

PCCP

## Low-power STMAS – breaking through the limit of large quadrupolar interactions in high-resolution solid-state NMR spectroscopy

Journal:	Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics
Manuscript ID	CP-ART-08-2020-004274.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Sep-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Hung, Ivan; National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Gan, Zhehong; National High Magnetic Field Laboratory,

<b>SCHOLAR</b> ONE <sup>™</sup>	
Manuscripts	

# ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

# Low-power STMAS – breaking through the limit of large quadrupolar interactions in high-resolution solid-state NMR spectroscopy

Ivan Hung \* and Zhehong Gan

An STMAS NMR experiment requiring significantly lower *rf* fields is presented, allowing acquisition of high-resolution spectra for half-integer spins regardless of the magnitude of their quadrupolar interaction. The experiment relies on frequency crossings induced by sample spinning during 'long' *rf* pulses to coherently cover the satellite-transitions efficiently.

### Introduction

Understanding the relationship between atomic structure and function or other macroscopic properties is important to many areas of science. Solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is a powerful tool for the study of structure and dynamics at the atomic level in non-liquid samples. However, the information content available from NMR depends very strongly on the ability to resolve distinct sites in the compounds of interest and low resolution is particularly problematic for nuclei with spin quantum numbers S > 1/2, which make up most of the NMR-active nuclei in the Periodic Table. These nuclei have quadrupole moments that interact with their surrounding electric field gradients (EFG) leading to anisotropic line broadening, spectral overlap and loss of resolution from the quadrupolar interaction (QI). For half-integer quadrupolar nuclei (S = 3/2, 5/2, 7/2, 9/2), a broadening from the anisotropic second-order QI remains under magic-angle spinning (MAS), therefore NMR methods have been developed to regain isotropic resolution, such as double rotation (DOR),<sup>1</sup> dynamic angle spinning (DAS),<sup>2</sup> multiple-quantum magic-angle spinning (MQMAS),<sup>3</sup> and satellite-transition magic-angle spinning (STMAS).<sup>4</sup> Of the four methods, DOR and DAS require specialized hardware, while MQMAS and STMAS can be performed using conventional MAS probes. DOR is unique in allowing acquisition of onedimensional (1D) high-resolution spectra directly, while the other methods achieve isotropic resolution indirectly by twodimensional (2D) acquisition. Nonetheless, due to their applicability with commonly available probes, STMAS and particularly MQMAS have seen more widespread use.

Of the two methods, MQMAS has seen much broader usage since the experimental requirements for STMAS are much more stringent. For STMAS, the magic-angle setting and spinning speed stability are critical for the averaging of the large first-order quadrupolar broadening to the satellitetransitions (STs).<sup>5</sup> STMAS is also particular in being sensitive to the third-order quadrupolar effect<sup>6</sup> and motional broadening<sup>7</sup> due to observation of the STs. Nevertheless, a very appealing characteristic of STMAS is its use of only single-quantum (SQ) transitions which gives it a significant sensitivity advantage over MQMAS; factors of two to nine have been observed.<sup>8</sup>

Both MQMAS and STMAS rely on application of short pulses with strong *rf* fields  $\omega_1$  for correlation among different transitions.<sup>9,10</sup> The sensitivity (or efficiency) of both experiments depends critically on the ratio between  $\omega_1$  and the magnitude of the quadrupolar interaction  $\omega_Q = 6\pi C_Q/[2S(2S-1)]$ , where  $C_Q$  is the quadrupole coupling constant. In practice, experimental efficiency tends to be low either because  $C_Q$  is large, or the attainable  $\omega_1$  is insufficient. This is a particularly acute problem for low- $\gamma$  nuclei since the magnitude of  $\omega_1 = 2\pi \cdot v_1 = -\gamma B_1$  is proportional to the gyromagnetic ratio  $\gamma$  and thus large *rf* fields are harder to achieve.

It has recently been shown that with moderate  $\omega_1$ , 'long' pulses of a rotor period or longer in duration can efficiently encode quadrupolar nuclei in heteronuclear correlation experiments.<sup>11,12</sup> These long pulses can bring crystallites with anisotropic frequency offsets into resonance with the *rf* pulse during brief level-crossings induced by the sample rotation.<sup>11,13</sup> Such a mechanism can cover transitions with large frequency spans even when the magnitude of the anisotropy is several orders larger than  $\omega_1$ . Notably, frequency encoding becomes coherent when a pair of long pulses are used in a symmetric manner as in the indirect <sup>14</sup>N dimension of <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>14</sup>N HMQC pulse sequences.<sup>11,13</sup> Herein, this idea is extended to the STMAS experiment for half-integer quadrupolar nuclei to essentially remove the upper limit of quadrupolar interactions that are

National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, 1800 East Paul Dirac Drive, Tallahassee, FL 32310, United States. E-mail: hung@magnet.fsu.edu

<sup>\*</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### ARTICLE

#### Journal Name

accessible and obtain isotropic spectra with high experimental efficiency.

