



# **Synergistic Promotion of Transition Metal Ion-exchange in TiO2 Nanoarray-based Monolithic Catalysts for Selective Catalytic Reduction of NOx with NH3**



**SCHOLARONE™** Manuscripts

# ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

# **Synergistic Promotion of Transition Metal Ion-exchange in TiO<sup>2</sup> Nanoarray-based Monolithic Catalysts for Selective Catalytic Reduction of NOx with NH<sup>3</sup>**

Xingxu Lu<sup>a,b</sup>, Yanliu Dang<sup>b</sup>, Meilin Li<sup>c</sup>, Chunxiang Zhu<sup>a,b</sup>, Fangyuan Liu<sup>b</sup>, Wenxiang Tang<sup>a,b</sup>, Junfei Weng*<sup>a</sup>*,*<sup>b</sup>* , Mingyue Ruan*<sup>a</sup>* , Steven L. Suib*<sup>b</sup>*,*<sup>c</sup>* , Pu-Xian Gao*<sup>a</sup>*,*b*,**\***

TiO<sub>2</sub> supported catalysts have been widely studied for selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO<sub>x</sub>, however the comprehensive understanding of the synergistic interactions in multi-component SCR catalysts is still lacking. Herein, transition metal elements (V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, La and Ce) were loaded onto TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoarrays *via* ion-exchange using the protonated titanate precursors. Amongst these catalysts, Mn-doped catalysts outperform the others with satisfactory NO conversion and N<sub>2</sub> selectivity. The Cu co-doping to the Mn-based catalysts promotes their low-temperature activity by improving reducibility, enhancing surface Mn<sup>4+</sup> species and chemisorbed labile oxygen, and elevating adsorption capacity of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> species. While the Ce co-doping with Mn prohibits the surface adsorption and formation of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> derived species, it boosts the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity at high temperatures. By combining Cu and Ce as the doping elements into the Mn-based catalysts, both the low-temperature activity and the high temperature N<sub>2</sub> selectivity are enhanced, and the Langmuir-Hinshelwood reaction mechanism was proved to dominate in the trimetallic Cu-Ce-5Mn/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts due to the low energy barrier.

# **1. Introduction**

With the rapid industrialization and urbanization, nitric oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>, including  $NO$  and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ) emissions have become a major air pollutant and drawn increasing public attentions due to their serious environmental issues, including acid rain, photochemical smog, ozone depletion, and greenhouse effects.<sup>1</sup> Moreover,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emissions can also accelerate the formation of secondary aerosol and fine particles such as PM 2.5, which further threatens human health and environment.<sup>2, 3</sup> Therefore, governments and researchers around the world have been devoting continuous efforts to fight against  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  pollution.

Amongst various technologies, the selective catalytic reduction using  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  as the reducing agent (NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR) to react selectively with NO<sub>x</sub> to form  $N_2$  and  $H_2O$  has been proven as the most effective way to reduce  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  emission from both the stationary and mobile sources.<sup>4-7</sup> In the past decades, much progress has been made in the SCR catalysts, which can be categorized into three major groups, namely the noble metals, transition metal oxides, and transition metal ion exchanged zeolites. Particularly, the transition-metal oxides and their derivatives, especially the Mn,<sup>8, 9</sup> Ce<sup>10, 11</sup> and Cu<sup>6, 12</sup> based catalysts, received great attention for their earth-abundance, low-cost, environment-friendliness, high stability, and remarkable redox activity.<sup>13</sup> However, the synergistic interactions between the various elements in the multi-component catalysts require further elucidation.8, 13-16

Meanwhile, SCR catalysts are usually supported on other materials, such as  $Al_2O_3$ , TiO<sub>2</sub>, carbon and ceramic monolith,<sup>17</sup> etc., to achieve an optimal SCR performance.<sup>18</sup> Among these support materials,  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ is less acidic and offers excellent dispersion of active components and  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  resistance, therefore it has been widely used in NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR catalysts.13, 19-21 Moreover, layered protonated titanates (LPTs,  $H_2Ti_2O_5·H_2O$  are the precursors of  $TiO_2$  and were reported as the ideal support material due to its high surface area and abundant ionexchangeable sites.<sup>22-24</sup> The theoretical cation exchange capacity (CEC) is 9.05 mmol/g for the monovalent ions of the layered dititanate, outperforming other common ion exchangers with CECs in the range of 0.25-0.6 mmol/g, such as the layered clays, zeolites, and zirconium phosphate.25 The high surface area and abundant ionexchanging positions allow an atomic-scale distribution of metal cations, thus achieving a higher metal loading and dispersion.24, 26, 27

In practical applications, monolithic catalysts are widely applied in the abatement of air pollutions from various sources.<sup>28, 29</sup> Especially, the nanostructured array (nanoarray) based monolithic catalysts have been successfully developed and demonstrated for various catalytic reactions in the past decades, such as the automotive aftertreatment, CO2 hydrogenation and VOCs abatement.30-32 Compared with the conventional washcoat counterparts, the nanoarray catalysts show enhanced materials utilization efficiency, improved thermal stability and mechanical robustness , and tuneable catalytic performance. The LPT nanoarray-based monolithic catalysts were also demonstrated for the diesel oxidation catalysts (DOC).<sup>26, 33</sup> Therefore, it would be of

*a.Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA*

*b. Institute of Materials Science, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA*

*c. Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA* \* Corresponding author: Email: [puxian.gao@uconn.edu](mailto:puxian.gao@uconn.edu)

<sup>†</sup> Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

great value to investigate the LPT-based monolithic catalyst for  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ abatement.

This work aims to employ the LPT-derived  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoarrays as the support to elucidate the synergistic interactions between different transition metal elements (V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, La and Ce) during the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR process. Monometallic catalysts were firstly evaluated for the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity and  $N_2$  selectivity. Subsequently, the Mn-based catalysts were selected as base material and the other transition metal elements were introduced as secondary dopants to make the bimetallic catalysts to elucidate the synergistic interactions between these elements. Finally, trimetallic Cu-Ce-Mn/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts with different transition metal element loading amount and ratios were prepared and evaluated, and the synergistic effects of the different elements were systematically studied by various technologies.

