Molecular Omics





#### Crosslinking mass spectrometry unveils novel interactions and structural distinctions in the model green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii

Journal:	Molecular Omics
Manuscript ID	MO-RES-06-2021-000197.R1
Article Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Aug-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Smythers, Amanda; University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Chemistry Iannetta, Anthony; University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Chemistry Hicks, Leslie; University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Chemistry

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

1	<u>Cover page</u>
2 3 1	Title
4 5 6 7	Crosslinking mass spectrometry unveils novel interactions and structural distinctions in the model green alga <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>
7 8 9	Author names
10 11	Amanda L. Smythers <sup>1#</sup> , Anthony A. Iannetta <sup>1#</sup> , and Leslie M. Hicks <sup>1*</sup>
12 13	Affiliations
14 15	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA <sup>#</sup> denotes equal contributions
16 17 18	<u>Correspondence</u>
19 20 21	Dr. Leslie M. Hicks, Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Kenan Laboratories, 125 South Road, CB#3290, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3290, United States
22 23	E-mail: lmhicks@unc.edu
24 25	Phone/Fax: (919) 843-6903 / (919) 962-2388
26 27	Keywords
28 29	Crosslinking mass spectrometry, interactome, photosynthesis, Chlamydomonas, LC-MS/MS
30 31	Running title
32	XL-MS unveils protein interactome of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii

#### 1 Abstract

2 Interactomics is an emerging field that seeks to identify both transient and complex-bound 3 protein interactions that are essential for metabolic functions. Crosslinking mass spectrometry 4 (XL-MS) has enabled untargeted global analysis of these protein networks, permitting largescale 5 simultaneous analysis of protein structure and interactions. Increased commercial availability of 6 highly specific, cell permeable crosslinkers has propelled the study of these critical interactions 7 forward, with the development of MS-cleavable crosslinkers further increasing confidence in 8 identifications. Herein, the global interactome of the unicellular alga Chlamvdomonas reinhardtii 9 was analyzed via XL-MS by implementing the MS-cleavable disuccinimidyl sulfoxide (DSSO) 10 crosslinker and enriching for crosslinks using strong cation exchange chromatography. Gentle 11 lysis via repeated freeze-thaw cycles facilitated *in vitro* analysis of 157 protein-protein crosslinks 12 (interlinks) and 612 peptides linked to peptides of the same protein (intralinks) at 1% FDR 13 throughout the C. reinhardtii proteome. The interlinks confirmed known protein relationships 14 across the cytosol and chloroplast, including coverage on 42% and 38% of the small and large 15 ribosomal subunits, respectively. Of the 157 identified interlinks, 92% represent the first empirical 16 evidence of interaction observed in C. reinhardtii. Several of these crosslinks point to novel associations between proteins, including the identification of a previously uncharacterized Mg-17 18 chelatase associated protein (Cre11.g477733.t1.2) bound to five distinct lysines on Mg-chelatase 19 (Cre06.g306300.t1.2). Additionally, the observed intralinks facilitated characterization of novel 20 protein structures across the C. reinhardtii proteome. Together, these data establish a framework 21 of protein-protein interactions that can be further explored to facilitate understanding of the 22 dynamic protein landscape in C. reinhardtii.

#### 1 Introduction

2 Crosslinking mass spectrometry (XL-MS) is a powerful approach that couples 3 biochemistry with molecular and structural biology through simultaneous analysis of protein-4 protein interactions (PPIs), conformations, and structure.(1-5) While alternative methods to 5 investigate PPIs rely on genetic transformation (e.g., the yeast two-hybrid assay) and/or the use of 6 highly specific antibodies (e.g., affinity-purification mass spectrometry), XL-MS is limited only 7 by the reactivity and specificity of the chemical crosslinker, enabling global unbiased delineation 8 of protein networks.(6-10) Further, while other structural approaches often require homogenous 9 protein samples, large sample abundance, and/or crystallization, XL-MS can capture dynamic 10 protein conformations in their native environment, without isolation or purification.(11, 12)

11 Chemical crosslinking involves the covalent linkage of two protein residues that are in 12 close proximity. Crosslinker reagents vary in reactive groups (e.g., NHS ester for amine reactivity, 13 maleimide for cysteine reactivity) and spacer arm length (e.g., 10.3 Å for DSSO). The maximum 14 distance between crosslinked residues is limited by the spacer arm length, but it is accepted that this constraint can be exceeded due to dynamic protein conformational changes (e.g., 30 Å limit 15 for DSSO despite a 10 Å spacer arm).(13) Crosslinking can be coupled with conventional bottom-16 17 up workflows (*i.e.*, proteolytic cleavage) for the identification of crosslinked peptides. These 18 detected crosslinks produce three-dimensional information for proteins; crosslinks between two 19 tryptic peptides from two different proteins (interlinks) inform PPIs while crosslinks between two 20 tryptic peptides within the same protein (intralinks) enhance structural knowledge for that protein.

21 XL-MS is an increasingly powerful approach to examine PPIs, yet the requisite data 22 processing is immensely challenging, as linking two peptides increases the proteome search space 23 by  $n^2$  and creates challenging MS/MS fragment spectra that can be difficult to deconvolute. This 24 has been partly addressed via a portfolio of search algorithms and bioinformatics platforms, yet 25 still poses several ongoing challenges including: 1) increased missed cleavages due to crosslinks 26 blocking potential cleavage sites, 2) altered ionization, 3) more complex MS/MS fragmentation, 27 and 4) low abundance of crosslinked peptides compared to linear peptides.(14-16) MS-cleavable 28 crosslinker development has greatly increased the applicability of XL-MS to systems-wide studies, 29 as observed mass shifts from the short or long end of the cleaved crosslinker (*i.e.*, crosslink reporter 30 ions), more efficient peptide fragmentation, and MS/MS spectra of increased quality simplify 31 crosslink identification in database searching and lend confidence to site assignment.(17)

32 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii is a unicellular green alga and one of the most widely studied 33 models for photosynthesis, attributed in part to its rapid growth rate, large singular chloroplast, 34 and well annotated genome.(18, 19) C. reinhardtii is a beneficial model organism for studying 35 fundamental biochemical processes, including autophagy, signal transduction, and nitrogen flux, 36 among others.(20-25) Despite its long-term interest among plant scientists and cell biologists, its 37 complex interactome has yet to be thoroughly investigated. In the STRING database, there are 38 1,278 experimentally confirmed (medium confidence score >400) unique protein-protein 39 interactions in C. reinhardtii, compared to 31,283 in Arabidopsis thaliana and 51,599 in 40 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. (26) Herein, the amine-reactive, MS-cleavable crosslinker 41 disuccinimidyl sulfoxide (DSSO) was leveraged to analyze the C. reinhardtii interactome via XL-

MS (Figure 1). Extraction via freeze-thaw enabled global detection of interacting proteins
throughout the cell, including 612 intralinks and 157 interlinks at 1% FDR.

3

## 4 Experimental

# 5 *Cell Growth*

6

7 Wild-type Chlamydomonas reinhardtii strain CC-2895 6145c mt- was purchased from the 8 Chlamydomonas Resource Center (St. Paul, MN, USA) and batch cultures were maintained 9 photoheterotrophically on Tris-acetate-phosphate (TAP) agar plates. C. reinhardtii was inoculated 10 into 100 mL of TAP medium using a 1 mL inoculum in a foil-covered 250 mL Erlenmever flask and grown photoheterotrophically.(27) Cultures were maintained at 22 °C on an Innova 2000 11 12 platform shaker (New Brunswick Scientific, Enfield, CT, USA) at 120 rpm under constant 100 13  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> illumination. Cells were grown to mid-log phase (OD<sub>750</sub> 0.4-0.5), harvested by 14 centrifuging for 5 min at 3220 g and discarding the supernatant, and flash-frozen using liquid

- 15 nitrogen. Cell pellets were stored at -80 °C until use.
- 16
- 17 Protein Extraction
- 18

Three frozen cell pellets (~0.6 g each) were thawed on ice before combining and adding 10 mL of 20 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.8 containing 30 mM sodium chloride, 1.5 mM magnesium chloride, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, and 1x cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basal, Switzerland). Soft lysis via five rounds of freeze-thaw at -80 °C for 60 min was performed to ensure the extraction of intact protein interactions. Cellular debris was cleared by centrifugation at 3,200 g for 20 min at 4 °C before protein concentrations were estimated using the CB-X assay (G-Biosciences, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

- 26
- 27 Protein Crosslinking
- 28

A 50 mM stock solution of disuccinimidyl sulfoxide (DSSO, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,
 MA, USA) in DMSO was prepared and added to 3 mg of protein lysate at a working concentration

- 31 of 2 mM. Samples were incubated with end-over-end rotation for 30 min at RT, before quenching
- 32 the crosslinking reaction with 20 mM Tris, pH 8 for 30 min at RT.
- 33
- 34 Protein Digestion
- 35

36 Crosslinked proteins (3 mg) were precipitated with 5x cold acetone and centrifuged at 3200 g and

- 4 °C for 20 min. The supernatant was removed and proteins were resuspended in 200  $\mu$ L of 100
- 38 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 containing 8 M urea. Samples were reduced and alkylated simultaneously
- 39 using 10 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine and 40 mM chloroacetamide for 1 h at 37 °C. Samples

1 were diluted four-fold to 2 M urea with additional 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 before digestion was

- 2 performed with Trypsin Gold (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at 37 °C for 16 h using a 3 protease:protein ratio of 1:50 (w/w).
- 4

5 Reversed-Phase Solid-Phase Extraction

6

7 Samples were desalted with 100 mg/1.0 mL Sep-Pak C18 cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) 8 using a 24-position vacuum manifold (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) at a flow rate of 1 drop/s.

