Analytical Methods





In-situ and Real Time Investigation of Foliarly Applied Silver Nanoparticles on and in Spinach Leaves by Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopic Mapping

Journal:	Analytical Methods
Manuscript ID	AY-ART-03-2021-000346.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-May-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Zhang, Zhiyun; University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Food Science Shang, Heping; University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Xing, Baoshan; UMASS, Stockbridge School of Agriculture He, Lili; Univ. of Massachusetts, Dept. of Food Science

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

2		
3	4	In situ and Roal Time Investigation of Foliarly Applied
4	1	<i>In-suu</i> and Keai Time investigation of Fonarty Applied
5	2	Silver Nanoparticles on and in Spinach Leaves by Surface
6		E-hand Damar Crasting Manual -
/	3	Ennanced Raman Spectroscopic Mapping
8	4	
9		
10	5	Zhiyun Zhang ¹ , Heping Shang ² , Baoshan Xing ² , and Lili He ¹
12		
13	6	¹ Department of Food Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,
14	7	Massachusetts 01003, United States
15		
16	8	² Stockbridge School of Agriculture, University of Massachusetts, Amherst,
17	9	Massachusetts 01003 United States
18	10	
19	10	
20	11	* Corresponding Author: Lili He
21	11	Corresponding Author: Elli He,
22	12	Mailing Address: 240 Chenoweth Laboratory, 102 Holdsworth Way, Amherst, MA 01003,
23		
24 25	13	E-mail: <u>lllhe@foodsc1.umass.edu</u> ,
25	14	Tolonhone: $+1$ (113) 515 5817
20	14	Telephone. +1 (+15) 5+5-5647
28	15	
29		
30	16	
31	47	
32	17	
33	18	
34	10	
35	19	
36		
3/	20	
38	24	
<u>40</u>	21	
40	22	
42		
43	23	
44		
45	24	
46	25	
47	25	
48	26	
49		
50	27	
51		
52 53	28	
54		
55	20	
56	29	
57		
58		
59		
60		

30 ABSTRACT

Understanding the behavior and biological fate of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) applied on plant surfaces is significant for their risk assessment. Our study's objective is to investigate the interactions between AgNPs and plant biomolecules as well as to monitor and quantify the penetration of AgNPs in spinach by an *in-situ* and real-time surface enhanced Raman spectroscopic (SERS) mapping technique. AgNPs (2 µg per leaf) of different surface coating (citrate, CIT, and polyvinylpyrrolidone, PVP) and size (40 and 100 nm) were foliarly applied onto spinach leaves with different exposure time (1-48 h). Cysteine is the major biomolecule that interacts with AgNPs in spinach based on the in-situ and in-vitro SERS pattern recognition. The interaction between CIT-AgNPs and cysteine happened as soon as 1 h after AgNPs foliar deposition, which is faster than the interaction between PVP-AgNPs and cysteine. Also, the SERS depth mapping shows that particle size rather than surface coating determines the penetration capability of AgNPs in spinach, in which 40 nm AgNPs shows a deeper penetration than that of 100 nm. Last but not least, based on the results of SERS mapping, we detected significantly higher amounts of 40 nm CIT-/PVP-AgNPs than 100 nm CIT-AgNPs internalized in the leaf tissues after 1-hour exposure. The estimated percentage of internalized AgNPs (0.2-0.8%) was significantly smaller than that of the total residual Ag (9-12%), indicating the potential transformation of the AgNPs to other Ag species inside the plant tissues. This study facilitates a better understanding of the behaviors and biological fate of AgNPs in plant tissues.

Page 3 of 26

1. INTRODUCTION

Colloidal silver has been known for their unique antimicrobial and insecticidal properties for over 120 years.¹ Researchers demonstrated the great potential of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) to control insects²⁻⁴ on crops and to avoid insecticide resistance.² In addition to be used as a pesticide, AgNPs have also been widely used in other commercialized products, including food production/packaging, biomedicine, personal care, cosmetics, textiles and so on.^{3,4} According to the latest Nanotechnology Consumer Products Inventory, there are 442 out of a total 1827 listed Nano-based products that contain silver.⁵ It is estimated that the global consumption of AgNPs will approximately reach 360 to 450 ton/year by the end of 2025.⁶ With this growing production of AgNPs worldwide, however, concerns arise regarding the bioaccumulated AgNPs in crop plants that may cause harm to human beings. ^{2, 9-10} Therefore, investigation of the behaviors and biological fate of AgNPs in crops are essential for their safe and effective applications.

