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Abstract

Photoanodes containing a $\text{WO}_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction have demonstrated promising photoelectrochemical water splitting performance, but the ability to effectively passivate the $\text{WO}_3$/BiVO$_4$ interface has limited charge transport and collection. Here, the $\text{WO}_3$/BiVO$_4$ interface is passivated with a sulfur-modified Bi$_2$O$_3$ interfacial layer with staggered band edge alignment to facilitate charge transfer and lifetime. Additionally, BiVO$_4$ was co-doped with Ga$^{3+}$ at Bi$^{3+}$ sites and W$^{6+}$ at V$^{5+}$ sites (i.e., (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$) to improve the light absorption and photogenerated charge carrier concentration. The optimized $\text{WO}_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ photoanode exhibited a photocurrent of 4.0±0.2 mA.cm$^{-2}$ compared to $\text{WO}_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ with 2.8±0.12 mA.cm$^{-2}$ at 1.23 $V_{\text{RHE}}$ in K$_2$HPO$_4$ under simulated AM 1.5G illumination. Time-resolved photoluminescence spectroscopic analysis of the $\text{WO}_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ electrode validated the enhanced interfacial charge transfer kinetics. In operando femto- and nano-second transient absorption spectroscopy confirmed the presence of long-lived photogenerated charge carriers and revealed lower recombination initially due to rapid charge separation for $\text{WO}_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$. The distribution and role of sulfur was further investigated using EDAX, XPS and TOF-SIMS depth profiling. Finally, a Co-Pi co-catalyst layer was added to achieve photocurrent 5.1±0.25 mA.cm$^{-2}$ and corresponding H$_2$ generation rate 67.3 $\mu$mol.h$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ for $\text{WO}_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$/Co-Pi photoanode.
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1. Introduction

Hydrogen generation by solar assisted photocatalytic water splitting is an attractive route to store solar energy in the form of chemical fuels.\textsuperscript{1–3} For such an application, efficient photon harvesting semiconducting oxides have been studied extensively due to their superior electrochemical stability, low cost and natural abundance.\textsuperscript{3} Monoclinic n-type bismuth vanadate (BiVO\textsubscript{4}) is a promising semiconductor for oxygen evaluation reactions (OER).\textsuperscript{4} It possesses a bandgap of ~2.4 eV, conduction band (CB) edge close to 0 volt vs reversible hydrogen electrode (V\textsubscript{RHE}) and deep valence band (VB) position (~2.4 V\textsubscript{RHE}) that generate the substantial thermodynamic driving force for oxygen evolution.\textsuperscript{5,6} The effective defect-tolerant nature\textsuperscript{5} with moderate charge transport properties facilitates BiVO\textsubscript{4} to achieve up to ~7.5 mA.cm\textsuperscript{-2} theoretical photocurrent density (PCD) at 1.23 V\textsubscript{RHE} under simulated AM 1.5G illumination (100 mW.cm\textsuperscript{-2}). However, OER properties of BiVO\textsubscript{4} suffer from low charge transfer rates due to excessive surface recombination in ps-ns time scale.\textsuperscript{7,8} In parallel, the desirable water oxidation occurs at a slower time scale, typically over ms–s range, due its four-electron reaction mechanism.\textsuperscript{6,7,9} Fast charge separation and transport of photogenerated electron-hole pairs are essential for efficient water oxidation.\textsuperscript{8,10} Several strategies have been employed to enhance the charge separation and transfer such as composition tuning or doping,\textsuperscript{5,10} formation of homo- and hetero- junctions,\textsuperscript{5,11,12} passivation layer\textsuperscript{13} and co-catalyst.\textsuperscript{14} Tungsten (W) and molybdenum (Mo) have been extensively studied as donor-type dopants in BiVO\textsubscript{4} to increase charge carrier density, mobility and minimize recombination pathways at solid/solid and solid/electrolyte interfaces.\textsuperscript{11,15} Along with composition tuning, forming heterojunction, in particular, type-II heterojunction formation between WO\textsubscript{3} (bandgap: 2.7 eV, VB: 0.4 eV & CB: 3.1 eV) and BiVO\textsubscript{4} (VB: 0.02 eV & CB: 2.4 eV) is efficient due to appropriate band alignment, which promotes spatial charge separation and relatively better charge transfer by providing substantial thermodynamic driving force and interfacial electric field.\textsuperscript{6,16} However, the
WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction suffers from slow charge transfer (sub $\mu$s) from BiVO$_4$ to WO$_3$ due to poor charge transport properties of BiVO$_4$ and the presence of recombination centers at the heterojunction interface.\textsuperscript{8,17} For such issues, adding an interfacial layer between the WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction is a promising approach to reduce the interfacial charge recombination and promote charge transfer.

In the present study, a multifaceted approach was implemented for addressing the interfacial charge recombination in WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction where (i) BiVO$_4$ was co-doped and (ii) an interfacial layer was implemented to improve the effective charge separation and transfer. Initially, BiVO$_4$ was co-doped with Ga$^{3+}$ and W$^{6+}$ at the Bi$^{3+}$ and V$^{5+}$ sites, respectively, to enhance light absorption, concentration of photogenerated charge carriers, and improve bulk charge separation. A uniquely prepared sulfur (S) modified Bi$_2$O$_3$ (S:Bi$_2$O$_3$) layer was implemented so that S diffused to adjacent underlayer (WO$_3$) and overlayer ((Ga,W):BiVO$_4$), which helped in increasing additional reactive sites for charge transport. Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS) depth profiling determined the qualitative and uniform distribution of sulfur in all layers. The PCD increased from 2.8±0.12 to 4.0±0.2 mA.cm$^{-2}$ for WO$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ and WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$, respectively. Additionally, the photocurrent improved to 5.1±0.25 mA.cm$^{-2}$ after adding cobalt phosphate (Co-Pi) to yield a hydrogen collection rate of 67.3 $\mu$mol.h$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ for WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$/Co-Pi photoanode at 1.23 $V_{RHE}$ in K$_2$HPO$_4$ (KPi) under simulated AM 1.5G illumination. Time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) and in \textit{operando} femto- and nano-second transient absorption spectroscopy (fs- and ns- TA) results are consistent with more holes rapidly directed to the reactive surface initially and fast electron transfer from (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ to S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ in $ps$-$ns$ and from S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ to WO$_3$ in $ns$-$\mu s$ time scale. From the detailed
analysis, we show that the insertion of a S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ interfacial layer resulted in the best charge separation and transfer kinetics, as well as reduced interfacial recombination sites of all architectures tested. The achieved results are among the highest reported photocurrent densities and hydrogen production rates in the literature.

