Nanoscale



# Nanoscale

# Controlling the Nucleation and Growth of Ultrasmall Metal Nanoclusters with MoS2 Grain Boundaries

Journal:	Nanoscale
Manuscript ID	NR-COM-11-2021-007836
Article Type:	Communication
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Nov-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Shi, Yongliang; University of Science and Technology of China, department of physics; USTC Rabbani, Muztoba; University of Pittsburgh Vázquez-Mayagoitia, Álvaro; Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne Leadership Computing Facility Zhao, Jin; University of Science and Technology of China, Department of Physics; University of Pittsburgh, Physics Saidi, Wissam; University of Pittsburgh, Chemical Engineering



# Controlling the Nucleation and Growth of Ultrasmall Metal Nanoclusters with MoS<sub>2</sub> Grain Boundaries<sup>†</sup>

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx Yongliang Shi,<sup>abe+</sup> Muztoba Rabbani,<sup>c+</sup> Álvaro Vázquez-Mayagoitia,<sup>d</sup> Jin Zhao,<sup>efg</sup> and Wissam A. Saidi\*<sup>c</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

The stabilization of supported nanoclusters is critical for different applications, including catalysis and plasmonics. Herein we investigate the impact of MoS<sub>2</sub> grain boundaries (GBs) on the nucleation and growth of Pt NCs. The optimum atomic structure of the metal clusters is obtained using an adaptive genetic algorithm that employs a hybrid approach based on atomistic force fields and density functional theory. Our findings show that GBs stabilize the NCs up to a cluster size of nearly ten atoms, and with larger clusters having a similar binding as in the pristine system. Notably, Pt monomers are found to be attracted to GB cores achieving 60% more stabilization compared to the pristine surface. Further, we show that the nucleation and growth of the metal seeds are facile with low kinetic barriers, which are of similar magnitude to the diffusion barriers of metals on the pristine surface. The findings highlight the need to engineer ultrasmall NCs to take advantage of enhanced stabilization imparted by the GB region, particularly to circumvent sintering behavior for high-temperature applications.

#### Introduction

Ultrathin MoS<sub>2</sub> based substrates have been utilized as support to disperse noble metal nanoclusters (NCs) for potential nanotechnology applications, including catalysis, plasmonics, and sensing.<sup>1-9</sup> For example, plasmonic Ag<sup>10</sup> and Au<sup>11</sup> nanoparticles on MoS<sub>2</sub> showed a 10- to 20-fold enhanced photoluminescence; supported metal clusters on MoS<sub>2</sub> have displayed significantly enhanced H<sub>2</sub> conversion efficiency;<sup>12-14</sup> Ag/MoS<sub>2</sub> nanohybrids displayed a seven-fold enhanced Raman scattering performance with potential in biomedical sensing applications;<sup>15</sup> and supported Au clusters on ultrathin MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets were used for comprehensive dual-modal imaging and photothermal–radiation combined therapy with effective treatment of tumors.<sup>16</sup> However, the fabrication of stable small NCs remains a significant challenge due to weak interaction between the metal atoms and the support<sup>17</sup> that inevitably leads to NCs sintering under realistic reaction conditions.<sup>18</sup>

Grain boundaries (GBs) are lattice imperfections that widely exist in 2D materials.<sup>19</sup> Further, 2D materials offer unique opportunities to control and tune their GBs.<sup>20</sup> In MoS<sub>2</sub>, several types of GBs have been characterized experimentally such as those associated with 5 and 7 atoms rings 5|7, 6|8, and 4|6 rings, in addition to 4 fold rings with point- and edgesharing.<sup>21-24</sup> GBs can stabilize dopants and supported NCs as observed Pt NCs on graphene<sup>25</sup> and CeO<sub>2</sub><sup>26</sup>, and rare earth elements on  $Al_2O_3^{27}$ . This is also the case in  $MoS_2$  where a recent study reported that Pt atoms are drawn to dislocation sites in 6|4 and small-angle 5|8|5 GBs.<sup>28</sup> However, it is unknown how the GBs impact the nucleation and growth of supported NCs compared to the defect-less substrate. Further, it remains unclear whether there is a critical cluster size where the influence of the GB defect on the NC adsorption would be passivated. Central to these investigations is the ability to determine the lowest-energy configuration of the NCs on the substrate. Such investigations are generally complex, and even become more challenging in the presence of GBs considering the decrease in the symmetry of the surface sites.

Structure prediction at the nano level has been a longstanding challenge in atomistic simulations because the number of possible atomic arrangements is enormous and increases exponentially with system size.<sup>29, 30</sup> Previously, we have analyzed the nucleation and growth of Pt NCs on pristine MoS<sub>2</sub>(001), and elucidated the electronic and ground-state structures, energy landscapes and diffusion barriers, charge transfer, and possible kinetic limitations of the nucleation process. We find that the growth of the NCs transitions from 2D to 3D for NCs with n=5 Pt atoms. In the 2D growth mode, the NCs attach to the  $MoS_2(001)$  surface via the formation of Pt-Mo bonds, while as in the 3D case, the NCs form Pt-S bonds.<sup>31</sup> We also found that an adaptive genetic algorithm (AGA) is an effective approach to locate optimum structures of the NCs.32 Namely, the structures identified by the AGA approach were validated atom-by-atom experimentally using in situ atom microscopy via a self-consistent approach.<sup>32</sup> These results in conjunction with the application of AGA for structure prediction in bulk<sup>33</sup>, surface,<sup>34, 35</sup> and interface<sup>36, 37</sup> systems establish the veracity of our methodology.