9 Resin was first pre-eluted using 1 mL of 80% acetonitrile/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) before 10 equilibration with 2 mL of 0.1% TFA. Samples were acidified to pH < 3 using 10% TFA, loaded onto the cartridges in two passes, and then washed using 2 mL of 0.1% TFA. Peptides were eluted 11

- 12 using 1 mL of 80% acetonitrile/0.1% TFA and concentrated by vacuum centrifugation. Peptides were resuspended in 200 µL of 10 mM potassium phosphate monobasic, 20% acetonitrile, pH 2.7. 13
- 14
- 15 Strong Cation Exchange Fractionation
- 16

17 The crosslinked peptides were fractionated with strong cation exchange (SCX) chromatography 18 using a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC equipped with a UV-vis detector (220 nm) (Shimadzu, Kvoto, Japan) following the method described in Makepeace et al.(28) Mobile phase A consisted 19 20 of 10 mM potassium phosphate monobasic, 20% acetonitrile, pH 2.7, mobile phase B was 10 mM 21 potassium phosphate monobasic, 250 mM potassium chloride, 20% acetonitrile, pH 2.7, and 22 mobile phase C was 10 mM potassium phosphate monobasic, 600 mM potassium chloride, 20% 23 acetonitrile, pH 2.7. Peptides were fractionated on a PolySulfoethyl A column (100 mm x 4.6 mm, 3 µm particles; PolyLC) using a linear gradient of increasing mobile phases B and C at a flow rate 24 25 of 0.5 mL/min. After 10 min of 100% mobile phase A, mobile phase B increased from 0% to 15% in 9.3 min, where it was held for 8.7 min before ramping to 30% in 8 min, where it was held for 26 27 11 min and then ramping to 100% in 5 min, where it was held for 5 min. After, mobile phase C 28 increased from 0% to 100% in 5 min before returning to 100% mobile phase A in 5 min and re-29 equilibrating for 25 min. After 10 min into the gradient, fractions were collected every 1 min. 30 These were desalted using reversed-phase solid phase extraction as described above, concentrated 31 under vacuum centrifugation, and resuspended in 15 µL of 0.1% TFA.

- 32
- 33 *LC-MS/MS Analysis*
- 34
- 35 Fractions were analyzed using an Acquity UPLC M-Class System (Waters) coupled to a O 36 Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Mobile phase A consisted of water

37 with 0.1% formic acid (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and mobile phase B was acetonitrile with 0.1%

- formic acid. Injections (4 µL) were made to a Symmetry C18 trap column (100 Å, 5µm, 180µm x 38 20 mm; Waters) with a flow rate of 5 µL/min for 3 min using 99% A and 1% B. Peptides were
- 39 then separated on a HSS T3 C18 column (100 Å, 1.8µm, 75µm x 250 mm; Waters) using a linear 40

1 gradient of increasing mobile phase B at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. Mobile phase B increased 2 from 5% to 35% in 90 min before ramping to 85% in 5 min, where it was held for 10 min before 3 returning to 5% in 2 min and re-equilibrating for 13 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in 4 positive polarity and the Nanospray Flex source had spray voltage floating at 2.1 kV, capillary 5 temperature at 320 °C, and funnel RF level at 40. MS survey scans were collected with a scan 6 range of 350 - 2000 m/z at a resolving power of 120,000 and an AGC target of 3 x 10<sup>6</sup> with a 7 maximum injection time of 50 ms. A top 20 data-dependent acquisition was used where HCD 8 fragmentation of precursor ions having +2 to +7 charge state was performed using a normalized 9 collision energy setting of 28. MS/MS scans were performed at a resolving power of 30,000 and 10 an AGC target of 1 x 10<sup>5</sup> with a maximum injection time of 100 ms. Dynamic exclusion for precursor m/z was set to a 10 s window. 11

12

13 Data Analysis

14

15 Acquired spectral files (\*.raw) from the 61 fractions were analyzed using Proteome Discoverer 16 v.2.5 with the incorporated XLinkX nodes and searched against the Joint Genome Institute's v.5.6 17 database (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/Creinhardtii v5 6, 19,523 entries, accessed 18 02/2020) appended with the NCBI chloroplast and mitochondrial databases (chloroplastic-NCBI: 19 BK000554; mitochondrial-NCBI: NC 001638.1; 77 entries, accessed 02/2020) and sequences for 20 common laboratory contaminants (https://www.thegpm.org/cRAP/, 116 entries, accessed 21 02/2020). For crosslinked peptides, target-decoy searches of MS/MS data used a trypsin protease 22 specificity with the possibility of two missed cleavages, peptide/fragment mass tolerances of 15 23 ppm/0.02 Da, fixed modification of cysteine carbamidomethylation, and variable modifications of 24 N-terminus acetylation and methionine oxidation. Identified crosslinks are reported at 1% FDR, 25 controlled at the CSM level using XlinkX and its target-decoy database searching strategy. Detailed settings for Proteome Discoverer nodes are found in Supplemental Information 1. 26

27

28 Initial analysis of protein interlinks was achieved using the STRING database as well as KEGG 29 mapper.(29-32) In the STRING database, active interaction sources were limited to experiments 30 and co-expression with a minimum required interaction score of 0.400. Following STRING and 31 KEGG annotation, the proteins were manually annotated for location and function using a 32 combination of UniProt, PANTHER functional analysis, and the PlaPPIsite 33 (http://zzdlab.com/plappisite/index.php).(33-35)

34

35 To generate protein-protein interaction models, an established protocol(36) was applied, where protein structure modeling was achieved through I-TASSER(37) and protein complex docking was 36 37 performed using HADDOCK.(38) These models were visualized and Euclidean distances of 38 mapped crosslinks were measured using ChimeraX.(39-42) Mapping of detected interlinks was 39 performed using xiNET.(43) XlinkDB 3.0 was used to automatically calculate Euclidean distances 40 of intralinks that were mapped onto known structures or homology models generated by the

Integrative Modeling Platform.(44-46) Most intralinks that were mapped were visualized using 41

1 NGL Viewer.(47) For eukaryotic translation initiation factor 1 alpha, proteins were visualized by 2 mapping to the homology model in SWISS-MODEL, downloading the pdb file, visualizing in 3 Chimera, and manually annotating for detected crosslinks.(39, 48) Detected crosslinks from this 4 study were made private on the **XLinkDB** 3.0 database 5 (http://xlinkdb.gs.washington.edu/xlinkdb/). These data can be accessed by using the filename 6 "Crcrosslinking Lesliehicks" in any of the sections labeled "Network Name".

- 7
- 8 Data Availability
- 9

10 The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium

via the PRIDE partner repository and can be accessed with the dataset identifier PXD026433.(49)

12

13 Username: reviewer\_pxd026433@ebi.ac.uk

- 14 Password: UVg4z1uC
- 15

# 16 **Results and Discussion**

# 17 <u>Crosslinked Proteome Coverage</u>

18

19 Although XL-MS is a powerful tool for the global analysis of PPIs, its success is highly 20 dependent on the permeability and reactivity of the crosslinker. In preliminary trials with DSSO, 21 the crosslinker did not permeate the Chlamydomonas cell wall effectively and did not enable 22 global analysis of the interactome (data not shown). Therefore, gentle, detergent-free lysis via 23 freeze-thaw was used to release proteins from the cell in near-native conformations. Intact protein-24 protein interactions were crosslinked in vitro with DSSO and crosslinked peptides were enriched 25 using strong cation exchange (SCX) fractionation prior to LC-MS/MS analysis (Figure 1C). SCX 26 leverages the highly positively charged crosslinked peptides to separate them from the less charged 27 linear, non-crosslinked peptides. This is essential as non-crosslinked peptides greatly outnumber 28 and suppress the ionization of the low abundant crosslinked peptides. SCX fractionation 29 simultaneously enriches for crosslinked peptides and decreases sample complexity, thus increasing 30 the depth of coverage for crosslinked peptides.

31 The analysis of 61 SCX fractions resulted in the identification of 56,595 crosslink reporter 32 ion peaks, yielding 1,705 crosslink-spectrum matches (CSMs, representative CSM can be found 33 in Figure S1) at 1% FDR grouped into 769 unique crosslinks (Table S1). Also, from these SCX 34 fractions a total of 116,086 non-crosslinked peptides were identified (Table S2), corresponding to 35 the identification of 7,482 proteins (≥2 unique peptides, master proteins, 1% FDR, Table S3). The full suite of identified crosslinks is depicted as a circos plot in Figure 2A, while the identified C. 36 37 reinhardtii interactome is displayed in Figure 2B (interactive versions of both can be found here). 38 The majority of the CSMs are contained in later SCX fractions (49-65), supporting the use of SCX 39 as an enrichment technique for more positively charged crosslinked peptides (Figure 2C). Among 40 the 769 detected crosslinks, 157 are between two tryptic peptides derived from two different 1 proteins (interlinks) and 612 are between two tryptic peptides within the same protein (intralinks)

2 (Figure 2D). A majority of the detected proteins with at least one identified crosslink contain one

3 crosslink (63%), while 13% contain two crosslinks and 24% contain three or more (Figure 2E).

