Nanoscale



# Nanoscale

# Real-time TEM observations of ice formation in graphene liquid cell

Journal:	Nanoscale
Manuscript ID	NR-ART-01-2023-000097.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Feb-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Phakatkar, Abhijit ; University of Illinois at Chicago Megaridis, Constantine; University of Illinois at Chicago, Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Shokuhfar, Tolou; University of Illinois at Chicago, Department of Bioengineering; Michigan Technological University, Department of Mechanical Engineering Shahbazian-Yassar, Reza; University of Illinois at Chicago, Mechanical and Industrial Engineering; University of Illinois at Chicago



Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

# Real-time TEM observations of ice formation in graphene liquid cell

Abhijit H. Phakatkar, \*a Constantine M. Megaridis\*<sup>b</sup>, Tolou Shokuhfar\*a and Reza Shahbazian-Yassar \*b

The study of ice nucleation and growth at the nanoscale is of utmost importance in geological and atmospheric sciences. However, existing transmission electron microscopy (TEM) approaches have been unsuccessful in imaging ice formation directly. Herein, we demonstrate how radical scavengers -such as TiO<sub>2</sub>- encased with water in graphene liquid cells (GLCs) facilitate the observation of ice nucleation phenomena at low temperatures. Atomic resolution imaging reveals the nucleation and growth of cubic ice phase crystals at close proximity to TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerfaces at low temperatures. Interestingly, both heterogeneously and homogeneously nucleated ice crystals exhibited this cubic phase. Ice crystal nuclei were observed to be more stable at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface, as compared with crystals in the bulk liquid (homogeneous nucleation), suggesting the radical scavenging efficacy of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles mitigating the electron beam by-products. The present work demonstrates that the use of radical scavengers in GLC TEM has great promise in unveiling the nanoscale pathways for ice nucleation and growth dynamic events.

### Introduction

Ice nucleation and growth are subjects of considerable interest owing to their extreme importance in geological, biological, and atmospheric sciences.<sup>1-4</sup> Ice nucleation can follow pathways, namely homogeneous two and heterogeneous nucleation. Mineral dust aerosols are among the most prevalent sources of heterogeneous ice nucleation in clouds.<sup>5</sup> Aluminum oxide  $(Al_2O_3)$ , titanium dioxide  $(TiO_2)$ , magnesium oxide (MgO), iron oxides ( $Fe_3O_4$ ,  $Fe_2O_3$ ), and silicon dioxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>) are well-known metal oxide atmospheric constituents of mineral dust, which are responsible for heterogeneous ice nucleation.<sup>6-8</sup> At the metal oxide-water interface, primarily adsorbed water with successive dissociation is considered to be a crucial step for heterogeneous ice nucleation.<sup>9</sup> The strong

interaction among hydrogen bonds of the water molecule and metal-oxide surface oxygen results in extraction of hydrogen molecules and hence, adsorption of water-molecule oxygen on metal cations.<sup>10</sup> With the defect sites increasing the density of adsorbed water molecules, surface hydroxyl groups are responsible for enhanced chemical affinity for ice and specific crystal lattice plane directions, which serve as active sites on metal oxide surfaces for ice nucleation.<sup>11</sup>

The ice-forming activity of metal oxides has been evaluated using different experimental bulk characterization techniques. Souda *et al.*<sup>8</sup> used a reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) technique to evaluate the epitaxial growth of cubic ice crystal phase at a TiO<sub>2</sub> nanointerface. Kiselev *et al.*<sup>11</sup> utilized environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) to investigate the ice forming activity of feldspar (rock-forming mineral) confirming defects acting as heterogeneous ice nucleation sites. Jia *et al.*<sup>12</sup> investigated ultrasoundcontrolled heterogeneous ice nucleation on TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Even though efforts are ongoing to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA.

<sup>+</sup> Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

study ice nucleation on metal oxides, existing experimental studies are limited to the micron scale. At present, sub-micron level ice nucleation pathways and associated crystal orientation events at complex interfaces are predominantly studied using molecular simulation theoretical models.<sup>13-15</sup> There exists a knowledge gap with currently available experimental approaches to comprehend ice nucleation events at nanointerfaces.

ARTICLE

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and associated selected area electron diffraction (SAED) have shown potential to study ice crystal phases. Hexagonal and cubic ice crystal phases at distinct cryogenic temperatures were evaluated using lowmagnification TEM and SAED techniques.<sup>16, 17</sup> Kouchi *et al.*<sup>18</sup> used TEM to demonstrate substratedependent ice nucleation and crystallization from water vapor deposition at 130 K. Recently, Kato *et al.*<sup>19</sup> reported a strategy to maintain ultrathin ice between bilayer graphene using a cryo-TEM approach.

