



# In situ Electrosynthesis of Anthraquinone Electrolytes in Aqueous Flow Batteries

Journal:	Green Chemistry
Manuscript ID	GC-ART-07-2020-002236.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Aug-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Jing, Yan; Harvard University Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Chemistry Wu, Min; Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences Wong, Andrew; Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Applied Physics Fell, Eric; Harvard University, School of Engineering and Applied Sciences Jin, Shijian; Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Material Science Pollack, Daniel; Harvard University, Department of Physics Kerr, Emily; Harvard University, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology Gordon, Roy; Harvard University, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology Aziz, Michael; Harvard School of Engineering and Applied Sciences,



## 1 In situ Electrosynthesis of Anthraquinone Electrolytes in Aqueous Flow Batteries

- 2 Yan Jing,<sup>1§</sup> Min Wu,<sup>2§</sup> Andrew A. Wong,<sup>2</sup> Eric M. Fell,<sup>2</sup> Shijian Jin,<sup>2</sup> Daniel A. Pollack,<sup>3</sup> Emily
- 3 F. Kerr,<sup>1</sup> Roy G. Gordon,<sup>\*,1,2</sup> Michael J. Aziz<sup>\*,2</sup>
- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge,
- 5 Massachusetts 02138, United States
- <sup>2</sup> John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge,
   Massachusetts 02138, United States
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, United States
- 9 § These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 10 \* Correspondence: <u>gordon@chemistry.harvard.edu</u>; <u>maziz@harvard.edu</u>

## 11 Abstract

We demonstrate the electrochemical oxidation of an anthracene derivative to a redox-active anthraquinone at room temperature in a flow cell without the use of hazardous oxidants or noble metal catalysts. The anthraquinone, generated *in situ*, was used as the active species in a flow battery electrolyte without further modification or purification. This potentially scalable, safe, green, and economical electrosynthetic method is also applied to another anthracene-based derivative and may be extended to other redox-active aromatics.

# 18 Introduction

Aqueous redox flow batteries (ARFBs) represent a class of devices for storing electrical energy that are especially well suited for large-scale stationary deployment.<sup>1, 2</sup> Vanadium redox flow batteries, the most developed ARFB technology, have been limited by the high and fluctuating price of vanadium.<sup>3</sup>

Anthraquinone-based aqueous redox flow batteries are considered as one class of the most promising alternatives to vanadium redox flow batteries because they can be composed of earthabundant elements such as C, H, O, and N while providing comparable electrochemical performance.<sup>4-9</sup> However, reducing the production cost of anthraquinone-based electrolytes and

27 improving their chemical stability are two major challenges preventing them from being costcompetitive.<sup>9-14</sup> Many factors can influence the synthesis cost of an organic molecule, including 28 the number, duration, complexity, and yields of the reaction steps, the reaction conditions (time, 29 temperature, and pressure), solvent and precursor costs, the cost of waste disposal, and economies 30 of scale. Likewise, a host of factors contributes to the stability, and by extension the long-term 31 32 viability, of redox-active organics including the chemical structure, solvent conditions, applied potentials, and state of charge. Only through careful consideration of all of these factors can 33 commercial-scale organic ARFBs be viable storage solutions. Therefore, not only is the 34 35 development of a stable anthraquinone important, but the design of a potentially economical, scalable, and green synthetic route toward targeted molecules is equally significant.<sup>11, 15</sup> 36

Electrochemically-mediated synthesis (electrosynthesis) enables the replacement of hazardous 37 oxidizing and reducing agents by electric current, or "clean" electrons, through an electrode and 38 has attracted considerable attention for both laboratory and industrial applications in multiple 39 fields of research.<sup>16-21</sup> Compared to traditional thermochemical synthesis, electrosynthesis can be 40 significantly more environmentally benign due to reduced waste production and alternative 41 chemicals consumed.<sup>22, 23</sup> However, the necessity of using specific solvents combined with 42 43 supporting electrolytes, along with their subsequent separations, are some of the primary hurdles limiting the feasibility of electrosynthesis compared to thermochemical processes in many cases.<sup>16</sup> 44 As an example, anthraquinone is typically produced from anthracene, an inexpensive and 45 abundant component of coal tar and petroleum.<sup>24</sup> Typically, hazardous oxidants such as 46 cerium(IV), chromium(VI), and vanadium(V) compounds dissolved in strong acids, sometimes at 47 elevated temperatures, are used to facilitate this thermochemical conversion.<sup>25</sup> To minimize the 48 49 use of hazardous materials, often these consumed oxidants are electrochemically regenerated and

reused for chemical oxidations,<sup>25-30</sup> that is, a mediated or indirect electrochemical oxidation. However, in both thermochemical conversion and mediated (indirect) electrochemical conversion, isolating anthraquinone from these hazardous solutions can be time- and capital-intensive. Electrooxidations of anthracene and its derivatives at  $\sim$ 1 mM concentration have been performed previously; however, the low concentrations of anthracene substrates and poor selectivity of the reactions have prevented the method from being synthetically useful.<sup>31-36</sup>