2. Experimental

2.1 Thin films fabrication:
The BiVO$_4$ based thin films were fabricated on 1×1 cm$^2$ fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass substrate (Aldrich Chemistry: 2.3 mm thick, ~7 Ω/sq surface resistivity) using ultrasonic spray coating (Sono-Tek Corporation, USA). A precursor solution of BiVO$_4$ was prepared by dissolving 3mM Bi(NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O (Alfa-Aesar, CAS#10035060) and 3mM NH$_4$VO$_3$ (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#7803556) in 2M HNO$_3$ (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#7803556). The precursor solution was sprayed at a flow rate of 0.1 ml/min for 4 cycles over a FTO substrate held at 70°C, then annealed for 10 min at 500°C in the furnace (Thermo Scientific Thermolyne, model: F48028). The annealed film was sprayed with an additional 4 cycles for optimized film thickness and then annealed at 500°C for 2 h. Ga doping was performed by dissolving 3mM Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$.xH$_2$O (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#69365726) in the BiVO$_4$ precursor solution to get varied Bi$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$VO$_4$ compositions (where x = 0.01 - 0.06 and x = 0.04 was found to be optimal) (Figure S1a). W doping was carried out non-stoichiometrically$^{15}$ by mixing 3mM (NH$_4$)$_10$H$_2$(W$_2$O$_7$)$_6$ (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#11140775) in the BiVO$_4$ precursor solution to acquire BiV$_{0.992}$W$_{0.013}$O$_4$ (termed W:BiVO$_4$). The simultaneous co-doping of Ga and W in BiVO$_4$ was executed by taking the required amount of gallium nitrate and ammonium tungstate in BiVO$_4$ precursor solution to obtain Bi$_{0.96}$Ga$_{0.04}$V$_{0.992}$W$_{0.013}$O$_4$ (termed (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$).
WO₃ films were fabricated by dissolving 3mM (NH₄)₁₀H₂(W₂O₇)₆ (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#10031513) in 2M HNO₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#7803556). The precursor solution was sprayed for 4 cycles over FTO substrate (kept at 40°C) with a flow rate of 0.1 ml/min using an ultrasonic spray coating tool. The film was annealed at 500°C for 2 h in air to obtain a thin adherent WO₃ film. (Ga,W):BiVO₄ was then sprayed over the WO₃ film to form WO₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄.

The precursor solution for Bi₂O₃ was prepared by dissolving 1mM Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O (Alfa-Aesar, CAS#10035060) in 10 ml acetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#64197). WO₃/Bi₂O₃ film was fabricated by ultrasonically spraying Bi₂O₃ precursor on WO₃ film (kept at 70°C) for 3 cycles. The WO₃/Bi₂O₃ bilayer was then annealed at 500°C for 2 h in air. (Ga,W):BiVO₄ was subsequently sprayed (as discussed) to form WO₃/Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄.

Figure 1 and S1b show a schematic of the WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄/Co-Pi film fabrication process. The sprayed Bi₂O₃ film was immersed in 35 ml methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#67561) and 0.4mM dibenzyl disulfide (Alfa Aser, CAS#150607) for 20 min and then annealed in sulfur vapor using a tube furnace (Thermo Scientific Thermolyne, model: HTF55322C). Sulfur vapor was generated by heating 2.5 g of sulfur powder (Alfa Aser, CAS#7704349) at 120°C in the tube furnace's upstream section. Argon (purity: 99.99%) was used as a carrier gas the sulfur vapor downstream where the thin film was placed in the hot zone of the tubular furnace at 445°C in air for 1 h to incorporate S in the Bi₂O₃ (termed S:Bi₂O₃). In the final step, the sulfur-rich film with WO₃ layer was used as a substrate to spray (Ga,W):BiVO₄ precursor solution and annealed in air at 500°C for 2 h to obtain WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ film.
Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$/Co-Pi film fabrication process.

A co-catalyst Co-Pi was photo-electrodeposited by dissolving 0.1M K$_2$HPO$_4$ (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#7758114) and 0.5mM Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS#10026229) in DI water at 0.71 V$_{Ag/AgCl}$ for 30 s under simulated AM 1.5G illumination to obtain WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$/Co-Pi.

2.2 Material characterization:

The morphology and elemental spatial distribution of prepared samples were characterized using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, JEOL 7000F) equipped with EDAX at 25 kV. To investigate the chemical migration that occurs during the sample synthesis process time of flight secondary ion mass spectrometry TOF-SIMS depth profiling was performed on films at various stages of the annealing process utilizing an ION-TOF TOF-SIMS V spectrometer. The analysis was completed using a 3-lens 30kV BiMn primary ion gun (30KeV Bi+ analysis beam at 1pA pulsed beam current, where a 50x50 μm area was analyzed while the dose density was kept
below $1 \times 10^{12}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ to remain under the static SIMS limit. Sputter depth profiling was accomplished with a 3kV cesium ion beam (20 nA sputter current) with a raster of 100×100 microns.