Briefly, the AGA structural search combines the speed of classical potential with the accuracy of DFT.<sup>38, 39</sup> Namely, the local optimization of new offsprings is performed using auxiliary classical atomistic potentials, which identify a selected number

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Center for Spintronics and Quantum Systems, State Key Laboratory for Mechanical Behavior of Materials, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics and Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15261, United States. alsaidi@pitt.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d.</sup> Computational Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL, US, 60439
<sup>e.</sup> ICQD/Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, and CAS Key Laboratory of Strongly-Coupled Quantum Matter Physics, and Department of Physics,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China <sup>f</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA 15260,

United States 9 Synergetic Innovation Center of Quantum Information & Quantum Physics, University of

Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China +These authors contributed equally

<sup>†</sup>Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x



Figure 1 (a) Substrate of  $MoS_2$  (001) surface with supercell. (b) Nano ribbon (NR) model of GB with atomic structure of  $\perp$ .

of structures screened further with DFT calculations. At the same time, the DFT calculations will provide energies, forces, and stresses that are utilized to update the parameters of the classical potentials by a force-matching method.<sup>40, 41</sup> This process is iterated up to a prescribed number of GA search cycles.

Here we explore the impact of grain boundary defects on the nucleation and growth of supported metal NCs of various sizes. We show that relatively small clusters are appreciably stabilized due to attractive interactions with the GB and particularly its core. However, we find that this stabilization diminishes as the NC increases in size. Namely, an NC with 20 Pt atoms is found to bind with an energy similar to that on a pristine surface. These studies highlight the need to engineer ultrasmall NCs to take advantage of the stabilization tendencies imported by the GB region particularly to circumvent sintering tendencies. Further, we provide a deep understanding of Pt seed nucleation and growth pathways at the different GB sites for  $MoS_2$ .

#### Results

Figure 1a shows the structure of a pristine single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> that consists of two hexagonal planes of S atoms that sandwich a hexagonal plane of Mo atoms. The Mo atoms have ionic-covalent interactions with the S atoms in a trigonal prismatic arrangement. In this study, we focus on the 5|7 ring GB that has been widely observed in MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>21, 42</sup> The 5|7 GB denoted by " $\perp$ " has a Burgers vector **b**=(1,0) and is formed by combining two  $MoS_2$  slabs with a 21° disorientation. Figure 1b shows the atomic structure of the GB employed a nanoribbon model with a periodic direction along the GB direction. Thus, this model has only one GB, unlike the case with periodic boundary conditions that would result in two GBs in the model As seen from the figure, we distinguish between three kinds of Mo and sulfur atoms: Mo1 is located at the top of five ring along [310]; Mo<sub>2</sub> is located at the boundary of 5|7 ring; and Mo<sub>3</sub> is located at lower edge of the seven ring. As such, there are two sites of type Mo<sub>2</sub> and Mo<sub>3</sub> in the grain boundary region. Similar nomenclature is adapted for sulfur sites in Figure 1b.

We employed the AGA approach to predict the structures of the supported NCs on the substrate. The rapid screening of the structures in this scheme is enabled using an embeddedatom method<sup>43</sup> potential as implemented in Large-Scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS) code.<sup>44</sup> The density functional theory calculations are carried



Figure 2 Top and side views for the lowest-energy configuraitons for (a-d)  $Pt_1$ , (e-g)  $Pt_2$ , and (h-j)  $Pt_3$ . S, Mo, and Pt atoms are shown as yellow, purple and grey spheres, respectively.

out using Perdew-Burke-Ehrenzhof (PBE)<sup>45</sup> exchangecorrelation functional and projector augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials implemented in Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) package.<sup>46-48</sup> For larger models, we have also carried out limited calculations using the real-space electronic structure method (RESCU) for computational efficiency.<sup>49</sup> More details about the computational setup are in the SI.

Below we briefly discuss the adsorption configurations for the NCs. The configurations for the Pt monomer are determined by inspecting all adsorption sites near the GB. The reported adsorption energies are defined with respect to the energy of isolated Pt atom  $E_{Pt}$ such  $E_{BE} = -\frac{1}{n}$ that, the  $(E_{GB + Pt_n} - E_{MoS_2} - nE_{Pt})$ , where *n* is the number of Pt atoms in the cluster, and  $E_{GB + Pt_n}/E_{MoS_2}$  are the energies of the substrate with/without NC. With this convention, the adsorption energies of the NCs are negative for stable configurations. For NCs with atoms less than four, the optimum cluster configurations are predicted using the smaller metallic clusters as motifs, especially those obtained at the monomer level. For larger NPs with  $n \ge 4$ , we employ AGA to search for the energy minima configurations. More detailed information about the atomic structures of the NCs is provided in the supporting information.

#### Nanoscale



Pt1-3 @ GB: Figure 2 (a-d) shows the most stable Pt monomer configurations with binding energies ranging from -4.57 to -2.75 eV/atom. The most preferential structure is the Pt atom at the hollow site of the 7-edge ring of the GB defect ( h  $-\perp$  7) having energy -4.57 eV/atom. For comparison, the most stable Pt monomer on pristine  $MoS_2$  is atop of Mo with an adsorption energy of -2.83 eV/atom. Pt monomer has the highest coordination at the hollow site that correlates with its high binding energy (see Table S1). The second most stable adsorption is s-S<sub>2</sub> (Figure 2b), with a binding energy of -3.45 eV/Pt. This configuration is similar to  $h - \perp_7$  except that the positions of Pt and S2 are exchanged. Inspired by the h -  $\!\!\!\perp_7$ configuration that increasing the Pt coordination would result in more stabilization, the s-S<sub>2</sub> configuration is considered by displacing  $S_2$  atom from t-Mo<sub>3</sub> configuration to create more bonds between Pt monomer and substrate. After relaxation, the s-S<sub>2</sub> is more stable than t-Mo<sub>3</sub> by 0.51 eV. The third and fourth most stable adsorption configurations are t-Mo3 and t-Mo1 of Figure 2c and 2d with binding energies -2.94 eV/Pt and -2.75eV/Pt, respectively. These configurations are similar to atop Mo site on pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> and have similar binding energy. Importantly these local minima are essential for the monomer diffusion on the surface, as discussed later.