In addition to conventional TEM techniques, in-situ liquid TEM is an emerging approach that has gained traction in the scientific community to study the ice crystallization process. For real-time highresolution TEM studies, in-situ graphene liquid cell (GLC) TEM has exhibited tremendous potential. It is possible to acquire atomic resolution imaging with higher signal-to-noise ratio in GLC, while mitigating the electron-beam-induced charging effects.<sup>20</sup> The properties of graphene attributing to electrical conductivity, high yield strength, electron transparency, flexibility, and impermeability gives it unique advantages for TEM studies.<sup>21</sup> Graphene monolayers in in-situ GLC-TEM can maintain the aqueous environment for dynamic event observations at the nanoscale. <sup>22, 23</sup> Algara-Siller et al.<sup>24</sup> evaluated the square ice crystal phase formed under pressure in graphene nanocapillaries via insitu liquid TEM, although some doubts were casted on the results.<sup>25, 26</sup> Despite the recent advances in in-situ TEM, studying ice nucleation and growth remains a grand challenge due to intense radiolysis associated with the interaction between the electron beam and water molecules.23, 27 In our earlier work<sup>28</sup>, electron energy using loss spectroscopy (EELS) and GLC-TEM, we demonstrated the change in hydrogen bonding distances in water molecules (resembling ice-like organization of water molecules) confined in graphene nanocapillaries at cryogenic temperatures.

In the present study, we report that radical scavengers -such as titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>)- can be effective in mitigating radiolysis, while enabling studies of ice crystal nucleation at the nanointerface of water and metal nanoparticles in a cold-stage TEM holder maintained at cryogenic temperatures. Atomic resolution imaging reveals nucleation and growth of cubic-phase ice crystals at the surface of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis confirms the predominance of characteristic (220) lattice planes of cubic phase ice crystals affiliated with 2.2 Å dspacings. The atomic resolution in-situ liquid TEM results also provide insights on electron beaminduced dissolution of ice crystals. The key dynamic events of cubic-phase single ice crystal nucleation and growth and ice-crystal growth via oriented attachment of multiple crystallites along  $(2\overline{2}0)$ active facets are evaluated in detail. The present work demonstrates that the use of radical scavengers can be effective for in-situ TEM studies of ice nucleation and growth dynamics that are important for climate change, agriculture and microbial ecology, as well as industrial cooling technologies.

## **Results and discussion**

**Figure 1** presents the overall approach of the present study which focuses on ice crystal growth in GLC-TEM. **Figure 1a** is a schematic representation of ice crystal nucleation and growth events in the presence of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles encapsulated in GLC nano-vessels at cryogenic temperatures. To study the ice nucleation with TEM resolution, a cold-stage TEM holder was utilized to achieve low temperatures.



Figure 1. Ice crystal growth in GLC at cryogenic temperatures. (a) Schematic representation of ice crystal (in purple) nucleation and growth events in the presence of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles (in yellow). (b)  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles in GLC aqueous environment at room temperature, along with FFT analysis confirming the tetragonal crystal structure of anatase  $TiO_2$  along the [010] zone axis. (c) Ice crystal growth at the  $TiO_2$ -water nanointerface along with FFT pattern confirming the cubic phase of ice crystals along the [001] zone axis. The  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles, ice, and water have been false-colored in gold, purple, and cyan, respectively, for better visualization.

TiO<sub>2</sub> was selected as a strong radical scavenger additive and its usefulness in the study of heterogeneous ice nucleation on metal oxide interfaces. In other recent work, radical scavenging of Ta-TiO<sub>x</sub> nanoparticles was demonstrated.<sup>29</sup> Carey et al.<sup>30</sup> reported that anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> can trap electrons at low coordinated surface Ti cation sites at the bottom of their conduction band. Additionally, active sites on a Ti surface can adsorb and neutralize reaction of radicals.<sup>31</sup> Additionally, graphene possesses inherent radical scavenging capability, where sp<sup>2</sup> carbon sites play a crucial role.<sup>32, 33</sup> Radical species form adducts with sp<sup>2</sup> carbon sites of graphene by delocalizing electron spin via electron transfer, which further neutralizes radical species.<sup>33, 34</sup> Results indicate that TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles encapsulated in GLC-contained aqueous media may play a major role in reducing electron-beam-induced damage.