UV–Visible spectroscopy was carried out using Agilent Technologies (Model: Cary 6000i) optical spectrophotometer. XPS spectra were acquired with a 180° double-focusing hemispherical analyzer with a 128-channel detector using non-monochromatic Al Kα radiation as the X-ray source (1486 eV) (Thermo Scientific™ K-Alpha™ X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer System; VG 220i-XL). This X-ray source beam (diameter: $\sim 0.4$ mm) was chosen to minimize the superposition of photoelectron and Auger lines of essential elements. The energy resolution was 0.73 eV with a sufficiently small broadening of natural core level lines and a reasonable signal-to-noise ratio. The binding energy scale was calibrated about C 1s at 285 eV, giving an accuracy of 0.1 eV. For depth profiling, a Thermo K-Alpha spectrometer was used with a flood gun for charge compensation and sputtering was carried out with a raster 2 mm in diameter using Ar sputtering at 3 keV. An X-ray beam with a 35 μm diameter was used every 60 s up to 200 cycles of etching. S2s peaks were used for S concentration due to high interference between S 2p and Bi 4f peaks. However, due to the very low relative sensitivity factor of S 2s, the signal-to-noise ratio is relatively low at the given S doping level as compared to the other elements, making the error range relatively larger. TRPL measurements were taken using a setup similar to that described by Kuciauskas et al.$^{18}$ A PicoQuant 405 nm diode laser-generated short pulses (pulse width: $\sim 130$ ps) with repetition rate 1 MHz, beam spot size 50 μm and 11 μW power. A fibre-coupled avalanche photodiode with a timing resolution of 40 ps was used as the detector. Photon counting rate was maintained at approximately 1% of the repetition rate. Lifetime values were calculated by fitting the decay section of each curve with a biexponential function.
Femto- and nano-second transient absorption spectroscopy (fs- and ns- TAS) was conducted with a Helios Fire spectrometer (Ultrafast Systems), employing a Pharos amplified laser (Light Conversion) as the excitation source. The Pharos was operated at 4 kHz and 4 W power, along with a small portion directed to a sapphire crystal to generate the supercontinuum probe (900–1600 nm). The pump wavelength was tuned to 430 nm with an Orpheus optical parametric amplifier and attenuated with neutral density filters to approximately 150 nJ before being directed to the sample with a spot size of ~0.5 mm. The probe was overlapped with the pump at the sample position and detected with an InGaAs photodiode array and photoinduced transmission changes were established by modulating the pump at 2 kHz and subtracting “pump off” from “pump on” probe spectra. The data were adjusted for chirp and background scatter using Surface Explorer. The **ns-ms TA** was performed with the same excitation beam but with an electronically delay supercontinuum probe (Ultrafast System, EOS) to achieve longer delay times. The **in operando** TA measurement was conducted at open circuit potential by placing sample in a quartz cell in Kpi electrolyte. Applied potential was controlled using a Pine WaveNow (Pine Research) Potentiostat/Galvanostat.

2.3 **Photoelectrochemical measurements:**

Photoanodes were constructed from the prepared thin films by attaching a copper wire to the FTO, and silver paint was used to establish electrical contact. Epoxy (Loctite 9460) was applied to secure the connection and cover the copper wire, silver paint, and FTO edges. The PEC performance of the photoanodes was evaluated in a standard three-electrode configuration using Pt wire (Premion 99.997%) and Ag/AgCl (3M NaCl filling solution) as a counter and reference electrodes, respectively. A solar simulator (Sun 3000, model#11000A, ABET Technologies, USA) was used as the light source. Simulated AM 1.5G illumination was established using a GaInP (bandgap: 1.8 eV) photovoltaic primary reference cell. Potentiostat/galvanostat (BioLogic SP-300) was used for
photoelectrochemical (PEC) measurements in 0.1 M KPi solution in water (pH = 8.0). The electrolyte was degassed with N2 gas for about 20 min before every measurement. Incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) measurement was conducted using a 300 W Xe lamp (Newport, 66883), monochromator (Acton SpectraPro-150), mono-Si cell (Hamamatsu) and potentiostat (VersaSTAT 4 Ametek, Princeton Applied Research) with a lock-in amplifier (SR530 Stanford Research System). A 495 nm longpass filter (Newport) was added after the monochromator for wavelengths > 550 nm to filter second-order diffraction. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed at a frequency range of 100 kHz to 100 mHz under simulated AM 1.5G illumination.

The \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \) gases generated in the PEC process were collected in a three-electrode setup by tracking the electrolyte volume from a filled tube.\(^{19} \) The faradaic efficiency (\( \eta_{\text{H}_2} \)) was calculated using,

\[
\eta_{\text{H}_2} = \frac{P_{\text{H}_2} V}{RT} \left( \frac{\text{Coulombs passed}}{\text{mol} \ e^-} \right) \left( \frac{\text{mol} \ \text{H}_2}{2 \text{mol} \ e^-} \right)
\]

Where, \( P_{\text{H}_2} \), V, R and T are pressure from \( \text{H}_2 \) evolution (hPa), volume (L), gas constant (0.08206 L atm K\(^{-1}\)mol\(^{-1}\)) and T temperature (K), respectively. \( P_{\text{H}_2} \) was calculated by subtracting the water vapor pressure \( (P_{\text{H}_2O \ vapor}) \) and pressure from the suspended solution \( (P_{\text{suspended}}) \) from atmospheric pressure \( (P_{\text{atm}}) \) measured using a barometer.

\[
P_{\text{H}_2} = P_{\text{atm}} - P_{\text{H}_2O \ vapor} - P_{\text{suspended}}
\]

Where, \( P_{\text{suspended}} \) is calculated by measuring the height \( (h_1) \) of the suspended solution above the solution level in the setup:
The faradic efficiency measurement was validated using Pt electrodes as an anode and cathode for the electrolysis and obtained $\eta_{H_2} 0.98\pm0.02$. The measurement was conducted at 1.23 $V_{RHE}$ in KP$i$ electrolyte under simulated AM 1.5G illumination.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Photoelectrochemical and optical measurements

Looking first at films, Figure 2a and Table S1a compare the PEC performance of intrinsic BiVO$_4$ (i-BiVO$_4$), Ga:BiVO$_4$, W:BiVO$_4$ and (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ under chopped light up to 2.4 $V_{RHE}$. Ga:BiVO$_4$ exhibited $0.4\pm0.02$ mA.cm$^{-2}$, which is almost twice that of the i-BiVO$_4$ ($0.25\pm0.01$ mA.cm$^{-2}$); this improvement is attributed to increased Fermi-level splitting and therefore decreased carrier recombination, via incorporation of Ga$^{3+}$ at Bi$^{3+}$ sites.$^{20}$ Similarly, W:BiVO$_4$ exhibited enhanced PCD ($1.02\pm0.04$ mA.cm$^{-2}$) of over four times that of i-BiVO$_4$, which is attributed to increased carrier concentration from W$^{6+}$ donors at V$^{5+}$ sites.$^{21}$ Finally, (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ demonstrated superior ($\sim$ 7 times) PEC performance ($1.68\pm0.1$ mA.cm$^{-2}$) compared to i-BiVO$_4$, likely due to the combined effects of Ga and W doping.

