Natural Product Reports



Natural Product Reports

Terpene synthases and pathways in animals: enzymology and structural evolution in the biosynthesis of volatile infochemicals

Journal:	Natural Product Reports
Manuscript ID	NP-REV-11-2022-000076.R1
Article Type:	Review Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Feb-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Tholl, Dorothea; Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Rebholz, Zarley; Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Morozov, Alexandre; Rutgers University O'Maille, Paul; SRI International

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1	Terpene synthases and pathways in animals: enzymology and structural evolution in the
2	biosynthesis of volatile infochemicals
3	
4	Dorothea Tholl*a, Zarley Rebholza, Alexandre V. Morozov ^b , and Paul E. O'Maille ^c
5	
6	^a Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24060, USA
7	^b Department of Physics and Astronomy and Center for Quantitative Biology, Rutgers University,
8	Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA
9	°SRI International, San Diego, CA 92131, USA
10	
11	
12	
13	*Corresponding author: Dorothea Tholl, Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Tech,
14	<u>tholl@vt.edu</u> , +1-540-231-4567
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	

35 Abstract

Many animals release volatile or semi-volatile terpenes as semiochemicals in intra- and interspecific interactions. Terpenes are important constituents of pheromones or serve as chemical defenses to ward off predators. Despite the occurrence of terpene specialized metabolites from soft corals to mammals, the biosynthetic origin of these compounds has largely remained obscure. An increasing number of animal genome and transcriptome resources is facilitating the identification of enzymes and pathways that allow animals to produce terpenes independent of their food sources or microbial endosymbionts. Substantial evidence has emerged for the presence of terpene biosynthetic pathways such as in the formation of the iridoid sex pheromone nepetalactone in aphids. In addition, terpene synthase (TPS) enzymes have been discovered that are evolutionary unrelated to canonical plant and microbial TPSs and instead resemble precursor enzymes called isoprenyl diphosphate synthases (IDSs) in central terpene metabolism. Structural modifications of substrate binding motifs in canonical IDS proteins presumably facilitated the transition to TPS function at an early state in insect evolution. Other arthropods such as mites appear to have adopted their TPS genes from microbial sources via horizontal gene transfer. A similar scenario likely occurred in soft corals, where TPS families with closer resemblance to microbial TPSs have been discovered recently. Together, these findings will spur the identification of similar or still unknown enzymes in terpene biosynthesis in other lineages of animals. They will also help develop biotechnological applications for animal derived terpenes of pharmaceutical value or advance sustainable agricultural practices in pest management.

- 69 **1 Introduction**
- 70 2 Volatile terpenes in the animal kingdom
- 71 2.1 Invertebrates
- 72 2.1.1 Mollusks and Corals
- 73 2.1.2 Arthropods Millipedes and arachnids
- 74 2.1.3 Arthropods Insects
- 75 2.2 Vertebrates
- 76 2.2.1 Amphibians
- 77 2.2.2 Reptiles
- 78 2.2.3 Mammals
- 79 **3 Terpene biosynthetic pathways and enzymes in insect pheromone/defense**
- 80 biosynthesis
- 81 **3.1 Biosynthesis of iridoids**
- 82 **3.2** Horizontal gene transfer of terpene synthases in mites
- **3.3 IDS-like terpene synthases in insects**
- **3.3.1 FPP synthase type terpene synthases**
- 85 3.3.1.1 Phyllotreta striolata
- 86 3.3.1.2 Pentatomids
- **3.3.1.3 Genomic organization and evolution of stink bug and hemipteran IDS-like genes**
- **3.3.2 GGPP synthase type terpene synthases**
- 89 4 Structural and mechanistic evolution of insect IDS-like TPSs
- 90 **5 Terpene synthases in corals**
- 91 6 Conclusions and Outlook
- 92 7 Acknowledgements
- 93 8 Author Contributions
- 94 9 Conflict of Interest
- 95 **10 References**

- 97
- 98
- 99
- 100
- 101
- 102

103

104 **1 Introduction**

105

106 All organisms use small molecules for communication within their own species or in interactions 107 with other species.¹ Depending on their physicochemical properties, these specialized metabolites facilitate efficient interactions at short and long distance both in water and on land. 108 109 Natural products of the large class of terpenes or terpenoids (> 80,000 structures known; https://dnp.chemnetbase.com; 2) represent the most structurally diverse group of molecules used 110 in chemical interactions. Due to their high vapor pressure and volatility, low molecular weight 111 112 terpenes are ideal "infochemicals" for mediating airborne messages. While volatile terpenes have been studied largely in plants and microbes³⁻⁵, they have received comparatively little attention in 113 114 animals. This is surprising given the occurrence of volatile terpenes in invertebrates, especially arthropods, but also in different lineages of vertebrates. Even fewer information is available on 115 116 the enzymatic formation of volatile terpenes in animals, which is partly due to the general notion that specialized metabolites in animals are predominantly derived from other organisms such as 117 host plants or microbial endosymbionts.^{6,7} Therefore, pathways and reactions involved in de novo 118 119 biosynthesis of terpene infochemicals in animals are not well understood.

120

121 All organisms are capable of making the 5-carbon precursors of terpenes, isopentenyl 122 diphosphate (IPP, 1) and its allylic isomer dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP, 2) by conserved 123 core enzymatic pathways. Plants produce IPP and DMAPP via the plastidial methylerythritol 124 phosphate (MEP) and the non-plastidial mevalonic acid (MVA) pathway.⁸ Cyanobacteria, parasitic 125 protozoa, and most eubacteria share the MEP pathway with plants, while animals, fungi, and most other eukaryotes rely solely on the MVA pathway in providing the C5-diphosphate precursors for 126 terpene primary and specialized metabolism (Fig. 1).⁹⁻¹¹ Isoprenyl diphosphate synthase (IDS) 127 enzymes consecutively combine DMAPP with IPP units in a (1'-4) head-to-tail condensation 128 reaction to synthesize 10-carbon geranyl diphosphate (GPP, 3), 15-carbon farnesyl diphosphate 129 (FPP, 4), 20-carbon geranylgeranyl diphosphate (GGPP, 5), as well as longer prenyl 130 diphosphates required for the formation of non-volatile 30-carbon triterpenes, 40-carbon 131 132 tetraterpenes, and longer polyprenyl terpenes (e.g. the 50-carbon tail of ubiquinone-10 derived from decaprenyl diphosphate, DPP, 6).^{8,12,13} Condensation reactions can occur in *cis*- or *trans* 133 configuration and are catalyzed by structurally unrelated *cis*- and *trans*-IDS enzymes.¹⁴ Enzymatic 134 135 reactions catalyzed by terpene synthases (TPS) then convert DMAPP, GPP, FPP, and GGPP to 136 acyclic or cyclic hemiterpenes (e.g. isoprene, 7), monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and diterpenes,

137 respectively (Fig. 1). [Place Fig. 1 here] Depending on secondary modifications such as 138 hydroxylation, acylation, methylation, glycosylation, and others, the produced terpenes remain 139 volatile or semi-volatile or are converted into non-volatile derivatives. Canonical class I and II enzymes of the TPS superfamily have been studied extensively in plants and microbes.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ 140 However, there is growing evidence for the role of various non-canonical TPS enzymes in terpene 141 biosynthesis as recently described by Rudolf and Chang¹⁴, raising questions about the evolution 142 of "unconventional TPSs" in animals. In addition, microbial-type TPSs may have been integrated 143 in animal genomes through horizontal gene transfer as it was found previously for TPS enzymes 144 145 in lower land plants.¹⁸ In this review, we will first provide an overview of the diversity of volatile and semi-volatile terpenes in the animal kingdom. We will then describe recent findings of 146 pathways and TPSs involved in the de novo formation of terpenes in animals, with a particular 147 focus on non-canonical IDS-type enzymes in insects that have adopted TPS activities. Moreover, 148 we will discuss the structural modifications that are likely involved in the transition of these 149 150 enzymes from IDS to TPS function and compare them with structural features of microbial-type TPSs discovered in octocorals and mites. 151

152

153 2 Volatile terpenes in the animal kingdom

154

155 2.1 Invertebrates

156

157 **2.1.1 Mollusks and Corals**

158 The largest number of terpene specialized metabolites among invertebrate organisms have been identified in the species-rich phyla of arthropods and mollusks. Terpenes including monoterpenes, 159 sesquiterpenes, and diterpenes, are particularly abundant in marine mollusks such as sponges 160 and opisthobranch gastropods (e.g. brasudol, 8, an eudesmane-type sesquiterpene from the sea 161 hare Aplysia brasiliana, Fig. 2).^{19,20} While many of these compounds share core linear or cyclic 162 scaffolds with terpenes made by terrestrial animals, they typically carry groups with other 163 characteristic atoms such as halogens, nitrogen, and sulfur that affect water solubility and lead to 164 considerable structural diversity.¹⁹ Similar to mollusks, octocorals including soft corals are known 165 166 as a rich source of bioactive sesquiterpenes and diterpenes (e.g. lophotoxin, 9, a cembranoid diterpene from Leptogorgia chilensis, Fig. 2).^{21,22} Overall, these specialized terpenes are believed 167 to benefit the producer in the form of chemical feeding deterrents (e.g. Ben et al.²³). It should be 168 169 noted that this function does not necessarily require extensive water solubility. For instance, Giordano et al.²⁴ showed that volatile hydrophobic furanosesquiterpenes (e.g. isofuranodiene, **10**, 170

171 Fig. 2) released by a Mediterranean octocoral function as olfactory cues to ward off predators.

172 [Place Fig. 2 here]

173 Despite the profound structural diversity of terpenes found in marine invertebrates, the pathways of their formation remain obscure. In most cases, it is believed that mollusks sequester these 174 metabolites from their algal diet prior to further biotransformation. For instance, species within the 175 opisthobranch family Aplysiidae (sea hares) are known to derive sesquiterpenes from precursors 176 sequestered from their algal prey.¹⁹ Similar dietary sequestrations likely occur for sesquiterpene 177 and diterpene skeletons in nudibranch-sponge predator-prey interactions (e.g. Shen et al.²⁵) and 178 179 even between specific mollusks and their soft coral prey.¹⁹ On the other hand, marine invertebrates are generally believed to accumulate terpenes from microbial sources.²⁶ In 180 particular, it has been suggested that symbiotic dinoflagellates may be the sources of diterpenes 181 found in octocorals.²⁷ While many of the sequestered terpenes may indeed be derived from food 182 sources, it remains unclear how the host further modifies individual skeletons. In other cases, the 183 184 ability of marine gastropods to synthesize terpene skeletons de novo might have simply been overlooked. A striking example of such capability was recently brought to light with the 185 identification of terpene synthases in coral genomes (see section 5). Despite the significant 186 187 diversity of terpenes in marine mollusks, no accumulation of terpenes has, to the best of our 188 knowledge, been reported in panpulmonate gastropods inhabiting terrestrial or freshwater 189 environments. Moreover, examples of terpene compounds occurring within panpulmonate 190 species are scarce compared to examples in other gastropods and appear restricted to marine 191 genera.²⁸ This astonishing difference clearly indicates dissimilarities in the chemical ecology of 192 food webs and chemically mediated defenses of mollusks in marine and terrestrial ecosystems.

193

194 **2.1.2** Arthropods – Millipedes and arachnids

In contrast to terrestrial mollusks, terpenes are substantially more abundant in terrestrial 195 196 arthropods in conjunction with intra-specific communication or chemical defense. Millipedes 197 (arthropod class Diplopoda), which represent the oldest fully terrestrial group of animals, are known for their evolution of complex chemical defenses, some of which include terpene-derived 198 compounds. A nitrogen-containing terpene called polyzonimine (11) and its related compound 199 nitropolyzonamine (12) have been identified in polyzoniidan millipedes (Fig. 3).²⁹⁻³¹ More recently, 200 201 another alkaloid named gosodesmine (13), a 7-substituted hexahydroindolizine carrying an 202 isoprenyl moiety was detected in the millipede Gosodesmus claremontus of the related order 203 Platydesmida.³² Shear also found volatile monoterpenes such as limonene (14) in polyzoniidan and siphonophoridan millipedes.²⁹ How or whether any of these compounds are derived from 204

millipede-specific biosynthetic pathways or dietary sources is currently unclear. A microbial origin of terpenes is more likely in some species of polydesmid millipedes (*Niponia nodulosa*), where adult stages release 2-methyl-isoborneol (**15**) and the sesquiterpene geosmin (**16**) as volatile defense compounds or possible alarm pheromones.³³ These compounds are typically produced by cyanobacteria and Actinomycetes, which are possibly acquired by the millipedes via food

- 210 intake.³⁴
- 211 [Place Fig. 3 here]
- Among the arachnids, the occurrence of volatile terpenes has been reported in the order Opiliones 212 213 (harvestmen) and different groups of mites. Harvestmen of the species Sclerobunus robustus 214 carry bornyl esters (e.g. bornyl acetate, 17, stereoisomer not reported, Fig. 3) and small amounts of other monoterpenes in their defense secretions.³⁵ Oribatid mites (beetle or moss mites) are 215 216 known to release the iridoid monoterpene chrysomelidial (18) and the diterpene β -springene (19) from exocrine oil glands.³⁶ Moreover, dust mites (Acariformes, Epidermoptidae) emit the 217 218 monoterpene ester neryl formate (20) as an aggregation pheromone, and the monoterpene β acaridial (21) has been found in other acarid mites as sex, aggregation, and alarm pheromone.^{37,38} 219 220 It can also be assumed that trombidid mites such as chiggers produce terpenes for chemical 221 interactions based on the surprising finding of TPS genes in the mites' genomes, which 222 presumably have been acquired from microbial sources via horizontal gene transfer (see 3.2).³⁹
- 223

224 2.1.3 Arthropods – Insects

225 The by far largest group of terrestrial invertebrates known to release terpenes as infochemicals 226 are insects. Insects employ volatile monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and semi-volatile diterpenes 227 in various intra- and inter-specific interactions such as mate-finding (sex and aggregation pheromones), predator-avoidance (alarm pheromones), facilitation of eusocial living, food finding 228 (trail pheromones) and chemical defense.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ The large number of different compounds that have 229 230 been reported makes it impossible to list them all in this review. Therefore, we will focus on the 231 most characteristic and recent findings of compounds in the context of their biosynthesis and gene discovery. Comprehensive listings of terpenes and other insect semiochemicals are accessible 232 through the Pherobase database (https://www.pherobase.com/). 233

234

One of the most basal insect orders where terpene defenses have been reported are the **Phasmatodea** or stick insects. Monoterpene iridoids such as actinidine (**22**) and nepetalactone (*cis,trans* and/or *trans,cis*) (**23**) are disseminated by these insects in defense secretions to deter predators (Fig. 4).^{45,46} Nepetalactone and other monoterpene iridoids also occur as defenses in

239 rove beetles and as sex pheromones in aphids.^{42,47} Positioned in the same larger taxonomic clade 240 as stick insects, the **Blattodea** including cockroaches and termites are well known for releasing 241 terpenes as pheromones and defense metabolites. Roaches of the genus *Periplaneta*, notably the American cockroach Periplaneta americana, use cyclic sesquiterpenoids called periplanones 242 (e.g. periplanone B, 24) as female-specific aggregation/sex pheromones.⁴⁸⁻⁵¹ In families of 243 advanced termites (Termitidae and Rhinotermitidae) soldiers release blends of mono-, sesqui-, 244 and/or diterpenes, including the cembrene A- (25) derived multi-cyclic secotrinervitane- (26), 245 trivernitane- (27), and kempene-type (28) diterpenes, as part of their frontal gland defense 246 247 secretions.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ Other constituents of these secretions such as (+)- α -pinene (29) and (E,E)- α farnesene (30) are thought to act as alarm pheromones⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ or function as primer pheromones 248 involved in the developmental differentiation of members of the soldier caste.⁵⁸ Additional 249 functions of terpenes in higher termites include roles as queen sex pheromones [(3R.6E)-250 nerolidol] (31) and trail pheromones (e.g. cembrene A, 25) in Prorhinotermes simplex.^{44,59} 251

252 [place Fig. 4 here]

Another large and diversified group of insects, which has been documented for its dissemination 253 of terpene semiochemicals, comprises the Hemiptera (also referred to a true bugs) and their 254 255 sister lineage, thrips (Thysanoptera). Many species of thrips use monoterpenes or their 256 derivatives as pheromone or defensive components. For example, the gall-forming thrips, 257 Thlibothrips is unoki, produces a defensive secretion/alarm pheromone containing β -myrcene (32) 258 (Fig. 4) upon disturbance of the host gall, and the monoterpene β -acaridial (21) has been 259 identified in secretions from other gall-forming thrips.^{60,61} Notably, male aggregation pheromones 260 of flower thrips such as Frankliniella occidentalis are composed of the irregular monoterpene (R)lavandulol (33) and its ester derivatives^{62,63}, raising questions about whether these compounds 261 are biosynthesized by irregular TPSs similarly to those identified in plants.⁶⁴ Interestingly, 262 derivatives of lavandulol and irregular cyclopropane and cyclobutane monoterpenes and 263 sesquiterpenes are also used by hemipteran scale insects and mealy bugs as sex pheromones 264 (e.g. oleanderlure, 34, from the oleander scale, Aspidiotus nerii).65-67 In addition to these 265 compounds, a large number of acyclic and cyclic regular monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes serve 266 as sex or aggregation pheromones and defense compounds in several other hemipteran lineages 267 with stink bugs and related species being the most prominent group (e.g. murgantiol, 35, from the 268 harlequin bug *Murgantia histrionica*).⁶⁸ These terpenes will be discussed in more detail below in 269 270 the context of recent findings of their de novo biosynthesis (see 3.3).