4 Additionally, of the 157 identified interlinks, only 12 are currently reported in *C. reinhardtii* in the

- 5 plant PPI database (Table S4); therefore 92% of the crosslinks observed herein represent the first
- 6 empirical evidence of interactions between the proteins.(35)
- 7
- 8 <u>Intralinks</u>
- 9

10 Overlaying detected intralinks onto known protein structures and comparing the Euclidean distance between the crosslinked residues to the DSSO maximum crosslinking distance of 30 Å 11 12 can be used to evaluate the intralink dataset.(13, 50-52) Few proteins with identified intralinks 13 have existing structures for C. reinhardtii in the Protein Data Bank (PDB), so the Integrative 14 Modeling Platform in XLinkDB 3.0 was used for homology modeling for the other proteins.(44, 15 46) In this platform, a structural homology model is identified by multiple sequence alignment and 16 used to predict protein structure. Out of all detected intralinks, 44% were mapped onto known structures or homologous proteins to obtain structural information (Table S5). Of these, 96% 17 18 featured residues within the theoretical distance of DSSO (Figure 3A); this is not surprising as the 19 majority of the observed proteins are highly conserved across taxons and thus have similar 20 structural features in distinct species.

21 The crosslinking data for Photosystem II Oxygen Evolution Enhancer protein 3 22 (Cre08.g372450.t1.2) confirms the structure established via cryogenic electron microscopy.(53) 23 Of the 10 intralinks observed across this protein, none exceeded the 30 Å cutoff, with an average predicted link distance of 12 Å. However, there are relatively few proteins from C. reinhardtii 24 25 with structural data in PDB; therefore, many of the observed intralinks were mapped to proteins 26 in other organisms. For example, 6 intralinks derived from phosphoglycerate kinase 27 (Cre11.g467770.t1.1) were mapped onto phosphoglycerate kinase from Thermotoga maritima 28 (PDB ID: 1VPE), a thermophilic bacterium, and the predicted Euclidean distances were compared 29 with the maximum distance enabled by DSSO. All of the crosslinks on T. maritima 30 phosphoglycerate kinase contained residues < 30 Å apart, thus indicating that the DSSO 31 crosslinked peptides from C. reinhardtii identified in this study conform to the structural 32 predictions of the known protein structure from *T. maritima* (Figure 3B).

33 The lack of structural data for C. reinhardtii proteins creates challenges when using 34 crosslinking to confirm tertiary structure. For example, eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha (Cre12.g498600.t1.2) had the second highest abundance of intralinks with 18 total, 14 of 35 which were mapped within the distance restraints for DSSO (Figure 4). However, there are no 36 37 structures from C. reinhardtii in PDB and the crosslinks from C. reinhardtii could not be mapped 38 to a singular homologous protein; rather, the *C. reinhardtii* sequence was mapped to proteins with 39 high sequence similarity in Homo sapiens, Oryctolagus cuniculus, Pyrococcus horikoshii, and 40 Aeropyrum pernix (Figure 4A). Additionally, three intralinks (K358-K293, K387-K413, K293-

#### **Molecular Omics**

1 K230) were not able to be mapped to proteins with known structures, likely due to differences in

2 the primary sequences in the protein models when compared to that of *C. reinhardtii* (Figure 4B).

3 Therefore, while the average predicted crosslink distance for eukaryotic translation elongation

4 factor 1 alpha was 17 Å (Figure 4C), well within the distance restraint of DSSO, complementary

experiments would need to be conducted to fully understand how unique the protein structure is in
 *C. reinhardtii.*

7 Intralinks that include residues spaced above 30 Å could indicate differences from the 8 homologous structure and/or false positive identifications. The high percentage of intralinks that 9 are within the 30 Å distance limit provides high confidence in the dataset, therefore suggesting 10 that those outside the limit are potential distinctions from the homologous protein structures. One notable example is Ribosomal protein S5/Elongation Factor G/III/V family protein 11 12 (Cre12.g516200.t1.2), in which 10 intralinks were observed. Of those intralinks, seven had 13 predicted distances less than 30 Å. However, one intralink was not mapped to a known structure 14 and two were outside the DSSO distance restraints; K498-K429 had a predicted distance of 40 Å 15 while K498-K484 had a predicted distance of 36 Å, thus suggesting deviations from the mapped protein structures. Like eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha, this protein required 16 17 several organisms' known protein structures to map the crosslinks, with both intralinks that 18 surpassed the DSSO restraint being mapped to proteins from *H. sapiens*. However, further work 19 will need to be conducted to determine the extent to which the structure in C. reinhardtii differs 20 from that of other known models.

21 Experimentally determined intralinks can be used as constraints to guide computational 22 modeling for novel protein structures. This approach was implemented to predict the structure of 23 Elongation Factor 3 and ABC transporter (Cre04.g222700.t1.2) by incorporating 11 identified intralinks (5 were not mapped onto a known structure or homology model) to computational model 24 25 its structure using the iterative threading assembly refinement (I-TASSER) server.(37) From this, 26 the top five structure models were output from I-TASSER (Figure S2). The top template for these 27 models derived from Elongation Factor 3A in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (PDB: 2IWH), 28 suggesting that Cre04.g222700.t1.2 folds similarly to this protein. To test the agreement between 29 these structures and the crosslink data, the detected intralinks were mapped onto the models and 30 the distances between the crosslinked residues were calculated. In each case, all crosslinks had 31 measured distances within the maximum restraint for DSSO, providing confidence in the 32 modeling. These data demonstrate the value of these identified intralinks in generating novel 33 protein structures.

- 34
- 35 <u>Interlinks</u>
- 36

Overall, 76 cytosolic interlinked protein pairs were identified, including 83 unique proteins (Figure 2B, Table S1). Of these interlinked protein pairs, 63 included at least one protein from the cytosolic ribosome, reflecting the known high abundance of this large protein complex.

<sup>37</sup> Cytosolic interlinks

1 KeggMapper revealed that 42% of the small ribosomal subunit and 38% of the large ribosomal 2 subunit were identified in this study (Figure 5).(30) This includes 34 proteins with at least one 3 detected interlink and 29 proteins with at least one detected intralink, thus showing remarkable 4 coverage of the ribosome from both a protein-protein relationship perspective as well as a 5 structural perspective, without any attempt at ribosomal enrichment. There were 84 intralinks 6 identified on cytosolic ribosomal subunits, of which 67 were successfully mapped to a homology 7 model and analyzed for Euclidian distance. Of these measurements, only three intralinks were 8 determined to be greater than the maximum distance allowed by DSSO. Crosslinks between K498-9 K429 and K498-K484 from Ribosomal protein S5 (Cre12.g516200.t1.2) had distances of 40 and 10 36 Å, respectively, and the crosslink between K209-K183 from Cytosolic 80S ribosomal protein L8 (Cre12.g535851.t1.1) had a distance of 38 Å. This likely represents small changes in the 11 12 structure of the subunits compared to the homology models, though further analysis is needed to 13 fully characterize any deviations.

14 The extensive coverage of the ribosome indicates that crosslinking could be used to profile 15 ribosomal changes in C. reinhardtii, which would be advantageous when combined with quantitative analysis for mapping changes to post-translational modifications and/or determining 16 17 structural dynamics under stress, particularly as these dynamics are known to regulate substrate 18 interaction and biological activity of protein translation.(54-57) Previous work leveraged MS to 19 identify the order of subunit assembly along the ribosomal stalk proteins in *E. coli*,(58) but cannot 20 reveal structural changes occurring of well documented large-scale movements following substrate 21 binding.(59, 60) In contrast, solution-state NMR has been leveraged to understand the structure 22 and motion of the ribosome in E. coli, but lacks the ability to identify the subunits responsible for 23 this flexibility.(61) Recent work paired heat treatment with MS and bioinformatic analysis to identify 17 intrinsically disordered proteins within the cytosolic ribosome structure in C. 24 25 reinhardtii; however, their contribution(s) to the dynamic ribosome structure and overall flexibility is currently unknown.(62) XL-MS enables simultaneous analysis of both the identification of 26 27 subunits as well as their structural proximity, which could prove critical in understanding 28 ribosomal regulation in C. reinhardtii. Further enrichment of ribosomes paired with quantitative 29 XL-MS may unveil how these proteins' flexibility contribute to ribosomal regulation.

30 Although the majority of detected interlinks related to the ribosome, several other identified 31 interactions point to the existence of novel regulatory points in essential metabolic processes. 32 Additionally, their interlink partners can help confirm or dismantle previous localization 33 predictions. For example, glutaredoxin 6 (Cre01.g047800.t1.1) (Table S1, row 705), which enzymatically deglutathionylates cysteine residues, has been predicted to be localized to the 34 chloroplast despite being encoded in the nuclear genome.(63-65) However, here it was observed 35 in an interlink with a cytosolic nucleotide-binding protein (Cre16.g685200.t1.1), thus 36 37 contradicting the prediction that glutaredoxin 6 is localized to the chloroplast. Although validation is required, localization of glutatredoxin 6 in the cytosol could indicate a more substantial substrate 38 list than previously predicted. Understanding the spectrum of glutaredoxin substrates is essential 39

to understanding the impact of intracellular oxidative signaling, and how this can be used as a
 regulatory mechanism in diverse cell processes.

