Soft Matter



Soft Matter

Two-stage athermal solidification of semiflexible polymers and fibers

Journal:	Soft Matter
Manuscript ID	SM-ART-04-2020-000754.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Jun-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Dietz, Joseph; University of South Florida, Physics Hoy, Robert; University of South Florida, Physics



Journal Name

ARTICLE TYPE

Cite this: DOI: 00.0000/xxxxxxxxx

Received Date Accepted Date

DOI: 00.0000/xxxxxxxxx

Two-stage athermal solidification of semiflexible polymers and fibers

Joseph D. Dietz and Robert S. Hoy*

We study how solidification of model freely rotating polymers under athermal guasistatic compression varies with their bond angle θ_0 . All systems undergo two discrete, first-order-like transitions: entanglement at $\phi = \phi_E(\theta_0)$ followed by jamming at $\phi = \phi_J(\theta_0) \simeq (4/3 \pm 1/12)\phi_E(\theta_0)$. For $\phi < \phi_E(\theta_0)$, systems are in a "gas" phase wherein all chains remain free to translate and reorient. For $\phi_E(\theta_0) \le \phi \le \phi_I(\theta_0)$, systems are in a liquid-like phase wherein chains are entangled. In this phase, chains' rigid-body-like motion is blocked, yet they can still locally relax via dihedral rotations, and hence energy and pressure remain extremely small. The ability of dihedral relaxation mechanisms to accommodate further compression becomes exhausted, and systems rigidify, at $\phi_J(\theta_0)$. At and slightly above ϕ_J , the bulk moduli increase linearly with the pressure P rather than jumping discontinuously, indicating these systems solidify via rigidity percolation. The character of the energy and pressure increases above $\phi_J(\theta_0)$ can be characterized via chains' effective aspect ratio α_{eff} . Large- α_{eff} (small- θ_0) systems' jamming is bending-dominated and is similar to that observed in systems composed of straight fibers. Small- α_{eff} (large- θ_0) systems' jamming is dominated by the degree to which individual chains' dihedrals can collapse into compact, tetrahedronlike structures. For intermediate θ_0 , chains remain in highly disordered globule-like configurations throughout the compression process; jamming occurs when entangled globules can no longer even locally relax away from one another.

1 Introduction

Jamming of semiflexible polymers and fibers is of broad scientific interest for several reasons. A key parameter for these systems is the aspect ratio α , which is commonly defined as the square root of the average ratio of the maximum to minimum eigenvalues of chains' radius of gyration tensors. As chains' stiffness increases, their configurations interpolate continuously between random walks with $\alpha \simeq 3.4^{1}$ to rigid rods with $\alpha \sim N$ (where *N* is their degree of polymerization). The synthetic macromolecular polymers in typical commodity plastics lie near the flexible end of this spectrum; their rigidity plays only a secondary role in their rheology and glassy-state mechanics.^{2,3} At the opposite end of the spectrum, carbon nanotubes and stiff biopolymers such as *F*-actin are rodlike in the absence of thermally induced bending.^{4,5}

Many systems lie between these two limits. The natural elastic fibers birds use to build their nests are rather stiff but not particularly straight, and hence have α that are significantly below their effective *N*.⁶. Many biological structures, e.g. the collagen that gives our skin and tendons their elasticity, are semiflexible-fiber networks.⁷ Synthetic semiflexible elastic fibers have long been

used in a wide variety of commodities such as textiles and steel wool, 5 and are now attracting significant interest for their potential use in metamaterials. 6

The tools of theoretical polymer physics and granular physics should be applicable to these systems because they are both polymer-like and (at most) weakly thermalized, yet they have attracted little interest from the soft matter physics community until very recently. Athough jamming of rodlike grains has been fairly well studied, ^{8–12} theoretical analysis of the jamming of semiflexible-fiber-like grains with internal degrees of freedom and $1 \ll \alpha \ll N$ has only just begun. ^{6,13} Thus there is an opportunity to gain key insights into these systems through simulations of simple coarse-grained models that capture their essential features.

Model freely rotating (FR) polymers are composed of *N* tangent spheres of diameter σ , with fixed bond lengths ($\ell = \sigma$) and bond angles ($\theta = \theta_0$); see Figure 1. Unlike freely jointed (FJ) polymers, FR-polymer systems necessarily possess extensive frozen-in 3-body structural correlations arising from the fixed bond angles. The distance between second-nearest intrachain neighbors is always $d_{13}(\theta_0) = 2\sigma \cos(\theta_0/2)$, where σ is monomer diameter. This θ_0 -dependent constraint significantly influences the structure of FR polymers' jammed states, even for the minimal N = 3.¹⁴ For larger *N*, these constraints causest FR polymers

Department of Physics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA. E-mail: rshoy@usf.edu

to exhibit jamming phenomenology¹³ that is profoundly different than that of their FJ-polymeric counterparts.^{15–19} Whereas FJ polymers jam at $\phi \simeq \phi_J^{\text{mon}} \simeq .64$, are isostatic at jamming, and possess polytetrahedral structural order very similar to that of jammed monomers,^{15–17} FR polymers jam at significantly lower $\phi = \phi_J(\theta_0, N)$, their jammed states are quite hypostatic, and both their intrachain and interchain structural order at the 2-, 3-, and 4-monomer levels depend strongly on θ_0 .^{13,14}



Fig. 1 Typical chain configurations at $\phi = \phi_J^{mon}/3 \simeq .21$ for our highest and lowest aspect ratio polymers, i.e. FR polymers with $\theta_0 = 6^\circ$ (blue) and $\theta_0 = 90^\circ$ (red). The apparent overlap of monomers in the $\theta_0 = 6^\circ$ chain is an illusion arising from the planar projection.

In this paper, we extend our previous studies^{13,14} by studying athermal solidification of long FR polymers in much greater detail. We find that athermal solidification of these systems occurs in two distinct stages, characterized by two critical packing fractions: $\phi_E(\theta_0)$ and $\phi_I(\theta_0)$. At $\phi = \phi_E(\theta_0)$, systems undergo an entanglement transition where the system transitions from a disordered gas-like phase to a disordered liquid-like phase. The entanglement transition is marked by sharp, first-order-like jumps in the average cooordination numbers for both monomers and chains. However, chains can still locally relax via dihedral rotations, and hence systems' energy and pressure remain extremely small. At $\phi = \phi_J(\theta_0) \simeq (4/3 \pm 1/12) \phi_E(\theta_0)$, systems jam (rigiditypercolate). The cooordination numbers for both monomers and chains jump again, and the bulk modulus *B* begins increasing linearly with the pressure P. This two-stage process is a critical distinction between $\theta_0 > 0$ FR polymers and stiff fibers such as rods, spherocylinders, and $\theta_0 = 0$ FR-polymers, all of which jam when they entangle (i.e. have $\phi_E = \phi_J^{8,9,20}$).

In addition to these universal features, systems exhibit several qualitative θ_0 -dependent differences. Large- α (small θ_0 ; see Figure 1) systems' jamming is bending-dominated and is similar to that observed in systems composed of straight fibers.^{7,21} Chains tend to form large-scale arcs as systems are compressed; jamming occurs when these arcs can no longer bend further without an energy cost. Small- α (large θ_0) systems' jamming is dominated by the ability of chains to locally collapse into compact, tetrahedron-like structures. Four-monomer chain segments become increasingly compact throughout the gas and liquid phases; jamming occurs when they can no longer collapse further without an energy cost. For intermediate θ_0 , chains remain in highly disordered globule-like configurations throughout the compression. These globules become more compact as compression proceeds. but do not locally collapse; jamming occurs when entangled globules can no longer even locally relax away from one another.