271

272 Eusocial species of the Hymenoptera rely on complex communication and defense systems that 273 are mediated by chemicals released from exocrine/secretory glands. The released compounds 274 largely facilitate social organization and colony defense⁶⁹, often through the emission of terpenes. For example, the red imported fire ant, Solenopsis invicta, uses isomers of the linear 275 sesquiterpene (Z,E)- α -farnesene (36) in its worker trail pheromone.⁷⁰ Similar emissions of 276 monoterpenes such as (*E*)- β -ocimene (**37**) occur in species of army ants⁷¹, and blends of linear 277 278 monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes including (Z)-citral (neral) (38), geraniol (39), and farnesol (40) compose the trail pheromone blend secreted from the Nasonov gland of the honey bee Apis 279 280 mellifera (Fig. 5).⁷² Other examples include (S)-citronellol (41), a pheromone of male Bombus bumblebees to attract virgin gueens⁷³, and the monoterpenes (+)-limonene [(+)-14)] and α -281 phellandrene (42) that serve as alarm pheromones and solvents for toxic alkaloids in the poison 282 glands of Myrmicaria ant species.74 283

284 [place Fig. 5 here]

285 Monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes further occur as aggregation pheromone constituents and defense compounds in Coleoptera. Monoterpene alcohols, ketones and acetals from bark 286 287 beetles of the genera Ips and Dendroctonus (Scolytidae) are among the best studied examples 288 of aggregation pheromones. Investigation of their biosynthetic origins revealed that they are either 289 derived from host tree-specific monoterpenes [cis-/trans-verbenol (43) and verbenone (44) from 290 α -pinene (29)] or formed de novo from endogenous terpene precursors made by the MVA 291 pathway [e.g. ipsdienol (45), sulcatone (46), frontalin (47)] (Fig. 5).⁴³ Elucidation of the ipsdienol 292 biosynthetic pathway, which now has been completed⁴³, let to the identification of the first 293 endogenous IDS-type TPS enzymes in insects (see 3.3). In other Coleoptera, non-oxygenated 294 sesquiterpenes represent predominant components of their pheromone blends. The invasive, cosmopolitan Asian lady beetle Harmonia axyridis releases a female-specific sex/aggregation 295 296 pheromone primarily consisting of (*E*)- β -caryophyllene (**48**) and isomers.⁷⁵ Flea beetles of Phyllotreta and Aphthona genera also release sesquiterpenes in the form of himachalene and 297 cadinene isomers as male-specific aggregation pheromones (e.g. 49, 50).⁷⁶ Characteristic 298 terpene defense compounds found in beetles are the iridoid monoterpene chrysomelidial (18) 299 300 secreted by larvae of leaf beetles, the irregular monoterpene grandisol (51), an aggregation 301 pheromone in the cotton boll weevil, Anthonomus grandis⁷⁷, and the highly toxic sesquiterpene cantharidin (52), which is produced by male blister beetles (Meloidae) and transferred to females 302 and offspring through nuptial gifts.78 303

304

305 Lastly, terpene semiochemicals also occur in butterflies (Lepidoptera) and flies (Diptera). In 306 particular, larvae of swallowtail butterflies in the genus Papilio use blends of linear and cyclic 307 mono- and sesquiterpenes such as germacrene A (53) as defense compounds (Fig. 5). Lateinstar larvae emit these volatiles from osmeteria, which are fork like defense organs that are 308 309 everted upon threat.^{79,80} In another case, the monoterpene (*E*)- β -ocimene (**37**) is used by the males of *Heliconus* butterflies as an anti-aphrodisiac pheromone transferred to females during 310 copulation to repel conspecific males.⁸¹ The compound was recently found to be made by an IDS-311 type TPS enzyme as discussed in 3.3.2. Examples of terpenes found in dipteran sex pheromones 312 include monoterpene and sesquiterpene blends from fruit flies (Ceratitis capitata⁸², Anastrepha 313 suspensa⁸³) and homosesquiterpene or diterpene constituents (e.g. 3-methyl-himachalene, **54**) 314 from the Brazilian sand fly Lutzomyia longipalpis, which is a vector of trypanosome parasites 315 responsible for leishmaniasis disease in humans.⁸⁴ 316

317

318 Taken together, volatile and semi-volatile terpenes of substantial structural diversity are released 319 from species of at least nine insect orders (Fig. 6) ranging from simple acyclic monoterpenes in 320 bumble and honey bees to multi-cyclic diterpenes in termites. The appearance of iridoids and 321 other terpene pheromones and defenses in basal lineages of stick insects and the Blattodea 322 raises questions about how early terpene specialized metabolism emerged in insect evolution. 323 Biosynthetic studies of volatile terpenes in invertebrates (see section 3) will provide answers to 324 these questions and may also help understand the formation of these infochemicals in 325 vertebrates.

326 [place Fig. 6 here]

327

328 2.2 Vertebrates

In comparison to the diverse nature of terpenes found in invertebrate animals, the occurrence of 329 330 terpene semiochemicals in vertebrates appears rather limited. While chemical communication 331 and scent marking are integral components of vertebrate communication, the reduced abundance of terpenes is not entirely surprising given the expansion of other mechanisms of communication 332 including acoustic, visual, and tactile signals. However, there may be other reasons for the limited 333 334 use of terpenes in higher animals such as possible adverse physiological effects of specialized terpene compounds. Other causes may include competition and confusion with terpene signals 335 that evolved earlier in plants and lower animals or simply to escape scent-guided predation by 336 337 other animals. However, there is currently no clear evidence for any of these assumptions.

338

339 **2.2.1 Amphibians**

340 Some of the most interesting findings of terpene semiochemicals in recent years have been made 341 in amphibians, more specifically in anuran amphibians comprising frogs and toads. African reed frogs of the family Hyperoliidae and species in the family Mantellidae, which is endemic to 342 Madagascar, use pheromones for chemical communication.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷ Mantellid males release volatile 343 compounds from scent glands on the ventral sides of their shanks. These compounds consist of 344 alcohols and macrocyclic lactones (macrolides)⁸⁶, which can be perceived by the olfactory system 345 of the froqs.⁸⁸ Males of African red froqs attract females by inflating vocal sacs that carry colorful 346 347 glands. Unexpectedly, these glands release sesquiterpenes besides aliphatic macrolides in complex species-specific mixtures. One of the sesquiterpenes was identified as the sesquiterpene 348 macrolactone (S)-3,7,11-dodec-6,10-dien-12-olide named frogolide (55) because of its broader 349 occurrence in both hyperoliid and mantellid families (Fig. 7).⁸⁵ Sesquiterpene macrolides are not 350 unique to frogs but occur also as tris-norsesquiterpene lactone pheromones in insects such as 351 352 the compound cucujolide I (56) in cucujid grain beetles and Pieris butterflies and its isomer suspensolide (57) in males of the Caribbean fruit fly Anastrepha suspense.⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ It is, therefore, 353 possible that frogs obtain their sesquiterpene lactones from their insect diet. However, Mencke et 354 355 al. assume that frogolide is synthesized de novo since the compound was present in frogs fed 356 with frogolide-free insect diet.⁸⁵ Similarly, Shear notes that poison frogs carry the terpene alkaloid 357 polyzonimine (11) even though their diet does not contain millipedes, which are known to 358 accumulate this compound for defense.²⁹ It might also be possible that microbes associated with 359 the frog's skin or gland represent the source of these metabolites, which warrants further 360 investigation. Finally, the presence of the common sesquiterpene (E)- β -caryophyllene (48) in skin 361 secretions of the Australian green tree frog Litoria caerulia has been shown to result from the sequestration of this compound from insect diets.⁹² 362

363 [place Fig. 7 here]

364 2.2.2 Reptiles

Volatile terpenes in reptiles have, to the best of our knowledge, only been studied in the context 365 of crocodilian chemical ecology. In particular, secretions from the paracloacal glands of crocodiles 366 have been investigated, which are believed to produce nesting and/or mating pheromones. Early 367 368 studies on smooth-fronted caimans (Paleosuchus trigonatus) and the Chinese alligator (Alligator sinensis) detected the diterpenes β -springene (19) and cembrene A (25) as well as its ketone 369 derivative 11,12-dihydrocembren-10-one (58) (Fig. 7).^{93,94} Schulz et al. later identified additional 370 371 novel acyclic monoterpene and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons in these and other alligatorid 372 species⁹⁵, and García-Rubio et al. found citronellyl esters (e.g. citronellyl acetate, **59**) among other

unidentified terpenes in the gland secretions of the American crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*).⁹⁶ It
 is tempting to speculate that crocodiles have evolved their own enzymes for the formation of these

terpene compounds; however, there is currently no immediate genomic evidence for the presenceof such proteins.

377

378 2.2.3 Mammals

379 Volatile terpenes have been documented in glandular secretions of several mammalian species. 380 Exudates of the dorsal gland of the springbok (Antidorcas marsupialis) are known to contain a 381 series of C8 to C30 terpene hydrocarbons and ketones with the diterpene hydrocarbons α - and β -springene (**19**, **60**) being the most common compounds (Fig. 7).⁹⁷ The secretions are believed 382 to serve as conspecific alarm signals. In African elephants (Loxodonta africana), the simple 383 384 sesquiterpene alcohol (*E*,*E*)-farnesol (40), its hydrate derivatives (e.g. 61) and the cyclic sesquiterpene alcohol drima- 8α , 11-diol (62) are constituents of secretions from temporal glands. 385 386 which are modified facial sweat glands that are particularly active in stressed and aggressive animals.^{98,99} More recent reports of volatile terpenes in mammals include the finding of the 387 monoterpene alcohol linalool (63) and linalool oxides in pheromone secretions from shoulder 388 glands of male Northern vellow-shouldered-bats (Sturnira parvidens).¹⁰⁰ Moreover, common 389 390 monoterpene hydrocarbons and alcohols were detected in ano-genital odor secretions used for 391 scent marking by crowned lemurs (Eulemur coronatus).¹⁰¹ A number of monoterpenes and 392 sesquiterpenes including compounds 64-68 (Fig. 7) have also been found in sternal gland 393 secretions of male koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*).¹⁰² Except for the volatiles released by the springbock and elephants, most of the compounds reported in the other cases likely originate 394 from diet sources such as terpene-rich fruits and leaves. For instance, 1,8-cineole (64) found in 395 396 scent secretions of male koalas is the predominant monoterpene constituent of the leaf essential oil of eucalyptus trees, the primary food source of koalas.¹⁰³ No monoterpene derivatives of 1,8-397 cineole were reported in the scent secretions making it unlikely that this compound is further 398 converted by P. cinereus endogenous pathways. 399

400

In summary, this survey of specialized terpenes in animals shows that several lineages of animals, especially invertebrates, have integrated terpene compounds in their semiochemical repertoire for intra- and interspecific interactions (Fig. 8). Despite the diversity of terpenes in different animal species, there is also overlap in the constituents of chemical blends. For example, the acyclic diterpene β -springene occurs in mites as well as the spingbock. Whether these metabolites are produced by similar enzymes and if terpene infochemicals are more broadly

407 synthesized de novo in the animal kingdom is in many cases not well understood, with discoveries

- 408 of genes and enzymes just beginning to emerge.
- 409 [place Fig. 8 here]
- 410

411 **3** Terpene biosynthetic pathways and enzymes in insect pheromone/defense

412 biosynthesis

Since the majority of terpene specialized metabolites has been identified in plants and microbes, 413 414 terpene biosynthetic enzymes have largely been elucidated in these organisms, and comparatively little attention has been given to the discovery of equivalent enzymatic steps in 415 416 animals. The fact that animals can sequester specialized metabolites from their food sources or 417 microbial symbionts has complicated the search for de novo biosynthetic pathways. However, an 418 increasing number of high-quality transcriptomes and genomes, which allow detailed genomic and phylogenetic comparisons, facilitate the discovery of terpene biosynthetic genes in animals. 419 420 Here we review recent findings of enzymes involved in terpene de novo biosynthesis in insects 421 and arachnids.

422

423 **3.1 Biosynthesis of iridoids**

424 Methylcyclopentanoid monoterpenes or iridoids act as defensive compounds and sex 425 pheromones in a number of different insects. Several of the same compounds also occur in plants 426 where they are involved in defensive activities.¹⁰⁴ For instance, nepetalactone (23) is best known 427 as the characteristic iridoid compound of catnip (Nepeta cataria) and functions as an insect repellent, but it also serves as a sex pheromone in aphids.^{42,105} Gene cluster analysis in *Nepeta* 428 led to the identification of the nepetalactone biosynthetic pathway in this species.¹⁰⁶ An equivalent 429 430 pathway has been elucidated for the formation of *cis-trans*-nepetalactol (69), which is a precursor in the biosynthesis of pharmacologically important monoterpene indole alkaloids in Madagascar 431 periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus*).^{107,108} The identification of these pathways in plants raised the 432 question of the existence of similarly evolved pathways in insects. Several enzymatic steps were 433 initially characterized in the production of iridoid-related dialdehydes such as chrysomelidial (18), 434 which are made by larvae of the chrysomelid leaf beetle Phaedon cochleariae.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹³ Most of these 435 436 steps have been verified by the just completed identification of the entire biosynthetic pathway of the nepetalactone sex pheromone in the pea aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum (Fig. 9).¹¹⁴ Sexual 437 females of A. pisum exclusively secrete (1R,4aS,7S,7aR)-cis-trans-nepetalactol (69) and 438 (4aS,7S,7aR)-cis-trans-nepetalactone (23) from glands of their hind legs. The elegant study by 439 440 Köllner et al. determined pathway specific gene candidates by differential gene expression

441 analysis of the hind legs and the non-pheromone producing front legs of sexual females as well 442 as the hind legs of asexual females and males.¹¹⁴ Functional characterization of target gene 443 candidates (Table 1) established a 7-step pathway (Fig. 9), which starts with the formation of GPP (3) by a GPP synthase homolog of the *P. cochleariae* bifunctional GPP/FPP synthase enzyme 444 that shares the same Co²⁺/Mg²⁺ metal dependency. This initial step is followed by the conversion 445 of GPP to geraniol (39) catalyzed by a dolichyldiphosphatase-type homologue (ApGES), and a 446 447 subsequent hydroxylation to 8-hydroxygeraniol (70) by a cytochrome P450 enzyme of clan 3 (ApG8H). The alcohol is then converted in a two-step oxidation by an NADP-dependent short-448 449 chain dehydrogenase (ApHGO) to form 8-oxo-geranial (71). The resulting aldehyde is 450 subsequently reduced to 8-oxo-citronellyl enol (72) and cyclized to *cis-trans*-nepetalactol by a membrane-bound reductase (ApISY) related to polyprenol type reductases. The final oxidation to 451 cis-trans-nepetalactone is catalyzed by a GMC-type oxidase (ApNEPO).¹¹⁴ 452

