{J}(\alpha)$ data shown in Fig. 1 fail, predicting a spurious inflection point (a transition to concave-up behavior, i.e. $\left.\partial^{2} \ln \left(\phi_{\mathrm{J}}\right) / \partial \ln (\alpha)^{2}>0\right)$ at intermediate $\alpha$. An exact expression for $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ must satisfy at least four requirements: (1) To be consistent with results for disks, i.e. it must have $\phi_{\mathbf{J}}(1) \equiv \phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}$; (2) To be consistent with trends reported in previ-


Fig. 2 Snapshots of jammed monodisperse ellipse packings for (top row, left to right) $\alpha=1,2,34$, and (bottom row, left to right) $\alpha=5,6,8,10$.
ous theoretical and experimental studies, ${ }^{[2+6 / 32 \| 34}$ it must be linear in $\alpha$ for $\alpha-1 \ll 1$ and inversely proportional to $\alpha$ for $\alpha \gg 1$; (3) It must be able to quantitatively predict the initial slope

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}=\left.\frac{\partial \phi_{\mathrm{J}}}{\partial \alpha}\right|_{\alpha=1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the position of the maximum $\alpha_{\max }$ defined by the criterion

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \phi_{J}}{\partial \alpha}\right|_{\alpha=\alpha_{\max }}=0
$$

the normalized curvature $\mathscr{C}$ of $\phi_{J}$ at its maximum, i.e.

$$
\mathscr{C}=\left.\frac{1}{\phi_{\mathrm{J}}\left(\alpha_{\max }\right)} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{\mathrm{J}}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}\right|_{\alpha=\alpha_{\max }}
$$

and the large- $\alpha$ asymptotic behavior $\sqrt{32 \mid 34}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)=\frac{\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}}{\mathscr{L} \alpha} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

suggesting that it has at least five parameters which are connected (in some a priori unknown way) to $\mathscr{I}, \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{L}, \alpha_{\text {max }}$, and $\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}$; and (4) Since $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ is, in general, strongly preparation-protocol dependent, ${ }^{211}$ all of these parameters must be adjustable.

One relatively simple functional form that satisfies all four of these requirements is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)=\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{disks}} \times \frac{1+a \ln (\alpha)+b(\alpha-1)}{1+c(\alpha-1)+d(\alpha-1)^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recently showed ${ }^{16}$ that this functional form quantitatively predicts ellipses' $\phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\alpha)$ over the same range of $\alpha$ considered here. Here we demonstrate that it also predicts their $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$.

Figure 1 shows that the $\phi_{J}$ for monodisperse, bidisperse, and continuously-polydisperse ellipses are respectively very well fit by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{mono}}(\alpha)=\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}^{\mathrm{mono}} \times \frac{1+\frac{73}{120} \ln (\alpha)+\frac{49}{9}(\alpha-1)}{1+\frac{108}{19}(\alpha-1)+\frac{13}{190}(\alpha-1)^{2}},  \tag{9}\\
\phi_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{bi}}(\alpha)=\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}^{\mathrm{bi}} \times \frac{1+\frac{13}{20} \ln (\alpha)+\frac{49}{10}(\alpha-1)}{1+\frac{249}{50}(\alpha-1)+\frac{5}{86}(\alpha-1)^{2}}, \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{J}}^{\text {contin }}(\alpha)=\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}^{\mathrm{contin}} \times \frac{1+\frac{11}{16} \ln (\alpha)+\frac{193}{40}(\alpha-1)}{1+\frac{247}{50}(\alpha-1)+\frac{10}{179}(\alpha-1)^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}$ depends on both particle dispersity and the protocol with which jammed states are prepared. For our bidisperse and continuously-polydisperse systems it takes on standard MRJlike values, respectively 0.8404 and $0.8402 . \frac{1823}{}$ For monodisperse systems it is substantially larger (0.8669) owing to these systems' well-known tendency to crystallize even under rapid Lubachevsky-Stillinger-style compression ${ }^{29}$.