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe our FR-polymer model and the moleculardynamics/energy-minimization algorithms we use to simulate athermal solidification of these systems. In Section 3, we describe our results for these systems' athermal solidification mechanisms and the mechanics of their jammed states in detail. Finally, in Section 4 we summarize our results, discuss their potential implications for real systems, and conclude.

2 Model and Methods

2.1 Freely rotating polymer model

All systems are composed of $N_{ch} = 1600$ chains, each containing N = 100 monomers of mass m. All monomers interact via a harmonic potential $U_H(r) = 5\varepsilon(1 - r/\sigma)^2\Theta(\sigma - r)$, where ε is the energy scale of the pair interactions, σ is monomer diameter, and Θ is the Heaviside step function. Covalent bonds are modeled using the harmonic potential $U_c(\ell) = (k_c/2)(\ell - \sigma)^2$, leading to tangent-sphere polymers with equilibrium bond length $\ell_0 = \sigma$. Angular interactions between three consecutive monomers along a chain are modeled by the harmonic potential $U_a(\theta) = (k_a/2)(\theta - \theta_0)^2$, where θ is the angle between consecutive bonds and is zero for straight trimers. To capture a wide range of chain aspect ratios, we study systems with $\theta_0 = 3i^\circ$ for i = 2, 3, ..., 30. We do not report data for systems with $\theta_0 < 6^\circ$ here because such systems are effectively in the elastic-rod-like ($\theta_0 = 0$) limit previously studied by Rodney, Picu and collaborators.^{20,22-24}

Ideal FR chains are obtained in the limit $(k_c, k_a) \rightarrow \infty$. The harmonic bond and angle potentials employed here limit the maximum MD timestep to $dt_{\max} \sim k_c^{-1}$. To make our simulations computationally feasible, we choose $k_c = 300\varepsilon/\sigma^2$ and $k_a = 600\varepsilon/\text{radians}^2$. These parameter choices limit deviations from $\ell = \sigma$ to less than $10^{-3}\sigma$ and deviations from $\theta = \theta_0$ to less than 2° under the conditions of primary interest here (T = 0 and $\phi < \sim \phi_J + .1$). We will contrast results for these systems to those for fully-flexible ($k_a = 0$) chains, i.e. FJ chains.

2.2 Sample preparation and compression protocol

Studies of particulate granular systems can be conducted in a limit where ϕ_J is well defined ²⁵ by employing initial states with packing fractions ϕ_{init} that we verified are low enough for ϕ_J to be ϕ_{init} -independent.²⁶ This is not the case for studies where the grains have internal degrees of freedom and can contract during compression. For FR polymers, the low- ϕ_{init} limit would produce chains that are maximally collapsed before they come into contact. Since this is not the type of experiment we wish to model, we choose to employ initial states with a common $\phi_{init} = \exp(-1.5)\phi_{cp} = .1652$, where $\phi_{cp} = \pi/\sqrt{18} \simeq .7405$ is the 3D close-packing density. We emphasize that smaller or larger ϕ_{init} can produce different results.*

We prepare our systems using standard molecular dynamics techniques. All MD simulations are performed using LAMMPS.²⁷ Initial states are generated by placing all chains with random positions and orientations within cubic cells of side length L_0 , such that $\phi_{init} = \pi N_{ch} N/6L_0^3$. Periodic boundary conditions are ap-

^{*} For example, we prepared a few systems at $\phi_{init} = \exp(-2.0)\phi_{cp} = .1002$. These systems had slightly lower ϕ_J than their larger- ϕ_{init} counterparts, but all trends with θ_0 remained qualitatively the same as those reported below. We expect this qualitative similarity to break down in the low- ϕ_{init} and high- ϕ_{init} limits.

plied in all three directions. Newton's equations of motion are integrated with a timestep $dt = .005\tau$, where the unit of time is $\tau = \sqrt{m\sigma^2/\varepsilon}$. All systems are equilibrated at $T = \varepsilon/k_B$ until both intrachain and interchain structure have converged, then cooled to T = 0 at a rate $10^{-5}\varepsilon/k_B\tau$. For this value of ϕ_{init} , all systems remain homogeneous and isotropic throughout this process, i.e. they do not develop nematic order.

After cooling, we simulate athermal solidification under quasistatic compression using alternating intervals of slow dynamic compression and energy minimization. During dynamic compression, *L* is varied in time as $L(t) = L_0 \exp(-\dot{\epsilon}t)$. We choose $\dot{\varepsilon} = 10^{-6}/\tau$, which is the slowest rate feasible for our employed system size $(N_{ch}N = 1.6 \cdot 10^5)$. At increments $\Delta \phi / \phi = -.001$ (i.e. every time density has increased by 0.1%), we stop this compression and allow systems to relax and minimize their energy. We find that the optimal energy-minimization strategy for our systems is letting them relax via damped Newtonian dynamics, i.e. using the equation of motion $m\vec{r}_i = \vec{F}_i - \dot{\vec{r}}_i / \tau_{damp}$ for all monomers, where \vec{F}_i is the usual Newton's-2nd-law force on monomer *i* and $\tau_{\rm damp} = 10\tau$ is the damping time. This dynamics allows monomers to push completely off one another during energy minimization, and yields lower total system energies $E_{tot}(\phi)$ than the Polak-Ribiére congugate-gradient, 28 Hessian-free truncated-Newton, 29 or FIRE³⁰ algorithms. We find that the minimal minimization time per cycle that yields converged results for our N = 100 systems is $\tilde{\tau}_{min} \simeq 4 \cdot 10^4 \tau$; all results presented below are for this $\tilde{\tau}_{min}$. After energy minimization, we restart the dynamic compression and repeat this compression-minimization cycle until $\phi = .67$.

Jamming is defined to occur when the pressure *P* within energy-minimized states exceeds $P_{thres} = 10^{-6} \varepsilon / \sigma^3$. As in our previous study, ¹³ we choose to identify jamming with the emergence of a finite bulk modulus³¹ rather than with the vanishing of soft modes³² because proper handling of soft modes associated with "flippers" (interior monomers with zero or one noncovalent contacts ¹⁶) is highly nontrivial.

2.3 Measuring polymers' ϕ -dependent aspect ratios

An essential difference between rigid-rod-like particles (e.g. spherocylinders and large- α ellipsoids) and the systems considered here is that the latter have internal degrees of freedom (i.e. their N-3 dihedral angles) and can reconfigure during compression. Their aspect ratio α is not fixed; it decreases with increasing ϕ as chains adopt more compact configurations. Specifically, it is given by

$$\alpha_{\rm eff}(\phi) = \left\langle \sqrt{\frac{A_i(\phi)}{\max[a_i(\phi), \sigma^2/6]}} \right\rangle,\tag{1}$$

where $A_i(\phi)$ and $a_i(\phi)$ are respectively the maximum and minimum values of chain *i*'s radius of gyration tensor, and the average is taken over all chains. Ideal random walks have $\alpha_{eff} = \alpha_{RW} \simeq$ 3.435.¹ To compare our results for FR polymers to analogous results for rods and ellipsoids, ^{8,9,33,34} we monitor how our systems' α_{eff} vary during compression.

