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1. Introduction

Life on Earth and liquid water are intertwined with each other across a tremendous range of scales, from years and kilometers to femtoseconds and angstroms. For example, not only is the water of Earth’s surface home to the vast majority and greatest diversity of animal species, but it also provides food and resources for terrestrial life and, on periods from days to months, governs the world’s tides, climate, and agriculture. The human body, too, is about 70% water, which is necessary for phenomena ranging from thermal regulation and pH maintenance to the exchange of nutrients and information across trillions of cells. At this scale, comings and goings of water are linked to metabolism cycles in animals or flowering patterns in plants. It is at the microscopic and nano-scales, however, where cells divide, synapses fire, proteins fold, and enzymes catalyze, that the bond between life and liquid water is at its strongest and most complex. Life processes in this domain occur not just within, but using liquid water, and all its physical and chemical idiosyncrasies (its shape, hydrogen bonding capability, polarity, etc.) come into play to drive the formation and dynamics of biologically relevant structures. As such, many decades of scientific research have been devoted to understanding the structure and dynamics of biological systems—cells, membranes, proteins, nucleic acids—in their native liquid environment at the microscopic to molecular level.

The ongoing development of liquid-phase electron microscopy methods—in which specimens are kept fully solvated in the microscope by encapsulation in transparent, vacuum-tight chambers—is making it possible to investigate a wide variety of nanoscopic dynamic phenomena at the single-particle level, and with nanometer to atomic resolution. As such, there has been growing motivation to make liquid-phase electron microscopy applicable not only to inorganic materials, like metals, semiconductors, and ceramics, but also to “soft” materials such as biomolecules and cells, whose nanoscale dynamics and organization are intricately tied to their functionality. Here we review efforts toward making this an experimental reality, summarizing recent liquid-phase electron microscopy studies of whole cells, assembling peptides, and even individual proteins. Successes and challenges are discussed, as well as strategies to maximize the amount of accessible information and minimize the impact of the electron beam. We conclude with an outlook on the promise of liquid-phase electron microscopy to provide new insight into the rich and functional dynamics occurring in biological systems at the microscopic to molecular level.

**Liquid-phase Electron Microscopy Imaging of Cellular and Biomolecular Systems**

John W. Smith and Qian Chen

The experimental arsenal for structural and dynamic characterization of biological systems today contains a wide variety of tools. On the one hand, ensemble techniques such as nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, X-ray scattering and diffraction, and a plethora of other spectroscopy methods (electron paramagnetic resonance, infrared spectroscopy, circular dichroism, etc.) offer powerful ways to investigate the structure, fluctuations, or assembly of hydrated biomolecular systems on a global level. These techniques are widely used to study phenomena like protein folding, determine the structure of proteins in large crystals, and resolve atomic scale details of protein–protein interactions in homogeneous environments, where ensemble-wide averaging is applicable. On the other hand, for many biological systems, “real-space” and imaging-based methods are more valuable, as they can accommodate the heterogeneous, independent, and not necessarily synchronized components characteristic of real living systems. Optical imaging techniques in particular, such as phase contrast microscopy, confocal fluorescence microscopy, and total internal reflection microscopy, have helped reveal how cells are organized, how they divide, how transport occurs in the cellular milieu, and more, simply through direct, real-time observation. Nevertheless, fundamental physical constraints (namely, the diffraction limit of visible light) restrict optical imaging methods to a resolution of about 200 nm, which is far from the level needed to probe biological structures and phenomena at the nanometer length scale accessible by the spectroscopy methods described above. While the family of “super-resolution” fluorescence imaging techniques, including...
stimulated emission depletion microscopy,\textsuperscript{29,30} photoactivated localization microscopy,\textsuperscript{31–33} stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy,\textsuperscript{34} and variations thereof\textsuperscript{35,36} can push this limit to approximately 20 nm and locate individual molecules extremely precisely,\textsuperscript{37,38} they cannot necessarily be used to observe the processes (notably, protein conformation or shape fluctuations, protein–protein interactions, etc.) occurring within these tiny regions. Moreover, fluorescent signals coming from features of interest must be sufficiently separated either in space (low spatial density) or time (through flickering, for instance) in order to be localized with such precision, the latter of which can adversely affect temporal resolution.

Figure 1: Liquid-phase electron microscopy of biological systems, from cells to proteins. Through encapsulation between electron transparent membranes made of silicon nitride or graphene, liquid specimens can be examined in an electron microscope with near-atomic spatial and millisecond temporal resolution. This capability is opening up the potential for new biophysical investigations at many length scales.

To overcome the physical resolution limits of optical microscopy, a natural alternative is to image using electrons. More specifically, because electrons have a wavelength orders of magnitude smaller than that of visible light, electron microscopy has begun to offer a valuable new lens into myriad phenomena in abiological and inorganic materials, including nanoparticle self-assembly,\textsuperscript{52–65} atomic crystallization,\textsuperscript{59,66,67} electrochemistry,\textsuperscript{68–71} phase transitions,\textsuperscript{70,72} nanoscale diffusion,\textsuperscript{73–75} and recently certain polymeric systems,\textsuperscript{76–78} with nanometer to atomic resolution.\textsuperscript{60,61} Small volumes of liquid can be “sandwiched” or encapsulated between these membranes in a secure, water-tight fashion and placed directly in an electron microscope for observation without freezing, drying, or fixation. This “modern” form of liquid-phase electron microscopy has begun to offer a valuable new door opened for electron microscopy in liquid water. In particular, with modern microfabrication techniques it is possible to prepare mechanically robust, electron transparent membranes ranging from a few tens of nanometers to a few atoms thick—far thinner than those available 80 years ago—out of materials like silicon nitride and graphene.\textsuperscript{50,51} Usually, specimens must either be dried,\textsuperscript{45,46} fixed in resin,\textsuperscript{47,48} or flash-frozen in vitreous ice\textsuperscript{42,43,46} to be examined in an electron microscope, all of which inherently quench the rich and, more importantly, functionally relevant dynamics characteristic of biological materials. While there were attempts in the early days of electron microscopy to overcome this limitation\textsuperscript{44,49} using elaborate differential pumping schemes\textsuperscript{44,50} or thin windows,\textsuperscript{51} some even by Ernst Ruska himself (who would eventually win the first Nobel Prize for electron microscopy),\textsuperscript{52} at the time these methods were not widely practicable, and cryo-EM became the predominant tool to investigate the structure of hydrated (albeit immobilized) biological materials in the electron microscope. Recently, cryo-EM-based strategies utilizing high-speed microfluidic spraying\textsuperscript{53,54} or computational tools\textsuperscript{55} have been devised to extract distinct conformational “snapshots” of molecules in vitreous ice, but these snapshots must then be assembled into a continuous dynamic pathway empirically, without direct knowledge of the time scales involved, and a major caveat is that not all states are necessarily captured in such experiments; flexible intermediates or sparsely populated configurations may be altogether lost.

