

Placement of Tyrosine Residues as a Design Element for Tuning the Phase Transition of Elastin-peptide-containing Conjugates: Experiments and Simulations

Journal:	Molecular Systems Design & Engineering	
Manuscript ID	ME-ART-04-2020-000051.R1	
Article Type:	Paper	
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Jun-2020	
Complete List of Authors:	Taylor, Phillip; University of Delaware, Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Huang, Haofu; University of Delaware, Materials Science and Engineering Kiick, Kristi; University of Delaware, Materials Science and Engineering Jayaraman, Arthi; University of Delaware, Dept. of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering	

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts All articles must include a separate Design, System, Application paragraph (no more than 200 words), that:

- explains the molecular design or optimization strategy and its general utility
- emphasizes the desired systems functionality and design constraints
- highlights the immediate or future application potential of the work.

This paragraph is intended to be accessible to the broad interdisciplinary readership of the journal, and therefore should be written in a general manner to complement more detailed discussions of these aspects within the main text. It should not reproduce the abstract.

Modern biomaterials with controllable chemical and mechanical properties are needed to tackle complex problems in science and engineering, including but not limited to, on-demand drug delivery and nanomedicine. This study investigates how optimization of amino acid sequence tunes the structure and phase transitions of biomaterials composed of elastin-like peptides (ELPs) and collagen-like peptides (CLPs). This study highlights a modular approach for synthesizing short ELPs for which atomistic and coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations can be used to obtain mechanistic insights into the driving forces for self-assembly, such as hydrogen bonding and π - π stacking. In this study, we show how the substitution and placement of tyrosine (Y) residues in sequences of short ELPs can be used to control the lower critical solution temperature (LCST)-like transitions of ELP-CLP bioconjugates. We observe a reduction in the transition temperature (T_t) with an increasing number of Y vs. phenylalanine (F) substitutions, and a reduced T_t for ELPs with Y located at the N-terminus vs. C-terminus. Overall, our combined experimental and computational approaches can be used to streamline the development of thermoresponsive nanocarriers for on-demand drug delivery under physiologically relevant conditions.

Word count: 200 words. Current text 184 words

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Placement of Tyrosine Residues as a Design Element for Tuning the Phase Transition of Elastin-peptide-containing Conjugates: Experiments and Simulations

Phillip A. Taylor^{a†}, Haofu Huang^{b†}, Kristi L. Kiick^{b*}, Arthi Jayaraman^{a*}

Elastin-like polypeptides (ELP) have been widely used in the biomaterials community due to their controllable, thermoresponsive properties and biocompatibility. Motivated by our previous work on the effect of tryptophan (W) substitutions on the LCST-like transitions of short ELPs, we studied a series of short ELPs containing tyrosine (Y) and/or phenylalanine (F) guest residues with only 5 or 6 pentapeptide repeat units. A combination of experiments and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations illustrated that the substitution of F with Y guest residues impacted the transition temperature (T_t) of short ELPs when conjugated to collagen-like-peptides (CLP), with a reduction in the transition temperature observed only after substitution of at least two residues. Placement of the Y residues near the N-terminal end of the ELP, away from the tethering point to the CLP, resulted in a lower T_t than that observed for peptides with the Y residues near the tethering point. Atomistic and coarse-grained MD simulations indicated an increase in intra- and inter- peptide hydrogen bonds in systems containing Y guest residues that are suggested to enhance the ability of the peptides to coacervate, with a concomitantly lower T_t. Simulations also revealed that the placement of Y-containing pentads near the N-terminus (i.e., away from CLP tethering point) versus C-terminus of the ELP led to more π - π stacking interactions at low temperatures, in agreement with our experimental observations of a lower T_t. Overall, this study provides mechanistic insights into the driving forces for the LCST-like transitions of ELPs and offers additional means for tuning the T_t of short ELPs for biomedical applications such as on-demand drug delivery and tissue engineering.

Introduction

Responsive polypeptides have garnered significant interest over the past few decades since they display tunable chemical and mechanical properties in response to external stimuli such as heat, light, pH, and salt.1-4 Such chemical and physical properties include robust thermal stability⁵ (e.g., high melting temperatures) and controllable morphologies^{6, 7} (e.g., vesicles vs. micelles), which are directly linked to the molecular features of the peptide chains such as their self-assembly and phase transitions. As a result, peptides have been used in biomedical applications to create on-demand drug delivery vehicles which can release their therapeutic cargo in response to external stimuli such as light, heat, and pH,8-11 and hydrogels which can either release cargo or change their mechanical properties in response to external stimuli such as heat.^{12, 13} One such class of polypeptides, the elastin-like polypeptides (ELP), are composed of multiple repeats of the amino acid sequence, (V-P-G-X-G)_n,

where V, P, and G are valine, proline, and glycine, respectively. The fourth residue, X, in the pentad can be any amino acid except proline.¹⁴ ELPs are known to undergo a lower critical solution temperature (LCST)-like phase transition in aqueous solutions in which the ELP is soluble below the transition temperature, $T_t,\;\;$ and insoluble above the $T_t.^{15,\;16}$ Previous studies of high molecular-weight ELPs have shown that the T_t of ELPs can be controlled by adjusting ELP molecular weight, concentration, guest residue composition, pressure, pH, buffer composition, salt concentration or by conjugation of ELPs with other peptides and polymers.^{1, 17-23} Recent studies, however, have been mainly focused on long ELPs containing tens and even hundreds of repeats of (V-P-G-X-G) pentapeptides while shorter ELPs containing fewer than ten repeat units have not been widely studied due to their high T_t.²⁴⁻³⁰ Shorter ELPs could be preferable for applications in drug delivery, tissue engineering, and device manufacture owing to their scalable solid-phase manufacturing, and thus shorter ELPs which have low molecular weights but also display Tt values nearphysiological temperatures are of considerable interest.

In order to tune the T_t of ELPs and understand the driving forces for the LCST-like transition, there have been several fundamental studies focused on elucidating the molecular driving forces governing the assembly of ELPs at the single chain level.³¹⁻³⁷ Studies have reported that hydrogen bonding is particularly important for the aggregation of ELP chains as they

^{a.} Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716 USA

^{b.} Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716 USA

⁺ Equal contributions.

^{*} Corresponding Authors

Email: kiick@udel.edu, arthij@udel.edu

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

undergo the LCST-like transition.^{33, 34, 38} Previous reports have shown that at low temperatures below the T_t, the ELP chains behave as hydrophilic species in which they form a large number of hydrogen bonds between the peptide chains and surrounding water molecules. As the temperature is increased above the T_t, the ELP chains form more inter- and intra-peptide hydrogen bonds than peptide-water hydrogen bonds, and secondary structures, (β, α, π) turns, have also been observed above the $T_{t}\xspace$ both in molecular dynamics simulations and circular dichroism (CD) measurements.^{39, 40} For example, Zhao et al. performed a hydration analysis in which the number of hydrogen bonds between peptide and water and the number of water molecules in the first hydration shell were used to determine the T_t in all-atom molecular dynamics simulations.³⁶ They observed that the dependence of the T_t of ELPs on their chain lengths agreed well with experiments in that both had the same power-law exponents.

Past computational work from the Jayaraman group and experimental work from the Kiick group has also shown that the incorporation of bulky, aromatic guest residues such as tryptophan (W) impacts the LCST-like transition via induced chain stiffness, hydrophobic interactions, and also turn structures.³⁸ The correlation between an increased propensity for turn structures and lower T_t in ELPs has also been suggested by Nuhn and Klok based on circular dichroism (CD) studies measurements; their also suggested that hydrophobicity is not the sole determinant of the LCST-like behavior of short ELPs.⁴⁰ In addition to tuning the T_t, other work from Kiick and co-workers⁴¹ has shown that the substitution of F with W can also tune the morphology of the assembled ELP bioconjugates, supporting the formation of platelets at temperatures above the T_t rather than vesicles as reported by Luo and Kiick.⁴² Therefore, the incorporation of aromatic guest residues offers a means for adjusting the T_t and assemblies of short ELPs to tune their physicochemical and morphological properties for specific applications.