Figure 2 shows $\alpha_{\text{eff}}(\phi)$ for all systems. α_{eff} decreases significantly with ϕ for all θ_0 even when chains are not in contact [i.e. for $\phi \ll \phi_E(\theta_0)$] because the equations of motion employed during



Fig. 2 ϕ -dependent aspect ratios for all systems. The color-scale legend indicates θ_0 , and the dashed horizontal lines indicates $\alpha_{\rm RW}$. Lower ϕ_{init} lead to lower $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ for any given ϕ in regimes where $\alpha_{\rm eff} \gg \alpha_{\rm RW}$. Note that $\alpha_{\rm RW}$ is the lower bound of $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ only for random-walk-like configurations; spherelike collapsed globules have $\alpha_{\rm eff} \simeq 1$.

our dynamic compression intervals are those of standard straincontrolled molecular dynamics, i.e.

$$\dot{\vec{r}}_i = \dot{\vec{v}}_i - \dot{\vec{\varepsilon}} \cdot \vec{r}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\vec{r}}_i = \vec{F}_i + \dot{\vec{\varepsilon}} \cdot \vec{p}_i \quad ,$$
 (2)

where \vec{r}_i , \vec{v}_i and \vec{p}_i are respectively the position, velocity and momentum of monomer i, \vec{F}_i is the total force on monomer i, and $\dot{\epsilon}$ is the true strain rate tensor. ³⁵ This protocol mimics embedding the polymers in a medium (e.g. a dense solvent) that favors affine contraction under hydrostatic compression. It becomes equivalent to the standard MD protocol for simulating jamming of particulate systems, where *instantaneous* finite increments $\Delta \phi / \phi$ are imposed, ³² in the limit $\dot{\epsilon} \rightarrow \infty$. However, it is distinct from a "solventless" compression that lacks the $\dot{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{r}_i$ terms, i.e. it is distinct from a protocol that shrinks the simulation cell without moving monomers. Such a protocol would reduce the rate of decrease of $\alpha_{\rm eff}(\phi)$, particularly for $\phi < \phi_E(\theta_0)$. Other details of the results shown above will be discussed below.

3 Results

In this Section, we present results for systems' jamming densities, hypostaticities, stress-strain curves and how they break down into pair vs. bond vs. angular contributions, liquid (entangled) phase structure, microscopic (sub-chain-scale) jamming mechanisms, and stress transmission mechanisms vary with θ_0 . All results presented below are averages over three independently prepared systems. We emphasize that the below results are *not* for the long-chain (large-*N*) limit, especially for $\theta_0 < 20^{\circ}$.¹³ This limit is reached only when $N \gg C_{\infty}$, where C_{∞} is the statistical segment length above which chains become random-walk-like. Chains in this limit have $\alpha_{\text{eff}} \simeq \alpha_{\text{RW}}$, a property which is incompatible with the present effort to study *semiflexible* chains with $1 \ll \alpha \ll N$.

3.1 Densities and aspect ratios at jamming

Figure 3 shows $\phi_J(\theta_0)$ for all systems. As we previously reported, ¹³ $\phi_J(\theta_0)$ increases monotonically with θ_0 . The quasistatic protocol employed here enables large-scale stress-relaxation processes that are frozen out for dynamic compression for currently

feasible $\dot{\varepsilon}$; for this reason, the $\phi_J(\theta_0)$ shown here are larger than those reported in Ref.¹³. For $\theta_0 < \sim 9^\circ$, systems are in a rigidrod-like limit where ϕ_J is almost θ_0 -independent (albeit ϕ_{init} dependent). Beyond this limit, ϕ_J increases rapidly, with an inflection point at $\theta_0 \simeq 15^\circ$. The rate of increase $\partial \phi_J / \partial \theta_0$ decreases with increasing θ_0 , and ϕ_J nearly plateaus for $60^\circ < \sim \theta_0 < 75^\circ$. Finally, another inflection point makes $\phi_J(\theta_0)$ concave up for $\theta_0 \ge 75^\circ$, and it continues to increase slowly all the way up to $\theta_0 = 90^\circ$. We will show in the following sections that $\theta_0 < 27^\circ$, $27^\circ \le \theta_0 < 75^\circ$, and $\theta_0 \ge 75^\circ$ systems define three regimes with qualitatively different jamming phenomenology. For the remainder of the paper we will refer to these as the small-, intermediate-, and large- θ_0 regimes, or alternatively as the high-, intermediate-, and low-aspect ratio regimes.



Fig. 3 θ_0 -dependence of ϕ_J and α_J . Blue, green, and red symbols respectively indicate the small-, intermediate-, and large- θ_0 regimes. The horizontal dashed line in the inset indicates $\alpha = \alpha_{\rm RW}$.

As shown in Fig. 2, chains' $\alpha_{eff}(\phi)$ decreases smoothly with increasing ϕ ; no jumps are apparent either at $\phi_E(\theta_0)$ or $\phi_I(\theta_0)$. This indicates that chains' structure on scales comparable to their radii of gyration evolves continuously in all systems. Thus it is worthwhile to measure their effective aspect ratio at jamming, $\alpha_J = \alpha_{\rm eff}(\phi_J)$. Results for all systems are shown in the inset to Fig. 3. $\theta_0 = 6^\circ$ polymers have $\alpha_J \simeq 17$, which is comparable to yet significantly below $\alpha_{RR} \simeq 28$ (the α_{eff} value for ideally rigid $N = 100 \ \theta_0 = 0$ chains with $a_i = \sigma^2/6$). These chains are well into the semiflexible regime defined by $\alpha_{\rm RW} < \alpha_J < \alpha_{\rm RR}$. $\alpha_J(\theta_0)$ decreases monotonically with increasing θ_0 before plateauing at $\alpha_J \simeq \alpha_{\rm RW}$ for $\theta_0 \ge 60^\circ$. N = 100 FR polymers with $\theta_0 \ge 60^\circ$ are therefore random-walk-like when they jam. We will show below that this random-walk-like structure leads the marginally jammed states to share some common features, but that other features remain qualitatively different, especially for low- vs. moderateaspect-ratio chains.

3.2 Hypostaticity of marginally jammed states

A freely rotating *N*-mer has $n_{dof} = N + 3$ degrees of freedom: 3 rigid translations, 3 rigid rotations, and N - 3 dihedral angles. The Maxwell criterion for jamming suggests that these systems should jam at $Z_J = Z_{iso} \equiv 2n_{dof}/N = 2 + 6/N$. On the other hand, aspherical particles ranging from rods⁸ to superballs³⁶ always jam hy-

postatically. Moreover, we previously showed that FR polymers jam hypostatically under dynamic compression, with a Z_J that decreases with decreasing θ_0 and with increasing N.¹³ Here we examine their hypostaticity in more detail. Figure 4 shows $Z_J(\theta_0)$ and $Z_J(\alpha_J)$ for the current systems; only noncovalent contacts are included in Z_J . Note that the $Z_J(\theta_0)$ shown here are significantly larger than those of Ref.¹³ because they are for quasistatic rather than dynamic compression; here the pressure defining ϕ_J comes from a larger number of smaller-overlap contacts.



Fig. 4 θ_0 - and α_J -dependencies of Z_J . The dotted gray curve in the inset shows $Z_J = .61674 + 4.48568/\alpha$.

As in our previous study, 13 Z_J increases smoothly and monotononically with θ_0 before plateauing for $\theta_0 > 60^\circ$. This large- θ_0 plateau in marginally jammed systems' hypostaticity $H(\theta_0) = 2 + 6/N - Z_I(\theta_0)$ is of fundamental interest. One expects jammed FR polymers to be at least somewhat hypostatic since the forces and torques transmitted along chains via their bond and angular interactions do not contribute to Z_I . Previous results showing FJ polymers jam isostatically¹⁵⁻¹⁷ were obtained for tangent hard-sphere models that lack bond tensions. Another potential source of the hypostaticity is that although our model does not include friction explicitly, friction is nonetheless present.[†] The friction arises from chains' pearl-necklace structure, and can be understood in terms of their local concavity and their tendency to interlock.^{37,38} Our previous work on bent-core trimers¹⁴ suggests that the present systems' $\phi_I(\theta_0)$ would be increased and their $H(\theta_0)$ reduced if chains had greater monomer overlap, i.e. equilibrium bond lengths $\ell_0 < \sigma$.