Up to now, the uptake of AgNPs in different plants has been extensively studied, although most of the studies have been focused on uptake through roots than leaves.^{7–9} Among those studies that focused on foliar application, Larue et al. firstly observed that foliarly applied AgNPs can be entrapped by the cuticle and further penetrate into the leaf tissue through stomata by synchrotron radiation-based μXRF^{10} Through analyzing the speciation by Ag L_{III}-edge µXANES, they also found the oxidation of AgNPs and characterized the interactions between thiol-containing molecules and Ag⁺/AgNPs. Following Larue's work, Li et al. studied the accumulation and phytotoxicity of AgNPs in soybean and rice.¹⁰ With the help of sp-ICP-MS, they showed that the amounts of AgNPs that bioaccumulated in plants after foliar exposure are 17-200 times more than root exposure. In addition, they observed that foliarly applied AgNPs are mainly stored in the cell wall and plamalemma of leaves by TEM. Although these studies partially disclosure the underlying mechanism of how foliarly applied AgNPs penetrate into crop plants, no researchers have investigated the process of the AgNPs penetration in real time and quantified the amount of foliar penetration. These two knowledge gaps are mainly due to the lack of effective techniques and methods to monitor the penetration process in real time as well as to effectively remove the surface attached AgNPs and recover the internal AgNPs for quantifications.

Analytical Methods

We and others have demonstrated the capability of surface enhanced Raman spectroscopic (SERS) mapping to monitor the gold nanoparticles in plant tissues and characterize their interactions with plant bio-compounds.¹¹⁻¹³ SERS is an ultrasensitive vibrational spectroscopic technique that can provide rich information about the biocompounds that are in the vicinity of gold nanoparticles. Coupled with advanced mapping technique, researchers could collect thousands of spectra for every pixel within a defined sample area and constructed a colorimetric image based on the characteristic peaks of interested analytes. Thus, SERS mapping technique makes in-situ monitoring the localization of AuNPs in plant tissues possible. In addition, we developed an effective method to remove surface attached AgNPs on spinach and recovered around 50% of internal AgNPs by a newly developed organic solvent-based extraction method.⁶ Through pre-concentrating those extracted AgNPs on a filter membrane and further quantifying their amounts by SERS mapping, we successfully lowered the lowest detectable concentration of AgNPs to 1 ng/mL.¹⁴ Compared with sp-ICP-MS, which requires complex and tedious sample preparations, it only takes 15 min for one filter membrane scanning.

Based on these studies, we aimed to investigate the penetration process of foliarly applied AgNPs in plant leaves as well as *in-situ* characterize the interactions between AgNPs and biomolecules by SERS mapping technique. In addition, we quantitatively analyzed the amount of penetrated AgNPs after foliar penetration using the developed methods to remove surface attached AgNPs and recover penetrated AgNPs.¹⁴ Two surface coatings, CIT- and PVP, and two sizes, 40 and 100 nm AgNPs were selected for this study.¹⁵ Spinach was chosen because of its large global consumption and high edible tissue surface area, making it an ideal model to study the foliar transfer of NP contaminants.^{6,16} To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that provides real time data on the penetration process and quantitative analysis of AgNPs penetration, which will facilitate the risk assessment of the AgNPs for plant application and aid the development of safe and effective AgNPs-based pesticide products.

50 116

120 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials. Organic spinach leaves were purchased from Whole Foods Market (Amherst, MA) and transferred to the Chenoweth Lab at the University of Massachusetts Amherst. All spinach leaves were stored at 4 °C and used within 1 day. All leaves were washed with deionized water (Barnstead MicroPure system, Fisher Scientific Co., PA) with a pH of 5.85. AgNPs with different sizes (40 and 100 nm) and surface coating (citrate and polyvinylpyrrolidone) were purchased from Nanocomposix (San Diego, CA). According to the information given by the Nanocomposix (Figure S1), TEM shows that the sizes of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs, 40 nm PVP-AgNPs, and 100 nm CIT-AgNPs are 39 ± 4 , 50 ± 4 , and 97 ± 11 , respectively. Also, the surface coatings of these commercial AgNPs were verified by comparing their SERS spectra with published references.¹⁷ Both L-cysteine (Cys) and glutathione were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

2.2 In-situ Characterize Foliarly Applied AgNPs and Their Penetration in **Spinach.** Ten droplets of 10 µL aliquot of the 20 mg/L AgNPs solution with different sizes (40 and 100 nm) and surface coating (citrate and polyvinylpyrrolidone) was dropwise added onto the spinach leaf surface. After AgNPs treated spinach leaves were air-dried at room temperature, SERS depth mapping images were acquired using a confocal DXR Raman microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Madison, WI) with 50 um pinhole aperture and 1 mW laser power. Each scanning area was randomly picked up from the AgNPs deposition on spinach leaf and vertical to leaf surface with 100 μ m (length) \times 300 (height) um. The step size of the mapping along the Z-axis was 10 um. The penetration behavior of AgNPs in spinach was monitored at different exposure time (1, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h).