In the meantime, alongside the development of methods to synthesize ultrathin membrane materials over the past 10–15 years,\textsuperscript{56–59} a new door opened for electron microscopy in liquid water. With modern microfabrication techniques, it is possible to prepare mechanically robust, electron transparent membranes ranging from a few tens of nanometers to a few atoms thick—far thinner than those available 80 years ago—out of materials like silicon nitride and graphene.\textsuperscript{50,51} Small volumes of liquid can be “sandwiched” or encapsulated between these membranes in a secure, water-tight fashion and placed directly in an electron microscope for observation without freezing, drying, or fixation. This “modern” form of liquid-phase electron microscopy has begun to offer a valuable new lens into myriad phenomena in abiological and inorganic materials, including nanoparticle self-assembly,\textsuperscript{52–65} atomic crystallization,\textsuperscript{59,66,67} electrochemistry,\textsuperscript{68–71} phase transitions,\textsuperscript{70,72} nanoscale diffusion,\textsuperscript{73–75} and recently certain polymeric systems,\textsuperscript{76–78} with nanometer to atomic resolution.\textsuperscript{60,61} These highly active research directions have been summarized in several recent reviews.\textsuperscript{56,61,63,79–83} Here, however, we focus on a newer development: the application of liquid-phase electron microscopy tools to biological systems at various length scales, including ongoing efforts in whole cell imaging, studies of peptide assembly and aggregation, “single molecule” imaging, and related investigations (Figure 1). Successes as well as experimental challenges for imaging these materials with liquid-phase electron microscopy are highlighted, along with potential routes to expand the capability of the technique to expand the capability of the technique to provide insight into molecular and biologically meaningful phenomena. We anticipate that such developments would establish liquid-phase electron microscopy as a powerful asset in the biophysicist’s toolbox, able to provide new insight into the intricate biomolecular interactions, fluctuations, reactions, and functionality which drive life processes at the nanoscopic level.

2. Imaging Electron-sensitive Materials: Resolution, Radiolysis, and Electron Dose Rates

Because of their overall low atomic number, small size, flexibility, and susceptibility to electron-induced damage, organic and biological materials often pose a challenge for...
electron microscopy characterization, even in the absence of liquid. Overall, both high electron dose rates (high electron flux) and high cumulative electron doses—which might otherwise help improve resolution and the signal-to-noise ratio—can lead to irreversible damage of biological systems, through a combination of radiolysis (including in the surrounding liquid water medium, which generates reactive and damaging radicals that can go on to react with the specimen) and knock-on damage, or the direct displacement of atoms. The physical processes underlying these damage mechanisms have been reviewed elsewhere.\textsuperscript{64-68} Other deleterious effects can include charging of the substrate under sustained electron exposure, which can have both direct and indirect effects (e.g. induction of drift), excessive scattering from thick liquid layers, etc. For all the above reasons, it is imperative to minimize the exposure of biological specimens to the electron beam. In a liquid environment, an additional complication is that damaged species and the harmful products of radiolysis are highly diffusive. For this reason, there have been several efforts in liquid-phase electron microscopy to build on the theory of resolution and electron beam effects from conventional and cryo-EM to understand the reaction–diffusion phenomena also at play under electron illumination in liquid.\textsuperscript{69,70,89} These phenomena, as well as various means to combat them, are discussed in detail below.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Role of the chemical environment in liquid-phase transmission electron microscopy studies: generation of radiolysis species and the role of additives. (a) Electron irradiation of pure water generates a variety of radicals and reactive species, whose concentration based on numerical modeling is expected to reach a steady state within a few milliseconds of illumination. Note that H\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} are the most abundant species produced by this system of radiolysis reactions. (b) Steady state concentration of radiolysis products at neutral pH and various dose rates, and (c) a constant dose rate and various initial pH conditions. Reproduced with permission from ref 68. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society. (d) Experimental “bubble free time” of graphene-encapsulated water with different kinds of additives under electron illumination. For an accelerating voltage of 120 kV and an electron dose rate of 22 e\textsuperscript{-} Å\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{-1}, hydrogen bubbles were generated in pure water within a few seconds. However, addition of glycerol, gallate, sodium chloride, or deuterated water suppressed bubble formation, with the latter being most effective. Adapted with permission from ref 85. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society.}
\end{figure}

In an effort to understand beam-induced radiolysis in liquid semi-quantitatively, Schneider et al. undertook a theoretical modeling approach, considering the primary products of electron beam radiolysis (hydrated electrons, hydrogen and hydroxide radicals, dihydrogen, hydrogen peroxide, protons, and perhydroxyl radicals) and each of the known reactions that these species can undergo with each other and pure water.\textsuperscript{69} Using reaction rate constants compiled from the literature and assuming instantaneous generation of the primary products once the electron beam is applied, they assembled a “complete” reaction diffusion system suitable for numerical analysis (Figure 2a–c). In general, their results on deionized water indicate (i) the concentration of reactive species reaches a steady state extremely rapidly (within a few milliseconds), with dihydrogen and hydrogen peroxide being the predominant products, (ii) the steady-state concentration of all species increases with increasing electron dose rate, and (iii) radiolysis species reach their normal concentration within a few microns of the area illuminated. Result (ii) is of special note because dihydrogen can quickly reach its saturation concentration, which leads to the formation of bubbles in the liquid cell that can deform or otherwise deleteriously interact with “soft” biological specimens. In addition, the pH of the solution is generally decreased under electron illumination, which can have a significant effect on the protonation state of peptides and other molecules, and in turn disrupt the stability and activity of these specimens. Each of these behaviors, however, is sensitive to factors like the starting pH (Figure 2c).

Besides illumination and pH conditions, radiolysis under electron illumination depends on additives in the solvent. With the proper selection of functional groups, this effect can serve as a handle to combat the effects of radiolysis estimated in the work of Schneider et al. (which only considered reactions in pure water),\textsuperscript{69} or manipulate the environment such that the electron beam induces a desired phenomenon.\textsuperscript{52,67,90-92} For example, Woehl and Abellan outlined the ability of a wide variety of additives to “scavenge” radiolysis products based on mutual reactivity.\textsuperscript{93} Bromo, iodo, and thiol groups, for instance, were predicted to be excellent scavengers of hydrated electrons (with reaction rate constant k > 10\textsuperscript{9} M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}), whereas amines and aromatic groups sequester hydroxyl and hydrogen radicals. One conceivable consequence of this phenomenon, with particular relation to biological systems, is that solution conditions under electron illumination may depend on the choice of buffer. It is possible that some may be more “protective” than others. For example, MOPS (3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid) and HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) are two common and similar biological buffers, but the former lacks an alcohol group which can react rapidly with hydroxyl radicals. However, these buffer nuances have not yet been tested experimentally. It is also worth noting that some buffers are empirically easier to encapsulate, particularly when it comes to graphene liquid cells, and so there may be tradeoffs involved. Another finding of this work were solution (and illumination) conditions which could be used to promote overall reducing or overall oxidizing conditions, although these are more relevant
to “hard” material liquid-phase electron microscopy, where they can be used to induce etching or deposition, for example.\textsuperscript{67,90,91}