ELPs can be mixed with polymer solutions and conjugated to other classes of thermoresponsive peptides such as collagenlike peptide (CLP) triple helices in order to tune the T_t of the ELP block.^{12, 13, 17, 42} CLPs are composed of three peptide chains where each CLP chain is made up of repeat units of amino acid triplets, (X-Y-G), where X and Y are usually proline (P) and hydroxyproline (O), respectively. Three CLP chains then selfassemble via hydrogen bonds between adjacent chains to form the CLP triple helix which, at temperatures below the melting temperature (T_m) of the triple helix, mimics the triple helical structure of native collagen.⁴³ Short CLPs have been widely used as thermoresponsive fabrics44, and therapeutic matrices and molecules⁴⁵ owing to their heat-triggered folding-unfolding behavior. The conjugation of short ELP to CLP can be used to control the T_t of the ELP.⁴² ELP-CLP conjugates are also dually thermoresponsive such that vesicles are formed above the T_t of the ELP-CLP triple helix conjugate, while vesicles dissociate at higher temperatures above the $T_{\rm m}$ of the CLP triple helix. Also, studies have illustrated that CLPs can be used to stain collagen

derived from human tissues, especially those with high ECM turnover (e.g. joints and articular cartilage⁴⁶), which makes this bilayer vesicle structure amenable to the delivery of molecules to collagen-rich regions in human tissues. Molecular dynamics simulations by Jayaraman and co-workers showed that the decrease in T_t of the ELP-CLP triple helix conjugates as compared to the free ELP is a result of the local crowding of the ELP chains when conjugated to CLP triple helix.¹⁷ Past work by Dunshee et al. has also shown that the choice of CLP sequence impacts the Tt of ELP-CLP conjugates through the thermal stability of the CLP domain (indicated by the T_m) and overall hydrophilicity of the ELP-CLP conjugate.⁴⁷ Similarly, ELPs have also been combined (but not conjugated) with hydrophilic polymers such as PEG to create thermoresponsive hydrogels which display controllable optical and mechanical properties.^{12,} 13

In this paper, we investigate the impact of tyrosine (Y) substitutions on the LCST-like transitions of ELP-CLP conjugates using a combination of molecular dynamics simulations (atomistic and coarse-grained) and experiments. Inspired by our previous work on W-containing ELPs, Y substitutions are used to tune the Tt of the ELP block such that it undergoes the LCST-like transition near-physiological temperatures, making it more suitable for drug delivery applications. The impact of substituting F with Y is not intuitive as hydrophobicity scales disagree on the relative hydrophobicities of F and Y.^{48, 49} To the best of our knowledge, previous studies have also not investigated the impact of π - π stacking interactions on the LCSTlike transitions of ELPs containing aromatic guest residues. Therefore, we hypothesize that the stacking interactions between nearby aromatic side-chain groups on Y and F would facilitate the aggregation of the ELP chains above the T_t. The impact of sequence order on the T_t is investigated by keeping the guest residue composition of the ELP chain constant and changing the relative positions of pentads containing the Y guest residues. Although there have been studies which have shown that the choice of sequence order can lead to hysteresis during the LCST-like transition,⁵⁰ there have not been any studies focused on the impact of sequence order on the value of the T_t.

Experimental methods

Materials

All Fmoc-protected amino acids (glycine, proline, hydroxyproline, valine, phenylalanine, tyrosine and Fmocpropargyl glycine), 4-azidobutanoic acid, hexafluorophosphate (HBTU), oxyma Pure, N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC) for solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) were purchased from (Wellington, FL). HPLC-grade acetonitrile, Chempep dimethylformamide (DMF) used as solvents in SPPS and HPLC purification were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fairlawn, NJ). triisopropylsilane (TIS), diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), copper(I) acetate and dehydrated

Journal Name

DMF and DMSO used in cleavage were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Synthesis of collagen-like- and elastin-like-peptides

The collagen-like-peptide with the sequence, (GPO)₈GG, and elastin-like-peptide with sequences, (VPGFG)_x(VPGYG)_yG' and (VPGYG)y(VPGFG)_xG' (G': propargyl glycine) were synthesized via traditional solid-phase peptide synthesis using the Liberty Blue[™] Automated Microwave Peptide Synthesizer (CEM Corporation, Charlotte, NC). The additional GG group of the CLP sequence was added for ease of experimental synthesis as it inhibits potential side reactions at the C-terminus of the CLP triple helix. The general synthesis scale was 0.25 mmol using a 0.19 mmol/g low loading Rink Amide ProTide Resin. Double coupling and double deprotection were conducted on both CLP and ELP syntheses and DIC and Oxyma were used to do the carboxylic acid activation. The deprotection of the Fmoc group was conducted using 20% v/v piperidine. The single coupling cycle was 125 seconds (70°C for 15 seconds and 90°C for 110 seconds). 4-azidobutanoic acid was manually coupled to the Nterminus of the CLP when on the resin. Double coupling with a 4:1 amino acid/resin ratio was used for the conjugation. Cleavage of the peptides from the resin was conducted in 93:4.5:2.5 (v:v:v) TFA/TIS/H₂O for 3 hours and precipitated in cold ether. Crude peptides were purified via reverse-phase HPLC (C₁₈ column). The mobile phase comprised gradients of degassed deionized water with 0.1% TFA and acetonitrile with 0.1% TFA, at a flow rate of 30 mL/min. Then, the peptide was detected by a UV detector at 214 nm. Fractions with product were collected and lyophilized. The molecular weight of the peptides was confirmed via electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS Waters[™] Xevo TQ-GC Mass Spectrometry System, Milford, MA) (Fig S1-S13) and the purity of the peptide was confirmed via reverse-phase HPLC (Waters[™], Milford, MA) (Fig S14, S15).

Preparation of ELP-b-CLP conjugates

The CLP sequence, (GPO)₈GG, was conjugated to various ELPs via the copper(I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) "click" reaction to generate ELP-b-CLP. As the total length of each conjugate is approximately 60 amino acids, traditional SPPS methodologies to synthesize the full-length ELP-CLP would provide low yields of peptides. Therefore, we performed a 'click' reaction for the conjugation, which provides desired ease of synthesis and versatility for conjugating any type of molecule/peptide to either the ELP or the CLP in future studies. Solutions of CLP (4.5 µmol), ELP (3 µmol) and Cu(I) acetate (0.25 equiv. to alkyne) in 1.5 mL 7:3 (v:v) anhydrous DMF & DMSO were added to a nitrogen-purged vial along with a clean Cu wire to prevent Cu (I) from oxidation. The alkyne group on the ELP conjugates with the azide group on the CLP forms a triazole group (illustrated in Fig 1). The mixture was stirred at 80°C overnight and precipitated in 5-fold cold ether and re-dissolved



in 3:7 (v:v) ACN/H₂O for further HPLC purification. The crude copolymer was purified via reverse-phase HPLC (C_{18} column). The mobile phase comprised gradients of degassed deionized water with 0.1% TFA and acetonitrile with 0.1% TFA, at a flow rate of 30 mL/min. The column was heated to 65°C to unfold the CLP triple helix, and the eluted peptide was detected by a UV detector at 214 nm. Fractions with product were collected and lyophilized. The molecular weight of the peptides was confirmed via electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS WatersTM Xevo TQ-GC Mass Spectrometry System, Milford, MA) (Fig S1-S13). The purity of the conjugates was confirmed by RP-analytical HPLC (C_{18} column) and the results are shown in Fig S14 & S15.

Self-assembly of ELP-CLP conjugates

Samples (1 mg) of purified ELP-CLP conjugates were weighed and added into glass vials containing 1 mL of HPLC-grade H₂O. The solutions were heated to 80°C and incubated at 80°C for 2 hours to unfold the CLP triple helix. Solutions were transferred into 10 mm glass dynamic light scattering (DLS) cuvettes after being filtered at 80°C. The annealing process from 80°C to 25°C was conducted using DLS for 3 hours on a Zetasizer Nano series (Nano ZS, Malvern Instruments, U.K.) at a scattering angle of 173° and data fitting using the cumulant method. Solutions were incubated at 25°C in DLS overnight before other measurements. The T_t of a given ELP-CLP conjugate was obtained by measurement of the average hydrodynamic size of nanoparticles at temperatures from 4°C to 80°C with an interval of 3°C. Samples were incubated at each temperature for 5 min before measurement. Each data point in our DLS results was calculated from the average of 3 different measurements and the error bar was calculated from their standard deviation. The transition temperature of the conjugate was determined using the first derivative⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ and the corresponding temperature was labelled as the T_t.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy (CD) characterization

Circular dichroic spectroscopy (Jasco 810 circular dichroism spectropolarimeter, Jasco Inc., Easton, MD) was conducted for the characterization of the secondary structure of the CLP domain. CLP and ELP-CLP conjugates were dissolved at a concentration of 100 μM in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl and 2.7 mM KCl) and incubated at 4 °C overnight before measurement. The CD spectra were recorded using quartz cells with a 0.2 cm optical path length. Full wavelength scans were collected to study the conformation of the peptide domain at 4 °C. The scanning rate was 50 nm/min, with a response time of 4 s. The wavelength scans were obtained from 200 to 250 nm and were recorded every 1 nm. To measure the melting temperature of the CLP domain, variable temperature experiments were conducted at the maximum wavelength in each ELP-CLP conjugate (e.g., 225 nm) with a 10 °C/h heating rate from 4 to 80 °C

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterization

ELP-CLP conjugates were prepared on carbon-coated 213 copper grids. Grids, pipet, pipet tips, and samples were

t group. In order to reduce the cor

prepared in an isothermal oven at desired temperatures for at least one hour before sample preparation. 5 μ L of sample solution was dropped on the grid and blotted after 60 seconds. For staining, 1% phosphotungstic acid (PTA; pH adjusted to 7.0 using 1 M NaOH) as a negative stain was used. A total of 3 μ L of the PTA solution was dropped on the grid and blotted after 10 s. The grid was left inside the oven to dry for at least 1 hour before imaging. TEM images were taken on a Tecnai G2 at a 120 keV accelerating voltage.