2.3 Determination of the SERS Characteristic Signals of Biomolecules. Based on the *in-situ* characteristic peaks of AgNPs, we proposed the biomolecules that interact with AgNPs in spinach contain thiol groups, which may be L-Cysteine and glutathione. Thus, L-Cysteine and glutathione (0.01 g) powder were dissolved in 10 mL deionized water (DI water) and were further diluted to 100 mg/L, respectively. After that, 50 µL aliquot of each kind of AgNPs solution with 20 mg/L was added into them and mixed by a Fisher ScientificTM Analog vortex mixer (Fisher Scientific Co., PA) for 30 s, then 5 µL aliquot of mixture was transferred onto a piece of gold-coated microscope slide, and then allowed to dry at room temperature. SERS spectra were collected with a 50 µm slit aperture and 5 mW laser power to maximize the signals. Eight discrete locations were randomly chosen on each sample for analysis.

Analytical Methods

2.4 Quantification of Penetrated AgNPs in Spinach. To quantify internalized AgNPs, those surface attached AgNPs on spinach were removed by a combined sodium hypochlorite and ammonium hydroxide washing method.⁶ Briefly, a piece of intact AgNPscontaminated (2 μ g) spinach leaves was sequentially immersed in Clorox bleach (200 mg/L, 50 mL, 5 min) and ammonium hydroxide solution (NH₃·H₂O, 50 mL, 1 min), with a final rinse by deionized water (DI Water, 50 mL, 1 min).

After washing, we immersed spinach leaves in a mixed acetone/methanol (V: V=4:1) solution that contains 1000 µg/mL 4-mercaptobenzoic acid (4-MBA). Two hours later, DI water and ethyl acetate were added into the methanol/acetone solution at an equal volume ratio and the pH of the resulting solution was adjusted using sodium hydroxide (wt 40%) to \geq 9.0. All the surface attached 4-MBA molecules (pKa (carboxylic head group) \sim 7.4) were fully deprotonated after pH adjustment, which rendered those 4-MBA labelled AgNPs hydrophilic and forced them into the bottom layer of the solution. The extracted AgNPs were then enriched on a PTFE filter paper and analyzed on a Raman imaging microscope (DXRxi, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) equipped with a 780 nm laser. The analysis was performed using a $20 \times$ confocal microscope objective, and 5 mW laser power. The slit aperture and acquisition time were set at 50 µm and 0.01 s, respectively. The data was collected and analyzed by OMNICxi and OMNIC 9.7 software (Thermo Scientific). The mapping image was constructed based on the characteristic peak height of 4-MBA at 1078 cm⁻¹. In this study, we set 1000 cps as the cut-off intensity to determine the presence of AgNPs on the SERS map, where the presence and the absence of AgNPs were marked as red and blue, respectively. The amounts of AgNPs were quantified through counting the percentage of pixel areas of the red spots in SERS image by ImageJ.

2.5 Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS). To determine the total 176 amount of Ag elements in spinach leaves, spinach leaves with washing treatment were 177 stored at ambient temperature prior to digestion. For the digestion process, spinach leaves 178 were immersed with 3 mL HNO₃ (ACS reagent, 70%) in a 15 mL centrifuge tube overnight. 179 Spinach leaves were heated to reach a temperature of 115 °C for 40 min, and then samples 180 were cooled to room temperature. Five hundred μ L of H₂O₂ was added to further digest the 181 sample for 30 min. DI water was used to dilute the resultant digests to a total volume of 40