453 [Place Fig. 9 here]

454

Interestingly, with the exception of the GPP synthase, which is likely localized in mitochondria, 455 several enzymes of the pathway are presumably associated with the ER membrane (ApGES, 456 457 ApG8H, ApISY) or targeted to the ER lumen (ApNEPO). This membrane-specific association 458 suggests that the proteins could be organized in the form of a metabolon. Metabolons that 459 modulate metabolic flux and facilitate efficient channeling of intermediates have been 460 documented for a number of secondary metabolic pathways in plants,¹¹⁵ whereas still little is 461 known about such protein complexes in insects.¹¹⁶ Comparison of the aphid-specific enzymes 462 with those identified in leaf beetles indicates that several of the pathway genes evolved independently in these insect lineages. Moreover, a comparison with the plant-specific formation 463 464 of nepetalactone in *Catharanthus* and *Nepeta* reveals that plants and insects employ the same enzymatic steps but use unrelated enzymes. For instance, in plants, TPS enzymes catalyze the 465 formation of geraniol,^{106,107} whereas this step is mediated by a phosphatase in aphids. In addition, 466 aphids use a membrane bound polyprenol reductase-like protein for the formation of nepetalactol; 467 468 by contrast, plants employ members of the short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR) family to catalyze this step.^{106,108} The finding of these independent iridoid biosynthetic pathways 469 470 represents a powerful example of convergent evolution in the metabolism of volatile terpene semiochemicals in plants and animals. 471

472 [Place Table 1 here]

473

474 **3.2 Horizontal gene transfer of terpene synthases in mites**

475 A surprising finding of TPS gene families has recently been made in the genomes of trombidid 476 mites. Larvae in the superfamilies of the Trombiculoidea (chiggers) and Trombidioidea (velvet 477 mites) feed as ectoparasites on vertebrates or other arthropods, respectively.³⁹ Genomes of the chigger Leptotrombidium deliense and the velvet mite Dinothrombium tinctorium were found to 478 479 contain a family of 39 putative sesqui-TPS genes and a related family of 21 TPS genes, respectively. An additional group of 17 TPS genes was identified in L. delicense. These genes 480 481 and their encoded proteins are most closely related to fungal and bacterial TPSs, albeit with sequence identity of less than 30%. The absence of homologs in other arthropods or metazoans 482 483 suggests that the TPS genes are the result of ancient lateral gene transfers from soil-derived 484 bacteria and fungi. This horizontal gene transfer is similar to that of carotenoid biosynthetic genes. which are responsible for the orange coloration in both types of mites.³⁹ The biochemical function 485 486 of the detected TPS genes and the role of their putative terpene products as pheromones or defense compounds is currently unknown. It remains to be determined why such large gene 487 488 families have been maintained in the mite genomes and to what extent functionally active genes may be correlated with the release of terpene blends. 489

490

491 **3.3 IDS-like terpene synthases in insects**

492 With the exception of the recently discovered TPS genes in mite genomes, insects have generally 493 been thought to lack homologs of canonical microbial and plant TPSs and thus the ability to 494 synthesize specialized terpenes via endogenous pathways. However, early isotope-labeling 495 experiments questioned this notion. For instance, experiments with ¹⁴C-labeled precursors in the 496 bark beetle *lps pini* provided evidence that the monoterpene aggregation pheromone ipsdienol (45) is synthesized de novo via the MVA pathway.¹¹⁷ A combination of biochemical and 497 transcriptome analyses further determined a coordinated regulation of terpene biosynthetic genes 498 499 with tissue specificity in the midgut and elevated expression in *I. pini* males, and upon treatment with juvenile hormone III.43 This approach led to the identification of a bifunctional IDS/TPS 500 enzyme, which makes GPP (3) from IPP (1) and DMAPP (2) and subsequently converts GPP to 501 the ipsdienol precursor myrcene (32) (Fig. 10A, Table 1).^{118,119} It should be noted that all 502 503 subsequent steps from myrcene to ipsdienol have also been elucidated.⁴³ The GPP/myrcene 504 synthase was found to be structurally related to canonical IDS enzymes¹¹⁸ and carries two aspartate-rich motifs (DDIMD, NDFKD). These motifs, typically called first and second aspartate 505 rich motifs (FARM and SARM), are characteristic of IDS proteins. The *I. pini* synthase might be 506 507 targeted to peroxisomes based on computational predictions of its transit peptide. Despite its 508 similarity to canonical IDS proteins, the *I. pini* enzyme shares 20% or lower amino acid sequence

509 identity with the I. pini FPP synthase and insect GGPP synthases such as Drosophila

510 *melanogaster* GGPP synthase, which indicates an early divergence of this protein from canonical

- 511 insect IDS enzymes.
- 512 [place Fig. 10 here]
- 513 **3.3.1 FPP synthase type terpene synthases**

514 3.3.1.1 Phyllotreta striolata

515 Almost ten years after the discovery of an IDS-type TPS gene in *I. pini*, a family of similar genes was identified in a leaf beetle, the striped flea beetle *Phyllotreta striolata* (Chrysomelidae).¹²⁰ Four 516 517 out of five IDS-type genes were functionally characterized as sesquiterpene synthases. Among 518 those, the recombinant protein of the male-expressed PsTPS1 gene was found to convert (Z.E)-FPP (73) to (6R,7S)-himachala-9,11-diene (74), a major constituent of the P. striolata aggregation 519 pheromone, together with five other sesquiterpenes including 75 and y-cadinene (76) (Fig. 10B, 520 Table 1). Interestingly, *Ps*TPS1 requires a (Z,E)-FPP isomer as a substrate, which is made by an 521 unusual, cis-double bond forming IDS (PsIDS3) from GPP and IPP (Fig. 10B).¹²⁰ The other 522 functionally active TPS enzymes converted (E,E)-FPP to (E)-nerolidol (31) (PsTPS4) and 523 524 mixtures of sesquiterpenes (PsTPS2 and 3). These enzymatic products were not detected in vivo 525 since the corresponding genes are expressed at low levels in males and females. PsTPS1 and 526 the *P. striolata* (*E*,*E*)-FPP synthase (*Ps*IDS1) carry putative mitochondrial targeting sequences, 527 indicating a subcellular compartmentalization in the formation of the volatile sesquiterpenes. The 528 study also provided insight into the evolution of the P. striolata TPS genes. Gene structures of 529 PsTPS1, PsIDS1 and PsIDS3 comprised four exons and three introns. The positions of these 530 introns and the intron phases were conserved in canonical IDS genes from other Coleoptera, 531 Lepidoptera and Diptera, which indicated an emergence of IDS-type TPS genes from an IDS progenitor, presumably a FPP synthase.¹²⁰ In addition, *Ps*IDS1, *Ps*IDS3, and homologs from other 532 insects were found to be under strong purifying selection, indicating a selective removal of 533 534 deleterious variants to preserve IDS function in core metabolism. In contrast, the IDS-type TPS 535 genes are under relaxed constraints, which is in agreement with the neofunctionalization and diversification of these genes in the evolution of pheromones and chemical communication. 536

537

538 3.3.1.2 Pentatomids

539 Tholl and collaborators have investigated the presence of IDS-type TPS genes in stink bugs 540 (Pentatomidae), a diverse family in the order of pierce-sucking hemipteran insects, which 541 comprises herbivorous and carnivorous species.¹²¹ Due to their ability to easily adapt to different 542 environmental conditions, several species of stink bugs have become important pests with

Natural Product Reports

543 economic impact on agricultural crops in the Neotropics and worldwide.⁴¹ Mature males of at least 544 ten genera of pentatomids emit sesquiterpenes with a bisabolane-type skeleton as sex or 545 aggregation pheromones such as (6S,7R)- β -sesquiphellandrene (77) in the red banded stink bug. Piezodorus guildinii, cis-zingiberenol [(3R,6R,7S)-1,10-bisaboladien-3-ol] (78) in the rice stink 546 547 bug, Oebalus poecilus, 10,11-epoxy-1-bisabolen-3-ol (35) in the harlequin bug, M. histrionica and the brown marmorated stink bug, Halyomorpha halys, and trans/cis-(Z)- α -bisabolene epoxide (79) 548 in the Southern green stink bug, Nezara viridula (Fig. 11).41,122 The compounds are released as 549 mixtures of distinct stereoisomeric composition, sometimes in combination with fatty acid 550 551 derivatives.⁴¹ The structural relationships of the terpene constituents of stink bug pheromones suggests that they could be synthesized de novo by evolutionary related pathways instead of 552 being made from sequestered precursors. This notion is supported by the fact that stink bug 553 554 specialists and generalists feed on different host plants, many of which do not synthesize 555 bisabolane type sesquiterpenes or make them only in limited amounts.

556 [Place Fig. 11 here]

557

558 The first investigation of IDS-like TPS genes was performed in the harlequin bug, Murgantia 559 histrionica (tribe Strachiini), which is a crucifer specialist native to Central America and invasive 560 in the southeast of the United States. Mature males of *M. histrionica* emit an aggregation pheromone. which is composed of the (3S,6S,7R,10S)- and (3S,6S,7R,10R)-stereoisomers of 561 562 10,11-epoxy-1-bisabolen-3-ol (35) named murgantiol (Fig. 12A).^{123,124} The pheromone attracts both males and females as well as nymphs. Sex- and development-specific transcriptome 563 analyses led to the identification of a canonical (E,E)-FPP synthase (MhFPPS) and an IDS-type 564 TPS (MhTPS), which converts (E,E)-FPP (4) to (1S,6S,7R)-1,10-bisaboladien-1-ol, called 565 sesquipiperitol (80), as an intermediate in the pathway leading to murgantiol (Fig. 12A, Table 566 1).^{123,125} Sesquipiperitol is also produced in plant species of the Asteraceae, Zingiberaceae, and 567 Cupressaceae families (e.g. Sy and Brown¹²⁶). MhTPS presumably catalyzes a carbocation 568 mediated reaction typical of a type I TPS enzyme.⁸ In this reaction, a nerolidyl carbocation is first 569 formed by a metal ion-catalyzed cleavage of the carbon-oxygen bond to release the 570 pyrophosphate molety of FPP. Next, a bisabolyl cation is generated by a 1,6 ring closure followed 571 572 by a hydride shift to form a sesquipiperityl cation and subsequent guenching of the carbocation with water (Fig. 12A). Analysis of *Mh*FPPS and *Mh*TPS transcript abundances showed an equal 573 expression of *Mh*FPPS at nymphal and adult stages. By contrast, *Mh*TPS is most highly 574 575 expressed in mature males and exhibits highest transcript levels in epithelial cells associated with 576 the cuticle of the ventral abdominal sternites, from which the pheromone is likely released.¹²³

577

578 Interestingly, the pheromone constituents of the invasive brown marmorated stink bug 579 Halyomorpha halys (Stål) (tribe Cappaeini), which is native to Asia, share the same skeleton as murgantiol but with a different stereoisomeric composition of (3S,6S,7R,10S)-10,11-epoxy-1-580 bisabolen-3-ol and (3R,6S,7R,10S)-10,11-epoxy-1-bisabolen-3-ol being (35) emitted in a 3.5:1 581 mixture (Fig. 12A).¹²⁷ To investigate whether the pheromones of *M. histrionica* and *H. halys*, which 582 583 are native to different geographical regions, are produced by closely related enzymes or may be the result of convergent evolution, IDS-like genes were mined in H. halys genome and 584 585 transcriptome resources.^{128,129} A family of seven IDS-like genes was discovered, of which two were characterized as functionally active sesqui-TPSs (HhTPS1, HhTPS2) (Table 1) and a third 586 was identified as a canonical (E,E)-FPP synthase (HhFPPS).⁶⁸ HhTPS1 was found to be a 587 putative ortholog of MhTPS1, which shares more than 80% amino acid sequence with the M. 588 histrionica enzyme and converts (E,E)-FPP to the same (1S,6S,7R)-sesquipiperitol intermediate 589 590 (80) as in the *M. histrionica* pheromone biosynthetic pathway. Similar to *M. histrionica*, *Hh*TPS1 is most highly expressed in mature males, in agreement with the male-specific release of the 591 pheromone. However, its tissue-specific expression is highest in the fat body, suggesting a 592 593 different localization of the pheromone-specific enzymes in H. halvs and M. histrionica. HhTPS2, 594 which encodes a multi-sesquiterpene synthase, shows comparatively low expression in males 595 and females, and the function of this gene remains unclear.⁶⁸ The downstream enzymatic steps 596 from sesquipiperitol to 10,11-epoxy-1-bisabolen-3-ol are not yet identified but presumably include 597 a conversion to a zingiberenol isomer (81) and an epoxidation at C10/C11 by a cytochrome P450 598 monooxygenase enzyme (Fig. 12A). Zingiberenol and sesquipiperitol have also been identified 599 as pheromone constituents of other stink bugs such as the rice stink bugs Oebalus poecilus and 600 Mormidea v-luteum, and the rice stalk stink bug Tibraca limbativentris (tribe Carpocorini), all of which are severe pests in South America.¹³⁰⁻¹³² This finding and the identification of two closely 601 602 related sesquipiperitol synthases in *M. histrionica* and *H. halys* suggest that the enzymatic steps 603 in terpene pheromone formation in these species have been evolutionary conserved independent 604 of their tribe and geographic origin.

605 [Place Fig. 12 here]

606

Another pheromone biosynthetic pathway in stink bugs leads to the formation of *trans-/cis-(Z)-* α bisabolene epoxide (**79**) (Fig. 12B). The epoxide isomers are released by the males of the southern green stink bug *Nezara viridula*, which is a globally invasive pest with the origin in East Africa.^{133,134} The same isomers are emitted by the neotropical green stink bug *Chinavia*

Natural Product Reports

611 impicticornis, a close relative in the same tribe (Nezarini) as N. viridula. Comparative 612 transcriptome analyses of N. viridula mature males and females discovered an N. viridula IDS-613 type TPS enzyme (NvTPS1), which catalyzes the conversion of (E,E)-FPP to (+)-(S,Z)- α bisabolene (82) as the likely precursor of the sesquiterpene pheromone (Fig. 12B, Table 1).¹³⁵ 614 The biosynthetic pathway is presumably localized in glandular cells at the ventral abdomen of 615 mature males, from which the pheromone is emitted.¹³⁶ Unexpectedly, a functionally active 616 617 sesquipiperitol synthase gene (NvTPS2) was identified in N. viridula genome and transcriptome 618 resources, which encodes a protein with approximately 80% amino acid sequence identity to the 619 corresponding enzymes of *M. histrionica* and *H. halys*.⁶⁸ This finding is surprising since *N. viridula* 620 neither releases sesquipiperitol or any other compound of the murgantiol pheromone complex nor stores non-volatile derivatives of sesquipiperitol. The conserved status of the sesquipiperitol 621 622 synthase independent of its role in pheromone biosynthesis suggests that the enzyme had additional or other functions in the common progenitor of these pentatomid species. 623

624

625 **3.3.1.3 Genomic organization and evolution of stink bug and hemipteran IDS-like genes**

626 The availability of quality genomes of Н. halys and Ν. viridula (¹²⁸. 627 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJEB47893/) has allowed a more detailed investigation of the architecture and genomic position of the IDS-type TPS genes in these species. The six 628 629 IDS-like genes of *H. halys* were found to be organized in two separate clusters, each of which most likely emerged by gene duplication (Fig. 13A, B).⁶⁸ The canonical *Hh*FPPS gene shares only 630 low sequence identity with the IDS-like genes and is positioned independently of the IDS-like 631 clusters, which suggests that this gene is derived from a more ancient duplication event. Genes 632 of the N. viridula IDS-like family are organized in a similar fashion, although in the form of a single 633 634 three-gene cluster (NvTPS1 and two uncharacterized IDS genes), with NvTPS2 and NvFPPS being positioned separately on two other chromosomes (Fig. 13A).68 635

636 [place Fig. 13 here]