Figure 2(e-f) shows three stable configurations for Pt<sub>2</sub>. The most stable structure  $h - \perp_7 - t - Mo_3$  has a binding energy of - 4.06 eV/Pt that is surprisingly lower than that of the monomer. For Pt dimer, we investigated different combinations by positioning the two Pt atoms at the different sites identified from the monomer case. Because  $h - \perp_7$  site is energetically favorable, it is expected that the optimum configuration of Pt<sub>2</sub> will keep at least one monomer in the  $h - \perp_7$  configuration and combining with another site. Our findings on the low energy dimer configurations are supportive of this. The two other structures,  $h - \perp_7 - t - Mo_3$  and  $h - \perp_7 \perp_5$  (Figure 2e, 2f) can also coexist as their energies are appreciably close to -4.06 eV/Pt.

We find several stable Pt<sub>3</sub> configurations with energies within  $\approx 0.5$  eV/atom. Figure 2(h-j) displays the three lowest energy configurations with nearly equal energies ranging from - 4.02 eV to -3.99 eV/Pt. The lowest-energy structure is  $h - \perp_7$  -t - Mo<sub>2</sub> - S<sub>3</sub> (see Figure 2h) has two Pt atoms located at  $h - \perp_7$  and t - Mo<sub>2</sub> similar to  $h - \perp_7$  -t - Mo<sub>2</sub> for Pt<sub>2</sub> configuration.

Pt4-11 @ GB: Larger clusters have more complex atomic

arrangements on the surface. We discuss here the most stable isomer. Pt<sub>4</sub> (Figure 3a) adopts a bowl-like structure that is based on h -  $\perp$ <sub>7</sub>-t - (Mo<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Figure 3c) with one more Pt located in the middle of Mo-Mo bonds. Importantly, Pt<sub>4</sub> is the largest planar cluster where all metal atoms are directly bonded to substrate atoms (mainly Mo). Pt<sub>5</sub> follows from Pt<sub>3</sub> h -  $\perp$ <sub>7</sub>-t - Mo<sub>2</sub> - S<sub>3</sub> (Figure 2h) with one atom on top of the center atom and another one at a t - Mo<sub>2</sub> site. This is the first configuration that has two layers with the top layer having one Pt atom. Here, we see a sudden change in Pt-Mo and Pt-S bond length decreased from 2.50 Å to 2.41 Å, Pt-Mo increased from 2.70 Å to 2.75Å. The transition from 2D to 3D with Pt<sub>5</sub> cluster was also observed in pristine MoS<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure 4** Total Binding Energies  $\Delta E_{BE}$  for Pt<sub>n</sub> cluster, which is normalized by the number of Pt atoms. The results for the pristine surface are reproduced from Refs. 31 and 32. Lines connecting lowest-energy configurations serve as a guide to the eye.

From Pt<sub>6</sub> to Pt<sub>11</sub>, the binding energy of the most stable structures ranges from -4.10 eV to -4.19 eV/atom. For Pt<sub>6</sub>, five atoms occupy different sites of the 7-fold ring. Pt<sub>7</sub> and Pt<sub>8</sub> are based on Pt<sub>6</sub> and just grows respectively by one and two more Pt located near S<sub>3</sub>. Pt<sub>10</sub> and Pt<sub>11</sub> structures can simply be divided into two layers. First layer atoms are mostly bonded with S atoms except one atom bonded with Mo<sub>2</sub> atom. The  $h - \perp_7$  site is occupied in Pt<sub>6</sub>–Pt<sub>9</sub> by one Pt atom while it is unoccupied in Pt<sub>10</sub> and Pt<sub>11</sub>. Due to this arrangement, the number of Pt-Mo bonds also decreases where Pt<sub>10</sub> and Pt<sub>11</sub> have only one Pt-Mo bond while Pt<sub>6</sub>–Pt<sub>9</sub> have 3-6 bonds.

Pt12-20 @ GB: For clusters larger than Pt11, we note that some of the Pt atoms bond directly with the pristine surface atoms considering that all sites belonging to the GB region are occupied.  $Pt_{12}$ ,  $Pt_{13}$ ,  $Pt_{16}$ , and  $Pt_{20}$  have a two-layer structure, as shown in Figure 3(i-l). The first layer Pt atoms are bonded mostly with S except one atom bonded with Mo atoms. In Pt<sub>12</sub> and Pt<sub>13</sub>, one Pt atom is added to Mo<sub>3</sub> atoms while h -  $\perp$  7 is unoccupied and in  $Pt_{16}$  but  $Pt_{20}$  one Pt atom occupies h -  $\perp$  7 site and no bonds with  $Mo_3$  atoms. In  $Pt_{16}$ , the first and second layer contains ten and five atoms and are in close-packing stacking. The first layer contains ten atoms forming a hexagon lattice with two atoms in the center, and the top layer contains five atoms forming an isosceles trapezoid pattern. Pt<sub>20</sub> also has two layers but is not a standard hexagonal close-packed stacking, and atoms in the top layer are not in the hollow position of the bottom layer. There are eleven atoms in the bottom layer and



Figure 5. Bond analysis of Pt<sub>n</sub> (n=1, 6, 12) NCs on pristine and GB MoS<sub>2</sub> for all atomic pairs up to 5 Å. The first row is intergrated COHP that serve as first-principles measure of bond strength. The second row is scatterplot related to bond population into bonding and antibonding contributions. The third row is bond-weightd distribution function (BWDF) derived from all the data points in the corresponding plots shown in the second row.

one atom on top of t -  $Mo_3$  site sink due to the topology of the GB defect. There are nine atoms in the second layer with three rows in the isosceles trapezoid pattern, and with two, three, and four atoms are atoms in each row.