Figure 1b shows a TEM micrograph of TiO<sub>2</sub>water interface in the GLC when the TEM holder was at room temperature (25°C) prior to cooling. The corresponding Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysis confirms the tetragonal crystal structure of the anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. At 25°C, ice crystals were not present at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water interface in the GLC. The TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle/water interface at 25°C can be observed in Video S1. Figure 1c presents a TEM micrograph of ice crystals nucleated at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water interface and at nearby locations in the water bulk. The corresponding FFT pattern in Fig. 1c confirms the cubic phase of heterogeneously grown ice crystals with prominent {220} family of lattice planes having 2.2 Å d-spacing. The homogeneously grown ice crystals are marked with the white arrows. Video S2 shows multiple homogeneously grown ice crystals observed in the vicinity of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Interestingly, both the heterogeneously and homogeneously grown ice crystals were consistent with the cubic phase. The

### ARTICLE

local cryogenic temperature conditions and the pressure inside the GLC are possibly the driving factors for maintaining the cubic phase of ice crystals.<sup>17</sup> The detailed ice nucleation dynamic events are discussed further in the following sections.

In the environment, ice nucleation is predominantly occurring by heterogeneous pathways, where active sites on ice nucleating particles play a crucial role in ice nucleation.<sup>11</sup> Kislev *et al.*<sup>11</sup> showed that high free surface energy is an additional factor in promoting heterogeneous ice nucleation. Moreover, intrinsic factors, such as surface morphological irregularities, surface defects increasing localized density of adsorbed water molecules, surface hydroxyl groups improving the binding affinity of water molecules, and crystal lattice match promoting epitaxial growth of ice nuclei, drive heterogeneous ice nucleation.35-39

Figure 2 shows time-sequenced TEM falsecoloured micrographs of real-time heterogeneous nucleation and ice crystal growth at a TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface when the GLC was at cryogenic temperature. These TEM micrographs were acquired from Video S3. As acquired corresponding TEM micrographs can be referred in Figure S1. In Figure 2a, the progression of nucleation and growth of a single ice crystal at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water interface can be observed. The corresponding FFT analyses from the highlighted regions are presented in Figure 2b. At 0.25 s, there are no ice nuclei present. The corresponding amorphous central region can be observed in the FFT pattern, where bright spots representing crystal lattice planes are absent. From 1s to 23 s, nucleation and stable growth of an ice crystal can be observed. The corresponding FFT pattern confirmed that the cubic ice phase was maintained throughout the progression. Ice crystal

growth was observed along the  $[2\overline{2}0]$  direction with the [001] zone axis. Considering the cubic phase of observed ice crystals, along all three [001], [100], and [010] zone axes lattice spacings and atomic positions of oxygen atoms will remain maintained. The {220} family of planes corresponding to 2.2 Å dspacing was consistently present. At an instance of 10 s, a momentary detachment of ice of crystal basal facet from TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface can be observed. However, an ice crystal basal facet could re-establish a stable contact with TiO<sub>2</sub> surface as can be observed in TEM micrograph at 16 s. The formation of ice crystals is possibly the result of balance between water molecules ordering versus etching due to radicals. Under this specific time frame (10 s), the rate of radicals generation locally could have been higher resulting in local etching of the ice crystal at basal facet. This momentary detachment and reorientation ice crystal during nucleation and growth dynamic events at TiO<sub>2</sub>water nanointerface can be interesting to be evaluated at molecular level with theoretical models. Additionally, to confirm the absence of a contaminant, in-situ GLC STEM-EELS was utilized to probe the energy range from 140 eV to 730 eV. The STEM-EELS high-energy loss spectrum (Figure S2) confirmed the presence of oxygen (associated with water) and carbon K-edges (associated with graphene) at 532 eV and 284 eV, respectively. The absence of a chlorine L-edge at 200 eV suggests the lack of chloride salts in water. The corresponding STEM-EELS elemental mapping confirmed the presence of water in the graphene nanocapillaries.