A closer analysis of the architecture of the H. halys canonical FPPS and IDS-like genes revealed 637 638 an identical composition of seven introns and eight exons and identical positions of nearly all intron phases. The intron phases are conserved in FPPS genes of other hemipteran and 639 640 blattodean insects, which provides evidence for a shared ancestral exon-intron structure of IDS genes in these lineages.⁶⁸ Interestingly, the coleopteran FPPS genes and the IDS-type TPS 641 genes of *P. striolata* have a reduced number of three introns.¹²⁰ This difference in gene 642 643 architecture between representatives of the Hemiptera and Coleoptera supports the hypothesis of an independent emergence of TPS genes from FPPS progenitors in these orders. 644

645

To gain a more in-depth understanding of the evolution of IDS-like genes in Pentatomids and 646 Hemiptera that are known to release volatile terpenes as pheromones or defense secretions. 647 Rebholz et al. mined hemipteran IDS-like genes from NCBI nucleotide and transcriptome 648 649 assembly databases using H. halys FPPS and IDS-like protein sequences as search queries.⁶⁸ 650 The search resulted in the identification of nearly 300 unique sequences, with 80% classified as canonical type FPPSs and the remaining 20% classified as IDS (FPPS)-like proteins with the 651 652 potential to function as TPSs (Fig. 14). Phylogenetic analysis of the IDS-like proteins indicated a 653 paralogous division of the pentatomid sequences in two clades, named TPS-a and TPS-b clades. 654 in agreement with the position of the corresponding genes in two different clusters (Fig. 13, 14). 655 The clades most likely evolved from a common ancestor of the Pentatomidae or possibly the Pentatomoidea superfamily approximately more than 100 million years ago. In agreement with 656 657 the neofunctionalization of IDS-like genes and in contrast to the conserved clade of canonical FPPS genes, the pentatomid TPS clades evolved under positive selective pressure.⁶⁸ However, 658 genes within both TPS clades have undergone limited inter- and intraspecific diversification 659 660 following clade-specific divergence, which is evidenced by the conservation of sesquipiperitol 661 synthases in different species (Fig. 12). Thus, Pentatomids seemingly have maintained small-size gene families that generate a limited number of terpene intermediates. Limited steps of 662 derivatizations and combinations with other metabolites such as fatty acid derivatives are 663 664 sufficient to generate species-specific pheromone blends.⁴¹ A cross-kingdom comparison shows that pentatomid TPS gene families are notably smaller than those of flowering plants^{15,137-139}, 665 despite similar evolutionary time spans of more than 100 million years (Fig. 13B). The 666 diversification of plant TPSs into several subfamilies is associated with the synthesis of complex 667 terpene mixtures, which are believed to have multiple functions in attraction and defense and 668 669 presumably target a larger number of organisms than the smaller compound mixtures released 670 by insects.⁸ Therefore, it can be assumed that the diversification of TPS genes in Pentatomids 671 and other insects is directed by more specific chemical interactions and perhaps other constraints. 672 [place Fig. 14 here]

673

Several currently uncharacterized IDS-like genes, which may be associated with the formation of volatile terpenes, have been identified in other species of Pentatomids and the two main suborders of the Hemiptera, the Heteroptera and the Sternorrhyncha. For instance, IDS-like genes with close similarity to sesquipiperitol synthases were found to be expressed in the predatory spined soldier bug, *Podisus maculiventris*, which releases monoterpene alcohol

pheromones including *trans*-piperitol (83), the C10 analog of sesquipiperitol (Fig. 14).¹⁴⁰ Several 679 680 other families in the heteropteran infraorders Pentatomomorpha and Cimicomorpha are known to 681 secrete monoterpenes for defense or attraction: Acanthosomatidae (shield bugs), Cimicidae (bed bugs), Cycnidae (burrowing bugs), Miridae (plant bugs), Lygaeidae (seed bugs), Pyrrhocoridae 682 (red bugs), Tingidae (lace bugs) and others.⁶⁸ In conjunction with these findings, IDS-like gene 683 transcripts have been identified in the burrower bug Sehirus cinctus and the boxelder bug Boisea 684 685 *trivittata*, which are known to release monoterpenes such as α -pinene (**29**) and 3-carene (**84**), respectively (Fig. 14).¹⁴¹⁻¹⁴³ In the hemipteran suborder Sternorrhyncha, IDS-like transcripts are 686 687 present in scale insects (infraorder Coccomorpha) including the lac insect Kerria lacca, which excretes cyclic sesquiterpene acids as lac components.¹⁴⁴ Another group of insects in which IDS-688 like transcripts have been identified are mealybugs (infraorder Coccomorpha) such as the cotton 689 690 mealybug, Phenacoccus solenopsis, which releases a methylbutenoate ester of the cyclobutane monoterpene *R*-maconelliol as a sex pheromone (85) (Fig. 14).⁶⁵ Related irregular monoterpenes 691 692 (e.g. lavandulol, 33) are also made by plant TPSs that catalyze an irregular coupling between isoprenoid units.¹⁴⁵ On the other hand, IDS-like genes are absent in aphids despite the presence 693 694 of β-farnesene as a well-known alarm pheromone in this hemipteran group.⁴² Moreover, no IDS-695 like genes were identified in the suborders Auchenorrhyncha (including cicadas and plant 696 hoppers) and Coleorrhyncha (including moss bugs) in agreement with the lack of terpenes in 697 these orders.⁶⁸ Overall, the large-scale phylogeny of IDS-like sequences in the Hemiptera 698 supports an ancient emergence of these genes from canonical FPP synthases, possibly in a 699 shared progenitor more than 350 million years ago (Fig. 14), and suggests that volatile terpenes 700 are synthesized de novo in several hemipteran lineages. Further functional characterization of 701 IDS-like genes will provide more insight into the extent of terpene biosynthetic evolution in 702 hemipteran insects.

703

704 **3.3.2 GGPP synthase type terpene synthases**

705 IDS-like TPSs in insects are not only derived from FPPS enzymes but also evolved from geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthases (GGPPSs). Evidence for GGPPS-derived TPSs was 706 707 provided by a recent finding of two GGPPS-like proteins with monoterpene synthase activity in the butterfly *Heliconius melpomene*.¹⁴⁶ Males of *H. melpomene* transfer the monoterpene β-708 ocimene (37) as an anti-aphrodisiac pheromone to females during mating to prevent subsequent 709 mating attempts by other males.¹⁴⁷ However, the formation of the pheromone varies between the 710 *Heliconius* species.¹⁴⁸ In order to determine the genetic origin of β -ocimene synthesis. Darragh et 711 712 al. generated genetic mapping families between the β -ocimene producing *H. melpomene* and the

non-producing closely related species H. cydno.¹⁴⁶ The authors identified a QTL region with eight 713 714 GGPPS-like genes derived from repeated gene duplications. One of these genes was found to 715 encode a functional β -ocimene synthase (Fig. 15, Table 1), while the enzyme encoded by a second gene (HMEL037108g1) converted GPP and FPP to the monoterpene and sesquiterpene 716 717 alcohols (S)- and (R)-linalool (63) and nerolidol (31, stereoisomer not determined), respectively. The in vivo function of the latter enzyme is unknown. Both proteins exhibit residual IDS activity, 718 719 which may indicate their evolution though subfunctionalization from a bifunctional IDS/TPS progenitor. In agreement with the absence of β -ocimene in *H. cydno*, the β -ocimene synthase 720 721 ortholog in this species was found to be functionally inactive, probably due to several non-722 synonymous mutations in the coding sequence. Similar to FPPS-like TPSs and in contrast to the conserved canonical GGPPSs, the evolution of the two monoterpene synthases occurred under 723 724 relaxed selection constraints. Several pseudogenes were identified in the GGPPS-like family, indicating loss-of-function events. 725

726 [place Fig. 15 here]

It is possible that other insects which use β -ocimene as a pheromone, such as bumble bees and 727 728 honey bees, have GGPPS-like or perhaps FPPS-like proteins that make β-ocimene. It is curious 729 to note that the *H. melpomene* β -ocimene synthase is unable to convert GGPP to a diterpene 730 product, which suggests possible constraints in accommodating GGPP as a substrate. This 731 scenario might be different in other insect lineages. For instance, a family of GGPPS-like genes 732 was found to be expressed in soldiers of the nasute termite Nasutitermes takasagoensis.¹⁴⁹ The 733 defensive secretions of these termites contain a mixture of diterpenes and monoterpenes, which 734 may be produced by the GGPPS-like enzymes. Based on these findings, it can be assumed that 735 GGPPS-type genes with TPS function have emerged independently multiple times throughout insect evolution. This is supported by the identification of a GGPPS-like TPS in the green tea 736 737 leafhopper *Empoasca onukii*, which converts GPP into geraniol (**39**).¹⁵⁰ The authors suggest that geraniol synthase activity is also present in other lepidopteran and coleopteran species. 738

739

740 **4 Structural and mechanistic evolution of insect IDS-like TPSs**

Phylogenetic evidence for the emergence of IDS-like TPS enzymes from IDS progenitors in insects raises the question of which mutations and structural modifications facilitated this evolutionary transition. While extensive experimental proof is still missing, O'Maille and coworkers have developed a structural and mechanistic model for the change in catalytic function from IDS to TPS proteins.¹⁵¹ IDS and TPS enzymes generally share a common alpha-helical protein domain (α-domain) fold^{152,153}, suggesting an ancient common origin. Whereas TPS protein

Natural Product Reports

sequences in microbes and plants no longer have a close evolutionary relationship with IDS
 proteins of these organisms, the recruitment of IDS to TPS proteins seems to have occurred more
 recently in insects.

750

751 IDS proteins carry two conserved aspartate rich motifs (DDxxD) called FARM and SARM, which are positioned on the opposite sides of the active site. These motifs facilitate the cleavage of the 752 diphosphate moiety of the allylic substrate via coordination of Mg²⁺ ions. Insect IDS-like TPS 753 proteins possess the same motifs but show more frequent substitutions of the first and third 754 755 aspartate of the SARM (Table 2, Fig. 16). In addition, aromatic amino acid residues in positions 4 and 5 upstream of the FARM of bonafide FPPS proteins are substituted by non-aromatic 756 757 residues in IDS-like sesqui-TPSs.^{120,123,135} Molecular docking of (E, E)-FPP in the active-site cavity of a *M. histrionica* TPS homology model showed that these residue changes appear to be critical 758 759 for the positioning of the FPP prenyl side chain into the cavity to facilitate subsequent cyclization.¹²³ Substitutions of the non-aromatic residues in *Mh*TPS with aromatic amino acids led 760 to the loss of TPS activity, confirming this assumption.¹²³ The reciprocal substitutions in the 761 MhFPPS protein did not abolish IDS activity but caused the formation of GGPP instead of FPP 762 763 due to the ability of the enzyme to accommodate an extended prenyl chain. The loss of aromatic 764 residues upstream of the FARM is typical for long-chain trans-IDS enzymes (≥C20), including 765 insect GGPPSs.^{146,154} By contrast, the bifunctional GPPS/TPS enzyme from *I. pini* maintains 766 aromatic amino acids in this position because a presumably smaller-size cavity of this protein is 767 sufficient to accommodate the short chain GPP product/substrate.^{118,119}

768 [place Table 2 here]

769

While residues upstream of the FARM seem to be critical for a proper positioning of the substrate 770 771 in insect TPSs, Rebholz et al. hypothesize that the transition from IDS to TPS catalytic function largely depends on a change in the binding or position of the IPP substrate relative to DMAPP.¹⁵¹ 772 To test this hypothesis, a set of 20 IPP-binding residues positioned \leq 5Å away from IPP were 773 identified in the crystal structure of a Homo sapiens FPPS in complex with IPP by using the RING 774 web server in combination with residue network interaction analysis in Cytoscape and structural 775 analysis in Chimera.¹⁵⁵⁻¹⁵⁷ The identified amino acids comprise basic residues that bind to the 776 diphosphate moiety of IPP, a ring of residues encircling the isoprenyl tail, and residues that 777 778 interact with both of these moieties. The residues are organized into six IPP binding motifs (IBMs) 779 and were found to be conserved across IDS sequences from diverse organisms including 780 animals, plants and fungi (Table 2, Fig. 16).

781 [place Fig. 16 here]

782 The residues that bind IPP orient the substrate and its prenyl tail in a way that allows condensation 783 with the nascent carbocation formed from DMAPP. Modifications of these critical residues in IDStype TPS proteins lead to alterations of the electrostatic nature of the IPP binding pocket (Fig. 784 17). These changes may misalign IPP and DMAPP and disrupt their condensation, which likely 785 allows competing TPS reactions of allylic substrates to occur. In agreement with this assumption, 786 90% of the IBM residues were found to be modified in characterized insect TPS enzymes. For 787 example, in the first IBM of hemipteran TPSs, the basic diphosphate binding residues are 788 789 substituted with large aromatic residues (Table 2, Fig. 16), one of which is also conserved in its 790 equivalent position in plant TPSs. Furthermore, several substitutions of residues interacting with 791 the isoprenyl tail of IPP occur in the fourth IBM motif (Table 2, Fig. 16). Multiple residue 792 substitutions are also present in the TPSs of Coleoptera and Lepidoptera; however, the substitution patterns are unique among the different taxonomic lineages, supporting independent 793 events of TPS evolution.151 794

795 [place Fig. 17 here]

796

797 Lancaster et al. tested whether a re-introduction of the motif KKxR in the IBM1 of MhTPS (Table 798 2) through replacement of the corresponding SDAW sequence could convert the TPS enzyme 799 into an IDS.¹²³ While no IDS activity was gained, the protein lost TPS activity, indicating an 800 essential role of the SDAW residues in TPS function. A reciprocal substitution in the MhFPPS 801 also caused a loss of IDS activity, which further supports the critical role of the KKxR residues in IPP binding. To fully identify the residues that control the transition between IDS and TPS, 802 803 O'Maille and co-workers currently perform combinatorial mutations paired with the identification of epistatic residue networks. A similar strategy was applied by Salmon et al. to determine residue 804 substitutions in the transition from linear to cyclic TPSs in plants.¹⁵⁸ To probe evolutionary 805 pathways of terpene cyclization, the authors of this study focused on the amino acid substitutions 806 between a β-farnesene (86) synthase (BFS) from Artemisia annua and an amorphadiene 807 synthase (ADS), which produces the bicyclic sesquiterpene amorpha-4,11-diene (87), a precursor 808 809 of artemisinin (88) (Fig. 18). Structure-based combinatorial protein engineering (SCOPE)¹⁵⁹ was 810 employed to construct two libraries of soluble and biochemically active mutant enzymes with ADS substitutions within 6 Angstroms of the BFS active site. Biochemical characterization resulted in 811 the identification of multiple enzyme variants with the ability to generate cyclic terpene products. 812 813 Chief among these products was the cyclic sesquiterpene alcohol, alpha-bisabolol (89). Quantitative determination of product-specific kinetic rates ($k_{cat,i}$) for over 100 unique enzymes 814

allowed to train quantitative models for Michaelis-Menten enzymatic free energies. These models were used to construct a family of biophysical fitness landscapes describing enzyme evolution.¹⁶⁰ It was found that most mutations leading to the formation of alpha-bisabolol tended to have adverse effects on the overall magnitudes of product-specific reaction rates except for a previously identified critical gateway mutation (Y402L) that also unlocks the cyclization reaction.¹⁵⁸ Overall, this microevolutionary exploration of sequence space allowed the identification of key residues in terpene cyclization.