Simulations

Atomistic simulations

Atomistic molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the GROMACS 4.6.7 simulation package⁵⁵ to investigate the effect of tyrosine substitutions on the LCST-like transitions of tethered ELPs which mimic the conjugation of ELP to CLP (Fig 2). ELP sequences containing either five or six repeat units and a variable number of tyrosine (Y) guest residues ranging from zero to two tyrosine residues per ELP sequence were studied. The nomenclature ' F_5 ' series is used to refer to ELP sequences containing five repeat units and ' F_6 ' series used for ELP sequences containing six repeat units. ELP sequences in general are denoted only by the guest residues. For example, the ELP sequence (VPGFG)₄(VPGYG)₂ is referred to as F_4Y_2 .



Fig. 2 Atomistic models of tethered ELPs and coarse-grained ELP-CLP models used in this study. (A, B) Ribbon rendering of the atomistic ELPs tethered in space at their C-termini for F_6 (left, (VPGFG)₆) and F_4Y_2 (right, (VPGFG)₄(VPGYG)₂). Explicit water molecules and salt are used in the simulations but not shown here for clarity. ELP repeat units containing phenylalanine (F) guest residues are shown in orange while repeat units containing tyrosine (Y) guest residues are shown in blue. C) Coarse-grained (CG) model of F_4Y_2 -(POG)₈ with an expanded highlight of a single ELP-CLP conjugate. Briefly, ELP backbone CG beads are shown in red with their vellow attractive CG beads.

Tethered ELPs were simulated by first generating an ELP chain with the appropriate sequence in a β -spiral initial configuration using the PyMOL peptide builder tool.⁵⁶ The N-terminus of each ELP chain was capped with a charged, NH₃⁺ group while the C-terminus was capped with an uncharged, carboxyl (COOH)

group. In order to reduce the computational resources needed to atomistically simulate an entire ELP-CLP conjugate, the impact of ELP conjugation to CLP was reproduced in atomistic simulations by tethering 3 ELP chains in space with the tethering points positioned in a triangular pattern, mimicking their native configuration when attached to the CLP triple helix (Fig 2A and 2B). We set the distance between tethered C-termini of the 3 ELP chains as ~1.03 nm so that the diameter of a circle circumscribing the tethering points is approximately equal to the reported diameter of a CLP triple helix, ~1.2 nm.43 Moreover, the tethering of the C-terminus was achieved by defining a position restraint on the carbon atom of the Cterminal carbonyl group as reported by Prhashanna et al.38 Using GROMACS, position restraints were modelled using harmonic bonds between the positions of peptide atoms at a specific point in time and their initial positions as

Journal Name

$$U_{res}(x,y,z) = \frac{1}{2}k_{res}[(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2 + (z-z_0)^2]$$
(1)

In Equation (1) U_{res} is the positional restraint potential, k_{res} is the harmonic bond force constant set at 10⁴ kJ(mol nm²)⁻¹, (x,y,z) is the current position of the atom in Cartesian coordinates, and (x₀,y₀,z₀) is the initial position of the atom. In our simulations, the exact chemistries of the end groups for the experimentally produced peptides were not captured to keep the simulation results general, irrespective of the peptide synthesis technique.

We chose the OPLS-AA/L force field⁵⁷ and TIP4P water model⁵⁸ for our atomistic simulations. The initial configuration of the tethered ELPs was solvated in TIP4P water in cubic box sizes of 9 nm for the F₅ series and 10 nm for the F₆ series. Furthermore, since the N-terminus of the ELP chain contains a charged, NH3+ group, we included 3 chloride (Cl⁻) ions to maintain charge neutrality. The peptides used in the experiments, on the other hand, have an acetylated N-terminus and the charge neutrality of the experimental molecules was captured with a synthesisagnostic, neutral end group in the simulation. Each system was energy minimized using a steepest descent method with the maximum force tolerance level set as 900 KJ mol⁻¹ nm⁻¹. Molecular dynamics simulations were performed in the NPT ensemble at a desired temperature and a pressure of 1 bar for 200 ns. We chose temperatures ranging from 5 °C to 65 °C to mimic the experimental temperature range. A time step of 2 fs was used for the numerical integration. Bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained using the LINCS method.⁵⁹ Temperature and pressure were maintained constant using the stochastic velocity rescaling method⁶⁰ and Berendsen barostat⁶¹, respectively, with time constants of 0.1 and 2.0 ps, respectively. All electrostatic interactions were modelled using the particle-mesh Ewald (PME) method using fourth-order cubic interpolation.62

Several studies have shown that hydrogen bonding is a major driving force for the LCST-like transitions of elastin-like

Journal Name

peptides.^{32, 36, 38} Therefore, we quantified peptide-peptide (inter-peptide, intra-peptide, and total) and peptide-water hydrogen bonds as a measure of the extent of assembly and aggregation before and after the LCST-like transition. We do not claim to predict the exact T_t using our atomistic simulations but rather use the hydrogen bonds to obtain molecular insights into the effect of tyrosine substitutions on the chain conformations (i.e. structure) and thermodynamics of the ELPs chain as they undergo the LCST-like transition. Hydrogen bonds were defined based on distance and geometric criteria, in which a hydrogenbonding donor and acceptor are separated by less than 0.35 nm and the angle between the acceptor atom, donor atom, and hydrogen must be less than 30°. We also quantified turn structures in our simulations where the β , α , or π turn structures were defined as an intra-peptide hydrogen bond formed between residues i and i + n, where (n = 3, 4, 5, respectively).

In this paper, we hypothesize that π - π stacking also plays an important role as a driving force for the LCST-like transition due to the inclusion of aromatic guest residues such as phenylalanine (F) and tyrosine (Y). Inspired by the works of Headen et al.,⁶³ to investigate the impact of tyrosine substitutions on the extent of stacking interactions between aromatic guest residues, the radial and angular distribution functions are quantified as

$$g(r,\theta) = \frac{\Delta n(r,\theta)}{4\pi r^2 \sin\theta \cdot \Delta r \cdot \Delta\theta \cdot \rho}$$
(2)

where $\Delta n(r,\theta)$ is the number of aromatic residues in the distance range Δr and angle $\Delta \theta$, and ρ is the bulk number density. The factor of 1/sin θ accounts for the dependence of the solid angle on θ and degeneracies in the angular distribution.

For each system, the last 100 ns of the simulation was employed for data analysis to ensure that each system is properly equilibrated. The mean and standard deviation of these last 10,000 configurations were calculated and reported.

Coarse-Grained (CG) Simulations

In this work, we used a modified version of the coarse-grained ELP model of Prhashanna et al.³⁸ based on previous work by Jayaraman and co-workers that capture directional attractive interactions like H-bonds and stacking π-π in macromolecules.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁷ Each ELP residue was modelled using two coarse-grained beads - one bead for the peptide backbone (shown in red in Fig 2C) and another smaller attractive "H-bond" bead for the hydrogen bonding donor or acceptor on the amino acid (shown in yellow in Fig 2C). This modified CG model was motivated by atomistically observed increased propensity of tyrosine guest residues to form hydrogen bonds relative to other amino acids in ELP. With this CG model, every amino acid in the ELP can partake in hydrogen bonding, while tyrosine has the stronger propensity to form hydrogen bonds.

ARTICLE

Page 6 of 17

The characteristic length, σ , and characteristic energy, ε , for the CG model were 0.5 nm and 0.1 kcal/mol, respectively, such that the reduced temperature, T* = 5.92 is related to room temperature, T = 25 °C. Furthermore, the characteristic mass, m, was chosen arbitrarily since the goal of the CG model is not to capture the dynamics of these systems but rather the correct thermodynamics. Therefore, the bead masses do not need to represent the true mass of the corresponding amino acid. In our CG model, each ELP backbone bead had a mass of 1.0m and a diameter of 0.3σ .

Adjacent backbone (BB) beads were connected using harmonic bond potentials, where the bond length was 0.84σ and force constant was 1000 ε/σ^2 . Each H-bond bead was connected to its parent backbone bead via a harmonic bond potential with a bond length of 0.37 σ and a force constant of 1000 ϵ/σ^2 . In this work, we did not explore the impact of the guest residue on the stiffness of the ELP chain as described in our previous work.38 Therefore, we assumed that both tyrosine and phenylalanine guest residues impart the same degree of local stiffness to the ELP chain and included the harmonic angle potentials between G-X-G residues (beads) as described by Prhashanna et al.³⁸ with the force constant and equilibrium angle of F implemented for both Y and F. Next, to ensure that hydrogen bond formation occurs perpendicular to the peptide backbone, an H-bond bead-BB bead-adjacent BB bead angle potential was included with a force constant of 300ɛ/rad² and equilibrium angle of 90°.