mL and then the diluent was filtered through polyethersulfone (PES) membrane prior to
ICP-MS (Agilent 7500ce, Santa Clara, CA) analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 In-situ Characterization of AgNPs in Spinach. To investigate the interactions between AgNPs and biomolecules in spinach as well as to understand their potential variations, the spectra of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs from three randomly selected positions were collected. As shown in Figure 1a, the spectra collected from different locations showed slightly different patterns. The highest peaks in these spectra are 685, 659 and 657 cm⁻¹, respectively. Although the positions of their highest peaks are slightly different, all these peaks could be assigned to C-S stretching. The Raman shift of the highest peaks may originate from different localized conditions, such as desorption, re-orientation, chemical transformation of the biomolecules on AgNPs surface. However, it should be noted that the pattern of SERS spectra collected from one position at different depth are similar. The SERS intensity gradually decreased with the depth increasing, which may result from the fact that less AgNPs are present in the deeper area (Figure 1b). It is noteworthy to mention that different enhanced biomolecule signals from AgNPs and AuNPs were observed, indicating different NPs-biomolecules interactions in spinach leaves. In our previous studies, we found AuNPs mainly interact with chlorophylls and carotenoids in spinach leaves.¹¹ We suppose this difference mainly comes from the different properties of AgNPs and AuNPs. For AuNPs, they are generally considered as more biologically inert than AgNPs and thus are commonly used in genetic engineering for DNA delivery to cells.^{18–20} In contrast to AuNPs, AgNPs and the dissolved Ag⁺ released from AgNPs are usually considered to be much more phytotoxic and may intrigue the production of detoxification biomolecules.^{10,21,22} Thiol-containing ligands including cysteine, phytochelatins and metallothionein are supposed by Cobbett et al. to work as chelating agents to minimize the toxicity from AgNPs and the dissolved Ag⁺.²³



Figure 1. (a) SERS spectra of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs in different position of spinach leaf;
(b) SERS spectra of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs in different depth (depth: 0 to 270 μm) of
spinach leaf.

To further validate the SERS spectra were originated from the interaction between AgNPs and sulfur containing biomolecules, we collected the *in-vitro* SERS spectrum of AgNPs with cysteine (Cys) and glutathione (GSH). In addition to these two biomolecules, we also collected the SERS spectrum of chlorophyll since the interaction between AuNPs and chlorophyll had been reported in our previous study.¹¹ The SERS spectra of AgNPs (40 and 100 nm CIT, 40 nm PVP) and these biomolecules on a gold-coated slide were showed in Figure S2. Through comparison, we found the *in-vitro* SERS spectrum of AgNPs-cysteine is similar to the *in-situ* SERS spectrum of AgNPs, which exhibits enhanced SERS peaks in the 500-1700 cm⁻¹ range, especially at 735, 664, 517 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2). The peak at 517 cm^{-1} is assigned to the S-S stretching vibration, which could be considered as the characteristic of the disulphide band. According to the study given by Diaz Fleming et al., the appearance of the S-S stratching vibration suggests that two L-cysteine molecules come into being one L-cyteine through forming S-S bond.²⁴ In addition, two peaks, 735 and 664 cm⁻¹, are assigned to the C-S stretching vibration. Jing et al. mentioned that the peak at 735 cm⁻¹ might be related to both P_N and P_C conformations,

Analytical Methods

indicating the L-cysteine molecules attach to the AgNPs via the carboxylate and amino
groups.²⁵ Among these SERS peaks, the highest enhanced peak at 664 cm⁻¹, which comes
from C-S stretching and is used as the characteristic peak to monitor the presence of AgNPs
in spinach.



Figure 2. *in-vitro* SERS spectrum of AgNPs-cysteine and *in-situ* SERS spectrum of
AgNPs in spinach.

3.2 Factors that Determine AgNPs' interaction and Penetration Behavior. According to previous studies, the penetration of AgNPs into plants is a complex process, depending on many factors (e.g. NP size, surface functionality, chemical composition).²⁶ Here, we first compared the penetration capability of AgNPs with different sizes (40 and 100 nm) in spinach. Figure 3a shows the *in situ* SERS spectrum of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs in spinach after different exposure time from 1 to 48 h. Compared with the spectrum of raw spinach and 40 nm CIT-AgNPs, characteristic peaks of cysteine at position 1033, 955, 735, 662 cm⁻¹ can be observed after only 1 hour foliar exposure. This result indicates a rapid in-situ interaction between 40 nm CIT-AgNPs and cysteine in spinach. In addition to cysteine peaks, other SERS peaks could be observed in SERS spectra, which might originate from

other bio-components that are present in spinach, including pectin, lignin, or other polysaccharides.²⁷ Figure 3b shows the *in-situ* SERS spectrum of 100 nm CIT-AgNPs in spinach after same exposure time. Similar to 40 nm CIT-AgNPs, the characteristic peaks of cysteine, especially at 680-650 cm⁻¹, could also be observed after 1 h. It is noteworthy to mention that the intensity of C-S peak from cysteine became stronger with time increasing. We supposed that this is because of the increasing amounts of cysteine produced by plants in response to AgNPs. Ma et al. showed that the exposure of engineered *Crambe abyssinica* plants that express the bacterial y-ECS to AgNPs resulted in a greater cysteine production.²¹ Similar result was also reported by Li et al., the overexpression of y-ECS in Arabidopsis contributes to a significant increase in cysteine upon arsenic treatment.28

