ChemComm



Chromium-catalyzed olefination of arylaldehydes with haloforms assisted by 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-N,N'bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4-dihydropyrazine

Journal:	ChemComm
Manuscript ID	CC-COM-11-2022-006104.R1
Article Type:	Communication



Chem. Commun.

COMMUNICATION

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Chromium-catalyzed olefination of arylaldehydes with haloforms assisted by 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-*N*,*N*'-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4-dihydropyrazine

Kohei Nishi,¹ Hayato Tsurugi,^{1,*} and Kazushi Mashima^{2,*}

Chromium-catalyzed olefination of arylaldehydes with haloforms was achieved using 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-*N*,*N*'-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4-dihydropyrazine (1a) as an organic reducing agent, giving β -halostyrene derivatives in a *trans*-selective manner. The reaction required no metal powders, such as zinc and manganese, as reductants, thereby minimizing metal-based reaction waste.

Olefins are an important carbon-carbon linkage in organic molecules due to their significance in π -conjugated organic materials and synthetic utilities for installing various functional groups across the C=C bonds. Typically, elimination reactions of alcohols and alkyl halides are applied for C=C bond formation, but the site- and stereoselectivities of the new C=C bonds are problematic due to the requirement of harsh reaction conditions for the elimination step. Carbonyl olefination has been developed as a reliable method to construct new C=C bonds at a specific position within the organic skeletons. Leading examples for carbonyl olefination are the use of phosphorous and sulfur-based reagents, i.e. Wittig olefination and Julia olefination.¹ Further elaboration on the development of carbonyl olefination reactions is significant for using not only group 13-16 element compounds² but also transition metal species, the latter of which contain metal carbenoids and metal carbenes as key intermediates in the olefination reaction.^{3,4} Remarkable progress has been made in this area toward utilizing simple organic compounds as sources of olefination agents in combination with low-valent transition metal species: for example, Takai and Utimoto as well as and Takeda independently demonstrated that TiCl₄/Zn Cp₂Ti[P(OEt)₃]₂ promoted olefination of aldehydes and ketones with geminal dihalides and dithioacetals as olefination sources, respectively (Fig 1(a)).⁵ Takai et al. further explored carbonyl olefination with wide functional group tolerance using chromium(II) dihalide. In fact, excess amounts of CrCl2-mediated olefination of carbonyl compounds with geminal dihalides,⁶ while the catalytic variant was demonstrated using CHI₃, Me₃SiCHX₂ (X = Br, I), and





(c) This work: Cr-catalyzed olefination assisted by salt-free organosilicon reductant 1a



Fig. 1 Carbonyl olefination by transition metal complexes.

Recently, we found Cr-catalyzed cyclopropanation of alkenes with CHBr₃ in the presence of an organosilicon reductant, 2,3,5,6tetramethyl-*N*,*N'*-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4-dihydropyrazine (**1a**),⁸ giving bromocyclopropanes via in situ-generation of chromium bromocarbene intermediates,^{9,10} in which the superior reducing ability of **1a** was key to the high catalytic activity. Such superior reactivity of the chromium bromocarbene species led us to further investigate their application in organic synthesis. Herein, we report chromium-catalyzed olefination of various aldehydes with haloform in the presence of **1a** as a reductant and triethylamine as an additive, giving a series of synthetically useful *trans-β*-halostyrene derivatives (Fig 1(c)). This is the first example of the elimination of excess amounts of metal salt waste among early transition metal-catalyzed carbonyl olefination reactions.