# **2. Materials and Methods**

#### **2.1 Materials Preparation**

The LPT nanoarrays were integrated onto the cordierite monoliths *via* a microwave-assisted hydrothermal method reported previously.<sup>33</sup> The transition metal elements were loaded onto the ion-exchangeable LPT nanoarrays through an wet-impregnation process, which was carried out at 80 °C for 12 h, and then the solution was evaporated at 80 °C until dry. Finally, the samples were calcined at 500°C for 2 hours, during which, the LPT nanoarrays transformed into anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , resulting the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoarray supported transition metal catalysts (X/TNA). The detailed preparation procedures can be found in the Supporting Information (SI), and the catalysts composition are summarized in **Table S1**.

#### **2.2 Catalyst Characterization**

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) of the catalysts were conducted on a Bruker D2 X-ray diffractometer (Cu Kα, 30 kV, 10 mA). The morphology, composition and structure of the catalysts were characterized using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, ThermoFisher, TeneoLoVac) and a high-resolution scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM, ThermoFisher, Talos F200X S/TEM, 200 kV). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were performed on a Quantum 2000 Scanning ESCA Microprobe, using a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source with the binding energy calibrated using the C 1s transition at 284.8 eV as an internal standard. The specific surface areas were measured by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method from the nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms measured at 77 K using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 Automatic Chemisorption Analyzer.<sup>34</sup> Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H2-TPR) was carried out in a ChemiSorb 2720 Pulse Chemisorption System equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) to study the reducibility of the catalysts. Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) were performed to study the adsorption and desorption properties towards  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  species over the catalysts using a fixed-bed tube reactor connected to a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR, ThermoFisher Nicolet 6700). The detailed description of the TPR and TPD measurements can be found in SI.

#### **2.3 Catalytic Performance Evaluation**

The catalytic performance evaluations were conducted using the same fixed-bed quartz reactor tube as the TPD tests. The feed gas was 500 ppm  $NO + 500$  ppm  $NH_3 + 5$  vol.%  $O_2$  balanced with  $N_2$  with a total flow rate of 300 sccm and a space velocity of 15,000 /h. The composition of exit gases including NO,  $NH_3$ , NO<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O were continuously analyzed by an online FTIR. For catalytic activity data were collected at each designed temperature for 20 min to reach a steady state. All the samples were degreened in the reacting atmosphere at 500 °C for 4 hours before the tests. The NO conversion and  $N_2$  selectivity were calculated by the following equations:

NO conversion = 
$$
\frac{[NO]_{in} - [NO]_{out}}{[NO]_{in}} \times 100\%
$$
  
\n
$$
N_2 \text{ selectivity} = \frac{[NO]_{in} - [NO]_{out} + [NH_3]_{in} - [NH_3]_{out} - [NO_2]_{out} - 2[N_2O]_{out}}{[NO]_{in} - [NO]_{out} + [NH_3]_{in} - [NH_3]_{out}} \times 100\%
$$

$$
\frac{[{\rm NO}]_{\rm in}-[{\rm NO}]_{\rm out}+[{\rm NH}_3]_{\rm in}-[{\rm NH}_3]_{\rm out}-[{\rm NO}_2]_{\rm out}-2[{\rm N}_2{\rm O}]_{\rm out}}{[{\rm NO}]_{\rm in}-[{\rm NO}]_{\rm out}+[{\rm NH}_3]_{\rm in}-[{\rm NH}_3]_{\rm out}} \times 100\%
$$

The selected catalysts were also evaluated by the long-term stability and sulfur poisoning resistance tests, and the detailed procedures can be found in the SI.

#### **2.4** *In situ* **DRIFTS**

In situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (*in situ* DRIFTS) was conducted using a Nicolet iS50 FTIR (Thermal Fisher Scientific) equipped with a Harrick Praying Mantis unit. The samples were ground to powders and mounted in the IR cell, which was connected to a gas distribution manifold. Prior to each test, the catalysts were pre-treated at 500 °C in a flow of 10%  $O_2/N_2$  for 30 min and cooled down to target temperature in  $N_2$ . Background spectra were recorded in a  $N_2$  flow and automatically subtracted from the spectra. All spectra were recorded over accumulative 64 scans with a resolution of 4 cm−1 in the range of 4000–650 cm−1. The feed contents of NO, NH<sub>3</sub>, and  $O_2$  in the gas mixture were 500 ppm, 500 ppm, and 5 vol.% (when used), respectively, with  $N_2$  as balance with a flow rate of 100 sccm.

## **3. Results and Discussion**

#### **3.1 Catalytic Performance Tests**

The catalytic performance of all the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoarray (TNA) supported mono-, bi- and trimetallic catalysts were evaluated and summarized in **Fig. 1** and **Fig. S1**-**S4**. As displayed in **Fig. S1**, the V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu and Ce based monometallic catalysts exhibited SCR activity, while no obvious NO conversion was observed over the Co, Ni, and La impregnated samples. The negative NO conversions at high temperatures are due to the formation of NO *via* side reaction of 4NH<sup>3</sup>  $+ 5O<sub>2</sub> = 4NO + 6H<sub>2</sub>O$ . Among the active samples, the Cr, Mn, Cu and Ce based catalysts showed higher NO conversions, however, the Cr/TNA exhibited low  $N_2$  selectivity due to the formation of NO<sub>2</sub> and N2O through side reactions at high temperatures (**Fig. S1b**-**d**). Particularly, the highest NO conversion of 61% was obtained over the Cu/TNA at 217 °C, but the  $N_2$  selectivity decreases with increasing temperature due to the formation of  $NO$  and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . Meanwhile, the Ce/TNA catalyst exhibited low activity at low temperatures, but the NO conversion outperformed the other samples at high temperatures with the  $N<sub>2</sub>$  selectivity close to 100% over the entire temperature range. Among these monometallic catalysts, Mn/TNA showed a satisfactory low-temperature activity and high temperature  $N_2$ 

selectivity. Therefore, Mn/TNA was selected as a base catalyst and the other metal elements were doped to investigate the synergistic interactions between these elements on the NH3-SCR.

which demonstrates the synergistic interactions among the Cu, Ce, and Mn elements can greatly promote the NH3-SCR performance and maintain high  $N_2$  selectivity over a wide temperature window.