Using a scalable flow cell setup,<sup>37</sup> we demonstrate the capability to electrochemically oxidize 56 water-soluble anthracenes directly to anthraquinones in electrolytes without the use of strong 57 58 oxidants or catalysts, producing the desired negolyte (negative electrolyte) and ferrocyanide posolyte (positive electrolyte) in situ. Compared to conventional thermochemical and 59 electrochemical methods, the new method is safe and potentially inexpensive because it eliminates 60 both the use of hazardous oxidants and the necessity of post-synthesis isolation of the products 61 from the supporting electrolytes. Taking advantage of a flow cell and bulk electrolysis setup, the 62 demonstrated electrosynthetic method is amenable to both continuous and batch processing. 63 Furthermore, we confirmed that the electrosynthetic method can also be extended to other 64 anthracene derivatives. 65

3,3'-(9,10-anthraquinone-diyl)bis(3-methylbutanoic acid) (DPivOHAQ) was recently reported
as an extremely stable and potentially inexpensive negolyte active species for organic ARFBs.<sup>38</sup>
However, the use of CrO<sub>3</sub> in the synthesis can be highly toxic and explosive if produced in large
scale. Figure 1a shows the synthetic route for DPivOHAQ in three steps: 1) Through Birch
reduction, anthracene (AC) is converted to 9,10-dihydroanthracene (DHAC) at room temperature
(Figure S1). 2) After a Friedel–Crafts reaction and subsequent oxidation by air in one pot, two
water-soluble groups are introduced and DHAC is re-oxidized to an AC derivative (Figure S2),

forming 3,3'-(anthracene-diyl)bis(3-methylbutanoic acid) (**DPivOHAC**). The **DPivOHAC** powder was then dissolved in water by adding KOH to deprotonate the carboxylic acid groups. 3) Lastly, **DPivOHAQ** negolyte active species is produced by electrochemical oxidation in an aqueous electrolyte without the need for further purification. Figure 1b illustrates how **DPivOHAQ** and ferrocyanide active species can be produced *in situ* in the flow cell's electrosynthesis mode. These materials can directly serve as the active species in the negolyte and the posolyte, respectively, of a flow battery in the same cell as illustrated in Figure 1c.



80

Figure 1. Preparation of DPivOHAQ and the corresponding flow battery. (a) The
DPivOHAQ synthetic route and conditions starting from anthracene. (b) The setup for
electrosynthesis of DPivOHAQ and ferrocyanide. (c) The flow battery setup with DPivOHAQ
negolyte (generated *in situ*) and ferrocyanide posolyte (generated *in situ*). DPivOHAC: 3,3'(anthracene-diyl)bis(3-methylbutanoic acid); DPivOHAC(COO<sup>-</sup>) is deprotonated DPivOHAC.
DPivOHAQ: 3,3'-(9,10-anthraquinone-diyl)bis(3-methylbutanoic acid); DPivOHAQ(COO<sup>-</sup>) is
deprotonated DPivOHAQ.

Figure 2a lists three different oxidation methods for **DPivOHAQ** synthesis. Conventionally,

89 anthracene derivatives can be chemically oxidized to their anthraquinone forms by oxidants such

as chromium oxide ( $CrO_3$ ) in strong acidic media at elevated temperature.<sup>38</sup> To minimize the use

of hazardous oxidants, the strategy of mediated electrochemical oxidation can be performed by regenerating oxidants such as cerium(IV) compounds.<sup>26, 29</sup> However, in both of these thermochemical and indirect electrochemical oxidation processes, tedious and expensive isolation of anthraquinone-based products from oxidants and acids is required. Taking advantage of the high solubility of **DPivOHAC** in base, we demonstrate a synthetic route via direct electrochemical oxidation in alkaline electrolyte with a flow cell. This method allows the complete elimination of hazardous oxidants and costly separation processes.



Figure 2. Comparison of DPivOHAQ synthetic methods. (a) Thermochemical, mediated
 (indirect) electrochemical, and direct electrochemical oxidation reactions to synthesize
 DPivOHAQ. (b) Advantages of direct electrochemical oxidation *in situ*.

### **103** Experimental

98

102

105 Glassy carbon was used as the working electrode for all three-electrode cyclic voltammetry (CV)

tests with a 5 mm diameter glassy carbon working electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference electrode

- 107 (BASi, pre-soaked in 3 M NaCl solution), and a graphite counter electrode. Both undivided cell
- and divided cell were built for electrosynthesis. Flow battery experiments were constructed with
- 109 cell hardware from Fuel Cell Tech (Albuquerque, NM) assembled into a zero-gap flow cell
- 110 configuration. Pyrosealed POCO graphite flow plates with serpentine flow patterns were used for

