

Prediction of organic groundwater contaminants degradation during medium pressure UV/NO3- treatment

Journal:	Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology
Manuscript ID	EW-ART-02-2023-000102.R2
Article Type:	Paper



Water Impact Statement

Groundwater contamination pose a global concern to drinking water quality. An attractive treatment alternative is the combination of UV light and indigenous NO_3^- , which generates radicals and act as a chemical-free advanced oxidation. Here we demonstrate UV/NO_3^- degradation of important groundwater contaminants, using a medium pressure Hg lamp. Furthermore, we present simple metrics to predict the UV/NO_3^- degradability of contaminants.

Prediction of organic groundwater contaminants degradation during medium 1 2 pressure UV/NO₃⁻ treatment 3 Lidori Edri^a, Karl G Linden^b, Nadeem Ibrahim^a, Dror Avisar^c, Aviv Kaplan^c, Sarah Hayoune^a, 4 Yaal Lester^{a*} 5 6 ^a Environmental Technologies, Department of Materials Engineering, Azrieli College of 7 Engineering, Jerusalem 9103501, Israel 8 ^b Department of Civil, Environmental, and Architectural Engineering, University of Colorado 9 Boulder, Boulder, Colorado 80303, United States 10 11 ^c The Water Research Center, Porter School for Environment and Earth Sciences, Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel; avivkaplan@tauex.tau.ac.il 12 13

14 Abstract

Irradiation of nitrate (NO₃⁻) with UVC light below 240 nm generates photo-sensitized oxidants, 15 such as hydroxyl radicals (•OH) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS). Hence, the combination 16 UV/NO_3^{-} can be regarded as an advanced oxidation treatment of wastewater and groundwater, 17 using indigenous NO_3^- to promote radicals and degrade contaminants. The present study 18 demonstrates UV/NO₃⁻ degradation of important groundwater contaminants, using a 19 polychromatic medium pressure Hg lamp. Compounds were divided into groups, based on their 20 UV/NO₃⁻ degradation kinetics and photochemical parameters: Photo-reactive and photo-stable, 21 22 and slow and fast reaction with radicals. Two metrics were proposed to determine the photosensitivity of a contaminant: fluence-based rate constants ($k_{\rm HV}$, cm²/mJ) and the product of 23

molar absorption coefficient around 223 nm and photolysis quantum yield ($\varepsilon_{223} \times \Phi$), with 24 thresholds separating low and high values of 2 $\times 10^{-4}$ cm²/mJ and 4 l/cm/E respectively. Radicals 25 reactivity was determined using $k_{\text{-OH,C}}$, with $1 \times 10^9 \,\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ as the cutoff between slow to fast reacting 26 contaminants. NO₃⁻ at concentrations \leq 5 mg/L - N enhanced UV degradation of photo-stable 27 28 compounds with fast •OH reaction, due to NO₃⁻ dominant role as radicals' promoter. At higher NO₃⁻ concentrations, degradation rate stabilized or even decreased, due to the formation of NO₂⁻, 29 an •OH scavenger. For compounds with low •OH reaction, the presence of NO_3^{-1} (up to 15 mg/L-30 31 N) either slowed their degradation rate or did not affect their UV degradation. Only contaminants 32 with a high range of reactivity will be significantly degraded by UV/NO₃⁻, without generating levels of NO₂⁻ above regulatory thresholds. These include contaminants with $k_{-OH,C} > 8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ 33 ¹ and contaminants with $k_{-OHC} > 1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{UV} > 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{mJ}$ or $\epsilon_{223} \times \Phi > 10 \text{ l/cm/E}$. 34 A simplified decision tree was proposed to predict the degradability of a contaminant during 35 UV/NO_3 groundwater treatment. 36

37

Keywords: Advanced oxidation, nitrate, groundwater, medium pressure, UV treatment
 39

40 **INTRODUCTION**

Photosensitization of nitrate (NO₃⁻) by UV and solar light generates hydroxyl radicals (•OH), reactive nitrogen species – RNS (e.g nitrogen dioxide •NO₂) and other intermediates (1–4). These oxidants may react with organic compounds in water, contributing to their degradation and lifetime in the environment (3). In addition, the combination of UV light and NO₃⁻ can be regarded as an advanced oxidation process (AOP), degrading organic contaminants during water and wastewater treatments (5). In this case, water containing indigenous NO₃⁻ and organic contaminants is treated 47 with UV light, at wavelengths overlapping NO₃⁻ absorption peak (principally λ < 240 nm), using 48 for example polychromatic medium pressure (MP) mercury vapor lamp or KrCl* excimer lamp at 49 222 nm (6), and the photo-produced oxidants degrade any target contaminants.

The combination UV/NO_3^{-1} was first proposed as a wastewater treatment in 2012 by Linden 50 and his coworkers (5), which showed that irradiation of NO_3^- (> 5 mg/L-N) with an MPUV lamp 51 generates similar •OH concentration as irradiating 10 mg/L H₂O₂ (the well-known UV/H₂O₂). 52 Their results were later validated by Lester et al. (7), using a pilot-scale wastewater treatment 53 system. In this case, degradation rates of different contaminants by MPUV/NO₃⁻ (using native NO₃⁻ 54) were comparable to their degradation by LPUV/H₂O₂. More recently, Lester and his group 55 demonstrated the potential of MPUV/NO₃⁻ to degrade 1,4-dioxane, a notorious groundwater 56 contaminant, frequently detected in sites impacted by industrial wastewater (8). 57