## Discussion

**Figure 4** shows the adsorption energies of the supported NCs and how these vary with cluster size. Except for  $Pt_1$ , we find that the binding energy of the most stable NC configuration decreases with the increase of the cluster size. This trend is similar to what was previously observed on the pristine  $MoS_2$  surface<sup>32</sup>, which is reproduced for convenience in Figure 4. Further, as seen from the figure, the NCs binding energies on the GB structure are stronger than the corresponding values for the pristine surface. However, the extra stabilization of the NCs with the GB decreases as the NCs increase in size. For example, the Pt<sub>1</sub> binding energy on the pristine surface is -2.83 eV, while that on the GB structure is -4.57 eV/Pt. In contrast, the binding energy of Pt<sub>12</sub> is -4.09 for the pristine surface and -4.23 eV/Pt on the GB.

Generally speaking, particle growth and nucleation result from competition between metal-substrate interactions and the cohesive energy of metal clusters. The weak-anchoring force between the metal clusters and the substrate will drive particle agglomeration and the growth of 3D metal islands. Moreover, the roughness of the substrate, such as defects and GBs, will dramatically increase metal-substrate interactions. The size of the defect has also a high impact on the cluster growth. The reason for the enhanced interaction between the grain boundary and small-size quantum clusters can be traced back to the reactivity of the sites. Namely, GB cores are more reactive than surface sites due to bond saturation.

In agreement with the previous study of Pt NCs on pristine  $MoS_{2}$ ,<sup>31</sup> we find that Pt<sub>4</sub> is the last planar structure as some Pt atoms start to occupy the top of the first metal layer for the larger clusters to form a 3D cluster instead of occupying substrate sites in a 2D configuration. With this transformation,

the mechanism for the cluster attaching to the surface changes. For n < 5, Pt NCs directly bond with Mo sites. For the larger 3D metal clusters, all Pt atoms of the first layer bond with S instead, except one or two that still bond with the Mo site due to the restructuring of the MoS<sub>2</sub> substrate by the grain defect. This differs from the pristine substrate, where all Pt atoms for 3D structures corresponding to n > 4 are found to interact with the sulfur. This 2D-3D transformation is due to complex competition between the formation of Pt-(S, Mo) and Pt-Pt bonds and bond strengths, which will be explained later.

One notable difference between MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>/GB was found in the binding energy of Pt<sub>1</sub>. On the pristine surface, we have always observed that the lower-sized cluster has smaller binding energy than the larger ones due to enhanced metalmetal interactions. However, Pt<sub>1</sub> on the GB has an anomalously considerable binding energy than Pt<sub>2</sub> and even that of Pt<sub>20</sub>. While the Pt<sub>20</sub> binding energy was 1.52 eV smaller than Pt<sub>1</sub> for the pristine surface, this is ~0.13 eV higher for the GB case. This anomalous stabilization of Pt<sub>1</sub> can be rationalized due to bond coordination and bond length. Namely, the h- $\perp$  7 configuration bonds with seven neighboring atoms in total within a bondlength cutoff of 2.95 Å and attain the shortest bond length of 2.66 Å.

To investigate the electronic/geometric origin of the defect-induced growth mechanism, we performed a comprehensive bond analysis using LOBSTER package<sup>50</sup>. LOBSTER allows extracting precious bonding information from the plane-wave electronic wavefunctions by mapping onto a local basis.<sup>51</sup> For comparison, bond analysis on pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> are also included. All the bond analysis results are summarized in Figure 5.

The crystal orbital overlap population (COOP) analysis provides a clear interpretation of the bonding and antibonding interactions in the electronic structure by identifying positive and negative orbital overlaps.<sup>52</sup> The integrated COOP (iCOOP) with all occupied energy levels can be utilized to define bonding and antibonding chemical bonds. Further crystal-orbital Hamiltonian population (COHP)<sup>53</sup> method also provide valuable information as a bond strength indicator in energy contribution by integrating COHP up to Fermi level (thus, integrated COHP or iCOHP).<sup>54</sup> The COHP can be taken as the bond-weighted density of states where the bonding and antibonding contributions are positive and negative, respectively. Integration over all occupied levels, that is iCOHP, roughly provides bond contributions to band-structure energy.

To explore how the GBs affect the configuration and growth mode of Pt NCs, we have calculated iCOHPs values for Pt-S, Pt-Mo, and Pt-Pt bonds for Pt<sub>n</sub> (n=1, 6, 12) NCs, as shown in the first row of Figure 5. To gain important insight, we have additionally performed this analysis on the  $\ensuremath{\text{Pt}}_n$  NCs on the pristine substrate from our previous work.<sup>32</sup> The more negative iCOHP values indicate the bond is stronger and more covalent. On the contrary, more positive values imply the bonds are weaker and more polar.<sup>55</sup> Firstly, the iCOHP of Pt-S is more negative than Pt-Pt bonds, which implies Pt-S have larger bond strength than Pt-Pt. Thus, Pt atoms spattered on MoS<sub>2</sub> surface prefer to form bonds with sulfur. Increasing number of Pt atoms on the substrate, the number of Pt-Pt bonds also increases but much faster than the number of Pt-(Mo, S) bonds. For example, one Pt can form three Pt-S bonds at most, while Pt can have 12 homogeneous bonds in its face-centred cubic phase. This observation rationalizes the small critical atom number of Pt atoms required for 2D-3D transformation for both GB and pristine substrates. Secondly, Pt-Mo forms more metallic bonds with  $Pt_1@GB$  that are significantly more stable than  $Pt_1$  on the pristine surface. As shown in Figure 5a and 5d, Pt<sub>1</sub> has three Pt-S bonds both on GB and pristine substrate while Pt<sub>1</sub>@GB has two weaker Pt-S bonds than on pristine case. However, Pt1@GB has three more Pt-Mo bonds than on the pristine system. This implies that Mo-rich extended defects have the potential to control the size of Pt NCs by forming more metallic bonds. Thirdly, only direct bonding atoms show strong attractive interaction. In contrast, long-range bonding corresponds to repulsive interactions except for a small part of bonding larger than 4 Å showing weak attractive interaction. As shown in the second row of Figure 5, Pt-S and Pt-Pt bond lengths smaller than 3 Å corresponds to bonding interaction, while larger bond lengths are antibonding and destabilize the system.