From Figure 4a and 4d, the penetration depth of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs could reach to 183 ± 38 µm after 48 h. Compared with 40 nm CIT-AgNPs, we found that 100 nm CIT-AgNPs were mostly present closer to the spinach surface $(90 \pm 36 \ \mu\text{m})$ even after 48 h, indicating the penetration cability of AgNPs is size dependent, which is consistent with other studies. For example, Eichert et al. observed the penetration of 43 nm fluorescent polystyrene NPs into plant leaves by confocal laser scanning microscopy whereas no uptake of 1.1 µm particles was observed.²⁹ Wang et al. investigated the penetration profile of four metal oxide NPs with size range from 24-47 nm in watermelon leaves.³⁰ They found small NPs could penetrate through the stomatal pathway into the watermelon leaves, and the metal elements were detected in both shoots and roots. In our previous study, we also found foilarly applied 50 nm AuNPs penetrate much deeper in the spinach leaves than 80 nm and 125 nm AuNPs.11

It should be noted that some authors found that the values of refractive index in fresh leaves ranged from 1.41 to 1.55, which might influence the depth resolution (equation 1). The influence will be further investigated in our future study.

276 Where DR is depth resolution, NA is the numerical aperture of the microscope 277 objective, n (1.41~1.55) is the refractive index, and Δ is the attempted position of focus.





Figure 3. *In situ* SERS spectra (Depth: 0 μm) of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs (a), 100 nm CITAgNPs (b), 40 nm PVP-AgNPs (c) in spinach following different exposure time.

In addition to particle size, the surface coating is another important factor we investigated in this study. Figure 3c is the *in-situ* SERS spectrum of 40 nm PVP-AgNPs in spinach after different exposure time. Different from the SERS spectra of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs, no distinct peak at 681 cm⁻¹ was observed at 1 h for PVP-AgNPs, indicating no immediate interaction between 40 nm PVP-AgNPs and cysteine within such a short time. This observation could be explained by the fact that the molecular weight of PVP is much larger than that of CIT, which would compromise the contact between cysteine and silver atoms at AgNPs surface. After 6 h, the SERS peak at 683 cm⁻¹ gradually appeared and became stronger with increasing time, which suggests the replacement of original surface surfactant (PVP) begins. Similarly, we also observed that the SERS intensity of this peak became stronger with time increasing, which indicates more cysteine were produced and further interacted with PVP-AgNPs.

Figure 4c and 4e show the penetration depth profile of 40 nm PVP-AgNPs in spinach. We found the penetration depth of 40 nm PVP-AgNPs increases with increasing foliar exposure time and could reach to $226 \pm 47 \,\mu\text{m}$ after 48 h (P > 0.05). The penetration depth of 40 nm PVP-AgNPs is not statistically different from the penetration depth of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs. This result indicates that surface coating is not a critical factor that determines the penetration ability of AgNPs in spinach. However, it should be noted that 40 nm PVP-AgNPs show lower SERS intensity than 40 nm CIT-AgNPs at the same depth during the first 6 h. We suppose this is because the SERS image was constructed based on the highest C-S stretching and no enhanced C-S stretching peak appeared after 1 h foliar exposure. To further evaluate this, we removed those surface attached AgNPs on spinach and quantified the amounts of residual silver by ICP-MS. As shown in Figure S3, the amounts of residual silver in spinach after 1 and 48 h are non-statistically different, which indicates the PVP-AgNPs also penetrated within the first hours despite the PVP coating was still on the surface.



Figure 4. SERS depth profiles of (a) 40 nm CIT-AgNPs, (b) 100 nm CIT-AgNPs, (c) 40 nm PVP-AgNPs penetration following different exposure time based on the highest C-S stretching peaks; (d), (e) Comparison of penetration depth of AgNPs with different sizes (40 and 100 nm) and surface coatings (CIT and PVP) in spinach following different exposure time. Results are expressed as mean value standard deviation (n=3). Different capital letters represent a significant difference (P<0.05) of the penetration depth of each AgNPs at different foliar exposure time. Different lowercase letters mean significant differences (p < 0.05) of the penetration depth between AgNPs types at the same foliar exposure time.