822 [place Fig. 18 here]

823

To gain a broader understanding of the emergence of TPS proteins among all insects. Rebholz 824 825 et al. predicted the presence of putative IDS-like TPSs in several insect lineages beyond the pentatomids.¹⁵¹ To this end, UniProt sequences for polyprenyl synthetases (PFAM id PF00348) 826 in the taxonomic class Insecta were screened for IDS and IDS-like TPS sequences based on 827 828 distinct residue substitutions in the IBMs. The search identified more than 300 canonical IDS sequences and more than 125 putative TPSs, of which approximately 65% were found to be 829 derived from FPPSs, nearly 23% from GGPPSs, with the rest derived from decaprenyl 830 831 diphosphate (6) synthase (DPPS) like sequences (Fig. 19). Predicted TPS sequences were 832 present in six insect orders: Blattodea, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, and 833 Diptera.151

834 [place Fig. 19 here]

835

The analysis revealed a family of FPPS-like TPS proteins specific to the lepidopteran genus 836 837 Papilio (swallowtail butterflies), which may be responsible for the formation of linear mono- and sesquiterpenes that are released as defense compounds by Papilio larvae. Within the 838 Lepidoptera, additional GGPPS-like sequences were found in the genome of the monarch 839 840 butterfly Danaus plexippus plexippus, which emits terpene derivatives from male hairpencils.¹⁶¹ These sequences are monophyletic to the characterized mono-TPSs in H. melpomene. Moreover, 841 GGPPS-like TPSs were predicted within blattodean termites such as Nasutitermes 842 takasagoensis. It is likely that genes of these expanded GGPPS-like families are involved in the 843 844 production of the described termite terpene metabolites (see 2.1.3). Overall, the findings by Rebholz et al.¹⁵¹ support the notion that insect TPSs originated from IDS genes and that this 845 transition likely occurred via gene duplication and divergence through mutational drift and/or 846 847 selection. Surprisingly, the study further indicates an independent emergence of TPS function not 848 only within insect orders but also among the IDS subfamilies (FPPS, GGPPS, DPPS) of single

species within the same order. This suggests that parallel functionalization of IDS enzymes forvolatile and specialized terpene biosynthesis is widespread in insects.

851

852 **5 Terpene synthases in corals**

Soft corals (octocorals) are known for their accumulation of diterpenes; however, the biosynthetic 853 origin remained unknown until recently, when a new family of terpene cyclases was discovered 854 in these organisms. Burkhardt et al.¹⁶² and Scesa et al.¹⁶³ identified more than 15 TPSs from 855 genomes and transcriptomes of several octocoral genera. Most of these enzymes were 856 characterized as diterpene cyclases and a few others were found to function as sesquiterpene 857 858 cyclases, which make the sesquiterpene hydrocarbons nepthene (90), α -muuroladiene (91), and capnellene (92) (Fig. 20, Table 1). The enzymatic diterpene products such as cembrene A and C 859 860 (25, 93), elisabethatriene (94), klysimplexin R (95), and xeniaphyllene (96) (Fig. 20, Table 1) carry the scaffolds for large groups of coral-specific diterpenes and thus represent important semi-861 862 volatile precursors in generating the tremendous chemical diversity of diterpene-mediated defenses in corals. 863

864 [place Fig. 20 here]

865 Crystal structure analysis of a cembrene A synthase from the coral *Eleutherobia rubra* revealed 866 that the protein carries the α -helical fold typical of class I TPSs but has three additional helices 867 (Fig. 21).¹⁶² The analysis further showed the presence of conserved substrate-binding motifs and 868 residues including the conserved aspartate rich motif, the NSE/DTE motif, and the previously 869 identified arginine "pyrophosphate sensor" involved in carbocation formation (Fig. 21).¹⁶⁴ In 870 contrast to plant TPS, the coral protein carries an RY motif that is conserved in microbial TPSs (e.g. Li et al.¹⁶⁵). Its overall closer structural resemblance with microbial TPSs led the authors to 871 872 speculate that an ancestral gene of the monophyletic coral TPS family might have been acquired by a common progenitor from microbial sources via horizontal gene transfer. 873

874 [place Fig. 21 here]

The evolution of these proteins predates the emergence of land plants. Interestingly, the coral TPS genes were found to cluster with P450, acyltransferase, and dehydrogenase genes among others, which presumably encode enzymes involved in secondary reactions of the TPS products.¹⁶³ These are the first biosynthetic gene clusters found in animals raising questions about their evolution and the potential presence of such clusters in other animals.

880

881 6 Conclusions and Outlook

Natural Product Reports

882 In the past five to six years, substantial progress has been made in the identification of TPS genes 883 in animals and in our understanding of how volatile terpenes and their derivatives are synthesized 884 de novo in these organisms. The findings of IDS-type TPSs in insect genomes and the recent discovery of TPSs in soft corals may indicate that endogenous terpene biosynthetic pathways 885 could be more common in animals than previously thought. The ways in which TPS gene functions 886 have been recruited, whether through evolutionary transition from IDS precursors or by ancestral 887 888 horizontal gene transfer from microbes (Fig. 21), appear to be as versatile as the diverse nature of terpene metabolites and their functions in chemical communication and defense. The finding 889 890 that the formation of terpenes in corals is associated with assemblies of biosynthetic gene clusters 891 similar to those found in microbes and plants may lead to the discovery of clusters in other animal 892 genomes, especially when longer pathways have been established. To what extent these 893 clustered genes are co-regulated in tissues or single cells in response to social or environmental signals will be of interest for future investigations. Another key question is whether terpene 894 895 pheromones released from vertebrates such as amphibians and reptiles are the products of endogenous TPS enzymes or perhaps derived from microbial symbionts. Mining of high-quality 896 genomes and gland-specific transcriptomes of these organisms should facilitate the elucidation 897 898 of the biosynthetic origin of volatile terpene compounds. Finally, the discovery of terpene 899 biosynthetic pathways in animals opens new possibilities for the biotechnological production of 900 volatile terpenes such as species-specific pheromones in the development of alternative pest 901 management strategies. For example, recent advances have been made in the metabolic 902 engineering of fatty acid-derived moth sex pheromones in oilseed crops.¹⁶⁶ Similarly, the 903 discovery of terpene biosynthetic gene clusters found in corals will undoubtedly accelerate efforts 904 in the production of bioactive and pharmaceutically valuable compounds.

905

906 7 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (MCB1920914, MCB1920922,
MCB1920925) to AVM, PEO, and DT, respectively, and the US Department of Agriculture
National Institute of Food and Agriculture (2016-67013-24759) to DT.

- 910 Credit to authors and licensing of the images used in modified form in Figures 6, 8, 9, 12-14 are911 listed in Supplementary Table 1.
- 912

913 8 Author Contributions

2R participated in the conceptualization of the article, designed figures, wrote parts of the manuscript, and edited the manuscript. PEO designed figures and edited the manuscript. AVM

- 916 wrote parts of the manuscript and edited the manuscript. DT conceptualized the article, designed
- figures, and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 918

919 9 Conflicts of interest

- 920 There are no conflicts to declare.
- 921

922 10 References

1. R. R. Junker, J. Kuppler, L. Amo, J. D. Blande, R. M. Borges, N. M. van Dam, M. Dicke, 923 924 S. Dötterl, B. K. Ehlers, F. Etl, J. Gershenzon, R. Glinwood, R. Gols, A. T. Groot, M. Heil, M. Hoffmeister, J. K. Holopainen, S. Jarau, L. John, A. Kessler, J. T. Knudsen, C. Kost, 925 A. A. C. Larue-Kontic, S. D. Leonhardt, D. Lucas-Barbosa, C. J. Majetic, F. Menzel, A. L. 926 Parachnowitsch, R. S. Pasquet, E. H. Poelman, R. A. Raguso, J. Ruther, F. P. Schiestl, 927 928 T. Schmitt, D. Tholl, S. B. Unsicker, N. Verhulst, M. E. Visser, B. T. Weldegergis and T. G. Köllner, New Phytol., 2018, 220, 739-749. 929 930 2. D. W. Christianson, Chemical Reviews, 2017, 117, 11570-11648. M. C. Lemfack, B. O. Gohlke, S. M. T. Toguem, S. Preissner, B. Piechulla and R. 931 3. 932 Preissner, Nucleic Acids Res., 2018, 46, D1261-D1265. J. T. Knudsen and J. Gershenzon, in *Biology of plant volatiles*, eds. E. Pichersky and N. 933 4. Dudareva, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2nd edn., 2020, ch. 4, 57-78. 934 935 5. E. Pichersky and N. Dudareva, Biology of plant volatiles, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2nd edn., 2020. 936 937 6. M. Morita and E. W. Schmidt, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2018, 35, 357-378. T. Reichstein, J. von Euw, J. A. Parsons and M. Rothschild, Science, 1968, 161, 861-938 7. 866. 939 940 8. D. Tholl, in *Biotechnology of isoprenoids*, eds. J. Schrader and J. Bohlmann, Springer International Publishing, 2015, vol. 148, pp. 63-106. 941 H. K. Lichtenthaler, in Chloroplast: Basics and Applications, eds. C. A. Rebeiz, C. 942 9. Benning, H. J. Bohnert, H. Daniell, J. K. Hoober, H. K. Lichtenthaler, A. R. Portis and B. 943 C. Tripathy, 2010, vol. 31, pp. 95-118. 944 945 10. M. Rohmer, Nat. Prod. Rep., 1999, 16, 565-574. H. M. Miziorko, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 2011, 505, 131-143. 946 11. H. Tao, L. Lauterbach, G. Bian, R. Chen, A. Hou, T. Mori, S. Cheng, B. Hu, L. Lu, X. Mu, 947 12. 948 M. Li, N. Adachi, M. Kawasaki, T. Moriya, T. Senda, X. Wang, Z. Deng, I. Abe, J. S. Dickschat and T. Liu, Nature, 2022, 606, 414-419. 949 R. Saiki, A. Nagata, T. Kainou, H. Matsuda and M. Kawamukai, The FEBS Journal, 950 13. 2005, **272**, 5606-5622. 951 J. D. Rudolf and C. Y. Chang, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2020, 37, 425-463. 952 14. F. Chen, D. Tholl, J. Bohlmann and E. Pichersky, Plant J., 2011, 66, 212-229. 953 15. M. B. Quin, C. M. Flynn and C. Schmidt-Dannert, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2014, **31**, 1449-1473. 954 16. J. S. Dickschat, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2016, 33, 87-110. 955 17. Q. Jia, G. Li, T. G. Köllner, J. Fu, X. Chen, W. Xiong, B. J. Crandall-Stotler, J. L. 956 18. Bowman, D. J. Weston, Y. Zhang, L. Chen, Y. Xie, F.-W. Li, C. J. Rothfels, A. Larsson, 957 S. W. Graham, D. W. Stevenson, G. K.-S. Wong, J. Gershenzon and F. Chen, Proc. 958 959 Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2016, 113, 12328-12333. 19. Z. H. Chen, Y. W. Guo and X. W. Li, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2022, DOI: 10.1039/d2np00021k. 960 961 20. R. B. Pereira, P. B. Andrade and P. Valentão, *Mar. Drugs*, 2016, 14.

962 963	21.	C. Lyu, T. Chen, B. Qiang, N. Liu, H. Wang, L. Zhang and Z. Liu, <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> , 2021, 49 , D509-d515.
964	22.	W. Fenical, R. K. Okuda, M. M. Bandurraga, P. Culver and R. S. Jacobs, <i>Science</i> , 1981.
965		212 1512-1514
966	23.	H. Ben, Y. Sawall, A. Al-Sofvani and M. Wahl, <i>Aquat. Biol.</i> , 2015, 23 , 129–137.
967	24.	G. Giordano, M. Carbone, M. L. Ciavatta, E. Silvano, M. Gavagnin, M. J. Garson, K. L.
968		Chenev, I. W. Mudianta, G. F. Russo, G. Villani, L. Magliozzi, G. Polese, C. Zidorn, A.
969		Cutignano, A. Fontana, M. T. Ghiselin and E. Mollo, <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> , 2017.
970		114 , 3451-3456.
971	25.	SM. Shen, ZY. Zhang, LG. Yao, JR. Wang, YW. Guo and XW. Li, Chin. J.
972		Chem., 2022, 40 , 235-246.
973	26.	E. P. McCauley, I. C. Piña, A. D. Thompson, K. Bashir, M. Weinberg, S. L. Kurz and P.
974		Crews, J. Antibiot., 2020, 73, 504-525.
975	27.	L. D. Mydlarz, R. S. Jacobs, J. Boehnlein and R. G. Kerr, Chem. Biol., 2003, 10, 1051-
976		1056.
977	28.	C. Avila, <i>Mar. Drugs</i> , 2020, 18 , 162.
978	29.	W. A. Shear, <i>Biochem. Syst. Ecol.</i> , 2015, 61 , 78-117.
979	30.	K. Mori and Y. Takagi, <i>Tetrahedron Lett.</i> , 2000, 41 , 6623-6625.
980	31.	J. Smolanoff, A. F. Kluge, J. Meinwald, A. McPhail, R. W. Miller, K. Hicks and T. Eisner,
981		Science, 1975, 188 , 734-736.
982	32.	M. F. Hassler, D. P. Harrison, T. H. Jones, C. H. Richart and R. A. Saporito, J. Nat.
983		<i>Prod.</i> , 2020, 83 , 2764-2768.
984	33.	Y. Kuwahara, Y. Ichiki, M. Morita, T. Tanabe and Y. Asano, <i>J. Chem. Ecol.</i> , 2015, 41 ,
985	~ ~	
986	34.	F. Juttner and S. B. Watson, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2007, 73, 4395-4406.
987	35.	O. Ekpa, J. W. Wheeler, J. C. Cokendolpher and R. M. Duffield, <i>Tetrahedron Lett.</i> , 1984,
988	26	25, 1315-1318. C. Deenstrig V. Leutsch, C. Krieper and H. L. Leis, Even Appl. Approl. 2011 54, 211
989	30.	G. Raspoling, V. Leuigeb, G. Rhsper and H. J. Leis, <i>Exp. Appl. Acarol.</i> , 2011, 34 , 211-
990 001	37	A C Skelton M M Cameron I A Pickett and M A Birkett / Med Entomol 2010
991	57.	47 798-804
993	38	N Shimizu N Mori and Y Kuwahara <i>Biosci Biotechnol Biochem</i> 2003 67 1732-
994	00.	1736
995	39.	X. Dong, K. Chaisiri, D. Xia, S. D. Armstrong, Y. Fang, M. J. Donnelly, T. Kadowaki, J.
996		W. McGarry, A. C. Darby and B. L. Makepeace. <i>Gigascience</i> . 2018. 7 .
997	40.	M. Müller and G. Buchbauer. Flavour Fragr. J., 2011. 26, 357-377.
998	41.	D. C. Weber, A. Khrimian, M. C. Blassioli-Moraes and J. G. Millar, in <i>Invasive stink bugs</i>
999		and related species (Pentatomoidea): Biology, higher systematics, semiochemistry, and
1000		management, ed. J. E. McPherson, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2018, pp. 677-
1001		725.
1002	42.	J. A. Pickett, R. K. Allemann and M. A. Birkett, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2013, 30, 1277-1283.
1003	43.	C. I. Keeling, C. Tittiger, M. MacLean and G. J. Blomquist, in Insect pheromone
1004		biochemistry and molecular biology, eds. G. J. Blomquist and R. G. Vogt, Academic
1005		Press, San Diego, 2021, pp. 123-154.
1006	44.	J. Havlíčková, K. Dolejšová, M. Tichý, V. Vrkoslav, B. Kalinová, P. Kyjaková and R.
1007		Hanus, Z. Naturforsch. C, 2019, 74, 251-264.
1008	45.	T. A. K. Prescott, J. Bramham, O. Zompro and S. K. Maciver, Biochem. Syst. Ecol.,
1009		2009, 37 , 759-760.
1010	46.	R. M. Smith, J. J. Brophy, G. W. K. Cavill and N. W. Davies, J. Chem. Ecol., 1979, 5,
1011		727-735.