Next, PEC performance of heterojunctions was evaluated (Figures 2b, S2a and Table S1b). Based on Figure 2a, (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ was selected to form a type-II heterojunction with WO$_3$. By doing so, WO$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ photoanode showed $2.8\pm0.12$ mA.cm$^{-2}$ photocurrent, nearly doubled compared to (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$, likely due to improved light absorption at $\lambda\leq 450$ nm, charge transfer kinetics and reduced recombination sites.$^{22,23}$ However, the WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ interface is also known to have a high defect density, which can lead to significant recombination and slow charge transport.$^{8,17}$ Therefore, a thin layer of bismuth oxide (Bi$_2$O$_3$) was inserted at the WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ interface. While Bi$_2$O$_3$ has appropriate band alignment to promote charge transfer (validated in
Figure S2b), the PEC was not significantly enhanced relative to WO₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ (only 1.2 times higher), likely due to the higher levels of recombination in this layer. Therefore, sulfur (S) was incorporated into the Bi₂O₃ such that, along with passivating the Bi₂O₃ bulk, S also diffused into the adjacent WO₃ and (Ga,W):BiVO₄ layers (Figure 2b and S2c). Sulfur is expected to enhance water oxidation by generating oxygen vacancies and forming new reaction sites that help charge migration/separation and reduce carrier recombination. The optimized WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ photoanode demonstrated an excellent photocurrent of 4.0±0.2 mA.cm⁻² at 1.23 V_RHE which was ~1.2 and ~1.5 times higher than the WO₃/Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ and WO₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ photoanodes, respectively.

A surface catalyst (Co-Pi) deposited WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄/Co-Pi photoanode exhibited a remarkably high photocurrent 5.1±0.25 mA.cm⁻² at 1.23 V_RHE in KPi. The Co-Pi layer facilitates hole collection at the photoanode/electrolyte interface to participate in the water oxidation reaction. The photocurrent measurement with the hole scavenger and the Mott-Schottky analysis at different frequencies are discussed in SI (Section 3 and 4).

Figure 2. J–V plots under simulated AM 1.5G illumination in KPi for photoanodes (a) Ga, W doped and (Ga,W) co-doped along with i-BiVO₄, (b) with WO₃ heterojunction and Bi₂O₃ interface layer without and with sulfur modification.
The spectral response was investigated to understand the impact of doping, co-doping and heterojunction formation. From Figure S5a, it was observed that doping BiVO₄ with Ga enhanced total light absorption and extended the onset from ~520 to ~535 nm. A similar trend was observed for the co-doped (Ga,W):BiVO₄ sample, likely due to the redshift in light absorption caused by Ga doping.²⁰ There was no substantial change in absorption observed for W doping.¹⁰ Moreover, the light interaction improved by forming a heterojunction with WO₃ (i.e., WO₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄) where WO₃ (λ ≤ 450 nm) absorbs high energy photons along with modified BiVO₄ (300 – 530 nm), which absorb a wide range of photons. S:Bi₂O₃ (λ ≤ 430) further improved the light interaction for WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ compared to WO₃/Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ due to the formation of interband on S modification which causes the redshift in the light absorption.²⁴,²⁵ The respective absorption efficiency (Φₐ₅) was calculated by integrating the absorption spectra from 300 to 550 nm for all samples and indicated in Figure 3a and S5a: inset. The measured bandgaps were 2.71 and 2.8 eV (Figure S5b) for WO₃ and Bi₂O₃, respectively. There was a slight (~0.04 eV) reduction in the optical bandgap for Ga:BiVO₄ (2.39 eV) and (Ga,W):BiVO₄ (2.38 eV) compared to i-BiVO₄ (2.42 eV), which was expected due to the redshift in the absorption edge on Ga doping. Bulk charge separation and surface charge transfer efficiencies are discussed in SI (section 5, Figure S5 c-f).

The quantification of absorbed photons undergoing photoactivity corresponding to wavelength was determined by the IPCE. The measurement for all heterojunction based photoanodes was conducted at 1.23 V_RHE (Figure 3b). WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ photoanode showed maximum IPCE value 63±3% followed by WO₃/Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ (52±2%) and WO₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ (42±1.6%), respectively at 460 nm. The WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ photoanode with Co-Pi exhibited the highest IPCE (72±3.2%). The IPCE was integrated over the AM1.5G reference
spectrum, and the resulting photocurrent densities for each sample (Figure 3b) agreed with those measured under simulated AM 1.5G illumination at 1.23 \( V_{\text{RHE}} \) (Figure 2b). The small variation (~5%) in the photocurrents measured by LSV and integrated current from IPCE is a general phenomenon due to measuring uncertainty.

Figure 3. (a) Absorption spectra (inset: absorption efficiency), (b) incident photon-to-current efficiency, measured at 1.23 \( V_{\text{RHE}} \) in KPi electrolyte for photoanodes with \( \text{WO}_3 \) heterojunction and \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) interface layer without and with sulfur modification.