The ice crystals growth can predominantly occur following two different processes, viz., island growth and layer-by-layer growth. In liquid water, molecular by molecule island growth of ice crystal on a basal interface is primarily associated with the growth of hexagonal phase of ice.<sup>40</sup> The island motif is formed by three water molecules among which,

the first and third water molecules bond with basal interface of the ice crystal structure. The second water molecule does not directly bond with basal interface. In a defect free ice crystal growth, the island motif contains four satisfied bonds with ice crystals and an additional four unsatisfied bonds at interface. As the island continues to grow, three additional water molecules bond with four unsatisfied bonds. The number of unsatisfied bonds of island remain constant during the ice crystal growth process. Davies et al.41 reported that surface hydroxyl groups (OH - OH) separation with radial distribution function of a substrate in the region of 2.5 to 2.7 Å and/or 4.3 to 4.7 Å and watersubstrate bond length between 2.6 to 3.0 Å can predominantly promote cubic phase of ice crystals. Thürmer and Nei reported the atomic level model of layer-by-layer growth of cubic phase ice crystals.<sup>42</sup> In the corrugated honeycomb like bilayers structure of cubic ice phase, oxygen atoms connect via hydrogen bonds. In these bilayers, each higher lying oxygen atom binds with two lower lying oxygen atoms via hydrogen bonds by forming a stacking triangle. The single-phase ice cubic lattice grows seamlessly if all bilayers are identical and respective stacking tringles point in the same direction.

Layer-by-layer growth occurs when water molecules continuously attach to the surface of the existing crystal in a structured and ordered manner, forming a smooth and continuous layer of ice. This process results in relatively uniform crystal structures with few defects or irregularities. On the other hand, island growth occurs when small clusters of water molecules first attach to the surface of the existing crystal in a more random and disordered fashion, forming small islands or patches of ice. These islands then continue to grow by attracting and attaching additional water molecules until they eventually merge and form a larger crystal structure. This process can result in more irregular and rough surfaces with more defects and imperfections compared to layer-bylayer growth. In the present work, we did not observe any traces of hexagonal phase of ice crystals. FFT analysis of the growing ice crystals at atomic resolution confirmed the predominant presence of cubic phase of ice. During the growth events of cubic phase ice crystals, we did not observe any noticeable defects formation or the presence of irregularities. The growth of cubic phase ice crystals and corresponding FFT patterns in the reciprocal space suggest following a layer-bylayer ice crystal growth mechanism. However, there exists a possibility of a stacking disorder in cubic ice crystals. Lupi et al.43 demonstrated the seamless stacking of cubic and hexagonal crystal phases using molecular dynamics simulations. Cubic ice crystal phases are likely to undergo stacking disorder with hexagonal ice layers, resulting in the growth of ice nuclei by stacking simultaneously in multiple directions.<sup>14, 43</sup> In the present study, due to the experimentation limitations, only the bright spots associated with the cubic phase of ice in the FFT patterns were resolved. As revealed by FFT pattern analysis, no prominent sub-nanometer scale hexagonal stacking disorder was observed in this sample. Figure S3 shows an instance, where (004) lattice plane of cubic phase ice crystal with 1.6 Å d-spacing was observed at ( $\overline{2}00$ ) facet of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> crystal with 2.3 Å d-spacing. Interesting, it can be noted that (004) ice crystal lattice plane was perfectly oriented along the nano-interface of  $(\overline{2}00)$  facet of TiO<sub>2</sub> crystal regardless of lattice spacing differences.



Figure 2. In-situ liquid TEM heterogeneous ice nucleation at a  $TiO_2$ -water nanointerface within a GLC on a TEM holder at cryogenic temperatures. (a) Timesequenced TEM micrographs indicate single ice crystal nucleation and growth events. (b) FFT analysis at each instance confirms the cubic phase of ice.  $TiO_2$ nanoparticles, ice, and water have been false-colored in gold, purple, and cyan, respectively, for better visualization.

Video S4 shows ice crystal growth by oriented attachment of ice nuclei at low temperatures. Ice surface attachment kinetics are important in the understanding of the interfacial dynamics processes, which define how free water molecules attach to the ice surface lattice.<sup>44</sup> During ice crystal growth, the latent heat of fusion affects the crystal surface temperature, while its diffusion limits the crystal growth.<sup>45</sup> Cubic ice phase is a wellknown metastable phase, which can easily transition to a hexagonal stable phase by increasing temperature above -123°C.46 According to a TEM SAED study, the cubic phase of ice can be found within the temperature range -130°C to -160°C, whereas the stable hexagonal ice phase is formed between -90°C and -100°C.17 Shilling et al. showed that metastable cubic phase of ice exists at a 10% higher vapor pressure than hexagonal ice phase.<sup>47</sup> Figure 3 shows time-sequenced TEM false-coloured micrographs of oriented attachment and growth of ice crystals nuclei close to the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water interface.