To further understand the iCOOP data in the second row of Figure 5, we examine the "bond-weighted distribution function" (BWDF) with iCOOP,  $^{56}$ 

BWDF = 
$$\sum_{AB} \delta(r - |\mathbf{r}_{AB}|) \times iCOOP$$
.  
The BWDF results are

summarized in the third row of Figure 5. The BWDF serves to define bond length  $d_0$  as the intersection point with the horizontal axes where the BWDF value goes to zero. When the bond length is smaller than  $d_0$ , the atomic interactions are attractive, while when the bond length is larger than  $d_0$ , they are repulsive. The results show clearly the role played by direct bonding of Pt-Mo and Pt-S on the growth mode of NCs. Finally, the third row of Figure 5 shows a clear increase in the Pt-Pt bond contribution while the bond strengths of Pt-Mo and Pt-S do not appreciably change. This explains why the larger Pt NCs show similar binding strengths on GB and pristine substrate, as shown in Figure 4.

To examine the long-term thermal stability of the predicted Pt clusters, we perform ab initio molecular dynamics of simulation at 300 K for the most stable configuration of  $Pt_1$ ,  $Pt_6$  and  $Pt_{12}$ , as shown in **Figure S1**. No structural transition is observed after 3 ps. These results suggest that the NPs are stable and can be observed experimentally.

Our discussions thus far emphasized the thermodynamic stability of the clusters and particularly the enhanced stability of the monomer and dimer configurations. However, the mobility due to thermal hopping plays an essential role in the early stages of NCs growth. It is not apparent whether large kinetic barriers prevent their nucleation in the GB core due to stronger repulsive interactions with the boundary region. For example, on  $CeO_2(111)$  surface, Au atom has a clear thermodynamic preference to occupy the oxygen vacancies, but a large kinetic barrier prohibits this process.<sup>57</sup>

To understand diffusion processes on the MoS<sub>2</sub> surface and focus mainly on the region near the GB core, we constructed a slice for the potential energy surface (PES) for a Pt monomer as it diffuses from one hollow site  $h - \perp_7$  to a neighboring hollow site  $h - \perp_7'$ . Here we used a 2 × 1 × 1 supercell of the structure in Figure 1b, thus including two GB cores that are distinguished between each other using the prime notation. The diffusion process is shown schematically in Figure 6a.



**Figure 6** Schematic pathways for Pt (a) monomer and (b) dimer diffusion along the GB. The positions of local minima are marked. (c) The energy profile along the two pathways in (a) and (b). Blue (red) line correpsonds to  $Pt_1$  (Pt<sub>2</sub>).

Nanoscale

The PES is constructed using nudged elastic band (NEB) calculations assuming different trajectories connecting the identified local minima (see Figure 6). As seen in the figure, there are three local minima t-Mo<sub>3</sub>, h-S, and t - Mo'<sub>1</sub> with energies 1.7, 2.2, and 2.2 eV with respect to h -  $\perp$ <sub>7</sub>. The overall activation energy for this diffusion process is 2.7 eV suggesting that once Pt occupies h -  $\perp$ <sub>7</sub> it becomes practically immobile. For comparison, the activation energy for hopping between two t-Mo on the pristine surface is 0.6 eV.

The constructed PES in Figure 6 sheds light also on the initial nucleation process of the monomer at the GB. As Pt atoms attach to the  $\mathsf{MoS}_2$  substrate, there is a minimal possibility that these would directly adsorb in the GB core region due to its negligible surface area. Instead, Pt atoms will randomly occupy surface sites such as t-Mo based on the PES of the pristine surface. Given the relatively small ~0.6 eV activation energy for monomer diffusion on the pristine surface,<sup>58</sup> such Pt atoms located initially far from the GB site can diffuse to the GB region. We have verified that the monomer diffusion from t-Mo site to t-Mo<sub>3</sub> or t -  $Mo'_1$  has a 0.6 eV that coincides with that of diffusion in the pristine system. Next, we investigated the diffusion from t-Mo<sub>3</sub> and t - Mo'<sub>1</sub> sites to h -  $\perp$  7. From the PES in Figure 6a, we see that the diffusion barrier from t-Mo<sub>3</sub> to h - $\perp$ <sub>7</sub> is only 0.1 eV. From t - Mo<sub>1</sub>, Pt needs activation energy of ~0.9 eV to reach h -  $\perp '_7$  as it diffuses through two nearby hollow sites. On the other hand, the diffusion from t - Mo'<sub>1</sub> to h-  $\perp$  <sub>7</sub> has a 0.4 eV barrier to reach h-S firstly and then 0.1 eV barrier to t-Mo<sub>3</sub>.

The above analyses suggest that Pt atom nucleation at the grain boundary core is facile based on thermodynamic and kinetic considerations. To further understand how frequently this diffusion will occur, we calculate the hopping event per second using transition-state theory (TST,  $k = v_0 e^{-\Delta E/k_B T}$ where  $\nu_0 = 10^{13} \, \text{s}^{-1}$  is the rate prefactor assumed to be a constant, which is on the order of atomic vibration frequency corresponding to the unstable mode at the transition state mode<sup>59</sup>,  $\Delta E$  is the activation energy,  $k_B$  is Boltzmann constant, and T is room temperature. The hopping rate from t-Mo to t- $Mo_3$  or t -  $Mo'_1$  is  $\approx 10 \text{ s}^{-1}$  as for hopping rate between two t-Mo sites on the pristine surface. Further, the GB site hopping from t-Mo<sub>3</sub> to h -  $\perp$  <sub>7</sub> is 10<sup>11</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Lastly, from t-Mo<sub>1</sub> to h-  $\perp$  <sub>7</sub> in two different pathways will have either 10<sup>6</sup> or 10<sup>-1</sup> hopping per second at room temperature. Therefore, we conclude that Pt nucleation on the GB is limited by metal diffusion on the surface.