3.4 Quantification of Penetrated AgNPs in Spinach Leaves. To determine the amounts of internalized AgNPs in spinach leaves, the first step is to remove those surface attached AgNPs. This is a critical step since incomplete removal may result in overestimation of penetrated AgNPs in spinach leaves. Thus, a combined washing method that using Clorox bleach and ammonium hydroxide was used to clean the spinach leaves and remove those surface attached AgNPs.⁶ According to our previous study, this newly developed washing method could effectively remove 91-93% of surface attached AgNPs, which is the most efficient AgNPs removal method so far. After the washing treatment, those penetrated AgNPs in the spinach leaves were extracted by the organic solvent-based method ($\sim 50\%$ recovery), which is a novel extraction method developed by our group recently.¹⁴ It is

Analytical Methods

noteworthy to mention that the morphology of AgNPs would be greatly preserved by this method, which shows its advantage over traditional alkaline/enzymatic extraction method. As shown in Figure 5, we detected hot spots (other than background blue) on the SERS images of 40 nm CIT-AgNPs, 40 nm PVP-AgNPs and 100 nm CIT-AgNPs, respectively. These hot spots are identified as AgNPs based on the intensity threshold (1000 cps) we set, indicating the presence of AgNPs collected on the filter membrane. Based on the number of hot spots (Figure 5), there is no significant difference between 40 nm CIT-AgNPs and 40 nm PVP-AgNPs. However, significantly higher number of hot spots were detected in the images from 40 nm AgNPs than that from 100 nm AgNPs. In addition, in both of the 40 nm AgNPs, higher intensity (red color, indicating strong aggregation) hot spots were detected, as compared to the lower intensity (green color, indicating less aggregation) ones in 100 nm AgNPs. This result indicates there were higher numbers of 40 mm AgNPs than 100 nm ones internalized in the plant tissues after 1 h exposure. To roughly estimate the amounts of internal AgNPs from spinach leaves, we applied previously established standard curves built upon 60 nm AgNPs to quantify the amount of AgNPs based on the percentage of hot spots area in each SERS map. This is because the number of pixels is independent of the peak intensity as long as the intensity is above the cut-off line, indicating this method may be applied to roughly estimate the different sizes or aggregation states of AgNPs. Based on the calculation, the amount we estimated for internal 40 nm AgNPs was 15.5 ± 2.9 ng, which are significantly higher than the amount for internal 100 nm AgNPs, 2.8 ± 2.3 ng. Translating to the %, we estimated around $0.8 \pm 0.1\%$ of foliarly applied 40 nm CIT-/PVP-AgNPs and 0.2 \pm 0.1% of 100 nm CIT-AgNPs were internalized in the leaf tissues after 1 h exposure. Compared with the total Ag (9-12%) quantified by ICP-MS after washing, the percentage of internal AgNPs quantified by SERS are significantly smaller, which indicates the transformation of AgNPs to SERS inactive Ag-species in spinach leaves. Similar results have also been reported by other groups. For example, Li et al. reported the AgNPs in the leaves after foliar exposure would transform into AgCl-NPs in their recent study. Larue et al. also detected the presence of metallic AgNPs, Ag-glutathione, and other Ag⁺ species (predominantly AgCl) in lettuce leaves after foliar exposure.



Figure 5. Representative 2D SERS mapping images of filter membranes that were loaded on (A) 40 nm CIT-AgNPs, (B) 40 nm PVP-AgNPs, (C) 100 nm CIT-AgNPs extracted from spinach leaves after 1h.

367 4. CONCLUSION

Herein, we analyzed the behaviors and biological fate of different kinds of AgNPs in a spinach after different foliar exposure time (1~48 h) using SERS mapping. Results showed that no matter what kind of sizes (40 and 100 nm) and surface coatings (CIT and PVP), all the AgNPs would interact with cysteine and end up with AgNPs-cysteine complex, likely resulted from a detoxification process in plant. In addition, the penetration capability of AgNPs depends on NP size rather than surface coating. After 48 h foliar application, significantly deeper penetration depth and higher amounts of 40 nm CIT-/PVP-AgNPs were detected inside of the leaves as compared to those of the 100 nm CIT-AgNPs. The percentage of internalized AgNPs after 1 hour exposure was in the range of 0.2~0.8%. In addition, ICP-MS results indicate the transformation of AgNPs to other Ag species could occur within 1 hour. Overall, our work gives new insight of behaviors and