As acquired TEM corresponding TEM micrographs can be referred in Figure S4. Recently, Zhang et al.48 showed oriented growth of single ice crystal lamellar platelets in polymeric aqueous solutions, where freezing parameters controlling the velocity of crystal growth were discussed. The present work emphasizes the behaviour of multiple nanoscale ice nuclei and ice crystal growth by an oriented attachment mechanism. Figure 3a shows falsecoloured TEM micrographs of the progression of ice crystal growth by oriented attachment. Figure 3b and Figure 3c show the corresponding FFT analyses from regions R1 and R2, respectively. Region R2 highlights the homogeneously grown ice crystal nucleus. FFT analysis confirms that all ice crystals underwent a metastable cubic phase. Both the heterogeneously and homogeneously grown ice crystals were observed to have a dominant crystal growth by oriented attachment. In region R1 at the



Figure 3. Ice crystal growth via oriented attachment in proximity to the  $TiO_2$ -water interface in GLC at cryogenic temperatures. (a) Time-sequenced TEM micrographs indicating multiple ice nuclei growing via oriented attachment.  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles, ice, and water have been false-colored in gold, purple, and cyan, respectively, for better visualization. Region 1 (R1) shows ice crystal growth from oriented attachment of the existing nuclei. Region 2 (R2) indicates the progression from ice crystal nucleation to growth. (b) FFT analysis of ice crystals from R1, confirming the stability of cubic phase of ice nuclei over the period. (c) FFT analysis of ice crystals from R2, confirming the stability of cubic phase of ice nuclei over the period.

TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface, ice crystals undergo attachment and growth events by maintaining the crystal zone axis along the [001] direction. In region R1, from 9s to 49s two adjoining ice crystals merge along the (220) facets. In region R2, no ice crystal nucleus was observed in the imaging focal plane at 9s. From 36s to 49s, preferential growth of ice crystals was observed. At 88s, both the homogeneously and heterogeneously nucleated ice crystals underwent coalescence to form a larger ice crystal. While slight reorientation of the ice nuclei appeared in region R2 at 88s, eventually the nuclei reach a stable oriented attachment at the TiO<sub>2</sub>water nanointerface. This observation of ice crystal growth by oriented attachment at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water

nanointerface suggests the presence of Van der Waals or hydrogen bonding interactions between ice crystals.<sup>49</sup> Pedersen et al.<sup>50</sup> studied the interfaces between the two ice crystals using environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM). Their results confirmed that the attachment of facets of two ice crystals produced grain boundaries at interface. The contact between the two facets of ice crystals can grow with grain boundaries due to surface transitions. As observed in Figure 3c, a slight rotational movement during oriented attachments of ice crystals could possibly be due to the disorder of surface molecules.<sup>50</sup> Nevertheless, the key events of such interactions need further investigation to be fully elucidated.

### ARTICLE

The effectiveness of radical scavenging properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> is demonstrated in Figure 4. Video S5 shows the electron beam-induced dissolution events of ice crystals at distances away from the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle surface. The timesequenced TEM micrographs in Figure 4 represent the electron beam-induced degeneration events of ice crystals after 45 minutes of beam exposure. During in-situ GLC-TEM, after prolonged exposure to an electron beam, radiolysis by-products such as hydrated electrons (e<sup>-</sup>), hydrated protons (H<sup>+</sup>), hydroxyl radicals (OH), and hydrogen peroxide

(H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) can be produced.<sup>23</sup> We expect that under extended period of electron beam radiation, the concentration of generated radicals exceeds the ones deactivated by TiO<sub>2</sub> substrate leading to ice crystal dissolution. Xu *et al.*<sup>51</sup> showed that the two major mechanisms, namely, electron beaminduced local heating and movement of water molecules can cause melting of ice crystals. McMullan *et al.*<sup>52</sup> reported that for every incident electron (e<sup>-</sup>/Å<sup>2</sup>) water molecules can be displaced by 1 Å. In **Figure 4a**, TEM time-sequenced