A second strategy is to change the solvent entirely and use heavy water (i.e., D\textsubscript{2}O or deuterated water).\textsuperscript{94,95} Heavy water has a radiolysis rate 1.1–1.5 times slower than regular water,\textsuperscript{96} and therefore generates harmful species much more slowly. This radiolysis suppression effect was recently verified experimentally, when Wang et al. found that, compared to additives like glycerol, heavy water can drastically increase the “bubble-free time” of graphene liquid cells illuminated with electrons\textsuperscript{96} (bubbles are currently believed to consist of H\textsubscript{2}/D\textsubscript{2} vapor generated by radiolysis). Using 120 kV electrons and a dose rate of 22 e\textsuperscript{−} Å\textsuperscript{–2} s\textsuperscript{−1}, pure water graphene liquid cells tended to have a bubble-free time of tens of seconds, whereas heavy water graphene liquid cells could be illuminated for hundreds of seconds before formation of bubbles due to radiolysis (Figure 2d). It must be noted, however, that the structure and flexibility of biomolecules can be significantly altered in D\textsubscript{2}O.\textsuperscript{97,98} Hydrogen bonds involving deuterium are slightly different, which can disrupt enzyme active sites, inhibit formation of the delicate mitotic spindle in eukaryotes, etc.\textsuperscript{99,100}

Other non-buffer additives may also have tradeoffs; glycerol, for example, has been found to compact the structure of some proteins\textsuperscript{101,102} and may have other effects, such as increasing the viscosity of the surrounding medium. Other additives may have specific interactions with proteins. In all, the protective potential of additives must be weighed against how they might impact the biophysical phenomena in question, if such chemicals are used.

In addition to the chemical environmental effects described above, radiolysis and resolution in liquid-phase electron microscopy also depend on a number of physical factors, especially the liquid thickness, but also the presence of interfaces, the choice of substrate material, etc. Regarding liquid thickness, inelastic scattering of the electron beam as it passes through windows or the solvent not only reduces overall imaging contrast; it also “spreads” the beam energy distribution (chromatic spread), both in scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), which lowers the achievable resolution (Figure 3a).\textsuperscript{103–105} For example, de Jonge estimated that increasing the liquid thickness beyond ~100 nm rapidly degrades resolution, for both bright field and dark field imaging modes, due to excessive inelastic scattering. This beam broadening behavior in thick liquid layers carries its own set of experimental best practices. For example, in STEM mode, it is usually better to image phenomena taking place at the upper window of the liquid chamber, but for TEM mode, the theoretical resolution of phenomena at the lower window is higher.\textsuperscript{80,103} Besides just changing focus, one way to implement this strategy is to functionalize only one of the windows (e.g., poly-L-lysine can be used to grow cells preferentially on one of the two windows\textsuperscript{104}).

Another important consideration relates to the presence of interfaces in the liquid cell. In particular, in a follow-up to the work of Schneider et al.,\textsuperscript{69} it was suggested that the steady state behavior of radiolysis species in the vicinity of interfaces can be drastically changed through the generation of secondary and backscattered electrons (SBEs, Figure 3b)\textsuperscript{89} Through this process materials including silicon nitride can act as an...
additional source of reactive species, and thereby increase the total effective dose in their periphery. Graphene, however, not only contributes negligibly to SBE generation, but, by virtue of its conductivity, may also serve as a radical scavenger.89 This protective capability has indeed been observed experimentally, in vacuum, in vitreous ice,106,107, and in liquid.74,108,109 For example, although electron diffraction can involve subjecting materials to relatively high electron doses, the use of a graphene substrate allowed for the detection of structural information even from small, ~30 nm protein “nanobundles” in negative stain electron microscopy (Figure 3c).45 Similarly, in cryo-EM, graphene substrates help suppress beam-induced substrate charging, which can cause drift of the specimen and loss of resolution (Figure 3d).106,107,110 Using graphene for liquid-phase electron microscopy experiments can be a challenge to put into practice, due to its out of plane fragility when the substrate is prepared or pockets are formed. Thus there have been some recent efforts to make their preparation more robust and reproducible (handling approaches,111–113 synthesis routes to produce higher quality and single-crystalline graphene,114 etc.) Nevertheless, the protective capabilities of graphene have also begun to be shown in liquid-phase TEM. For example, Cho et al. observed that, while gold nanoparticle–DNA superlattices encapsulated in graphene liquid cells could retain their structure at dose rates up to 250 e− Å−2 s−1 (Figure 3e, top), even for several minutes, when the same structures were examined in silicon nitride liquid cells using the same illumination conditions, they began to disintegrate within one minute (Figure 3e, bottom).108 However, coating the inner surfaces of the silicon nitride cell with graphene, or adding graphene oxide flakes to the solution, allowed these structures to be observed for longer times. In another study, encapsulating microtubules between graphene sheets was found to preserve structural information at resolutions of 5 nm or better, even after a total accumulated dose in excess of 500 e− Å−2 (Figure 3f).115 In this investigation, the protective capability of graphene was suggested to exceed even that achieved through the use of cryogenic temperatures. In short, although quantitative and mechanistic understanding of these various protective effects is still being developed, graphene windows and overall thinner liquid pockets can be advantageous for multiple reasons.

3. Liquid-phase Electron Microscopy, from Cells to Molecules

3.1 Whole cells

The study of intracellular dynamics has long been the domain of optical microscopy, with electron microscopy historically used to examine the overall ultrastructure of fixed and stained cells (the morphology and distribution of organelles, cell–cell adhesions, the cytoskeleton, etc.).116,117 However, as discussed above, a number of dynamic cellular processes are beyond the reach of optical imaging methods. As such, and given their size, whole cells were among the earliest biological materials examined with liquid-phase electron microscopy56,104 and, to date, phenomena including the dynamic distribution of membrane proteins,79,104,118 the surface localization of viral particles,119,120 and the behavior of metallic nanoparticles at cell peripheries121 have been studied. Although eukaryotic cells tend to be much larger (and thus more difficult to penetrate with an electron beam), they have been the predominant targets. In such investigations, contrast is often improved through the use of metallic nanoparticle or quantum dot labels on features of interest. While this strategy is reminiscent of super-resolution optical microscopy methods, at least one major advantage of liquid-phase electron microscopy is that nanoparticles do not need to be as sparsely distributed, so clustering, stoichiometry, and other behaviors of labeled features are readily accessible at 3–5 nm spatial resolution and relatively high temporal resolution.104,118 Whole cell liquid-phase electron microscopy has also seen the greatest use of correlative characterization (mainly alongside fluorescence microscopy),109,122,123 as discussed in more detail below.