The mean fractional deviations of these expressions' predictions from the ensemble-averaged measured $\phi_{J}$ are essentially zero, while the rms fractional deviations, which are respectively $\sim 0.09 \%, \sim 0.12 \%$ and $0.09 \%$ for monodisperse, bidisperse, and continuously-polydisperse ellipses, are only slightly above the lower bounds set by the statistical uncertainties on the measured $\phi_{J}$. We emphasize that all of the coefficients in the above equations (i.e. $\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}, a, b, c$, and $d$ ) are preparation-protocoldependent; for example, increasing the ratio of the maximum rotational move amplitude to the maximum translational move amplitude (Section 2b) increases the ratio $d / b$. While we do not claim that any of Eqs. 811 are exact expressions valid for all $\alpha$, or even that their functional form is the same as that of the "true" $\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)\right\}$


Fig. 3 Snapshots of jammed 50:50 1:1.4 bidisperse ellipse packings for (top row, left to right) $\alpha=1,2,3,4$, and (bottom row, left to right) $\alpha=5,6,8$, 10.
which could be obtained given infinite computer power, we will present substantial additional evidence supporting our use of this functional form, and argue for its plausibility as a near-exact expression for $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$, in the following sections.

Figures 23 respectively show snapshots of monodisperse and bidisperse jammed ellipse packings with $\alpha=1,2,3,4,5,6,8$, and 10. Continuously-polydisperse packings are not shown here because they are very similar to their bidisperse counterparts. Results for $\alpha=1$ are entirely as expected from Refs. 17 [18/29: bidisperse packings are disordered and approximately isostatic, while monodisperse disk packings are denser and exhibit long-range triangular-crystalline order interrupted by vacancies and line defects. For $\alpha=2$ and 3, results are consistent with Refs. ${ }^{[1+6]}$. Visual inspection suggests that the monodisperse packings are somewhat more ordered than their bidisperse counterparts, but the nature of any such differences is not immediately clear.

Local nematic precursor domains comparable to those observed in experiments on ellipsoidal colloids exhibiting a liquid-glass state $e^{7-11}$ become increasingly apparent as $\alpha$ increases beyond $\sim$ 3. The domains formed by monodisperse systems appear slightly more ordered than those formed by their bidisperse counterparts, but again the nature of any differences in their ordering is unclear from visual inspection alone. For $\alpha>\sim 6$, systems form welldefined, mostly-single-layer lamellae. In contrast to the nearly randomly oriented nematic precursors for $3<\sim \alpha<\sim 5$, neighboring lamellae are increasingly oriented perpendicularly to each other. This structure, which is reminiscent of "checkerboard"like phases (e.g. the high-density disordered equilibrium phase formed by hard rods on a lattice ${ }^{(25}$ ), is more prominent for monodisperse systems. Notably, the incompatible orientation of neighboring lamellae gives rise to increasingly large voids that
cannot be filled because rotations of the surrounding particles (which could otherwise lead to further increases in $\phi$ ) are blocked by other particles. 3334

### 3.2 Measures of local positional-orientational order

Next, to better understand these variations in local structure, we examine how the structural metrics discussed in Section 2 vary with $\alpha$. Figure 4(a) shows results for the coordination number $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$. Results for small $\alpha$ are consistent with previous work, ${ }^{[244}$ showing both the characteristic square-root singularity $\left[Z_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)-Z_{\mathrm{J}}(1) \propto \sqrt{\alpha-1} \text { for } \alpha-1 \ll 1\right]^{* *}$ and convergence towards a plateau at moderate hypostaticity $\left[Z_{\mathrm{J}}=Z_{\text {iso }}-\varepsilon\right.$ with $\varepsilon=0.3-0.4]$ for $1.5<\sim \alpha<\sim 2.5$. For $\alpha>\sim 4$, however, $Z_{J}$ drops roughly logarithmically: $Z_{\mathrm{J}} \simeq Z_{0}-B \ln (\alpha)$, with a slightly-dispersity-dependent $Z_{0}$. This drop in $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$ was not observed in previous simulations of ellipse jamming (only one of which ${ }^{33}$ reported $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$ for $\alpha>2.5$ ), but comparable decreases have been reported for spherocylinders ${ }^{35 / 36}$ as well as both rigid-rod-like and semiflexible polymers ${ }^{[37] 38}$ Below, we will show that this decrease in $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$ is directly associated with an increase in low-coordinated particles trapped inside locally nematic regions.