Figure 4. Ice crystal dissolution events at the  $TiO_2$ -water nanointerface and away from the nanointerface in GLC, observed after 45 minutes of electron beam exposure at cryogenic temperatures. (a) Time-sequenced TEM micrographs of ice crystal dissolution away from the  $TiO_2$  nanoparticle interface, confirming the gradual reduction in crystal size. (b) Corresponding FFT analysis from highlighted region shows the diffused cubic phase ice crystal planes. (c) Time-sequenced TEM micrographs of an ice crystal formed at the  $TiO_2$  nanoparticle surface, confirming the ice crystal's stability. (d) Corresponding FFT analysis from white-

boxed region shows the stable cubic phase ice crystal. TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, ice, and water have been false-colored in gold, purple, and cyan, respectively, for better visualization in (a) and (c).

micrographs of an ice crystal dissolution in a GLC aqueous environment are represented. One can observe that the ice crystal size was significantly reduced after 24 s. The corresponding FFT reciprocal space analysis is shown in Figure 4b, where reduced intensity of FFT spots indicating lattice planes can be observed. Figure 4c represents the electron beam effect on an ice crystal formed at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface. As acquired corresponding TEM micrographs can be observed in Figure S5. Within the same time frame of 24 s, the ice crystal did not undergo dynamic dissolution. However, the noticeable ice crystal shape change can be observed possibly due to the morphological changes that appeared during a sliding movement of ice crystal at TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface. A shape evolution is likely to be due to local imbalance between radical formation and ice crystallization. One would expect that this shape-changing effect to be more pronounced at the surface of ice crystals due to the presence of radicals. Even with a noticeable shape change, the stable cubic phase of ice crystal was maintained as shown in the corresponding FFT patterns in Figure 4d. The results indicate that the (220) family of lattice planes along the [001] zone axis was present consistently. Video S6 shows the stable crystal at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface after prolonged exposure to the electron beam. The enhanced stability of the ice crystals at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface points to the scavenging effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles.<sup>29</sup> Figure **S6** shows the TEM micrograph acquired after 300 s of electron beam-off condition. The results indicate that the formation of cubic phase ice crystals in the vicinity of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles was consistent even in the absence of electron beam. Video S7 shows there were no ice crystals observed at gold (Au) nanoparticle-water nanointerface under the same cryogenic temperature conditions in the GLC.

**Figure S7** in the supporting information shows time-sequenced TEM micrographs of Au nanoparticles in the GLC aqueous environment and reveals the absence of ice crystals. The present results indicate that the efficient scavenging ability and hydrophilic nature of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles under the electron beam in comparison to the Au nanoparticles, with the former possibly promoting stable nucleation and growth of ice crystals.

Dynamic processes associated with ice crystals melting govern a wide range of phenomena in nature and in our day-to-day life. Three primary mechanisms of ice melting associated with the global warming include reduced water heat capacity by solutes, strain heating, and granular basal sliding.<sup>53</sup> The surface properties of ice and the first order phase transitions are determined by the quasi-liquid layers (QLLs) that appear at temperatures below the ice melting point.<sup>54, 55</sup> The QLLs play an important role in cryo-preservation, regelation, slipperiness, recrystallization and grains, coarsening of ice glaciology, and atmospheric science.<sup>55</sup> A variety of experimental techniques have been utilized to investigate the ice surface melting layers, including atomic force photoelectron microscopy, spectroscopy, ellipsometry, etc.<sup>56-58</sup> The present study illustrates the electron beam-induced nanoscale melting dynamics of ice crystal nuclei. These direct observations that confirm the stability of ice crystal nuclei at the radical scavenger TiO<sub>2</sub> and water nanointerface may also be used to devise novel strategies to control ice melting. Understanding the growth of ice crystals is important in many fields, including materials science, atmospheric science, and cryobiology, among others. We need to continue to study the factors that influence ice crystal growth and the resulting crystal structures in

order to better predict and control these processes **Re** for various applications. 1.

## Conclusions

The present work demonstrated the potential of radical scavengers for in-situ GLC-TEM studies of ice crystal formation and growth. Specifically, the work explored real-time nucleation of ice crystals in the presence of radical-scavenging TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles immersed in water at cryogenic temperatures. Interestingly, both heterogeneously and homogeneously grown ice crystals showcased cubic crystal structure. The cubic ice crystal phase was consistently observed during nucleation and growth events, where {220} family of lattice planes corresponding to 2.2 Å d-spacings were present. The heterogeneous ice nucleation at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface was observed to grow along the  $[2\overline{2}]$ **0**] direction while maintaining the [001] zone axis. The present results show that ice crystals predominantly grow via an oriented attachment mechanism, suggesting the presence of Van der Waals or hydrogen bonding interactions between ice crystals. Under prolonged exposure to electron homogeneously formed ice beam, crystals eventually dissolve, although ice crystals at the TiO<sub>2</sub>-water nanointerface remained stable.