3.1.1 Eukaryotic cells. The majority of whole-cell liquid-phase electron microscopy investigations have considered eukaryotic cell types. These include COS7 fibroblast cells,79,104 Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells,109 SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells,79,118 PC3 and LNCaP prostate cancer cells,124 glioblastoma stem cells,121 and yeast cells.125 In general, eukaryotic cells are much larger than bacteria (>5 μm), and so it is challenging to penetrate their thickest regions—like in the vicinity of the nucleus—using reasonable electron doses in conventional TEM without first washing away the cell membrane.109 For this reason, STEM has been used more often for such studies, and sometimes further supplemented by nanoparticle or quantum dot labels on specific features of interest to achieve improved signal-to-noise (i.e., through atomic number, or Z-contrast). However, unlabeled eukaryotic cells can be imaged with TEM if graphene liquid cells are used,109 or if the focus is on phenomena at the much thinner cell edge.121

In what was one of the first modern liquid-phase electron microscopy studies of biological materials, de Jonge et al. used liquid-phase STEM to examine the distribution of epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFRs) in COS7 fibroblast cells.104 They grew fibroblasts directly on a poly-L-lysine-coated silicon nitride window, which allowed preferential adherence to one side of the liquid chamber, and then incubated these cells with EGFRs labeled with 10 nm gold nanoparticles for various durations before sealing the silicon nitride chamber and inserting it into the microscope for imaging. As expected, cells incubated with gold-labeled EGFRs for a short time (5 min) exhibited a mostly random distribution of labeled EGFRs, and clusters of at most 2–9 nanoparticles/EGFRs (Figure 4a). However, when the same receptors were incubated with cells for 10–25 min, larger and roughly circular clusters were observed, which the authors attributed to incorporation into round intracellular endosomes (Figure 4b). The overall resolution of their results was estimated to be about 4 nm and, using a theoretical model based on the balance between elastic and inelastic scattering in the liquid chamber, it was proposed that nanoparticles as small as ~2 nm could be detected and localized with liquid-phase STEM in a
chamber several microns thick. Later, using a similar approach (but using quantum dot-labeled antibodies targeting the HER2 receptor in SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells), the authors demonstrated a more surprising result.\cite{118} HER2 receptors are strongly implicated in breast cancer progression, and their differential expression and organization across and among cells is associated with metastatic potential but is not well understood. In their correlative optical microscopy–liquid STEM investigation, fluorescence and phase contrast microscopy revealed that SK-BR-3 cells had either flat or “ruffled–unruffled” morphologies. Following this observation, precise localization of the quantum-dot labeled receptors in STEM enabled the authors to show quantitatively that the clustering/dimerization of HER2 was different in each of these regions (Figure 4c,d). “Ruffled” regions in “ruffled–unruffled” cells tended to exhibit a high degree of HER2 homodimerization and labeling density, whereas “flat” cells appeared to completely lack HER2 homodimers, and instead exhibited a more random HER2 distribution (Figure 4e,f). It was proposed that this heterogeneity of protein expression could be linked with drug resistance mechanisms and metastatic potential.\cite{118}

In a related study using liquid-phase TEM, rather than STEM, Pohlmann et al. monitored the distribution of gold nanorods at the periphery of glioblastoma stem cells (Figure 5a).\cite{121} Gold nanoparticles are attractive both as bioimaging contrast agents (at larger scales) and as potential drug delivery systems, but their interactions with cell membranes at the nanoscopic level—the critical first step for cellular internalization—is not well understood. Pohlmann et al. first grew glioblastoma stem cells enriched with the NOTCH1 receptor to attach them to custom silicon nitride microwells by immunoaffinity capture. Gold nanorods coated in polyvinylpyridine were then added before sealing the microwells, and imaged with an accelerating voltage of 120 kV and low electron dose rates (0.5 e⁻ Å⁻² s⁻¹). Nanorods were observed to be concentrated near the cell periphery and, in some cases, the nanorods “inside” the cells but still near the membrane exhibited diffusive motion (Figure 5a, right). Surprisingly, glioblastoma cells were observed to “retract” in response to more dilute nanorods solutions, across multiple experiments, which was attributed either to beam damage or cytotoxicity (although ex situ cell viability assays showed little cytotoxicity from the nanorods). Overall, however, this study showed that real-time visualization of nanoparticles in single cells could be achieved using TEM, as well as STEM.

Whole cells have also been imaged without the use of nanoparticles, using thinner cell types (such as yeast) or thinner windows/chambers (e.g., graphene). For example, Peckys et al. were able to study the behavior of various strains of Schizosaccharomyces pombe (wild type and a series of three mutants) in liquid-phase STEM.\cite{122} Compared to their previous experiments using nanoparticle labels, which achieved resolutions between 3 and 5 nm,\cite{79,104,118} these studies only achieved a resolution of ~30 nm using a cumulative electron dose of about 0.22 e⁻ Å⁻². However, this resolution was sufficient to reveal structures like the cell wall (0.1–0.2 µm thick), primary and secondary septa, at least two types of intracellular vesicles, etc. Using Monte Carlo simulations to estimate the contrast of different possible cellular components, the authors proposed that “light” vesicles may have corresponded to dense peroxisomes, whereas “dark” vesicles may have corresponded to lipid droplets. The various yeast mutants under investigation were also shown to exhibit distinct behaviors (e.g. “chaining” of spn3Δ mutants undergoing division) and morphologies (orb-25 mutants were rounder than wild type S. pombe). Park et al., meanwhile, used graphene liquid cells to encapsulate unlabeled MDCK cells for investigation (Figure 5b–g).\cite{109} For mechanical stability, either multilayer (3–10 layer) graphene was used, or a combination of a graphene top membrane and a silicon nitride bottom membrane. The MDCK cells were cultured on either substrate and, after verifying their presence with fluorescence microscopy (Figure 5b), imaged in TEM mode at 120 kV (Figure 5c). Due to the low background scattering of graphene, they were able to use lower electron doses than previous studies.\cite{104} The same cells were then reexamined under fluorescence microscopy, to validate their overall structural integrity (Figure 4a–d). Adapted with permission from ref 103. Copyright 2015 American Academy of Arts and Sciences.