<sup>104</sup> *Cell hardware* 

- both electrodes. Each electrode comprised a 5 cm<sup>2</sup> geometric surface area covered by AvCarb
- 112 HCBA woven carbon fiber without pretreatment, or Pt-coated Toray carbon paper without
- 113 pretreatment. The membrane is pre-soaked (1 M KOH for 24 hours) Nafion 212.
- 114 Undivided electrolytic cell setup (electrochemical oxidation vs. the HER)
- 115 Working electrode: carbon felt, where **DPivOHAC(COO<sup>-</sup>)** was oxidized to **DPivOHAQ(COO<sup>-</sup>)**;
- 116 counter electrode: carbon rod, where water was reduced to hydrogen gas. While the electrolyte
- 117 was stirred, a constant potential (1.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl) was applied to the divided electrolytic cell
- 118 until 120% of the required coulombs were extracted from the working electrode.
- 119 *Divided electrolytic cell setup (electrochemical oxidation vs. the ORR)*
- 120 Anode: Commercial AvCarb HCBA (woven carbon cloth), where **DPivOHAC(COO-)** was
- 121 oxidized to DPivOHAQ(COO<sup>-</sup>); cathode: platinum coated Toray carbon paper, where humidified
  122 air/oxygen was reduced to hydroxide. A constant voltage (1.8 V) was applied to the divided
- electrolytic cell until the current decreased to 2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. The number of extracted electrons was
- 124  $\sim$ 1.2 times higher than the theoretical value.
- 125 *Divided electrolytic cell setup (electrochemical oxidation vs. the reduction of ferricyanide)*
- Anode: AvCarb HCBA (woven carbon cloth), where **DPivOHAC(COO<sup>-</sup>)** was oxidized to **DPivOHAQ(COO<sup>-</sup>)**; cathode: AvCarb HCBA (woven carbon cloth), where potassium ferricyanide was reduced to potassium ferrocyanide. A constant current density ( $20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ ) was applied to the divided cell for at most 1.5 hours with a 1.2 V voltage cutoff; when either time or voltage reached the limit, the potential was held (1.2 V vs. ferro-/ferricyanide) until the current decreased to 2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. The number of extracted electrons was ~1.2 times higher than the theoretical value.

An aliquot (~250  $\mu$ L) was transferred from the as-prepared anolyte to an Eppendorf® tube (capacity: 1.5 mL) and acidified by a drop of concentrated HCl to obtain **DPivOHAQ** precipitate. The final **DPivOHAQ** precipitate was re-dissolved in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> for <sup>1</sup>H NMR measurement. The yield was determined by peak integrations of spectrum. Faradaic efficiency (%) = yield (%) / 1.2. More detailed information can be found in the Supplementary information.

### **138 Results and Discussion**

In an electrolytic cell, an anodic oxidation half reaction must be accompanied by a cathodic reduction half reaction. As shown in Table 1, we devise three different reduction half reactions to be coupled with direct **DPivOHAC** electrochemical oxidation, *i.e.*, the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), and the  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$  to  $Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$  reduction reaction. The corresponding oxidation or reduction potentials for these reactions are listed in Table 1.

For the electrochemical oxidation of **DPivOHAC** to **DPivOHAQ**, two cell types are used, as diagramed and described in Figures S3 and S4. A divided cell uses an ion exchange membrane to separate the two half reactions, resembling the architecture of traditional fuel cells and ARFBs. An undivided cell employs two electrodes suspended in electrolyte without the use of a membrane, reflecting a bulk electrolysis cell.

150 Comparing these three overall reactions, the first one paired with the HER requires the highest 151 voltage; the second one paired with the ORR is known to have slow reaction kinetics and a high 152 overpotential;<sup>39</sup> the third one paired with  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$  to  $Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$  reduction exhibits the lowest 153 overall reaction cell voltage, suggesting the least amount of energy will be required for 154 electrosynthesis. Another merit of the third reaction is the *in situ* generation of the desired negolyte 155 active species (**DPivOHAQ**) and posolyte active species  $Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$  simultaneously. The

156 disadvantage is that at least six equivalents of ferricyanide and hydroxide are used. Given the similar reduction potentials of the ORR and of ferricyanide to ferrocyanide, an important direction 157 for future research is the concurrent reduction of oxygen and ferricyanide in order to achieve high 158 yields as well as lower ferricyanide usage. By using the same full cell configuration without 159 changing electrolyte reservoirs, carbon-based electrodes, or ion-exchange membranes, we can 160 immediately switch from electrosynthesis mode to flow battery mode for electrochemical energy 161 storage. In this configuration, neither hazardous oxidants nor purification steps are needed, nor is 162 waste generated. Furthermore, the reaction may proceed at room temperature with high atom 163 164 efficiency. The new synthesis is therefore potentially safe, green, economical, and scalable.