Fig. 1 Catalytic performance of the selected transition metal (i.e., Cu, Mn, and Ce) doped TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoarray based monolithic catalysts: (a) NO conversion, (b) N<sub>2</sub> selectivity, (c) NO<sub>2</sub> formation, and (d) N<sub>2</sub>O formation. Feed gas: 500 ppm NO + 500 ppm NH<sub>3</sub> + 5 vol.%  $O_2$  balanced with  $N_2$  and space velocity of 15,000 /h.

The effects of the secondary dopant elements on the Mn/TNA catalysts were evaluated by keeping the total loading metal amount at 50 g/ft<sup>3</sup> . As shown in **Fig. S2**, the Cr, Cu, and Ce doped bimetallic catalysts show promoted NO conversion at low temperatures (**Fig. S2a**). However, like the results over the mono-metallic catalysts shown in **Fig. S1**, the high-temperature  $N_2$  selectivity of the bimetallic Cr-Mn/TNA and Cu-Mn/TNA deteriorates due to the formation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  through side reactions. As for the Cedoped catalyst, both the high-temperature NO conversion and  $N<sub>2</sub>$ selectivity were promoted, but the low temperature reactivity is not as good as Cu-Mn/TNA. Thus, based on the results over the mono- and bimetallic catalysts and considering the low toxicity of the dopant elements, Cu, Ce, and Mn were selected and three groups of trimetallic Cu-Ce-Mn/TNA catalysts with different loading amounts and atomic ratios were prepared for further evaluation. As displayed in **Fig. S3**, the 100Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA sample with an atomic ratio of  $Cu/Ce/Mn=1/1/5$  and a total metal loading of 100 g/ft<sup>3</sup> exhibited the highest NO conversion of 97% at 270 °C over a wide temperature range. Therefore, six representative catalysts with the total metal loading of 100 g/ft<sup>3</sup> were selected for further study on the synergy of different elements for NH3-SCR. As displayed in **Fig. 1**, the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst outperforms the mono- and bimetallic samples,

Furthermore, the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalysts were also tested for stability and sulfur poisoning resistance, respectively. As shown in **Fig. S4a**, after being exposed to the reaction atmosphere at 220 and 315 °C for 40-50 hours, no obvious decrease in the NO conversion or the  $N_2$  selectivity were observed over the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst. Specifically, the catalyst exhibited higher NO conversion (> 95%) and  $N_2$  selectivity (> 97%) at 220 °C than at 315 °C, which is due to the enhanced side reactions at higher temperatures. On the other hand, sulfur-containing compounds exist in most vehicle fuels and lubricants, which forms  $SO_2$  and deactivate the catalysts.<sup>19, 35</sup> In this work, the exposure to  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  resulted in decreases in NO conversion from 97 to 79% and 95 to 91% at 220 and 270 °C, respectively. However, the sulfur poisoning inhibits more on the side reactions than on the main NO abatement reaction, resulting in a higher NO conversion and  $N_2$  selectivity than the pristine sample at temperatures. Based on the experimental data obtained under the laboratory conditions in the present work, more realistic exhaust tests will be conducted over the samples in our subsequent studies by considering the presence of water content, hydrocarbons, and other components.

**3.2 Structural, Compositional and Porosity Properties**



**Fig. 2** XRD patterns: (a) TiO<sub>2</sub>; (b) 100Mn/TNA; (c) 100Cu/TNA; (d) 100Ce/TNA; (e) 100-Cu-Mn/TNA; (f) 100-Ce-Mn/TNA; (g) 100-Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA; (h) 200- Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA. Patterns in the box are enlarged on the right.

The catalytic performance of the SCR catalysts is directly related to the structural and compositional properties. The XRD patterns of the TiO2 nanoarray supported catalysts are displayed in **Fig. 2**, in which, only the diffraction peaks of anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  (JSPDS 21-1272) and cordierite (JSPDS 09-0472) are detectable, and the Raman spectra in **Fig. S5** also exhibit the bands of anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . The absence of the peaks of the metal oxides of Cu, Ce, and Mn suggests the complete intercalation of the Cu, Ce, and Mn atoms into the anatase lattice, which will be further evidenced by the TEM and elemental mapping results in **Fig. 3**. Furthermore, for all the samples without Ce doping, i.e., the blank  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , Mn/TNA, Cu/TNA and Cu-Mn/TNA, a sharp peak at 25.28° from the anatase (101) planes is observable (**Figs. 2a**, **b**, **c**, and **e**). However, this peak becomes flattened upon Ce addition in the Ce/TNA, Ce-Mn/TNA, and Cu-Ce/TNA (**Figs. 2d**, **f**, **g**, and **h**). The bright field (BF), high resolution TEM (HRTEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of the Ce/TNA in **Fig. S7** exhibits distinctive diffraction rings of anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with no phase segregation. Therefore, the flattened peaks but distinctive diffraction rings suggest that Ce-doping can reduce the crystal sizes of the anatase crystallites, as is further supported by the specific surface area results.

The SEM, BF, HRTEM, high angle annular dark field (HAADF) images, and elemental mapping of the LPT nanoarray rooted monolith and Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalysts are presented in **Fig. 3**. As shown in **Fig. 3a**-**c**, LPT nanoarrays were uniformly integrated onto the channel



**Fig. 3** SEM images of the LPT nanoarray-rooted monolith: the high-magnification (a) top view, (b) cross-section view, and lowmagnification (c) top view. The BF (d-e) and HRTEM (f-i) images of the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst with the lattice indexed by anatase (101) plans. (j) The SAED patterns indexed by anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>. (k) The HAADF image of the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalysts and (l)~(o) the EDX mapping showing the elemental distribution of Ti, Mn, Ce and Cu, respectively.