¹ Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering Science,

Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan

² Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

E-mail: tsurugi.hayato.es@osaka-u.ac.jp; mashima@phs.osaka-u.ac.jp

⁺ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental data for all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

We began by searching for the best reductant upon addition of 1a (2.1 equiv.) to a mixture of 2-naphthaldehyde (2a), CHBr₃ (2.0 equiv.), CrCl₂ (10 mol%), and NEt₃ (20 mol%) in THF as standard reaction conditions, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Organosilicon compound 1a served as a reductant for producing the corresponding *trans*- β -bromoalkene **3a** in 74% yield (70% isolated) without contamination of the corresponding β -chloroalkene **3a'**, which was often involved in the original CrCl₂-mediated carbonyl olefination with CHBr₃ (entry 1). In the absence of NEt₃, the yield of 3a decreased to 55% (entry 2). Organosilicon compounds other than 1a resulted in no formation of 3a (see Supporting Information), similar to the previously reported chromium-catalvzed cyclopropanation with organosilicon compounds.9 Zinc and manganese powders were much less effective for **3a**, and the β chloroalkene 3a' was obtained in both cases (entries 3 and 4). In addition, the catalytic reaction in the presence of ZnCl₂ and MnCl₂ almost shutdown the catalytic performance even when using 1a (not detected for ZnCl₂; trace for MnCl₂, see Supporting Information). We further screened the best additive in this olefination reaction: other sterically different tert-amines, ⁱPrNEt₂ and N-ethylpiperidine, resulted in almost the same yields as the ligand-free conditions (entries 5 and 6 vs entry 2), while the use of pyridine derivatives, 4-(N,N-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP), suppressed this olefination reaction (entry 7). N,N,N',N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA, 10 mol%) was less effective for this olefination reaction (entry 8), in sharp contrast to the positive effect in Cr-catalyzed cyclopropanation with 1a,9 and the addition of 2,2'-bipyridyl (10 mol%) was ineffective for this reaction (entry 9). Further screening of supporting ligands, such as phosphines and carbenes clarified that triethylamine was the most suitable additive for this catalytic system (see Supporting Information).

With the best reaction conditions in hand, we investigated the substrate scope of arylaldehydes with electron-donating and withdrawing substituents, and the results are summarized in Table 2.

Table	1	Optimization	of	reaction	conditions	for	Cr-catalyzed
olefina	atio	n of 2-naphthy	lald	ehyde (2a)) with CHBr ₃	and	1a ^a



^a Reaction conditions: Bromoform (0.20 mmol), 2-naphthaldehyde (2a, 0.10 mmol), reductant (0.21 mmol for 1a or 0.60 mmol for Zn and Mn),CrCl₂(0.010 mmol, 10 mol%), and ligand (10-20 mol%) were mixed in THF (2 mL) at 30 °C for 17 h. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^c In a 0.40 mmol scale. ^d Isolated yield. ^e trans-2-(2-Chlorovinyl)-naphthalene (3a') in 25% yield. ^f 3a' in 23% yield.

Journal Name

Reactions with benzaldehyde (2b) as well as arylaldehydes 2c,d with electron-donating *p*-methyl and *p*-methoxy substituents afforded the corresponding β -bromoalkenes **3b-d** in good yields with high trans selectivity, whereas p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde (2e) was less effective, probably due to an interaction of the dimethylamino moiety with the chromium center, which inhibits the overall reaction. By changing the amino substituent to a less-coordinating diphenylamino group at the 4-position, the corresponding alkene 3f was isolated in 66% yield. When arylaldehydes 2g,h with weak electron-withdrawing phenyl and iodide groups were used, β bromoalkenes **3g**,**h** were obtained in good yields and with high *trans* selectivity without loss of the iodide of **3h**. Bromo, chloro, and fluoro groups were tolerated, but the yields decreased with increasing the electronegativity, which might ascribe to the direct reaction of 1a and the electron-deficient aldehydes during the catalytic reaction, but the details of the byproduct were unclear. Acetate and cyano groups were well tolerated under such reaction conditions to afford trans- β -bromoalkenes **3I**,**m** in moderate to good yields. In addition, synthetically useful pinacolatoboryl-substituted aldehyde 2n was applicable under the catalytic conditions. Olefination of

Table 2 Scope of substituted arylaldehydes in Cr-catalyzed olefination with 1a^a



^a Reaction conditions: Bromoform (0.80 mmol), aldehyde 2 (0.40 mmol), 1a (0.84 mmol), NEt $_3$ (0.08 mmol, 20 mol%), and CrCl $_2$ (0.04 mmol, 10 mol%) were mixed in THF (8 mL) at 30 °C for 17 h. ^b Bromoform (1.6 mmol), aldehyde 2y (0.40 mmol), 1a (1.7 mmol), NEt₃ (0.16 mmol, 40 mol%), CrCl₂ (0.08 mmol, 20 mol%), and THF (12 mL) were used.