![Figure 4: Liquid-phase electron microscopy of whole eukaryotic cells. (a-b) COS7 fibroblast cells incubated with nanoparticle-labeled EGFR for 5 min (a) and 10–25 min (b) as visualized with liquid-phase STEM. The distribution of EGFRs was initially observed to be random but after longer incubation, circular clusters were observed, and attributed to internalization of the receptors in endosomes. Reproduced from ref 93. Copyright 2009 National Academy of Sciences. (c-f) Quantum dot-tagged HER2 receptors on SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells visualized by fluorescence microscopy (c) and STEM (d). Fluorescence microscopy revealed a subpopulation of cells exhibiting “ruffled” and “unruffled” regions. Quantification of the HER2 distribution in STEM revealed that these regions had distinct organization of HER2. Unruffled regions (box 1 in panel d) exhibited a random distribution of HER2 (on the basis of the radial distribution function shown in e), whereas ruffled regions (box 2 in d) tended to exhibit a high degree of HER2 dimerization (on the basis of the radial distribution function shown in f). Scale bars: as indicated and 10 µm for (c) and (d).](image-url)
Figure 5: Glioblastoma cells and MDCK cells imaged in silicon nitride and graphene liquid cells, respectively. (a) Low-magnification view of gold nanorods incubated with glioblastoma stem cells in a silicon nitride liquid cell. Nanorods within the cell were observed to diffuse dynamically (right) across tens of seconds. Adapted with permission from ref 106. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. (b–g) Sequential observation of MDCK cells by fluorescence microscopy and electron microscopy. Panels (b) and (d) were taken before and after electron microscopy examination. (e–g) Progressively magnified views of the boxed regions (red to blue to yellow) in (c). These MDCK cells had been stripped of their cytoplasm by detergent treatment, but the cytoskeletal structure is visible in great detail. Scale bars: as indicated and 50 nm (b). Adapted with permission from ref 100. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.

3.1.2 Prokaryotic cells. The smaller number of prokaryotic cell studies in liquid-phase electron microscopy have focused primarily on interactions between bacteria and viruses, such as bacteriophage P1 or the flagellotropic phage 7-7-1 (Figure 6). For example, Kennedy et al. studied AW405 and K12 E. coli cells incubated with P1 phage using liquid-phase STEM. To improve imaging contrast without nanoparticle labels, using ex situ live/dead assays they first estimated the amount of uranyl acetate—a common biological stain that provides Z-contrast—for which E. coli cells could remain viable in a minimal phosphate medium. These experiments suggested that at least 90% of E. coli cells remained intact in environments with ≤0.1% uranyl acetate. Moving forward, E. coli cells stained with 0.1% uranyl acetate were imaged in liquid-phase STEM at various doses between 0 and 0.8 e⁻ Å⁻² per frame before post mortem analysis. These experiments suggested that the median lethal electron dose for E. coli under these conditions is around 0.3 e⁻ Å⁻² for 300 kV electrons (although elsewhere it was argued that more rigorous routes should be taken to estimate the lethal dose). Unfortunately, few details of the E. coli cells could be discerned at such a dose, but with an illumination of 0.66 e⁻ Å⁻², it was possible to make out inner and outer membranes, flagella, and other features (Figure 6a,b). Dynamic motions of the P1 bacteriophage at the cell boundary were also observed, at a dose rate of 0.16 e⁻ Å⁻² per frame (Figure 6c). Notably, the tail sheath of the virus in projection appeared to “contract,” but at the demonstrated resolution it is difficult to decouple this observation from overall rotation or diffusion of the virus due to Brownian motion in three dimensions.

In their study, Dearnaley et al. devised an innovative way to investigate cell–virus interactions in three dimensions, using liquid cell electron tomography. In tomography, a series of two-dimensional projections are collected from several different viewing angles and used to reconstruct mathematically a three-dimensional volume. Normally, the geometry of the silicon nitride liquid cell makes it difficult to reach a sufficient range of tilt angles to achieve a full 3D reconstruction. To overcome this limitation, Dearnaley et al. developed a “hybrid” chamber composed of a silicon nitride microchip and a collodion/carbon-coated TEM grid. Using this set-up they collected images of a flagellotrophic phage (Figure 6d) and of the same phages associated with Agrobacterium sp. H13-13 (Figure 6e) from various perspectives (±35°). Interactions between phages and the bacterium in various orientations, both along the cell body and near flagella, were revealed. It is notable that graphene liquid cells may also be able to accommodate a high range of tilt angles. However, regardless of whether silicon nitride or graphene liquid cells are used, it is important to consider that this method assumes that the
features to be reconstructed are essentially static for the time scale over which each individual tilt series is collected. Thus, the achievable spatial resolution is governed not only by the imaging set-up and the accessible range of angles, but also the length scales at which in question may have changed or moved during tilt series acquisition. This motion could include any normal, Brownian rotation of the specimen as it is in liquid, which would make tilt series alignment more of a challenge. Were several tilt series over time to be collected, a similar caveat applies to temporal resolution. Similarly, tomography specimens accumulate a significant total electron dose in the process of collecting a tilt series (generally several tens of images are required).

In a very recent study, Koo et al. used hybrid silicon nitride–graphene liquid cells to image the morphology of various bacterial cells (Escherichia coli, Lactococcus lactis, and Bacillus subtilis). In this correlative study, the cells were supported on the silicon nitride chip and “blanketed” with graphene before being imaged with fluorescence microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, or atomic force microscopy. After overall morphological examination of each cell type, they evaluated the growth of E. coli which had subjected to electron exposure of various durations and with and without the graphene blanket. These experiments provided evidence that cells can proliferate after being exposed to as many as $3 \times 10^6$ 10 keV electrons per cell when blanketed by graphene, but could sustain two orders of magnitude or fewer electrons when not covered, another experimental validation of the protective potential of graphene.

3.2 Protein crystallization, Peptide assembly, and Pharmaceutical aggregation

Understanding how proteins and peptides assemble and aggregate at the nanoscopic level is critical for both fundamental science and technological applications. For example, insight into the molecular scale dynamics of proteins as they crystallize could aid X-ray and electron crystallography studies, which are often limited by difficulties in preparing large and ordered protein crystals. Despite this challenge, these tools remain indispensable for probing the atomic scale structure of biological materials. Such knowledge also could suggest novel ways to grow synthetic assemblies, made of polymers, nanocrystals, etc. Understanding the nuances of nanoscale protein interactions in liquid could also lead to strategies to improve the stability of peptide-based drugs and therapeutics, aggregation-prone proteins, and more. Several recent liquid-phase electron microscopy investigations (mostly TEM) in this vein are summarized in more detail below.

3.2.1 Peptide assembly and protein crystallization

The protein lysozyme readily crystallizes into a variety of structures depending on solution conditions, and has therefore become a model system both for fundamental studies of protein crystallization and for testing new electron imaging and diffraction techniques. In two studies, Yamazaki et al. were able to use low-dose liquid-phase TEM to study nanoscale nucleation, growth, and defect annealing in individual lysozyme crystals (Figure 7). For example, using silicon nitride liquid cells and electron dose rates less than $3.2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, the authors observed the formation of two types of structures: ordered lysozyme crystals (Figure 7a, as evidenced by the diffraction pattern in Figure 7c) and dense, amorphous lysozyme clusters (Figure 7b, as evidenced by a ring-like diffraction pattern in 7d).