The use of UV-based AOPs for treating groundwater contaminated with organic chemicals 58 has increased over the last decade. Specifically, UV/H₂O₂ is currently applied in numerous 59 60 groundwater remediation sites in the US and elsewhere (9–11), mostly for degrading 1,4-dioxane and chlorinated solvents. These proven carcinogenic (or probable carcinogenic) chemicals are 61 62 considered highly challenging groundwater contaminants, and are a main cause for the closure of 63 water-supply wells all over the world (12–16). In the US for example, the third round of the 64 Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR3) detected 1,4-dioxane in approximately 20% of public water systems, which ranked it second among the 28 tested contaminants. In addition, 65 1,4-dioxane often co-occurred with other chlorinated solvents (17,18). 66

In the context of UV-AOP application, UV/NO_3^- may present an attractive alternative to UV/H₂O₂, since it makes use of indigenous NO₃⁻ as a radicals' sensitizer, eliminating the need for the expensive hydrogen peroxide. In addition, NO₃⁻ is frequently detected in groundwater wells,

as a result of intense agricultural activity, often in parallel to organic contaminants (19–22). An important drawback of UV/NO₃⁻ is the *in-situ* generation of nitrite (NO₂⁻), a harmful by-product of NO₃⁻ photolysis (3,23). However, previous work showed that concentration of NO₂⁻ reaches important levels only at high NO₃⁻ concentrations and extreme UV dose (1), and that it can be controlled through the addition of sulphite and the production of reducing radicals (8).

Kinetic models for contaminants degradation by UV/H₂O₂ have been developed for the 75 vast majority of compounds. These models mostly use the compounds' photochemical properties, 76 background water quality and technical parameters of the UV system, such as H₂O₂ concentration. 77 78 Alternatively, studies applied bulk parameters such as specific UV absorbance (SUVA) (24), or specific indicators such as sucralose (7), for predicting UV/H₂O₂ effectiveness. Developing 79 analogous indicators for UV/NO_3^{-1} on the other hand was never published, specifically for treating 80 groundwater contaminants. The goals of this study were to (i) determine parameters affecting 81 UV/NO₃⁻ degradation kinetics of different organic groundwater contaminants, based on their 82 degradation behavior, and (ii) identify a set of metrics and algorithm for predicting the 83 effectiveness of UV/NO_3^{-} as a groundwater treatment, based on the photochemical properties of a 84 contaminant. 85

86 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. Ten groundwater contaminants were selected for the study, based on their environmental relevance and photochemical properties (Table 1). Seven chlorinated solvents: Dichloromethane (DCM), 1,2 dichloroethane (DCA), trichloroethene (TCE), perchloroethylene (PCE), 1,1 dichloroethene (DCE), 1,2 dichlorobenzene (DCB) and 1,2,4 trichlorobenzene (TCB); one fuel additive (MTBE), one endocrine disruption compound (Bisphenol A - BPA) and one pesticide (isoproturon). In addition, 1,4 – dioxane and carbamazepine (CBZ) were used for validation, based on data published previously (5,8). All compounds were analytical grade, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Israel). Stock solutions were prepared separately in deionized water (resistance = $18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$) at concentrations according to the compound's solubility. Highperformance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) - grade solvents and chemicals (acetonitrile, methanol, formic acid, sodium hydroxide) were purchased from Bio-Lab Ltd. (Jerusalem, Israel). All chemicals were used as received.

Photochemical Experiments. UV experiments were performed in a temperature controlled (25°C) 99 2.5 L glass cylindrical batch reactor (8). The center of the reactor was occupied with a quartz 100 101 sleeve, housing a 100 W MP UV lamp (Ace-Hanovia, London, UK). Pathlength between quartz sleeve to reactor wall was approximately 3 cm, and the average fluence-rate inside the reactor was 102 measured as 3.4 mW/cm^2 , using nitrate actinometry adapted for 200 - 300 nm (25). In a typical 103 experiment, the UV lamp was first turned on for 30 mins for warmup, chemicals were then added 104 105 to the water and samples were withdrawn at predetermined intervals for analysis. Unless specified 106 otherwise, contaminants were tested separately at initial concentration of 1 mg/L, using phosphate buffer saline (10 mM at pH 7.5), and each irradiation experiment was repeated at least three times. 107

Analytical Methods. Detection of chlorinated solvents was done with an Agilent 7890 gas 108 109 chromatograph (GC), equipped with an electron capture detector (ECD) and a 624 UI 30m x 110 0.25mm, 1.40u column. Prior to GC analysis, samples underwent LLE extraction with n-pentane (EPA Method 551.1, with modifications). Total detection limit for chlorinated solvents (including 111 LLE and GC) was in the range of $5 - 50 \mu g/L$. 1,4-dioxane was analyzed by GC/FID, using DCM 112 113 for LLE extraction followed by nitrogen evaporation (26). MTBE was detected using a 6890/5973 GC/mass spectrometer (MS) instrument (Agilent). Here, water samples were introduced to the GC 114 using MPS 2 XL twister headspace (Gerstel, Mülheim, Germany) controlled by Maestro software 115

(v.1.4.11.7, Gerstel). Bisphenol A and carbamazepine were monitored with HPLC-DAD (Agilent
117 1100, XDB C18 column 4.6 ×150 mm). Molar absorption coefficients were measured using a
118 UV2600 Shimadzu spectrophotometer. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was measured using a
119 TOC-VSCH analyzer (Shimadzu Corp., Japan). Nitrate and nitrite were quantified by an ECO Ion
120 Chromatograph (Metrohm, Switzerland).