We find that Z_J decreases smoothly and monotononically with increasing α_J . Results for our highest-aspect-ratio systems are consistent with $Z_J \sim \alpha_J^{-1}$, which is consistent with results for rigid rods and $\theta_0 = 0$ FR polymers.^{8,20} Comparing the results shown in Fig. 4 to those shown in Fig. 3 makes it clear that the functional form of $Z_J(\alpha_J)$ is far simpler than that of $Z_J(\phi_J)$; this suggests that Z_J is primarily controlled by α_J rather than ϕ_J . More generally, the data show some of the trends observed for rods⁸, $\theta_0 = 0$ FR polymers,^{20,22} and ellipsoids,³³ but also major qualitative differ-

[†] The frictional and frictionless isostaticity criteria, $Z_J = 2n_{dof}/N$ and $Z_J = n_{dof}/N + 1$, are almost identical for our systems because $n_{dof}/N = 1 + 3/N \simeq 1$.

ences.

Axisymmetric rigid rods' coordination number at jamming becomes α -independent for $\alpha > 15$, while their density continues to decrease as $\phi_J \sim \alpha^{-1}$.⁸ Rodlike ($\theta_0 = 0$) FR polymers show similar behavior; as few as 4 total interchain contacts are sufficient to produce jamming.²⁰ Clearly early even our stiffest systems, which have $NZ_J(6^\circ) \simeq 88$ interchain contacts at jamming, are very far from this limit. This is unsurprising given that $\theta_0 > 0$ FR polymers possess internal degrees of freedom, but the large variation of Z_J with θ_0 and α_J shown in Fig. 4 demonstrates that semiflexiblepolymer jamming is controlled by fundamentally different physics than that of both their sitff and flexible counterparts.

Ellipsoids jam hypostatically because their spatially varying local curvature can block rotational motions.³⁴ Their degree of hypostaticity $H(\alpha) = 2n_{dof} - Z_J(\alpha)$ decreases with increasing α , and saturates at its minimal value $H \simeq 0.1$ for $\alpha > \alpha^*$, where α^* is the aspect ratio that maximizes ϕ_J .^{33,34} FR polymers with $\theta_0 > \sim 60^\circ$ have $\alpha_J \simeq \alpha_{RW}$ and $H(\theta_0) \simeq .17$; this value is comparable to but slightly larger than ellipsoids' minimal $H(\alpha)$. However, since FR polymers' $H(\theta_0)$ increases with increasing chain length,¹³ this good agreement may be coincidental.

3.3 Mechanics of jammed systems

For $\phi < \phi_E(\theta_0)$, all systems' pressures and potential energies remain at zero to within the accuracy of our minimization algorithm. For $\phi_E(\theta_0) < \phi < \phi_J(\theta_0)$, pressures become finite, but remain extremely small. The onset of solidification is marked by continuous increases in the bulk moduli $B(\phi) = \phi \frac{dP}{d\phi}$ from zero. The initial stages of these increases have $B \propto P$, indicating that $\theta_0 > 0$ FR polymers, like their $\theta_0 = 0$ counterparts, ^{20,22–24} solidify via rigidity percolation. ³⁹ Jamming of semiflexible polymers and fibers must therefore be regarded as a *continuous* transition.

As discussed above (Sec. 2), we defined ϕ_J using the criterion $P_{thres} = P(\phi_J) = 10^{-6} \varepsilon / \sigma^3$. Figure 5 shows all systems' stressstrain curves for $\phi > \phi_J(\theta_0)$. While $B(\phi_J)$ depends rather strongly on θ_0 , all systems' $B(\phi_J)$ are approximately proportional to their ϕ_J ; $B(\phi_J)/\phi_J$ is nearly constant for $\theta_0 \le 60^\circ$, then increases by a factor of less than two as θ_0 increases from 60° to 90° . The scalings of *B* with $(\phi - \phi_J)$ are similar to those previously reported for $\theta_0 = 0$ systems.^{20,22}



Fig. 5 Pressure $P(\phi)$ for all FR polymers (colored curves) compared to $P(\phi)$ for FJ polymers (black dashed curve). The legend indicates θ_0 .

The small-but-noticeable jumps in the $P(\phi)$ curves correspond to stress-relieving plastic avalanches that are much like those discussed in Ref.²³; these jumps are more prominent when results for single samples rather than averages over independently prepared samples are plotted. Such plastic avalanches are more frequent and begin at lower pressures in the small- θ_0 (large- α_{eff}) systems; this is expected since they are less dense and chains have more room to rearrange at fixed ($\phi - \phi_I$).

More insight can be gained by breaking down the mechanical response into contributions from pair, bonded, and angular terms. Since the contribution of 3-body angular interactions to any system's virial (and hence its pressure) is identically zero,⁴⁰ we analyze them in terms of their associated energies rather than directly in terms of the stress-strain curves. Figure 6 shows how selected systems' per-monomer total, pair, bond, and angle energies $\varepsilon_{\text{tot}}(\phi)$, $\varepsilon_{\text{pair}}(\phi)$, $\varepsilon_{\text{bond}}(\phi)$, and $\varepsilon_{\text{angle}}(\phi)$ vary with ϕ .



Fig. 6 Energies as a function of system density for FR polymers with (from left to right) $\theta_0 = 6^\circ$, 15° , 27° , 45° , and 90° . Solid, dotted and dashed curves respectively show $\varepsilon_{pair}(\phi)$, $\varepsilon_{bond}(\phi)$, and $\varepsilon_{angle}(\phi)$. For $\theta_0 = 27^\circ$, $\varepsilon_{bond}(\phi)$ and $\varepsilon_{angle}(\phi)$ are nearly equal and the curves overlap.

All systems have $\varepsilon_{\text{pair}}(\phi) > \varepsilon_{\text{bond}}(\phi)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{pair}}(\phi) > \varepsilon_{\text{angle}}(\phi)$; this is expected since intermonomer overlap is the ultimate origin of both entanglement and jamming. The variation of $\varepsilon_{\text{angle}}(\phi)/\varepsilon_{\text{bond}}(\phi)$, however, is far less trivial. For our *N* and preparation protocol, $\varepsilon_{angle}(\phi) > \varepsilon_{bond}(\phi)$ for $\theta_0 \le 27^\circ$. This bendingdominated jamming^{20,21} defines our small- θ_0 /large- α_l regime. In these systems, jamming is caused by chains' resistance to largescale bending, and specifically by the torques transmitted along entangled chain segments. For $\theta_0 > 27^\circ$, $\varepsilon_{\text{bond}}(\phi) > \varepsilon_{\text{angle}}(\phi)$. Thus both our intermediate- θ_0 and large- θ_0 systems exhibit stretchingdominated jamming, where the dominant factor promoting jamming is chains' resistance to axial compression. We find that all systems' per-monomer ε_{tot} , ε_{pair} , ε_{bond} , and ε_{angle} each scale approximately as P^2 immediately above ϕ_I . These scalings are the same as they are for rodlike $\theta_0 = 0$ FR polymers.²⁰ However, as illustrated in Fig. 6, the associated prefactors in the scalings $\varepsilon_{\text{tot}} \sim \tilde{t}(\theta_0) P^2$, $\varepsilon_{\text{pair}} \sim \tilde{p}(\theta_0) P^2$, $\varepsilon_{\text{bond}} \sim \tilde{b}(\theta_0) P^2$, and $\varepsilon_{\text{angle}} \sim \tilde{a}(\theta_0) P^2$ vary strongly with θ_0 . The ratios $\tilde{a}(\theta)/\tilde{t}(\theta_0)$, $\tilde{b}(\theta)/\tilde{t}(\theta_0)$, and $\tilde{a}(\theta)/\tilde{b}(\theta_0)$ all increase monotonically with decreasing θ_0 .