Figure 4(b) shows the fraction $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}$ of particles that have exactly six contacts. For all particle dispersities, the $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}(\alpha)$ curves have broad peaks centered at $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\text {max }}$. In other words, maximizing $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ closely corresponds to maximizing the number of 6 coordinated particles. This result is not very surprising - increasing $f_{\mathrm{Z}>4}$ within a jammed ellipse packing generally requires in-

[^3]

Fig. 4 Local order parameters for jammed ellipse packings. All quantities plotted above are defined in Section 2 Dashed lines in panels (a), (d) and (f) respectively indicate $Z=7.7-1.8 \ln (\alpha), S=-.09+.174 \ln (\alpha)$, and $\delta \phi=-.025+.032 \ln (\alpha)$.
creasing its density ${ }^{2}$-but it does not seem to have been previously reported. We find that monodisperse particles have both larger $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ and larger $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}$ than their polydisperse counterparts for $\alpha<\alpha_{\max }$, owing largely to their greater apparent crystallinity. Results for different particle dispersities merge for $\alpha>\sim 5$; few 6 -coordinated particles are present in these systems.

Since the densest packings have the most six-coordinated particles, a natural followup question is: are they also the most locally hexatically ordered? Results for $\Psi_{6}(\alpha)$ [Figure 4(c)] suggests that the answer is: no, except when comparing results for different particle dispersities at the same $\alpha$ for $\alpha-1 \ll 1$. Intriguingly, $\Psi_{6}$ is actually slightly larger for $\alpha=1.05$ than for $\alpha=1$, suggesting that for increasing $\alpha-1 \ll 1$ the ability of particles to rotate away from contacts enhances their ability to hexatically order even as they become more anisotopic. Results for larger $\alpha$ show that $\Psi_{6}$ steadily decreases with increasing $\alpha$ for $\alpha>\sim 1.2$, and is negligi ble for all $\alpha>\sim 2$. While $\Psi_{6}$ will decrease with increasing $\alpha$ even for a uniaxially stretched triangular lattice (the densest possible monodisperse ellipse packing, which has $\phi=\phi_{\text {xtal }}$ for all $\alpha \underline{(39}$ ), the actual decrease shown in Fig. 4(c) is substantially faster than would occur for such a lattice.

Sharper insights into the evolution of jammed ellipse packings' structure are obtained by examining other metrics. Figure 4(d) shows that the nematic order parameter $S$ is strongly dispersitydependent for small $\alpha$ but nearly dispersity-independent for $\alpha>\sim 1.8$. The prominent small- $\alpha$ peak for monodisperse systems coincides with the abovementioned peak in their $\Psi_{6}$; in the jammed packings for $\alpha<\sim \alpha_{\max }=1.3$, many particles have 6 contacts and are aligned with their nearest neighbors. These regions resemble a uniaxially stretched triangular lattice. For bidisperse and continuously-polydisperse systems, $S$ actually becomes negative for $1<\alpha<\sim 1.8$ because tip-side contacts are favored over side-side contacts in these systems. For $\alpha>\sim 1.8$, all systems' $S$ increases roughly logarithmically with $\alpha$ [i.e. $S \simeq S_{0}+C \ln (\alpha)$ ], with a crossover to a slightly slower rate of increase over the range $4<\sim \alpha<\sim 6$ that corresponds to the emergence of well-defined locally nematic domains. The beginning of this crossover regime roughly coincides with the end of the $Z_{\mathrm{J}}=Z_{\text {iso }}-\varepsilon$ plateaus shown in Fig. 4(a), suggesting that it is the formation of increasingly-well-defined locally-nematic regions within jammed states that causes their $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$ to drop.