1012 1013	47.	D. B. Weibel, N. J. Oldham, B. Feld, G. Glombitza, K. Dettner and W. Boland, <i>Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.</i> , 2001, 31 , 583-591.
1014	48.	C. J. Persoons, P. E. J. Verwiel, F. J. Ritter, E. Talman, P. J. F. Nooiien and W. J.
1015		Nooiien, <i>Tetrahedron Lett.</i> , 1976, 24 , 2055-2058.
1016	49	F Talman P F J Verwiel F J Ritter and C J Persoons Tetrahedron Lett 1978 17
1017	10.	227-235
1012	50	H Takegawa and S Takahashi Anni Entomol Zoolog 1989 24 435-440
1010	50. 51	S Takabashi K Watanabe S Saito and V Nomura Appl. Entomol. Zoolog. 1005 30
1019	51.	357-360.
1021	52.	T. Hirukawa, T. Shudo and T. Kato, J. Chem. SocPerkin Trans. 1, 1993, 2, 217-225.
1022	53.	J. C. Braekman, D. Daloze, A. Dupont, J. M. Pasteels and R. Ottinger, <i>Bulletin des</i>
1023		Societes Chimiques Belges, 1984, 93 , 291-298.
1024	54.	G. D. Prestwich, B. A. Solheim, J. Clardy, F. G. Pilkiewicz, I. Miura, S. P. Tanis and K.
1025		Nakanishi, <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> , 1977, 99 , 8082-8083.
1026	55.	J. Sobotnik, A. Jirosova and R. Hanus, <i>J. Insect Physiol.</i> , 2010, 56 , 1012-1021.
1027	56.	G. D. Prestwich, <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> , 1984, 29 , 201-232.
1028	57.	C. Everaerts, O. Bonnard, J. M. Pasteels, Y. Roisin and W. A. König, <i>Experientia</i> , 1990,
1029		46 , 227-230.
1030	58.	M. R. Tarver, E. A. Schmelz, J. R. Rocca and M. E. Scharf, J. Chem. Ecol., 2009, 35,
1031		256-264.
1032	59.	D. Sillam-Dusses, B. Kalinova, P. Jiros, A. Brezinova, J. Cvacka, R. Hanus, J. Sobotnik,
1033		C. Bordereau and I. Valterova, J. Insect Physiol., 2009, 55, 751-757.
1034	60.	K. Haga, T. Suzuki, S. Kodama and Y. Kuwahara, Appl. Entomol. Zoolog., 1990, 25,
1035		138-139.
1036	61.	T. Suzuki, K. Haga, W. S. Leal, S. Kodama and Y. Kuwahara, Appl. Entomol. Zool.,
1037		1989. 24 , 222-228.
1038	62.	J. G. C. Hamilton, D. R. Hall and W. D. J. Kirk, J. Chem. Ecol., 2005, 31 , 1369-1379.
1039	63.	S. Niassy, A. Tamiru, J. G. C. Hamilton, W. D. J. Kirk, R. Mumm, C. Sims, W. J. de
1040		Kogel, S. Ekesi, N. K. Manjanja, K. Bandi, F. Mitchell and S. Subramanjan, J. Chem.
1041		<i>Ecol.</i> , 2019, 45 , 348-355.
1042	64.	Z. A. Demissie, L. A. E. Erland, M. R. Rheault and S. S. Mahmoud, J. Biol. Chem., 2013.
1043	• • •	288 . 6333-6341.
1044	65.	J. Tabata and R. T. Ichiki, J. Chem. Ecol., 2016, 42, 1193-1200.
1045	66.	J. Einhorn, A. Guerrero, P. H. Ducrot, F. D. Bover, M. Gieselmann and W. Roelofs, <i>Proc.</i>
1046		Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 1998. 95 . 9867-9872.
1047	67	A M FI-Saved C R Unelius A Twidle V Mitchell I -A Manning I Cole D M
1048	••••	Suckling M E Flores T Zaviezo and I Bergmann Tetrahedron Lett 2010 51 1075-
1049		1078
1050	68	7 Rehholz I Lancaster H Larose A Khrimian K Luck M E Sparks K L Gendreau
1050	00.	L Shewade T G Köllner D C Weber D F Gundersen-Rindal P O'Maille A V
1051		Morozov and D Tholl Insect Riochem Mol Riol 2023 152 103879
1052	69	M Inwood and P. Morgan, Myrmecological News 2008 11 79-90
1055	70	R K Vander Meer F Alvarez and C S Lofaren J Chem Ecol 1988 14 825-838
1054	70.	N. N. Vanuel Meer, T. Alvalez and C. S. Loigien, J. Chem. LCol., 1900, 14, 023-030.
1055	11.	2705-2719
1057	72	J A Pickett J H Williams M C Smith and A P Martin J Chem Ecol 1981 7 543-
1058	··	554
1059	73	R Kubo and M Ono Entomol Sci 2010 13 167-173
1060	74	M Kaib and H Dittebrand Chemoecology 1990 1 3-11
1061	75	B Fassotte C Fischer D Durieux G Lognav F Haubruge F Francis and F J
1062	. 0.	Verheggen, <i>Plos One</i> , 2014, 9 , e115011.

1063	76.	R. J. Bartelt, A. A. Cossé, B. W. Zilkowski, D. Weisleder and F. A. Momany, <i>J. Chem.</i>
1064	77	ECOL, 2001, 21, 2397-2423. N. Mitlin and D. A. Hadin, I. Insent Dhysici, 1074, 30, 1935, 1931
1065	71.	N. WILLIN AND P. A. HEUIN, J. INSECT Physiol., 1974, 20, 1025-1051.
1066	78.	J. E. Carrei, M. H. McCarrei, A. J. Siagle, J. P. Doom, J. Brill and J. P. McCormick,
1067	70	Experientia, 1993, 49, 171-174.
1068	79.	K. Honda, J. Chem. Ecol., 1981, 7, 1089-1113.
1069	80.	H. Omura, K. Honda and P. Feeny, J. Chem. Ecol., 2006, 32 , 1999-2012.
1070	81.	S. Schulz, C. Estrada, S. Yildizhan, M. Boppré and L. E. Gilbert, <i>J. Chem. Ecol.</i> , 2008,
1071		34 , 82-93.
1072	82.	R. Baker, R. H. Herbert and G. G. Grant, <i>J. Chem. SocChem. Commun.</i> , 1985, 12 ,
1073		824-825.
1074	83.	P. E. A. Teal, J. A. Meredith and Y. Gomez-Simuta, Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.,
1075		1999, 42 , 225-232.
1076	84.	C. N. Spiegel, P. Jeanbourquin, P. M. Guerin, A. M. Hooper, S. Claude, R. Tabacchi, S.
1077		Sano and K. Mori, <i>J. Insect Physiol.</i> , 2005, 51 , 1366-1375.
1078	85.	M. Menke, K. Melnik, P. S. Peram, I. Starnberger, W. Hödl, M. Vences and S. Schulz,
1079		Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2018, 2018, 2651-2656.
1080	86.	M. Menke, P. S. Peram, I. Starnberger, W. Hödl, G. F. Jongsma, D. C. Blackburn, M. O.
1081		Rödel, M. Vences and S. Schulz, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2016, 12, 2731-2738.
1082	87.	I. Starnberger, D. Poth, P. S. Peram, S. Schulz, M. Vences, J. Knudsen, M. F. Barei, M.
1083		O. Rodel, M. Walzl and W. Hodl, <i>Biol. J. Linn. Soc.</i> , 2013, 110 , 828-838.
1084	88.	C. Nowack, P. S. Peram, S. Wenzel, A. Rakotoarison, F. Glaw, D. Poth, S. Schulz and
1085		M Vences J Zoo/ 2017 303 72-81
1086	89	S Yildizhan J van Loon A Sramkova M Avasse C Arsene C ten Broeke and S
1087	00.	Schulz ChemBioChem 2009 10 1666-1677
1088	90	T Chuman J Sivinski R R Heath C O Calkins J H Tumlinson M A Battiste R I
1089	00.	Wydra I Strekowski and I I Nation Tetrahedron Lett 1988 29 6561-6563
1005	91	D Vanderwel B Johnston and A C. Oehlschlager Insect Biochem Mol Biol 1992 22
1000	01.	875-883
1002	02	B P C Smith V Havasaka M I Tyler and B D Williams Aust I Zool 2004 52
1002	52.	521-530
1004	03	D Mattern W D Scott C A McDaniel B Weldon and D E Graves / Nat Prod
1094	95.	D. L. Mallerri, W. D. Scoll, C. A. McDarlier, F. J. Weidolf and D. L. Graves, J. Nal. Frod.,
1095	04	I W Aveny A Shafaqati A Turner I W Wheeler and D I Welden <i>Biochem</i> Syst
1090	94.	J. W. Avery, A. Shalayali, A. Turner, J. W. Wheeler and P. J. Weidon, <i>Diochem. Syst.</i>
1097	05	ECUL, 1993, 21, 333-334. S. Sabula K. Krückart and D. I. Waldan, J. Nat. Brad. 2002, 66, 24, 29
1098	95.	S. Schulz, K. Kluckell and P. J. Weidon, J. Walden and J. Mainwald, J. Cham. Fael. 2002.
1099	90.	S. Garcia-Rubio, A. B. Allygalle, P. J. Weldon and J. Melhwald, J. Chem. Ecol., 2002,
1100	07	28, 709-781. D. V. Durger, M. Lereuw, H. C. C. Crise, V. Truter and D. C. Diselke, 7. Netwiferenk, C.
1101	97.	B. V. Burger, M. Leroux, H. S. C. Spies, V. Truter and R. C. Bigaike, Z. Naturforsch. C
1102	~~	BIO. SCI., 1981, 36 , 340-343.
1103	98.	J. W. Wheeler, L. E. Rasmussen, F. Ayorinde, I. O. Buss and G. L. Smuts, <i>J. Chem.</i>
1104		<i>Ecol.</i> , 1982, 8 , 821-835.
1105	99.	T. E. Goodwin, F. D. Brown, R. W. Counts, N. C. Dowdy, P. L. Fraley, R. A. Hughes, D.
1106		Z. Liu, C. D. Mashburn, J. D. Rankin, R. S. Roberson, K. D. Wooley, E. L. Rasmussen,
1107		S. W. Riddle, H. S. Riddle and S. Schulz, <i>J. Nat. Prod.</i> , 2002, 65 , 1319-1322.
1108	100.	C. G. Faulkes, J. S. Elmore, D. A. Baines, B. Fenton, N. B. Simmons and E. L. Clare,
1109		<i>PeerJ</i> , 2019, 7 , e7734.
1110	101.	E. J. Elwell, D. Walker and S. Vaglio, <i>Animals</i> , 2021, 11 , 2091.
1111	102.	M. Salamon and N. W. Davies, J. Chem. Ecol., 1998, 24, 1659-1676.
1112	103.	D. J. King, R. M. Gleadow and I. E. Woodrow, New Phytol., 2006, 172, 440-451.
1113	104.	C. S. Mathela and N. Joshi, Nat. Prod. Commun., 2008, 3, 945-950.

1114	105.	M. A. Birkett, A. Hassanali, S. Hoglund, J. Pettersson and J. A. Pickett, <i>Phytochemistry</i> , 2011 72 , 100, 114
1115	106	B D Lichman G T Godden I D Hamilton I Dalmer M O Kamileen D Zhao B
1110	100.	D. R. Lichman, G. T. Gouden, J. F. Hammon, L. Famer, M. O. Kanneen, D. Zhao, D. Vaillancourt, J. C. Wood, M. Sun, T. J. Kinsor, J. K. Honny, C. Bodriguoz, Jonoz, N.
1110		Dudareva D E Soltis D S Soltis C D Buell and S E O'Connor Sci Adv. 2020 6
1110		Dudareva, D. E. Soliis, F. S. Soliis, C. R. Buell and S. E. O Connor, Sci. Adv., 2020, 6 , opha0721
1119	107	Eduau/21. K Miettinen I. M. Deng N. Nevret T. Schneider V. Burlet I. Dellier I. Weittigz S. van
1120	107.	der Krel B Lugen T II. B Vernoerte K M Okemen Coldentey E Martineie H
1121		Deliver A Coorsena L Memolink and D Worek Beighbert Net Commun
1122		Douwineesier, A. Goossens, J. Weinellink and D. Weick-Reichnart, Nal. Commun.,
1123	100	2014, 3 , 4175. E. Cou Eleros, N. H. Sherden, V. Courdevoult, V. Durlet, M. S. Clenn, C. Wu, E. Nime
1124	100.	F. Geu-Flores, N. H. Sherueri, V. Courdavauri, V. Dunai, W. S. Gienni, C. Wu, E. Nins,
1125	100	T. Cui aliu S. E. O'Collillol, Nature, 2012, 492 , 130-142. R. R. Redemann, R. Rahfold, M. Stock, M. Kuport, N. Wielsch, M. Croth, S. Erick, W.
1120	109.	R. R. Douelliani, P. Ranielu, M. Slock, M. Ruhen, N. Wielsch, M. Groun, S. Flick, W. Baland and A. Burga, <i>Brag. B. Sag. P. Piol. Sci.</i> , 2012, 270 , 4126, 4124
1127	110	Dolariu ariu A. Durse, Proc. R. Soc. D-Diol. Sci., 2012, 219, 4120-4134.
1128	110.	5. Flick, R. Nagel, A. Schmidt, R. R. Bodemann, P. Rameid, G. Pauls, W. Brandt, J.
1129	444	Gersnenzon, W. Boland and A. Burse, Proc. Nall. Acad. Sci. USA, 2013, 110, 50-01.
1130	111.	P. Rameid, W. Haeger, R. Kirsch, G. Pauls, T. Becker, E. Schulze, N. Wielsch, D. Wang,
1131		M. GIOLIN, W. BIANOL, W. BOIANO AND A. BUISE, INSECT BIOCHEM. MOI. BIOL., 2015, 56, 28-
1132	110	JO. D. Dahfald D. Kiraah S. Kugal N. Wialaah M. Staak M. Crath W. Daland and A
1133	112.	P. Rameiu, R. Kirsch, S. Kugel, N. Wielsch, M. Slock, M. Groth, W. Bolanu and A.
1134	110	Bulse, Proc. R. Soc. B-Bloi. Sci., 2014, 201, 20140842.
1135	113.	N. FU, Z. L. Yang, Y. Pauchel, C. Paelz, W. Brandl, W. Boland and A. Burse, <i>Insect</i>
1130	444	Biochem. Mol. Biol., 2019, 113, 103212.
1137	114.	T. G. Kollner, A. David, K. Luck, F. Beran, G. Kuneri, J. J. Zhou, L. Capull and S. E.
1138	445	U Connor, Proc. Nall. Acad. Sci. U S A, 2022, 119, e2211254119.
1139	115.	I. Laursen, J. Borch, C. Knudsen, K. Bavishi, F. Torta, H. J. Martens, D. Silvestro, N. S.
1140		Hatzakis, M. R. Wenk, T. R. Dafforn, C. E. Olsen, M. S. Motawia, B. Hamberger, B. L.
1141	110	Moller and J. E. Bassard, Science, 2016, 354 , 890-893.
1142	110.	M. Sugurnaran, K. Nelialappan, C. Amaralunga, S. Cardinale and T. Scoll, Arch.
1143	117	Diversities Divers
1144 1145	117.	S. J. Seybold, D. R. Quilloi, J. A. Hillinall, D. Vallderwei, D. L. Wood and G. J. Biolitydisi,
1145	110	A B Cila I C Boorfield C Tittiger W H Weleb and C I Blomquist Bree Netl Acad
1140	110.	A. D. GIIY, J. C. Dearneid, C. Tittiger, W. H. Weich and G. J. Diomiquist, Floc. Natl. Acad.
1147	110	Sci. USA, 2005, 102 , 9700-9705. A. R. Gila, C. Tittiger and C. J. Plemquiet, <i>Naturniceanschaften</i> , 2000, 96 , 731, 735.
1140	119.	A. D. Gliy, C. Hilliger and G. J. Diolinquisi, <i>Naturwissenschalten</i> , 2009, 90 , 731-755.
1149	120.	F. Derahi, F. Kalileiu, K. Luck, K. Nagel, H. Vogel, N. Wielsch, S. Illisch, S. Kalilasalily,
1150		J. GEISHEHZUH, D. G. HECKEI AHU T. G. KUIHEI, FIUC. Nall. ACAU. Sci. USA, 2010, 113,
1151	101	2922-2927. A Čakl A M Dias M C P Maraos M Pargos and P A Laumann / Insect Pahav
1152	121.	A. CONI, A. M. DIAS, M. C. D. MOIAES, M. DOIYES AND R. A. LAUMANN, J. MISECI DEMAN., 2017 30 741 759
1155	100	D. Thell in Insect pheromeno biochemistry and melacular biology eds. G. I. Plemauist
1154	122.	D. Moli, III Insect preforming process San Diago and odn. 2021, pp. 260-281
1155	102	L Lancastor A Khrimian S Voung R Lohnor K Luck A Wallingford S K R Chosh
1150	125.	D. Zarba A. Muchlinski, D. E. Marek, M. E. Sparks, J. G. Tokubisa, C. Tittiger, T. G.
1157		F. Zeibe, A. Muchilliski, F. E. Malek, M. E. Sparks, J. G. Tokullisa, C. Huigel, T. G. Köllper, D. C. Weber, D. E. Gunderson Bindel, T. P. Kuber and D. Thell, <i>Bree, Natl</i>
1150		Acad Sci USA 2018 115 E9634 E9641
1159	104	A Khrimian S. Shirali K. E. Varmillian M. A. Siadar E. Cuzman K. Chauhan J. P.
1161	124.	Aldrich and D. C. Weber I. Chem. Ecol. 2014. AD 1260 1268
1162	125	M E Snarke I H Rhoades D R Nelson D Kuhar I Lancaster R Lehner D Thell
1162	120.	D. C. Weber and D. F. Gundersen-Rindal Insects 2017 9 55
116/	126	L K Sv and G D Brown Phytochemistry 1997 45 537-544
r		