121 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

122 UV/NO_3 Degradation of different contaminants. Degradation of all tested compounds by 123 medium pressure UV/NO_3 followed pseudo-first order kinetics, characteristics of UV/AOPs (27). 124 An example for time-based degradation of three contaminants, exhibiting different degradation 125 behaviors, is presented in Figure 1. Addition of 5 mg/L-N NO_3 to UV degradation of DCM did 126 not affect its degradation rate (Figure 1a). On the other hand, addition of NO_3 to UV treatment of 127 PCE and BPA either decreased (for PCE) or increased (for BPA) the compound's degradation rate.



Figure 1. UV degradation of (a) DCM, (b) PCE and (c) BPA, in PBS, without NO₃⁻ and with at 5

 $132 mg/L-N NO_3^-$. Notice the different scales of the x-axis.

Three kinetic patterns were also observed when testing the degradation of contaminants at different 133 NO₃⁻ concentrations, up to 15 mg/L-N (Figure 2). For DCM, increasing NO₃⁻ concentration up to 134 15 mg/L-N did not change the degradation rate constant. For PCE, degradation rate decreased 135 rapidly with NO₃⁻ concentration up to 5 mg/L-N. Further increasing NO₃⁻ up to 15 mg/L-N had 136 little additional effect. For BPA, the opposite trend was observed, rapid increase in degradation 137 rate with NO_3^- concentration up to 5 mg/L-N, followed by a gradual decrease from 5 to 15 mg/L-138 N. These observed degradation behaviors can be explained by the compounds' different 139 photochemical properties, as elaborated in the next section. 140



141



Photochemical properties of the tested contaminants. Detailed mechanistic and kinetic models for UV/NO_3^- degradation of organic compounds were already described elsewhere (5,28–30). The purpose of this work was to develop simple metrics for predicting the degradability of groundwater 147 contaminants during treatment. For that, a simplified kinetic model was used, dividing degradation
148 into direct- and indirect photolysis, with the later accounting for reactions of contaminants with
149 key photo-sensitized oxidants: •OH and RNS.

¹⁵⁰
$$-\frac{d[C]}{dt} = k'_d[C] + \sum_i k_{i,C}[i][C]$$
 (1)

Here, k'_{d} is the pseudo first-order photolysis rate constant (1/s), $k_{i,,C}$ is the second-order reaction rate constant of the compound with reactive specie "i" (M⁻¹s⁻¹).

Direct photolysis depends on light availability (fluence rate inside the reactor; E^{P}_{avg} , E/s/cm²) and the photochemical properties of target contaminants, specifically: molar absorption spectrum (ϵ , M⁻¹cm⁻¹) and quantum yield across wavelengths (ϕ , mol/E). For UVMP, wavelength-dependent parameters are typically integrated between 200 – 300 nm (31). The factor '1000' in the equation below converts from cm³ to l.

158
$$k'_d = \Phi \sum_{\lambda} E^P_{avg}(\lambda) \varepsilon(\lambda) \times \ln(10) \times 1000$$
 (2)

Indirect photolysis is more complex, due to the numerous reaction pathways of participating reactive species. For example, at high concentration, photoproduced NO_2^- will react with •OH to produce nitrogen dioxide •NO₂. Both radicals may participate in contaminants degradation during UV/NO₃⁻ (5). Key parameters affecting indirect photolysis are the concentrations of photosensitized oxidants (a function of NO_3^- concentration) and their reaction rate with target contaminants.

In general, the presence of low concentrations of NO_3^- during UV treatment of organic contaminants results in two simultaneous effects: (i) photoproduction of radicals, which may increase degradation rate of contaminants and (ii) decrease in available light below 240 nm due to nitrate absorbance, which may slow the contaminant's direct photolysis (and subsequently total degradation). In addition, at levels higher than 5 mg/L-N, NO_3^- photo-produce significant levels of NO_2^- , which acts as an effective •OH scavenger and •NO₂ promoter (5). The total effect of $NO_3^$ mostly depends on its concentration and photochemical properties of the target contaminant.

Table 1 summarizes relevant photochemical parameters for all tested contaminants. 172 Reaction rate constants with photo-sensitized oxidants were adapted from the scientific literature, 173 174 and were mostly available for •OH (29,32,33). Molar absorption coefficients are presented for wavelength 223 nm, representing the maximum overlap between lamp emission and NO_3^{-1} 175 absorption spectrum (complete spectrums are provided in Figure S1 in Supporting Information). 176 Quantum yields (Φ) for direct photolysis were calculated from photolysis experiments without 177 NO_3^{-} , using the time-based degradation rates (Equation 2). In addition, fluence-based rate 178 constants were calculated ($k_{\rm UV}$, cm²/mJ), by plotting the compounds direct photolysis vs. the 179 product of average fluence rate (calculated with actinometry) and time (34). 180

Compound	k _{•OH,C}	k	$k_{\rm UV}$	ε _{223nm}	Φ	$\epsilon_{223} \mathbf{x} \Phi$
	M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	cm²/mJ	1/Mcm	mol/E	l/E/cm
DCM	5.8x10 ⁷	NA	3.1x10 ⁻⁵	0.08	3.1x10 ⁻¹	0.024
DCA	*2.2x10 ⁸	NA	3.3x10 ⁻⁵	7.3	3.7x10 ⁻²	0.27
TCE	4.0x10 ⁹	NA	8.0x10 ⁻⁴	1172.9	7.6x10 ⁻²	89.14
PCE	2.6x10 ⁹	NA	9.5x10 ⁻⁴	1161.6	6.7x10 ⁻²	77.83
DCE	6.2x10 ⁹	NA	1.3x10 ⁻³	31.5	3.6x10 ⁻¹	11.34
DCB	**7.9x10 ⁹	NA	2.6x10 ⁻⁴	12180	2.6x10 ⁻³	31.67
ТСВ	**6.1x10 ⁹	NA	2.3x10 ⁻⁴	7376	3.6x10 ⁻³	26.55
MTBE	2.0x10 ⁹	NA	2.4x10 ⁻⁴	14.04	4.2x10 ⁻¹	5.89