**Fig. 4** (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) the BJH pore-size distribution of the selected catalysts.

surfaces of the cordierite monolith. Upon the ion-exchange with transition metal elements, secondary nanoflakes were formed on the nanoarrays, resulting in a 3D nanostructured framework (**Fig. 3d**, **e** and **k**). The SAED patterns (**Fig. 3j**) exhibit the diffraction rings of anatase  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with no diffraction patterns of the oxides of Mn, Cu, and Ce, which agrees with the XRD patterns in **Fig. 2**. The HRTEM of the nanoflakes (**Fig. 3f**~**i**) reveal the lattice of anatase (101) planes. The EDX mapping reveals the uniform distribution of Mn, Cu, and Ce atoms in both the primary nanorods and secondary nanoflakes (**Fig. 3m**, **n** and **o**), demonstrating that the dopant elements are intercalated into the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  lattice rather than attached on the surface of the nanorods. Interestingly, Ti atoms are also uniformly distributed in both the primary nanorods and secondary nanoflakes (**Fig. 3l**). Considering the LPT is the only Ti source, the distribution of Ti atoms on the secondary nanoflakes suggests a leaching-recrystallization process during ion-exchange when the Ti atoms were leached from the LPT nanorods by the transition metal nitrate solution at first, and then recrystallized to form the anatase nanoflakes on the primary LPT nanorods. Such leaching-recrystallization process was also observed during the synthesis of Ceria-based nanoflake arrays in our previous work.<sup>28</sup> The secondary nanoflake structures helps to increase the surface area and modify the properties of the catalysts, resulting in a better dispersion of the active sites and improved overall catalytic performance. The HRTEM and elemental mapping reveals the complete intercalation of the transition metal atoms into the anatase

lattice with no phase segregation, which explains the absence of the characteristic peaks of the metal oxides in the XRD patterns in **Fig. 2**.

The BET isotherms and BJH pore-size distributions are summarized in Fig. 4. The  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms of the transition metal doped catalysts show a type IV feature with a type H3 hysteresis loop, indicating the mesoporous structure with slit shaped pores formed by agglomerates of particles with non-uniform size and shape.<sup>36</sup> The Ce-doped samples show higher specific surface area due to the decreased the crystal sizes of the anatase nanocrystallites upon Ce addition, which agrees with the broadened XRD peaks of anatase phases in the Ce-doped samples in **Fig. 2**. The pore size distributions of the samples exhibit a range of 3.4~5.6 nm, suggesting the materials are mesoporous to facilitate the heterogeneous catalytic processes.<sup>37</sup>

#### **3.3 Catalyst Reducibility and Surface Oxidation State**

The H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles of blank  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoarrays, mono-, bi- and trimetallic catalysts are presented in Fig. S8, and the total H<sub>2</sub> consumptions are quantified in **Table 1**. The monometallic Mn/TNA catalyst (**Fig. S8b**) exhibits a broad reduction peak, which can be split into three peaks at 394, 451 and 519 °C corresponding to the reductions of Mn<sup>4+</sup> to Mn<sup>3+</sup>, Mn<sup>3+</sup> to Mn<sup>2.67+</sup> and Mn<sup>2.67+</sup> to Mn<sup>2+</sup>, respectively.14, 38 Upon the introduction of Cu, the reduction peaks of the bi-metallic 100Cu-Mn/TNA catalyst (**Fig. S8e**) are significantly enhanced and shift to the lower temperatures, with a higher  $H_2$ consumption of 3,504 μmole/g than the monometallic Mn/TNA catalyst (2,024 μmole/g). As is known, a higher  $H_2$  consumption amount indicates a higher reducibility of a catalysts, and a lower peak  $H<sub>2</sub>$  reducing temperature suggests that the catalyst is easier to be reduced at low temperatures. Therefore, the  $H_2$ -TPR analysis help to partially explain the higher SCR reactivity of the Cu-doped samples at low temperatures, that the addition of Cu into the Mn-based catalysts helps to improve the reducibility of the catalysts, which contributes to the improved low-temperature SCR reactivity for NO reduction. On the other hand, the addition of Ce to the Mn/TNA catalyst results in a flattened  $H_2$  reduction profile with a decreased  $H_2$ consumption of 1,322 μmole/g (**Fig. S8f**), indicating that Ce as a dopant compromises the reducibility of the Mn/TNA catalysts, which is in accordance with the diminished low-temperature activity of the Ce-Mn/TNA catalysts. Finally, the  $H_2$ -TPR profile of the trimetallic Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst combines the features of the mono- and bimetallic catalysts, and four distinctive peaks are identified in **Fig. S8g**. Compared to Cu-Mn/TNA, the reduction temperatures of the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalysts increases, and the total  $H_2$  consumption of the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst (2,123 μmole/g) is also lower than that of the Cu-Mn/TNA  $(3,504 \mu \text{mole/g})$ , which is due to the introduction of Ce element. The detailed discussion and identification of the  $H_2$ reduction peaks for each sample can be found in SI.

The XPS of the Mn  $2p_{3/2}$  and O 1s of the selected catalysts are deconvoluted in **Fig. 5** and the oxidation states and surface species in the catalysts are summarized and quantified in **Table 1**. As shown in **Fig. 5a-d**, the asymmetric peaks of Mn  $2p_{3/2}$  spectra are split into three peaks centred at 640.7, 642.1 and 644.2 eV corresponding to  $Mn^{3+}$ ,  $Mn^{4+}$  and Mn nitrates, respectively.<sup>13, 39, 40</sup> The  $Mn^{4+}$  is dominant for the low-temperature de- $NO<sub>x</sub>$  performance by facilitating the oxidation of NO to  $NO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>1, 8, 41</sup>$  making the  $Mn<sup>4+/Mn<sup>3+</sup></sup>$  ratio an indicator of SCR activity of the catalysts. For the monometallic Mn/TNA catalyst, the

 $Mn^{4+}$ /  $Mn^{3+}$  =1.31, while the introduction of Cu and Ce change  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+}$  ratios to 1.53 and 1.05, respectively. The increased  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+}$  ratio in the Cu-Mn/TNA catalyst agrees with the H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profile of the Cu-Mn/TNA catalyst with the enhanced reduction peak of  $Mn^{4+}$  to  $Mn^{3+}$ , which also helps to explain the better lowtemperature activity of the Cu-Mn/TNA catalyst. The introduction of

Ce, on the other hand, results in a decreased  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+}$  ratio to 1.05, implying that the Ce doping can bring about the partial reduction of the  $Mn^{4+}$  to  $Mn^{3+}$ .<sup>42</sup> When both Cu and Ce are introduced, there is also a significant increase of the  $Mn^{4+}/Mn^{3+}$  ratio from 1.31 to 1.69, which lends supports to the excellent overall performance of the trimetallic Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst.





