



# Geochemical and isotope analysis of produced water from the Utica/Point Pleasant Shale, Appalachian Basin

Journal:	Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts
Manuscript ID	EM-ART-02-2020-000066.R1
Article Type:	Paper



#### Page 1 of 28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
0	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
37	
J∠ 22	
22	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
<u>⊿</u> 7	
-+/ /0	
40	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

## 1 Geochemical and isotope analysis of produced water from the Utica/Point Pleasant Shale,

- 2 Appalachian Basin
- 3 Tasker, T.L.\*, Warner, N.R., Burgos, W.D.

4 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, 212

5 Sackett Building, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, United States

6 \*Current address of corresponding author: Department of Environmental Engineering, Saint

Francis University, 117 Evergreen Drive, Loretto, PA 15940; Email: ttasker@francis.edu
Abstract

While development of the Utica/Point Pleasant Shale (UPP) is extensive in Ohio (U.S.) 9 and increasing in Pennsylvania and West Virginia, few studies report the chemistry of produced 10 waters from UPP wells. These data have important implications for developing best management 11 12 practices for handling and waste disposal, or identifying the fluid in the event of accidental spill events. Here, we evaluated the elemental and isotope chemistry of UPP produced waters from 26 13 wells throughout Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia to determine any unique fluid 14 chemistries that could be used for forensic studies. Compared to the Marcellus, UPP produced 15 waters contain higher activities of total radium ( $^{226}Ra + ^{228}Ra$ ) and higher  $^{228}Ra/^{226}Ra$  ratios. As 16 with the Marcellus Shale, elemental ratios (Sr/Ca) and isotope ratios (<sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr) can distinguish 17 UPP produced waters from many conventional oil and gas formations. Sr/Ca and <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios 18 can fingerprint small fractions (~0.1%) of UPP produced water in freshwater. However, because 19 Marcellus and UPP produced waters display similar major elemental chemistry (i.e., Na, Ca, and 20 Cl) and overlapping ratios of Sr/Ca and <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr, <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra ratios may be the best tracer to 21 distinguish these waters. 22

### **Environmental Significance:**

It is important to understand the chemistry of the Oil and Gas produced water to help 1) identify spatial variability in formation waters, 2) evaluate treatment strategies, and 3) identify accidental releases and track contamination through the environment. Multiple studies have discussed geochemical signatures of unconventional oil and gas wastewater, but many focused solely on water from the Marcellus Formation. Now, with the production of hydrocarbons from the Utica/Point Pleasant Formations (UPP) rapidly increasing along with the volume of produced water, it is vital to evaluate the chemistry of water produced from these formations. In this study, the chemistry of produced water from the UPP is documented along with elemental and isotopic tracers that could be used to identify freshwaters contaminated by UPP wastewaters. 

### 33 Introduction

Oil and gas (O&G) production from low-permeability, unconventional shale formations continues to rise in the Appalachian Basin, U.S., generating over 45% of the domestic natural gas in 2018<sup>1</sup>. From 2007 to 2018 annual U.S. natural gas production from shale plays rose from 36 billion cubic meters (bcm) [1293 billion cubic feet (bcf)] to 617 bcm [22,054 (bcf)]. During that same timeframe the percentage produced from the Appalachian Basin in the states of West Virginia, Ohio, and Pennsylvania rose from less than 1% to over 45%, largely due to the continued development of two unconventional shale formations, the Marcellus and Utica/Point Pleasant (UPP)<sup>1</sup>. O&G development was historically limited to permeable reservoirs known as conventional formations but has expanded into low permeability unconventional O&G formations over the last 20 years because of technical improvements in horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing. During hydraulic fracturing, large volumes of water (30 million liters per well for Marcellus and 38 million liters per well for UPP) mixed with other additives are pumped 

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
0	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
17	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
37	
25	
30	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
<u>4</u> 1	
יד ⊿ר	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
40	
77	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
50	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

into the formation at high pressure causing the rock to fracture and stimulate hydrocarbon 46 release<sup>2</sup>. After this process, millions of liters of a salty and radium-bearing fluid (i.e., flow back 47 and produced waters) returns to the surface where it is typically disposed in underground 48 injection control (UIC) wells, reused to stimulate other wells, or treated for reuse at wastewater 49 treatment plants<sup>3</sup>. Flowback waters are generally defined as fluids comprising mixtures of *in situ* 50 51 formation waters and hydraulic fracturing fluids that flow from a well shortly after the stimulation pressure is released. Produced waters often refer to waters that return after the wells 52 have been in production for some time and are thought to better represent the *in situ* formation 53 54 waters or salts from the formation that could have been mobilized by hydraulic fracturing fluids rather than the chemistry of the hydraulic fracturing fluids that were injected. 55 The two largest unconventional shale reservoirs in the Appalachian Basin are the 56 Marcellus and UPP Formations, which are estimated to contain over 2.4 trillion cubic meters 57 (i.e., 84.8 trillion cubic feet) and 1.1 trillion cubic meters (i.e., 38.8 trillion cubic feet) of 58 recoverable natural gas, respectively<sup>4,5</sup>. The Marcellus is the oldest organic rich shale in the 59 Middle Devonian sequence and overlies the Onondoga Limestone. Currently, there are over 60 10,000 active Marcellus wells in Pennsylvania<sup>6</sup>. The UPP Shale is several hundred meters below 61 the Marcellus Shale and has been called the "natural gas giant below the Marcellus" <sup>7,8</sup>. The UPP 62 Formation is present throughout Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, New York, Ontario, and 63 Quebec ranging in depth from 2,300 meters in northwest Pennsylvania to 4,000 meters in West 64 65 Virginia and southern Pennsylvania<sup>7</sup>. Throughout the Appalachian Basin, the Utica Shale directly overlies the Point Pleasant Shale with both formations having variable concentrations of 66 carbonate (20-60%), clay (30-60%), and total organic content (~1-5%) that is likely related to 67 68 their geographic location and varying depositional environments<sup>7</sup>. Collectively, the UPP is

thickest (120 to 150 m) throughout southwest and northeast Pennsylvania and gets thinner in
eastern Ohio (60-90 m)<sup>7</sup>. Unconventional O&G development in the Appalachian Basin began in
the Marcellus around 2007 but has expanded into the UPP since 2011<sup>6</sup>.