1165	127.	A. Khrimian, A. J. Zhang, D. C. Weber, H. Y. Ho, J. R. Aldrich, K. E. Vermillion, M. A.
1166		Siegler, S. Shirali, F. Guzman and T. C. Leskey, <i>J. Nat. Prod.</i> , 2014, 77 , 1708-1717.
1167	128.	M. E. Sparks, R. Bansal, J. B. Benoit, M. B. Blackburn, H. Chao, M. Chen, S. Cheng, C.
1168		Childers, H. Dinh, H. V. Doddapaneni, S. Dugan, E. N. Elpidina, D. W. Farrow, M.
1169		Friedrich, R. A. Gibbs, B. Hall, Y. Han, R. W. Hardy, C. J. Holmes, D. S. T. Hughes, P.
1170		Ioannidis, A. M. Cheatle Jarvela, J. S. Johnston, J. W. Jones, B. A. Kronmiller, F. Kung,
1171		S. L. Lee, A. G. Martynov, P. Masterson, F. Maumus, M. Munoz-Torres, S. C. Murali, T.
1172		D. Murphy, D. M. Muzny, D. R. Nelson, B. Oppert, K. A. Panfilio, D. P. Paula, L. Pick, M.
1173		F. Poelchau, J. Qu, K. Reding, J. H. Rhoades, A. Rhodes, S. Richards, R. Richter, H. M.
1174		Robertson, A. J. Rosendale, Z. J. Tu, A. S. Velamuri, R. M. Waterhouse, M. T.
1175		Weirauch, J. T. Wells, J. H. Werren, K. C. Worley, E. M. Zdobnov and D. E. Gundersen-
1176		Rindal, BMC Genomics, 2020, 21.
1177	129.	M. E. Sparks, K. S. Shelby, D. Kuhar and D. E. Gundersen-Rindal, <i>Plos One</i> , 2014, 9.
1178	130.	M. Borges, M. Birkett, J. R. Aldrich, J. E. Oliver, M. Chiba, Y. Murata, R. A. Laumann, J.
1179		A. Barrigossi, J. A. Pickett and M. C. B. Moraes, J. Chem. Ecol., 2006, 32 , 2749-2761.
1180	131.	M. W. M. de Oliveira, M. Borges, C. K. Z. Andrade, R. A. Laumann, J. A. F. Barrigossi
1181		and M. C. Blassioli-Moraes, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2013, 61, 7777-7785.
1182	132.	A. A. C. Moliterno, D. J. De Melo and P. H. G. Zarbin, <i>J. Chem. Ecol.</i> , 2021, 47 , 1-9.
1183	133.	J. R. Aldrich, J. E. Oliver, W. R. Lusby, J. P. Kochansky and J. A. Lockwood, <i>J. Exp.</i>
1184		<i>Zool.</i> , 1987, 244 , 171-175.
1185	134.	V. E. Harris and J. W. Todd, <i>Entomol. Exp. Appl.</i> , 1980, 27 , 117-126.
1186	135.	J. Lancaster, B. Lehner, A. Khrimian, A. Muchlinski, K. Luck, T. G. Köllner, D. C. Weber,
1187		D. E. Gundersen-Rindal and D. Tholl, <i>J. Chem. Ecol.</i> , 2019, 45 , 187-197.
1188	136.	B. W. Cribb, K. N. Siriwardana and G. H. Walter, <i>J. Morphol.</i> , 2006, 267 , 831-840.
1189	137.	S. Kumar, C. Kempinski, X. Zhuang, A. Norris, S. Mafu, J. C. Zi, S. A. Bell, S. E. Nybo,
1190		S. E. Kinison, Z. D. Jiang, S. Goklany, K. B. Linscott, X. L. Chen, Q. D. Jia, S. D. Brown,
1191		J. L. Bowman, P. C. Babbitt, R. J. Peters, F. Chen and J. Chappell, <i>Plant Cell</i> , 2016, 28 ,
1192		2632-2650.
1193	138.	G. L. Li, T. G. Köllner, Y. B. Yin, Y. F. Jiang, H. Chen, Y. Xu, J. Gershenzon, E.
1194		Pichersky and F. Chen, <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> , 2012, 109 , 14711-14715.
1195	139.	A. Muchlinski, X. Chen, J. I. Lovell, I. G. Köllner, K. A. Pelot, P. Zerbe, M. Ruggiero, L.
1196		Callaway, S. Laliberte, F. Chen and D. Tholl, Front. Plant Sci., 2019, 10, 1144.
1197	140.	J. R. Aldrich, W. R. Lusby and J. P. Kochansky, <i>Experientia</i> , 1986, 42 , 583-585.
1198	141.	J. P. Farine, O. Bonnard, R. Brossut and J. L. Le Quere, <i>J. Chem. Ecol.</i> , 1992, 18 , 1673-
1199		
1200	142.	B. S. Krall, B. W. Zilkowski, S. L. Kight, R. J. Bartelt and D. W. Whitman, J. Chem. Ecol.,
1201		1997, 23 , 1951-1962.
1202	143.	J. R. Aldrich, S. P. Carroll, J. E. Oliver, W. R. Lusby, A. A. Rudmann and R. M. Waters,
1203		Biocnem. Syst. Ecol., 1990, 18, 369-376.
1204	144.	E. D. Morgan, Biosynthesis in Insects, RSC Publishing, Cambridge, UK, Advanced
1205	4 4 5	Edition ean., 2010.
1206	145.	S. B. Rivera, B. D. Swediuna, G. J. King, R. N. Bell, C. E. Hussey, D. M. Snattuck-
1207		Eldens, W. M. Wrobel, G. D. Pelser and C. D. Poulter, <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> , 2001,
1208	1.40	98, 43/3-43/8.
1209	140.	N. Darrayri, A. Urteu, D. Black, K. J. K. P. Byers, D. Szczerbowski, I. A. Warren, P.
1210		Kastas, A. Filinaranua, J. W. Davey, S. Feinanda Garza, D. Adondano Aimeida, R. M.
1211	117	Wernii, W. O. Wicivillian, S. Schulz and C. D. Jiggins, PLOS Biol., 2021, 19, e3001022.
1212	147.	S. SCHUIZ, C. ESITAUA, S. THUIZHAH, W. BOPPTE AND L. E. GIIDER, J. CHEM. ECOL, 2008, 24 92.02
1213		34 , 0 2- 33.

1214	148.	K. Darragh, G. Montejo-Kovacevich, K. M. Kozak, C. R. Morrison, C. M. E. Figueiredo, J.
1215		S. Ready, C. Salazar, M. Linares, K. Byers, R. M. Merrill, W. O. McMillan, S. Schulz and
1216		C. D. Jiggins, <i>Ecol. Evol.</i> , 2020, 10 , 3895-3918.
1217	149.	M. Hojo, S. Shigenobu, K. Maekawa, T. Miura and G. Tokuda, Insect Biochem. Mol.
1218		<i>Biol.</i> , 2019, 111 .
1219	150.	Y. Zhou, X. Liu and Z. Yang, <i>Biomolecules</i> , 2019, 9 , 808,
1220	151	7 Repholz I. Shewade K Kaler H Larose F. Schubot D. Tholl A. V. Morozov and P.
1220	101.	F O'Maille in revision
1221	152	C. M. Starks, K. W. Back, J. Channell and J. P. Noel. Science 1997 277 1815-1820
1772	152.	C A Lesburg G Zhai D E Cane and D W Christianson Science 1007 277 1820-
1223	155.	
1224	151	1024. E.H. Wallrann, I. I. Dan, C. Damamaarthy, D. E. Almanaaid, P. S. Hillariah, P. Saidal
1225	154.	F. H. Wallapp, JJ. Fall, G. Rallalloutilly, D. E. Alloudoud, D. S. Hilleholl, R. Seluel,
1220		f. Palskovsky, P. C. Babbill, S. C. Almo, M. P. Jacobson and C. D. Pouller, <i>Proc. Nall.</i>
1227	455	Acad. Sci. USA, 2013, 110, E1196-E1202.
1228	155.	E. F. Pettersen, T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, G. S. Couch, D. M. Greenblatt, E. C. Meng
1229		and I. E. Ferrin, J. Comput. Chem., 2004, 25, 1605-1612.
1230	156.	D. Piovesan, G. Minervini and S. C. Tosatto, <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> , 2016, 44 , W367-374.
1231	157.	P. Shannon, A. Markiel, O. Ozier, N. S. Baliga, J. T. Wang, D. Ramage, N. Amin, B.
1232		Schwikowski and T. Ideker, <i>Genome Res.</i> , 2003, 13 , 2498-2504.
1233	158.	M. Salmon, C. Laurendon, M. Vardakou, J. Cheema, M. Defernez, S. Green, J. A.
1234		Faraldos and P. E. O'Maille, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6, 6143.
1235	159.	M. Dokarry, C. Laurendon and P. E. O'Maille, in Natural product biosynthesis by
1236		microorganisms and plants, part A, ed. D. A. Hopwood, 2012, vol. 515, pp. 21-42.
1237	160.	A. Ballal, C. Laurendon, M. Salmon, M. Vardakou, J. Cheema, M. Defernez, P. E.
1238		O'Maille and A. V. Morozov, <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> , 2020, 37 , 1907-1924.
1239	161.	J. Meinwald, A. M. Chalmers, T. E. Pliske and T. Eisner, <i>Tetrahedron Lett.</i> , 1968, 9 .
1240		4893-4896
1241	162	L Burkhardt T De Rond P Y -T Chen and B S Moore Nat Chem Biol 2022 18
1242	102.	664-669
1242	163	P D Scesa 7 Lin and F W Schmidt Nat Chem Biol 2022 18 659-663
1245	167	P Baer P Babe K Fischer C A Citron T A Klapschinski M Groll and I S
1244	104.	Dickschat Angew Chem_Int Edit 2014 53 7652 7656
1245	165	Dicksonal, Angew. ChemInt. Luit., 2014, 33 , 7032-7030.
1240	105.	R. LI, W. R. CHOU, J. A. HIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
1247	100	Christianson, Biochemistry, 2014, 53, 1155-1168.
1248	166.	Y. H. XIa, H. L. Wang, B. J. Ding, G. P. Svensson, C. Jarl-Sunesson, E. B. Canoon, P.
1249		Hofvander and C. Lofstedt, J. Chem. Ecol., 2021, 47, 950-967.
1250	167.	B. Misof, S. Liu, K. Meusemann, R. S. Peters, A. Donath, C. Mayer, P. B. Frandsen, J.
1251		Ware, T. Flouri, R. G. Beutel, O. Niehuis, M. Petersen, F. Izquierdo-Carrasco, T.
1252		Wappler, J. Rust, A. J. Aberer, U. Aspöck, H. Aspöck, D. Bartel, A. Blanke, S. Berger, A.
1253		Böhm, T. R. Buckley, B. Calcott, J. Chen, F. Friedrich, M. Fukui, M. Fujita, C. Greve, P.
1254		Grobe, S. Gu, Y. Huang, L. S. Jermiin, A. Y. Kawahara, L. Krogmann, M. Kubiak, R.
1255		Lanfear, H. Letsch, Y. Li, Z. Li, J. Li, H. Lu, R. Machida, Y. Mashimo, P. Kapli, D. D.
1256		McKenna, G. Meng, Y. Nakagaki, J. L. Navarrete-Heredia, M. Ott, Y. Ou, G. Pass, L.
1257		Podsiadlowski, H. Pohl, B. M. von Reumont, K. Schütte, K. Sekiya, S. Shimizu, A.
1258		Slipinski, A. Stamatakis, W. Song, X. Su, N. U. Szucsich. M. Tan. X. Tan. M. Tang, J.
1259		Tang, G. Timelthaler, S. Tomizuka, M. Trautwein, X. Tong, T. Uchifune, M. G. Walzl, B.
1260		M Wiegmann J Wilbrandt B Winfler T K Wong O Wu G Wu Y Xie S Yang O
1261		Yang D K Yeates K Yoshizawa Q Zhang R Zhang W Zhang Y Zhang I Zhao
1262		C. Zhou I. Zhou T. Ziesmann, S. Zou Y. Li X. Xu, Y. Zhang, H. Zhan
1262		Wang K M Kier and X Zhou Science 2014 346 763-767
1264	168	G Giribet Ora Divers Eval 2016 16 $410-426$
1204	100.	$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{O}} = \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{O}} $

1265 1266 Tables 1267 **Table 1** Functionally characterized terpene biosynthetic genes/proteins and their products in insects and soft corals. Insect species are grouped by orders. Sesquitrps, sesquiterpenes; * 1268 1269 indicates that no accession numbers were listed based on cDNA amplification and gene 1270 sequences were synthesized. Nucleotide sequences are listed in the respective publications. 1271 1272 Table 2 Structure-based sequence alignment of IDS IBM motifs and substitutions in IDS-type 1273 1274 TPS proteins in hemipteran species. 1275 Extract of IBM motifs from a structure-based sequence alignment of characterized hemipteran IDS and TPS proteins. Residue positions that are ≤ 5 Å away from IPP and highly conserved are 1276 1277 colored according to their interaction with the diphosphate molety (dark blue) or the isoprenyl tail 1278 (light blue), respectively. TPS residue substitutions of the diphosphate binding residues and 1279 prenyl tail binding residues are marked in purple and green, respectively. Substitutions that deviate from the IBM regular expressions in other insects and animals are shaded in grey. 1280 1281 Unshaded residues (white) correspond to variable residues and positions outside of the 1282 consensus IPP binding residues. 1283 1284 1285 Figures 1286 Figure 1 Enzymatic steps in the biosynthesis of volatile or semi-volatile monoterpenes,

1280 Figure F Enzymatic deepe in the biodynatecie of vendue of centrivolatic menoterpense,
1287 sesquiterpenes, and diterpenes. IPP, isopentenyl diphosphate; DMAPP, dimethylallyl
1288 diphosphate; GPP, geranyl diphosphate; FPP, farnesyl diphosphate; GGPP, geranylgeranyl
1289 diphosphate; GPPS, geranyl diphosphate synthase; FPPS, farnesyl diphosphate synthase;
1290 GGPPS, geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase; IDS, isoprenyl diphosphate synthase; TPS,
1291 terpene synthase; MEP, methylerythritol phosphate; MVA, mevalonic acid.

1292

1293 **Figure 2** Examples of sesquiterpenes and diterpenes in marine gastropods and octocorals.

1294

Figure 3 Examples of volatile terpene semiochemicals and derivatives in millipedes and arachnids. No stereochemical configuration is shown in case the stereochemistry was not determined.

1298

Figure 4 Examples of volatile terpene semiochemicals in stick insects (Phasmatodea), roaches and termites (Blattodea), thrips (Thysanoptera), and hemipteran (true bug) insects.

1301

Figure 5 Examples of volatile terpene semiochemicals in the orders Hymenoptera (including ants and bees), Coleoptera (beetles), Lepidoptera (including butterflies), and Diptera (flies). No stereochemical configuration is shown in case the stereochemistry was not determined.

1305

Figure 6 Phylogeny of insect orders and occurrence of volatile terpenes in select insect species
 representing these orders. Phylogeny of insects adapted from Misof et al.¹⁶⁷ with modifications.

Figure 7 Examples of volatile terpene semiochemicals in amphibians, reptiles, and mammals. No stereochemical configuration is shown in case the stereochemistry was not determined.