Journal Name

arylaldehydes with substituents at the meta and ortho positions was further evaluated under the same reaction conditions. Reactions with meta-dimethyl and dimethoxy arylaldehydes **20**,**p** afforded the β -bromoalkenes **30**,**p** in good yields with keeping the high *trans* selectivity, whereas the use of meta-dichloro substituted 2q resulted in a lower yield, a tendency similar to that of para-substituted substrates. Stereoselectivity for ortho-substituted arylaldehydes was rather different from the para- and meta-substituted arylaldehydes: treatment with ortho-methyl and phenyl-substituted arylaldehydes **2r**,**s** produced β -bromoalkenes **3r**,**s** with an increase in the ratio of the *cis*-isomer due to steric congestion. Oxygen-containing substituents at the ortho-position, ortho-methoxy, methoxycarbonyl, and pinacolatoboryl groups, increased the ratio of the cis-isomer with moderate yields. It is noteworthy that the opposite trans/cis selectivity was observed for ortho-disubstituted arylaldehydes, 2,6dimethoxybenzaldehyde (2w) and 2,4,6-trimethoxy-substituted (2x), while keeping the high yields of the products **3w**,**x**, suggesting that the methoxy group at the ortho-position served as a directing group to the chromium center to increase the *cis*-isomers.¹¹ In fact, these results were different from the olefination without 1a under Takai's olefination conditions: the same substrate combination of 2x and CHBr₃ in the presence of excess amounts of CrCl₂ afforded the corresponding *trans-\beta*-chloroalkene **3x'** as the major product (29%, trans:cis = 76:24) together with co-production of 3x in 19% yield (trans:cis = 68:32) (see Supporting Information). In addition, the carbonyl olefination of 4,4'-diformylbiphenyl (2y) gave 3y in 47% yield by increasing the reagents to the double amounts.

We further investigated olefination of polycyclic and heterocyclic arylaldehydes under the optimized reaction condtions, as shown in Table 3. 2-Formyl-6-methoxynaphthalene (**2z**) was converted to **3z** in 71% yield without any influence of the methoxy substituent, similar

Table 3 Scope of polycyclic and heterocyclic arylaldehydes in Cr-catalyzed olefination with $\mathbf{1a}^a$



 a Reaction conditions: Bromoform (0.80 mmol), aldehyde **2** (0.40 mmol), **1a** (0.84 mmol), NEt_3 (0.08 mmol, 20 mol%), and CrCl₂ (0.04 mmol, 10 mol%) were mixed in THF (8 mL) at 30 °C for 17 h. b Determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

COMMUNICATION

to the reaction with **2d**. Olefination of polycyclic arylaldehydes **2ab,ac** as well as 6-formyl-1,4-benzodioxane (**2ad**) gave the corresponding *trans-β*-bromoalkenes **3z-ad** in moderate to good yields, respectively. 3-Formyldibenzofuran (**2ae**) was also converted to the olefinated product **3ae** in good yield. Five-membered 2-formyl heteroaromatic compounds, *N*-methyl-2-formylpyrrole (**2af**), 2-formylthiophene (**2ag**), and 2-formylbenzofuran (**2ah**) were applicable, and the corresponding *β*-bromoheteroarylalkenes **3af-ah** were obtained in low to moderate yields, in which lower *trans* selectivity for **3ah** was ascribed to the oxygen atom adjacent to the formyl group of **2ah**. Of note, **3af** was produced in an exclusive *trans* selective manner. In contrast, 2-formylpyridine was not applicable in this olefination reaction; the corresponding pinacol coupling product was obtained in quantitative yields by **1a** even in the absence of CrCl₂ (see Supporting Information).¹²