We emphasize that the results presented above are sensitive to the ratio k_a/k_c . Broederz *et al.*²¹ showed that varying the ratio of angular and axial stiffnesses over a wide range can qualitatively change the nature of systems' mechanics, e.g. from bendingdominated to stretch-bend coupled to stretching-dominated as k_a/k_c increases. This dependence is complicated and nonmonotonic; for example, stretching dominates bending both for $k_a = 0$ and in the $k_a/k_c \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Limited computational resources prevent us from exploring this issue in greater detail here, but it would be an interesting topic for future studies.

3.4 Jamming's precursor: Entanglement

As mentioned above, we find that FR polymers' athermal solidification under quasistatic compression occurs in two distinct stages: entanglement at $\phi = \phi_E(\theta_0)$ followed by jamming at $\phi = \phi_J(\theta_0) \simeq (4/3 \pm 1/12)\phi_E(\theta_0)$. Figure 7 shows that these transitions can be clearly identified in terms of the average coordination number for monomers and chains. $Z_{nc}(\phi)$ is the coordination number discussed in the preceding sections, i.e. the average number of noncovalent contacts per monomer. $Z_{\text{chain}}(\phi)$ is the average number of other chains that contact a given chain. For all θ_0 , both stages of athermal solidification are marked by firstorder-like jumps in both $Z_{\text{chain}}(\phi)$ and $Z_{nc}(\phi)$.



Fig. 7 ϕ -dependence of chain and monomer coordination. Panel (a): $Z_{nc}(\phi)$, the average number of noncovalent contacts per monomer. Panel (b): $Z_{chain}(\phi)$, the average number of other chains contacted by a given chain. Data for flexible chains follows similar trends: entanglement at $\phi_E \simeq .515$ followed by jamming at $\phi_I \simeq .60$.

The nearly-linear increases in $Z_{nc}(\phi)$ and Z_{chain} for $\phi_E < \phi < \phi_J$ and $\phi > \phi_J$ can be simply explained using a binary-contact model. If one assumes the probability of interchain contacts can be understood at the two-chain level (i.e. higher-order correlations between chain configurations are unimportant), the total number of contacts should scale as ϕ^2 , and thus the number of contacts per chain should scale as ϕ . This assumption is equivalent to assuming that an entangled segment corresponds to a fixed number of interchain contacts, and correctly predicts the entanglement densities of concentrated polymer solutions.^{41,42} The first-ordertransition-like jumps of $Z_{nc}(\phi)$ and $Z_{\text{chain}}(\phi)$ indicate that the assumption breaks down at $\phi_E(\theta_0)$ and $\phi_J(\theta_0)$.

Below $\phi_E(\theta_0)$, $Z_{nc}(\phi)$ and $Z_{chain}(\phi)$ are zero because chains are free to relax away from one another via rigid-body-like rotations and translations with no energy cost. These relaxation mechanisms become increasingly less viable as density increases. Previous studies of large- α particulate systems have shown that if no locally nematic alignment occurs, the available particle rotations become severely blocked as ϕ increases, and entanglement occurs when they vanish.^{9,10} A similar process occurs in our systems, with larger- $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ chains entangling at lower ϕ . Entanglement at $\phi = \phi_E$ is a contact percolation transition;⁵ percolation of the interchain contact network is what prevents chains from fully relaxing away from each other as they did for $\phi < \phi_E$. Such contact percolation is an intrinsically $\sim N_{ch}$ -body phenomenon. As discussed above, FR polymers' jamming at ϕ_J is a rigidity-percolation-like transition. When a percolating network of load-bearing contacts is formed, the system's energy can no longer relax to zero. This is an intrinsically $\sim N_{ch}N$ -body phenomenon. The many-body nature of contact and rigidity percolation explains why the binarycontact model breaks down at ϕ_E and ϕ_J .[‡]

The θ_0 -dependence of the height of the jumps in Z_{nc} at $\phi = \phi_E$ appear to indicate that binary-contact scaling "switches on" at $\phi = \phi_E$. For $\phi_E(\theta_0) < \phi \le \phi_J(\theta_0)$, the data nearly collapse onto a common line $Z_{nc}(\phi) \simeq 3\phi - .4$, indicating that the three-body $\theta = \theta_0$ constraints play a minimal role in this regime. These "switching events" are nearly instantaneous for our lowest- θ_0 systems. They become more gradual as θ_0 increases, but remain present even for our largest- θ_0 systems. The height $\Delta Z_{\text{chain}}(\theta_0)$ of the jumps in Z_{chain} at $\phi = \phi_E$ is also θ_0 -dependent, but in a manner that can be qualitatively understood as a tradeoff between ϕ -dependent and α_{eff} -dependent effects. For $\theta_0 < \sim 24^\circ$, ΔZ_{chain} increases with θ_0 because the total number of monomermonomer contacts formed at entanglement increases with ϕ_E . For $\theta_0 > \sim 24^\circ$, ΔZ_{chain} decreases with θ_0 . In this regime, the total number of monomer-monomer contacts formed at entanglement remains nearly θ_0 -independent over a broad range of θ_0 , but since chains become less spatially extended as α_{eff} decreases, these contacts are distributed between fewer distinct pairs of chains, and thus ΔZ_c becomes smaller.

Figure 8 shows snapshots of our $\theta_0 = 6^\circ$ systems at ϕ_E and ϕ_J . At ϕ_E , it is clear that chains are entangled and cannot move in a rigid-body-like fashion, but it is also clear that many interior chain segments remain free. At ϕ_J , there are clearly far fewer of these free interior segments, and hence fewer available relaxation

[‡] This two-stage mechanism appears to be specific to *quasistatic* compression; FR polymers under dynamic compression exhibit increases in $Z_{\text{chain}}(\phi)$ that are gradual rather than sharp, and viscous (*è*-dependent) stresses within the liquid phase.¹³



Fig. 8 Snapshots of $\theta_0 = 6^{\circ}$ FR-polymer configurations at ϕ_e (left panels) and ϕ_J (right panels). The top panels show all chains, while the bottom panels show cross-sections of thickness 4.25σ . Different colors indicate different chains.

mechanisms. These qualitative observations can be made quantitative by plotting the flipper fraction $F_{\text{flip}}(\phi)$, i.e. the probability that interior monomers have zero interchain contacts.[§] Each flipper corresponds to an unconstrained dihedral DOF. Flippers do not prevent systems from being mechanically stable; forces and torques can be transmitted through flippers along chain backbones. An example of a mechanically stable material with a high flipper fraction is rubber, which is clearly a solid despite the fact that its constituent chains fluctuate freely on the microscopic, subcrosslink scale.

Results for all systems' $F_{\text{flip}}(\phi)$ are shown in Figure 9. For $\phi < \phi_E$, all systems have $F_{\text{flip}} = 1$, as expected. F_{flip} drops sharply at both ϕ_E and ϕ_J ; these drops' qualitative trends with θ_0 reflect the trends in Z_{nc} discussed above. All systems retain a substantial fraction of flippers even above ϕ_J , indicating that (as expected) these systems' mechanical integrity is maintained by forces and torques transmitted along chain backbones. More noteworthy, however, is the behavior for $\phi_E(\theta_0) < \phi < \phi_J(\theta_0)$. All systems' $F_{\text{flip}}(\phi)$ drop approximately as ϕ^{-1} , which indicates that chains' interior segments are continuously becoming more constrained as compression proceeds. This process corresponds to chains rearranging (with negligible energy cost) via dihedral rotations.