<sup>a</sup>: The H<sub>2</sub> consumption was calculated from the calibration experiments using Copper (II) oxide tested under the same conditions.

b : The amount of NH3-TPD was normalized according to the peak area of the Mn/TNA catalyst.

Ine ratio of the amount of Lewis acid sites [L] over the Brønsted acid sites [B] based on the deconvoluted peak area in the NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles.

The O 1s XPS spectra of the selected catalysts are deconvoluted into three peaks in **Fig. 5e**-**h**: lattice oxygen (529.5–530.2 eV, O<sup>β</sup> ), surface labile oxygen  $(530.1-530.8 \text{ eV}, O^{\alpha})$  and adsorbed molecular water above 532 eV (O<sup>*r*</sup>).<sup>15, 41, 43-45</sup> The lattice oxygen O<sup>β</sup> originates from Ti–O in the TiO<sub>2</sub> crystal, and the surface labile oxygen  $O^{\alpha}$  result from the Ti–OH over the catalyst surface.<sup>15, 46</sup> The surface labile oxygen  $O^{\alpha}$ is more active due to its higher mobility and illustrates a key role in oxidation reactions.<sup>47, 48</sup> Therefore, a high  $O^{\alpha}/O^{\beta}$  ratio is beneficial for the NO oxidation to NO<sub>2</sub> in the SCR of NO<sub>x</sub> reaction.<sup>15, 47</sup> As summarized in **Table 1**, the Mn/TNA, Cu-Mn/TNA and Ce-Mn/TNA catalysts exhibit approximate  $O^{\alpha}/O^{\beta}$  ratio of 0.75~1.04. However, when both Cu and Ce are added, the  $O^{\alpha}/O^{\beta}$  ratio significantly increases to 2.15, suggesting that the simultaneous introduction of Cu and Ce helps to increase the surface chemisorbed labile oxygen  $(O<sup>\alpha</sup>)$  and promote the oxidation of NO to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  during the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR process.

#### **3.4 Surface Adsorption and Desorption**

The surface adsorption and desorption properties for the  $NH_3$  and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ species over the selected catalysts were s by NH3- and NO-TPD and displayed in **Fig. S9**-**S10** and **Fig. 6**. All the catalysts exhibit asymmetric NH3 desorption peaks with a maximum centred at 130~150 °C and a shoulder at 195~233 °C (**Fig. S9**), which can be assigned to the  $NH<sup>4+</sup>$  ions and the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  molecules coordinated to the Brønsted and Lewis acid sites, respectively, considering the higher thermal stability of the Lewis acid sites.49-53 Therefore, the ratios of the Lewis to Brønsted acid sites can be quantified based on the deconvoluted peak areas. As compared in **Fig. 6a**, the addition of Cu increases both the NH3 adsorption and the ratio of the Lewis acid sites of the Mn-based catalysts, while the addition of Ce prohibits the NH<sup>3</sup> adsorption and Lewis's acid sites. According to the literature, the Lewis acid sites are more active than Brønsted acid sites in activating

the NO molecules during  $NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR<sup>49, 54</sup>$  Therefore, the enhanced surface Lewis acid sites and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption capacity facilitates the higher low-temperature activity of the Cu-doped catalysts.

The NO-TPD profiles (**Fig. S10**) generally exhibit two NO desorption peaks at 110 and 230 °C, which can be assigned to the physically and chemically adsorbed NO, respectively. Meanwhile, two  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ desorption peaks can be found in the approximate temperatures, indicating the oxidation of NO by the surface adsorbed oxygen. Moreover, there are slight amounts of  $N_2O$  released from some samples during the NO-TPD process. Both the Mn/TNA and Cu/TNA catalysts exhibit the desorption of NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  during the TPD process, however, Ce/TNA shows no desorption of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  at all. When Cu and Ce are introduced, the Cu-Mn/TNA show enhanced  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ desorption with significant amount of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , while the Ce-Mn/TNA shows no desorption of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> at 110 °C and no N<sub>2</sub>O desorption in the whole temperature range. For the trimetallic Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalysts, moderate amounts of NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  can be observed during the TPD process. The varied  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  desorption behaviours of the catalysts reveal the different effects of these elements in the multicomponent catalysts. Generally, the addition of Cu enhances the NO adsorption, and the strong desorption of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  from the Cu-doped samples indicate the formation  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in the presence of Cu, which



Please do not a **Fig. 5** Deconvoluted (a-d) Mn 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and (e-h) O 1s XPS of the selected catalysts.

**Journal Name ARTICLE** 



Fig. 6 (a) Quantified NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption capacity and ratio of the Lewis to Brønsted acid sites over the selected catalysts during the NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD tests. (b) Quantified desorption of different  $NO_x$  species on selected catalysts during the NO-TPD tests.

explains the active low-temperature redox properties of the Cu species. The introduction of Ce, however, depresses the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ adsorption, resulting in the low reactivity of the Ce-based catalysts at low temperatures. Particularly, the addition of Ce preferably depresses the physically adsorbed NO and the formation of  $N_2O$  over the Ce-Mn/TNA catalyst, indicating the change in reaction routes and mechanisms. It should be noted that the desorbed  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  was formed through the oxidation of the adsorbed NO by the surface oxygen species identified by the O1s XPS spectra in **Fig. 5**.

#### **3.5 Reaction Mechanism Study**

The FTIR spectra of the surface adsorbed species derived from the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  adsorption and co-adsorption of NO and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  over the selected catalysts at 150 °C are displayed and quantified in **Fig. 7**. As presented in Fig. 7a and c, the NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption over Mn/TNA resulted in the IR bands of the NH<sub>3</sub> coordinated on Lewis acid sites (1608, 1143 and  $1043 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) <sup>41, 55</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> bound to Brønsted acid sites (1444 cm<sup>-1</sup>),<sup>41,</sup> <sup>56</sup> which are denoted as  $NH<sub>3</sub>(L)$  and  $NH<sup>4+</sup>(B)$  in this work, respectively. The bands around 1542,<sup>57</sup> 1359,<sup>58</sup> and 1240 cm−1 <sup>53</sup> were attributed to the ammonia oxidation intermediates (M), free nitrate ions (N0), and the monodentate nitrates (N1), respectively. When doped with secondary elements, the addition of Ce prohibits the adsorption of Lewis acid sites  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  (L) and the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  oxidation intermediates  $(M)$ , but significantly enhances the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> adsorption on Brønsted acid sites (B). The introduction of Cu, on the other hand, can significantly increase the Lewis acid sites  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  (L) and the ammonia oxidation intermediates (M) on the surface of the catalysts. The Lewis acid sites are produced by the coordinately unsaturated cationic sites, which are ready electron accepters and easy to activate NO molecules directly due to the one electron bond of the electronic structure.<sup>54</sup> Meanwhile, the Lewis acid sites could also activate the oxygen by electrostatic polarization, attacked by gas phase NO to form  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ .<sup>59</sup> Therefore, the more surface Lewis acid sites, the more adsorption and activation sites for NO, which are crucial to promote the generation of adsorbed  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and nitrate species over the catalyst surface. This also lend support to the enhanced adsorption capacity of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  species and low-temperature reactivity of the Cu-doped catalysts. The