Reactions		Potential at pH 14 (V vs SHE) / Cell voltage (V)
Anodic	<b>DPivOHAC(COO<sup>-</sup>)</b> + 6 OH <sup>-</sup> <b>DPivOHAQ(COO<sup>-</sup>)</b> + 4 H <sub>2</sub> O + 6 <i>e</i> <sup>-</sup>	1.14*
	$6 H_2O + 6 e^- \longrightarrow 3 H_2 + 6 OH^-$ (divided or undivided cell)	-0.83
Cathodic	1.5 $O_2$ + 6 $e^-$ + 3 $H_2O \longrightarrow 6 OH^-$ (divided or undivided cell)	0.40
	$6 \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CN})_6^{3^-} + 6 e^- \longrightarrow 6 \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CN})_6^{4^-} \qquad \text{(divided cell)}$	0.44
Overall	<b>DPivOHAC(COO<sup>-</sup>)</b> + 2 $H_2O \longrightarrow$ <b>DPivOHAQ(COO<sup>-</sup>)</b> + 3 $H_2$	1.97
	<b>DPivOHAC(COO<sup>-</sup>)</b> + 1.5 $O_2 \longrightarrow DPivOHAQ(COO-) + H_2O$	0.74
	<b>DPivOHAC(COO<sup>-</sup>)</b> + 6 OH <sup>-</sup> + 6 Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> <sup>3-</sup> $\longrightarrow$ <b>DPivOHAQ(COO<sup>-</sup>)</b> + 6 Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> <sup>4-</sup> + 4 H <sub>2</sub> O	0.70

 Table 1. Anodic, cathodic, and overall reactions for direct electrochemical oxidation.

\*: The electro-oxidation potential at peak current

165

The cyclic voltammogram (CV) of DPivOHAC at pH 14 (Figure 3a) indicates a peak oxidation
current at 1.14 V vs. SHE. This value is more positive than the standard redox potential of 0.40 V
vs. SHE for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), and we expect that the OER will be a major side
reaction of electrosynthesis.

172 Galvanostatic electrolysis with a potentiostatic hold after reaching a potential limit of 1.2 V was

173 performed for ~4.5 hours to complete the electrosynthesis. The OER side reaction, evidenced by

<sup>171</sup> We then assembled a flow cell with **DPivOHAC** as the analyte and  $K_3Fe(CN)_6$  as the catholyte.

the observation of bubbles generated in the anolyte, precludes a faradaic efficiency of 100%. Thus, the number of electrons extracted from the anolyte was ~1.2 times higher than the theoretical number for complete conversion. A plateau appears at ~0.8 V against  $K_3Fe(CN)_6$  (0.44 V vs. SHE) in the voltage profile (Figure 3b).



178

179 Figure 3. Electrosynthesis and characterization of DPivOHAO. (a) The cyclic voltammogram (CV) of 0.1 M **DPivOHAC** in 1.0 M KCl + 1.0 M KOH aqueous solution. Scan rate: 0.1 V/s. (b) 180 The electrochemical oxidation was conducted by using a constant current (20 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) with a 181 subsequent potential hold (1.2 V) until the current density decreased to 2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. (c) CV of 182 10 mM electrosynthesized **DPivOHAO** (against  $Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-}$ ) without purification and 10 mM 183 chemically synthesized **DPivOHAO** with purification in 1 M KOH aqueous solutions, 184 respectively. Scan rate: 0.1 V/s. (d) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (bottom to top): chemically synthesized 185 **DPivOHAC** (black); chemically synthesized **DPivOHAQ** (red); electrosynthesized **DPivOHAQ** 186 in an undivided cell (purple), 17.3% of DPivOHAC remained unreacted according to the 187 integration, vield: 82.7%; electro-synthesized **DPivOHAO** in a divided cell against  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-1}$ 188 (blue), 7.0% of **DPivOHAC** remained unreacted according to the integration, yield: 93.0%; 189 electrosynthesized **DPivOHAQ** in a divided cell against O<sub>2</sub> (green), 0 % of **DPivOHAC** remained 190 unreacted according to the integration, yield: 100%. The deuterated solvent is DMSO- $d_6$ , and the 191 solvent peaks (DMSO and H<sub>2</sub>O) were removed to better display the peaks of interest. The 192

electrosynthetic details are described under the headings Electrosynthesis I, II, and III in the
 Supporting Information.

195

We compared the CV of **DPivOHAQ** produced by electrosynthesis against the reduction of 196  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$  to that of the chemically synthesized product at the same concentration to verify that 197 198 the reaction products are the same regardless of the synthetic procedure employed (Figure 3c). The two CV curves show identical redox peaks and similar peak currents, indicating a high-yield 199 200 electrosynthesis process. <sup>1</sup>H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy was used to further 201 examine the structure of electrosynthesized DPivOHAQ when using either a divided or undivided 202 cell (Figure S3) and to compare the spectra with those of the starting material, **DPivOHAC**, and the chemically synthesized **DPivOHAQ**. The top three spectra in Figure 3d are the <sup>1</sup>H NMR 203 spectra from electrosynthesized **DPivOHAQ**, in which the dominating peaks have the same 204 205 chemical shifts as those in the spectrum of chemically synthesized **DPivOHAQ**, further suggesting the desired product was achieved. 206