3 Another intriguing result is the crosslink identified between starch phosphorylase 4 (Cre07.g336950.t1.1) and a previously unannotated protein (Cre02.g142206.t1.1) (Table S1, row 5 742) unique to C. reinhardtii. Conducting a protein BLAST search of this unidentified protein 6 against the proteome of Arabidopsis thaliana did not reveal any sequence similarity, but did result 7 in sequence similarities of >50% to uncharacterized proteins in five green algae: Volvox carteri f. 8 nagariensis, Gonium pectoral, Haematococcus lacustris, Scenedesmus sp. NREL 46B-D3, and 9 Polytomella parva (Figure 6). Additionally, searching the primary sequence against the NCBI 10 conserved domain database (CDD) distinguished amino acids 66 - 173 as an oxidoreductase containing a GGXGXXG cofactor binding motif; this protein domain is present in many proteins 11 12 related to the metabolism of steroids, cofactors, carbohydrates, lipids, aromatic compounds, and 13 amino acids, as well as function in redox sensing, though it is not present in the five aligned, 14 uncharacterized proteins with >50% sequence similarity.(66) Together, this data suggests this 15 unannotated protein may be a unique regulatory protein along the starch biosynthesis pathway in 16 *C. reinhardtii*, though its function needs to be further analyzed.

17 Similarly, we identified a novel connection between inositol hexakisphosphate kinase 18 (Cre01.g052650.t1.1) and sulfatase-domain containing protein (Cre01.g012126.t1.2) (Table S1, 19 row 768). Hexakisphosphate kinase catalyzes the conversion of hexakisphosphate ( $InsP_6$ ) to 20 disphosphoinositol pentakisphosphate (InsP<sub>7</sub>). It is also an essential regulatory component of the 21 target of rapamycin pathway in C. reinhardtii, through which it engages in crosstalk with the 22 phosphorylation network to modulate carbon metabolism and photosynthesis.(67) In C. 23 reinhardtii, hexakisphosphate kinase is impacted by phosphorous deprivation, thus establishing the enzyme as a regulatory point in intracellular nutritional sensing. However, its interaction with 24 a sulfatase-domain containing protein could suggest that it is also involved in sulfur recycling 25 and/or sensing- not surprising due to TOR's longstanding association with many forms of 26 27 nutritional deprivation.(22, 24, 68, 69) Further experiments should explore the role of InsPs during 28 sulfur deficiency to better understand this regulatory mechanism.

29

## 30 *Chloroplast interlinks*

31 As the chloroplast typically comprises >40% of the cell's volume, an abundance of 32 crosslinked peptides were identified from chloroplast proteins.(18) In total, we identified 43 33 unique proteins involved in 35 interlinked peptide pairs, which were analyzed for known 34 relationships using the STRING database (Figure 7).(29) Several interlinks aligned with wellestablished protein relationships, such as between glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 35 36 (GAPDH, Cre01.g010900.t1.2) and phosphoribulokinase (PRK, Cre12.g554800.t1.2) (Table S1, 37 row 738), which together form a complex that controls substrate availability for RuBisCO.(70) Previous work has used fluorescence correlation spectroscopy to distinguish the existence of the 38 PRK-GAPDH complex, here confirmed via XL-MS.(71) GAPDH has also been shown to complex 39 40 with CP12, an intrinsically disordered protein, to successfully recruit and complex with PRK, an

essential regulatory step in the operation of the Calvin-Benson cycle.(72, 73) However, CP12 was not identified among crosslinked peptides in this study. This could indicate that CP12's lysines are solvent inaccessible, or more likely that the protein was too low in abundance to identify in this dataset. The latter is suggested by recent X-ray diffraction work in *Arabidopsis thaliana* that analyzed the GAPDH-CP12-PRK complex where the observed lysines were solvent accessible therein. This underscores the need for further targeted analysis via XL-MS and/or high resolution microscopy to delineate the complex structure in *C. reinhardtii*.(74)

8 XL-MS also revealed a known relationship between photosystem II repair protein 9 (Cre10.g430150.t1.2, REP27) and Chloroplast ribosomal protein L11 (Cre10.g423650.t1.2, 10 RPL11), detecting multiple crosslinks between REP27 at position K351 and RPL11 at positions K126, K129, and K146 (Table S1, rows 473, 474, and 598). REP27 is a tetratricopeptide repeat 11 12 protein that is encoded by the nuclear genome but localized to the chloroplast and is essential for the selective removal and replacement of photodamaged D1 proteins of photosystem II.(75) 13 14 Although the structure of REP27 has not been elucidated via biochemical techniques, identification 15 of two transmembrane domains facilitated the generation of a folding model of REP27, which 16 predicts a single loop localized to the lumen due to transmembrane helices while both the Nterminus and the C-terminus are localized to the chloroplast stroma.(76) The highly charged C-17 18 terminus is essential for mRNA translation initiation and assembly of D1, with rep27 knockouts 19 possessing few intact D1 proteins when analyzed using western blotting. The data herein adds 20 additional evidence to this mechanism, with the first empirical evidence of a direct linkage between 21 REP27 and RPL11 that likely represents a docking point for the ribosome to the photosystem II 22 repair complex during D1 repair and insertion.(77)

23 While known protein interactions lend confidence to the acquired dataset, unique 24 crosslinks presenting previously undescribed interactions are of particular interest for further 25 examination. For example, a novel interaction was observed between GAPDH and an FKBP-type 26 cis-trans isomerase (Cre10.g466850.t1.1) that facilitates protein disulfide bonds and is essential 27 for the regulation/proliferation of oxidative signaling (Table S1, row 613).(78, 79) GAPDH is 28 highly redox regulated and we have previously observed reversible oxidation on C190 that remains 29 unchanged following nitrogen deprivation.(24) While GAPDH has several documented regulatory 30 redox partners, including thioredoxins,(80-82) it has not previously been connected to FKBP-type 31 cis-trans isomerase. This interaction could therefore facilitate the formation of regulatory disulfide 32 bonds on GAPDH.

33 XL-MS also revealed Mg-chelatase subunit 1 (Cre06.g306300.t1.2), the first-committed enzyme of chlorophyll biosynthesis, to be a hub for protein interactions within the chloroplast. 34 35 Mg-chelatase was shown to interact with flagellar associated protein 165 (Cre03.g211521.t1.1), a 36 transcription initiation factor component of the TAF4 family (Cre02.g095800.t1.2), DNA 37 polymerase (Cre08.g384390.t1.1), theta an IgA-specific serine endopeptidase 38 (Cre09.g408350.t1.2), and two previously unannotated (predicted) C. reinhardtii proteins 39 (Cre11.g477733.t1.2, Cre06.g306300.t1.2). Multiple interlinks were identified between one of the 40 predicted proteins (Cre11.g477733.t1.2) at position K19 and Mg-chelatase at positions K70, K116, K157, K167, K198, K207, and K308 (Figure 8A, 8B). This small 14 kDa protein, referred herein 41

Page 13 of 29

#### **Molecular Omics**

1 as Mg-chelatase associated protein (MCAP), does not share sequence identity with any proteins in 2 *Arabidopsis thaliana*, suggesting that it may be a novel protein for the regulation of chlorophyll 3 biosynthesis in green algae. While it shares 62.7% sequence overlap with a protein from the green 4 alga *Gonium pectoral* and 59% overlap with a protein from the green alga *Chlamydomonas* 5 *incerta*, these proteins also lack annotations.

6 To generate a protein-protein interaction model for Mg-chelatase and MCAP, an 7 established protocol was applied, which employs I-TASSER for protein structure modeling and 8 High Ambiguity Driven protein-protein Docking (HADDOCK) for protein complex docking.(36-9 38) The 9 detected intralinks for Mg-chelatase were incorporated in its I-TASSER structure 10 modelling (Figure S3) and the top structures for Mg-chelatase and MCAP were exported for 11 interaction docking. All detected intralinks from Mg-chelatase were mapped onto its top structure 12 and had measured distances within the maximum restraint for DSSO, thereby indicating that model 13 refinement was unnecessary. In contrast, modeling MCAP was more complicated; all the modeled 14 structures had low C-scores (-5 to -4, scored on a range of -5-2, where 2 is best), where the top 15 templates included a putative uncharacterized metacyclic invariant surface protein from 16 *Trypanosoma brucei* (PDB: 5VTL) and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* quinolinate phosphoribosyl 17 transferase (PDB: 1QPN). This is likely the result of low sequence similarity between MCAP and proteins with known structures. The identified interlinks between Mg-chelatase and MCAP were 18 19 incorporated into the preliminary complex modeling, top structures for each cluster were exported, 20 and detected interlinks were mapped onto these structures to determine the alignment between the 21 models and the experimental crosslink data (Figure S4). The best overlap between one of the 22 models and the identified interlinks resulted in 3 of 7 crosslinks (K167-K19, K207-K19, K308-23 K19) having measured distances within the maximum restraint for DSSO. This poor overlap 24 between the detected interlinks and the protein complex docking could be attributed to the poor protein structure modeling obtained for MCAP. A refined complex model was created by repeating 25 the protein complex docking and only incorporating the compatible interlinks (Figure 8C, S5), and 26 27 the same 3 of 7 crosslinks had measured distances within the maximum restraint for DSSO.

MCAP is likely low in abundance as it has not been detected in our previous global proteomics work in *C. reinhardtii*, whereas Mg-chelatase was detected in all studies.(24, 83, 84) This suggests that MCAP and Mg-chelatase may be present in different stoichiometric ratios. Chlorophyll synthesis is essential for ensuring efficient light capture and energy transfer, and investigating the function of MCAP should be a priority in order to validate the reported interaction results, and, if this interaction is confirmed, understand how this biosynthetic pathway differs in

34 comparison to other phototrophs.