3	379	biological fate of different kinds of AgNPs in leaves after foliar exposure which will		
5	380	facilitate risk assessment or developing novel pesticide formulations based on AgNPs.		
6 7	381	Future studies will focus on investigating the behavior and biological fate of AgNPs over		
8 9	382	a longer exposure time.		
10	383			
11 12 13	384	ASSOCIATED CONCENT		
14 15	385	Supporting information		
16	386	The supporting information is available free of charge on the publication website.		
17	387			
19 20 21	388	AUTHOR INFORMATION		
22	389	Corresponding Author		
23 24	390	* E-mail: lilihe@foodsci.umass.edu; Tel: +1 413 545 5847.		
25 26	391	Notes		
27	392	The authors declare no competing financial interest.		
29	393			
30 31	394	ACKNOWLEDGE		
32 33	395	We acknowledge USDA-NIFA (2015-67017-23070) for supporting this work.		
34 35	396			
36 37	397	REFERENCE		
38 39 40	398	1 B. Nowack, H. F. Krug and M. Height, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 2011,		
41 42 43	399	45 , 1177–1183.		
44 45 46	400	2 A. A. A S and T. S, <i>J. Basic Appl. Zool.</i> , , DOI:10.1186/s41936-019-		
47 48	401	0124-0.		
49 50 51	402	3 S. W. P. Wijnhoven, W. J. G. M. Peijnenburg, C. A. Herberts, W. I.		
52 53 54	403	Hagens, A. G. Oomen, E. H. W. Heugens, B. Roszek, J. Bisschops, I.		
55 56 57 58 59	404	Gosens and D. Van De Meent, <i>Nanotoxicology</i> , 2009, 3 , 109–138.		

1 2			
3 4	405	4	E. Schreck, Y. Foucault, G. Sarret, S. Sobanska, L. Cécillon, M.
5 6 7	406		Castrec-Rouelle, G. Uzu and C. Dumat, Sci. Total Environ., 2012, 427,
8 9	407		253–262.
10 11 12	408	5	E. McGillicuddy, I. Murray, S. Kavanagh, L. Morrison, A. Fogarty, M.
13 14	409		Cormican, P. Dockery, M. Prendergast, N. Rowan and D. Morris, Sci.
16 17	410		Total Environ., 2017, 575, 231–246.
18 19 20	411	6	Z. Zhang, H. Guo, C. Ma, M. Xia, J. C. White, B. Xing and L. He,
21 22	412		Food Control, 2018, In Press,
23 24 25	413		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.11.005.
26 27 28	414	7	A. Noori, A. Ngo, P. Gutierrez, S. Theberge and J. C. White, J.
29 30	415		Nanoparticle Res., DOI:10.1007/s11051-020-04866-y.
31 32 33	416	8	J. P. Stegemeier, B. P. Colman, F. Schwab, M. R. Wiesner and G. V.
34 35	417		Lowry, Environ, Sci. Technol., 2017, 51 , 4936–4943
37 38	418	9	C O Dimkna I E McLean N Martineau D W Britt R
39 40	410	,	
41 42	419		Haverkamp and A. J. Anderson, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 2013, 47,
43 44	420		1082–1090.
45 46 47	421	10	C. Larue, H. Castillo-Michel, S. Sobanska, L. Cécillon, S. Bureau, V.
48 49 50	422		Barthès, L. Ouerdane, M. Carrière and G. Sarret, J. Hazard. Mater.,
51 52	423		2014, 264 , 98–106.
53 54 55	424	11	Z. Zhang, H. Guo, Y. Deng, B. Xing and L. He, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 1-
56 57			
58 59			
60			

3 4	425		22.
5 6 7	426	12	R. Lahr and P. Vikesland, ACS Sustain. Chem, 2014, A-J.
8 9	427	13	a G. Shen, J. Z. Guo, W. Xie, M. X. Sun, R. Richards and J. M. Hu, J.
10 11 12	428		Raman Spectrosc., 2011, 42 , 879–884.
13 14 15	429	14	Z. Zhang, M. Xia, C. Ma, H. Guo, W. Wu, J. White, B. Xing and L.
16 17	430		He, Environ. Sci. Nano, , DOI:10.1039/c9en01246j.
18 19 20	431	15	T. M. Tolaymat, A. M. El Badawy, A. Genaidy, K. G. Scheckel, T. P.
21 22 23	432		Luxton and M. Suidan, Sci. Total Environ., 2010, 408, 999-1006.
24 25	433	16	Z. Zhang, H. Guo, T. Carlisle, A. Mukherjee, A. Kinchla, J. C. White,
26 27 28	434		B. Xing and L. He, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2016, acs.jafc.6b02705.
29 30 31	435	17	R. Salemmilani, R. Y. Mirsafavi, A. W. Fountain, M. Moskovits and
32 33	436		C. D. Meinhart, Analyst, 2019, 144, 1818-1824.
34 35 36	437	18	R. Raliya, C. Franke, S. Chavalmane, R. Nair, N. Reed and P. Biswas,
37 38 30	438		Front. Plant Sci., 2016, 7, 1–10.
40 41	439	19	P. S. Ghosh, C. K. Kim, G. Han, N. S. Forbes and V. M. Rotello, ACS
42 43 44	440		Nano, 2008, 2 , 2213–2218.
45 46 47	441	20	A. S. Thakor, J. Jokerst, C. Zavaleta, T. F. Massoud and S. S.
48 49	442		Gambhir, Nano Lett., 2011, 11, 4029–4036.
50 51 52	443	21	C. Ma, S. Chhikara, R. Minocha, S. Long, C. Musante, J. C. White, B.
53 54	444		Xing and O. P. Dhankher, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2015, 49, 10117–
55 56 57			