1311

Figure 8 Animal phylogeny and occurrence of volatile terpenes (or volatile precursors in the case of corals) in select species representing different phyla or clades. Species shown from top to bottom are: octocoral species; mantellid frog species, *Mantella aurantiaca*; alligatorid species; springbok, *Antidorcas marsupialis*; sea hare, *Aplysia brasiliana*; dust mite species; polyzoniidan millipede species; pentatomid species, *Murgantia histrionica* (harlequin bug). Phylogeny adapted from Giribet¹⁶⁸ with modifications.

1318

Figure 9 Biosynthetic pathway of the monoterpene iridoid sex pheromone components
nepetalactol and nepetalactone, in females of the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (*Ap*). *Ap*GES,
geraniol synthase; *Ap*G8H, geraniol-8-hydroxylase; *Ap*HGO, hydroxygeraniol oxidase; *Ap*ISY,
iridoid synthase; *Ap*NEPO, nepetalactol oxidase.

Figure 10 IDS-type TPSs in monoterpene and sesquiterpene pheromone biosynthesis in beetles. (A) A bifunctional IDS/TPS enzyme catalyzing the formation of β -myrcene (**32**), the precursor of the male aggregation pheromone ipsdienol (**45**); (B) terpene cyclase converting (*Z*,*E*)-FPP (**73**), made by an unusual IDS enzyme (*Ps*IDS3), to (6*R*,7*S*)-himachala-9,11-diene (**74**), a major constituent of the male aggregation pheromone of the striped flea beetle, *Phyllotreta striolata* (*Ps*), and other sesquiterpene products.

1329

1330 **Figure 11** Bisabolane-type sesquiterpene pheromones in stink bug (pentatomid) species.

1331

Figure 12 IDS-type sesqui-TPSs involved in de novo biosynthesis of male aggregation pheromones in stink bugs (Pentatomids). (A) proposed reaction mechanism of TPSs converting (*E*,*E*)-FPP (**4**) to the pheromone precursor (1*S*,6*S*,7*R*)-sesquipiperitol (**80**) in three different pentatomid species; (B) proposed reaction mechanism of a TPS catalyzing the formation of the pheromone precursor (*S*,*Z*)- α -bisabolene (**82**) in *Nezara viridula*. Unidentified steps beyond the TPS-catalyzed reaction are indicated with question marks. *Hh*, *H. halys*; *Mh*, *M. histrionica*; *Nv*, *N. viridula*.

1339

Figure 13 Pentatomid IDS-type gene family organization and size. (A) Genomic organization of IDS-type gene families in *Halyomorpha halys* (*Hh*) and *Nezara viridula* (*Nv*). Two different clusters represent IDS-type genes of the two pentatomid TPS-a and TPS-b clades (modified from Rebholz et al.⁶⁸); (B) schematic comparison of TPS gene family sizes in Pentatomids and plants (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) in conjunction with volatile terpene product diversity and function.

1345

Figure 14 Evolution of putative IDS-like TPSs in hemipteran insects. The phylogram shows canonical FPPS and IDS (FPPS)-like proteins mined from hemipteran genomes and transcriptomes. Branch lengths represent the number of amino acid substitutions per site. A monophyletic clade (purple) of IDS-like sequences clustering with characterized IDS-type TPSs was found to be distributed across species within the terpene-emitting hemipteran suborders Heteroptera and Sternorrhyncha. Select terpene-emitting species are displayed alongside representative terpene compounds. Figure modified from Rebholz et al.⁶⁸.

1353

Figure 15 Biosynthesis of the male anti-aphrodisiac (*E*)-β-ocimene (37) and terpene alcohols by
 GGPPS-type TPSs in the butterfly *Heliconius melpomene* (*Hmel*). Os, ocimene synthase.

1356

Figure 16 Structural analysis of IPP binding residues in *H. halys* FPPS and a homology model of 1357 the IDS-type H. halys TPS1 enzyme. (A) Structural model of HhFPPS with the IPP binding pocket 1358 rendered as a colored surface; (B) HhFPPS residues binding the diphosphate moiety of IPP are 1359 1360 marked in dark blue and labeled; (C) HhFPPS residues binding the prenyl tail of IPP are marked 1361 in light blue and labeled; (D) structural model of *Hh*TPS1 with a modified IPP binding pocket rendered as a colored surface; (E) HhTPS1 residue substitutions of the diphosphate binding 1362 residues in (B) are marked in purple and labeled. Aromatic substitutions in this region favor 1363 1364 interactions with the isoprenyl tail of the docked FPP substrate; (F) HhTPS1 residue substitutions 1365 of the prenyl tail binding residues in (C) are marked in green and labeled. Substitutions alter the

substrate binding region to accommodate a larger isoprenyl diphosphate substrate. Figure
adapted from Rebholz et al.¹⁵¹.

1368

Figure 17 Substitutions in the pyrophosphate-binding region is a common feature of insect TPS enzymes. (A) The structure of a canonical FPPS from the eastern spruce budworm *Choristoneura fumiferana* (*Cf*FPPS) (PDBid 6b04; light purple ribbons) is shown with IBM-1, 2, 3, and 6 depicted as an atomic surface. The electrostatic character of *Cf*FPPS IBM motifs was mapped onto the surface using UCSF Chimera (blue = basic; red = acidic; grey = neutral/aliphatic); (B) IBM-1, 2, 3, and 6 of *Cf*FPPS and selected insect TPS models was depicted as atomic surfaces for comparison. Figure adapted from Rebholz et al.¹⁵¹.

1376

Figure 18 Acyclic and cyclic sesquiterpenes (86-88) in *Artemisia annua* and example of a cyclic
sesquiterpene product (89) generated by an *Artemisia* β-farnesene synthase mutant variant.

1379

Figure 19 Phylogram of insect IDS and characterized and predicted IDS-like TPS proteins adapted from Rebholz et al.¹⁵¹. Branch lengths are proportional to amino acid substitutions per site. Previously characterized and predicted IDS-like TPS sequences are labeled with dark blue and white squares at branch tips, respectively. Insect orders, from which protein sequences originated, are indicated by colors of the circular perimeter and branches. Results suggest a recurring parallel emergence of TPS activity in IDS-like enzymes within and between insect lineages and IDS enzyme subfamilies.

1387

1388 **Figure 20** Sesquiterpene and diterpene products of TPS enzymes identified in octocorals.

1389

Figure 21 Structures and motif comparison of an insect IDS (C. fumiferana) and IDS-like TPS (H. 1390 1391 halys) with TPSs from a mite (L. delicense) and an octocoral (E. rubra). (A) The C. fumiferana FPPS (CfFPPS) structure (PDBid 6b04; light grey ribbons) is shown with FARM and SARM motifs 1392 colored orange and green, respectively; (B) Active site zoom up of CfFPPS showing catalytic 1393 1394 residues of the FARM and SARM motifs; (C) Homology models of H. halys TPS1 and L. delicense 1395 TPS and protein structure of *E. rubra* TPS (PDBid; 7S5L) depicted as ribbons with motifs colored according to the scheme in panels A and B. NSE/DTE and DDXXD represent the metal binding 1396 motifs. ErTPS and LdTPS contain two additional motifs: the phosphate sensor R colored in 1397 1398 magenta, and the RY motif (substituted by RF in LdTPS) unique to bacterial TPS sequences.

Table 1

Species	Gene/ protein	Accession number	Product	Reference
Acyrthosiphon pisum	GES G8H HGO ISY NEPO	* ON862918 * ON862920 *	39 70 71 72, 69 23	114
lps pini	GPP Myrcene s.	AY953508	3, 32	118, 119
Phyllotreta striolata	TPS1 TPS2 TPS3 TPS4	KT959248 KT959251 KT959254 KT959257	74, 75, 76 Unidentified sesquitrps 31 (main product) 31 (main product)	120
Halyomorpha halys	TPS1 TPS2	MG917093 MG870388	80 Sesquitrp.	68
Murgantia histrionica	TPS1	MG662378	80 Sesquitrps	123
Nezara viridula	TPS1 TPS2	MG748543 ON934605	82 80	68, 135
Heliconius melpomene	Ocimene s. HMEL037108g1	*	37 31, 63	146
Empoasca onukii	TPS	MH383159	39	150
Briareum asbestinum	TC-1 TC-2	*	25 95	162
Capnella imbricata	TC-1	*	92	162
Dendronephthya gigantea	TC-1 TC-2	*	90 25	162
Eleutherobia rubra	TC-1 TC-2	*	Unidentified sesquitrps 25	162
Erythropodium caribaeorum	TPS1 TPS6	OK081311 OK081312	95 93	163
Heliopora coerulea	TC-1 TC-2 TC-3	* * *	Unidentified sesquitrps 90 94	162
Paramuricea biscaya	TC-1	*	94	162
Renilla muelleri	TC-1	*	93	162
Tubipora musica	TC-1 TC-2	*	25 91	162
<i>Xenia</i> sp.	TC-1	*	96	162

Table 2

		IBM	1-1			IBM-2				IBM-3					IBM-4						SARM								-5				IBN	1-6					
		G109 K110		R113		E146		0149			L153			B165	R166			T251		Y254	S255		0290		D 203						K307							R401	K403
Name	Туре																																						
Aphis gossypii_DPPS	DPPS	G K	A	L F	P	Е	ΜI	н	SI	A S	L	s	D	FF	R	G	K	Т	A S	5 L	I	1	FΩ	L	νı	D	L :	L D	1	4 G	К	ΡT	A	AI	C	ΙV	/ N	R M	í K
Empoasca onukii_FPPS2		G K	K	ΝF	G	Е	ΜI	, Q	ΑI	FF	L	S	Е	ΤF	R	G	K	Т	SΥ	Υ	SI	7	ΥQ	А	QS	D	F	F N		r M	К	- P	G	ΗΓ	D	ΙY	K	RΕ	s
Empoasca onukii_FPPS1		S S	5 N	L F	G	Е	LI	н	Т	L	V	А	D	E F	R	G	R	s	ΑY	H H	Т	7	FΩ	V	QI	D	ΥI	M D	1	I G	К	- V	G	ТΓ	D	МΊ	: G	R -	-
Halyomorpha halys_FPPS		G K	K	VF	G	Е	ΜI	. Q	GI	FF	V	s	V	ТF	R	G	K	т	sΥ	Y	Т	7	FQ	V	QΙ	D	Y :	L D	5	G G	К	- K	G	тг	D	МУ	G	R K	Q
Murgantia histrionica FPPS	5000	G K	K	VF	G	Е	ΜI	. Q	GI	FF	V	s	V	ТF	R	G	K	Т	ΑY	Υ	ΤЗ	:	FΩ	V	QΙ	D	Y :	L D		E G	К	- K	G	ΤГ	D	ΓY	G	RK	Q
Myzus persicae FPPS2	FPPS	G K	N	NF	G	Е	II	. Q	A	۲Q	L	А	I	ТF	R	G	K	т	A	Y Y	SI	7	FΩ	I	QΕ	D	Y :	L D	5	r G	К	- I	G	тг	5	ΙY	K	RТ	Ĺ
Nezara viridula_FPPS		G K	K	VF	G	Е	ΜI	. Q	GH	FF	L	s	I	ТF	R	G	K	т	sЪ	Y Y	Т	7	FΩ	V	QΕ	D	Y :	L D	-	E G	К	- I	G	тг	5	ΤΥ	G	R K	Q
Rhopalosiphum padi_FPPS		G K	K	NF	G	Е	II	. Q	A	۲Q	L	А	I	ТF	R	G	K	т	Α'	Y Y	SI	7	FΩ	V	QΕ	D	F :	L D	1	4 G	К	- I	G	тг	5	ΙY	K	RТ	Ĺ
Rhopalosiphum padi FPPS		G K	N	NF	G	Е	II	. Q	A	۲Q	L	А	Ι	ТF	R	G	K	т	A	Y	SI	7	FQ	v	QΕ	D	F :	LD	5	r G	К	- I	G	т	5	IУ	К	RТ	L
Acyrthosiphon pisum GGPPS	GGPPS	G K	Q	ΙF	A	Q	ΜI	Н	N S	s s	L	S	V	L F	R	G	K	Т	G	G	LH	7	FQ	I	RI	D	Y (C N	I	ΞN	К	SΥ	С	ΕI	D	L F	t T	WS	Y
Acyrthosiphon pisum FPPS/GPPS		G K	N	ΝF	G	Е	II	. Q	AY	۲Q	L	A	I	ТF	R	G	K	Т	ΑY	Y	SI	7	FQ	V	QΙ	D	Υ :	L D	5	r G	К	- I	G	ΤI	D	IУ	K	R T	Ľ
Acyrthosiphon pisum FPPS/GPPS1		G K	K	NF	G	Е	II	. Q	A	۲Q	L	A	Ι	ТF	R	G	K	т	A	Y	SI	7	FQ	v	QΙ	D	F :	LD	2	4 G	К	- I	G	тг	5	IУ	K	RТ	Ľ
Acyrthosiphon pisum FPPS/GPPS2		G K	К	NF	G	Е	II	. Q	A	c Q	L	А	I	ΤF	R	G	K	т	ΑY	Y Y	SI	7	FΩ	v	QΕ	D	F :	L D	1	4 G	К	- I	G	тг	5	IУ	K	RТ	L
Aphis gossypii FPPS/GPPS1	GPPS/FPPS	G K	K	NF	G	Е	II	. Q	A	۲Q	L	А	Ι	ТF	R	G	K	т	A	Y	SI	7	FQ	v	QΙ	D	F :	LD	1	4 G	К	- I	G	тг	5	IУ	K	RТ	Ľ
Aphis gossypii FPPS/GPPS2		G K	K	NF	G	Е	II	. Q	A	۲Q	L	А	Ι	ТF	R	G	K	т	A	Y	SI	7	FQ	v	QΙ	D	F :	LD	1	4 G	К	- I	G	тг	5	IУ	K	RТ	Ľ
Myzus persicae FPPS/GPPS		G K	K	NF	G	Е	ΙI	. Q	A	۲Q	L	А	Ι	ТF	R	G	K	т	A	Y	SI	7	FQ	v	QΙ	D	F :	LD	1	4 G	К	- I	G	тг	5	IУ	K	RТ	Ľ
Empoasca onukii TPS		G K	Q	ΙF	A	Q	ΜI	Н	N S	s s	L	s	Ι	L F	R	G	K	Т	G -	G	LI	7	FQ	I	RI	D	Y (C N	I	ΞN	К	SF	с	ΕI	5	LΙ	N	W D	R
Halyomorpha halys TPS1		FS	D	AW	N	D	LI	F	ТΝ	1 S	А	s	D	S F	R	G	K	А	GÇ	F	V Z	1	IQ	т	WE	D	FI	N D	1	I G	К	- P	s	СІ	5	ΓV	/ I	RΕ	E
Halyomorpha halys TPS2	TPS	СУ	Е	GW	N	D	MS	Η	SI	4 Н	F	А	Е	FF	Q	G	K	s	R N	т	м	2	FΩ	V	WN	D	FI	M D	5	G G	К	- G	i N	ΥI	5	LF	I G	N G	н
Murgantia histrionica TPS		FS	D	AW	N	D	LI	F	ТΝ	1 S	A	s	Е	FF	ĸ	G	K	А	GÇ	F	v 2	1	IQ	т	WE	D	FI	N D	1	I G	К	- I	s	СІ	5	ΓV	v v	R E	т
Nezara viridula TPS		YF	Е	GW	A	D	M S	S Y	AN	A 1	G	G	Е	FF	R	G	K	А	AN	т	V	7	FQ	v	WE	D	FI	мЕ	5	G G	К	- G	A	ΡI	5	LI	J V	E P	P



OPP

Marine gastropods



brasudol 8





lophotoxin 9



isofuranodiene 10



bornyl acetate 17 (3S,8S)-chrysomelidial 18 β -springene 19

ringene **19** neryl formate **20**

β-(E)-acaridial 21



Thysanoptera









 β -myrcene **32**

(R)-lavandulol 33

murgantiol 35



grandisol 51

cantharidin 52 germacrene A 53

(1S,3S,7R)-3-methylhimachalene 54





















NvTPS1





