181	Table 1.	Photochemical	parameters	of the	target	contaminants
TOT	1 4010 10	1 motovnementem	parameters	01 0110	car Sec	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

BPA	1.0x10 ¹⁰	2.25x10 ⁴	1.1x10 ⁻⁴	12918	3.0x10 ⁻⁴	3.87
Isoproturon	3x10 ⁹	NA	5.5x10 ⁻⁴	9805	1.8x10 ⁻³	17.65

*In air, https://inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc176.htm#SectionNumber:1.1

183 **Values for 1,4 dichlorobenzene and 1,2,3 trichlorobenzene

184 NA-Not available

185 The data in Table 1 was used to explain the kinetic behaviors in Figure 2. Direct photolysis of DCM is extremely low, with fluence-based rate constant of $k_{\rm UV} = 3.1 \times 10^{-5} \, {\rm cm}^2 / {\rm mJ}$. In addition, its 186 reaction rate with •OH (and likely with other radicals) is in the lower range of •OH reactions 187 $(5.8 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$. Subsequently, its degradation during UV/NO₃⁻ is relatively slow, and marginally 188 189 affected by increase in photooxidants concentration or decrease in available light (Figure 2). PCE on the other hand has relatively high direct photolysis ($k_{\rm UV} = 9.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{mJ}$, Table 1) and high 190 reaction rate with •OH ($k_{\text{OH PCE}} = 2.6 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$). In this case, addition of NO₃⁻ reduces its direct 191 photolysis and increases indirect photolysis (considering •OH is the dominant photooxidant). 192 Apparently, for PCE, the effect of light screening by NO₃⁻ dominates over radicals' production, 193 which leads to a decrease in its total degradation rate with addition of NO₃⁻. For BPA, direct 194 photolysis is slower than PCE ($k_{\rm UV} = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$, cm²/mJ, Table 1), whereas reactions with 195 photooxidants are extremely high. This means that BPA degradation during UV/NO₃⁻ mostly 196 results from indirect photolysis, and NO₃⁻ principally acts as a photosensitizer. 197

For BPA, previous studies concluded that reaction with RNS was the principal path for its degradation during 254 nm UV/NO₃⁻, with less than 30% removal attributed to •OH (28). In this case, degradation rate of BPA should increase with NO₃⁻ over the entire concentration range. The gradual decrease in BPA degradation rate at NO₃⁻ > 5 mg/l-N (Figure 2) may imply that, under MPUV light, the contribution of •OH is more significant. Under these conditions, photo-produced NO₂⁻ acts as an •OH scavenger, slowing BPA degradation at high NO₃⁻ levels. Similar degradation behavior to BPA was previously observed by Keen (35) for carbamazepine and Lester (8) for 1,4dioxane. Both compounds are relatively photo-stable, with $k_{\rm UV}$ of 2 x 10⁻⁴ cm²/mJ (carbamazepine) and 3.2x10⁻⁵ cm²/mJ (1,4-dioxane) (8,36). In addition, reactions of •OH with 1,4-dioxane and carbamazepine are relatively high: 2.8x10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹ (32) and 8.02x10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹ (33) respectively.

Grouping contaminants according to photochemical properties and degradation kinetics.
Degradation of all tested compounds followed one of the three kinetic behaviors described in
Figure 2 (complete data is given in Figure S2 of the *Supporting Information*). Subsequently,
compounds were divided into four groups (Table 2), according to metrics related to direct and
indirect photolysis.

Matrices for direct photolysis included: (i) fluence-based photolysis rate $(k_{UV}, cm^2/mJ)$ (31) 213 or (ii) the product of the compounds molar absorption coefficient at 223 nm and MPUV photolysis 214 quantum yield ($\varepsilon_{223} \propto \Phi$, l/E/cm). These parameters are relatively simple to obtain, either by 215 measuring or from the literature, and best represent direct photolysis during MPUV/NO₃. The 216 217 wavelength 223 nm was selected for the second parameter, since it is located at the maximum overlap between NO₃⁻ absorption and MPUV lamp emission (S1, Supporting Information). In 218 other words, light absorption of a contaminant (and subsequently its direct photolysis) will be most 219 220 affected by the presence of NO_3^- at 223 nm. For indirect photolysis, reaction rate constant with 221 •OH was selected. Despite the fact that •OH is not necessarily the dominant photooxidant in a UV/NO_3 system, especially in the presence of high levels of carbonates (28), its reaction rate 222 223 constants are largely available and provide good indication for the susceptibility of a contaminant 224 to electrophilic attack.

By correlating between degradation kinetics and photochemical parameters, we estimated that $k_{\rm UV}$ of approximately 2 x10⁻⁴ cm²/mJ and ε_{223} x Φ of ~ 4 l/E/cm separates the photo-stable and

photo-sensitive compounds, in relation to direct photolysis. For indirect photolysis, a threshold of $k_{\text{OH,C}} = 1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ was set for high and low reactive compounds (32,33). A detailed explanation for each group is given below.