#### 1 **Conflict of interest**

- 2 The authors have no conflict of interest related to the work described in this manuscript.
- 3

#### 4 **Author Contributions**

- 5 Investigation, A.L.S.; bioinformatics, A.A.I.; formal analysis, A.L.S. and A.A.I.; writing, A.L.S.,
- 6 A.A.I., and L.M.H.; funding acquisition, L.M.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published
- 7 version of the manuscript.
- 8

## 9 Acknowledgements

10 This research was supported by a National Science Foundation CAREER award (MCB-1552522)

awarded to L.M.H. and an NSF Major Research Instrumentation award (CHE-1726291) for the

12 HFX-MS.

13

## 14 Figure captions

Figure 1. General workflow for *C. reinhardtii* interactomics. (A) The MS-cleavable crosslinker DSSO was used in this study. (B) DSSO generates reporter ions that enable delineation between

17 crosslinks. These reporter ions are essential for minimizing false discovery rate in identifications.

18 (C) Native protein structures and interactions were preserved and extracted using a gentle lysis via

19 freeze-thaw. Proteins were crosslinked with DSSO and proteolyzed using trypsin. Crosslinked

20 peptides were enriched and fractionated to increase depth of coverage for crosslink identifications.

21 After LC-MS/MS analysis, the XLinkX nodes in Proteome Discoverer were used to recognize

22 crosslinks by characteristic crosslink reporter ions ( $\alpha_S$ ,  $\alpha_L$ ,  $\beta_S$ , and  $\beta_L$ ) in the MS2 spectra and

23 identify crosslink-spectrum matches with database searching using the fragment ions from the

24 MS2 spectra.

Figure 2. Description of identified *C. reinhardtii* crosslinked peptides following DSSO crosslinking of protein extracts. (A) Circos plot of all identified crosslinks. Inner, green curves

27 represent interlinks between two different proteins, outer, orange curves represent interlinks within

a homoligomer, and outer, purple curves represent intralinks within the same protein. (B) Protein

29 interactome map featuring identified interlinks. Proteins are grouped by subcellular localization.

30 (C) Histogram of the number of identified CSMs across each SCX fraction. (D) Types of detected

31 crosslinks. (E) Distribution of identified unique proteins by number of detected crosslinks.

Figure 3. Intralinks were mapped onto known protein structures or homology models using the Integrative Modeling Platform in XLinkDB 3.0. (A) Histogram showing Euclidean distances between residues of detected intralinks. The red, dashed line represents the DSSO maximum crosslinking distance of 30 Å. Of intralinks that were able to be mapped, 96% featured residues within the theoretical distance of DSSO. (B) The 6 intralinks (in green) identified from *C*. *reinhardtii* phosphoglycerate kinase that mapped onto the structure of phosphoglycerate kinase from *T. maritima* (PDB ID: 1VPE). The Euclidean distances between the residues in each intraliable (in red) are less than 30 Å, the maximum crosslinking distance for DSSO.

39 intralinks (in red) are less than 30 Å, the maximum crosslinking distance for DSSO.

40

1 Figure 4. Intralinks of eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha (Cre12.g498600.t1.2) were 2 mapped onto homology models using the Integrative Modeling Platform in XLinkDB 3.0 to 3 measure theoretical distances between identified intralinks. (A) Visualization of structure maps 4 used for distance determination, conducted via SWISS-MODEL. Lysine residues are shown in 5 pink and crosslinks are shown via green lines. (B) Sequence alignment (conducted via Clustal 6 Omega) of the five proteins used to determine the distances between crosslinked residues in 7 eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha. Green highlight indicates residues that were 8 successfully mapped to homology models, while pink, blue, and purple highlights indicate 9 crosslinks (shown in C) that were not mapped to homology models. (C) Table of the intralinks 10 observed on eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha, the species and protein accession the 11 primary sequence was mapped to, and the distance between bound lysines according to each

12 model.

Figure 5. Visualization of all crosslinked subunits of the cytosolic ribosome. Intralinks are visualized in pink while interlinks within the large or small ribosomal subunits are visualized in blue. The orange interlinks represent interactions between proteins found in opposite subunits.

Figure 6. Sequence alignment between Cre02.g142206.t1.1, an uncharacterized protein observed to be crosslinked to starch phosphorylase (Cre07.g336950.t1.1), and the five proteins with sequence similarity >50%. Organisms include *Volvox carteri f. nagariensis, Gonium pectoral, Haematococcus lacustris, Scenedesmus sp. NREL 46B-D3,* and *Polytomella parva.* The oxidoreductase domain is highlighted in pink.

Figure 7. Protein interactome map featuring identified interlinks localized to the chloroplast. Proteins are color coded by molecular function and clustered biological processes are shaded and labeled. Purple lines denote observed interlinks in this study while grey lines indicate empirical evidence of interactions as compiled by the STRING database, where increasing line thickness indicates increased confidence in interaction.

26 Figure 8. Crosslinking unveiled а novel complex between Mg-27 chelatase (Cre06.g306300.t1.2) and uncharacterized protein Cre11.g477733.t1.2, herein referred 28 to as Mg-chelatase associated protein, or MCAP. (A) Sequence of MCAP, which is 29 uncharacterized on UniProt. The crosslinked residue is shown in pink. (B) Table of observed 30 crosslinks between Mg-chelatase and MCAP. Crosslinked resides are shown in brackets. 31 Euclidean distance refers to that interlink mapped onto the refined protein complex shown in 32 Figure 8C. (C) I-TASSER and HADDOCK were used to generate a protein-protein interaction model between Mg-chelatase (teal) and MCAP (orange). The structure with the most mapped 33 intralinks within the maximum restraint for DSSO (in green) from the refined complex modeling 34 35 is shown. The boxes labeled with roman numerals show the crosslinked lysine residues from the perspective of the arrows on the model complex structure. 36

37

## 38 **References**

39 1. Leitner A, Faini M, Stengel F, Aebersold R. Crosslinking and mass spectrometry: an

40 integrated technology to understand the structure and function of molecular machines. Trends in 41 biochamical asigness 201(.41(1))20.22

41 biochemical sciences. 2016;41(1):20-32.

**Molecular Omics** 

1 2. Leitner A, Walzthoeni T, Kahraman A, Herzog F, Rinner O, Beck M, et al. Probing 2 native protein structures by chemical cross-linking, mass spectrometry, and bioinformatics. 3 Molecular & Cellular Proteomics. 2010;9(8):1634-49. 4 3. Sinz A. The advancement of chemical cross-linking and mass spectrometry for structural 5 proteomics: from single proteins to protein interaction networks. Expert review of proteomics. 6 2014;11(6):733-43. 7 Iacobucci C, Götze M, Sinz A. Cross-linking/mass spectrometry to get a closer view on 4. 8 protein interaction networks. Current opinion in biotechnology. 2020;63:48-53. 9 Liu F, Heck AJ. Interrogating the architecture of protein assemblies and protein 5. 10 interaction networks by cross-linking mass spectrometry. Current opinion in structural biology. 11 2015;35:100-8. 12 Smythers AL, Hicks LM. Mapping the plant proteome: tools for surveying coordinating 6. 13 pathways. Emerging Topics in Life Sciences. 2021. 14 Kaur U, Meng H, Lui F, Ma R, Ogburn RN, Johnson JHR, et al. Proteome-Wide 7. 15 Structural Biology: An Emerging Field for the Structural Analysis of Proteins on the Proteomic 16 Scale. J Proteome Res. 2018;17(11):3614-27. 17 Paiano A, Margiotta A, De Luca M, Bucci C. Yeast Two - Hybrid Assay to Identify 8. 18 Interacting Proteins. Curr Protoc Protein Sci. 2019;95(1):e70. 19 Peden EA, Boehm M, Mulder DW, Davis R, Old WM, King PW, et al. Identification of 9. 20 Global Ferredoxin Interaction Networks in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Journal of Biological 21 Chemistry. 2013;288(49):35192-209. 22 10. Mackinder LC. The Chlamydomonas CO2 - concentrating mechanism and its potential 23 for engineering photosynthesis in plants. New Phytologist. 2018;217(1):54-61. 24 Schneider M, Belsom A, Rappsilber J. Protein tertiary structure by crosslinking/mass 11. 25 spectrometry. Trends in biochemical sciences. 2018;43(3):157-69. 26 12. O'Reilly FJ, Rappsilber J. Cross-linking mass spectrometry: methods and applications in 27 structural, molecular and systems biology. Nature structural & molecular biology. 28 2018;25(11):1000-8. 29 13. Merkley ED, Rysavy S, Kahraman A, Hafen RP, Daggett V, Adkins JN. Distance 30 restraints from crosslinking mass spectrometry: Mining a molecular dynamics simulation 31 database to evaluate lysine-lysine distances. Protein Science. 2014;23(6):747-59. 32 14. Matzinger M, Mechtler K. Cleavable Cross-Linkers and Mass Spectrometry for the 33 Ultimate Task of Profiling Protein–Protein Interaction Networks in Vivo. Journal of Proteome 34 Research. 2021;20(1):78-93. 35 Mendes ML, Fischer L, Chen ZA, Barbon M, O'reilly FJ, Giese SH, et al. An integrated 15. 36 workflow for crosslinking mass spectrometry. Molecular systems biology. 2019;15(9):e8994. 37 Götze M, Iacobucci C, Ihling CH, Sinz A. A simple cross-linking/mass spectrometry 16. 38 workflow for studying system-wide protein interactions. Analytical chemistry. 39 2019;91(15):10236-44. 40 17. Sinz A. Divide and conquer: cleavable cross-linkers to study protein conformation and 41 protein-protein interactions. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2017;409(1):33-44. 42 18. Harris EH. The Chlamydomonas Sourcebook: Introduction to Chlamydomonas and Its Laboratory Use: Volume 1: Academic press; 2009. 43 44 Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, Fazo J, et al. Phytozome: a 19. 45 comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic acids research. 2012;40(D1):D1178-46 D86.