Fig. 7 FTIR spectra of (a) NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption and (b) NO + O<sub>2</sub> co-adsorption at 150 °C over the selected catalysts. Calculated quantities of various adsorbents (c) Lewis acid sites (L), Brønsted acid sites (B) and NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation intermediates (M) from NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption and (d) NO<sub>x</sub> species derived from NO +  $O_2$  co-adsorption.

trimetallic Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst showed an overall enhancement in the surface adsorbed species. Particularly, the peak intensity associated to the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  coordinated on Lewis's acid sites (L) are much higher than the monometallic and bimetallic catalysts, revealing that the synergy of Cu, Ce and Mn elements increase the quantity and percentage of Lewis acid sites on the catalyst surface.

Upon the co-adsorption of NO and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  (Fig. 7b and d), the monometallic Mn/TNA catalyst exhibits the adsorption of NO and  $O<sub>2</sub>$ with the IR bands 1662 and 1480 cm−1, which correspond to the asymmetric stretching of coordinated  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  formed by  $NO<sub>0</sub>$  oxidation and the M-NO<sub>2</sub> (M = Mn or Ti) nitro compounds, respectively.<sup>49, 60</sup> Other detected IR bands include the free nitrate ions (N0) at 1346 cm<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>58</sup> monodentate nitrates (N1) at 1261 cm<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>41, 53</sup> bidentate nitrate (N2) at 1537 cm<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>41</sup> bridging nitrates (N3) at 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>49</sup> and linear nitrite (N4) at 1403 cm<sup>-1 61</sup> When the secondary dopant elements were introduced, the doping of Cu enhances the adsorption of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  as well as the formation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , bidentate nitrate  $(N2)$  and linear nitrite (N4) over the Mn-based catalysts, while the addition of Ce prohibited the adsorption of the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  species and the formation of intermediate compounds at 150 °C. Particularly, the adsorbed  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ originates not only from the gaseous  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  by the reaction between NO and O2, but also from the *in situ* reaction between NO and the chemisorbed oxygen (O<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>) on the catalyst surface.<sup>49</sup> The high O<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>/O<sup> $\beta$ </sup> ratio over the Cu-doped catalysts facilitate the oxidation of NO to form  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and boost the low temperature activity. According to the literature,<sup>49</sup> the presence of the adsorbed  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  on the selected samples favour the low temperature activity by "fast SCR". These observations

can help to explain the higher catalytic activity of the Cu-doped catalysts than the Ce-doped counterparts in the low temperature range.

Time-resolved DRIFTS can help to directly clarify the reactive intermediates and possible reaction mechanism during the NH3-SCR. The *in situ* DRIFTS of the surface reactions over the selected catalysts at 150 °C are summarized in **Fig. S11-S12** and **Fig. 8**. As presented in **Fig. 8a** and **b**, when  $NH_3$  was firstly introduced, the surfaces of the trimetallic Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst were quickly covered by the NH3-derived species, which have been identified in **Fig. 7a**. After NO and  $O<sub>2</sub>$  were introduced, the NH<sub>3</sub> oxidation intermediates (M) were quickly consumed in 10 min, which suggests the existence of the NH3- SCR root through the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  oxidation intermediates (M). Meanwhile, the IR band at 1604 cm−1 also gradually shifts to 1581 cm−1 due to the consumption of the Lewis acid site coordinated  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  (L) and the formation of the bidentate nitrate (N2). The Brønsted acid site bounded  $NH_4^+$  (B) were replaced by the  $NO_2$  formed by NO oxidation and the accumulation of the linear nitrite (N4), resulting in the IR bands at 1658 and 1438 cm<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>61</sup> respectively. The IR band of the monodentate nitrates (N1) at 1253 cm<sup>-1</sup> barely changed, revealing the low activity of this intermediate. The reaction between the preadsorbed NH3-derived species and the gas phase NO suggests the presence of an Eley-Rideal (E-R) mechanism.

When the reactant was introduced in a reverse order, the *in situ* FTIR spectra of the surface reactions between  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and the pre-adsorbed  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  species at 150 °C over the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst are summarized in Fig. 8c and  $d$ . On the one hand, the absorbed  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and bidentate nitrate (N2) were quickly consumed and replaced by the IR



**Fig. 8** *In situ* DRIFTS of (a, b) NO + O<sub>2</sub> reacted with pre-adsorbed NH<sub>3</sub> and (c, d) NH<sub>3</sub> reacted with pre-adsorbed NO + O<sub>2</sub> at 150 °C over the trimetallic Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst. Conditions:  $[NO] = [NH_3] = 500$  ppm,  $[O_2] = 5$  vol.% (when used) and N<sub>2</sub>.

band of the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> bound to Brønsted acid sites (B) at 1652 cm<sup>-1 41, 56</sup>. On the other hand, the IR band at 1581 cm−1 also quickly shifted to 1610 cm−1 with the consumption of the bidentate nitrate (N2) and adsorption of the  $NH_3$  coordinated on Lewis acid sites (L). The linear nitrite (N4) was replaced by the  $NH_4^+$  bound to Brønsted acid sites (B) at 1438 cm−1 41, 56. The changes of the spectra over Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA illustrated the active surface reaction between NH<sub>3</sub> and pre-adsorbed NO*<sup>x</sup>* species, which agrees with the Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) mechanism of NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction<sup>49</sup>. Furthermore, it took  $\sim$ 10 min to consume the pre-adsorbed  $NO_x$  species by the introduced  $NH_3$  (Fig. **8c**), while it was not until  $\sim$ 40 min that the pre-adsorbed NH<sub>3</sub> on the Lewis acid sites (L) were consumed in **Fig. 8a**. The slow reaction between the NO and pre-adsorbed NH<sub>3</sub> suggests that the L-H mechanism is the dominant reaction pathway for the generation of  $N_2$ over Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalysts. This phenomenon agrees with previous reports about Ce-containing catalysts<sup>62-64</sup>, attributing to the higher energy barrier of the first step of the E-R mechanism compared with that of L-H mechanism, which has been further confirmed by density functional theory calculation<sup>65</sup>. The detailed discussion on the evolution of the *in situ* DRIFTS over the mono- and bi-metallic catalysts can be found in the SI.