230	Table 2. Grouping of	of contaminants	according to	their	photochemical	properties	and	NO ₃ -
231	degradation kinetics							

Group	UV parameter	$k_{\bullet OH,C} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{S}^{-1}$	Compound
Ι	Photo-stable:	Slow •OH reaction:	DCM, DCA
	$k_{\rm UV} < 2 \ {\rm x10^{-4} \ cm^2/mJ}$ or	$k_{\bullet OH,C} < 1 \times 10^9$	
	$\epsilon_{223} \ge \Phi < 4 \ 1/M \ cm$		
II	Photo-sensitive:	Fast •OH reaction:	TCE, PCE, DCE, DCB,
	$k_{\rm UV} > 2 \ {\rm x10^{-4} \ cm^2/mJ}$ or	$k_{\bullet OH,C} > 1 \times 10^9$	TCB, MTBE,
	$\epsilon_{223} \ge \Phi > 4 \ 1/M \ cm$		Isoproturon
III	Photo-stable:	Fast •OH reaction:	BPA
	$k_{\rm UV} \le 2 \text{ x} 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{mJ} \text{ or}$	$k_{\bullet OH,C} > 1 \times 10^9$	Carbamazepine
	$\epsilon_{223} \ge \Phi < 4 \ 1/M \ cm$		1,4-dioxane
IV	Photo-sensitive:	Slow •OH reaction:	N/A
	$k_{\rm UV} > 2 \ {\rm x10^{-4} \ cm^2/mJ}$ or	$k_{\bullet OH,C} < 1 \times 10^9$	
	$\epsilon_{223} \ge \Phi > 4 \ 1/M \ cm$		

Group I - photo-stable compounds with slow •OH reaction. Photolysis of these compounds is not affected by the presence of NO₃⁻; *Group II* - high direct photolysis (photo-sensitive) and fast reaction with •OH. The presence of NO₃⁻ during UV treatment of compounds in this group either has little effect or decreases their degradation rate, since NO₃⁻ is a highly effective light absorber, which dominates over its role as a radicals' promoter (detailed calculation is provided in *Supporting Information*). In addition, NO₃⁻ at concentrations > 5 mg/L-N indirectly scavenges •OH, through production of NO₂⁻ (5). *Group III* - photo-stable and fast •OH reacting compounds. In this case, NO₃⁻ at concentrations $\leq 5 \text{ mg/L} - \text{N}$ increases the compounds' UV degradation rate, since NO₃⁻ mainly acts as a radicals' promoter. At higher NO₃⁻ concentrations, degradation rates of compounds degraded by •OH will stabilize or even decrease, following the formation of NO₂⁻ at significant levels, whereas degradation rate of contaminants reactive to RNSs is expected to further increase. Carbamazepine and 1,4 – dioxane belong to *Group III*, with k_{UV} of 1.2 x10⁻⁴ cm²/mJ and $\varepsilon_{225} \propto \Phi$ of 2.15 (carbamazepine), and 2.8 x 10⁻⁵ cm²/mJ and 3.23 l/E/cm (1,4 – dioxane).

Evidently, a fourth group exists - *Group IV* - with compounds exhibiting high direct photolysis and slow •OH reaction (none of the tested compounds belonged to this group). Based on the kinetics behavior of Group II, it is reasonable to assume that the presence of NO₃⁻ in water during UV treatment of *Group IV* compounds will slow their degradation due to light screening. An example for a water contaminant belonging to *Group IV* is N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA), with $k_{\bullet OH,NDMA} = 3.3 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} (33)$, k_{UV} of 2.4 x10⁻³ cm²/mJ and $\varepsilon_{225} \times \Phi$ of approximately 2100 (37).

A somewhat different grouping was proposed by Huang et al. (28), which classified organic 253 contaminants into three groups, based on the contributions of direct UV and sensitized oxidants to 254 their degradation during LPUV/NO₃⁻ (254 nm) treatment of carbonates-containing water. Their 255 groups included photo-sensitive compounds, degraded mainly by direct photolysis at 254 nm and 256 RNS, photostable compounds degraded mostly by RNS and, photostable compounds degraded 257 mostly by •OH. They concluded that degradation of the third group will be ineffective in the 258 presence of high concentrations of HCO_3^- (183 mg/L) due to •OH scavenging (using 254 nm UV 259 260 source). The grouping in our case is based on NO₃⁻ sensitization by MP UV, which is much more effective than LP for producing photooxidants. 261

Implication for field-scale treatment. The discussion above implies that UV/NO_3^- can be effective for both Group II and Group III contaminants. For Group II, the presence of NO_3^- will simultaneously slow direct photolysis and enhance indirect photolysis. However, the compounds' high reaction rates with photoproduced oxidants are expected to keep their overall degradation rate relatively high. Subsequently, this section will focus solely on these two groups, evaluating the full-scale application of UV/NO_3^- , specifically: (i) The cost-effectiveness of the treatment, (ii) formation of NO_2^- and (iii) the impact of background water constituents.

To address the first point, we used the $E_{\rm EO}$ parameter (electrical energy per order, kWh/m³/order), often employed for assessing the cost-effectiveness of AOPs (Equation 5) (38).

271
$$E_{EO} = \frac{P \times t \times 1000}{V \times 60 \times \log{\frac{C_0}{(C_t)}}} = \frac{38.4 \times P}{V \times k}$$
(5)

Where, *P* is the lamp power (kW), V is the volume of treated water (l), C_0 and C_t are the initial and final concentrations of the contaminant, and *k* is the first-order rate constant (1/min) for the compound's overall decay under the tested conditions.