As in our previous work 38 , we captured the increasing tendency of an ELP chain to collapse with increasing temperature using increasing attraction strength between non-bonded ELP CG backbone beads, ϵ_{EB} , via the Lennard Jones (LJ) potential 68 described as

$$U^{EB}(r) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{EB} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma^{EB}}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma^{EB}}{r} \right)^{6} \right]; r < 2.5 \sigma^{EB} \\ 0 ; otherwise \end{cases}$$
(3)

where σ^{EB} is the diameter of an ELP backbone bead and ε_{EB} is the interaction strength between non-bonded EB beads. We also captured all non-bonded interactions between H-bond beads in the ELP chain via another Lennard-Jones potential as shown below in equation (4),

$$U_{ij}^{HB} = \varepsilon^{HB} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma^{HB}}{r} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma^{HB}}{r} \right)^6 \right]$$
(4)

with the bead diameter, σ^{HB} , set at 0.3 σ and the interaction strength, ϵ^{HB} , set at 50.4 ϵ for Y-Y pairs, 31.9 ϵ for Y-X pairs, and 20.2 ϵ for X-X pairs where Y is tyrosine and X can be any amino acid besides tyrosine, Y. These interaction strengths were chosen as the minimum interaction strength that were required

to reproduce the LCST trends observed in experiments for two extreme cases: 1) an ELP sequence without Y substitutions and 2) another ELP sequence including two Y substitutions. Details of our choice of interaction strengths and parametrization are available in the Supplementary Information (Fig S16).

The (POG)₈ sequence was used for CLP in all simulations to match the experimental (GPO)₈GG sequence. The additional GG group used in experiments to facilitate synthesis was removed from our computational model as it does not affect the stability of the CLP triple helix. In this work, each CLP strand was a chain of POG triplets in which each (POG) triplet was represented using a proline backbone (PB) bead, a proline H-bond (PH) acceptor bead, a hydroxyproline backbone bead (OB), a glycine backbone (GB) bead, and a glycine H-bond (GH) donor bead. All H-bond beads in the CLP model had a diameter of 0.3σ and a mass of 1.0m while all backbone beads had a diameter of 1.0σ and a mass of 3.0m. This CLP model, as discussed in the work of Condon and Jayaraman,⁶⁴ captures the directionality and specificity of the inter-CLP strand hydrogen bonds using a combination of isotropic, bonded and non-bonded, interactions involving H-bond beads and the adjacent backbone beads, and bead sizes. It is also important to note that the CG CLP model does not capture the experimentally observed helicity of the CLP triple helix but reflects the correct trends in how the melting temperature of the CLP triple helix varies with CLP design as described previously by Condon and Jayaraman. The details of all bonded and non-bonded interactions are available in the original paper by Condon and Jayaraman.⁶⁴

Finally, using the above ELP-CLP CG models, Langevin dynamics

Journal Name

conformation (ELP) states before and after the LCST-like transition, we determined the ensemble-average number of ELP bead pairwise contacts, <N_{contacts} per bead>, as a function of ELP solvophobicity, ϵ_{EB} . The number of contacts was computed for each frame in a simulation by counting the total number of unique ELP backbone bead pairs which are separated by 2.5 or less, then dividing the total by the number of ELP backbone beads in the simulation. Next, the ensemble-average number of ELP bead contacts per ELP bead was calculated by averaging over all configurations and across three trials with different initial configurations and velocities. The error bars shown in the results are the standard deviations across three trials. For each system, the onset of aggregation (transition point) was defined as the value of ϵ_{EB} at which the plot of <N_{contacts} per bead> vs. ϵ_{EB} reaches an inflection point.

Results and Discussion

In this work, we seek to provide insight into the role of tyrosine as a guest residue on the T_t of short ELPs and self-assembly of ELP-CLP conjugates, while comparing our current findings to our previous studies on (VPGFG)₆-(GPO)₄GFOGER(GPO)₄GG and our W-containing ELP-CLP conjugates.^{38, 42} First, we substitute different numbers of phenylalanine (F) guest residues with tyrosine (Y) in both the F₆ and F₅ series to investigate the impact of the number of tyrosine residues on the T_t. As noted above our nomenclature uses G_8 to represent the CLP domain



Fig. 3 Average hydrodynamic diameter as a function of temperature obtained from dynamic light scattering (DLS) characterization for A) Y-containing F₆ series ELP-CLPs; B) Y-containing F₅ series ELP-CLPs. The error bars represent the standard deviation of three different measurements from a representative synthetic batch.

was performed in the NVT ensemble on 10 ELP-CLP conjugates in a cubic simulation box of size 140 σ using the LAMMPS simulation package.⁶⁹ In these simulations, the bonded interactions were integrated with a time step of 0.0005τ and non-bonded interactions with a time step of 0.001r. Every system was first equilibrated for 108-time steps followed by a 107-time step production run in which snapshots were stored

(GPO)₈GG, and only the guest residues in the ELP domain are used to represent the whole pentapeptide of the ELP domain. For example, $(VPGFG)_4(VPGYG)_2$ is denoted as F_4Y_2 and $(VPGFG)_3(VPGYG)_2$ as F_3Y_2 . We do not expect that the triazole linkage should have any significant impact on the assembly properties of these molecules, given its relative size and

position in the conjugate, as the assembly relies on the properties of the CLP domain and ELP domain separately.

In Fig 3, we show the average hydrodynamic diameter obtained from dynamic light scattering (DLS) for each ELP-CLP conjugate. In Fig 3A, for the F_6 series, the diameter rises steeply as the temperature is increased from 10°C to 30°C and each curve has a different temperature at which the diameter abruptly



Fig. 4 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) for representative F_4Y_2 - G_8 at A) 4°C; B) 25°C; C) 50°C; D) 80°C.

increases, also called the 'onset temperature'. For temperatures below the onset temperature, the diameter is approximately 20 nm and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements indicate that the conjugate is not selfassembled (Fig 4). As the temperature is increased, the diameter increases until it reaches ~150 nm for the selfassembled vesicles (confirmed by TEM measurements, shown in Fig 4 and Table S1). Then, as the temperature is increased further above 55°C, the diameter decreases due to the unfolding of the CLP triple helix (confirmed by circular dichroism measurements, shown in Fig S17). We have confirmed, in DLS experiments, that the "free" ELPs containing tyrosine substitutions lack an LCST-like transition across the full range of temperatures (Fig S18). Our observations are in agreement with similar studies on other short ELPs,²⁵⁻³⁰ which do not display T_t below the boiling point of water. In previous work reported by Luo et al.,⁴² as the temperature was increased above the T_t, the diameter slightly increased presumably because the unfolding of the CLP domain causes the structure to become less compact. In this work, we did not observe this phenomenon likely because the T_{t} and T_{m} are closer in value for these conjugates than for those previously reported.42 As the number of F-to-Y substitutions increases, (i.e., from F_6 - G_8 to F_4Y_2 - G_8 , to F_3Y_3 - G_8) the onset temperature decreases by ~3°C for each Y substitution, but the changes in diameter with temperature for all conjugates show similar behavior. We note that for F_5Y-G_8 and F₆-G₈ the onset temperature and curves overlap, suggesting that one Y substitution is not sufficient to induce a shift.

In Fig 3B, for the F_5 series, at temperatures below the onset point, the small diameters observed likely represent an unassembled state. As the temperature is increased from 25°C to 40°C, the diameter rises steeply to approximately 400 nm. Although our studies of F6-based conjugates47 suggests that such conjugates yield nanostructures with diameters of approximately 100 nm, previous studies by Qin et al.⁴¹ showed that when G₈ was conjugated with shorter ELPs, the dimensions of self-assembled species were of similar dimensions as those reported here. Consistent with previous reports of an increasing vesicle diameter with decreasing layer thickness,⁷⁰ we observe an increase in the vesicle diameter for the vesicles comprising shorter ELP domains. The temperature-dependent behavior of the F₅ series is similar to that of the F₆ series; upon substituting two Ys in place of F, the onset temperature decreases by ~3°C, but for a single Y substitution, the onset temperature remains unchanged as compared to $F_{5}.$ The T_{t} values for each conjugate are determined from the steepest slope for each conjugate's diameter vs. temperature curve (see the Experimental section), and the calculated T_t values for all conjugates are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Transition temperatures (T_t) obtained from DLS measurement for an increasing number of Y guest residues at the C-termini in the F_6 and F_5 series ELP-CLPs. The error represents the standard error in the T_t values obtained from there different synthetic repeats along with three different measurements.

ELP-CLP sequences	T _t *
F ₆ -(GPO) ₈ GG	21.2 ± 2.0°C
F₅Y-(GPO)8GG	20.2 ± 0.9°C
F ₄ Y ₂ -(GPO) ₈ GG	16.4 ± 0.4°C
F ₃ Y ₃ -(GPO) ₈ GG	12.9 ± 0.2°C
F₅-(GPO) ₈ GG	33.2 ± 0.5°C
F ₄ Y-(GPO) ₈ GG	34.0 ± 0.4°C
F ₃ Y ₂ -(GPO) ₈ GG	31.3 ± 0.3°C
F ₂ Y ₃ -(GPO) ₈ GG	28.0 ± 0.5°C



Fig. 5 Average hydrodynamic diameter as a function of temperature obtained from DLS measurements for A) F4Y2-G8 & Y2F4-G8; B) F3Y3-G8 & Y3F3-G8.