# **Conclusions**

In summary, a series of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoarray (TNA) supported transition metal catalysts were prepared and evaluated for NH3- SCR. Based on the catalytic performance, Cu, Ce, and Mn were selected and the synergistic effects of these elements were studied over the mono-, bi- and trimetallic catalysts. The Mn/TNA catalysts exhibit a satisfactory low temperature SCR activity and high-temperature  $N_2$  selectivity. The addition of Cu promotes the low-temperature activity by enhancing the catalyst reducibility, the ratio of Mn<sup>4+</sup> and surface labile oxygen ( $O^{\alpha}$ ), and the activation of the Lewis acid site coordinated  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  (L) and the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  species. However, the N<sub>2</sub> selectivity decreased at high temperatures due to the formation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $N<sub>2</sub>O$ . The doping of Ce prohibits the adsorption and activation of the  $NH_3$  and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ species but maintain a high  $N_2$ -selectivity at the elevated temperatures. Due to the synergistic interactions between the dopant elements, the L-H reaction mechanism dominates the NH3-SCR process over the Cu-Ce-5Mn/TNA catalyst due to the rapid reaction between the adsorbed NH<sup>3</sup> and NO*<sup>x</sup>* species. The addition of Cu also promotes the "fast SCR" through the formation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  from the adsorbed NO on the catalyst surfaces.

## **Author Contributions**

**Xingxu Lu**: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Software; Validation; Visualization; Writing - original draft. **Yanliu Dang**: Investigation. **Meilin Li**: Investigation. **Chunxiang Zhu**: Methodology. **Fangyuan Liu**: Investigation. **Wenxiang Tang**: Supervision. **Junfei Weng**: Investigation. **Mingyue Ruan**: Investigation. **Steven L. Suib**: Resources, Supervision. **Pu-Xian Gao**: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing.

# **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful for the financial support from the US Department of Energy (Award Nos. DE-SC0018890 and DE-EE0008423), the US National Science Foundation (Award No. IIP 1919231), and the University of Connecticut IMMP and CARIC programs. The SEM and TEM studies were performed using the facilities in the UConn/ThermoFisher Scientific Center for Advanced Microscopy and Materials Analysis (CAMMA).

## **References**

- 1. C. Liu, J.-W. Shi, C. Gao and C. Niu, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2016, **522**, 54-69.
- 2. S. Du, S. Wang, Y. Guo, X. Lu, W. Tang, Y. Ding, X. Mao and P.-X. Gao, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, **236**, 348-358.
- 3. S. Amanatidis, L. Ntziachristos, B. Giechaskiel, A. Bergmann and Z. Samaras, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **48**, 11527-11534.
- 4. Y. Li, S. Cai, P. Wang, T. Yan, J. Zhang and D. Zhang, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **55**, 9276-9284.
- 5. K. Skalska, J. S. Miller and S. Ledakowicz, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2010, **408**, 3976-3989.
- 6. Z. Lei, C. Wen and B. Chen, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **45**, 3437-3444.
- 7. K. Lehtoranta, H. Vesala, P. Koponen and S. Korhonen, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **49**, 4735-4741.
- 8. D. K. Pappas, T. Boningari, P. Boolchand and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2016, **334**, 1-13.
- 9. S. Dissanayake, N. Vora, L. Achola, Y. Dang, J. He, Z. Tobin, X. Lu, A. Mirich, P.-X. Gao and S. L. Suib, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2021, **282**, 119573.
- 10. X. Hu, J. Chen, W. Qu, R. Liu, D. Xu, Z. Ma and X. Tang, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **55**, 5435-5441.
- 11. P. Zhang, P. Wang, A. Chen, L. Han, T. Yan, J. Zhang and D. Zhang, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **55**, 11970-11978.
- 12. N. Zhu, Y. Shan, W. Shan, Z. Lian, J. Du and H. He, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **55**, 16175–16183.
- 13. B. Thirupathi and P. G. Smirniotis, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2011, **110**, 195-206.
- 14. B. Thirupathi and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2012, **288**, 74- 83.
- 15. T. Boningari, P. R. Ettireddy, A. Somogyvari, Y. Liu, A. Vorontsov, C. A. McDonald and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2015, **325**, 145-155.
- 16. T. Boningari, D. K. Pappas and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2018, **365**, 320-333.
- 17. C. Zhu, D. P. Gamliel, J. A. Valla and G. M. Bollas, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2021, **284**, 119719.
- 18. X. Lu, W. Tang, M. Li, Y. Dang, N. Campbell, Z. Li, S. L. Suib and P.-X. Gao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **405**, 126906.
- 19. L. Zhang, L. Li, Y. Cao, X. Yao, C. Ge, F. Gao, Y. Deng, C. Tang and L. Dong, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **165**, 589-598.
- 20. X. Lu, M. Li, S. Hoang, S. L. Suib and P.-X. Gao, *Catal. Today*, 2021, **360**, 275-283.
- 21. X. Lu, W. Tang and P.-X. Gao, *Microsc. Microanal.*, 2018, **24**, 1642-1643.
- 22. D. V. Bavykin, J. M. Friedrich and F. C. Walsh, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 2807-2824.