In a conventional two-step crystallization pathway, such amorphous clusters would be expected to serve as a medium for the formation of a dense and ordered, final crystal form. However, in their experiments, Yamazaki et al. did not observe the formation of crystals in the interior of such clusters; instead, lysozyme crystals were nucleated heterogeneously, either directly on the silicon nitride substrate (Figure 7a) or on the surface of the amorphous clusters (Figure 7b). At $3.2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, these crystals grew continuously, but if the electron dose rate was increased to $290 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, the crystals became damaged and dissolved. In a follow-up study, the authors examined the diffusion of defects in much larger lysozyme crystals. Surprisingly, void-like features tens of nanometers in size within large, faceted crystals had high mobility, indicating that crystal bonds can rapidly rearrange and exchange to anneal out defects. It was proposed that, especially in small crystals, such defect mobility could lead to large scale reconfiguration, even to the extent of solid–solid phase transitions.

Figure 7: Nucleation and growth of lysozyme crystals visualized by liquid-phase TEM. (a–b) Crystal nucleation was observed to be heterogeneous, occurring either on the silicon nitride substrate (a) or from the surface of dense amorphous aggregates. (c–d) The structure of the crystalline (c) and amorphous (d) phases was verified by electron diffraction; amorphous aggregates show only “rings”, indicating a lack of long-range order. Scale bars: 200 nm (a–c), 500 nm (d). Reproduced from ref 125. Copyright 2017 National Academy of Sciences.

In a more recent study, Touve et al. used liquid-phase TEM to investigate cyclic and linear peptides which assemble either inherently (the case for linear peptides) or after covalent modification (the case for cyclic peptides). A significant portion of this work was devoted to assessing the impact of the electron beam, through a series of post mortem characterization experiments using matrix assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS). In particular, the authors collected MALDI spectra from unilluminated, chamber-bound peptides and from peptides which had been illuminated in liquid chambers with various electron dose rates (0.1–30 $\text{ e}^{-}\text{Å}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), for various durations (0 to ~50 min) and with various illumination patterns (1 s pulses every minute or continuous illumination). In general it was observed that either low dose, pulsed,
or low dose + pulsed illumination could preserve the integrity of the linear peptide for at least 30 minutes, whereas both pulsed and low dose illumination was required to preserve the cyclic one. Using the latter condition, the authors then induced assembly of the cyclic peptide by introducing either tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) or the enzyme thermolysin, which linearize the peptide and allow it to assemble (Figure 8). Both ex situ and in situ (both on silicon nitride substrates), early stages of peptide assembly were indicated, ~11 min after adding TCEP or ~25 min after adding thermolysin. The expected ribbon and sheet-like structures were not observed, but this result was attributed either to (i) the lack of buffering salt in the medium or (ii) strong interactions between the peptides and the silicon nitride substrate, which would frustrate proper assembly. Notably, assembly of the cyclic peptide under liquid-phase TEM was not observed when thermolysin was not added to the mixture, indicating that the enzyme at least partially maintained its bond-breaking activity in these experiments.

Figure 8: Assembly and aggregation of peptides visualized by liquid-phase TEM in silicon nitride chambers and ex situ. (a–d) Liquid-phase TEM snapshots of cyclic peptide and TCEP under low dose, pulsed conditions. Assembly into dense aggregates was observed in the pure water conditions used for liquid-phase TEM. (e–g) Other views of the same liquid cell from regions which had not been previously irradiated, demonstrating similar assembly in presumably undamaged areas. (h–l) Morphology development of peptide assemblies which were assembled ex situ in a test tube before application to the silicon nitride window. (m–n) Post mortem MALDI–MS imaging of the silicon nitride window shown in a–g. (o) Averaged MALDI spectrum of the region highlighted in (n) and from the whole chip. Reproduced from ref 129.

3.3.3 Protein aggregation and phase-separation. Peptide and protein aggregation into disordered structures are also important phenomena, with relevance to the stability of peptide-based pharmaceuticals, the partitioning of biomolecules into cellular droplets by liquid–liquid phase separation (a.k.a. coacervation), and different types of pathogenic protein aggregation. These phenomena have seen the greatest experimental attention in the form of ensemble spectroscopy or optical microscopy experiments.137,138,146,147 but the heterogeneous processes occurring at the nanoscale are less understood. In this regard, liquid-phase electron microscopy studies in this field are beginning to appear. For example, DiMemmo et al. used silicon nitride liquid cells to investigate the aggregation behavior of PEGylated Interferon α2a (a.k.a. Pegasys®, by Roche), a commercial drug conjugate, under various environmental conditions (pH changes, temperature changes, etc.).136 Their observations showed that, especially in response to high temperature (50–60 °C), Pegasys® could form large, amorphous protein aggregates. These aggregates, suspended in HEPES buffer, could be discerned migrating in liquid chambers with and without the use of staining at 120 kV, and many were observed to increase in size within 40 s. In some cases, aggregate chains formed, which, based on ex situ experiments, were proposed to influence immune protein clustering and aggregation.

Meanwhile, le Ferrand et al. studied the phase separation of histidine-rich beak protein 2 (HBP-2), a self-coacervating protein that, under particular solution conditions, condenses into ~1 µm droplets through hydrophobic interactions.148 By combining stain-free, real-time liquid-phase TEM observations with ensemble tools like circular dichroism spectroscopy and dynamic light scattering, they were able to follow the liquid–liquid phase separation of HBP-2 at various ionic strengths and initial protein concentrations. First an experimental phase diagram of HBP-2 was determined ex situ, and high salt conditions, or pH conditions near the isoelectric point of HBP-2, were shown to produce dense HBP-2 droplets after a brief lag time. These conditions were selected for dynamic liquid-phase TEM observation. At relatively high ionic strength (0.125 M NaCl), for example, dense droplets hundreds of nanometers in diameter were observed in liquid-phase TEM to grow continuously, whereas at a lower ionic strength (0.1 M NaCl), dense droplets would grow but then “dissolve”, presumably as a result of transient interactions, into small oligomeric units. In the high ionic strength regime, cluster growth followed an approximately diffusion-limited form, with a power law of ~t^0.61.

3.3 Single Molecules and Single Proteins

Perhaps the ultimate goal in liquid-phase electron microscopy of biological systems is to push spatial and temporal resolution to their limits, and observe simultaneously the structure and dynamics of individual, hydrated proteins or biomolecules. Such a capability would offer an unprecedented lens into nanoscale biophysics, and help bridge knowledge gaps between their structure, dynamics, and function occurring at the molecular level. Several promising studies, especially those using graphene liquid cells for improved sample protection and signal-to-noise, have begun to push this limit and image individual viruses,149,150 ferritin molecules,151 cytoskeletal structures, and even DNA152,153 in a liquid environment.