Fig. 6 Average hydrodynamic diameter as a function of temperature obtained from DLS measurements for A) F₃Y₂-G₈ & Y₂F₃-G₈; B) F₂Y₃-G₈ & Y₃F₂-G₈.

vesicles. As the T_t of F_4Y_2 - G_8 is 16.4°C, there are no large vesicles formed at 4°C (indicated in red arrows in Fig 4A). When the temperature is increased to 25°C and 50°C (Fig 4B and 4C), there are vesicles present with a diameter of 130 nm (indicated in red arrows), which is consistent with our DLS data, and the observed vesicles are significantly larger than the expected diameter of micelles that might be formed from these ELP-CLP conjugates (ca. 20 nm). The smaller spheres present in the background in Fig 4B and 4C may result from staining artifacts or small aggregated peptides that form on the TEM grid during drying. As the temperature increases to 80°C, which is above the CLP T_m , the vesicles are completely dissociated as shown in Fig 4D, although some aggregates were observed (indicated in red arrows), which likely formed during TEM sample preparation.

In Fig 4, we show the representative TEM data for F_4Y_2 - G_8 to confirm the morphology and dimensions of the self-assembled

In Table 1, for both the F_6 and F_5 series, a single F-to-Y The atomistic and CG molecular simulation work described later substitution does not cause any change in T_t , while for two or more F-to-Y substitutions, the T_t shifts to lower values. The observed behavior is consistent with the work of Urry et al.⁴⁹,

Next, we switched the position of the Y-containing pentad(s) from the C-terminus to N-terminus of the ELP domain in both the F_6 and F_5 series to investigate the impact of the position of

indicating a reduction in $T_{\rm t}$ with the inclusion of Y, rather than

the hydrophobicity scales of Kyte and Doolittle,⁴⁸ which suggest

that Y should be more hydrophilic than F (and thus raise the T_t).

Journal Name

F-to-Y substitution (i.e., sequence order) on the T_t . According to previous studies, the Tt of ELP is altered by the ELP length, the choice of guest residue, as well as conjugation to other peptides (e.g., CLP, linear poly-L-lysine, polylysine dendrimer, polyethylene glycol, etc).^{24, 27, 49, 71-73} In Fig 5, we keep the composition of the ELP constant and focus on the effects of the placement of Y-containing pentads in the F₆ series with either two or three F-to-Y substitutions. As illustrated in Fig 5A, for $Y_2F_4\mathchar`-G_8$, the onset temperature is $^{\sim}3^{\circ}C$ lower than $F_4Y_2\mathchar`-G_8$. As the temperature increases above the T_m of the CLP domain, the diameter decreases sharply indicating the unfolding of the CLP triple helix (confirmed by circular dichroism measurement in Fig S17). Similarly, in Fig 5B, the onset temperature of Y₃F₃-G₈ is also \sim 3°C lower than F₃Y₃-G₈. As illustrated in both figures, despite the compositional similarity of the ELP, when the F-to-Y substitution is made in pentads at the N-terminus (away from the CLP), the T_t is shifted to lower values. This trend is also observed for the F_5 series (Fig 6); Table 2 presents the T_t for all of these sequences and shows quantitatively that the $T_{\rm t}$ is reduced by ~3°C in all cases in which the Y-substituted pentad is shifted to the N-terminus of the ELP.

Table 2 Transition temperatures (T_t) obtained from DLS measurement for Y replacement in the F_6 and F_5 series ELP-CLPs. The error represents the standard error of the T_t obtained from three different measurement replicates of each of three synthetic repeats

ELP-CLP sequences	T _t *
F ₄ Y ₂ -(GPO)8GG	16.4 ± 0.4°C
Y ₂ F ₄ -(GPO)8GG	12.9 ± 0.2°C
F ₃ Y ₃ -(GPO)8GG	13.0 ± 0.0°C
Y₃F₃-(GPO)8GG	11.0 ± 0.9°C
F ₃ Y ₂ -(GPO)8GG	31.3 ± 0.3°C
Y ₂ F ₃ -(GPO)8GG	27.8 ± 0.3°C
F ₂ Y ₃ -(GPO)8GG	28.0 ± 0.5°C
Y₃F₂-(GPO)8GG	25.3 ± 0.2°C

In all of the experimental results presented, simply by making two (or three) F-to-Y substitutions in an ELP chain comprising 30 total amino acids, or by simply changing the placement of the substitution relative to the CLP, statistically significant changes are observed in the T_t (p < 0.02). As an increased number of Y guest residues are included in the ELP sequence and the position of Y-containing pentads is nearer to the tethering point between the ELP and CLP (i.e., F₃Y₃-G₈ compared to Y₃F₃-G₈ and F₂Y₃-G₈ compared to Y₃F₂-G₈), the T_t difference between the pairs decreases, as the impact of the increasing number of Y-containing pentads becomes more dominant in affecting the T_t.

Our experimental observations of the reduction in $T_{\rm t}$ with increasing Y-content are consistent with previous reports. Early work by Urry⁴⁹ as well as that by Meyer et al.²⁴ showed that the

Tt of an ELP can be lowered by increasing the length of the ELP and/or the hydrophobicity of the guest residue. Additional experimental studies have also illustrated low T_t (< 4 °C) for long, Y-containing ELP sequences.⁷⁴ Hathorne et al.'s work⁷⁵ showed that increasing the incubation temperature for Ycontaining short ELP sequences resulted in an increase in type I/III β-turns and hydrogen bonds. Our empirical observation of the sensitivity of the T_t to the position of the Y-containing pentads in the short ELP-CLPs highlights the advantages of employing short ELPs and illustrates the tunability of the transitions of these ELP-CLP conjugates near physiologically relevant temperatures at which their temperature sensitivity could be used to trigger the release of drugs or imaging agents. The conjugation of the ELP to the triple-helix-forming CLP results in observable Tt transitions, similar to reports for short ELPs conjugated to dendrimers and synthetic polymers^{72, 73} and short ELP-polyethylene glycol conjugates,⁷¹ but the inclusion of the CLP domain offers strategies to target collagen-containing matrices and tissues, therefore allowing for collagen-targeted delivery strategies.^{10, 46}

To provide detailed molecular insight and understanding of the driving forces governing the impact of F-to-Y substitution on the T_t of these ELP-CLP conjugates, atomistic and coarse-grained MD simulations were performed. First, we present atomistic simulation results for the 'tethered' F_6 (i.e., (VPGFG)₆ tethered at its C-terminus) at various temperatures ranging from below experimentally observed T_t to above T_t. We note that these atomistic simulations included only water molecules, salt molecules, and three ELP chains that were all tethered to a point in space (Fig 2A and 2B). Although the distance between the three tethering points was the same as that expected for three 'conjugation' sites at the N-terminus of the folded CLP, the explicit role of a given CLP and any concentration effects observed in experiments are not accounted for in these atomistic simulations.



Fig. 7 Number of hydrogen bonds (H-bonds) vs. temperature obtained from atomistic simulations of tethered F_6 (i.e., (VPGFG)₆ tethered at the C-terminus of the ELP).

Journal Name



Fig. 8 Effect of temperature on the π-π stacking of tethered F6 obtained from atomistic simulations at temperatures of A) 5 °C, B) 25 °C, C) 45 °C, D) 65 °C. Dashed lines show the radial cut off for a π-π stacking interaction (7 Å).

In Fig 7, we plot the number of hydrogen bonds (total peptidepeptide, inter-peptide, intra-peptide, and turn structures) observed in simulations as a function of temperature as tethered F₆ goes through the LCST-like transition. An increase in the total number of peptide-peptide hydrogen bonds is observed with increasing temperature for tethered F₆. The intra-peptide hydrogen bonds and the number of turn structures (a subset of the former) follow a similar trend where there is an initial increase as the ELP undergoes its transition between 5 °C and 25 °C, followed by a decrease (for intrapeptide) and a plateau (secondary structure) at higher temperatures. Interestingly, at those same high temperatures, the number of inter-peptide hydrogen bonds increases. These trends indicate a two-step mechanism: each ELP chain, at low temperatures, likely undergoes an intra-peptide collapse stabilized by intra-peptide hydrogen bonds, some of which qualify as turn structures. Similarly, reports from Matt et al.⁷⁶ showed that as the temperature increases, the hydrogen bonding network will be interrupted and reorganized. In our work, as the temperature is increased, the three ELP chains then undergo inter-peptide aggregation stabilized by inter-molecular hydrogen bonds. Unlike the coarse-grained simulations discussed later, there were not multiple sets of tethered ELPs included in the atomistic simulations, so we cannot comment on the aggregation of multiple sets of tethered ELPs. We also would not expect to capture signatures of this two-step mechanism using experimental techniques such as DLS given the difference in length scales of the original ELP chain folding versus the larger dimension observable in DLS.