- 23. K. Kordás, M. Mohl, Z. Kónya and Á. Kukovecz, *Transl. Mater. Res.*, 2015, **2**, 015003.
- 24. Á. Kukovecz, K. Kordás, J. Kiss and Z. Kónya, *Surf. Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **71**, 473-546.
- 25. N. Sutradhar, A. Sinhamahapatra, S. K. Pahari, H. C. Bajaj and A. B. Panda, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 7731-7733.
- 26. X. Lu, W. Tang, S. Du, L. Wen, J. Weng, Y. Ding, W. S. Willis, S. L. Suib and P.-X. Gao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 21515-21525.
- 27. X. Lu, F. Liu, Y. Dang, M. Li, M. Ruan, M. Wu, C. Zhu, T. Mani, S. L. Suib and P.-X. Gao, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2022, **591**, 153116.
- 28. W. Tang, X. Lu, F. Liu, S. Du, J. Weng, S. Hoang, S. Wang, C.-Y. Nam and P.-X. Gao, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **245**, 623- 634.
- 29. J. L. Williams, *Catal. Today*, 2001, **69**, 3-9.
- 30. S. Du, W. Tang, X. Lu, S. Wang, Y. Guo and P. X. Gao, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **5**, 1700730.
- 31. S. Hoang, X. Lu, W. Tang, S. Wang, S. Du, C.-Y. Nam, Y. Ding, R. D. Vinluan, J. Zheng and P.-X. Gao, *Catal. Today*, 2019, **320**, 2-10.
- 32. J. Weng, X. Lu and P.-X. Gao, *Catalysts*, 2017, **7**, 253.
- 33. X. Lu, S. Hoang, W. Tang, S. Du, S. Wang, F. Liu, W. Zhong, S. L. Suib, G. Yang, F.-Y. Zhang and P.-X. Gao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 35164-35174.
- 34. C. Zhu and G. M. Bollas, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, **235**, 92- 102.
- 35. S. Hoang, Y. Guo, A. J. Binder, W. Tang, S. Wang, J. Liu, H. Tran, X. Lu, Y. Wang, Y. Ding, E. A. Kyriakidou, J. Yang, T. J. Toops, T. R. Pauly, R. Ramprasad and P.-X. Gao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1062.
- 36. G. Leofanti, M. Padovan, G. Tozzola and B. Venturelli, *Catal. Today*, 1998, **41**, 207-219.
- 37. Q. Shi, T. Liu, Q. Li, Y. Xin, X. Lu, W. Tang, Z. Zhang, P.- X. Gao and J. A. Anderson, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **246**, 312- 321.
- 38. P. Suktha, N. Phattharasupakun, P. Dittanet and M. Sawangphruk, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 9958-9963.
- 39. D. A. Peña, B. S. Uphade and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2004, **221**, 421-431.
- 40. H. Chen, Y. Xia, H. Huang, Y. Gan, X. Tao, C. Liang, J. Luo, R. Fang, J. Zhang, W. Zhang and X. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **330**, 1195-1202.
- 41. Z. Fan, J.-W. Shi, C. Gao, G. Gao, B. Wang, Y. Wang, C. He and C. Niu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **348**, 820-830.
- 42. J. He, S.-Y. Chen, W. Tang, Y. Dang, P. Kerns, R. Miao, B. Dutta, P.-X. Gao and S. L. Suib, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **255**, 117766.
- 43. W. Tang, S. Wang, W. Xiao, S. Du, X. Lu, S. Hoang, J. Ding and P.-X. Gao, *Catal. Today*, 2019, **320**, 196-203.
- 44. H. Xu, Z. Zhang, J. Liu, C.-L. Do-Thanh, H. Chen, S. Xu, Q. Lin, Y. Jiao, J. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Chen and S. Dai, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 3908.
- 45. Y. Zhao, H. Wang, J. Han, X. Zhu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 3187-3197.
- 46. Z. Wu, Z. Sheng, Y. Liu, H. Wang and J. Mo, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2011, **185**, 1053-1058.
- 47. T. Gu, Y. Liu, X. Weng, H. Wang and Z. Wu, *Catal. Commun.*, 2010, **12**, 310-313.
- 48. M. Kang, E. D. Park, J. M. Kim and J. E. Yie, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2007, **327**, 261-269.
- 49. J. Liu, X. Li, Q. Zhao, J. Ke, H. Xiao, X. Lv, S. Liu, M. Tadé and S. Wang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **200**, 297-308.
- 50. D. Yuan, X. Li, Q. Zhao, J. Zhao, S. Liu and M. Tadé, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2013, **451**, 176-183.
- 51. H. Hu, S. Cai, H. Li, L. Huang, L. Shi and D. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 22924-22933.
- 52. H. Wang, Z. Qu, H. Xie, N. Maeda, L. Miao and Z. Wang, *J. Catal.*, 2016, **338**, 56-67.
- 53. L. Zhang, L. Shi, L. Huang, J. Zhang, R. Gao and D. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 1753-1763.
- 54. Z. Liu, Y. Yi, J. Li, S. I. Woo, B. Wang, X. Cao and Z. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 7726-7728.
- 55. H. Jiang, L. Zhang, J. Zhao, Y. Li and M. Zhang, *J. Mater. Res.*, 2016, **31**, 702-712.
- 56. L. Xu, X.-S. Li, M. Crocker, Z.-S. Zhang, A.-M. Zhu and C. Shi, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.*, 2013, **378**, 82-90.
- 57. P. R. Ettireddy, N. Ettireddy, T. Boningari, R. Pardemann and P. G. Smirniotis, *J. Catal.*, 2012, **292**, 53-63.
- 58. R. Jin, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, W. Cen, Z. Wu, H. Wang and X. Weng, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **148-149**, 582-588.
- 59. E. Kikuchi and K. Yogo, *Catal. Today*, 1994, **22**, 73-86.
- 60. F. Yan, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, Z. Lin, J. Zheng and F. Huang, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, **7**, 101-104.
- 61. D. Meng, W. Zhan, Y. Guo, Y. Guo, L. Wang and G. Lu, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 5973-5983.
- 62. Y. Peng, K. Li and J. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2013, **140-141**, 483-492.
- 63. L. Zhang, J. Pierce, V. L. Leung, D. Wang and W. S. Epling, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 8282-8289.
- 64. Y. Peng, C. Wang and J. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **144**, 538- 546.
- 65. B. Liu, J. Liu, S. Ma, Z. Zhao, Y. Chen, X.-Q. Gong, W. Song, A. Duan and G. Jiang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 2271-2283.