3.5 Mechanisms of chain collapse

As discussed above, for $\phi_E \leq \phi \leq \phi_J$, systems are in a liquidlike phase wherein chains cannot rearrange on large scales due



Fig. 9 ϕ -dependence of the flipper fraction: $F_{\text{flip}}(\phi)$ is the fraction of flippers (interior monomers with zero noncovalent contacts).

to entanglement, yet they can still fully relax via dihedral rotations. Here we will show that examining the evolution of systems' dihedral-angle distributions between ϕ_E and ϕ_J reveals critical differences between our small-, intermediate, and large- θ_0 systems' solidification mechanisms, and also clarifies the reasons for their different mechanics above ϕ_J .

The dihedral angle ψ is the angle between the planes defined by two consecutive trimers along a chain. Specifically, if $\vec{b}_{ij} = \vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i$ is the covalent bond vector connecting monomers *i* and *j*, and $\{i, j, k, l\} = \{i, i+1, i+2, i+3\}$ are four consecutive monomers along a given chain:

$$|\Psi| = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{(\vec{b}_{ij} \times \vec{b}_{jk}) \cdot (\vec{b}_{jk} \times \vec{b}_{kl})}{|\vec{b}_{ij} \times \vec{b}_{jk}| |\vec{b}_{jk} \times \vec{b}_{kl}|} \right).$$
(3)

Figure 10 shows all systems' dihedral-angle distributions $P(|\psi|)$ at ϕ_E and ϕ_J . Here $P(\psi)$ is normalized so that completely disordered systems have $P(\psi) = 1$; our systems satisfy this condition at $\phi = \phi_{init}$. Thus $P(\psi) > 1$ ($P(\psi) < 1$) indicates that dihedrals with the angle Ψ become more (less) likely during compression.

Small- θ_0 systems develop an excess of *cis* ($\Psi \simeq 0$) conformers even below ϕ_E . These excess *cis* conformers tend to be grouped consecutively along chains, forming increasingly large-scale arcs like those shown in Fig. 1.[¶] Between ϕ_E and ϕ_J , entanglement prevents these large-scale circular arcs from disappearing or loosening. Instead they slowly tighten, and jamming occurs when they lock. Above ϕ_J , further compression of these arcs requires work. For $\theta_0 < 27^\circ$ this process dominates systems' mechanical properties as shown in Fig. 6.

For intermediate θ_0 , $P(|\Psi|)$ remains relatively flat as compression proceeds. A slight excess of *cis* conformers is balanced by a slight deficit of *trans* ($|\Psi \simeq 180^\circ|$) conformers. This is consistent with a gradual collapse into disorded, globule-like structures that jam when chains are no longer able to collapse into more compact configurations without incurring an energy cost.

In contrast, large- θ_0 systems' athermal solidification is dominated by *local* collapse and ordering of chains at the few-

[§] Our previous study ¹³ defined flippers as interior monomers with less than two noncovalent contacts. We find that the more restrictive definition employed here clarifies the relevant physics.

 $[\]P n_{\theta} = 360^{\circ}/\theta_0$ consecutive $\Psi = 0$ conformers form a circle; smaller numbers of consecutive $\Psi = 0$ conformers form a planar circular arc.



Fig. 10 Dihedral angle distributions at ϕ_E (panel a) and ϕ_I (panel b). These are normalized so that totally disordered systems have $P(\Psi) = 1$. The black dashed curve indicates results for FJ chains. For clarity, results are shown only for every other θ_0 , i.e. $\theta_0 = 6^\circ$, 12° , ..., 90° . The angle labels in panel (b) indicate which θ_0 the respective peaks correspond to. The bottom panel shows snapshots of the most probable dihedral arrangement for $\theta_0 = 78^\circ$, 84° , 90° , and flexible chains, from left to right.

monomer scale. Previous studies have shown that flexible chains develop a peak at $|\Psi| = 70.53^{\circ}$ that corresponds to formation of locally polytetradral order.^{16,43} FR polymers with $\theta_0 \neq 120^{\circ}$ cannot form such tetrahedra due to their $\theta = \theta_0$ constraints. However, their dihedrals *do* tend to collapse into the maximally compact structures consistent with both the $\theta = \theta_0$ constraints and polytetrahedral-like order, as indicated by the different peaks in $P(|\Psi|)$.^{||} As compression continues, the number of these compact dihedrals increases as indicated by the increase in the height of these peaks. Jamming occurs when the dihedral DOF available for further chain collapse without energy cost become exhausted. As illustrated in the snapshots, the most likely dihedral arrange-

3.6 Stress transmission in marginally jammed states

We conclude our analyses by examining how the local stresses on individual monomers are distributed. The Cauchy stress invariants I_1 , I_2 and I_3 are defined in terms of the elements of the Cauchy stress tensor $\bar{\sigma}$ as

$$I_1 = \sigma_{ii}$$
 , $I_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj} - \sigma_{ij}\sigma_{ji})$, $I_3 = \det(\bar{\sigma})$, (4)

where repeated indices indicate summation over $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. These quantities remain well-defined when $\bar{\sigma} \equiv \bar{\sigma}^i$ is the *atomic*-level stress tensor for atom *i*. Since the macroscopic pressure *P* is given by

$$P = -\frac{1}{3N_{atom}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{atom}} I_1^i,$$
 (5)

examining how the atomic-level $\{I_1^i\}$ are distributed is useful for characterizing stress inhomogeneities in jammed systems.⁴⁴



Fig. 11 Probability distributions for the atomic-level principal stress I_1 at $\phi = \phi_J(\theta_0)$. For clarity, results are shown only for every other θ_0 , i.e. $\theta_0 = 6^\circ$, 12° , ..., 90° . The black dashed curve shows data for FJ chains.

The probability distributions $P(I_1)$ for all marginally jammed systems are shown in Figure 11. All systems' $P(I_1)$ are maximal at $I_1 = 0$ due to their hypostaticity; note that the peak sharpens with decreasing θ_0 as ϕ_I decreases and $H(\theta_0)$ increases. The monomers with $I_1 = 0$ are either chain ends or flippers. Another distinctive feature is the very broad peak in $P(I_1)$ at $I_1/\langle I_1 \rangle \simeq 3/5$. Other marginally jammed systems, both particulate and polymeric, ^{44,45} tend to have $P(I_1)$ that decay nearly perfectly exponentially away from their peaks. Since a comparably broad peak is not found in dense polymer glasses, ⁴⁵ the one observed here probably also results from our systems' hypostaticity and lower ϕ_J .

Unlike model particulate granular systems, which typically have purely repulsive interactions and hence single-sided $P(I_1)$, ^{32,44} polymers have a double-sided $P(I_1)$ that indicates some monomers in these states are under tension. These tensile forces can only come from the covalent backbone bonds; angular forces do not directly contribute.⁴⁰ Such double-sided distributions have been previously observed in fully developed glassy-

^{||} This collapse mechanism appears to be specific to *quasistatic* compression; large- θ_0 FR polymers under dynamic compression do not develop sharp peaks in $P(|\Psi|)$.¹³

polymer crazes.⁴⁵ The presence of significant tensile forces in these marginally jammed states is a major difference between granular polymers/fibers and their particulate counterparts such as spheres, rods, and ellipsoids.

Our data show that angular interactions significantly broaden FR polymers' $P(I_1)$ distributions relative to those found in FJ polymers for all θ_0 , but increasingly so as θ_0 increases. The greater stress inhomogeneities within FR polymers' marginally jammed states probably arises from an interplay between their lower ϕ_J and greater ability to transmit stress. For $I_1 > \sim 2\langle I_1 \rangle$, the $P(I_1)$ distributions depend rather strongly on θ_0 . Higher-aspect-ratio chains' $P(I_1)$ decay faster, indicating these systems possess fewer highly stressed monomers, i.e. that the compressive stresses giving rise to systems' finite *P* are less localized. One plausible explanation for this observation is that the larger- α chains are better at transmitting forces along their backbones.