Furthermore, we examined kinetic barriers associated with the formation and dissociation of the Pt dimer configuration. Here we start from the most stable configuration  $h - \perp_7 - t - Mo_3$  of Pt<sub>2</sub>. Figure 6b shows a schematic of the diffusion path. From the PES in Figure 6c (red curve), a Pt atom located at  $t - Mo'_1$  requires activation energy of 0.2 eV to diffuse to the Mo\_3 site and attach to the Pt monomer located at  $h - \perp_7$ . On the other hand, the PES shows that the dimer requires activation energy of 0.7 eV to diffuse to  $h - \perp'_7$ . Thus, the formation of Pt<sub>2</sub> dimer requires a barrier of ~0.2 eV, while its dissociation requires a barrier of 1 eV. Similar to the Pt nucleation, the growth of Pt<sub>2</sub> at the GB core is also limited by the diffusion of metal on the



Figure 7 Top views for the lowest-energy configuraitons for (a) Pt1 @ 5|8|5 and (b) Pt1 @ 6|4. (c-e) and (f-h) are corresponding bond analysis results similar to Figure 5.

surface.

Almost all experimental studies, e.g. refs.<sup>25, 27, 28, 54</sup> show that metal clusters favor the nucleation and growth in the GB region, which has led to the shared recognition that GBs' imparted stabilization is general to any cluster size. Our results clearly show that the impact of a single GB on the NCs stabilization is size-dependent, where it is only enhanced for clusters with nearly ten atoms on MoS<sub>2</sub> support. Hence, thermodynamically, larger NCs are as easily dislodged in whole from a GB region as from a pristine region. However, in agreement with experimental studies, we also find that the GBs are the preferable nucleation centers of the metal particles, resulting in having the larger clusters growing at the GB region. We posit that these findings provide a new pathway to synthesis, particularly for high-performance catalysts at elevated temperatures.

To extend our results to other types of GB, we explore the interaction of Pt with the Mo-rich dislocation cores of 5|8|5 and 6|4, which were reported before.<sup>28</sup> We construct the same dislocation cores as in ref<sup>28</sup> but using periodic models, as shown in **Figure S2**. The adsorption configurations of the Pt monomer are shown in Figure 7a-b. There are four 4-coordinated Mo atoms in the 5|8|5 dislocation core. The distinct difference between 5|8|5 and 6|4 is that a S<sub>0</sub> pair is present in the center of four 4-coordinated Mo atoms, as marked in **Figure S2**. The most energetically favored site is the hollow site of 5|8|5, as shown in Figure 7a, which is in line with ref<sup>28</sup>. Also, Pt<sub>1</sub> @ 6|4

shown in Figure 7b is found to be more stable than the configuration reported in ref<sup>28</sup>. The increased Pt coordination compared to pristine substrate leads to more stabilization, just like the aforementioned s-S2 configuration on the 5|7 dislocation core. The Pt atom in 6|4 core displaces the  $S_0$  atom and makes two Pt-Mo and Pt-S bonds. The Pt monomer strongly interacts with 5|8|5 and 6|4 GBs with binding energies -7.99 eV and -4.75 eV, respectively, as shown in Table S3. We note that the values reported before were -1.64 and 2.11 eV as these values were measured with respect to bulk Pt and not to singleatom Pt, as done in the present study.<sup>28</sup> Therefore, the strong adsorption of the monomer system with the GB defect is general and applies to other  $MoS_2$  GBs, suggesting that GB engineering could effectively stabilize ultrasmall NCs or singleatom catalysts.<sup>60, 61</sup> We note that ttypically, the edge of MoS<sub>2</sub> is the only active site. Here, we propose that GBs and metal atom combinations can activate the basal plane and result in a more significant number of active catalytic sites. The optimal combination needs further experimental verification.

We make a comprehensive bond analysis to understand further the strong bonding between Pt monomer and dislocation cores of 5 | 8 | 5 and 6 | 4, as shown in Figure 7c-h. We draw the following conclusions. Firstly, for Pt monomer in 5|8|5 core, Pt-Mo bonds can be grouped into two types. One type is with a bond length of 2.40Å, such as Pt-Mo<sub>2</sub> and Pt-Mo<sub>3</sub>, the shortest Pt-Mo bond length in this report. Hence, most strong Pt-Mo bonding interaction. Furthermore, they have more considerable iCOHP energy ~-3.6 eV, as shown in Figure 7c. These results rationalize the large binding energy compared to the pristine systems. The other type is with bond length 2.64 Å, such as Pt-Mo<sub>1</sub> and Pt-Mo<sub>4</sub>, which have smaller iCOHP energy ~-2.4 eV. Secondly, we note from the figure that only Pt-Mo bonds are in bonding interactions, confirming the vital role of exposed Mo atoms, as shown in Figure 7d. This shares the same mechanism with the aforementioned 5|7 dislocation core. Thirdly, for the Pt monomer in 6|4 core, two Pt-S and two Pt-Mo bonds are formed, all are bonding interactions, as shown in Figure 7g. However, a strong Pt-S<sub>0</sub> bonds with ICOHP energy of -5.8 eV, the considerable deformation energy of MoS<sub>2</sub> makes an energy penalty to the total binding energy. Finally, 5|8|5 and 6 4 core are larger extended defects than 5 7 core. Hence, we expect that these GB cores can stabilize larger metal NCs.