Considering the aromatic nature of F and Y residues, the π - π stacking interactions were then quantified both below and above the T_t as the ELP chains undergo an LCST-like transition (Fig 8). For tethered F₆ (without any Y) at temperatures below the T_t (5 °C), there are weak and heterogeneous stacking interactions involving stacking with both parallel and perpendicular orientations, as shown in Fig 8A (and Fig S19). Between 5 °C and 25 °C, near the experimentally observed T_t,



Fig. 9 Hydrogen bonding analyses for tethered F_6 , F_5Y , and F_4Y_2 (shown in red, blue, and green, respectively) obtained from atomistic simulations: A) total peptidepeptide hydrogen bonds, B) inter-peptide hydrogen bonds, C) intra-peptide hydrogen bonds, D) peptide-water hydrogen bonds, and E) β , α , and π turns. Two-sided ttests were performed using the stats module in SciPy.⁷¹ "***" indicates p < 0.001, "**" indicates p < 0.01, and "*" indicates p < 0.05. All pairwise t-tests shown are comparing results for F_6 with F_4Y_2 at each temperature.

Journal Name

the simulation results show a shift to primarily parallel stacking interactions as observed with a peak in the distribution near θ = 0° (Fig 8B). Then, at higher temperatures (Figs 8C and 8D) there are weak stacking interactions that continue to decrease with increasing temperature. The decrease in the number of stacking interactions occurs as a result of the increasing entropic penalty that the aromatic rings would incur to maintain a stacked configuration at higher temperatures.

As we have shown that H-bonding plays a pivotal role during the LCST-like transition of F-containing ELPs, we first investigate the impact of F-to-Y substitutions on the number of hydrogen bonds in Fig 9. For the results in Fig 9, two-sided t-tests were performed using the stats module in SciPy.77 "***" indicates p < 0.001, "**" indicates p < 0.01, and "*" indicates p < 0.05. All pairwise t-tests shown are comparing results for F_6 with F_4Y_2 at each temperature. It is not clear a priori whether the presence of the additional hydroxyl (OH) group on Y vs. F would facilitate the formation of more inter- and intra-peptide hydrogen bonds thus leading to a reduction in T_t relative to unsubstituted ELP-CLP conjugates, or if the OH group would facilitate additional interactions with the surrounding solvent (water) and lead to an increase in the T_t relative to unsubstituted ELP-CLP conjugates. With increasing F-to-Y substitutions, the total peptide-peptide hydrogen bonds increase (Fig 9A). The number of inter-peptide hydrogen bonds (Fig 9B) around the LCST-like transition (5 °C and 25 °C) is significantly higher for sequences with F-to-Y substitutions as compared to F_6 . In contrast there is no consistent trend in the number of intra-peptide hydrogen bonds (Fig 9C). All ELP chains, regardless of composition, become dehydrated with increasing temperature as shown by a decrease in the number of peptide-water hydrogen bonds (Fig 9D). We note that a hydration analysis in terms of the number of peptide-water hydrogen bonds and number of water molecules in the 1st hydration shell of the ELP, as used in the

work of Condon et al.,¹⁷ also confirms dehydration but does not

provide a single LCST-like transition from a well-hydrated state at low temperature to dehydrated states at high temperature for these short ELPs (Fig S20). At 5 °C, below the LCST-like transition, the F-to-Y substitution increases the number of turn (β, α, π) structures adopted by the ELP chain, but at 25 °C, above the LCST-like transition, we see an opposing trend in which the F-to-Y substitutions leads to a reduction in the number of turn structures (Fig 9E). This is unlike the trends in our previous studies with tryptophan, W, where an increased propensity to form turn structures could be correlated with observation of a lower T_t.^{38, 40}

In Fig 10, we present the same results as in Fig 9 but for the F_5 series. The LCST-like transition for all sequences in the F5 series occurs between 25 °C and 45 °C in the experiments. In the computational results shown in Fig 10, at all temperatures, with an increasing number of F-to-Y substitutions, there is an increase in the total peptide-peptide hydrogen bonds (Fig 10A). The number of inter-peptide hydrogen bonds is higher for the Y-containing ELP sequences than F₅ at 45 °C; we, however, observe the opposite trend at 5 °C and 25 °C where there is a mild (but statistically significant) decrease in the number of inter-peptide hydrogen bonds for the Y-containing ELP sequences versus F₅ (Fig 10B). The number of intra-peptide hydrogen bonds is higher for only the case where we include two Y guest residue substitutions (F_3Y_2) in the F_5 at all temperatures, but we do not see consistent trends for F_4Y from 5 °C to 65 °C (Fig 10C) Similar to the F_6 series (Fig 9D), we observe that all ELP sequences, regardless of composition, become dehydrated with increasing temperature as shown by a decrease in the number of peptide-water hydrogen bonds (Fig 10D). Although the existence of β -turn secondary structures for ELPs in the collapsed state has been reported previously,⁷⁸ we show no conclusive evidence in experiments for their presence



Fig. 10 Hydrogen bonding analyses for tethered F₅, F₄Y, and F₃Y₂ (shown red, blue, and green, respectively) obtained from atomistic simulations: A) total peptidepeptide hydrogen bonds, B) inter-peptide hydrogen bonds, C) intra-peptide hydrogen bonds, D) peptide-water hydrogen bonds, and E) β , α , and π turns. Two-sided t-tests were performed using the stats module in SciPy.⁷¹ "***" indicates p < 0.001, "**" indicates p < 0.01, and "*" indicates p < 0.05. All pairwise t-tests shown are comparing results for F_5 with F_3Y_2 at each temperature.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

ARTICLE

in the CD spectra of the conjugates, most likely due to the considerably weaker absorption of β -turns relative to the absorption of triple helices, β -sheets, and α -helices⁷⁷ and because spectral signatures can deviate significantly for different sequences.⁷⁹ In simulations, we observe the presence of turn structures but we do not see a consistent effect of F-to-Y substitution on the number of β , α , and π turn structures. Therefore, our simulation data shows that ELP sequences containing F or Y guest residues have similar propensity to form secondary structures as these ELP chains undergo the LCST-like transition, suggesting that turn structures are an important driving force for intramolecular collapse for ELPs with either Y or F guest residues, in agreement with our past studies on ELP sequences containing W guest residues.³⁸ We also confirm that the choice of a β -spiral structure as the starting conformation for these atomistic ELP simulations does not impact these results. Previous work from Condon et al. has shown that the initial β -structure does not bias the simulation trajectory.¹⁷ To prove that this is the case in this study as well, snapshots of the initial and final conformations for tethered F₄Y₂ were collected, and the number of turn structures at the end of the MD simulation was calculated for all ELP sequences (Fig S21). We observe that the final configuration does not resemble a $\beta\mbox{-spiral}$ structure, and that a collection of different turn structures (β , α , and π) are present at the end of the simulation.

So far, the trends observed in the atomistic simulation results for both the F_6 and F_5 series are the following: i) for all ELP sequences there is increasing dehydration (i.e., decreasing peptide-water hydrogen bonds) with increasing temperature; ii) the total peptide-peptide hydrogen bonds (including inter- and intra-peptide hydrogen bonds) increase with F-to-Y substitutions. However, unlike the experiments where at least two F-to-Y substitutions are required to bring about a shift in the T_t , in these atomistic simulations we see increases in the total peptide-peptide hydrogen bonds even with one F-to-Y substitution and also observe comparable numbers of hydrogen bonds for both single and double Y substitutions. We attribute this disagreement to the limitations associated with these atomistic simulations. As noted earlier, the atomistic simulations, due to computational intensity, are restricted to having only three ELP chains tethered to three points in space and do not represent ELP-CLP conjugates at experimentally relevant concentration. We conjecture that the experimental observation of needing more than one F-to-Y substitution to observe any shift is linked to thermodynamic driving forces that change only after a certain number of F-to-Y substitutions at finite ELP-CLP concentration. To test this, CG MD simulations were conducted that explicitly include the CLP block using the CLP model of Condon and Jayaraman and include multiple ELP-CLP conjugates at the same concentration of 1 mg/mL (0.1 mM) as in experiments.

In the CG MD simulations, in place of temperature, we increase the attraction strength ϵ_{EB} . As ϵ_{EB} is increased, an increase in the number of ELP CG bead contacts is also observed. A lower 'onset' ϵ_{EB} in these CG MD simulations corresponds to a lower

 T_t in experiments.^{17, 38, 40} Analogous to the T_t temperature in experiments, we mark the ϵ_{EB} at which an inflection point is observed in the plot of the number of contacts vs. ϵ_{EB} . In our atomistically-informed CG model, every residue is able to form hydrogen bonds with nearby residues, in which tyrosine residues have the greatest affinity to form hydrogen bonds. Inspired by previous studies from the Jayaraman group, ^{38, 64, 66,}



Fig. 11 Ensemble average number of pairwise contacts per ELP coarse-grained (CG) bead vs. the strength of attractive pairwise interactions among ELP beads for (A) F6 series and (B) F5 series, obtained from CG simulations.