Table 3 summarizes the $E_{\rm EO}$ values for degrading Group II & III contaminants, for NO₃-275 concentrations of 0 (direct photolysis), 2 and 5 mg/L – N. Higher NO_3^- levels generally did not 276 further enhance the compounds' degradation rate and were therefore not evaluated. As expected 277 from Figure 2 (and S2), the electrical cost of Group III contaminants was reduced in the presence 278 of NO₃ by up to 7-fold (for BPA) and increased for contaminants from Group II (compared to UV 279 alone). To exemplify the full-scale implications of Table 3, we hypothesize a treatment of 280 groundwater contaminated with 1 mg/L BPA and 2 mg/L-N of NO₃⁻. If the treatment's goal is 10 281 282 μ g/L BPA, electrical energy will be approximately 19.6 kWh/m³. Considering the cost of

- electricity at \$0.12 per kWh (Israel Electric Company), the treatment's cost attributed to electricity
- 284 will be \$2.35 per m³.

Initial NO ₃ ⁻ co	oncentrations	0 mg/L- N	2 mg/L- N	5 mg/L- N	
Compound	Group	$E_{\rm EO}$ (kWh/m ³ /order)			
TCE	II	9.8	33.8	46.9	
РСЕ	-	8.2	21.6	25.7	
DCE	-	5.9	28.1	26.7	
DCB	-	25.9	49.2	100.9	
ТСВ	-	26.9	50.9	103.5	
MTBE	-	34.4	124.0	160.0	
Isoproturon	-	13.3	20.0	27.4	
BPA	III	72.7	9.8	10.1	
CBZ	-	80.0	18.4	17.8	
1,4 - dioxane	1	622.5	212.5	221.3	

Table 3. Electrical energy per order (E_{EO} , kWh/m³/order) for compounds in Groups II&III

Comparing the date in Table 3 to the scientific literature is not straight forward, since E_{EO} is largely 286 system-dependent, influenced by parameters such as the type and size of the reactor and water 287 quality. Miklos et al. (39) critically reviewed E_{EOS} from large number of AOPs studies, dividing 288 them into different categories to reduce variability. For photo-stable contaminants and lab-scale 289 UV/H₂O₂ systems, they found E_{EO} in the range of 0.1 - 10 kWh/m³/order. A different study by 290 Rosenfeldt and Linden (34) examined UV/H₂O₂ degradation of BPA in a collimated beam reactor, 291 and showed that addition of 15 mg/L H₂O₂ increased its degradation rate by 6-fold (compared to 292 UV alone), similar to our data. We can therefore conclude that UV/NO₃⁻ can be competitive with 293

 UV/H_2O_2 (and other UV-AOPs), depending on the target contaminant and, especially when considering the additional cost of H_2O_2 and a complementary H_2O_2 quenching system.

Another barrier for UV/NO_3^- application is the photogeneration of NO_2^- , a harmful 296 byproduct with U.S. EPA drinking water standard of 1 mg/L-N - lower than nitrate (10 mg/L-N) 297 (www.epa.gov). Formation of NO_2^- mostly depends on the initial concentration of NO_3^- and on 298 UV exposure at wavelengths below 240 nm (1). Figure 3 presents the formation rate of NO₂⁻ during 299 UV/NO₃⁻ as function of applied electrical energy, for initial NO₃⁻ concentrations of 2, 5 and 10 300 mg/L-N. Concentration of NO₂⁻ reached EPA standard after 238 and 98 kWh/m³, for 5 and 10 301 302 mg/L-N NO₃⁻ respectively. For 2 mg/L-N NO₃⁻, nitrite formation could not reach US EPA NO₂⁻ standards. Applying this data to Table 3, while considering a typical removal target of two orders 303 of magnitude, suggests that: (i) under high NO₃⁻ levels of 10 mg/L-N, removal of most compounds 304 approach or surpass NO₂⁻ standard; hence these conditions may be considered unsafe. (ii) At lower 305 NO_3^{-1} levels ($\leq 5 \text{ mg/L-N}$), many of Groups II & III contaminants can be safely degraded, with 306 307 some exceptions such as MTBE (Group II) and 1,4-dioxane (Group III). Therefore, Groups II & III should be narrowed to include only contaminants with the highest degradation rate, which 308 would allow safe and efficient degradation during UV/NO₃-. 309



Figure 3. Formation of NO₂⁻ as function of applied electrical energy, for different initial NO₃⁻
concentrations.

Subsequently, we defined two additional groups: II' & III'. For precaution measures we identified 200 kWh/m³ as the safety threshold for two orders of magnitude removal. Compounds requiring higher energy level (Table 3) were considered unsafe for removal. Under this condition, Group III' included (photostable) compounds with extremely fast reaction with •OH ($k_{\bullet OH} > 8 \ge 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$ $^{1}\text{s}^{-1}$): CBZ and BPA in our case. Group II' includes compounds in the upper range of direct photolysis ($k_{\text{UV}} > 5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{mJ}$ or $\varepsilon_{223} \ge \Phi > 10 \text{ l/E/cm}$) and high •OH reaction ($k_{\bullet OH} > 1 \ge 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$). In our case, PCE, TCE, DCE and isoproturon (Table 2).

The last point relates to the impact of key groundwater constituents, specifically, bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) and natural organic matter (NOM). For that, we tested UV/NO₃⁻ degradation of representative contaminants - CBZ (Group III') and isoproturon (Group II'), with and without the addition of HCO₃⁻ (180 mg/L) and fulvic acid (2.5 mgC/L), a commonly used NOM standard. Irradiations were carried out with 5 mg/L-N of NO₃⁻ and a mixture of the two contaminants (0.5 mg/L each).