## Conclusions

We have presented a comprehensive theoretical study of the atomic structure of stable NCs on  $MoS_2$  substrate with a grain boundary defect. We have applied an adaptive genetic approach employing classical forcefields and density functional theory calculations to obtain the lowest energy structures. The GB increases the stability of  $Pt_1$ – $Pt_{12}$  clusters, although with enhancements that decrease with cluster size. We showed that GB effects are passivated for NCs with more than 13 Pt atoms, and the GBs stabilize the NCs similar to the pristine system. Particularly, we show that the GB can stabilize a Pt monomer 65% more than the pristine surface, suggesting that this system is of great potential as a single atom catalyst. The monomer and dimer are found to nucleate at the GB core easily with rates that

are only limited by the diffusion of the metal atoms on the surface. Further, we showed that the nucleated monomers and dimers at the GB are protected against dissociations by relatively larger barriers of 1.7 and 1 eV, respectively. To mitigate the NC's sintering behaviour for high-temperature applications, our findings highlight the need to carefully design the size of the NCs to increase their stability or to increase the surface roughness of the substrate to stabilize the clusters with more than one-grain boundary.

Our findings are general for other grain boundary types in  $MoS_2$  or for other systems. We expect that the size dependence associated with stabilization-enhancements of supported metal clusters with GBs to be a general concept that applies to other defects. Further, we expect that the critical cluster size for defect passivation to depend on the defect type. For instance, with sulfur vacancies, we expect that clusters with less than 12 atoms to be equally stable as on the pristine surface, given that effects of S vacancies are more localized than those of GBs. On the other hand, for the 5|8|5 and 6|4 GB cores, we expect the opposite as these dislocation cores are more extended than the 5|7 one.

## **Author Contributions**

W. A. S. conceived the idea and designed the research. Y. S. carried out the theoretical calculations. Y. S. and M. R. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the paper.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgments

W. A. S. acknowledges the financial support from the National Science Foundation (Award No. DMR-1809085). Y.S. acknowledges the support of National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), grant no. 11904353 and the Open Project of State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, grant no. KF2020\_10 Sciences (Ministry of Education). J. Z. acknowledges the support of National Key Foundation of China, Department of Science and Technology, grant nos. 2017YFA0204904 and 2016YFA0200604; NSFC, grant nos. 11974322 and 11620101003. Calculations were performed at Argonne Leadership Computing Facility, a DOE Office Science User Facility supported under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357, and the Supercomputing Center at USTC. Y. S. gratefully acknowledges HZWTECH for providing computation facilities.

#### References

- 1. X. Huang, Z. Zeng, S. Bao, M. Wang, X. Qi, Z. Fan and H. Zhang, *Nature Communications*, 2013, **4**, 1444.
- A. V. Akimov, A. J. Neukirch and O. V. Prezhdo, *Chem Rev*, 2013, 113, 4496-4565.
- 3. Z. L. He and W. X. Que, Applied Materials Today, 2016, 3, 23-56.

- F. Zheng, L. Huang, L.-W. Wong, J. Han, Y. Cai, N. Wang, Q. Deng, T. H. Ly and J. Zhao, *Advanced Science*, 2020, 7, 2001742.
- H. Wang, Z. Lu, S. Xu, D. Kong, J. J. Cha, G. Zheng, P.-C. Hsu, K. Yan, D. Bradshaw, F. B. Prinz and Y. Cui, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2013, **110**, 19701-19706.
- Z. Huang, G. Hao, C. He, H. Yang, L. Xue, X. Qi, X. Peng and J. Zhong, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 2013, **114**, 083706.
- T. H. M. Lau, J. S. Foord and S. C. E. Tsang, *Nanoscale*, 2020, 12, 10447-10455.
- X. Li, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang and C. Wang, Nanoscale, 2019, 11, 23110-23115.
- 9. Z. H. Wei and S. Zhuiykov, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 15709-15738.
- 10. S. Butun, S. Tongay and K. Aydin, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 2700-2704.
- S. Diefenbach, E. Parzinger, J. Kiemle, J. Wierzbowski, S. Funke, B. Miller, R. Csiki, P. Thiesen, A. Cattani-Scholz, U. Wurstbauer and A. W. Holleitner, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 9663-9670.
- 12. X. Huang, Z. Zeng, S. Bao, M. Wang, X. Qi, Z. Fan and H. Zhang, Nature Communications, 2013, 4.
- 13. J. Kim, S. Byun, A. J. Smith, J. Yu and J. Huang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 1227-1232.
- 14. S. Zhao, R. Jin, Y. Song, H. Zhang, S. D. House, J. C. Yang and R. Jin, *Small*, 2017, **13**, 1701519.
- 15. P. Zuo, L. Jiang, X. Li, B. Li, P. Ran, X. Li, L. Qu and Y. Lu, ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2018, **6**, 7704-7714.
- T. Liu, S. Shen, Y. Huang, X. Zhang, Z. Lai, T. H. Tran, Z. Liu and L. Cheng, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 22788-22795.
- H. F. Lv, Z. Xi, Z. Z. Chen, S. J. Guo, Y. S. Yu, W. L. Zhu, Q. Li, X. Zhang, M. Pan, G. Lu, S. C. Mu and S. H. Sun, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2015, **137**, 5859-5862.
- C. Z. Wen, Q. H. Hu, Y. N. Guo, X. Q. Gong, S. Z. Qiao and H. G. Yang, *Chem Commun (Camb)*, 2011, **47**, 6138-6140.
- W. J. Zhou, D. M. Hou, Y. H. Sang, S. H. Yao, J. Zhou, G. Q. Li, L. G. Li, H. Liu and S. W. Chen, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2014, 2, 11358-11364.
- 20. Y. Wang and V. H. Crespi, Nano Lett., 2017, 17, 5297-5303.
- 21. W. Zhou, X. Zou, S. Najmaei, Z. Liu, Y. Shi, J. Kong, J. Lou, P. M. Ajayan, B. I. Yakobson and J.-C. Idrobo, *Nano letters*, 2013, **13**, 2615-2622.
- A. M. van der Zande, P. Y. Huang, D. A. Chenet, T. C. Berkelbach, Y. You, G.-H. Lee, T. F. Heinz, D. R. Reichman, D. A. Muller and J. C. Hone, *Nat Mater*, 2013, **12**, 554-561.
- 23. X. Zou, Y. Liu and B. I. Yakobson, Nano Lett., 2013, 13, 253-258.
- N. Gao, Y. Guo, S. Zhou, Y. Bai and J. Zhao, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, 121, 12261-12269.
- K. Kim, R. W. Johnson, J. T. Tanskanen, N. Liu, M.-G. Kim, C. Pang, C. Ahn, S. F. Bent and Z. Bao, *Nature communications*, 2014, 5, 4781.
- 26. G. Zhou, P. Li, Q. Ma, Z. Tian and Y. Liu, *Nano Letters*, 2018, **18**, 1668-1677.
- J. Buban, K. Matsunaga, J. Chen, N. Shibata, W. Ching, T. Yamamoto and Y. Ikuhara, *Science*, 2006, **311**, 212-215.
- S. Wang, H. Sawada, X. Han, S. Zhou, S. Li, Z. X. Guo, A. I. Kirkland and J. H. Warner, ACS nano, 2018, 12, 5626-5636.
- 29. M. John, Nature, 1988, 335, 201-201.
- 30. Y. Guo, Y. Wei, H. Li and T. Zhai, Small, 2017, 13, 1701649.
- 31. W. A. Saidi, Crystal Growth & Design, 2015, 15, 642-652.
- 32. Y. Shi, B. Song, R. Shahbazian-Yassar, J. Zhao and W. A. Saidi, *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 2018, **9**, 2972-2978.
- X. Zhao, M. C. Nguyen, W. Y. Zhang, C. Z. Wang, M. J. Kramer, D. J. Sellmyer, X. Z. Li, F. Zhang, L. Q. Ke, V. P. Antropov and K. M. Ho, *Phys Rev Lett*, 2014, **112**, 045502.
- Y. Shi, H. Sun, M. C. Nguyen, C. Wang, K. Ho, W. A. Saidi and J. Zhao, *Nanoscale*, 2017, 9, 11553-11565.