Most UPP development has occurred in Ohio but has recently increased in both Pennsylvania and West Virginia. As of January 2020, there were over 2,700 UPP wells in Ohio and over 160 in Pennsylvania<sup>6,9</sup>. One of the largest environmental concerns with the increased unconventional O&G production throughout the Appalachian Basin is the possibility of ground water or surface water contamination by hydraulic fracturing fluids or produced waters. In areas dominated by Marcellus development, there are numerous incidents where spills (1,181 spill events in Pennsylvania from 2005-2014)<sup>10,11</sup>, surface water disposal<sup>12,13</sup>, improper management at wastewater disposal facilities <sup>14,15</sup>, and potentially faulty well casings<sup>16</sup> caused Marcellus produced waters to migrate to water resources. No similar incidents have been reported from the more recent growth in UPP O&G development; however, this could be because there is only one study that the authors are aware of that has tested freshwater for UPP produced water contamination<sup>17</sup>. 

Despite the environmental concerns and wastewater management challenges associated with unconventional O&G development, UPP produced water chemistry has been published for only two wells<sup>18,19</sup>. Total dissolved solid (TDS) concentrations in produced waters are highly variable from unconventional shale formations because of variable amounts of dilution with injection waters<sup>20–22</sup>. Therefore, any comparisons of elemental concentrations among produced waters from different formations should be limited to water of similar TDS, preferably the most saline waters that represent original formation waters<sup>20–22</sup>. Comparisons among these high-salinity waters for different formations in the Appalachian Basin indicate that they can have

92	significantly different concentrations of Ca, Sr, Ba, Ra, and B, among other elements. For
93	instance, the Marcellus has unusually high concentrations of Ba, Sr, and <sup>226</sup> Ra relative to other
94	formations in the Appalachian Basin. Formations throughout the Basin also have unique
95	elemental or isotope ratios (i.e., Sr/Ca, <sup>228</sup> Ra/ <sup>226</sup> Ra, <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr, <sup>11</sup> B/ <sup>10</sup> B, and <sup>7</sup> Li/ <sup>6</sup> Li) that can
96	identify small quantities (often $<0.1$ %) of produced water mixed with groundwater, surface
97	water, or stream sediments <sup>12,22–27</sup> . In almost every case study investigating potential O&G
98	contamination events, unique isotope or elemental ratios were used as a forensic tool to
99	determine the source of pollution (i.e., unconventional brine vs. conventional brine vs. road salt
100	vs. acid mine drainage). As development expands in the UPP, similar data could be important in
101	fingerprinting UPP produced waters in the environment, understanding heterogeneities in the
102	formation, and developing strategies for managing UPP produced water from various parts of the
103	play. The existing data for UPP produced water chemistry is not adequate to conduct this
104	fingerprinting because it is from only two wells and is limited to a few analytes (SO <sub>4</sub> , Cl, Na, Ca,
105	Mg, and K) <sup>18,19</sup> .
100	The many end of UDD and have denoted in Democratic Ohio and West Vissinia full

The management of UPP produced waters in Pennsylvania, Ohio, and West Virginia fall under the same regulatory statutes and disposal practices as Marcellus fluids, which includes disposal through injection disposal wells, reuse without any treatment to fracture other wells, or treatment at zero liquid discharge treatment plants that remove some of the suspended solids and precipitate out barium-sulfate minerals before reuse for hydraulic fracturing<sup>28</sup>. Current and future concerns with handling the wastes from UPP development will likely be related to the proper disposal of radioactive sludge generated from facilities treating the fluids, faulty casings on gas-producing wells that may allow fluid migration, or potential spills at the surface. From 2010 to 2013, approximately 5% of the Marcellus Shale wells in Pennsylvania received violations for

well cementing issues<sup>29</sup>. The greatest threat to water quality is likely from surface spill events which occur at approximately 10% of the unconventional wells each year<sup>30</sup>. Therefore, it is essential to develop forensic tools for identifying potential contamination from UPP development after the spill is diluted with freshwater. The objectives of this work were to 1) document the chemistry of liquid wastes from UPP wells, and 2) determine unique isotope or elemental ratios for tracing UPP releases in the environment. To address these objectives, liquid wastes from the UPP were collected from producing wells and analyzed for inorganic chemistry (Cl, Br, SO<sub>4</sub>, Na, Ca, Mg, Sr, K, Li, B, Ba, Fe, Pb, Cu, As, and U), radioactivity (<sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>228</sup>Ra), and isotope ratios (<sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr). Unique signatures for tracing environmental contamination from UPP development were identified by comparing our results to analyses from other O&G formations in the Appalachian Basin. Methods Produced water collection. UPP produced waters were collected from 26 wells throughout Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia (Figure 1). Based on well production reports and communication with collaborators from industry, all wells were in production for over 120 days, reducing chemical variabilities commonly observed in produced waters collected early after a well goes into production<sup>20,31</sup>. Produced water samples were collected from O&G water separators at well heads, stored in 10-liter high-density polyethylene (HDPE containers), and preserved to pH<2 with nitric acid for cation analyses or unpreserved for anion analyses. Thereafter, 100 mL of each sample for cation analysis was digested with 6 mL of aqua regia for 4 hours at 70°C. There were no suspended solids in any of the samples after digestion. Acid dissolution of suspended particulates could increase the possibility of excess dissolved Fe (and potentially other metals). However, because metal precipitation can occur rapidly after produced





Figure 1. Sampled oil and gas wells from the Utica/Point Pleasant (UPP) formation in the northern Appalachian Basin, U.S. (A) The UPP play is shaded gray. (B) Active O&G wells producing from the UPP formation are represented with white circles. Produced waters from 26 of the active wells were sampled throughout the Basin with well depths indicated by symbols.