## **Author Contributions**

R.S.Y., T.S. and C.M.M. conceptualized and supervised the study. A.H.P. performed in-situ GLC TEM experiments and analysed the results. A.H.P. and R.S.Y. wrote the manuscript. All authors proofread, commented on, and approved the final manuscript for submission.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The present work made use of instruments in the Electron Microscopy Core of the Research Resources Centre at The University of Illinois Chicago. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants DMR-1809439 to R. Shahbazian-Yassar, CBET-1805753 to C. Megaridis and DMR-1710049 to T. Shokuhfar.

## References

- . S. G. Cober, G. A. Isaac and J. W. Strapp, *Journal* of *Applied Meteorology*, 2001, **40**, 1984-2002.
- P. J. DeMott, D. C. Rogers and S. M. Kreidenweis, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 1997, **102**, 19575-19584.
- C. A. Knight, A. L. De Vries and L. D. Oolman, *Nature*, 1984, **308**, 295-296.
- C. E. Morris, D. G. Georgakopoulos and D. C. Sands, *Journal de Physique IV (Proceedings)*, 2004, **121**, 87-103.
- 5. M. A. Freedman, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 2015, **6**, 3850-3858.
- 6. N. Eltouny and P. A. Ariya, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2013, **1527**, 930-932.
- B. Murray, D. O'sullivan, J. Atkinson and M. Webb, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2012, 41, 6519-6554.
- R. Souda, T. Aizawa, N. Sugiyama and M. Takeguchi, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2020, **22**, 20515-20523.
- M. A. Henderson, Surface Science Reports, 2002, 46, 1-308.
- 10. K. Onda, B. Li, J. Zhao, K. D. Jordan, J. Yang and H. Petek, *Science*, 2005, **308**, 1154-1158.
- A. Kiselev, F. Bachmann, P. Pedevilla, S. J. Cox, A. Michaelides, D. Gerthsen and T. Leisner, *Science*, 2017, **355**, 367-371.
- 12. L. Jia, W. Cui, Y. Chen, Y. Li and J. Li, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 2018, **120**, 909-913.
- S. J. Cox, S. M. Kathmann, B. Slater and A. Michaelides, *The Journal of chemical physics*, 2015, **142**, 184704.
- 14. L. Lupi, B. Peters and V. Molinero, *The Journal* of chemical physics, 2016, **145**, 211910.
- 15. G. C. Sosso, T. Li, D. Donadio, G. A. Tribello and A. Michaelides, *The journal of physical chemistry letters*, 2016, **7**, 2350-2355.
- A. Falls, S. Wellinghoff, Y. Talmon and E. Thomas, *Journal of materials science*, 1983, 18, 2752-2764.
- 17. M. Kumai, *Journal of Glaciology*, 1968, **7**, 95-108.
- A. Kouchi, M. Tsuge, T. Hama, Y. Oba, S.
   Okuzumi, S.-i. Sirono, M. Momose, N. Nakatani,
   K. Furuya and T. Shimonishi, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 2021, **918**, 45.
- 19. R. Kato, Y. Hatano, N. Kasahata, C. Sato, K.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Suenaga and M. Hasegawa, *Carbon*, 2020, **160**, 107-112.