1 20. Pérez-Pérez ME, Couso I, Crespo JL. The TOR Signaling Network in the Model 2 Unicellular Green Alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Biomolecules. 2017;7(3):54. 3 Pérez-Pérez ME, Couso I, Heredia-Martínez LG, Crespo JL. Monitoring autophagy in the 21. 4 model green microalga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Cells. 2017;6(4):36. 5 Park JJ, Wang H, Gargouri M, Deshpande RR, Skepper JN, Holguin FO, et al. The 22. 6 response of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii to nitrogen deprivation: a systems biology analysis. The 7 Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology. 2015;81(4):611-24. 8 23. Juergens MT, Deshpande RR, Lucker BF, Park J-J, Wang H, Gargouri M, et al. The 9 regulation of photosynthetic structure and function during nitrogen deprivation in 10 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Plant physiology. 2015;167(2):558-73. Smythers AL, McConnell EW, Lewis HC, Mubarek SN, Hicks LM. Photosynthetic 11 24. Metabolism and Nitrogen Reshuffling Are Regulated by Reversible Cysteine Thiol Oxidation 12 13 Following Nitrogen Deprivation in Chlamydomonas. Plants (Basel, Switzerland). 2020;9(6). 14 25. Takeuchi T, Benning C. Nitrogen-dependent coordination of cell cycle, quiescence and 15 TAG accumulation in Chlamydomonas. Biotechnology for Biofuels. 2019;12(1):292. 16 Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Nastou KC, Lyon D, Kirsch R, Pyysalo S, et al. The STRING 26. 17 database in 2021: customizable protein-protein networks, and functional characterization of 18 user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. Nucleic acids research. 2021;49(D1):D605-D12. 19 Ramanan R, Kim B-H, Cho D-H, Ko S-R, Oh H-M, Kim H-S. Lipid droplet synthesis is 27. 20 limited by acetate availability in starchless mutant of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. FEBS letters. 21 2013;587(4):370-7. 22 Makepeace KAT, Mohammed Y, Rudashevskava EL, Petrotchenko EV, Vögtle FN, 28. 23 Meisinger C, et al. Improving Identification of In-organello Protein-Protein Interactions Using an 24 Affinity-enrichable, Isotopically Coded, and Mass Spectrometry-cleavable Chemical 25 Crosslinker. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2020;19(4):624-39. 26 29. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING 27 v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional 28 discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic acids research. 2019;47(D1):D607-29 d13. 30 30. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, KEGG Mapper for inferring cellular functions from protein sequences. Protein Sci. 2020;29(1):28-35. 31 32 Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. KEGG for integration and 31. 33 interpretation of large-scale molecular data sets. Nucleic acids research. 2012;40(D1):D109-D14. 34 Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic acids 32. 35 research. 2000;28(1):27-30. 36 Consortium TU. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic acids research. 33. 37 2018;47(D1):D506-D15. 38 Mi H, Muruganujan A, Huang X, Ebert D, Mills C, Guo X, et al. Protocol Update for 34. 39 large-scale genome and gene function analysis with the PANTHER classification system 40 (v.14.0). Nature protocols. 2019;14(3):703-21. 41 Yang X, Yang S, Qi H, Wang T, Li H, Zhang Z. PlaPPISite: a comprehensive resource 35. 42 for plant protein-protein interaction sites. BMC Plant Biology. 2020;20(1):61. 43 Orbán-Németh Z, Beveridge R, Hollenstein DM, Rampler E, Stranzl T, Hudecz O, et al. 36. 44 Structural prediction of protein models using distance restraints derived from cross-linking mass

45 spectrometry data. Nature protocols. 2018;13(3):478-94.

1 37. Yang J, Zhang Y. I-TASSER server: new development for protein structure and function 2 predictions. Nucleic acids research. 2015;43(W1):W174-W81. 3 van Zundert GCP, Rodrigues JPGLM, Trellet M, Schmitz C, Kastritis PL, Karaca E, et 38. 4 al. The HADDOCK2.2 Web Server: User-Friendly Integrative Modeling of Biomolecular 5 Complexes. Journal of molecular biology. 2016;428(4):720-5. 6 Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, et al. UCSF 39. 7 ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Science. 8 2021;30(1):70-82. 9 Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Morris JH, et al. UCSF 40. 10 ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. Protein Science. 11 2018;27(1):14-25. 12 Schneidman-Duhovny D, Inbar Y, Nussinov R, Wolfson HJ. PatchDock and SymmDock: 41. 13 servers for rigid and symmetric docking. Nucleic acids research. 2005;33(suppl 2):W363-W7. 14 Wang J, Youkharibache P, Zhang D, Lanczycki CJ, Geer RC, Madej T, et al. iCn3D, a 42. 15 web-based 3D viewer for sharing 1D/2D/3D representations of biomolecular structures. 16 Bioinformatics. 2019;36(1):131-5. 17 43. Combe CW, Fischer L, Rappsilber J. xiNET: Cross-link Network Maps With Residue 18 Resolution \*. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics. 2015;14(4):1137-47. 19 Schweppe DK, Zheng C, Chavez JD, Navare AT, Wu X, Eng JK, et al. XLinkDB 2.0: 44. 20 integrated, large-scale structural analysis of protein crosslinking data. Bioinformatics. 21 2016;32(17):2716-8. 22 Zheng C, Weisbrod CR, Chavez JD, Eng JK, Sharma V, Wu X, et al. XLink-DB: 45. 23 Database and Software Tools for Storing and Visualizing Protein Interaction Topology Data. 24 Journal of Proteome Research. 2013;12(4):1989-95. 25 Russel D, Lasker K, Webb B, Velázquez-Muriel J, Tjioe E, Schneidman-Duhovny D, et 46. 26 al. Putting the Pieces Together: Integrative Modeling Platform Software for Structure 27 Determination of Macromolecular Assemblies. PLoS biology. 2012;10(1):e1001244. 28 Rose AS, Hildebrand PW. NGL Viewer: a web application for molecular visualization. 47. 29 Nucleic acids research. 2015;43(W1):W576-W9. 30 Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, et al. SWISS-48. 31 MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic acids research. 32 2018;46(W1):W296-W303. 33 Perez-Riverol Y, Csordas A, Bai J, Bernal-Llinares M, Hewapathirana S, Kundu DJ, et 49. 34 al. The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for 35 quantification data. Nucleic acids research. 2019;47(D1):D442-D50. 36 Banks CAS, Zhang Y, Miah S, Hao Y, Adams MK, Wen Z, et al. Integrative Modeling of 50. 37 a Sin3/HDAC Complex Sub-structure. Cell Reports. 2020;31(2). 38 Bartolec TK, Smith D-L, Pang CNI, Xu YD, Hamey JJ, Wilkins MR. Cross-linking Mass 51. 39 Spectrometry Analysis of the Yeast Nucleus Reveals Extensive Protein-Protein Interactions Not 40 Detected by Systematic Two-Hybrid or Affinity Purification-Mass Spectrometry. Analytical 41 Chemistry. 2020;92(2):1874-82. 42 52. Klykov O, Steigenberger B, Pektaş S, Fasci D, Heck AJR, Scheltema RA. Efficient and 43 robust proteome-wide approaches for cross-linking mass spectrometry. Nature protocols. 44 2018;13(12):2964-90. 45 53. Sheng X, Watanabe A, Li A, Kim E, Song C, Murata K, et al. Structural insight into light 46 harvesting for photosystem II in green algae. Nature Plants. 2019;5(12):1320-30.

1 54. Caldwell P, Luk DC, Weissbach H, Brot N. Oxidation of the methionine residues of