#### **Experimental section**

All simulations were performed with SIMPSON,<sup>14</sup> using the pulse sequence in Fig. 1a and  $t_1 = (2\pi/\omega_r) - (P1/2) - (P2/2)$ , an asymmetry parameter  $\eta_Q = 0$ , MAS frequency of  $\omega_r/2\pi = v_r = 16$  kHz, and only first-order quadrupole coupling effects. The triple-quantum (3Q) transition was selected during  $t_1$  for MQMAS, and the innermost  $|\pm 3/2 > \iff |\pm 1/2 >$  satellite-transitions (STs) were selected during  $t_1$  for STMAS and lpSTMAS. The pulse sequence parameters for MQMAS were P1 = 6.7  $\mu$ s, P2 = 1.5  $\mu$ s, and a *rf* field of  $\omega_1/2\pi = 100$  kHz; for STMAS P1 = 2.5  $\mu$ s, P2 = 2.5  $\mu$ s are applied at an irradiation offset frequency  $\omega_{irr}/2\pi = +32 \cdot v_r = +512$  kHz. The P3 pulse was set equal to  $0.5\pi/[\omega_1(S + 1/2)]$  in all instances with  $\omega_1/2\pi = 10$  kHz.

All experiments were performed with Bruker Avance NEO spectrometers and double- or triple-resonance Low-E 3.2 mm MAS probes designed and built at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) in Tallahassee, FL, USA tuned to the CT frequency of all samples. <sup>71</sup>Ga spectra of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were recorded with the pulse sequences in Fig. S1 and  $B_0 = 19.6$  T,  $\omega_0(^{71}\text{Ga})/2\pi = 253.6 \text{ MHz}, \ \omega_r/2\pi = 14.5 \text{ kHz}$  with a recycle delay of 3.5 s and 32 averaged transients. A one rotor-period spin-echo was appended to both the DQF-STMAS and IpSTMAS experiments before acquisition to avoid signal loss during the dead time. The spin-echo spectrum was acquired with P3 = 2.5  $\mu$ s and P4 = 5.0  $\mu$ s at  $\omega_1/2\pi$  = 50 kHz. The DQF-STMAS spectra were acquired with an initial  $t_1 = (2\pi/\omega_r) - (P1/2) - (P2/2) - P4$ , P1 = 2.7  $\mu$ s and P2 = 2.5  $\mu$ s at  $\omega_1/2\pi$  = 110 kHz, and P3 = 2.5  $\mu$ s and P4 = 5  $\mu$ s at  $\omega_1/2\pi$  = 50 kHz. The lpSTMAS spectra were acquired with an initial  $t_1 = (2\pi/\omega_r) - (P1/2) - (P2/2) = 0$ , P1 = P2 =  $(2\pi/\omega_r)$  = 68.97 µs at a power level equivalent to an onresonance *rf* field of  $\omega_1/2\pi$  = 55 kHz, and P3 = 2.5 µs and P4 = 5  $\mu$ s at  $\omega_1/2\pi$  = 50 kHz. All pulses were applied on-resonance with the central-transitions, except the P1 and P2 pulses for IpSTMAS which were applied at an offset  $\omega_{irr}/2\pi = +36 \cdot v_r =$ +522 kHz, as optimized empirically for maximum overall signal. Any value of  $\omega_{\rm irr}/2\pi \simeq 300$  - 500 kHz is a good starting point for experimental optimization of the P1 and P2 offset, though the IpSTMAS sensitivity does not depend critically on this parameter as long as  $\omega_{\rm irr}$  remains within the ST spinning sideband manifold. Though not strictly necessary, it is convenient to set the  $f_1$  carrier frequency ( $\omega_{\rm irr}/2\pi$ ) equal to an integer multiple of  $v_r$ . With a rotor-synchronized  $t_1$ , the ST peak positions appear as if the  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  carrier frequencies are the same. Additionally, during  $\omega_{\rm irr}/2\pi$  optimization, increments of  $v_r$  should be used to avoid any modulations due to the shift between the apparent  $f_1$  carrier frequency relative to that of  $f_2$ . The <sup>71</sup>Ga 2D lpSTMAS spectrum of  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was acquired with a rotor-synchronized  $f_1$  spectral width, 32 complex  $t_1$ points, and 64 transients per  $t_1$  point, resulting in a total experiment time of 4 hours. In particular, a first-order phase correction of -360° was applied in the indirect  $f_1$  dimension

during processing of the 2D spectra to account for the initial  $t_1$  evolution, which is equal to  $\tau_r = 2\pi/\omega_r$ .