3.3.1 Cytoskeletal fibers. Cytoskeletal fibers, such actin bundles, microtubules, etc., were among the first protein structures observed with liquid-phase TEM, perhaps in part due to their readily identifiable anisotropic shape and periodic features (e.g., helical
symmetry). For example, as early as 2012, Mirschaidov et al. encapsulated acrosomal actin bundles in silicon nitride liquid cells for imaging (Figure 9a–c).²¹² Fourier enhancement of the periodic features in these bundles allowed them to visualize the helical repeat unit comprising the bundles with approximately 2.7 nm resolution (Figure 9c), using 120 kV electrons and a cumulative dose of 35 e⁻ A⁻² s⁻¹ for both silicon nitride liquid cell TEM and cryo-EM at 120 or 400 kV. Surprisingly, periodic features observed at 2.7 ± 5 nm appeared to decay much more slowly at room temperature in liquid compared to at 98 K in vitreous ice, regardless of the accelerating voltage used.²¹² The origins of this phenomenon are still under investigation. On the other hand, graphene’s hypothesized protective capabilities were evidenced in the study by Keskin et al.¹¹⁵ They also tracked the decay of high resolution features in response to accumulated electron doses between 0 and 80 e⁻ A⁻² s⁻¹ for both silicon nitride liquid cell TEM and cryo-EM at 120 or 400 kV. Surprisingly, periodic features observed at 2.7 and 5 nm appeared to decay much more slowly at room temperature in liquid compared to at 98 K in vitreous ice, regardless of the accelerating voltage used.²¹² The origins of this phenomenon are still under investigation. On the other hand, graphene’s hypothesized protective capabilities were evidenced in the study by Keskin et al.¹¹⁵

3.3.2 Viral particles and ferritins. Large protein structures, such as viruses, or protein structures containing high atomic number elements, such as ferritins and other metalloproteins, are also natural candidates for single-protein liquid-phase electron microscopy studies because they can exhibit high contrast against the liquid background. These two classes of proteins have indeed been the subject of a number of liquid-phase TEM investigations. For example, as early as 2012, Gilmore et al. demonstrated an affinity capture device to secure icosaheiral rotavirus double layer particles (DLPs) in silicon nitride liquid cells.¹⁴¹ These affinity capture systems involve coating the silicon nitride membrane with a small fraction of nickel–nitrotriacetate (Ni–NTA)-functionalized lipids, followed by histidine-tagged protein A as an adaptor to polyclonal antibodies for the DLPs (Figure 10a). Tethering the viruses in this fashion restricts translational and rotational motion, but at the same time this limits blurring of the specimen due to Brownian motion. A low concentration of contrast agent (uranyl formate) enabled the DLPs to be viewed in excellent detail, even at a dose of 5 e⁻ Å⁻² (Figure 10b). The resolution was significant enough that, with enforcement of icosaheiral (60-fold) symmetry, 2.8 nm resolution 3D reconstructed models could be generated using standard cryo-EM routines (Figure 10c). In a similar study, Varano et al. used this DLP affinity capture system to achieve real-time imaging, and were able to capture some degree of lateral virus displacement over time, in some cases with hints of ~8–21 nm stretches of viral mRNA visible on the virus exterior.¹⁵⁰ Meanwhile, Park was able to examine the structure of H3N2 influenza viruses using graphene liquid cells and without the use of contrast-enhancing agents.¹⁵⁹ Following encapsulation between multilayer graphene sheets, the shells of H3N2 viruses were readily visible at 120 kV using highly defocused conditions (up to 3 µm) and a dose of ~5 e⁻ Å⁻² per image (Figure 10d–f). Continuous imaging of the viruses, however, led to contrast reduction and eventual degradation, even in GLCs.

Metalloproteins such as ferritin are generally smaller than viral capsids but contain heavy metal components which provide atomic number contrast in electron microscopy. Taking advantage of this, Wang et al. imaged ferritin encapsulated in single-layer graphene
3.3.3 Nucleic acids. The fluctuations, self-assembly, and stability of nucleic acids like DNA and RNA are critical for the proper replication and storage of genetic information in living things. While some nucleic acid molecules are long enough for their dynamics to be studied using fluorescence microscopy (λ-DNA, large bacterial plasmids, etc.), it is much more difficult to image DNA and RNA at the nanometer level, where their mechanics, inter- and intramolecular interactions, and fluctuations are more complex. Nevertheless, although DNA is much smaller than proteins like ferritin and viruses (the double helix has a diameter of just 2 nm), a high negative charge density and the stability of the phosphodiester bonds which form the DNA backbone have made it possible to study different properties of single- and double-DNA strands using graphene liquid cell TEM. For example, to improve imaging contrast, Chen et al. prepared single- and double-stranded DNA structures capped at one or more ends with small, ~5 nm gold nanoparticles and imaged them using moderate electron dose rates (60–100 e⁻ Å⁻² s⁻¹). These structures exhibited ample translational and rotational diffusion in the graphene liquid pockets, which allowed the gold nanoparticle tags to be tracked for nearly 100 s (Figure 11a,b). The highly correlated translational motion of gold nanoparticles joined by varying lengths of double-stranded DNA provided compelling evidence that phosphodiester bonds remained intact throughout the imaging process (Figure 11c). The integrity of DNA under these imaging conditions was further verified with gold–DNA trimers, for which there was diffusional correlation for both directly and indirectly linked gold nanoparticles (Figure 11d–f).

Figure 10: Liquid-phase electron microscopy of individual viral particles (a–f) and ferritins (g–h). (a) Affinity capture scheme to secure double layer particles to the silicon nitride window. (b) Corresponding snapshot of double layer particles in liquid. (c) cryo-EM reconstruction routines based on the icosahedral symmetry of the virus were used to compare the resolution achieved in liquid to the resolution achieved in ice. Adapted with permission from refs 133 and 134. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry. (d) Low-magnification and (e–f) high-magnification view of H3N2 virus encapsulated in graphene liquid cells. The white arrow in (f) indicates a denser region which may correspond to internalized genetic material. Adapted with permission from ref 100. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. (g) low-magnification view of ferritin encapsulated in a graphene liquid cell. The ~8 nm features correspond to the dense, iron oxide hydroxide cores of ferritin. (h) Elemental map of nitrogen, iron, and oxygen achieved through EELS and the corresponding annular dark field (ADF) image. Adapted with permission from ref 135. Copyright 2014 John Wiley and Sons. Scale bars: as indicated and 100 nm (b), 30 nm (c).