Produced water characterizations. Major and minor trace element analyses were performed on a Thermo Scientific iCAP 6000 inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES; Na, Ca, Mg, Sr, K), Thermo X-Series 2 mass spectrometer (ICP-MS; Li, B, Ba, Fe, Pb, Cd, Cu, As, U) located at Penn State University's Energy and Environmental Sustainability Laboratories (EESL), and Dionex ICS-1100 ion chromatography (IC; Cl, Br, SO<sub>4</sub>). Before elemental analyses, samples were filtered (0.45 µm cellulose acetate) and diluted in 2% nitric acid or  $\geq 18M\Omega$  ultrapure water (Cl, Br, SO<sub>4</sub>) to reach dilution factors of 2000 for Na, Ca,

Mg, Sr, and K, 100 for Cl, Br, and SO<sub>4</sub>, or 50 for all other metals. Mass interferences and matrix complications of analyzing high salinity samples by ICP-MS or ICP-OES were accounted for by using internal spikes (Sc, In, Re, Y) and high salinity, matrix-matched standards <sup>32</sup>. Calibration curves for all analyses were verified by confirming <5% differences between measured and known elemental concentrations in check standards (USGS M-220, USGS T-227, and SRM1640a).

Isotope analyses were performed using a ThermoFisher Neptune Plus high resolution multicollector (MC-ICP-MS; 87Sr/86Sr) located at Penn State University EESL and a small anode germanium detector gamma spectrometer from Canberra Instruments at the Tracing Salinity with Isotopes Lab (SALTs) also located at Penn State University (228Ra/226Ra). Radioactivity (226Ra, <sup>228</sup>Ra) was measured in acid digested produced waters at geometries (20 mL and 3 L geometries) consistent with well characterized internal standards from an inter-laboratory comparison that reported most probable radium activities in three Appalachian Basin O&G produced waters <sup>32</sup>. After a 21-day equilibration, <sup>226</sup>Ra was calculated directly at 186.2 keV and then confirmed from the average activity of <sup>214</sup>Bi (609.3 keV) and <sup>214</sup>Pb (295.2 & 351.9 keV). Direct measurement of <sup>228</sup>Ra were performed using its <sup>228</sup>Ac daughter at 911.1 keV. Prior to <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr analysis, strontium was separated from wastewaters with recoveries of  $99\% \pm 3.7$  using Sr Spec EICHROM resin and nitric acid (2 N) to yield 0.25 to 1 µg of strontium. Strontium isotope accuracy was determined by comparisons to NIST SRM 987. The average <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratio of the SRM over 41 analyses was 0.710240 with a standard deviation of 0.000002 (actual value=0.710240).

Additional data sources. Produced water chemistry from the UPP were compared to
existing produced water chemistry from O&G formations in the Appalachian Basin. Produced
water chemistry data from conventional and unconventional formations were compiled from the

Page 9 of 28

1

2	
2	
ر ۸	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
27	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
27	
22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
30	
10	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
-T/ /0	
4ð	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

US Geological Survey (USGS) Produced Water Database version 2.3n<sup>33</sup>. Produced water 177 chemistry from hydraulically fractured oil and gas wells is known to vary with time after a well 178 goes into production. While all of the UPP wells sampled in this study were over 120 days of 179 production and were believed to have a fluid chemistry reflective of the formation mineralogy or 180 instu formation fluids, an operational chloride threshold was used to reduce potential unknown 181 sources of error in the UPP produced water chemistry and to allow for comparisons of produced 182 water chemistry from different formations. The operational chloride threshold was defined as 183 only including data from wells where chloride concentrations were  $\geq$ 76,800 mg/L chloride (i.e., 184 the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile chloride concentration from the 26 UPP samples collected for this study). This 185 was a reasonable threshold in limiting potential sources of error (e.g., mixing with hydraulic 186 fracturing fluids) in the UPP produced water chemistry. One of the collected UPP samples (UPP 187 24 in Table S1) that was excluded from statistical analyses by this threshold was later found to 188 be compromised by a local hydraulic fracturing job. The sample (i.e., UPP 24) had lower TDS 189 (e.g., ~71,000 mg/L) than was expected for a well with over 120 days of production. After 190 contacting the oil and gas company about this sample, the company stated that the produced 191 water chemistry in sample UPP 24 was likely influenced by fracturing fluids that migrated along 192 a fault line from the local hydraulic fracturing job. 193

In order to compare the UPP produced water chemistry to produced waters from other
formations in the Appalachian Basin, all produced water data collected from the US Geological
Survey (USGS) Produced Water Database was also filtered to only include samples with
≥76,800 mg/L chloride (i.e., the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile chloride concentration from the 26 UPP samples
collected for this study). While the authors acknowledge that this data inclusion method could
cause potential sources of bias, the method would likely bias results so formations would appear

3 4	200	to have more similar fluid chemistries; therefore, any observed differences in fluid chemistries
5 6 7	201	are likely real. The total conventional produced water data set from the US Geological Survey
/ 8 9	202	(USGS) Produced Water Database included 2,434 analyses from 84 formations. Conventional
10 11	203	wastewater results were reduced to 1,122 entries by only including data from wells where
12 13	204	chloride concentration was $\geq$ 76,800 mg/L chloride. Similarly, data for the Marcellus Shale were
14 15	205	reduced from 448 entries to 128 by only including wells with $\geq$ 76,800 mg/L chloride.
16 17 18	206	Elemental and isotope ratios for the formations were also compared to ground and
19 20	207	surface water resources in Appalachian Basin using the Water Quality Portal from the National
21 22	208	Water Quality Monitoring Council <sup>34</sup> . Water quality data for streams, rivers, and ground water
23 24 25	209	wells were collected from 2000-2005 (n=9,006). Of the 9,006 entries, 5,589 included Cl, 460
26 27	210	included Br, 24 included B, 3,504 included Na, 5,542 included Ca, 348 included Mg, 101
28 29	211	included Ba, 113 included Sr, 25 included <sup>226</sup> Ra, and 25 included <sup>228</sup> Ra. The <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr ratios in
30 31 32	212	freshwaters were also referenced from additional sources <sup>35</sup> .
33 34 35	213	Statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were performed in RStudio <sup>36</sup> . Shapiro Wilk
36 37	214	tests for normality (Table S7) confirmed that most of the data was not normal. Therefore,
38 39	215	Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon rank sum tests with Bonferroni corrections were used to determine
40 41 42	216	if there were any statistical differences between the produced water chemistry from UPP,
42 43 44	217	Marcellus, and conventional formations. Statistical differences between the compared formations
45 46	218	were interpreted as being significant if p values were less than 0.05. Elements with
47 48 40	219	concentrations below detection limits were not included in these analyses.
49 50 51	220	Results and Discussion
52 53	221	Chemistry of produced waters from the Utica and Point Pleasant Shale. Consistent
54 55 56 57 58	222	with produced waters from other O&G formations in the Appalachian Basin, UPP produced