- Escherichia coli ribosomal protein L12 decreases the protein's biological activity. Proceedings of
   the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1978;75(11):5349-52.
- 4 55. Shcherbik N, Pestov DG. The Impact of Oxidative Stress on Ribosomes: From Injury to 5 Regulation. Cells. 2019;8(11).
- 6 56. Simsek D, Barna M. An emerging role for the ribosome as a nexus for post-translational
  7 modifications. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2017;45:92-101.
- 8 57. Dalla Venezia N, Vincent A, Marcel V, Catez F, Diaz JJ. Emerging Role of Eukaryote
  9 Ribosomes in Translational Control. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(5).
- 10 58. Deroo S, Hyung S-J, Marcoux J, Gordiyenko Y, Koripella RK, Sanyal S, et al.
- Mechanism and rates of exchange of L7/L12 between ribosomes and the effects of binding EF G. ACS chemical biology. 2012;7(6):1120-7.
- 13 59. Gao YG, Selmer M, Dunham CM, Weixlbaumer A, Kelley AC, Ramakrishnan V. The
- structure of the ribosome with elongation factor G trapped in the posttranslocational state. Science  $2009:326(5953):694_{2}9$
- 15 Science. 2009;326(5953):694-9.
- 16 60. Datta PP, Sharma MR, Qi L, Frank J, Agrawal RK. Interaction of the G' domain of
- 17 elongation factor G and the C-terminal domain of ribosomal protein L7/L12 during translocation
- 18 as revealed by cryo-EM. Molecular cell. 2005;20(5):723-31.
- 19 61. Wang X, Kirkpatrick JP, Launay HMM, de Simone A, Häussinger D, Dobson CM, et al.
- Probing the dynamic stalk region of the ribosome using solution NMR. Scientific reports.
  2019;9(1):13528-.
- 22 62. Zhang Y, Launay H, Schramm A, Lebrun R, Gontero B. Exploring intrinsically
- disordered proteins in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Scientific Reports. 2018;8(1):6805.
- 63. Merchant SS, Prochnik SE, Vallon O, Harris EH, Karpowicz SJ, Witman GB, et al. The
  Chlamydomonas genome reveals the evolution of key animal and plant functions. Science.
  2007;318(5848):245-50.
- Zori, Siguration, 2007, Siguration, 2007,
- chloroplastic CGFS-type glutaredoxin. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2008;283(14):8868 76
- 30 76.
- 31 65. Gao X-H, Zaffagnini M, Bedhomme M, Michelet L, Cassier-Chauvat C, Decottignies P,
- et al. Biochemical characterization of glutaredoxins from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: Kinetics
   and specificity in deglutathionylation reactions. FEBS Letters. 2010;584(11):2242-8.
- 34 66. Marchler-Bauer A, Zheng C, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Geer LY, Geer RC, et al. CDD:
- 35 conserved domains and protein three-dimensional structure. Nucleic acids research.
- 36 2013;41(Database issue):D348-52.
- 37 67. Couso I, Evans BS, Li J, Liu Y, Ma F, Diamond S, et al. Synergism between Inositol
- Polyphosphates and TOR Kinase Signaling in Nutrient Sensing, Growth Control, and Lipid
   Metabolism in Chlamydomonas, Plant Cell, 2016;28(9):2026.42
- 39 Metabolism in Chlamydomonas. Plant Cell. 2016;28(9):2026-42.
- 40 68. Couso I, Pérez-Pérez ME, Ford MM, Martínez-Force E, Hicks LM, Umen JG, et al.
- Phosphorus Availability Regulates TORC1 Signaling via LST8 in Chlamydomonas. Plant Cell.
  2020;32(1):69-80.
- 43 69. Ingargiola C, Turqueto Duarte G, Robaglia C, Leprince A-S, Meyer C. The Plant Target
- 44 of Rapamycin: A Conduc TOR of Nutrition and Metabolism in Photosynthetic Organisms.
- 45 Genes. 2020;11(11):1285.

**Molecular Omics** 

1 70. Graciet E, Lebreton S, Gontero B. Emergence of new regulatory mechanisms in the 2 Benson-Calvin pathway via protein-protein interactions: a glyceraldehyde - 3 - phosphate 3 dehvdrogenase/CP12/phosphoribulokinase complex. Journal of Experimental Botany. 4 2004;55(400):1245-54. 5 71. Moparthi SB, Thieulin-Pardo G, Mansuelle P, Rigneault H, Gontero B, Wenger J. 6 Conformational modulation and hydrodynamic radii of CP12 protein and its complexes probed 7 by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. The FEBS Journal. 2014;281(14):3206-17. 8 72. Matsumura H, Kai A, Maeda T, Tamoi M, Satoh A, Tamura H, et al. Structure Basis for 9 the Regulation of Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Activity via the Intrinsically 10 Disordered Protein CP12. Structure. 2011;19(12):1846-54. Mileo E, Lorenzi M, Erales J, Lignon S, Puppo C, Le Breton N, et al. Dynamics of the 11 73. 12 intrinsically disordered protein CP12 in its association with GAPDH in the green alga 13 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: a fuzzy complex. Mol Biosyst. 2013;9(11):2869-76. 14 74. Yu A, Xie Y, Pan X, Zhang H, Cao P, Su X, et al. Photosynthetic Phosphoribulokinase 15 Structures: Enzymatic Mechanisms and the Redox Regulation of the Calvin-Benson-Bassham 16 Cycle. Plant Cell. 2020;32(5):1556-73. 17 75. Park S, Khamai P, Garcia-Cerdan JG, Melis A. REP27, a tetratricopeptide repeat nuclear-18 encoded and chloroplast-localized protein, functions in D1/32-kD reaction center protein 19 turnover and photosystem II repair from photodamage. Plant Physiol. 2007;143(4):1547-60. 20 Dewez D, Park S, García-Cerdán JG, Lindberg P, Melis A. Mechanism of REP27 protein 76. 21 action in the D1 protein turnover and photosystem II repair from photodamage. Plant physiology. 22 2009;151(1):88-99. 23 77. Theis J, Schroda M. Revisiting the photosystem II repair cycle. Plant signaling & 24 behavior. 2016;11(9):e1218587. 25 Jo GA, Lee JM, No G, Kang DS, Kim SH, Ahn SH, et al. Isolation and characterization 78. 26 of a 17-kDa FKBP-type peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans isomerase from Vibrio anguillarum. Protein 27 expression and purification. 2015;110:130-7. 28 Vallon O. Chlamydomonas immunophilins and parvulins: survey and critical assessment 79. 29 of gene models. Eukaryotic cell. 2005;4(2):230. 30 Perez-Perez ME, Mauries A, Maes A, Tourasse NJ, Hamon M, Lemaire SD, et al. The 80. 31 Deep Thioredoxome in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: New Insights into Redox Regulation. Mol 32 Plant. 2017;10(8):1107-25. 33 Erales J, Lorenzi M, Lebrun R, Fournel A, Etienne E, Courcelle C, et al. A New Function 81. 34 of GAPDH from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: A Thiol- Disulfide Exchange Reaction with 35 CP12. Biochemistry. 2009;48(25):6034-40. Erales J, Mekhalfi M, Woudstra M, Gontero B. Molecular mechanism of NADPH-36 82. 37 glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase regulation through the C-terminus of CP12 in 38 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Biochemistry. 2011;50(14):2881-8. 39 Ford MM, Smythers AL, McConnell EW, Lowery SC, Kolling DRJ, Hicks LM. 83. 40 Inhibition of TOR in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Leads to Rapid Cysteine Oxidation Reflecting 41 Sustained Physiological Changes. Cells. 2019;8(10). 42 84. Werth EG, McConnell EW, Couso Lianez I, Perrine Z, Crespo JL, Umen JG, et al. 43 Investigating the effect of target of rapamycin kinase inhibition on the Chlamydomonas 44 reinhardtii phosphoproteome: from known homologs to new targets. New Phytologist. 45 2019;221(1):247-60. 46



**Figure 1.** General workflow for *C. reinhardtii* interactomics. (A) The MS-cleavable crosslinker DSSO was used in this study. (B) DSSO generates reporter ions that enable delineation between crosslinks. These reporter ions are essential for minimizing false discovery rate in identifications. (C) Native protein structures and interactions were preserved and extracted using a gentle lysis via freeze-thaw. Proteins were crosslinked with DSSO and proteolyzed using trypsin. Crosslinked peptides were enriched and fractionated to increase depth of coverage for crosslink identifications. After LC-MS/MS analysis, the XLinkX nodes in Proteome Discoverer were used to recognize crosslinks by characteristic crosslink reporter ions ( $\alpha_S$ ,  $\alpha_L$ ,  $\beta_S$ , and  $\beta_L$ ) in the MS2 spectra and identify crosslink-spectrum matches with database searching using the fragment ions from the MS2 spectra.



**Figure 2.** Description of identified *C. reinhardtii* crosslinked peptides following DSSO crosslinking of protein extracts. (A) Circos plot of all identified crosslinks. Inner, green curves represent interlinks between two different proteins, outer, orange curves represent interlinks within a homoligomer, and outer, purple curves represent intralinks within the same protein. (B) Protein interactome map featuring identified interlinks. Proteins are grouped by subcellular localization. (C) Histogram of the number of identified CSMs across each SCX fraction. (D) Types of detected crosslinks. (E) Distribution of identified unique proteins by number of detected crosslinks.



**Figure 3.** Intralinks were mapped onto known protein structures or homology models using the Integrative Modeling Platform in XLinkDB 3.0. (A) Histogram showing Euclidean distances between residues of detected intralinks. The red, dashed line represents the DSSO maximum crosslinking distance of 30 Å. Of intralinks that were able to be mapped, 96% featured residues within the theoretical distance of DSSO. (B) The 6 intralinks (in green) identified from *C. reinhardtii* phosphoglycerate kinase that mapped onto the structure of phosphoglycerate kinase from *T. maritima* (PDB ID: 1VPE). The Euclidean distances between the residues in each intralinks (in red) are less than 30 Å, the maximum crosslinking distance for DSSO.



**Figure 4.** Intralinks of eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha (Cre12.g498600.t1.2) were mapped onto homology models using the Integrative Modeling Platform in XLinkDB 3.0 to measure theoretical distances between identified intralinks. (A) Visualization of structure maps used for distance determination, conducted via SWISS-MODEL. Lysine residues are shown in pink and crosslinks are shown via green lines. (B) Sequence alignment (conducted via Clustal Omega) of the five proteins used to determine the distances between crosslinked residues in eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha. Green highlight indicates residues that were successfully mapped to homology models, while pink, blue, and purple highlights indicate crosslinks (shown in C) that were not mapped to homology models. (C) Table of the intralinks observed on eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha, the species and protein accession the primary sequence was mapped to, and the distance between bound lysines according to each model.