326

Figure 4. Removal extent of CBZ and isoproturon after 15 min of UV/NO₃⁻ (5 mg/L-N), with and without HCO_3^- and FA at 180 mg/L and 2.5 mgC/L respectively.

Addition of HCO₃⁻ and fulvic acid slowed the degradation rate of CBZ by approximately 20% but 329 330 didn't affect the degradation of isoproturon (Figure 4). At the tested concentrations (characteristics for groundwater), HCO₃⁻ and fulvic acid mainly act as •OH scavengers, with minor contribution 331 to light screening (Figure S4). This can explain their adverse effect on CBZ (and Group III' 332 chemicals in general), which are principally degraded through reactions with photooxidants, 333 including •OH. For contaminants with significant degradation paths other than •OH (e.g. 334 isoproturon), this slowing effect is expected to be less significant, or even reversed to accelerate 335 degradation, through the formation of carbonate radicals (28). 336

Finally, we propose a simplified decision tree to predict if a groundwater contaminant will
be safely degraded during MPUV/NO₃⁻ (Figure 5).



Figure 5. A decision tree to determine the suitability of contaminants to UV/NO_3^{-} treatment

351 Author contributions

- 352 Lidori Edri and Nadeem Ibrahim: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation; Karl Linden:
- 353 Visualization; Dror Avisar and Aviv Kaplan: Investigation; Sara Hayoune: Methodology; Yaal
- 354 Lester: Writing- Reviewing and Editing.

355 Acknowledgments

- 356 This work was supported by National Science Foundation-BiNational Science Foundation (NSF-
- 357 BSF) Award CBET- 2019615.

358	Supp	orting Information. Spectrum of medium pressure Hg lamp with molar absorption spectrum
359	of NC	D_3^- , degradation rate constants for the tested compounds as function of NO ₃ concentration, a
360	mode	l for the impact of NO ₃ ⁻ on UV degradation of Group II contaminants.
361	Refer	rences
362	1.	Sharpless CM, Linden KG. UV photolysis of nitrate: Effects of natural organic matter and
363		dissolved inorganic carbon and implications for UV water disinfection. Environ Sci
364		Technol. 2001;35(14):2949–55.
365	2.	Sharpless CM, Seibold DA, Linden KG. Nitrate photosensitized degradation of atrazine
366		during UV water treatment. Aquat Sci. 2003;65(4):359-66.
367	3.	Mack J, Bolton JR. Photochemistry of nitrite and nitrate in aqueous solution: A review. J
368		Photochem Photobiol A Chem. 1999;128(1–3):1–13.
369	4.	Scholes RC. Emerging investigator series: contributions of reactive nitrogen species to
370		transformations of organic compounds in water: a critical review. Environ Sci Process
371		Impacts. 2022;24(6):851–69.
372	5.	Keen OS, Love NG, Linden KG. The role of effluent nitrate in trace organic chemical
373		oxidation during UV disinfection. Water Res. 2012;46(16):5224-34.
374	6.	Payne EM, Liu B, Mullen L, Linden KG. UV 222 nm Emission from KrCl* Excimer
375		Lamps Greatly Improves Advanced Oxidation Performance in Water Treatment. Environ
376		Sci Technol Lett. 2022;9(9):779-85.
377	7.	Lester Y, Ferrer I, Thurman EM, Linden KG. Demonstrating sucralose as a monitor of
378		full-scale UV/AOP treatment of trace organic compounds. J Hazard Mater [Internet].

379		2014;280:104-10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.07.009
380	8.	Lester Y, Dabash A, Eghbareya D. UV Sensitization of Nitrate and Sulfite : A Powerful
381		Tool for Groundwater Remediation. 2018;
382	9.	SCDOH. 1, 4-Dioxane in our Water Resources – Fact Sheet. 2015;(June):1–3.
383	10.	Cortez CAE. Agenda Background Program Approach Remediation Projects Funding Next
384		Steps. 2016.
385	11.	Biggs J. Tucson Water Tackles 1, 4-dioxane & PFAS Challenges within TCE CERCLA
386		Program. 2021.
387	12.	Jackson RE. Anticipating Ground-Water Contamination by New Technologies and
388		Chemicals : The Case of Chlorinated Solvents in California. 2016;(April).
389	13.	Matteucci F, Ercole C, Gallo M. A study of chlorinated solvent contamination of the
390		aquifers of an industrial area in central Italy : a possibility of bioremediation.
391		2015;6(September):1–10.
392	14.	Manamsa K, Crane E, Stuart M, Talbot J, Lapworth D, Hart A. Science of the Total
393		Environment A national-scale assessment of micro-organic contaminants in groundwater
394		of England and Wales. Sci Total Environ [Internet]. 2016;568:712-26. Available from:
395		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.017
396	15.	Rivett MO, Turner RJ, Glibbery P, Cuthbert MO. The legacy of chlorinated solvents in the
397		Birmingham aquifer, UK : Observations spanning three decades and the challenge of
398		future urban groundwater development. J Contam Hydrol [Internet]. 2012;140-141:107-
399		23. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2012.08.006