Page 11 of 28

waters are dominated by sodium, calcium, and magnesium (accounting for >90% of the total cation charge equivalents) and are nearly 100% charge balanced by chloride (Figure 2). Based on the data inclusion method used in this study (i.e., wells with over 76,800 mg/L chloride), the UPP and conventional gas formations have produced waters with higher chloride, calcium, and sodium concentrations than produced waters from Marcellus wells. Median bromide concentrations in UPP produced waters were also 1.6 times higher than produced water from the Marcellus. These differences in bromide concentrations were greater than reported levels of analytical inaccuracy (i.e., typically  $\pm$  20% accuracy for O&G wastewater<sup>32</sup>). Like the Marcellus, UPP produced waters are characterized by high concentrations of barium (ranging from 57 to 2,700 mg/L) and strontium (2,000 mg/L to 6,500 mg/L) (Table S1 and S3). No sulfate was detected in any of the UPP produced waters (i.e., all samples < 100mg/L SO<sub>4</sub>), indicating strong reducing conditions in the formation. Low sulfate concentrations allow 

high concentrations of dissolved alkaline earth metals that would otherwise precipitate in low solubility sulfate minerals (e.g.,  $K_{sp}$  of barite ~10<sup>-10</sup>)<sup>20</sup>. Both the Marcellus and UPP produced waters have median barium and strontium concentrations that are greater than the concentrations measured in produced waters from conventional gas wells (Figure 2). High concentrations of these alkaline earth metals in produced waters are variously attributed to evaporated seawater brines reacting with local rock formations over geologic time<sup>26,37</sup> or reductive weathering of 

shales during hydraulic fracturing<sup>38,39</sup>. 



produced waters (i.e., 0.01 to 0.4 based on the 25<sup>th</sup> to 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles). Differences in <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra activity arise from different ratios of their respective radioactive parents (<sup>232</sup>Th for <sup>228</sup>Ra and <sup>238</sup>U for <sup>226</sup>Ra) in the host reservoir rocks. Typically, the Th/U mass ratios for most silicate rocks fall in the range of 1.5 to 6.0 and generate <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra activity ratios of 0.5 to 2.0 for both the rocks and for equilibrated formation waters<sup>40–42</sup>. To generate the median <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra activity ratio in UPP waters (1.08, Table S5), the UPP reservoir rocks would have to contain a <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>238</sup>U activity ratio of 1.0 and a Th/U mass ratio of 3.0 that is typical of clay-bearing silicate rocks<sup>40,41</sup>. 

Elemental and isotopic ratios for identifying UPP produced water. Several elemental and isotopic ratios could be used to identify contamination from UPP (Figure 3). Median Na/Cl. Cl/Br, (Ca + Mg)/Cl, (Ba + Sr)/Mg, and Sr/Ca molar ratios for all O&G produced waters from the Appalachian Basin are statistically different from freshwaters (Figure 3; Table S6). However, the only ratios that are unique to the UPP produced waters are ratios that incorporate strontium or radium. For instance, (Ba + Sr)/Mg and Sr/Ca ratios for UPP and Marcellus produced waters are not statistically different from each other but are different from conventional O&G produced waters and freshwater (Figure 3). <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios for UPP produced waters are also in a relatively narrow range (e.g.,  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr = 0.71088 to 0.71143 based on the 25<sup>th</sup> to 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles: Table S5) that could be useful in forensic applications. Additionally, the UPP has higher <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra activity ratios than Marcellus produced waters but lower activity ratios than freshwaters. A framework is illustrated below that outlines how these different ratios could be used to identify freshwater contamination by the various O&G formations in the Appalachian Basin.



Figure 3. Comparison between elemental and isotopic ratios in O&G produced waters and freshwaters
throughout the Appalachian Basin. Green brackets indicate comparisons between two fluids that have
significantly different ratios (p<0.05).</li>

Conservative mixing models between freshwater and O&G produced waters were created in PHREEQC<sup>43</sup> to test the sensitivity of various elemental and isotopic ratios for identifying freshwater resources impacted by UPP produced water (Figure 4). All produced water with  $\geq$ 76,800 mg/L chloride (i.e., the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile chloride concentration from the 26 UPP samples collected for this study) and all freshwater samples from the USGS Water Quality Portal (n=9,006 from 2000-2005) are included in Figure 4 to show the potential endmembers that could be used in mixing models. For the purposes of identifying ratios that could be used to detect UPP produced waters in freshwaters, mixing models were performed with the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile concentrations for each of the endmembers. 



39 288

Figure 4. Conservative mixing trends between freshwaters (blue) and produced waters from UPP (red), Marcellus (yellow), and conventional (gray) O&G formations. Dashed lines represent mixing trends between 5th and 95th percentile concentrations (or activities for radium) in O&G produced water and freshwater. (A) The purple shaded area shows Sr/Ca mass ratios and Sr concentrations that could definitively indicate contamination from with UPP or Marcellus produced water. (B) Panel B shows <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios and conservative mixing trends between freshwaters and produced waters from various formations. (C) If a sampled fluid has a Sr/Ca ratio and Sr concentration within the purple shaded area, the fluid could be analyzed for <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra activity ratios to determine if the fluid is contaminated with UPP or Marcellus produced water. If the <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra activity ratio and total radium activity is within the orange shaded area, the fluid is likely contaminated by Marcellus produced water instead of UPP produced water. 