⁶⁷ each residue comprises a backbone bead which represents the peptide backbone and an H-bond bead which represents the corresponding hydrogen bonding donor/acceptor. The combinations of bead sizes, placement, and isotropic, interaction potentials allow our CG model to capture the directionality and specificity needed to model hydrogen bonds. Details of parametrization are described in the Methods section and the Supplementary Information. In Fig 11A, it is illustrated that when there is only one F-to-Y substitution (i.e., F_5Y -(POG)₈) our CG model shows a mild shift in the onset point relative to F₆. Whereas, for two F-to-Y substitutions (i.e., F₄Y₂-(POG)₈), a larger, more distinguishable shift in the onset point is observed, indicating that two substitutions are required to observe a significant shift in T_t for the F_6 series. On the other hand, for the F_5 series, F_5 -(POG)₈, F_4 Y-(POG)₈, and F_3 Y₂-(POG)₈, both the F_3 Y₂-(POG) $_8$ and F₄Y-(POG) $_8$ systems exhibit a shift in T_t compared to F₅-(POG)₈ (Fig 11B).

Finally, we explain the experimental observations of the effect of sequence order (i.e. changing the position of Y-containing pentad from C-terminus to N-terminus) on the LCST-like transition of ELP-CLP conjugates. Our hypothesis is that a combination of hydrogen bonding and π - π stacking could be inhibited or promoted as a result of the positioning of Y guest



Fig. 12 Effect of the tethering point/terminus on the π - π stacking of ELP 6-mers (F₆ series) at low temperatures: (A, D) 5 °C, (B, E) 25 °C, and (C, F) 45 °C. Dashed lines show the radial cut off for a π - π stacking interaction (7 Å).

residues relative to the tethering point (C-terminus) of ELP to CLP. To test this hypothesis, two ELP sequences each containing six repeat units with the same composition: tethered F_4Y_2 and tethered Y₂F₄ were simulated atomistically. The differences in hydrogen bonding (total peptide-peptide, inter, intra, and turn structures) between the two sequences is equivalent to error for both sequences (Supporting information Fig S22). In contrast, the effect of sequence order is seen in the $\pi\text{-}\pi$ stacking interactions for the ELP chains. As shown in Fig 12, when the ELP is conjugated to CLP at its F end (i.e. the F₄ block is located at the C-terminal conjugation point) the ELP chains show strong stacking interactions vs. the case where the ELP is conjugated to CLP at its Y end (i.e. the Y₂ block is located at the C-terminal conjugation point). At higher temperatures above 45 °C, we do not observe significant effects of the tethering point on the stacking interactions, possibly due to the entropic penalty incurred by the stacking of aromatic groups at high temperatures (Fig S23).

Moreover, although our stacking analyses do not show a consistent effect of Y substitutions on the stacking interactions between and within ELP chains (Fig S24), past studies have shown that Y forms stronger stacking interactions than F.⁸⁰ Therefore, when the ELP chain is tethered at the Y end, the Y guest residues are constrained as a result of the tethering and cannot participate in favorable stacking interactions. The distance between tethered termini in atomistic simulations is ~1.03 nm, which is greater than the cut-off distance for π - π stacking interactions of approximately 0.7 nm (black dashed line in Fig 12). So, Y guest residues are constrained such that they are unable to make close contacts corresponding to a centroidcentroid distance (r) of less than 0.7 nm and show fewer stacking interactions when the ELP chain is tethered at the Y end. On the other hand, when the ELP chain is tethered at the F end, the Y guest residues are less constrained and therefore can form more stacking interactions leading to a lower $T_{\rm t},$ as observed in our experimental results.

Conclusions

We have shown using a combination of experiments, atomistic and coarse-grained molecular dynamics (MD) simulations that we can tune the LCST-like transition of ELP-CLP conjugates by varying both the length and composition of the ELP block via the substitution of phenylalanine (F) guest residues with tyrosine (Y). Previously published hydrophobicity scales disagree on the relative hydrophobicities of F and Y, where some scales (such as that of Kyte and Doolittle⁴⁸) rank tyrosine to be more hydrophilic than phenylalanine while our results, although for short ELPs, follow the trends observed by Urry and co-workers⁴⁹ for high molecular weight ELPs. Past studies have also noted that the collapse of the ELP domain occurs due to a combination of hydrophobic collapse and intra-pentamer H-bonds. Our simulations showed that with an increase in temperature, the number of total peptide-peptide hydrogen bonds increase (with increases in both inter- and intra-peptide hydrogen bonds), which is consistent with previous studies by Hathorne et al. and Matt et al.^{74, 75} We also observed that the sequence directionality of the ELP block when conjugated to CLP significantly impacts the LCST-like transition. When Y guest residues were placed near the C-terminus of the ELP chain (i.e. near the tethering point to CLP), there was an increase in T_t as compared to cases where the Y guest residues were placed near the untethered N-terminus of the ELP. The increase in T_t when tyrosine was placed near the tethering point is suggested by our studies to result from reduced π - π stacking interactions due to the spatial constraints placed on Y as a result of its proximity to the tethering point; there were no significant differences in terms of hydrogen bonding for these sequences based on variations in sequence order. While previous studies have mainly focused on hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic effects as driving forces for the LCST-like transition, this study highlights the importance of also considering π - π stacking interactions

when designing ELPs and ELP-CLP conjugates as responsive materials for applications in sensing, electronics, and medicine.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Science Foundation (NSF; Grant CBET1703402) for financially supporting this work. Additionally, HH and KK want to thank the National Institutes of Health (NIH; R21 AR069778A and 1 P30 GM110758) for partial financial support and instrument resources. The computational work in this paper was supported through the use of information technologies resources at the University of Delaware, specifically the Farber high-performance computing resources. The views expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding agencies.

Notes and references

- Y. Cho, Y. Zhang, T. Christensen, L. B. Sagle, A. Chilkoti and P. S. Cremer, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2008, 112, 13765-13771.
- 2. J. C. Rodríguez-Cabello, F. J. Arias, M. A. Rodrigo and A. Girotti, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 2016, **97**, 85-100.
- M. E. Roth Konforti, M. Comune, M. Halperin Sternfeld,
 I. Grigoriants, D. Shabat and L. Adler Abramovich, Macromolecular rapid communications, 2018, 39, 1800588.
- 4. D. Schmaljohann, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 2006, **58**, 1655-1670.
- 5. A. M. Hilderbrand, E. M. Ford, C. Guo, J. D. Sloppy and A. M. Kloxin, *Biomaterials Science*, 2020.
- M. R. Dreher, A. J. Simnick, K. Fischer, R. J. Smith, A. Patel, M. Schmidt and A. Chilkoti, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2008, **130**, 687-694.
- L. Martín, E. Castro, A. Ribeiro, M. Alonso and J. C. Rodríguez-Cabello, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, 13, 293-298.
- 8. T. Dvir, M. R. Banghart, B. P. Timko, R. Langer and D. S. Kohane, *Nano letters*, 2010, **10**, 250-254.
- 9. T. Jiang, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, H. Lv, J. Zhou, C. Li, L. Hou and Q. Zhang, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**, 9246-9258.
- T. Luo, M. A. David, L. C. Dunshee, R. A. Scott, M. A. Urello, C. Price and K. L. Kiick, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, 18, 2539-2551.
- 11. Y. Shamay, L. Adar, G. Ashkenasy and A. David, *Biomaterials*, 2011, **32**, 1377-1386.
- 12. E. Meco and K. J. Lampe, *Biomacromolecules*, 2019, **20**, 1914-1925.
- 13. Y. Zhang, M. S. Desai, T. Wang and S.-W. Lee, *Biomacromolecules*, 2020.
- 14. D. W. Urry, Progress in biophysics and molecular biology, 44. 1992, **57**, 23-57.
- M. Martino, T. Perri and A. M. Tamburro, *Macromolecular* 45. Bioscience, 2002, 2, 319-328.