- Y. Shi, H. Sun, W. A. Saidi, N. Manh Cuong, C. Z. Wang, K. Ho, J. Yang and J. Zhao, *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 2017, 8, 1764-1771.
- 36. Y. Wang, Y. Shi, C. Zhao, Q. Zheng and J. Zhao, *Physical Review B*, 2019, **99**, 165309.
- X. Zhao, Q. Shu, M. C. Nguyen, Y. Wang, M. Ji, H. Xiang, K.-M. Ho, X. Gong and C.-Z. Wang, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2014, **118**, 9524-9530.
- 38. M. Ji, K. Umemoto, C.-Z. Wang, K.-M. Ho and R. M. Wentzcovitch, *Physical Review B*, 2011, **84**, 220105-220105.
- 39. S. Q. Wu, K. Umemoto, M. Ji, C. Z. Wang, K. M. Ho and R. M. Wentzcovitch, *Physical Review B*, 2011, **83**, 184102.
- 40. P. Brommer and F. Gahler, *Model Simul Mater Sc*, 2007, **15**, 295-304.
- 41. A. Banerjea and J. R. Smith, *Phys Rev B Condens Matter*, 1988, **37**, 6632-6645.
- A. M. Van Der Zande, P. Y. Huang, D. A. Chenet, T. C. Berkelbach, Y. You, G.-H. Lee, T. F. Heinz, D. R. Reichman, D. A. Muller and J. C. Hone, *Nature materials*, 2013, **12**, 554-561.
- 43. M. I. Baskes, Phys Rev Lett, 1987, 59, 2666-2669.
- 44. S. Plimpton, J Comput Phys, 1995, 117, 1-19.
- J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- 46. G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Physical Review B*, 1994, **49**, 14251-14269.
- 47. G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Physical Review B*, 1993, **48**, 13115-13118.
- 48. G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Physical Review B, 1993, 47, 558-561.
- 49. V. Michaud-Rioux, L. Zhang and H. Guo, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 2016, **307**, 593-613.
- 50. S. Maintz, V. L. Deringer, A. L. Tchougreeff and R. Dronskowski, *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 2016, **37**, 1030-1035.
- 51. S. Maintz, V. L. Deringer, A. L. Tchougréeff and R. Dronskowski, *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 2013, **34**, 2557-2567.
- 52. T. Hughbanks and R. Hoffmann, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1983, **105**, 3528-3537.
- 53. R. Dronskowski and P. E. Bloechl, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 1993, **97**, 8617-8624.
- 54. A. L. Görne and R. Dronskowski, Carbon, 2019, 148, 151-158.
- 55. V. L. Deringer, A. L. Tchougreeff and R. Dronskowski, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 2011, **115**, 5461-5466.
- V. L. Deringer, W. Zhang, M. Lumeij, S. Maintz, M. Wuttig, R. Mazzarello and R. Dronskowski, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2014, 53, 10817-10820.
- 57. P. G. Lustemberg, Y. Pan, B. J. Shaw, D. Grinter, C. Pang, G. Thornton, R. Pérez, M. V. Ganduglia-Pirovano and N. Nilius, *Physical Review Letters*, 2016, **116**, 236101.
- H. Li, S. Wang, H. Sawada, G. G. D. Han, T. Samuels, C. S. Allen, A. I. Kirkland, J. C. Grossman and J. H. Warner, *ACS Nano*, 2017, 11, 3392-3403.
- 59. M. Mantina, Y. Wang, R. Arroyave, L. Q. Chen, Z. K. Liu and C. Wolverton, *Physical Review Letters*, 2008, **100**, 215901.
- 60. C. Zhu, S. Fu, Q. Shi, D. Du and Y. Lin, *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, 2017, **56**, 13944-13960.
- 61. B. Qiao, A. Wang, X. Yang, L. F. Allard, Z. Jiang, Y. Cui, J. Liu, J. Li and T. Zhang, *Nature Chemistry*, 2011, **3**, 634-641.