53 300

Freshwaters throughout the Appalachian Basin have highly variable Cl/Br mass ratios, ranging from 12 to 1,700 (5<sup>th</sup> to 95<sup>th</sup> percentile, respectively). Cl/Br ratios in produced waters are also highly variable but are generally lower than freshwaters (e.g., 5<sup>th</sup> to 95<sup>th</sup> percentile mass ratios of 52 to 250). Mixing trends between O&G produced water and freshwater show that the large variability in Cl and Br concentrations in freshwaters could make it difficult to utilize Cl/Br ratios in determining uncontaminated versus contaminated freshwater (Figure S1). However, this method could provide an initial indication of potential O&G contamination if the chloride concentrations measured in freshwater are greater than 100 mg/L and have Cl/Br mass ratios less than ~130 (i.e., the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile Cl/Br mass ratio for Appalachian Basin O&G produced waters). While Cl/Br ratios could be used as an initial indicator of potential O&G contamination, they do not provide any specificity as to the source of contamination (i.e. produced waters from UPP, Marcellus Shale, or conventional O&G formations). Many of the same elemental tracers that work for identifying Marcellus produced waters in the environment also work for identifying UPP produced waters. For example, UPP and Marcellus produced waters have higher Sr/Ca mass ratios (e.g., 0.10 to 0.53 based on the 5<sup>th</sup> to 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles; Figure 4A) than waters from conventional O&G formations, which have ratios of 0.01 to 0.07 (5th to 95th percentiles; Figure 4A). Therefore, if sampled waters have Sr/Ca mass ratios greater than 0.07, this could indicate that the solution contains greater than 0.5% UPP or Marcellus water by volume. Smaller additions (i.e., less than 0.5%) result in Sr/Ca ratios that overlap with conventional formations, making it difficult to distinguish if the contamination is from unconventional shale reservoirs or conventional O&G produced waters. The purple shaded 

that could definitively indicate contamination from UPP or Marcellus produced waters. The

area in Figure 4A represents the Sr/Ca mass ratios and corresponding strontium concentrations

Page 17 of 28

upper bounds for this shaded area are the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile mixing tends for UPP and the lower bounds are the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile mixing trends for conventional produced waters. Therefore, if sampled fluids have Sr/Ca and strontium concentrations that are within the purple shaded area, it is likely that fluids originate from or are contaminated by Marcellus Shale or UPP produced waters. The use of this tracer for detecting freshwater contamination by UPP produced waters is most applicable in eastern Ohio, where the current unconventional development is focused on the UPP. In areas where there is both UPP Shale and Marcellus development (e.g., West Virginia and Pennsylvania), this tracer is also sensitive in identifying potential contamination events from these unconventional shale gas reservoirs; however, the overlap in Sr/Ca ratios between UPP and Marcellus produced waters reduces the effectiveness of this tracer in differentiating potential contamination from one of these two sources. We further evaluated the sensitivity of isotope ratios (87Sr/86Sr and 226Ra/228Ra) as tracers 

for identifying UPP produced waters in the environment. These isotope ratios have advantages over the elemental ratios described previously as they are not subject to fractionation from ion exchange equilibria, mineral solubility, or changes in temperature and salinity<sup>12,44</sup>. Strontium isotope ratios (87Sr/86Sr) combined with Sr/Ca molar ratios are highly sensitive indicators for tracing Marcellus produced waters, detecting as low as 0.01% produced water additions to freshwater<sup>24,44</sup>. Similarly, this same isotope tracer works to identify contamination from UPP produced waters. Both the UPP and Marcellus have similar strontium isotope ratios (e.g.,  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr = 0.71088 to 0.71143 in UPP produced waters and  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr = 0.71071 to 0.71117 in Marcellus produced waters based on the 25<sup>th</sup> to 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles; Table S5) that are traceable in freshwater and are distinguishable from some conventional produced waters that have <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios as high as 0.7200 (Figure 4B). However, similar <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios in conventional, UPP, and 

2		
3 4	347	Marcellus produced waters (p value=1; see Table S3) make them difficult to distinguish from
5 6 7	348	each other if there is suspected contamination. Therefore, <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr are not always an appropriate
7 8 9	349	forensic tool for determining the source of produced water in the Appalachian Basin (Figure 4B).
10 11	350	When it is essential to determine if the suspected contamination is from Marcellus Shale
12 13	351	or UPP, radium isotopes are likely the best tracer (Figure 4C). UPP produced waters have
14 15 16	352	<sup>228</sup> Ra/ <sup>226</sup> Ra activity ratios (i.e, 1.0 to 1.2 based on the 25 <sup>th</sup> to 75 <sup>th</sup> percentiles) that are greater than
17 18	353	the values reported for Marcellus produced waters (i.e., $0.01$ to $0.4$ based on the $25^{\text{th}}$ to $75^{\text{th}}$
19 20 21	354	percentiles). Therefore, to determine if a contamination event is from UPP or Marcellus Shale
21 22 23	355	produced water, we recommend to analyze Sr/Ca ratios first to confirm if the fluid contains
24 25	356	produced water from an unconventional shale formation (i.e., UPP and Marcellus Shale
26 27	357	produced water). Thereafter, if <sup>228</sup> Ra/ <sup>226</sup> Ra activities are less than 1.0 (i.e., 25 <sup>th</sup> percentile activity
28 29 30	358	ratio for UPP produced waters) and total radium activities are greater than 4.4 pCi/L (i.e., the
31 32	359	highest radium activity for freshwater reported on the USGS water quality portal), then this
33 34	360	could indicate that the water contains greater than 1% Marcellus produced water (Figure 4C
35 36 37	361	orange shaded area). In summary, if the Sr/Ca ratio and Sr concentration confirm that the fluid
38 39	362	contains produced water from an unconventional shale formation and additional analyses show
40 41	363	that the fluid has a <sup>228</sup> Ra/ <sup>226</sup> Ra activity ratio and total radium activity within the orange shaded
42 43 44	364	region of Figure 4C, it is likely that the fluid contains Marcellus produced water instead of UPP
45 46	365	produced water.
47 48	366	Conclusions
49 50 51	367	Many of the same tracers that distinguish Marcellus produced waters from freshwater or
52 53	368	other conventional O&G produced waters also work for UPP produced waters. In western Ohio
54 55 56	369	where there is very little Marcellus development, Cl/Br, Sr/Ca, and <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr ratios can identify