Slightly different yields of DPivOHAQ were obtained when paired with the HER in an 207 undivided cell or with  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$  reduction or the ORR in a divided cell (Figure S4). The 82.7% 208 vield when paired with the HER in an undivided cell could be explained by a molecular shuttling 209 effect; *i.e.*, the electrosynthesized **DPivOHAQ** can first migrate to the cathode where it is reduced, 210 then diffuse back to the anode for re-oxidation. As a result, double counting of electrons can occur. 211 When paired with the  $Fe(CN)_{6^{3-}}$  reduction half reaction, a yield of 93.0% was obtained. The 212 incomplete yield is likely due to the consumption and therefore decreased concentration of both 213 214 **DPivOHAC** and OH<sup>-</sup> as the electrosynthesis continues, making further oxidation increasingly difficult. 215

The use of the ORR half reaction achieved almost 100.0% yield. This exceptional yield may be attributed to the as-formed OH<sup>-</sup> ions on the cathode (ORR) side crossing over to the anolyte and compensating for any loss of OH<sup>-</sup> ions on the anode side. Overall yields in excess of 80.0% for all three conditions exceed many conventional reactions and are acceptable for direct flow battery use without purification or separation.



221

Figure 4. Full cell performance evaluation from Electrosynthesis III and IV. (a) A 222 223 representative charge-discharge profile with 0.1 M DPivOHAQ. Negolyte: 5 mL of 0.1 M **DPivOHAO** pH =  $\sim$ 13.5. Posolyte: 100 mL of 0.1 M potassium ferro-/ferricyanide solution [ $\sim$ 0.06 224 M K<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> and ~0.04 M K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>] pH = ~13.6. (b) Discharge capacity (C) and coulombic 225 efficiency (%) vs. cycle number and time (days). Negolyte: 4.5 mL of 0.1 M DPivOHAQ. Posolyte: 226 100 mL of 0.1 M ferro-/ferricyanide solution [~0.06 M K<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> and ~0.04 M K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]. 227 Current density: 30 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> with potential hold (cutoffs: 0.6 V, 1.2 V) until current decreased to 228 2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. (c) A representative charge–discharge profile with 0.5 M **DPivOHAO**. Negolyte: 6 229 mL of 0.5 M DPivOHAQ. Posolyte: 100 mL of 0.5 M potassium ferro-/ferricyanide solution [~0.3 230

M K<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> and ~0.2 M K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]. Current density: 100 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> with potential hold (cutoff: 0.4 V, 1.4 V) until current decreased to 2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. (d) Polarization curves of the 0.5 M **DPivOHAQ** at the SOC of 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and ~100% respectively. Descriptions of **Electrosynthesis III** and **IV** can be found in the Supporting Information.

235

To demonstrate the feasibility of switching from the electrosynthesis mode (when paired with 236  $Fe(CN)_{6}^{3-}$  reduction) to flow battery mode, we began charge-discharge cycling immediately upon 237 completion of the electrosynthesis, without performing any purification. Because other research 238 239 has reported that guinones and related compounds can decompose in the presence of light,<sup>40-42</sup> we wrapped the electrolyte reservoirs with aluminum foil to avoid light-induced decomposition during 240 241 cell cycling (Figures S13–S15). Figure 4a shows the charge–discharge profile of a single cycle with an open circuit voltage of ~1.0 V and a capacity of 84.0 coulombs. Given the 93.0% yield 242 found from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR, the capacity utilization is 93.6%. Long-term cycling was then performed 243 to determine a temporal capacity fade rate of the full cell. Figure 4b demonstrates the discharge 244 capacity and coulombic efficiency over 33.2 days and 2271 cycles with a fitted fade rate of 245 246 0.014%/day and an average coulombic efficiency of 99.53%. This is consistent with the fade rate of chemically synthesized **DPivOHAQ**.<sup>38</sup> The extremely low capacity fade rate is attributed to the 247 chemical stability of the molecular structure. The C-C covalent bond between the anthraquinone 248 core and the functionalizing chains is more robust in strong base and at elevated temperature than 249 the C–O bond demonstrated in previous work.<sup>4, 5, 38</sup> Furthermore, the two branched methyl groups 250 on the carbon connected to the anthraquinone (AQ) core may increase the stability of the 251 solubilizing chain even when exposed to harsh conditions.<sup>15</sup> 252

To examine the feasibility of this method for potential industry use, we further conducted electrosynthesis with a higher concentration (0.5 M) of **DPivOHAC** at a higher current density (100 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) (See Figure S5). Figure 4c shows that 0.5 M electrosynthesized negolyte can deliver 72.9% of the theoretical capacity. We attribute the discrepancy between the delivered capacity and

the theoretical capacity primarily to incomplete conversion (Figure S6). The capacity utilization is
81.9% if we consider that there is 11.0% unreacted DPivOHAC(COO<sup>-</sup>) in the negolyte.
Additionally, the mass transport of active species at 0.5 M concentration may be another issue
limiting the full capacity utilization. The corresponding polarization curve at different states of
charge (SOC) is shown in Figure 4d. The peak power density exceeds 0.2 W/cm<sup>2</sup> when at ~100 %
of SOC.