This effect can be further elucidated by examining $f_{\mathrm{Z}=4}(\alpha)$ [Fig. 4(e)]. For $\alpha<\sim 4, f_{\mathrm{Z}=4}$ mirrors $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}$. Next $f_{\mathrm{Z}=4}$ increases sharply as local nematic domains emerge, reaching a peak at approximately the end of $S$ 's crossover regime, i.e. at $\alpha \simeq 6$. Finally for $\alpha>\sim 6, f_{\mathrm{Z}=4}$ drops again. These trends can be explained as follows: $f_{\mathrm{Z}=4}$ increases sharply as local nematic domains emerge because (as shown in Figs. 233) these domains lend themselves to $Z=4$ configurations where ellipses are trapped by one parallelaligned neighbor on either side and one perpendicularly-aligned neighbor on either end. As $\alpha$ continues to increase, the increasing number of particles with $Z<4$ leads to decreasing $f_{\mathrm{Z}=4}$. Note that this result does not imply that these systems are not mechanically stable; the isocounting conjecture $\left(Z_{\mathrm{J}}=2 n_{\text {dof }}\right.$, where $n_{\text {dof }}$ is the number of translational and rotational degrees of freedom per particle) does not apply to anisotropic particles, ${ }^{2}$ and ellipses
with $Z$ as low as 3 can be locally jammed. ${ }^{2}$
Are systems with $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\max }$ maximally dense because they are spatially homogeneous and lack the prominent low-density regions at the boundaries between differently-oriented locallyordered domains that are present for other $\alpha$ ? Figure $4(\mathrm{f})$ suggests that this is indeed the case: the $\delta \phi \equiv \Delta \phi\left(R^{*}[\alpha]\right)$ are minimized at $\alpha \simeq 1.6$ for all three particle dispersities. Much like $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$ and $S, \delta \phi$ increases roughly logarithmically for larger $\alpha$, i.e. $\delta \phi \simeq \delta \phi_{0}+D \ln (\alpha)$; hence $\delta \phi$ is maximal at $\alpha=10$ where $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ is minimal. We believe that the logarithmic increases in these three quantities are closely related to each other, and will argue below that they may be the source of the $a \ln (\alpha)$ contribution to $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$.


Fig. 5 Snapshots (left panels) and $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ (right panels) for the densest jammed states for each particle-dispersity category. Top panels show monodisperse systems with $\alpha=1.3$, middle panels show 50:50 1:1.4 bidisperse systems with $\alpha=1.45$, and bottom panels show continuouslypolydisperse systems with $\alpha=1.45$. Colors are assigned only to regions with $g(r, \Delta \theta)>0$, so the sterically forbidden regions are shown in white.

While the dataset presented above provides many insights, it fails to conclusively specify what (other than higher $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}$ and lower $\delta \phi$ ) distinguishes the densest packings from their lower- $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ counterparts. We now show that this can be done by examining positional-orientational correlations. Figure 5 shows representative snapshots and ensemble-averaged $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ for systems with $\alpha=\alpha_{\text {max }}$. The monodisperse packing plainly has a mid-to-long-
range crystalline order that superficially resembles that of the triangular lattice. Nearly all particles have exactly six nearest neigh bors that are easily discernible through visual inspection, even though many particles have $Z<6$ (i.e. fewer than six contacts). However, in contrast to the densest crystalline ellipse packing (in which all ellipses are oriented in the same direction and thus have $\Delta \theta=0$ ), these nearest-neighbor particles exhibit a wide range of $\Delta \theta$. Tip-to-side contacts are heavily favored, with $g(r, \Delta \theta)>30$ in the limit corresponding to perpendicularly-oriented contacting ellipses, i.e. $r / \sigma_{\min } \rightarrow(\alpha+1) / 2$ and $\Delta \theta \rightarrow 90^{\circ}$. At the same time, $g(r, \Delta \theta)<.01$ for certain $(r, \Delta \theta)$ that are sterically allowed (i.e. compatible with 2-body hard-particle impenetrability constraints) yet are strongly suppressed by collective many-body effects. The corresponding minima in $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ are both broad and deep: for example, $g(r, \Delta \theta)<.1$ for all $1.4<r / \sigma_{\min }<1.7$ with $\Delta \theta<\sim 60^{\circ}$.