### 3.2 Surface morphology, crystal structure and elemental analysis of thin films

To better understand the PEC performance trends, the physicochemical characterization of intrinsic and doped samples was carried out to evaluate morphology, elemental mapping, crystal structure and oxidation state. The SEM images in Figure S6a-c reveal that i-BiVO\(_4\), \( \text{WO}_3 \) and \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) have a planar structure with uniform nanoporous morphology. Figure 4a shows that after co-doping the i-BiVO\(_4\) film with Ga and W, the overall porosity increased substantially without changing the grain size (50 – 200 nm). The inset image illustrates the uniform growth of nano-size grains, leading to better light absorption and more electrochemical active surface area by increasing the contact area between film surface and electrolyte. The cross-section image (Figure 4b) for \( \text{WO}_3/\text{S:Bi}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Ga,W}):\text{BiVO}_4 \) demonstrated the uniformly connected nanoporous grains.
The layers thicknesses are 200-300, 200-300 and 500-750 nm for the WO$_3$, S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ and (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ layers, respectively. It was observed that clear separation of all three layers is difficult as layers amalgamated due to the porous nature of all films. The FTO coating thickness on the glass substrate was ~50 nm (Figure S6d). The composition and elemental distribution of as-deposited WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ were mapped based on the integrated intensities of peak Bi, V, O,W, Ga and S signals as a function of beam position using FESEM-EDAX mode. Figure 4c-h reveals the uniform distribution of elements Bi, V, O, W, Ga, and S. Consequently, the element spectra in Figure 4i demonstrates the uniform presence of S along with other elements. In Figure 4i inset, Bi and S peaks were deconvoluted to determine the distinct measure of S due to the near overlap of the two peaks. S and Bi peaks were determined at 2.36 and 2.45 keV, respectively. Additionally, the quantification of S was determined to be 2.77 at% from EDAX analysis (Figure 4i: inset).
The crystal structure was determined using X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis for intrinsic, doped BiVO₄, Bi₂O₃, WO₃ and heterojunction samples without any impurity, complex phases and S peaks (discussed in SI, Figure S7a and S7b). The oxidation state of Bi, V, W, Ga and O in WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ sample was determined using surface XPS (discussed in SI, Figure S8a-f). However, subsequently employed XPS depth profiling could not identify S in any layers due to the low concentration of S and detection limit of XPS (Figure S8g). In order to determine the oxidation states of sulfur, two distinct samples were prepared (Figure S8h): an intermediate S treated WO₃/Bi₂O₃ and WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ with high S content (total S treatment to WO₃/Bi₂O₃ layer was 4 hrs, 4 times than actual sample). From the S 2s spectrum in Figure 5a, the
oxidation state of S was attributed to -2 in the form of Bi$_2$S$_3$ (224.8 eV) and Bi$_2$O$_3$ (230.6 and 233.8 eV) peaks for S treated WO$_3$/Bi$_2$O$_3$ sample. Similarly, WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/[(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ sample with high S content validated -2 states (Bi$_2$S$_3$ (223.4 eV) and Bi$_2$O$_3$ (233.3 eV)). However, it is possible that peaks at 233.8 eV and 233.3 eV could also have sulfates or highly oxidized sulfur species (SO$_x$). Additionally, depth profiling was performed in Figure 5b for WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ with high S content sample to determine the atomic concentration of Bi 4f, V 2p, O 1s, W 4f, Ga 2p and S 2s with depth. It was observed that the S distribution was uniform throughout the film depth except slightly higher at the film surface. The atomic concentration of S was approximately 12 at% uniformly distributed in the sample with high S content. It may be estimated that sulfur could be approximately 3 at% uniformly distributed in the actual sample considering S diffusion rates as sulfur treatment was 4 times in high S content sample. The atomic concentration of Bi 4f, V 2p, O 1s, W 4f and Ga 2p was distributed as per the filmstack structure. The V 2p and Ga 2p was noticed to have minor diffusion in the adjacent layers, which was possible due to the porous nature of the film.

In order to understand the nature of the sulfur diffusion process in the actual film from Bi$_2$O$_3$ interfacial layer to the WO$_3$ and (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ adjacent layers, TOF-SIMS depth profiling was employed for the WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ sample in three distinct annealing conditions after (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ precursor deposition on sulfur treated WO$_3$/Bi$_2$O$_3$ film. The prepared samples, as shown in Figure S9a, were control (without annealing), short annealing (5 min) and complete annealing (final sample). Secondary ion signals were selected which were of high intensity and represented major components of the various layers in the filmstack. These species were: Bi$^+$, VO$^-$, WO$_2^-$, GaO$^-$ and S$^-$ to represent Bi, V, W, Ga and S elements, respectively. The layers interface was not measured due to high surface roughness and it was also observed that clear distinction of
each layer was difficult (Figure 4b and 5b) due to their porous nature. However, a rough estimation was shown in Figure S9 b-d. The control sample showed that sulfur was contained to the Bi$_2$O$_3$ layer only, as observed by the pronounced peak of sulfur in the Bi$_2$O$_3$ layer in Figure 5c. Contrarily, Figure 5d demonstrated that even on short annealing of 5 min at 500°C, significant migration of sulfur has occurred as the sulfur distribution migrated significantly into the (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ and WO$_3$ layers, also noted by the significant broadening of the sulfur trace. Subsequently, in Figure 5e, a completely annealed sample (2 h time at 500°C) showed that the sulfur distribution was uniform throughout the film thickness, which was also corroborated by EDAX analysis (Figure 4c-i) and XPS depth profiling (Figure 5b). However, the sulfur content was higher on the (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ surface, possibly due to the small deposition of sulfur during migration from Bi$_2$O$_3$ layer similar behavior was observed from XPS depth profiling (Figure 5b). It was assumed that sulfur was passivated in all grain boundaries and surfaces/interfaces/defects throughout all layers, rather than being a bulk alloy element. Along with sulfur, a less extreme amount of diffusion was observed for the components Bi, V, W and Ga in the film thickness (Figure 5c-e) may be due to the highly porous nature of the film (Figure 4a) similar behavior was observed from XPS depth profiling. The distribution of the substrate elements (F$^-$, Sn$^-$ and Si$^-$) was shown in Figure S9b-d.
Figure 5. (a) S 2s XPS spectra of S treated WO$_3$/Bi$_2$O$_3$ and with high S concentrated WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ film. (b) XPS depth profiling spectra for atomic concentration (%) of Bi 4f, V 2p, O 1s, W 4f, Ga 2p and S 2s as a function of etch level for WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ film with high S content. Negative polarity TOF-SIMS depth profiles of WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ sample for three distinct (Figure S5a) environments (c) control (no annealing), (d) short annealing (5 min at 500°C) and (e) complete annealing (2h at 500°C ) for Bi$^+$, VO$^-$, WO$_2^-$, GaO$^-$ and S species.
3.3 Electrochemical impedance analysis