**Figure 5.** Visualization of all crosslinked subunits of the cytosolic ribosome. Intralinks are visualized in pink while interlinks within the large or small ribosomal subunits are visualized in blue. The orange interlinks represent interactions between proteins found in opposite subunits.

CI AOAGAOAARI AOAGAOAARI _ NAELA	
tr   A0A7J7QDM8   A0A7J7QDM8_9CHLO	
tr A0A6U0WS52 A0A6U0WS52_9CHLO	MLSSVAKLAVQPKKSQKSAIKVNKVTRPNVQAKATVTAWDSWTDYVTA
tr A0A150GF57 A0A150GF57_GONPE	MR-ASMKPAAVRSSGSQLLRRAVPVPLAAPLHAAGSPRVVAAAAATTAWDSWSSYISK
tr Cre02.g142206.t1.1 CHLRE	MLSTKPSIARTSVMARSPAPVRLVAGSRVTCS-AASTPTAWDSWSSYISK
tr D8TLD8 D8TLD8_VOLCA	MRASLMTPCVARSTGVTQPRPIQAPNVNRGAPRGV-ACSATTAWDSWTSYISK
tr A0A6A0AAR1 A0A6A0AAR1 HAELA	
tr   A0A7J70DM8   A0A7J70DM8 9CHL0	
trlA0A6U0WS52lA0A6U0WS52 9CHLO	HKSNSAGLAATAAELAMPKDLLSHIKKSKATFKPOFTVSOAGSPVSVS
tr   A0A150GF57   A0A150GF57 GONPE	HRTDSAGAPTSKAEADWGREIAKSISSTATSFKPKYVHSGSGGGSAGSSYDGGNGTGAVP
tr Cre02.g142206.t1.1  CHLRE	HRADSASAPVTKAEKDYGRDIAAAIKSTAGAFKPKYTSSSAGSGGSGHSGIA
tr D8TLD8 D8TLD8_VOLCA	HRADSASAPASKSELDYGREIAAAIRSSAGSFKSKYATGETNVPSSGGAAGIS
+ NOV CHORE 2 1 YOY CHORE 2 OCH O	
triA0A000W552 A0A000W552 9CHLO	DENT CHICK DI DEDITERI RALACI VI SALIGNI SCIDCANI STITILINAALI
tr AUAISUGES/ AUAISUGES/ GONPE	DERTSHARDER DET BET BET BET AUTON KONT (KERT DE SACT CERTINA AT C
triperiperipe voica	DEVICENT ON VNIAEL-RULIERIERIERIERIERIERIERIERIERIERIERIERIERI
CE DOILDO DOILDO_VOLCA	DEKT2UAQDINTWETEKDEUEKTEVIA AATOKEN-ADPDAV21211110ADVTC
tr A0A6A0AAR1 A0A6A0AAR1 HAELA	
tr   A0A7J7QDM8   A0A7J7QDM8 9CHLO	
tr   A0A6U0WS52   A0A6U0WS52 9CHLO	ASGVVVQQGLEKVAYVSFLASETVRQASWSHLEGLVLHWACSEAAGGSWTLPPPGWRASP
tr A0A150GF57 A0A150GF57 GONPE	VSGVTLQQGLDKTALIAFVATETERQASWSRLEGLILHWGATDAAGGAWGLPPQGWAAQP
tr Cre02.g142206.t1.1  CHLRE	VSGVTLQQGLDKTALIAFVATETERQASWSRLEGLILHWGATDSAGGAWGLPPQGWAASP
tr D8TLD8 D8TLD8_VOLCA	VSGVTLQQGLDKTALIAFVATETERQASWSRLEGLILHWGATDAAGGAWGLPPQGWAASP
+	MI CSEDI VII 17401 DI DANI VCCOVEVI VC. TA AVSDD
+* 1 0 0 7.170 DM8 1 0 0 7.170 DM8 9CHI 0	
trlaafuows52laaafuows52 gCHLO	DEVENDAGENERGENERGENERGENERGENERGENERGENERGENE
tr   A0A150GF57   A0A150GF57 GONPE	NKVVDAGGAWOCGFFKONVSGPFGNSAVVVLLOVPLRGILKAGGLVFVLKA-TAGONTR
triCre02.g142206.t1.11 CHLRE	NKVTDAGGAWOCGFEKONVSGPEGNAAVYVLLOVPLRGTLKSGUVYVLKA-TAGONTR
triDSTLDS/DSTLDS VOLCA	NKVVDAGGAWOCSFEKOOMSGPEGNSAVYVLLLOVPLRGTLKAGGLVEVLKA-TAGONTR
orthornoothorthoo_,oron	:*.::::** * ** ::***. : .:. :
tr A0A6A0AAR1 A0A6A0AAR1_HAELA	WLKDASTNKDFFLDLQRLPVTKLCPTLRSARLSLEYMPEEVNQNSSGHA
tr A0A7J7QDM8 A0A7J7QDM8_9CHLO	WLKDSATKSDFYLDLQKLKAV
tr A0A6U0WS52 A0A6U0WS52_9CHLO	WLKDEGNKKDFFIDLSRLPVIKL
tr A0A150GF57 A0A150GF57_GONPE	WLKDEATKKDFFLDTTRFPVIKV
tr Cre02.g142206.t1.1 CHLRE	WLKDEATKKDFFLDTTRLPVIKV
tr D8TLD8 D8TLD8_VOLCA	WLKDEATKKDFFLDTTRFPVIKASHQYGQPPPAAARYYIQHMQGRTCEMGEGNYSDSGYN
tr A0A6A0AAR1 A0A6A0AAR1_HAELA	QANRLC 93
tr A0A7J7QDM8 A0A7J7QDM8_9CHLO	54
tr A0A6U0WS52 A0A6U0WS52_9CHLO	296
tr A0A150GF57 A0A150GF57_GONPE	318
tr Cre02.g142206.t1.1 CHLRE	302
tr D8TLD8 D8TLD8_VOLCA	DNPKQARELYLVAAAVVEDLTIKDQRGGVAG 374

**Figure 6.** Sequence alignment between Cre02.g142206.t1.1, an uncharacterized protein observed to be crosslinked to starch phosphorylase (Cre07.g336950.t1.1), and the five proteins with sequence similarity >50%. Organisms include *Volvox carteri f. nagariensis, Gonium pectoral, Haematococcus lacustris, Scenedesmus sp. NREL 46B-D3,* and *Polytomella parva.* The oxidoreductase domain is highlighted in pink.



**Figure 7.** Protein interactome map featuring identified interlinks localized to the chloroplast. Proteins are color coded by molecular function and clustered biological processes are shaded and labeled. Purple lines denote observed interlinks in this study while grey lines indicate empirical evidence of interactions as compiled by the STRING database, where increasing line thickness indicates increased confidence in interaction.

Δ		10	2	30	40	50		<b>і</b> .	K198		0	
	MAVAHVR	RAAA EH	HRRAEEVK	A AEGRRAEGRR ALSI	DFRTCA	IILGIFLVGH						
	DIDEIEN	60	71 AT DAACOKI	) 80	90 TACGLT	100 AFWTUADDWG	)					
	LDKPPLN	110	12	) 130	INGOLI	AL WI VARDIG	e:		K157	K19		
	PLLGSER	RRRL PF	RPCLALEN	G AINHCASRAC SF					87			
								ii.		6		
									K19	12037		
									- AN			
		-			-				K167 K	207		-1
В	Mg-chelatase site	MCAP site	distance (Å)	Mg-chelatase sequence	MCAP sequ	ence Max XlinkX Score	# CSMs					
	к70	К19	46.2	TE[K]ELGQARPIFPFTAIVGQDEM	RAEEV[K]AA	AEGR 122.98	10	iii.				
	K116	К19	33.1	GTG[K]STTIR	RAEEV[K]AA	AEGR 72.62	1					
	К157	К19	30.1	V[K]AGEQLPVSSK	RAEEV[K]AA	AEGR 82.39	1				L'Andrew	
	K167	К19	18.2	AGEQLPVSS[K]K	RAEEV[K]AA	AEGR 101.21	2			K116	I Cores	
	K198	К19	36.7	ALTEGV[K]AFEPGLLAK	RAEEV[K]AA	AEGR 88.64	1	i.		7		
	K207	К19	26.2	AFEPGLLA[K]ANR	RAEEV[K]AA	AEGR 94.4	6	IV.			1 1 2 2	
	K308	К19	24.9	STFDENPAAFR[K]DYEAGQMALTQ	R RAEEV[K]AA	AEGR 114.59	3		X K19		- A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A	
										- K70	V V	

Figure 8. Crosslinking unveiled novel complex between Mgа chelatase (Cre06.g306300.t1.2) and uncharacterized protein Cre11.g477733.t1.2, herein referred to as Mg-chelatase associated protein, or MCAP. (A) Sequence of MCAP, which is uncharacterized on UniProt. The crosslinked residue is shown in pink. (B) Table of observed crosslinks between Mg-chelatase and MCAP. Crosslinked resides are shown in brackets. Euclidean distance refers to that interlink mapped onto the refined protein complex shown in Figure 8C. (C) I-TASSER and HADDOCK were used to generate a protein-protein interaction model between Mg-chelatase (teal) and MCAP (orange). The structure with the most mapped intralinks within the maximum restraint for DSSO (in green) from the refined complex modeling is shown. The boxes labeled with roman numerals show the crosslinked lysine residues from the perspective of the arrows on the model complex structure.