Page 19 of 28

potential UPP produced water contamination events. These isotope and elemental ratios in UPP produced waters are different than produced waters from conventional O&G development in Ohio, making them unique fingerprints that could identify potential contamination events from the UPP development. In regions of the Appalachian Basin with both UPP and Marcellus development, it may be more difficult to distinguish potential contamination between these two sources due to their overlapping Sr/Ca and <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios. However, these two formations have Sr/Ca ratios in their produced waters that are higher than conventional produced waters. Therefore, basic water quality analyses that utilize ICP or IC instrumentation to determine Cl, Br, Ca, and Sr concentrations can provide useful information in trying to determine if samples are contaminated with conventional or unconventional (e.g., UPP or Marcellus) produced waters. These analyses can also be performed at a lower cost (~\$30 to \$60) than other isotopic methods (e.g., gamma spectroscopy, MC-ICP-MS, etc) which can cost over \$100 per sample. If it is necessary to identify if pollution is from Marcellus or UPP produced water, the <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra ratio could distinguish UPP produced waters from Marcellus produced waters. The <sup>228</sup>Ra/<sup>226</sup>Ra in UPP produced waters was the only tracer that was consistently different from the ratio observed in Marcellus produced waters. 

There are also limitations with using elemental and isotopic tracers that need to be acknowledged before identifying potential contamination by unconventional or conventional produced waters. If freshwater is contaminated with UPP produced water, the resulting elemental ratios in the fluid mixture will be influenced by the volume of produced water that was mixed with the freshwater and the elemental concentrations of the two solutions. If no chemical or physical reactions occur after mixing, the resulting elemental concentrations could be calculated using a simple mass balance approach (i.e., conservative mixing model). However, if the two

2		
3 4	393	solutions were incompatible or mixed together in a complex environment with multiple phases
5 6	394	(i.e., air, water, soil, etc), the elemental concentrations in the combined fluid would not be
7 8	395	explained by a conservative mixing model <sup>45</sup> . For instance, mixing strontium and barium-rich
9 10 11	396	produced waters with sulfate or carbonate-rich fresh waters can result in mineral precipitation
12 13	397	reactions that influence conservative mixing trends; similarly, cation-exchange reactions between
14 15	398	contaminated freshwater and bedrock could result in the exchange of calcium (or other alkaline
16 17 18	399	earth metals) ions in the contaminated water for sodium ions on clay minerals. While elemental
19 20	400	and isotopic ratios are highlighted in this work as conservative tracers, the authors recommend
21 22	401	that the type of mixing environment (i.e., water, subsurface environment, soil, etc) and the
23 24 25	402	compatibility of the fluids being mixed together be considered in case-specific scenarios of
25 26 27	403	suspected freshwater contamination.
28 29	404	Acknowledgements
30 31		
32 33	405	The authors would like to thank all the gas companies who provided the samples. Partial support
34 35	406	for author Travis Tasker was provided from NSF-CBET 1703412 and NSF:AIR 1640634.
36 37	407	Tasker was also supported by Saint Francis University and the Center for Watershed Research
38 39 40	408	and Service.
41 42	409	References
43 44 45	410	1 EIA, Appalachia region drives growth in U.S. natural gas production since 2012,
46 47	411	https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=33972#.
48 49 50	412	2 K. Schmid and D. A. Yoxtheimer, Wastewater recycling and reuse trends in Pennsylvania
51 52	413	shale gas wells, Environ. Geosci., 2015, 22, 115-125.
53 54		
55 56 57	414	3 B. D. Lutz, A. N. Lewis and M. W. Doyle, Generation, transport, and disposal of
58		
60		

2			
3 4	415		wastewater associated with Marcellus Shale gas development, Water Resour. Res., 2013,
5 6 7	416		<b>49</b> , 647–656.
8 9	417	4	J. L. Coleman, R. C. Milici, T. A. Cook, R. R. Charpentier, M. Kirschbaum, T. R. Klett,
10 11 12	418		R. M. Pollastro and C. J. Schenk, Assessment of undiscovered oil and gas resources of the
13 14	419		Devonian Marcellus Shale of the Appalachian Basin Province, 2011, 2011.
16 17	420	5	M. A. Kirschbaum, C. J. Schenk, T. A. Cook, R. T. Ryder, R. R. Charpentier, T. R. Klett,
18 19	421		S. B. Gaswirth, M. E. Tennyson and K. J. Whidden, Assessment of undiscovered oil and
20 21 22	422		gas resources of the Ordovician Utica Shale of the Appalachian Basin Province, 2012.
23 24 25	423	6	PA DEP, PA DEP Oil and Gas Reporting Website: Statewide Data Downloads by
25 26 27	424		Reporting Period,
28 29 30	425		https://www.paoilandgasreporting.state.pa.us/publicreports/Modules/DataExports.
31 32	426	7	Utica Shale Appalachian Basin Exploration Consortium, A Geologic Play Book for Utica
33 34	427		Shale Appalachian Basin Exploration, Final report of the Utica Shale Appalachian Basin
35 36 37	428		Exploration Consortium, 2015.
38 39 40	429	8	H. King, Utica Shale: The natural gas giant below the Marcellus?,
40 41 42	430		https://geology.com/articles/utica-shale/.
43 44 45	431	9	Ohio Division of Oil and Gas Resources, Oil and Gas Well Production,
45 46 47 49	432		http://oilandgas.ohiodnr.gov/production.
49 50	433	10	B. D. Drollette, K. Hoelzer, N. R. Warner, T. H. Darrah, O. Karatum, M. P. O'Connor, R.
51 52	434		K. Nelson, L. A. Fernandez, C. M. Reddy and A. Vengosh, Elevated levels of diesel range
53 54 55 56 57	435		organic compounds in groundwater near Marcellus gas operations are derived from
58 59			
60			