- 20. S. M. Ghodsi, C. M. Megaridis, R. Shahbazian-Yassar and T. Shokuhfar, *Small Methods*, 2019, **3**, 1900026.
- N. Mohanty, M. Fahrenholtz, A. Nagaraja, D. Boyle and V. Berry, *Nano letters*, 2011, **11**, 1270-1275.
- E. Firlar, M. Ouy, A. Bogdanowicz, L. Covnot, B. Song, Y. Nadkarni, R. Shahbazian-Yassar and T. Shokuhfar, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 698-705.
- 23. C. Wang, T. Shokuhfar and R. F. Klie, *Advanced Materials*, 2016, **28**, 7716-7722.
- 24. G. Algara-Siller, O. Lehtinen, F. Wang, R. R. Nair, U. Kaiser, H. Wu, A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, *Nature*, 2015, **519**, 443-445.
- 25. F. Wang, H. Wu and A. Geim, *Nature*, 2015, **528**, E3-E3.
- 26. W. Zhou, K. Yin, C. Wang, Y. Zhang, T. Xu, A. Borisevich, L. Sun, J. C. Idrobo, M. F. Chisholm and S. T. Pantelides, *Nature*, 2015, **528**, E1-E2.
- N. M. Schneider, M. M. Norton, B. J. Mendel, J. M. Grogan, F. M. Ross and H. H. Bau, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2014, **118**, 22373-22382.
- S. M. Ghodsi, S. Anand, R. Shahbazian-Yassar, T. Shokuhfar and C. M. Megaridis, ACS nano, 2019, 13, 4677-4685.
- 29. H. Xie, X. Xie, G. Hu, V. Prabhakaran, S. Saha, L. Gonzalez-Lopez, A. H. Phakatkar, M. Hong, M. Wu and R. Shahbazian-Yassar, *Nature Energy*, 2022, 7, 281-289.
- 30. J. J. Carey and K. P. McKenna, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2019, **123**, 22358-22367.
- M. Canillas, E. Chinarro, M. Freitas, A. P. Pêgo and B. Moreno, *Journal of Catalysis*, 2020, **381**, 186-192.
- 32. H. Cho, M. R. Jones, S. C. Nguyen, M. R. Hauwiller, A. Zettl and A. P. Alivisatos, *Nano letters*, 2017, **17**, 414-420.
- Y. Qiu, Z. Wang, A. C. Owens, I. Kulaots, Y.
   Chen, A. B. Kane and R. H. Hurt, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 11744-11755.
- 34. Y. Morita, S. Suzuki, K. Sato and T. Takui, *Nature chemistry*, 2011, **3**, 197-204.
- 35. N. Cho and J. Hallett, *Journal of crystal growth*, 1984, **69**, 317-324.
- 36. T. Croteau, A. Bertram and G. Patey, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 2008, **112**, 10708-10712.

- M. Fitzner, G. C. Sosso, S. J. Cox and A. Michaelides, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2015, **137**, 13658-13669.
- J. Hallett, Journal of Atmospheric Research 1972, 6, 223-236.
- 39. C. Marcolli, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 2014, **14**, 2071-2104.
- 40. C. Knight, *Crystal Growth & Design*, 2019, **20**, 580-589.
- 41. M. B. Davies, M. Fitzner and A. Michaelides, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2021, **118**, e2025245118.
- 42. K. Thürmer and S. Nie, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2013, **110**, 11757-11762.
- L. Lupi, A. Hudait, B. Peters, M. Grünwald, R. Gotchy Mullen, A. H. Nguyen and V. Molinero, *Nature*, 2017, 551, 218-222.
- 44. K. G. Libbrecht, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res*, 2017, **47**, 271-295.
- 45. A. S. Michaels, P. Brian and P. R. Sperry, *Journal* of *Applied Physics*, 1966, **37**, 4649-4661.
- 46. T. Hansen, M. Koza, P. Lindner and W. Kuhs, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 2008, **20**, 285105.
- 47. J. Shilling, M. Tolbert, O. Toon, E. Jensen, B. J. Murray and A. K. Bertram, *Geophysical research letters*, 2006, **33**.
- 48. T. Zhang, L. Wang, Z. Wang, J. Li and J. Wang, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2021, **125**, 970-979.
- 49. X. Xue, R. L. Penn, E. R. Leite, F. Huang and Z. Lin, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 1419-1429.
- 50. C. Pedersen, A. Mihranyan and M. Strømme, *PLoS one*, 2011, **6**, e24373.
- 51. H. Xu, J. Ångström, T. Eklund and K. Amann-Winkel, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2020, **124**, 9283-9288.
- 52. G. McMullan, K. Vinothkumar and R. Henderson, *Ultramicroscopy*, 2015, **158**, 26-32.
- 53. D. Ren and L. M. Leslie, *Journal of Glaciology*, 2011, **57**, 1057-1066.
- 54. Y. Li and G. A. Somorjai, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2007, **111**, 9631-9637.
- 55. G. Sazaki, S. Zepeda, S. Nakatsubo, M. Yokomine and Y. Furukawa, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2012, **109**, 1052-1055.
- 56. H. Bluhm, D. F. Ogletree, C. S. Fadley, Z.
  Hussain and M. Salmeron, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 2002, 14, L227.

- 57. A. Döppenschmidt and H.-J. Butt, *Langmuir*, 2000, **16**, 6709-6714.
- 58. Y. Furukawa, M. Yamamoto and T. Kuroda, Journal of crystal growth, 1987, **82**, 665-677.