To test this hypothesis, we examined whether and how our systems' monomer-level stresses are topologically correlated. The most compressive principle stress on a given monomer, σ_3 , is given by the minimum eigenvalue of $\bar{\sigma}$ and is often used to analyze force-chain networks in particulate systems.⁴⁶ We calculated σ_3 for all monomers, and labeled monomers with above-average compressive stress (i.e. a monomer with $\sigma_3 < \langle \sigma_3 \rangle$) as "overcompressed." Then we calculated P(n), the probability that a monomer *i* lying a chemical distance *n* away from an overcompressed monomer *j* is also overcompressed. Topologically uncorrelated stresses would give P(n) = 1/2 for all n > 0.

Results for P(n) for all systems are shown in Figure 12(a). For small- and intermediate- θ_0 systems, these correlations are minimal. FR polymers with $\theta_0 > \sim 30^\circ$ exhibit slightly longer-range correlations than their FJ counterparts, but their P(n) still decay to 1/2 by $n \simeq 5$. This suggests that these systems' slightly longer-range stress correlations arise from their restricted dihedral-level structure. For small- θ_0 systems with bending-dominated jamming, however, the correlations are significantly longer-ranged. These systems' stress-transmission mechanisms can be better understood if one first examines the distribution of overcompressed monomers along chain backbones.

Fig. 12(b) shows P(k), the probability that the *k*th monomer along a chain is overcompressed. For intermediate- and large- θ_0 systems, all monomers are approximately equally likely to be overcompressed except those very near to chains' free ends. As θ_0 decreases into the bending-dominated regime, however, overcompressed monomers become more and more concentrated towards the middle of chains. The simplest explanation for this result is that the large-scale arcs that low- θ_0 chains form as ϕ increases ¹³ are stabilized by overcompression of their interiors. This stretch-bend coupling may be the single mechanism most responsible for jamming in our small- θ_0 systems. Comparable stretch-bend-coupling-dominated mechanics are observed in a wide variety of fibrous materials.^{5,7,21}

The increasing concentration of overcompressed monomers towards the center of chains directly explains the longer-range correlations in P(n) for large-aspect-ratio polymers/fibers. P(n) - 1/2decays slower than exponentially because overcompressed sections of chains are more likely to be arranged consecutively as



Fig. 12 Stress transmission along chain backbones and its relation to chain-end effects. Panel (a): P(n), the probability that a monomer *i* lying a chemical distance *n* away from an overcompressed monomer *j* is also overcompressed (i.e. has $\sigma_3^i < \langle \sigma_3 \rangle$). Panel (b): P(k), the probability the k^{th} monomer in a chain is overcompressed.

opposed to randomly along chains. It also explains the anticorrelation in P(n) for n > 50. Since overcompressed monomers are less likely to appear near chain ends, it becomes especially unlikely that two monomers a chemical distance n > N/2 will both be overcompressed – increasingly so as θ_0 decreases.

4 Discussion

In this paper, we examined how model semiflexible polymers athermally solidify under quasistatic compression. Our findings show a number of universal features, but also indicate that these systems' solidification mechanisms are highly sensitive to chains' local and large-scale structure, i.e. their bond angle θ_0 and their effective aspect ratio $\alpha_{\rm eff}$. They also show that larger-aspect ratio polymers' athermal solidification exhibits many features found in previous studies of semiflexible *fibers*.^{5,6}

All of our systems soldify in two distinct, sharply defined and well-separated stages. For $\phi < \phi_E(\theta_0)$, systems are in a gas-like phase wherein chains are able to avoid contact and can thus move in rigid-body-like fashion. For $\phi_E(\theta_0) \le \phi < \phi_J(\theta_0)$, systems are in an liquid-like phase wherein chain entanglement prevents rigid-body-like motion but chains can accommodate further compression via local rearrangements of their internal degrees of freedom, i.e. dihedral rotations. In this phase, the entanglement density scales as ϕ^2 , as predicted by binary-contact models and found in concentrated polymer solutions. ^{41,42} When the abovementioned

dihedral relaxation mechanisms can no longer accommodate further compression, systems rigidity-percolate and jam at $\phi_J(\theta_0)$. The large separation between the entanglement and jamming densities of our N = 100 polymers, $\phi_J(\theta_0)/\phi_E(\theta_0) = 4/3 \pm 1/12$, indicates a critical distinction between semiflexible polymers and their more rigid counterparts such as rods, ellipsoids, and $\theta_0 = 0$ FR polymers, all of which jam when they entangle.^{8,9,20,33} Note that the ratio $\phi_J(\theta_0)/\phi_E(\theta_0)$ depends on *N*. It is equal to 1 for rigid trimers (N = 3 FR polymers) since these systems have no internal degrees of freedom,¹⁴ and we expect that it will saturate at its maximum value at some yet-to-be-determined $N_{sat}(\theta_0)$.

Higher-aspect-ratio chains entangle and jam at lower densities because they are more spatially extended. They are also far more hypostatic at jamming, presumably because they are better able to transmit forces and torques along their backbones. One interpretation of these results is that higher-aspect-ratio chains' effective number of DOF is well below N-3 and decreases with decreasing θ_0 ; after all, axisymmetric rigid rods have $n_{dof} = 5$. This interpretation is consistent with previous results for both thermal and athermal bent-core trimers, where the minimization of configurational freedom coincides with the minimization of ϕ_J^{14} and maximization of both the glass transition temperature T_g^{47} and the crystallization temperature T_x^{48} as $\theta_0 \rightarrow 0$. It may be more useful to regard these chains as being composed of N/n_{corr} segments, where n_{corr} is the chemical length over which stresses are topologically correlated. These segments may correspond to the large circular arcs (of $\sim n_{corr}$ consecutive *cis*-conformers) they form under compression. Testing these ideas requires further study.

Higher-aspect-ratio chains also exhibit different mechanics above jamming than their lower-aspect ratio counterparts. As α_I increases, systems' resistance to compression is increasingly dominated by resistance to large-scale chain bending. This trend is analogous to that of elastic-rod-like fibers, whose mechanics become increasingly bending-dominated as their length increases.^{5,20} As aspect ratio decreases, bending is less important because large circular arcs no longer form. Instead, systems' resistance to compression becomes increasingly dominated by their axial stiffness, i.e. the stiffness of their covalent bonds. A comparable switch from bending-dominated to stretching-dominated jamming occurs in model lattice-based networks as their coordination number increases²¹ (as is the case in our systems; Z_J increases with decreasing α_J). Finally, for polymers with $\theta_0 > \sim 75^\circ$, chains' dihedrals tend to collapse into the most compact structures consistent with both their $\theta = \theta_0$ constraints and locally polytetrahedral-like order, even below ϕ_J . These compact chain segments likely play a critical role in these systems' mechanics.

We emphasize that the "entanglement" discussed in this paper is rather different than the entanglement of microscopic synthetic polymers. Individual entanglements in polymer solutions, melts and glasses are rather ethereal, delocalized objects and hence are not directly experimentally observable. They are best understood at the mean-field level, as constraints on polymers' transverse diffusive motion imposed by the other chains.^{49,50} The onset of this type of entanglement with increasing ϕ is gradual and continuous. In contrast, in athermal polymeric and fibrous systems, individual entanglements are clearly identifiable; they are interchain contacts that remain after systems' energy is minimized. Thermal motion is by definition absent in these systems, and the onset of entanglement in these systems (in the absence of gravity) is discontinuous as shown above. Nevertheless, both types of entanglement are similar in the sense that both are constraints on chains' transverse motion imposed by the other chains, and the scalings of athermal systems' entanglement density with ϕ for $\phi_E < \phi < \phi_J$ is the same as that found in their microscopic counterparts.^{41,42}

Here we have considered only homogeneous, monotonically compressed systems. One natural extension of our work would be to examine inhomogeneous fibrous systems such as those found in bird nests, synthetic nonwoven materials, and novel metamaterials inspired by these.^{5,6} The results presented above suggest that these can be modeled using large-aspect-ratio (small- θ_0) chains. In contrast, lower-aspect-ratio (larger- θ_0) chains are a useful model for colloidal and granular polymers, which are also inhomogeneously structured in typical experiments.^{51–55} Another natural extension of our work would be to examine systems that were mechanically preconditioned by successive compression-decompression cycles. While it is known that comparable systems exhibit substantial mechanical hysteresis, ^{5,6,22,23} the degree to which this hysteresis varies with fiber aspect ratio or "waviness" remains largely unexplored.