263 Given the total transfer of six electrons during the electrosynthesis of **DPivOHAC** to 264 **DPivOHAO**, the high yields achieved in this work might be surprising. We hypothesize a threestep successive two-electron transfer mechanism<sup>34, 35</sup>: first, when a potential is applied, anthracene 265 266 (AC) may react with three OH<sup>-</sup> ions and donate two electrons to produce two water molecules and the anthrone anion  $(A^-)$ ; second,  $A^-$  may further react with another three OH<sup>-</sup> ions and donate 267 another two electrons to generate two water molecules and the deprotonated anthrahydroquinone 268 dianion ( $AQ^{2-}$ ); third,  $AQ^{2-}$  may further release two electrons to afford the anthraquinone species 269 (AQ). Complete electrochemical conversion in the third step has been well-documented at 270 negative potentials vs. ferro-/ferricyanide<sup>1, 2, 43</sup> and should therefore be rapid at positive potentials 271 272 vs. ferro-/ferricyanide. The reverse reaction of the second step has recently been identified as a side reaction in ARFBs, and the forward reaction is chemically feasible when exposed to O<sub>2</sub> or 273 air.<sup>14, 38</sup> Given the high voltage applied to the cell, it is thus plausible that the forward reactions 274 (AC to  $A^-$  to  $AQ^{2-}/AQ$ ) can electrochemically proceed completely and swiftly. 275

Our group has also previously proposed a side reaction pathway for anthraquinones,<sup>14, 44</sup> where the anthrone anion (A<sup>-</sup>) can be oxidatively dimerized to dianthrone (DA) chemically and/or electrochemically. According to <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (Figure 3d) and liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS) results (Figure S7), neither DA nor Kolbe electrolysis-related byproducts<sup>45</sup>

were detected (Scheme S1), suggesting that AC/AQ-related side reactions can be negligible when 280 a sufficient OH<sup>-</sup> concentration is present to prevent dianthrone formation and a sufficiently low 281 voltage cutoff is chosen to prevent Kolbe electrolysis dimer formation. The major competing side 282 reaction is the OER, which, along with the reactions of AC to A<sup>-</sup> to AQ<sup>2-</sup>, will consume OH<sup>-</sup> and 283 may lead to the formation of **DA** as a result of insufficient OH<sup>-</sup> ions in the **DPivOHAC** solution 284 (see Electrosynthesis V in the SI). Interestingly, the dianthrones (Scheme S2), detected by 285 LC-MS (Figure S11), are surprisingly redox-active when a broad voltage window is applied 286 (Figures S8 and S9 and Scheme S2). On the one hand, the OER can reduce faradaic efficiency; on 287 288 the other hand, the generated oxygen can serve as a mediator and chemically oxidize intermediates (*i.e.*, A<sup>-</sup>, AQ<sup>2-</sup>) to the final AQ form, *i.e.*, mediated (indirect) electrochemical oxidation. Because 289 290 the entire process involves not only electrochemical oxidations, but also chemical oxidations, it is more appropriate to call it an electrochemical-chemical oxidation process.<sup>46</sup> 291 In the proposed mechanism, the anthrone derivative is an intermediate in the electrochemical 292 oxidation. Anthrone formation has been identified as the major side reaction causing capacity fade 293 in previous work;<sup>14, 38</sup> therefore, it is plausible that lost capacity of anthraquinone flow battery 294 systems may be recovered and anthraquinone lifetime extended by electrochemically oxidizing 295

anthrone to redox-active anthraquinone derivatives.



Scheme 1. Proposed electrochemical oxidation mechanism. Three-step successive two-electron transfer process from AC to A<sup>-</sup>, A<sup>-</sup> to AQ<sup>2-</sup>, and AQ<sup>2-</sup> to AQ. The generated oxygen from the OER side reaction may incur chemical oxidation processes including A<sup>-</sup> to AQ<sup>2-</sup>, AQ<sup>2-</sup> to AQ, and oxidative dimerization (A<sup>-</sup> to DA).

302

To demonstrate that the electrochemical oxidation can be applied to other anthracene derivatives, we performed electrochemical oxidation of 4,4'-(9,10-dihydroanthracenediyl)dibutanoic acid (**DBDHAC**), where the molecular core is 9,10-dihydroanthracene.<sup>38</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H NMR results indicate that **DBDHAC** can, like **DPivOHAC**, be electrochemically oxidized to the final anthraquinone (Figure S12), **DBAQ** (4,4'-(9,10-anthraquinone-diyl)dibutanoic acid), which has also been shown to be extremely stable.<sup>38</sup>

The shared precursor of **DPivOHAQ** and **DBAQ**, anthracene, is abundant in crude petroleum and coal tar, and can be synthesized from benzene and benzyl alcohol (Scheme S3).<sup>47</sup> The precursor of **DPivOHAQ**, 3,3'-dimethyl acrylic acid, can be industrially produced from malonic acid, a food acid; the precursor of **DBAQ**, succinic anhydride, can be industrially hydrogenated from maleic anhydride and used as an important intermediate on an industrial scale. Thus, both **DPivOHAQ** and **DBAQ** can be readily synthesized from commodity chemicals. Although the synthetic cost of **DPivOHAQ** or **DBAQ** should be somewhat higher than that of 2,6-

dihydroxyanthraquinone (DHAQ) due to more steps and more chemicals involved, the capital cost
of AORFBs that utilize finite-lifetime electrolytes can be viewed as including the total active cost,
which is the sum of the initial cost of redox-active materials and the present value of the future
costs of periodic electrolyte replacement.<sup>13</sup> This can lead to an initial cost—lifetime trade-off in
the choice of electrolytes. Over an extended operational lifetime, the total active cost of
DPivOHAQ or DBAQ may be less than that of DHAQ due to their much longer lifetimes.<sup>14</sup>