Analytical Methods

2 3 4	445		10126.
5 6 7	446	22	C. C. Li, F. Dang, M. Li, M. Zhu, H. Zhong, H. Hintelmann and D. M.
8 9 10	447		Zhou, Nanotoxicology, 2017, 11, 699–709.
11 12 13	448	23	C. Cobbett and P. Goldsbrough, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 2002, 53, 159-
14 15	449		182.
16 17 18	450	24	G. D. Fleming, J. J. Finnerty, M. Campos-Vallette, F. Celis, A. E.
19 20 21	451		Aliaga, C. Fredes and R. Koch, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2009, 40, 632-
22 23	452		638.
24 25 26	453	25	C. Jing and Y. Fang, Chem. Phys., 2007, 332 , 27–32.
27 28 29	454	26	J. D. Judy, J. M. Unrine, W. Rao, S. Wirick and P. M. Bertsch,
30 31 32	455		Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 46, 8467–8474.
33 34	456	27	M. Espina Palanco, K. B. Mogensen and K. Kneipp, J. Raman
35 36 37	457		Spectrosc., 2016, 47, 156–161.
38 39 40	458	28	Y. Li, O. P. Dankher, L. Carreira, A. P. Smith and R. B. Meagher,
41 42	459		Plant Physiol., 2006, 141, 288–298.
43 44 45	460	29	T. Eichert, A. Kurtz, U. Steiner and H. E. Goldbach, Physiol. Plant.,
46 47 48	461		2008, 134 , 151–160.
49 50	462	30	WN. Wang, J. C. Tarafdar and P. Biswas, J. nanoparticle Res., 2013,
51 52 53	463		15 , 1–13.
54 55 56	464		
57 58			
59 60			

1 2	
3	465
5	466
7	467
8 9	468
10 11	469
12 13	470
14 15	471
16 17	472
18 19	473
20 21	474
22 23	475
24 25	476
26 27	477
28	478
30	479
31	480
33 34	481
35 36	482
37 38	483
39 40	484
41 42	485
43 44	486
45 46	487
47 48	488
49	489
50 51	490
52 53	491
54 55	492
56 57	
58 59	

1		
2		
3	493	
4		
5	494	SUPPORTING INFORMATION
7	131	
8		
9		
10	495	<i>In situ</i> and Real Time Investigation of Foliarly Applied Silver
11	100	Nanonarticles in Spinach Leaves by Surface Enhanced
12	496	Nanoparticles in Spinach Leaves by Surface Enhanced
13	497	Raman Spectroscopic Mapping Technique
15	498	
16		
17	499	Zhiyun Zhang ¹ , Heping Shang ² , Baoshan Xing ² , and Lili He ¹
18		Department of Food Science University of Massachusetts Ambanat
19	500	Department of Food Science, University of Massachuseus, Annersi,
20	501	Massachusetts 01003, United States
22	502	2Stockbridge School of Agriculture University of Massachusetts Amberst
23	502	Stockonage School of Agriculture, University of Massachuseus, Annerst,
24	503	Massachusetts 01003, United States
25	504	
26 27	505	* Corresponding Author: Lili He
27	303	Corresponding Author. Em ric,
29	506	Mailing Address: 240 Chenoweth Laboratory, 102 Holdsworth Way, Amherst, MA 01003,
30 31	507	E-mail: <u>lilihe@foodsci.umass.edu</u> ,
32 33	508	Telephone: +1 (413) 545-5847
34	509	
35		
36 27	510	
38	511	
39	511	
40	512	
41	540	
42	513	
44	514	
45		
46	515	
47	516	
48	510	
49 50	517	
51		
52	518	
53	519	
54		
55 56	520	
57		
58		
59		
60		







Fig. S5 Different amount of 60 nm CIT-AgNPs on the filter membrane. Optical
images: (A)-(C), (G)-(I); Raman scattering images: (D)-(F), (J)-(L). Laser
wavelength=780 nm, Laser intensity=5 mW, aperture= 50 slit, step size= 100 μm, scan
rate=0.05 s/step.¹⁴