Finally, it would be interesting to quantitatively compare the athermal mechanics of jammed low- θ_0 FR polymers to those of jammed semiflexible nonpolymeric frictional fibers ^{12,56} with the same $\alpha_{\rm eff}$. Although these systems share many common features, ^{5,6} there are also potentially-critical differences between them. For example, FR polymers have local angular interactions $U_a(\theta)$ that give rise to increasing large-scale bending rigidity as compression proceeds and large circular arcs form, whereas continuous fibers typically possess a constant elastic bending modulus, and FR polymers are very "rough" (locally concave, with a tendency to interlock) whereas continuous fibers are typically much smoother. Comparing how these systems' mechanics vary with $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ and semiflexibility might provide guidance for the development of novel fibrous metamaterials.⁶

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMR-1555242.

Notes and references

- 1 J. Rudnick and G. Gaspari, Science, 1987, 237, 384.
- 2 M. Rubinstein and R. Colby, *Polymer Physics*, Oxford University Press, 2003.
- 3 C. Roth, Polymer Glasses, CRC Press, 2016.
- 4 B. Hinner, M. Tempel, E. Sackmann, K. Kroy and E. Frey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1998, **81**, 2614.
- 5 R. C. Picu, Soft Matt., 2011, 7, 6768.
- 6 N. Weiner, Y. Bhosale, M. Gazzola and H. King, J. Appl. Phys., 2020, **127**, 050902.

Soft Matter

- 7 C. P. Broedersz and F. C. MacKintosh, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2014, **86**, 995–1036.
- 8 A. P. Philipse, Langmuir, 1996, 12, 1127.
- 9 S. R. Williams and A. P. Philipse, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2003, **67**, 051301.
- 10 K. Desmond and S. V. Franklin, Phys. Rev. E, 2006, 73, 031306.
- 11 T. Marschall and S. Teitel, Phys. Rev. E, 2018, 97, 012905.
- 12 P. Langston, A. R. Kennedy and H. Constantin, *Comp. Mat. Sci.*, 2015, **96**, 108.
- 13 R. S. Hoy, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2017, 118, 068002.
- 14 A. D. Griffith and R. S. Hoy, Phys. Rev. E, 2019, 100, 022903.
- 15 N. C. Karayiannis and M. Laso, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2008, 100, 050602.
- 16 N. C. Karayiannis, K. Foteinopoulou and M. Laso, J. Chem. Phys., 2009, 130, 164908.
- 17 N. C. Karayiannis, K. Foteinopoulou and M. Laso, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2009, **80**, 011307.
- 18 L. M. Lopatina, C. J. Olson Reichhardt and C. Reichhardt, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2011, 84, 011303.
- 19 K. Foteinopoulou, N. C. Karayiannis, M. Laso, M. Kröger and M. L. Mansfield, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **101**, 265702.
- 20 D. Rodney, M. Fivel and R. Dendievel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, 95, 108004.
- 21 C. P. Broedersz, X. Mao, T. C. Lubensky and F. C. Mackintosh, *Nature Phys.*, 2011, 7, 983.
- 22 C. Barbier, R. Dendievel and D. Rodney, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2009, **80**, 016115.
- 23 G. Subramanian and C. R. Picu, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2011, **83**, 056120.
- 24 R. C. Picu and G. Subramanian, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2011, **84**, 031904.
- 25 S. Torquato, T. M. Truskett and P. G. Debenedetti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2000, **84**, 2064.
- 26 P. Chaudhuri, L. Berthier and S. Sastry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, 104, 165701.
- 27 S. Plimpton, J. Comp. Phys., 1995, 117, 1.
- 28 E. Polak and G. Ribiére, Rev. Fr. Inf. Rech. Op., 1969, 16, 35.
- 29 L. Grippo, F. Lampariello and S. Lucidi, J. Opt. Theor. App., 1989, **60**, 401.
- 30 E. Bitzek, P. Koskinen, F. G. amd M. Moseler and P. Gumbsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **97**, 170201.
- 31 M. van Hecke, J. Phys. Cond. Matt., 2009, 22, 033101.
- 32 C. S. O'Hern, L. E. Silbert, A. J. Liu and S. R. Nagel, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2003, **68**, 011306.

- 33 A. Donev, F. Stillinger, P. Chaikin and S. Torquato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, 92, 255506.
- 34 A. Donev, R. Connelly, F. H. Stillinger and S. Torquato, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2007, **75**, 051304.
- 35 W. G. Hoover, D. J. Evans, R. B. Hickman, A. J. C. Ladd, W. T. Ashurst and B. Moran, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1980, **22**, 1690.
- 36 Y. Jiao, F. H. Stillinger and S. Torquato, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2010, 81, 041304.
- 37 C. F. Schreck, N. Xu and C. S. O'Hern, Soft Matt., 2009, 6, 2960.
- 38 F. Ludewig and N. Vandewalle, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2012, **85**, 051307.
- 39 M. F. Thorpe, J. Non-cryst. Solids, 1985, 76, 109.
- 40 H. Bekker and P. Ahlström, Mol. Sim., 1994, 13, 367.
- 41 P. G. de Gennes, J. Phys. Lett, 1974, 35, L133.
- 42 R. H. Colby, M. Rubinstein and J. L. Viovy, *Macromolecules*, 1992, **25**, 996.
- 43 A. V. Anikeenko and N. N. Medvedev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **98**, 235504.
- 44 C. S. O'Hern, S. A. Langer, A. J. Liu and S. R. Nagel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2001, **86**, 111.
- 45 J. Rottler and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2002, 89, 195501.
- 46 J. F. Peters, M. Muthuswamy, J. Wibowo and A. Tordesillas, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2005, **72**, 041307.
- 47 V. Meenakshisundaram, J. Hung and D. S. Simmons, Soft Matt., 2019, 15,.
- 48 E. T. Salcedo, H. T. Nguyen and R. S. Hoy, J. Chem. Phys., 2019, **151**, 134501.
- 49 M. Doi and S. F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1988.
- 50 T. C. B. McLeish, Adv. Phys., 2002, 51, 1379.
- 51 L.-N. Zou, X. Cheng, M. L. Rivers, H. M. Jaeger and S. R. Nagel, *Science*, 2009, **326**, 408–410.
- 52 E. Brown, A. Nasto, A. G. Athanassiadis and H. M. Jaeger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2012, **108**, 108302.
- 53 H. R. Vutukuri, A. F. Demirörs, B. Peng, P. D. J. van Oostrum, A. Imhof and A. van Blaaderen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2012, **51**, 11249.
- 54 A. Mcmullen, M. Holmes-Cerfon, F. Sciortino, A. Y. Grosberg and J. Brujic, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2018, **121**, 138002.
- 55 D. Dumont, M. Houze, P. Rambach, T. Salez, S. Patinet and P. Damman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2018, **120**, 088001.
- 56 Y. Guo, C. Wassgren, J. S. Curtis and D. Xu, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2018, 175, 118.



253x131mm (72 x 72 DPI)