322

This work demonstrates a potentially scalable, safe, green, and economical in situ 324 electrosynthetic method for anthraquinone electrolytes in a flow cell without the use of hazardous 325 oxidants or precious metal catalysts. The as-generated electrolytes, which are extremely stable, 326 can be immediately used in a redox flow battery without separation or purification. Other low-cost 327 compounds may also be amenable to this approach, providing a pathway to lower the cost of 328 electrochemical grid storage systems, thereby accelerating the development of a renewable energy 329 330 economy. The technique extends the opportunities for direct aqueous electrosynthesis to replace thermochemical synthesis of value-added organics. 331

# 332 Supplementary Information

333 Supplementary Information can be found with this article online at

### 334 Acknowledgments

This research was supported by U.S. DOE award DE-AC05-76RL01830 through PNNL subcontract 428977, Innovation Fund Denmark via the Grand Solutions project "ORBATS" file no. 7046-00018B, and NSF grant CBET-1914543. D.A.P. acknowledges funding support from the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program, no. DGE1144152 and DGE1745303.

## **339 Declaration of Interests**

Harvard University has filed a patent application on the materials and the electrosynthetic methodsdescribed in this paper.

342

343		REFERENCES
344	1.	B. Huskinson, M. P. Marshak, C. Suh, S. Er, M. R. Gerhardt, C. J. Galvin, X. Chen, A. Aspuru-
345 346	2	K Lin O Chen M R Gerhardt L Tong S B Kim L Eisenach A W Valle D Hardee R G
347		Gordon, M. J. Aziz and M. P. Marshak, <i>Science</i> , 2015, <b>349</b> , 1529–1532.
348	3.	M. Moore, R. Counce, J. Watson and T. Zawodzinski, Journal of Advanced Chemical
349		Engineering, 2015, 5, doi:10.4172/2090-4568.1000140.
350	4.	D. G. Kwabi, K. Lin, Y. Ji, E. F. Kerr, MA. Goulet, D. De Porcellinis, D. P. Tabor, D. A.
351	_	Pollack, A. Aspuru-Guzik, R. G. Gordon and M. J. Aziz, <i>Joule</i> , 2018, <b>2</b> , 1894–1906.
352	5.	Y. Ji, MA. Goulet, D. A. Pollack, D. G. Kwabi, S. Jin, D. De Porcellinis, E. F. Kerr, R. G.
353	6	Gordon and M. J. Aziz, Adv. Energy Mater., 2019, 9, 1900039.
354 255	0.	A. Hollas, A. wei, V. Mulugesan, Z. Nie, B. Li, D. Keed, J. Liu, V. Spienkie and W. Wang, Nature Energy 2018 3 508 514
356	7	C Wang X Li B Yu Y Wang Z Yang H Wang H Lin I Ma G Li and Z lin ACS Energy
357	7.	Letters 2020 5 411–417
358	8.	J. D. Hofmann, F. L. Pfanschilling, N. Krawczyk, P. Geigle, L. Hong, S. Schmalisch, H. A.
359		Wegner, D. Mollenhauer, J. Janek and D. Schröder, <i>Chemistry of Materials</i> , 2018, <b>30</b> , 762–774.
360	9.	D. G. Kwabi, Y. Ji and M. J. Aziz, Chem. Rev., 2020, 120, doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00599.
361	10.	Z. Yang, L. Tong, D. P. Tabor, E. S. Beh, MA. Goulet, D. De Porcellinis, A. Aspuru-Guzik, R.
362		G. Gordon and M. J. Aziz, Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 8, 1702056.
363	11.	V. Dieterich, J. D. Milshtein, J. L. Barton, T. J. Carney, R. M. Darling, F. R. Brushett,
364		Translational Materials Research, 2018, 5, 034001.
365	12.	S. Jin, E. M. Fell, L. Vina-Lopez, Y. Jing, P. W. Michalak, R. G. Gordon and M. J. Aziz, Adv.
300	10	Energy Mater., 2020, 10, doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202000100. E. D. Drughett, M. L. Ariz and K. E. Badhy, ACS Energy Latters 2020 $5$ , 870, 884
207	13.	F. K. Blusheu, M. J. Aziz and K. E. Kodby, ACS Energy Letters, 2020, 5, 879–884. M. A. Goulet I. Tong, D. A. Pollack, D. P. Tabor, S. A. Odom, A. Agnuru, Guzik, F. E. Kwan
260	14.	R. G. Gordon and M. I. Aziz, <i>I. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> 2010, <b>141</b> , 8014–8010
370	15	P Anastas N Fotbali <i>Chem Soc. Rev.</i> 2010 <b>39</b> 301–312
371	16.	D S P Cardoso B Šliukić D M F Santos C A C Sequeira Organic Process Research &
372	10.	Development, 2017, <b>21</b> , 1213–1226.
373	17.	M. Yan, Y. Kawamata, P. S. Baran, <i>Chem. Rev.</i> , 2017, <b>117</b> , 13230–13319.
374	18.	B. K. Peters, K. X. Rodriguez, S. H. Reisberg, S. B. Beil, D. P. Hickey, Y. Kawamata, M.
375		Collins, J. Starr, L. Chen, S. Udyavara, K. Klunder, T. J. Gorey, S. L. Anderson, M. Neurock, S.
376		D. Minteer and P. S. Baran, Science, 2019, 363, 838-845.
377	19.	E. J. Horn, B. R. Rosen, Y. Chen, J. Tang, K. Chen, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, Nature, 2016,
378		<b>533</b> , 77–81.
379	20.	A. Badalyan, S. S. Stahl, <i>Nature</i> , 2016, <b>535</b> , 406–410.
380	21.	G. G. Botte, <i>The Electrochemical Society Interface</i> , 2014, <b>23</b> , 49–55.
381	22.	P. M. Bersier, L. Carlsson and J. Bersier, <i>Topics in Current Chemistry</i> , 1994, 170, 116–136.
382	23.	C. Xia, Y. Xia, P. Zhu, L. Fan and H. Wang, <i>Science</i> , 2019, <b>366</b> , 226–231.
383	24.	M. Granda, C. Blanco, P. Alvarez, J. W. Patrick and R. Menendez, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 1608-
384		
385	25.	R. S. Tipson, in National Bureau of Standards Monograph 87, 1965, 1–49.
386	26.	R. P. Kreh, R. M. Spotnitz and J. T. Lundquist, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 1531–1535.
38/	27.	E. Uppermann, US Pat., US823,435A, 1906.
588 200	Ζð.	E. SUCKHAII, III Oumann's Encyclopeala of Industrial Chemistry, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH &
389		U. NOAA, WEIIIIEIIII, 2011, 12, DUI: 10.1002/14530007.009 004.