The same trends are present for bidisperse and continuouslypolydisperse systems even through their $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ are qualitatively different. More specifically, although increasing particle dispersity changes the locations of $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ 's extrema, reduces the height and increases the width of its maxima, and reduces both the depth and width of its minima, these minima remain both broad and deep. We refer to the ranges of $(r, \Delta \theta)$ that are sterically allowed yet have $g(r, \Delta \theta)<0.1$ as "kinetically suppressed" because the various collective many-body ordering processes that occur during dynamic compression make these configurations at least an order of magnitude less likely in the final jammed packings than they would be in completely disordered packings (i.e. ideal gases) with the same $\phi$. Critically, for all three particle dispersities, the kinetically suppressed regions are largest for $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\text {max }}$, and are absent for systems with $\phi_{\mathrm{J}} \leq \phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}$.

Comparing Fig. 5 as well as $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ results for other $\alpha$ (not shown here) to the results presented above shows that large kinetically suppressed regions are present in systems where most particles have six clearly-distinguishable nearest neighbors, whether they actually contact all of these neighbors or not. Nearest-neighbor shells including six members are "full": they prevent any other particles from achieving close proximity, and they do so in a highly $\alpha$ - and $\Delta \theta$-dependent way. As a consequence, systems in which most particles' nearest-neighbor shells are full have richly structured $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ with large kinetically suppressed regions. These regions are not present in saturated RSA ellipse packings, ${ }^{16}$ which suggests that they arise during the later stages of compression, i.e. over the range $\phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\alpha)<\sim \phi<\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$. We believe that it is the formation of these unusually-well-defined nearest-neighbor shells that allows minimization of $\delta \phi$ and hence maximization of $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$.

### 3.3 Comparison to RSA packings

For a wide variety of particle shapes, complex liquid-state dynamics are expected for packing fractions in the range $\phi_{0}(\alpha)<$ $\phi<\phi_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {trans }}(\alpha)$, where $\phi_{0}(\alpha)$ is the "onset" density. ${ }^{[40 / 41]}$ In hardellipse liquids, onset and translational-rotational decoupling ${ }^{42}$ have been associated with the emergence of unstable nematic-like regions with a mean lifetime $\tau_{\text {nem }}$ that exceeds the characteristic relaxation time $\tau_{0}$ for translational diffusion. ${ }^{43}$ Measurement of
the ratios $\phi_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {trans }}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {rot }}(\alpha), \phi_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {trans }}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{o}}(\alpha)$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {rot }}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{o}}(\alpha)$ for various shapes over a wide range of $\alpha$ could provide additional valuable insights into these dynamics, but evaluating these quantities is computationally expensive. ${ }^{44 / 45}$ An alternative approach that should provide at least some of the same insights is to measure the ratio $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\alpha)$; this ratio of fundamental interest because it indicates how much packing efficiency particles can gain via cooperative translations and rotations during the later stages of compression, i.e. over the range $\phi_{s}(\alpha)<\phi<\phi_{J}(\alpha)$. Surprisingly, to the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have systematically examined $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\alpha)$ for ellipses, ellipsoids, or other comparable 2D or 3D convex shapes.


Fig. 6 Ratio of the jamming densities $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{x}}(\alpha)$ (Eqs. 9.11 to the saturated RSA packing densities $\phi_{s}(\alpha)$ of monodisperse ellipses (Eq. 12).

We recently showed that monodisperse ellipses' $\phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\alpha)$ is predicted to within $\sim 0.1 \%$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\alpha)=\phi_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{disks}} \times \frac{1+\frac{3}{8} \ln (\alpha)+\frac{17}{25}(\alpha-1)}{1+\frac{80}{99}(\alpha-1)+\frac{1}{96}(\alpha-1)^{2}}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\mathrm{s}, \text { disks }}=.54707,46$ over the same range of $\alpha(1 \leq \alpha \leq 10)$ considered here. ${ }^{16}$ As shown in Figure 6, in our bidisperse and continuously-polydisperse systems, the ratio $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\alpha)$ stays within $\sim 1.6 \%$ of 1.535 for all $1 \leq \alpha \leq 5 . \quad \phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{s}}(\alpha)$ is larger for our small- $\alpha$ monodisperse systems, and for all dispersities for $\alpha>\sim 5$. In other words, our data indicates that this ratio is almost $\alpha$-independent as long as neither substantial local hexatic order nor substantial local nematic order develops during compression.