1 2			
- 3 4 5	436		surface activities, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2015, 112, 13,184-13,189.
6 7	437	11	K. O. Maloney, S. Baruch-Mordo, L. A. Patterson, JP. Nicot, S. A. Entrekin, J. E.
8 9	438		Fargione, J. M. Kiesecker, K. E. Konschnik, J. N. Ryan and A. M. Trainor,
10 11 12	439		Unconventional oil and gas spills: Materials, volumes, and risks to surface waters in four
13 14 15	440		states of the US, Sci. Total Environ., 2017, 581, 369-377.
16 17	441	12	N. R. Warner, C. A. Christie, R. B. Jackson and A. Vengosh, Impacts of shale gas
18 19	442		wastewater disposal on water quality in western Pennsylvania, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
20 21 22	443		2013, <b>47</b> , 11,961-11,966.
23 24 25	444	13	J. M. Wilson, Y. Wang and J. M. VanBriesen, Sources of high total dissolved solids to
25 26 27	445		drinking water supply in southwestern Pennsylvania, J. Environ. Eng., 2013, 140,
28 29 30	446		B4014003-1–10.
31 32	447	14	D. M. Akob, A. C. Mumford, W. Orem, M. A. Engle, J. G. Klinges, D. B. Kent and I. M.
33 34	448		Cozzarelli, Wastewater disposal from unconventional oil and gas development degrades
35 36 27	449		stream quality at a West Virginia injection facility, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2016, 50,
37 38 39	450		5517–5525.
40 41 42	451	15	S. K. Alawattegama, T. Kondratyuk, R. Krynock, M. Bricker, J. K. Rutter, D. J. Bain and
43 44	452		J. F. Stolz, Well water contamination in a rural community in southwestern Pennsylvania
45 46	453		near unconventional shale gas extraction, J. Environ. Sci. Heal. Part A, 2015, 50, 516-
47 48 49	454		528.
50 51 52	455	16	G. T. Llewellyn, F. Dorman, J. Westland, D. Yoxtheimer, P. Grieve, T. Sowers, E.
52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	456		Humston-Fulmer and S. L. Brantley, Evaluating a groundwater supply contamination
	457		incident attributed to Marcellus Shale gas development, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2015, 112,

18

19

20

21

22

23

Pennsylvania, 2010.

9.

6,325-6,330.

E. C. Botner, A. Townsend-Small, D. B. Nash, X. Xu, A. Schimmelmann and J. H. Miller,

Utica Shale hydraulic fracturing region of Ohio, Environ. Monit. Assess., 2018, 190, 1–15.

Monitoring concentration and isotopic composition of methane in groundwater in the

R. A. Daly, M. A. Borton, M. J. Wilkins, D. W. Hoyt, D. J. Kountz, R. A. Wolfe, S. A.

Welch, D. N. Marcus, R. V. Trexler and J. D. MacRae, Microbial metabolisms in a 2.5-

km-deep ecosystem created by hydraulic fracturing in shales, *Nat. Microbiol.*, 2016, 1, 1–

F. B. Woodward, B. E. Schulmeister, C. Caldwell, R. Seeman and R. S. Hudson, in SPE

E. Barbot, N. S. Vidic, K. B. Gregory and R. D. Vidic, Spatial and temporal correlation of

water quality parameters of produced waters from Devonian-age shale following hydraulic

P. E. Dresel and R. A.W., Chemistry and origin of oil and gas well brines in western

N. R. Warner, T. Darrah, R. Jackson, R. Millot, W. Kloppmann and A. Vengosh, New

tracers identify hydraulic fracturing fluids and accidental releases from oil and gas

J. D. Johnson, J. R. Graney, R. C. Capo and B. W. Stewart, Identification and

quantification of regional brine and road salt sources in watersheds along the New

Hydraulic Fracturing Technology Conference, 3-5 February, Society of Petroleum

Engineers, The Woodlands, Texas, USA, 2015.

fracturing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 47, 2562–2569.

operations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2014, 48, 12,552-12,560.

1	
2 3 4 5	458
5 6 7	459
8 9	460
10 11 12	461
13 14 15	462
16 17	463
18 19	464
20 21 22	465
23 24	466
25 26 27	467
28 29	468
30 31 32	469
33 34	470
35 36 37	471
38 39 40	472
40 41 42	473
43 44 45	474
46 47	475
48 49 50	476
51 52	477
53 54 55	478
56 57	
58 59	
60	

1 2			
3 4 5	479		York/Pennsylvania border, USA, Appl. Geochemistry, 2015, 60, 37-50.
6 7	480	24	C. A. Kolesar Kohl, R. C. Capo, B. W. Stewart, A. J. Wall, K. T. Schroeder, R. W.
8 9	481		Hammack and G. D. Guthrie, Strontium isotopes test long-term zonal isolation of injected
10 11 12	482		and Marcellus formation water after hydraulic fracturing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2014, 48,
13 14	483		9,867-9,873.
15 16 17	484	25	L. K. Lautz, G. D. Hoke, Z. Lu, D. I. Siegel, K. Christian, J. D. Kessler and N. G. Teale,
18 19	485		Using discriminant analysis to determine sources of salinity in shallow groundwater prior
20 21 22	486		to hydraulic fracturing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2014, 48, 9,061-9,069.
23 24 25	487	26	B. W. Stewart, E. C. Chapman, R. C. Capo, J. D. Johnson, J. R. Graney, C. S. Kirby and
26 27	488		K. T. Schroeder, Origin of brines, salts and carbonate from shales of the Marcellus
28 29	489		Formation: Evidence from geochemical and Sr isotope study of sequentially extracted
30 31 32	490		fluids, Appl. Geochemistry, 2015, 60, 78-88.
33 34 25	491	27	N. R. Warner, R. B. Jackson, T. H. Darrah, S. G. Osborn, A. Down, K. Zhao, A. White
36 37	492		and A. Vengosh, Geochemical evidence for possible natural migration of Marcellus
38 39	493		Formation brine to shallow aquifers in Pennsylvania, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2012, 109,
40 41 42	494		11,961-11,966.
43 44	495	28	K. O. Maloney and D. A. Yoxtheimer, Production and disposal of waste materials from
45 46 47	496		gas and oil extraction from the Marcellus Shale play in Pennsylvania, Environ. Pract.,
48 49 50	497		2012, 14, 278–287.
50 51 52	498	29	S. L. Brantley, D. Yoxtheimer, S. Arjmand, P. Grieve, R. Vidic, J. Pollak, G. T.
53 54	499		Llewellyn, J. Abad and C. Simon, Water resource impacts during unconventional shale
55 56 57 58 59	500		gas development: The Pennsylvania experience, Int. J. Coal Geol., 2014, 126, 140-156.