#### **Results and discussion**

Let us first examine the simulated sensitivity of the MQMAS and STMAS experiments for spin S = 3/2 nuclei. The threepulse z-filtered pulse sequence used in simulations is shown in Fig. 1a and the signal intensity of the two experiments as a function of  $\omega_Q$  in Fig. 1b. With an *rf* field of  $\omega_1/2\pi = 100$  kHz for P1 and P2, the MQMAS experiment (Fig. 1b, blue dashed line) shows signal within a relatively narrow range of  $\omega_{
m Q}/2\pi \simeq 0.02$  -2 MHz (i.e.,  $C_Q \simeq 0.04 - 4.0$  MHz). The theoretical maximum is ~0.5 when  $\omega_Q/2\pi$  ~ 0.25 MHz, drops below 0.1 at  $\omega_Q/2\pi = 1$ MHz, and is essentially null above  $\omega_0/2\pi \sim 2$  MHz. This is a severe limitation to MQMAS since samples with greater  $\omega_Q$ values are commonplace and often of interest. To access larger  $\omega_0$  values an increase in  $\omega_1$  is necessary, but difficult to practically achieve, especially for low-y nuclei. It is possible to increase the applied rf field by using probes with smaller coils, but the loss in sensitivity from the sacrifice in sample volume usually makes this venue counterproductive. Within the range of  $\omega_{\rm Q}/2\pi \simeq 0.02$  - 2 MHz, the simulation for STMAS generally shows similar rf behavior as MQMAS, but retains a sensitivity advantage of at least a factor of two (Fig. 1b, red dotted line). In fact, the STMAS signal around the optimal  $\omega_q$  value can exceed the signal of a direct-excitation spectrum because there are two STs that contribute to the signal, as opposed to a single central-transition (CT) observed with CT-selective directexcitation. In addition, the simulation shows that higher  $\omega_0/2\pi$ values of up to ~5 MHz (i.e.,  $C_q$  ~ 10 MHz) may be accessible with STMAS. Simulations for other half-integer spin nuclei display similar behavior (Fig. S2), though higher  $C_0$  values can be accessed due to their higher spin quantum number S.

Journal Name



**Fig. 1.** Comparison of simulated S = 3/2 signal intensity for MQMAS, STMAS and lpSTMAS. (a) Three-pulse z-filter<sup>15,16</sup> sequence (P1 -  $t_1$  - P2 - ZF - P3 - acq) used for SIMPSON<sup>14</sup> simulations. (b) Maximum signal for the MQMAS, STMAS and lpSTMAS experiments, as a function of  $\omega_{\alpha}$ , normalized to CT-selective one-pulse direct-excitation spectra. The P1 and P2 *rf* field was fixed to  $\omega_1/2\pi = 100$  kHz for MQMAS and STMAS, and  $\omega_1/2\pi = 30$  kHz for lpSTMAS. All pulses were applied on-resonance with the CT, except the P1 and P2 pulses for lpSTMAS which were applied at an offset  $\omega_{inr}/2\pi = +32 \cdot v_r = +512$  kHz, where  $v_r = 16$  kHz. (c) Contour plot of the lpSTMAS signal intensity as a function of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_{\alpha}$ . The base contour level is set at an intensity of 0.1 and subsequent contours are at increments of 0.025. The horizontal black line denotes the position of the lpSTMAS curve shown in (b). The red curve highlights the optimal *rf* field dependence on the magnitude of the quadrupole coupling is approximately  $\omega_1 \propto \omega_Q^{0.37}$ .