## 4 Discussion and Conclusions

In this paper, we performed a detailed characterization of jammed ellipse packings over a much wider range of aspect ratios ( $1 \leq \alpha \leq$ 10) than had previously been attempted. Our first major goal was to determine $\phi_{J}(\alpha)$ to high precision, for three different particle dispersities: mono-, bi-, and continuously-polydisperse. After doing so, we found simple analytic formulae (Eqs. 911) that predict these $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ to within $<\sim 0.1 \%$. Surprisingly, ellipses' jamming and saturated-RSA packing densities are both quantitatively predicted over the entire range of $\alpha$ by a common functional form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{X}}(\alpha)=\phi_{\mathrm{X}, \text { disks }} \times \frac{1+a \ln (\alpha)+b(\alpha-1)}{1+c(\alpha-1)+d(\alpha-1)^{2}}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\mathrm{X}}$ is the jamming or RSA density (i.e. $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ or $\phi_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) and the coefficients $\{a, b, c, d\}$ depend on particle dispersity and packing preparation protocol. Moreover, the ratio $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha) / \phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\alpha)$ remains almost $\alpha$-independent, suggesting that the amount of extra packing efficiency ellipses can gain via cooperative translations and rotations during the later stages of compression depends only depends only weakly on their aspect ratio as long as neither substantial local hexatic nor substantial local nematic order develops during compression.

Our second major goal was to characterize the local structure of jammed packings that include the local nematic domains found in liquid-glass colloidal suspensions. ${ }^{7-11}$ Previous studies of ellipse jamming found that $Z_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$ plateaus at moderate hypostaticity $\left[Z_{\mathrm{J}}=6-\varepsilon\right.$ with $\varepsilon=0.3-0.4$ for $\left.1.5<\sim \alpha<\sim 2.5\right]$, ${ }^{[24] 6}$ and implied that this plateau extends to $\alpha=\infty$. However, since these studies did not examine $\alpha$ that were sufficiently large to possess a high- $\phi$ equilibrium nematic phase (e.g. $\alpha>2.4$ for monodisperse ellipses ${ }^{47}$ ) and hence only examined nearly-isotropic packings, the question of whether it actually does so had remained open. Here we found that $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$ drops roughly logarithmically $\left[Z_{\mathrm{J}} \simeq Z_{0}-B \ln (\alpha)\right.$, with weakly-dispersity-dependent $Z_{0}$ and $\left.B\right]$ for $\alpha>\sim 3$. This drop in $Z_{\mathrm{J}}$ results largely from an increasing fraction of particles that are trapped inside locally nematic domains by a parallel-oriented neighbor on either side and a perpendicularlyoriented neighbor on either end, and hence have no more than four contacts. The emergence of comparable particle caging during dynamic compression may help explain the onset of liquidglass physics in athermal systems. 43

The third major question we wished to answer in this study was: what structural features distinguish the densest jammed packings from their lower- $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ counterparts? Examination of commonly employed structural metrics such as the local nematic order parameter $S$ and the Steinhardt-like order parameter $\Psi_{6}{ }^{28}$ failed to conclusively answer this question. Instead we showed that the fraction of particles that have exactly six contacts ( $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}$ ) is maximized at $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\text {max }}$ for all particle dispersities even though $f_{\mathrm{Z}=6}(\alpha)$ is itself highly dispersity-dependent, and that locallyhyperstatic particles within $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\max }$ packings are far more likely to have six clearly-distinguishable nearest neighbors than their counterparts in systems with $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}<\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}$, even in the absence of substantial local hexatic order. While it has long been known that nearest-neighbor shells including six members are full and hence prevent any other particles from achieving close proximity to the reference particle, here we showed that they do so in a highly $\alpha$ - and $\Delta \theta$-dependent way that (in systems with $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\max }$ ) leads to richly structured $g(r, \Delta \theta)$ with large kinetically suppressed regions. In other words, we showed that particles with $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\max }$ develop unusually-well-defined nearest-neighbor shells during compression, for three very different particle dispersities, even through the structure of the shells themselves is highly dispersity-dependent. These shells seem to be commensurable in the sense that nearby particles' shells can pack efficiently together without introducing the low-density regions at the boundaries between differently-oriented locally-ordered domains that are present for both disks and large- $\alpha$ ellipses: in other words, their formation allows minimization of $\delta \phi(\alpha)$. We conclude that
it is these well-defined shells that allow $\alpha \simeq \alpha_{\text {max }}$ ellipses' $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ to be substantially higher than disks' $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}$ even though their jammed states do not possess longer-range crystalline order. This conclusion places Donev et al.'s argument that ellipses' ability to rotate away from contact allows them to pack more densely than disks ${ }^{11}$ on a firmer quantitative foundation.