Figure 6a shows the impedance patterns of photoanodes with WO$_3$ heterojunction and Bi$_2$O$_3$ interface layer without and with sulfur modification. WO$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ photoanode shows lower impedance than WO$_3$/Bi$_2$O$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ at the low-frequency region. Interestingly, WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ photoanode demonstrated even lower real impedance at a lower frequency than WO$_3$/Bi$_2$O$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$. Resistance and capacitance in bulk and at the surface were evaluated as a function of voltage using Randles circuits (Figure S10a & S10b), which consists of resistance related to connections ($R_{series}$), bulk resistance ($R_{bulk}$), surface resistance ($R_{surface}$), bulk capacitance ($C_{bulk}$) and surface capacitance ($C_{surface}$) connected to a Helmholtz layer at the photoanode and electrolyte interface. Figure 6b shows that $R_{bulk}$ and $R_{surface}$ tend to drop gradually until ~1 V$_{RHE}$ after which, the photocurrent was mainly controlled by photogenerated holes reaching the surface.$^{28}$ $R_{bulk}$ followed the order of WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ < WO$_3$/Bi$_2$O$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ < WO$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$. The evaluated capacitance of photoanodes shown in Figure 6c illustrated that $C_{bulk}$ decreases with increasing potential and exhibits less recombination in bulk and facilitated charge transfer. The $C_{surface}$ gradually increased with potential, followed the trend WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ > WO$_3$/Bi$_2$O$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ > WO$_3$/Ga,W):BiVO$_4$. The interfacial layer with S enhanced the charge transfer rate, facilitated the charge accumulation and transport by reducing the density of surface trapped holes in the bulk and the surface.

Total resistance ($R_{tot}$), which represent the combination of charge transport (bulk) and interfacial charge transfer (surface), was evaluated using $R_{tot} = \left(\frac{dI}{dV}\right)^{-1}$ in Figure 6d. A valley was observed at ~1 V$_{RHE}$ for all photoanodes (fitting curve was shown in Figure S10c). The cathodic shift of 164.3 and 33.5 mV in the valley implied higher charge extraction and a decrease in the $R_{tot}$ of 39.2
and 12 \( \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2 \) for \( \text{WO}_3/\text{S:Bi}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Ga,W}):\text{BiVO}_4 \) and \( \text{WO}_3/\text{S:Bi}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Ga,W}):\text{BiVO}_4 \), respectively from \( \text{WO}_3/(\text{Ga,W}):\text{BiVO}_4 \) indicated the reduced bulk recombination (indicated in Figure 6b).\(^{28,29}\) Both of these criteria best fit for the \( \text{WO}_3/\text{S:Bi}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Ga,W}):\text{BiVO}_4 \) photoanode confirming the higher charge separation and transfer rate. The close relationship was demonstrated by \( R_{\text{tot}} \) calculated from \( I-V (R_{\text{tot}} = \left( \frac{dI}{dV} \right)^{-1} ) \) and impedance measurement \( (R_{\text{tot}} = R_{\text{bulk}} + R_{\text{surface}}) \) as shown in Figure 6d. This excellent agreement substantiates the photocurrent obtained from experiment.
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**Figure 6.** (a) Nyquist plots measured in KPi at 1.23 \( V_{RHE} \) under simulated AM 1.5G illumination, (b) \( R_{\text{surface}} \) (solid markers), \( R_{\text{bulk}} \) (open markers), (c) \( C_{\text{surface}} \) (solid markers) and \( C_{\text{bulk}} \) (open markers) determined using Randles circuit (Figure S10a & S10b) for photoanodes with \( \text{WO}_3 \) heterojunction and \( \text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3 \) interface layer without (blue) and with (red) sulfur modification. (d) \( R_{\text{tot}} \)
(line) calculated by \((\frac{df}{dV})^{-1}\) and compared with \(R_{tot}\) (triangles) obtained by impedance \((R_{tot}=R_{bulk}+R_{surface})\) measurement.

### 3.4 Time-resolved study of charge dynamics

The photogenerated charge carrier dynamics and kinetics were explored by TRPL measurement of the \(\text{WO}_3/(\text{Ga},\text{W}):\text{BiVO}_4\) and \(\text{WO}_3/\text{S}:\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Ga},\text{W}):\text{BiVO}_4\) samples. Figure 7a exhibits the PL intensity decay after excitation the thick \((\text{Ga},\text{W}):\text{BiVO}_4\) layer and likely produces excited states in this layer by a laser source centered at 405 nm. The PL decay \((I(t))\) was fit with a second-order exponential function \(I(t) = A_1\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_1}\right) + A_2\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_2}\right)\), where \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are amplitudes of components with lifetime \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\), respectively.\(^{30}\) The average PL lifetime \((<\tau>\)\) of each sample was calculated using \(<\tau> = \frac{A_1\tau_1^2 + A_2\tau_2^2}{A_1\tau_1 + A_2\tau_2}\) (all calculated parameters are presented in Table S2). The average carrier lifetimes for \(\text{WO}_3/(\text{Ga},\text{W}):\text{BiVO}_4\) and \(\text{WO}_3/\text{S}:\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Ga},\text{W}):\text{BiVO}_4\) were 0.477±0.05 and 0.418±0.04 ns, respectively. Interestingly, the two dominant benefits which are expected from \(\text{S}:\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3\) incorporation (i.e., reduced recombination and fast charge transport) have competing effects on carrier lifetime, where reduced recombination increases lifetime while fast charge transport reduces it. This may be the reason that lifetime was not found to vary significantly between the two samples. The charge transfer rate constant \((k_{ct})\) can also be calculated as \(k_{ct} = \frac{1}{<\tau>}.\(^{30}\) The obtained \(k_{ct}\) for \(\text{WO}_3/(\text{Ga},\text{W}):\text{BiVO}_4\) and \(\text{WO}_3/\text{S}:\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3/(\text{Ga},\text{W}):\text{BiVO}_4\) were 2.095±0.2 ns\(^{-1}\) and 2.393±0.22 ns\(^{-1}\), respectively, suggesting that the enhanced charge transfer may be slightly more dominant.