- 16. D. W. Urry, T. Trapane and K. Prasad, *Biopolymers: Original Research on Biomolecules*, 1985, **24**, 2345-2356.
- 17. J. E. Condon, T. B. Martin and A. Jayaraman, *Soft matter*, 2017, **13**, 2907-2918.
- 18. S. R. MacEwan and A. Chilkoti, *Nano letters*, 2012, **12**, 3322-3328.
- 19. J. A. MacKay, D. J. Callahan, K. N. FitzGerald and A. Chilkoti, Biomacromolecules, 2010, **11**, 2873-2879.
- D. E. Meyer and A. Chilkoti, *Nature Biotechnology*, 1999, 17, 1112-1115.
- J. Reguera, D. W. Urry, T. M. Parker, D. T. McPherson and J. C. Rodríguez-Cabello, *Biomacromolecules*, 2007, 8, 354-358.
- 22. S. Roberts, M. Dzuricky and A. Chilkoti, *FEBS letters*, 2015, **589**, 2477-2486.
- 23. R. L. Teeuwen, F. A. de Wolf, H. Zuilhof and J. C. van Hest, *Soft Matter*, 2009, **5**, 4305-4310.
- 24. D. E. Meyer and A. Chilkoti, *Biomacromolecules*, 2004, **5**, 846-851.
- H. Reiersen, A. R. Clarke and A. R. Rees, Journal of molecular biology, 1998, 283, 255-264.
- P. Paricaud, A. Galindo and G. Jackson, *Molecular Physics*, 2003, **101**, 2575-2600.
- 27. M. Pechar, J. Brus, L. Kostka, Č. Koňák, M. Urbanová and M. Šlouf, *Macromolecular Bioscience*, 2007, **7**, 56-69.
- R. Saxena and M. J. Nanjan, Drug delivery, 2015, 22, 156-167.
- 29. L. Ayres, K. Koch and J. C. M. van Hest, *Macromolecules*, 2005, **38**, 1699-1704.
- Y. Navon, M. Zhou, J. B. Matson and R. Bitton, Biomacromolecules, 2016, 17, 262-270.
- G. L. Dignon, W. Zheng, Y. C. Kim and J. Mittal, ACS central science, 2019, 5, 821-830.
- 32. N. K. Li, F. G. Quiroz, C. K. Hall, A. Chilkoti and Y. G. Yingling, Biomacromolecules, 2014, **15**, 3522-3530.
- 33. R. Rousseau, E. Schreiner, A. Kohlmeyer and D. Marx, *Biophysical journal*, 2004, **86**, 1393-1407.
- E. Schreiner, C. Nicolini, B. Ludolph, R. Ravindra, N. Otte, A. Kohlmeyer, R. Rousseau, R. Winter and D. Marx, *Physical review letters*, 2004, 92, 148101.
- 35. J. D. Tang, C. E. McAnany, C. Mura and K. J. Lampe, Biomacromolecules, 2016, **17**, 3222-3233.
- B. Zhao, N. K. Li, Y. G. Yingling and C. K. Hall, Biomacromolecules, 2016, 17, 111-118.
- B. Zhao, T. Lindeboom, S. Benner, G. Jackson, A. Galindo and C. K. Hall, *Langmuir*, 2017, **33**, 11733-11745.
- A. Prhashanna, P. A. Taylor, J. Qin, K. L. Kiick and A. Jayaraman, *Biomacromolecules*, 2019, 20, 1178-1189.
- B. Li, D. O. Alonso and V. Daggett, *Journal of molecular biology*, 2001, 305, 581-592.
- 40. H. Nuhn and H.-A. Klok, *Biomacromolecules*, 2008, **9**, 2755-2763.
 - J. Qin, T. Luo and K. L. Kiick, *Biomacromolecules*, 2019, **20**, 1514-1521.
- 42. T. Luo and K. L. Kiick, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2015, **137**, 15362-15365.
- 43. M. D. Shoulders and R. T. Raines, Annual review of biochemistry, 2009, **78**, 929-958.
 - S. Chattopadhyay and R. T. Raines, *Biopolymers*, 2014, **101**, 821-833.
 - S. D. Kim, H. Y. Lee, J. W. Shim, H. J. Kim, Y. H. Yoo, J. S. Park,

41

S.-H. Baek, B. A. Zabel and Y.-S. Bae, American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 2011, **184**, 243-251.

 46. Y. Li, C. A. Foss, D. D. Summerfield, J. J. Doyle, C. M. Torok,
 H. C. Dietz, M. G. Pomper and S. M. Yu, *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A, 2012, **109**, 14767-14772.

Journal Name

- 47. L. C. Dunshee, M. O. Sullivan and K. L. Kiick, *Bioengineering* & *Translational Medicine*, 2020, **5**, e10145.
- 48. J. Kyte and R. F. Doolittle, *Journal of molecular biology*, 1982, **157**, 105-132.
- 49. D. W. Urry, C. H. Luan, T. M. Parker, D. C. Gowda, K. U. Prasad, M. C. Reid and A. Safavy, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1991, **113**, 4346-4348.
- 50. L. Zhou, T. Qiu, F. Lv, L. Liu, J. Ying and S. Wang, *Advanced Healthcare Materials*, 2018, **7**, 1800670.
- 51. S. R. Au MacEwan, W. Au Hassouneh and A. Au Chilkoti, JoVE, 2014, DOI: doi:10.3791/51583, e51583.
- 52. T. Christensen, W. Hassouneh, K. Trabbic-Carlson and A. Chilkoti, *Biomacromolecules*, 2013, **14**, 1514-1519.
- 53. V. Sarangthem, E. A. Cho, A. Yi, S. K. Kim, B.-H. Lee and R.-W. Park, *Scientific Reports*, 2018, **8**, 3892.
- 54. M. Shah, P.-Y. Hsueh, G. Sun, H. Y. Chang, S. M. Janib and J. A. MacKay, *Protein Sci*, 2012, **21**, 743-750.
- 55. B. Hess, C. Kutzner, D. Van Der Spoel and E. Lindahl, *Journal* of chemical theory and computation, 2008, **4**, 435-447.
- 56. W. L. DeLano, <u>http://www</u>. pymol. org, 2002.
- 57. G. A. Kaminski, R. A. Friesner, J. Tirado-Rives and W. L. Jorgensen, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2001, **105**, 6474-6487.
- W. L. Jorgensen, J. Chandrasekhar, J. D. Madura, R. W. Impey and M. L. Klein, *The Journal of chemical physics*, 1983, **79**, 926-935.
- 59. B. Hess, H. Bekker, H. J. Berendsen and J. G. Fraaije, *Journal* of computational chemistry, 1997, **18**, 1463-1472.
- 60. G. Bussi, D. Donadio and M. Parrinello, *The Journal of chemical physics*, 2007, **126**, 014101.
- H. J. Berendsen, J. v. Postma, W. F. van Gunsteren, A. DiNola and J. R. Haak, *The Journal of chemical physics*, 1984, 81, 3684-3690.
- 62. T. Darden, D. York and L. Pedersen, *The Journal of chemical physics*, 1993, **98**, 10089-10092.
- T. F. Headen, C. A. Howard, N. T. Skipper, M. A. Wilkinson, D. T. Bowron and A. K. Soper, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2010, **132**, 5735-5742.
- 64. J. E. Condon and A. Jayaraman, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2018, **122**, 1929-1939.
- 65. A. F. Ghobadi and A. Jayaraman, *Soft matter*, 2016, **12**, 2276-2287.
- 66. A. Kulshreshtha, K. J. Modica and A. Jayaraman, *Macromolecules*, 2019, **52**, 2725-2735.
- D. J. Beltran-Villegas, D. Intriago, K. H. Kim, N. Behabtu, J.
 D. Londono and A. Jayaraman, *Soft matter*, 2019, **15**, 4669-4681.
- 68. J. E. Jones, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, 1924, **106**, 463-477.
- 69. S. Plimpton, *Fast parallel algorithms for short-range molecular dynamics*, Sandia National Labs., Albuquerque, NM (United States), 1993.
- 70. C. Huang, D. Quinn, Y. Sadovsky, S. Suresh and K. J. Hsia, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2017, **114**, 2910-2915.
- 71. A. Araújo, B. D. Olsen and A. V. Machado, Biomacromolecules, 2018, **19**, 329-339.

- C. Kojima, U. H. Sk, D. Fukushima, K. Irie, N. Akazawa, M. Umeda and T. Niidome, *RSC Advances*, 2015, 5, 104900-104906.
- 73. M. Zhou, Y. Shmidov, J. B. Matson and R. Bitton, *Colloids* and *Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 2017, **153**, 141-151.
- 74. B. M. Seifried, J. Cao and B. D. Olsen, *Bioconjugate chemistry*, 2018, **29**, 1876-1884.
- 75. A. P. Hathorne and H. Bermudez, *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 2013, **110**, 1822-1830.
- A. Matt, B. Kuttich, I. Grillo, S. Weißheit, C. M. Thiele and B. Stühn, *Soft Matter*, 2019, **15**, 4192-4199.
- P. Virtanen, R. Gommers, T. E. Oliphant, M. Haberland, T. Reddy, D. Cournapeau, E. Burovski, P. Peterson, W. Weckesser and J. Bright, *Nature Methods*, 2020, 1-12.
- J. Heyda, H. I. Okur, J. Hladílková, K. B. Rembert, W. Hunn, T. Yang, J. Dzubiella, P. Jungwirth and P. S. Cremer, *Journal* of the American Chemical Society, 2017, **139**, 863-870.
- 79. W. Kim and V. P. Conticello, *Polymer Reviews*, 2007, **47**, 93-119.
- R. Chelli, F. L. Gervasio, P. Procacci and V. Schettino, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2002, 124, 6133-6143.



79x39mm (96 x 96 DPI)