In addition to CHBr₃, other haloforms were applicable to this catalytic olefination (Scheme 1). Olefination of **2a** with CHCl₃ afforded the corresponding chloroalkene **3a'** in 42% yield when the reaction temperature was increased to 65 °C due to the lower reactivity for the C-Cl bond fission by CrCl₂, while the reaction with CHI₃ gave the corresponding iodoalkene **3a''** in 40% yield at 30 °C. Moreover, olefination of **2a** with CHCl₂Br and CHClBr₂ afforded **3a** in 66% yield and 60% yield, respectively, along with the formation of **3a'** as a minor product (see Supporting Information).





The usefulness of this chromium-catalyzed reaction was demonstrated in the gram-scale synthesis of **3d**. By using 1.00 g of **2d** with bromoform at room temperature for 40 h, the olefination product **3d** was isolated in 66% yield (1.03 g) with keeping the high *trans* selectivity (eq. 1).



According to our previous development on Cr-catalyzed cyclopropanation of alkenes with bromoform,⁹ the proposed reaction mechanism for this olefination of arylaldehydes is shown in Scheme 2. Initially, a C-Br bond of CHBr₃ is cleaved by chromium(II) species **A** to afford dibromomethylchromium(III) **B** together with coproduction of chromium(III) trihalide **C**. Dehalogenation of **B** by **1a** gives chromium(III) bromocarbene intermediate **D**, and a subsequent methathesis type reaction with arylaldehydes generates four-membered oxametallacycle **E**. Fission of the C-O bond in **E**

Journal Name

produces oxochromium(III) halide F, and catalytically active chromium(II) species A is regenerated via reduction of both chromium(III) trihalide C and oxochromium(III) halide F by 1a.¹³ In this catalytic reaction, 1a serves as two roles, i.e. regeneration of chromium(II) dihalide A as well as dehalogenation of dibromomethylchromium(III) **B**. We presume that the role of NEt₃ in this catalytic reaction is the coordination to the chromium center to inhibit over-reduction to chromium(I) or chromium(0) for initiating uncontrolled reactions with aldehydes. The different stereoselectivity for the olefination of 2x compared to the CrCl₂mediated olefination reaction by Takai et al. suggests that a geminal dichromium species is not involved in this olefination reaction.



COMMUNICATION



In summary, we demonstrated that N,N'-bis(trimethylsilyl)-2,3,5,6-tetramethyldihydropyrazine (**1a**) served as a salt-free reductant to reduce chromium(III) trihalides as well as a dehalogenating reagent for dibromomethylchromium(III) to generate a chromium(III) bromocarbene intermediate, giving synthetically useful *trans-β*-bromoalkenes upon reaction with aldehydes. A notable feature of this newly developed protocol is the catalytic use of chromium salts without other external metal-based reductants, which eliminates the formation of undesirable and toxic metal salt waste in the reaction mixture. Further application of saltfree reductants in metal-catalyzed organic transformations is ongoing in our laboratory.

K.N. thanks the financial support by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP2138. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP20H02742 to H.T., and Grant Number JP21K18205 to K.M.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and References

 (a) G. Wittig and G. Geissler, *Justus Liebigs. Ann. Chem.*, 1953, 580, 44–57; (b) G. Wittig and U. Schöllkopf, *Chem. Ber.*, 1954, 87, 1318–1330; (c) W. S. Wadsworth and W. D. Emmons, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1961, 83, 1733–1738; (d) M. Matsumoto and K. Kuroda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1980, 24, 4021–4024; (e) W. C. Still and C. Gennari, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, 24, 4405–4408; (f) G. Stork and K. Zhao, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, 30, 2173–2174; (g) K. Ando, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 4105–4108; (*h*) P. J. Kocienski, B. Lythgoe and I. Waterhouse, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 1, 1980, 1045–1050; (*i*) J. B. Baudin, G. Hareau, S. A. Julia and O. Ruel, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 1175–1178; (*j*) P. R. Blakemore, W. J. Cole, P. J. Kocienski and A. Morley, *Synlett*, 1998, 26–28.