Finally we discuss how our results may prove useful for the further development of first-principles theories of anisotropicparticle jamming. While there is as of yet no first-principles theoretical justification for Eq. 13]s functional form, let alone a firstprinciples calculation of all of its coefficients for a specific particle dispersity, we emphasize that many of the ingredients for obtaining these are now in place. $\phi_{\mathrm{J}, \text { disks }}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{s}, \text { disks }}$ can be calculated using approaches based on liquid-state theory and the replica trick. ${ }^{48 / 49}$ Donev et al's perturbation-theory approach ${ }^{21}$ could be used to calculate $\mathscr{I}=(a+b-c) / \phi_{\text {X,disks }}$ (Eq. 6). $\mathscr{L}=d / b$ (Eq. 7) could be calculated by combining Onsager's classical arguments ${ }^{[32]}$ for why the characteristic densities for structural transitions driven by excluded volume should scale as $1 / \alpha$, ellipsoidal Percus-Yevick theory, ${ }^{50}$ and mean-field theoretical methods like those employed in Refs. $48 / 495152$. Finally, the presence of the $a \ln (\alpha)$ term might be explained by the need for a next-to-leading order correction to Onsager (i.e. $1 / \alpha$ ) scaling, perhaps arising from finite correlation lengths within the packings or other non-mean-field effects. For example, the same increases in local nematic order that lead to the $Z \simeq Z_{0}-B \ln (\alpha), S \simeq S_{0}+C \ln (\alpha)$, and $\delta \phi \simeq \delta \phi_{0}+D \ln (\alpha)$ trends illustrated in Fig. 4 might also lead to a $\ln (\alpha) / \alpha^{2}$ correction to a mean-field expression for $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(\alpha)$. Since comparison to previous results for spherocylinders and stronglyoverlapping $n$-mers ${ }^{53[54}$ suggests that Eq. 13 may be applicable to all convex 2D shapes, with $\{a, b, c, d\}$ that depend on shape in addition to the factors mentioned above, it would be very interesting to attempt to derive its functional form (or find a better one to replace it) using state-of-the-art theoretical methods like those discussed above.
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[^1]:    *Here we describe an expression as "nearly exact" if it agrees with all available data to within the statistical uncertainty on that data, which in this case is $\sim 0.1 \%$.
    $\dagger$ As will be discussed in Section 3.2, these regions correspond to configurations which are sterically allowed (i.e. compatible with 2 -body hard-particle impenetrability constraints) yet are strongly suppressed by collective many-body effects that occur during compression.
    $\pm$ This is the maximum density at which impenetrable ellipses of aspect ratio $\alpha$ can be packed under a protocol that sequentially inserts them with random positions and orientations. 15

[^2]:    § Note that choosing $P(\sigma) \propto \sigma^{-d}$, where $d$ is the spatial dimension, apparently opti mizes glass-formability for a wide variety of interparticle force laws. ${ }^{19}$

    - This choice of $L$ makes the final $\sigma$ values satisfy $1<\sim \sigma<\sim 1.4$ for all $\alpha$.

[^3]:    ** Strictly speaking, this relation holds only for polydisperse systems since jammed monodisperse packings' crystallinity varies strongly with $\alpha$ for $\alpha-1 \ll 1$.