400	16.	Dyksen JE, Hess AF. Alternatives controlling organics in groundwater supplies. 1982;
401	17.	Adamson DT, Mahendra S, Walker KL, Rauch SR, Sengupta S, Newell CJ. A Multisite
402		Survey to Identify the Scale of the 1,4-Dioxane Problem at Contaminated Groundwater
403		Sites. Environ Sci Technol Lett. 2014;1(5):254–8.
404	18.	Adamson DT, Piña EA, Cartwright AE, Rauch SR, Anderson RH, Mohr T, et al. 1, 4-
405		Dioxane drinking water occurrence data from the third unregulated contaminant
406		monitoring rule. Sci Total Environ [Internet]. 2017;596–597:236–45. Available from:
407		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.085
408	19.	Squillace PJ, Scott JC, Moran MJ, Nolan BT, Kolpin DW. VOCs, pesticides, nitrate, and
409		their mixtures in groundwater used for drinking water in the United States. Environ Sci
410		Technol. 2002;36(9):1923–30.
411	20.	Shalev N, Burg A, Gavrieli I, Lazar B. Nitrate contamination sources in aquifers
412		underlying cultivated fields in an arid region - The Arava Valley, Israel. Appl
413		Geochemistry [Internet]. 2015;63:322–32. Available from:
414		http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2015.09.017
415	21.	Burow KR, Nolan BT, Rupert MG, Dubrovsky NM. Nitrate in groundwater of the United
416		States, 1991 - 2003. Environ Sci Technol [Internet]. 2010;44(13):4988-97. Available
417		from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es100546y
418	22.	Haran M, Samuels R, Uri Mingelgrin SG. Quality indicators of the state of chemical
419		pollution in Israel. Isr J Chem. 2002;42:119–32.
420	23.	Wu Y, Bu L, Duan X, Zhu S, Kong M, Zhu N, et al. Mini review on the roles of

421		nitrate/nitrite in advanced oxidation processes: Radicals transformation and products
422		formation. J Clean Prod [Internet]. 2020;273:123065. Available from:
423		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123065
424	24.	Rosario-Ortiz FL, Wert EC, Snyder SA. Evaluation of UV/H2O2 treatment for the
425		oxidation of pharmaceuticals in wastewater. Water Res [Internet]. 2010;44(5):1440-8.
426		Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.10.031
427	25.	Goldstein S, Rabani J. Actinometers Based on NO 3 - and H 2 O 2 Excitation :
428		Applications for Industrial Photoreactors. Environ Sci Technol. 2008;42(3):3248–53.
429	26.	Park YM, Pyo H, Park SJ, Park SK. Development of the analytical method for 1,4-
430		dioxane in water by liquid-liquid extraction. Anal Chim Acta. 2005;548(1-2):109-15.
431	27.	Rosenfeldt EJ, Melcher B, Linden KG. UV and UV/H2O2 treatment of methylisoborneol
432		(MIB) and geosmin in water. J Water Supply Res Technol - AQUA. 2005;54(7):423-34.
433	28.	Huang Y, Kong M, Westerman D, Xu EG, Coffin S, Cochran KH, et al. Effects of HCO $_3$
434		$^-$ on Degradation of Toxic Contaminants of Emerging Concern by UV/NO $_3$ $^-$. Environ Sci
435		Technol. 2018 Oct;
436	29.	Li Y, Wang L, Xu H, Lu J, Chovelon JM, Ji Y. Direct and nitrite-sensitized indirect
437		photolysis of effluent-derived phenolic contaminants under UV254irradiation. Environ Sci
438		Process Impacts. 2022;24(1):127-39.
439	30.	Vinge SL, Shaheen SW, Sharpless CM, Linden KG. Nitrate with benefits: Optimizing
440		radical production during UV water treatment. Environ Sci Water Res Technol.
441		2020;6(4):1163-75.

- 31. Stefan MI, Bolton JR. Fundamental approach to the fluence-based kinetic and electrical
 energy efficiency parameters in photochemical degradation reactions: Polychromatic light.
 J Environ Eng Sci. 2005;4(SUPPL. 1):13–8.
- 445 32. Buxton G V., Greenstock CL, Helman WP, Ross AB. Critical Review of rate constants for
- reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl radicals (·OH/·O-in

447 Aqueous Solution. J Phys Chem Ref Data. 1988;17(2):513–886.

- 448 33. Wols BA, Hofman-Caris CHM. Review of photochemical reaction constants of organic
- 449 micropollutants required for UV advanced oxidation processes in water. Water Res
- 450 [Internet]. 2012;46(9):2815–27. Available from:
- 451 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.03.036
- 452 34. Rosenfeldt EJ, Linden KG. Degradation of endocrine disrupting chemicals bisphenol A,

453 ethinyl estradiol, and estradiol during UV photolysis and advanced oxidation processes.

454 Environ Sci Technol. 2004;38(20):5476–83.

455 35. Keen OS, Baik S, Linden KG, Aga DS, Love NG. Enhanced biodegradation of

456 carbamazepine after UV/H2O2advanced oxidation. Environ Sci Technol.

457 2012;46(11):6222–7.

458 36. Lester Y, Mamane H, Avisar D. Enhanced removal of micropollutants from groundwater,

using pH modification coupled with photolysis. Water Air Soil Pollut. 2012;223(4):1639–

460 47.

37. Sharpless CM, Linden KG. Interpreting collimated beam ultraviolet photolysis rate data in
terms of electrical efficiency of treatment. J Environ Eng Sci. 2005;4(SUPPL. 1).

463	38.	Cater SR, Stefan MI, Bolton JR, Amiri AS. UV/H 2 O 2 Treatment of Methyl. Environ
464		Sci Technol. 2000;34(4):659–62.
465	39.	Miklos DB, Remy C, Jekel M, Linden KG, Drewes JE, Hübner U. Evaluation of advanced
466		oxidation processes for water and wastewater treatment – A critical review. Water Res.
467		2018;139:118–31.