- For representative reviews on carbonyl olefination reagents using group 13–16 element compounds, see: (a) B. E. Maryanoff and A. B. Reitz, *Chem. Rev.*, 1989, 89, 863–927; (b) P. R. Blakemore, *Olefination of Carbonyl Compounds by Main-Group Element Mediators, Comprehensive Organic Synthesis.* 2nd ed., 516–608.
- 3 F. N. Tebbe, G. W. Parshall and G. S. Reddy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 3611–3613.
- 4 N. A. Petasis and E. I. Bzowej, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, **112**, 6392–6394.
- 5 (a) T. Okazoe, K. Takai, K. Oshima and K. Utimoto, J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 4410–4412; (b) Y. Horikawa, M. Watanabe, T. Fujiwara and T. Takeda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 1127– 1128; (c) T. Takeda, R. Sasaki and T. Fujiwara, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 7286–7288; (d) Y. R. Bhorge, S.-H. Chang, C.-T. Chang and T.-H. Yan, Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 4846–4851.
- 6 (a) K. Takai, K. Nitta and K. Utimoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 7408–7410; (b) T. Okazoe, K. Takai and K. Utimoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 951–953; (c) K. Takai, Y. Kataoka, T. Okazoe and K. Utimoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 1443– 1446; (d) D. M. Hodgson, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 5603– 5604; (e) D. M. Hodgson, A. M. Foley and P. J. Lovell, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 6419–6420; (f) D. S. W. Lim and E. A. Anderson, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 4806–4809; (g) D. Werner and R. Anwander, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 14334–14341.
- 7 (a) K. Takai, T. Ichiguchi and S. Hikasa, Synlett, 1999, 1999, 1268–1270; (b) K. Takai, S. Hikasa, T. Ichiguchi and N. Sumino, Synlett, 1999, 1999, 1769–1771; (c) K. Takai, Y. Kunisada, Y. Tachibana, N. Yamaji and E. Nakatani, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2004, 77, 1581–1586; (d) M. Murai, R. Taniguchi, N. Hosokawa, Y. Nishida, H. Mimachi, T. Oshiki and K. Takai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 13184–13192.
- 8 H. Tsurugi and K. Mashima, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 769–779.
- H. Ikeda, K. Nishi, H. Tsurugi and K. Mashima, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, 11, 3604–3609.
- 10 Recent reviews in the field of Cr catalysis, see: (a) T. Agapie, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2011, 255, 861–880; (b) J. Li and P. Knochel, Synthesis, 2019, 51, 2100–2106; (c) X. Cong and X. Zeng, Acc. Chem. Res., 2021, 54, 2014–2026.
- 11 There are a few examples of high *cis*-selectivity albeit with low yields in CrCl₂-mediated olefination of aldehydes with CHI₃, see: S. M. Geddis, C. E. Hagerman, W. R. J. D. Galloway, H. F. Sore, J. M. Goodman and D. R. Spring, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **13**, 323–328.
- 12 Deoxygenative alkylation of the pyrazine ring was observed for non-methylated organosilicon reductants and arylaldehydes, see: H. Tsurugi, M. Matsuno, T. Kawakami and K. Mashima, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, e202200862.
- 13 Molybdenum and tungsten oxo species were deoxygenated by the organosilicon reductants, see: (a) V. Mougel, K.-W. Chan, G. Siddiqi, K. Kawakita, H. Nagae, H. Tsurugi, K. Mashima, O. Safonova and C. Copéret, ACS Cent. Sci., 2016, 2, 569–576; (b) K. Yamamoto, K.-W. Chan, V. Mougel, H. Nagae, H. Tsurugi, O. Safonova, K. Mashima and C. Copéret, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 3989–3992.