Figure 11: Liquid phase electron microscopy of labelled (a–f) and unlabelled (g–h) DNA in graphene liquid cells. (a) Schematic and (b) representative TEM snapshots of a linear DNA strand capped with two gold nanoparticles. The rotational diffusion leads to changes in the projected view over time. (c) Example trajectories from singly-tagged DNA and doubly-tagged DNA of different lengths. Reproduced with permission from ref 73. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society. (d–f) Schematic, snapshots, and tracked trajectories of a branched trimer, for which a distinct orientation can be defined. (g) Trajectory of two, initially flexible and globular “halves” of a 30-basepair helix as they meet and elongate into a rod-like form. (h) “trial-and-
error” interaction when three 30-basepair sequences with different affinity meet. Reproduced from ref 90. Copyright 2020 National Academy of Sciences.

More recently, Wang et al. used a combination of modified graphene liquid cells, radiolysis-resistant D₂O as a solvent, and low voltage conditions to study unlabelled DNA. Liquid pockets were prepared with initially unhybridized DNA oligomers, whose diffusion, interactions, and assembly were then tracked across periods of ~100 s at dose rates of 2–10 e⁻ Å⁻² s⁻¹. A variety of processes were monitored. For example, in a solution of complementary oligomers, pairs of initially round, globular structures were observed to diffuse together and slowly “stretch” into a rod-like shape (Figure 11g). This behaviour was attributed to unpaired DNA strands (which are flexible, with a persistence length of ~2 nm, and therefore globular) colliding and gradually interlacing into a double-stranded DNA double helix (which is stiff, with a persistence length of ~50 nm, and therefore rod-like). A diversity of other behaviours was observed. For example, in the case of three oligomers colliding (Figure 11h), it was observed that all would initially overlap with each other until one (represented in blue, Figure 11h) eventually diffused away, allowing the remaining two oligomers to “stretch” and form a hybridized rod. These and other trial-and-error events were proposed to be important for understanding transient error and error correction along pathways to DNA hybridization.

4. Outlook and Conclusion

As an imaging technique with a singular combination of spatial and temporal resolution, liquid-phase electron microscopy offers a unique lens into nanoscale phenomena. The diverse ways this capability has thus far been applied in "living" systems have been highlighted in this review. Nevertheless, these efforts likely mark only the beginning of liquid-phase electron microscopy’s usefulness to study biological and biomolecular systems; through further technical and instrumental advancements, improved understanding of environments exposed to electron illumination, greater synergy with existing experimental tools, etc., the capability of liquid-phase electron microscopy to reveal exciting and meaningful biological insight are expected to greatly expand.

One route forward involves expanding the types of experiments which can be performed in liquid cells, particularly in graphene liquid cells, and especially developing protocols to do these experiments with high reproducibility. For example, with commercially available silicon nitride liquid chambers, it is already possible to apply a variety of external stimuli, such as heating/cooling, electric fields, optical (laser) stimulation, and the influx of certain solutes, and follow the subsequent evolution of the specimen in a controlled way. These capabilities have been used to monitor many processes in inorganic materials, including galvanic replacement, charge–discharge cycles in battery materials, drying-induced phenomena, and nanoparticle assembly. However, when it comes to graphene liquid cells, in which biological materials can be studied with higher contrast, higher resolution, and greater stability, such environmental control is more difficult. In particular, because graphene liquid cell “pockets” in their current form tend to be irregular in size and wholly cut off from other solutions, it is challenging to alter the encapsulated environment in situ without using the electron beam itself (i.e., through radiolysis effects, to increase the pH or introduce radicals). A "graphene flow cell,” therefore, or a graphene liquid cell in which in situ mixing could be performed, would greatly expand experimental possibilities: observing how enzymes respond to the influx of their substrate, studies of biomineralization, visualizing how biomolecular fluctuations change in response to different physicochemical environments in general, etc. Two types of recently demonstrated, lithographically patterned graphene liquid cells may design inspiration to this end. Developing more advanced liquid cell designs may also help address difficulties like controlling liquid thickness, a technical challenge currently shared by both graphene and silicon nitride liquid cells. While graphene liquid cells are irregular in size due to the stochastic formation of liquid pockets during the sealing process, in silicon nitride liquid cells it is difficult to control the liquid thickness because the thin windows of the chamber are prone to bowing outward, which is in turn sensitive to factors like the rate of liquid flow. These factors can lead to loss of reproducibility not only in sample geometry, but also (for reasons described in section 2) resolution. One can measure the thickness of a liquid cell in situ, using a technique like electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS), and potentially adapt the experiment accordingly. Addressing the challenges associated with handling graphene described in section 2 will be another important part of these efforts.

In addition to experimental capabilities, developments in data handling and image processing would offer new opportunities, particularly for very-low dose imaging. Machine learning-based strategies, for example, are becoming more and more standard in high resolution fluorescence microscopy investigations, to improve signal-to-noise, perform image segmentation, classify or identify features of interest, and in general increase the amount of useful information which can be garnered from imaging datasets. Many of the associated algorithms are open-source or publically available. However, the emergence of these computational approaches into liquid-phase electron microscopy investigations has been slower. Strategies like compressive sensing have begun to be applied to interrogate certain inorganic materials with liquid-phase STEM and low electron doses and/or high acquisition speeds. In addition, we recently demonstrated a machine-learning based approach based on electron scattering theory, to segment low-dose liquid-phase electron microscopy movies and extract quantitative physical insight. Nevertheless, widespread use of these types of computational tools is forthcoming. Note that machine learning-based tools may serve in many roles besides improving image quality and information content, such as to identify unique dynamic phenomena, reducing the dimensionality of complex physical datasets, etc. Overall, liquid-phase electron microscopy may benefit from more widespread adoption of these and related computational tools.

Expanding correlative characterization and correlative imaging will also be an important part of making liquid-phase electron microscopy observations translatable to “real” bulk systems. So far, correlative characterization has mostly been applied in the form of correlative light–electron microscopy (CLEM), especially on studies of whole cells. In CLEM, electron microscopy primarily provides structural information, while light (fluorescence) microscopy provides primarily contextual information (e.g., the presence of a
specific, fluorescently labelled protein, the location of particular cellular features, etc.). This method is seeing increasing use in cryo-EM studies of whole cells, where integrated microscopes are beginning to be developed, and also been applied in some liquid-phase electron microscopy studies. However, liquid-phase electron microscopy could be partnered with a much wider variety of conventional experimental techniques, such spectroscopic characterization, small-angle X-ray scattering, and other imaging modalities, both for fundamental studies (understanding the role of electron illumination, for instance) and developing more “real-world” applications. Specialized liquid holders compatible with both an electron microscope and another instrument (such as an X-ray beam line) are actively being developed, and may assist in such studies. With regard to X-ray techniques in particular, besides just beam line) are actively being developed, and may assist in such studies. With regard to X-ray techniques in particular, besides just
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