1 2			
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	501	30	L. A. Patterson, K. E. Konschnik, H. Wiseman, J. Fargione, K. O. Maloney, J. Kiesecker,
	502		JP. Nicot, S. Baruch-Mordo, S. Entrekin and A. Trainor, Unconventional oil and gas
	503		spills: risks, mitigation priorities, and state reporting requirements, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
	504		2017, <b>51</b> , 2,563-2,573.
	505	31	M. A. Engle and E. L. Rowan, Geochemical evolution of produced waters from hydraulic
	506		fracturing of the Marcellus Shale, northern Appalachian Basin: A multivariate
	507		compositional data analysis approach, Int. J. Coal Geol., 2014, 126, 45-56.
20 21 22	508	32	T. L. Tasker, W. D. Burgos, M. A. Ajemigbitse, N. E. Lauer, A. V. Gusa, M. Kuatbek, D.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	509		May, J. D. Landis, D. S. Alessi, A. M. Johnsen, J. M. Kaste, K. Headrick, F. D. H. Wilke,
	510		M. McNeal, M. Engle, A. M. Jubb, R. Vidic, A. Vengosh and N. R. Warner, Accuracy of
	511		methods for reporting inorganic element concentrations and radioactivity in oil and gas
	512		wastewaters from the Appalachian Basin, U.S based on an inter-lab comparison, Environ.
	513		Process. Impacts, 2019, 21, 224–241.
	514	33	Y. K. Blondes, M.S., Gans, K.D., Engle, M.A., Kharaka and E. A. Reidy, M.E.,
	515		Saraswathula, V., Thordsen, J.J., Rowan, E.L., Morrissey, U.S. Geological Survey
	516		National Produced Waters Geochemical Database (ver. 2.3, January 2018),
41 42 43	517		https://doi.org/10.5066/F7J964W8.
44 45 46	518	34	National Water Quality Monitoring Council, Water Quality Data,
46 47 48	519		https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal.
50 51	520	35	R. S. Fisher and A. M. Stueber, Strontium isotopes in selected streams within the
52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	521		Susquehanna River basin, Water Resour. Res., 1976, 12, 1,061-1,068.
	522	36	RStudio, RStudio:Integrated Development for R., http://www.rstudio.com/.

3 4	523	37	T. T. Phan, J. A. Hakala, C. L. Lopano and S. Sharma, Rare earth elements and radiogenic
5 6	524		strontium isotopes in carbonate minerals reveal diagenetic influence in shales and
/ 8 9	525		limestones in the Appalachian Basin, Chem. Geol., 2019, 509, 194-212.
10 11 12	526	38	M. Renock, D., Landis, J.D., Sharma, Reductive weathering of black shale and release of
13 14 15	527		barium during hydraulic fracturing, Appl. Geochemistry, 2016, 65, 73-86.
16 17	528	39	D. Landis, J.D., Sharma, M., Renock, Rapid desorption of radium isotopes from black
18 19	529		shale during hydraulic fracturing. 2. A model reconciling radium extration with Marcellus
20 21 22	530		wastewater production, Chem. Geol., 2018, 500, 1924-206.
23 24 25	531	40	R. A. Zielinski and J. R. Budahn, Mode of occurrence and environmental mobility of oil-
25 26 27	532		field radioactive material at US Geological Survey research site B, Osage-Skiatook
28 29 30	533		Project, northeastern Oklahoma, Appl. Geochemistry, 2007, 22, 2,125-2,137.
31 32	534	41	T. F. Kraemer and D. F. Reid, The occurrence and behavior of radium in saline formation
33 34 35	535		water of the US Gulf Coast region, Chem. Geol., 1984, 46, 153-174.
36 37	536	42	A. Vengosh, D. Hirschfeld, D. Vinson, G. Dwyer, H. Raanan, O. Rimawi, A. Al-Zoubi, E.
38 39 40	537		Akkawi, A. Marie, G. Haquin, S. Zaarur and J. Ganor, High naturally occurring
40 41 42	538		radioactivity in fossil groundwater from the Middle East, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2009, 43,
43 44 45	539		1,769-1,775.
46 47	540	43	D. L. Parkhurst and C. A Appelo, in U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods,
48 49 50	541		Book 6, U.S. Geological Survey, 2013, pp. 1–497.
51 52	542	44	E. C. Chapman, R. C. Capo, B. W. Stewart, C. S. Kirby, R. W. Hammack, K. T.
53 54 55 56 57 58 59	543		Schroeder and H. M. Edenborn, Geochemical and strontium isotope characterization of

1 ว			
2 3	544		produced waters from Marcellus Shale natural gas extraction <i>Environ Sci Technol</i>
4 5	0		
6	545		2014, <b>46</b> , 3545–3553.
7 8			
9	546	45	E. L. Gross and C. A. Cravotta, Groundwater quality for 75 domestic wells in Lycoming
10 11	547		County Pennsylvania 2014 2017
12	517		County, 1 chrisytrania, 2017, 2017.
13 14	548		
15	5.0		
16 17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22 23			
24			
25 26			
27			
28 29			
30			
31 32			
33			
34 35			
36			
37 38			
39			
40 41			
42			
43 44			
45			
46 47			
48			
49 50			
51			
52 53			
54			
55 56			
57			
58 59			
60			



338x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)