390	29.	R. M. Spotnitz, R. P. Kreh, J. T. Lundquist and P. J. Press, Journal of Applied Electrochemistry,
391		1990, <b>20</b> , 209–215.
392	30.	R. P. Kreh, R. M. Spotnitz and J. T. Lundquist, <i>Tetrahedron Letters</i> , 1987, 28, 1067–1068.
393	31.	E. J. Majeski, J. D. Stuart and W. E. Ohnesorge, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 633-636.
394	32.	L. R. Faulkner, A. J. Bard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 6284-6290.
395	33.	C. Amatore and A. R. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 1482-1486.
396	34.	O. Tovide, N. Jahed, C. E. Sunday, K. Pokpas, R. F. Ajayi, H. R. Makelane, K. M. Molapo, S. V.
397		John, P. G. Baker and E. I. Iwuoha, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2014, 205, 184–192.
398	35.	C. A. Paddon, C. E. Banks, I. G. Davies and R. G. Compton, Ultrason. Sonochem., 2006, 13,
399		126–132.
400	36.	V. D. Parker, Acta Chemica Scandinavica, 1970, 24, 2757–2767.
401	37.	T. Noel, Y. Cao and G. Laudadio, Acc. Chem. Res., 2019, 52, 2858–2869.
402	38.	M. Wu, Y. Jing, A. A. Wong, E. M. Fell, S. Jin, Z. Tang, R. G. Gordon and M. J. Aziz, Chem,
403		2020, 6, 1432–1442.
404	39.	R. W. Zurilla, R. K. Sen and E. Yeager, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1978, 125, 1103–1109.
405	40.	G. Maier, L. H. Franz, HG. Hartan, K. Lanz and H. P. Reisenauer, Chemische Berichte, 1985,
406		<b>118</b> , 3196–3204.
407	41.	S. A. Carlson and D. M. Hercules, Analytical Chemistry, 1973, 45, 1794–1799.
408	42.	B. E. Hulme, E. J. Land and G. O. Phillips, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1, 1972, 68, 1992-
409		2002.
410	43.	M. Quan, D. Sanchez, M. F. Wasylkiw and D. K. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12847-
411		12856.
412	44.	S. Jin, Y. Jing, D. G. Kwabi, Y. Ji, L. Tong, D. De Porcellinis, M. A. Goulet, D. A. Pollack, R. G.
413		Gordon and M. J. Aziz, ACS Energy Letters, 2019, 4, 1342–1348.
414	45.	HJ. Schäfer, Topics in Current Chemistry, 1990, 152, 91–151.
415	46.	C. Costentin and JM. Savéant, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2019, 116, 11147-11152.
416	47.	H. E. Ungnade and E. W. Crandall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 3009-3010.

417