TA was employed for an in-depth understanding of the charge dynamics behavior across \(\text{WO}_3/(\text{Ga},\text{W}):\text{BiVO}_4\) interface without and with the \(\text{S}:\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_3\) interfacial layer by placing the sample in the air and electrolyte \((\text{operando})\). First, fs-TA data were collected for in air, pumped at 430 nm
and probed in the range 900-1400 nm for WO$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ and WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ samples. It is believed that this infrared probing range tracks the free and trapped photogenerated carriers, while the excitation first strikes the thick (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ layer and likely predominately produces excited states in this layer. The intensity decay curve plotted in Figure 7b demonstrates faster initial decay on ps time scale for the WO$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ sample compared to WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$. This fast decay likely corresponds to geminate electron-hole recombination, which is ameliorated with the additional Bi$_2$O$_3$ layer, facilitating electron movement away from BiVO$_4$ and suppressing initial recombination. The recorded decay ($\Delta A$) signal was fitted using the exponential decay model $\Delta A = \sum^n_{i=1} A_i \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right)$ where $A_i$ and $\tau_i$ are the amplitude and the lifetime of an exponential decay component, respectively. The calculated fitting parameters are summarized in Table S3, and the values are commensurate with those found in the literature. The fs-TA is best fit with 3 exponentials in the ns regime without an extended component in the microsecond regime due to absence of electrolyte that stabilizes separated charges. Time constant $\tau_1$, $\tau_2$ and $\tau_3$ can be inferred as loss of excited states due to hole trapping and depletion of the trapped hole due to electron-hole recombination with free and thermalized electrons. It is inferred from $\tau_1$ that the initial fast processes leading to carrier loss are reduced in the WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ sample, as all decay time constants are slower than for the WO$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ sample. The subsequent remaining carriers are left to undergo slower interfacial recombination on a ns timescale without electrolyte. We note that unlike TRPL, which only measures radiative electron-hole recombination and thus separation leads to reduced lifetime, the absorptive TA signal represents carrier population and as such, a persistent signal also indicates carrier motion to avoid recombination.
Next, the ns-TA measurement was conducted with samples submerged in the electrolyte to evaluate hole transfer kinetics at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface. The measurement was conducted at open circuit potential, pumped at 430 nm and probed in the range 900-1400 nm in KPi electrolyte. It was predicted that at open circuit potential, an electron trap state partially occupied can exhibit optical transition behavior to a localized trap state. The band bending at the photoanode/electrolyte interface can prolong the photogenerated holes lifetime (\(\mu s\)) and accumulation. This was confirmed by measuring open circuit potential (~0.5 and ~0.55 V\(_{RHE}\)) in the dark and flat-band potential (0.092 and 0.041 V\(_{RHE}\) (SI section 4)) exhibited significant band bending for WO\(_3\)/(Ga,W):BiVO\(_4\) and WO\(_3\)/S:Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\)/(Ga,W):BiVO\(_4\) photoanodes. From the fs-TA data described above, it is known that a larger number of holes survived the initial electron-hole recombination due to rapid charge separation/transport and subsequent transport to the reactive film surface for water oxidation reaction. Subsequently, from the normalized ns-TA data tracking secondary decays in Figure 7c, it was observed that WO\(_3\)/S:Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\)/(Ga,W):BiVO\(_4\) exhibited slightly faster ns decay than WO\(_3\)/(Ga,W):BiVO\(_4\) but otherwise had nearly identical kinetics. The recorded decay signal was fitted using the exponential decay model. The calculated fitting parameters are summarized in Table S4. The ns-TA best fit with 4 exponentials due to the presence of long-lived carriers. The shortest time scale for WO\(_3\)/S:Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\)/(Ga,W):BiVO\(_4\) might represent carrier loss due to the initial, more rapid sweeping to the surface as facilitated by the additional layer. The electron extraction (K\(_{TA}\)) rate was higher for WO\(_3\)/S:Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\)/(Ga,W):BiVO\(_4\) photoanode that denoted the enhanced electron mobility after placing S:Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\) layer and impact of S diffusion to adjacent layers. Correspondingly, the recombination between trapped holes and free electrons (\(\tau_2\)) on the > 30 ns timescale was similar in WO\(_3\)/S:Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\)/(Ga,W):BiVO\(_4\) (36 ns) compared to WO\(_3\)/(Ga,W):BiVO\(_4\)
(43 ns). The recombination of the trapped hole with thermalized electrons ($\tau_2$) was occurring in $\mu$s range (1.1 to 1.3 $\mu$s) and expected that it would not significantly impact the overall water splitting process.\textsuperscript{16} By the time the $\mu$s timescale is reached, the leftover carriers surviving recombination have been swept far away from the internal interface, where composition is distinct between the samples, to the semiconductor/electrolyte interface where composition and thus kinetics become similar. This study provides evidence that the number of long-lived photogenerated charge carriers is improved after adding interfacial layer S:Bi$_2$O$_3$. In addition, we conclude that WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ decays faster in the < 10 ns regime compared with WO$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$, suggesting improved electron transfer rate and accumulation of holes at the (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ surface for water oxidation kinetics.

The primary electrons and holes separation and transfer pathways occurring among three oxides were shown in the band diagram (Figure 7d). The CB edge was determined using flat-band potential considering the negligible difference between the flat-band and bottom of CB edge.\textsuperscript{32} It was assumed that the small amount of S would not significantly change the VBs and CBs position of Bi$_2$O$_3$, WO$_3$ and (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ layers. Being the main absorber, BiVO$_4$ will absorb low energy photons ($\lambda \leq 530$), while WO$_3$ ($\lambda \leq 450$) and S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ ($\lambda \leq 430$) will absorb high energy photons. Due to the stepped alignment and the appropriate VBs and CBs configurations, electrons can readily transport from the CBs of BiVO$_4$ into WO$_3$ via the S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ interfacial layer and into to the current collector.\textsuperscript{5,6} Simultaneously, photogenerated holes at WO$_3$ will transport to and be collected at (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ through the interfacial S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ layer.

The time scales for charge separation and transport from TRPL, fs- and ns-TA analysis indicate that more holes are directed to the reactive surface on the $ps$-$ns$ range in (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$. Subsequently, photogenerated electrons will transfer from S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ into WO$_3$ on a $ns$-$\mu$s time scale.
Recombination of photoexcited free electrons in (Ga,W):BiVO₄ is reduced by them being transferred into the CB of WO₃ via the S:Bi₂O₃ layer. Once in the WO₃, the electrons have a longer lifetime.⁸ In this process S:Bi₂O₃ play a key role in facilitating rapid charge transfer initially to WO₃ to improve the photoactivity of WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄ photoanode. Furthermore, the backflow of charge carriers within the photoanode is reduced by the CBs and VBs energy barriers present among the WO₃, S:Bi₂O₃ and (Ga,W):BiVO₄ layers that suppress holes and electron backflow.³³,³⁴,³⁵
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As observed from Figure 8a, the photocurrent of all photoanodes decreases initially due to dominating photocorrosion of (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ from the loss of V$^{5+}$ ions in the form of V$_2$O$_5$. However, the photocurrent further ameliorates when V$_2$O$_5$ dissolution gets saturated as it can be observed for WO$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ photoanode, which could retain ~60% of the initial photocurrent. Further, the effect of interfacial layer Bi$_2$O$_3$ and S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ get more pronounced in ameliorating the charge dynamics and photocatalytic performance for WO$_3$/Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ and WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ photoanodes by retaining ~80 and ~85 % of the initial photocurrent. Consequently, adding a protective layer Co-Pi demonstrate self-healing nature and WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$/Co-Pi photoanode performance improve by retaining 90% of the photocurrent density compared to ~85% for WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ photoanode after 10 h. The repeatability of photoanodes after stability measurement demonstrated no significant change in the photocurrent onset and PCD at 1.23 V$_{RHE}$ (Figure S11). The amount of H$_2$ and O$_2$ gases generated was measured to verify the faradaic efficiency of each water splitting half-reaction. The measurement was performed for the WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$/Co-Pi photoanode at 1.23 V$_{RHE}$ under simulated AM 1.5G illumination in KPi electrolyte. Figure 8b shows the H$_2$ and O$_2$ gas generation rate (67.3 and 33.6 µmol.h$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$, respectively) along with the theoretical production rate and the corresponding faradaic efficiency (secondary Y-axis in Figure 8b) values (91.5 and 88%, respectively) The slightly lower values of faradaic efficiency are attributed to inhibition of proton reduction, diffusion of H$_2$ gases, and potential back reactions at counter electrode from dissolved O$_2$.\cite{22,23}
Figure 8. (a) Photoelectrochemical stability measurements of photoanodes with WO$_3$ heterojunction and Bi$_2$O$_3$ interface layer without and with sulfur modification. (b) Evolution of H$_2$ and O$_2$ gases for WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$/Co-Pi photoanode. The measurements were conducted at 1.23 V$_{RHE}$ in KPi electrolyte under simulated AM 1.5G illumination.

Several efforts have been made by our or other groups in the field for improving interfacial passivation, charge transfer and reducing recombination sites by additional (interfacial or under/over) layer in WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction photoanodes. The obtained photocurrent densities at 1.23 V$_{RHE}$ are plotted in Figure 9a. and Table S5. Choi et al.$^{37}$ incorporated a mild W doped BiVO$_4$ interfacial layer in the WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction for unidirectional charge flow. Zhang et al.$^{38}$ designed a three-story device to improve the conductivity by placing reduced graphene oxide between WO$_3$ and BiVO$_4$ layers that acted as a multichannel pathway. A Z-scheme device was designed by Wang et al.$^{39}$ by incorporating a W-layer between BiVO$_4$ and WO$_3$ layers for accessing holes. Beak et al.$^{40}$ used porous SnO$_2$ underlayer in the WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction where WO$_3$/(WO$_3$+SnO$_2$) layers formed a mixed composite for better contact and low resistance. Figure 9b and Table S6 compare hydrogen production rates at 1.23 V$_{RHE}$ under simulated AM 1.5G illumination for BiVO$_4$ based heterojunction photoanodes along with the present results on
our WO$_3$/S:Bi$_2$O$_3$/(Ga,W):BiVO$_4$/Co-Pi photoanode. Our results are among the highest reported photocurrent densities and hydrogen production rates.

Figure 9. Comparison of (a) photocurrent density values for WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction photoanodes with additional (interfacial or under/over) layer, (b) rate of hydrogen production for BiVO$_4$ based heterojunction photoanodes at 1.23 $V_{RHE}$ under simulated AM 1.5G illumination summarized from literature (see Table S5 in SI file), including this work.

4. Conclusion

The performance of photoanodes containing a WO$_3$/BiVO$_4$ heterojunction is limited, in part, by charge separation, transfer and recombination across the heterojunction interface. To mitigate these losses, a sulfur modified Bi$_2$O$_3$ interfacial layer was introduced between WO$_3$ and BiVO$_4$ layers. The BiVO$_4$ layer was optimized with co-doping at Bi$^{3+}$ and V$^{5+}$ sites by Ga$^{3+}$ and W$^{6+}$, respectively, to improve the light absorption and photogenerated charge carrier extraction. The S:Bi$_2$O$_3$ layer between WO$_3$ and (Ga,W):BiVO$_4$ and Co-Pi co-catalyst led to achieve 5.1±0.25 mA.cm$^{-2}$ and 67.3 µmol.h$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$ photocurrent density and hydrogen collection rate for
WO₃/S:Bi₂O₃/(Ga,W):BiVO₄/Co-Pi photoanode. EDAX, XPS and TOF-SIMS depth profiling corroborated the uniform diffusion of S in all layers whereas EIS and TRPL confirmed the enhanced interfacial charge transfer kinetics. Subsequently, operando fs- and ns-TA analysis concluded that more long-lived photogenerated charge carriers remain after adding S:Bi₂O₃ interfacial layer, providing more holes directed to the reactive surface due to effective charge separation/transfer, and improved the overall photoanode performance. The photocurrent density and hydrogen production rates are among the highest reported for interlayer enhanced WO₃/BiVO₄ heterojunction photoanodes.
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