The present work proposes a straightforward revision of the three-pulse z-filter STMAS pulse sequence (Fig. 1a). Instead of using short, high-power pulses for ST excitation (P1) and conversion (P2), each pulse is lengthened in duration to one rotor period  $\tau_r = 2\pi/\omega_r$ , where  $\omega_r = 2\pi \cdot v_r$  is the sample spinning frequency. In addition, the irradiation frequency ( $\omega_{irr}$ ) of the pulses is applied at a large offset in order to only excite the STs and minimize perturbation of the CT. The modified pulse sequence is denoted as low-power (Ip) STMAS due to its lower rf field requirement compared to the conventional pulse sequence. A simulation applying P1 and P2 pulses of  $\omega_1/2\pi$  = 30 kHz at an offset  $\omega_{irr}/2\pi$  = +512 kHz with respect to the CT shows that IpSTMAS (Fig. 1b, black solid line) does not provide a sensitivity advantage over the optimal range of  $\omega_Q$  for MQMAS and STMAS. However as  $\omega_Q$  increases, the IpSTMAS signal becomes much higher than for MQMAS and STMAS,

reaching a maximum of 0.35 at  $\omega_Q/2\pi = 2.8$  MHz and remaining above 0.1 at  $\omega_Q/2\pi < 22$  MHz. Thus, significantly expanding the range of  $\omega_Q$  magnitudes for which isotropic spectra may be obtained. In addition, the IpSTMAS signal is relatively insensitive to changes in experimental parameters, displaying a broad maximum over a range of *rf* fields. As shown in Fig. 1c, the range of accessible  $\omega_Q$  remains largely the same for  $\omega_1/2\pi$  from 20 to 40 kHz with a relatively gradual loss of ~10-20 % from changes in  $\omega_1/2\pi$  of up to 15 kHz. In principle, the experiment can be extended to larger  $\omega_Q$  by increasing both  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_{irr}$ , as shown in Fig. S3. Interestingly, simulations indicate that for  $\omega_Q/2\pi$  from 1 to 300 MHz, the optimal *rf* field requirement increases very mildly at approximately  $\omega_1 \propto \omega_Q^{0.37}$  as highlighted by the red curve in Fig. 1c.

Briefly, the theory for the *rf* spin dynamics which allows efficient ST encoding using long pulses is as follows. Large *rf* offsets from the STs during long pulses is modulated by MAS and can be treated in a jolting frame.<sup>17</sup> An average Hamiltonian can be obtained in the jolting frame as previously reported for the case of S = 1 nuclei,<sup>11,13</sup>

$$\overline{h} = \overline{\Delta \omega_Q} I_z + |s_n| \omega_1 e^{-i\varphi I_z} I_x e^{i\varphi I_z}$$
(1)

where  $\Delta \omega_Q$  is the offset of the *n*th spinning sideband (ssb) nearest to the *rf* irradiation frequency  $\omega_{irr}$ , and  $s_n$  are Fourier expansion coefficients representing the ssb intensities for the STs under MAS. For the case of S = 3/2 nuclei,  $\omega_{irr}$  is placed far off-resonance from the CT; thus, the pair of STs can be treated as a pair of isolated two-level S = 1/2 systems. Given the number of ssbs,  $\sim \omega_Q/\omega_r$ , and the normalization condition,  $\sum_n |s_n|^2 = 1$ , Eq. (1) shows that for pulses lasting  $\tau_r$ , the amplitude of the *rf* Hamiltonian is scaled by the intensity of the *n*th ST ssb

$$|s_n| \sim \sqrt{\omega_r/\omega_Q} < 1 \tag{2}$$

and phase-shifted by  $\varphi$ , the phase of the complex intensity for the *n*th ssb nearest to  $\omega_{irr}$ . That is to say, the effect of the *rf* field on each crystallite in a powder depends on the amplitude and phase of the MAS spectrum for that individual crystallite. Hence, application of a long rf pulse for excitation of a powder sample yields a magnetization which is not entirely coherent because of the rf phase variation among the different crystallites. Nevertheless, if a pair of such pulses is applied in the indirect dimension of two-dimensional experiments, such that their coherence order changes are equal in magnitude but opposite in sign, then the rf phase variation amongst the crystallites is cancelled between the pulses. More importantly, the large offset of the STs is reduced in the jolting frame to a small offset between  $\omega_{
m irr}$  and the nearest ssb. Thus, efficient encoding of the STs is achieved using long pulses as shown in the simulations in Fig. 1. For a  $\tau_r$  long pulse, the maximum encoding is obtained when  $|s_n|\omega_1\tau_r = \pi/2$ . From Eq. (2), the optimal rf field for the IpSTMAS experiment is theoretically

$$\omega_1 \sim \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\omega_Q \omega_r} \tag{3}$$

in qualitative agreement with the  $\omega_1 \propto \omega_Q^{0.37}$  dependence seen in simulations. The square root dependence of  $\omega_1$  on  $\omega_Q$ explains the low power requirement for the lpSTMAS experiment.

The performance of STMAS and IpSTMAS on a model compound with large quadrupolar interactions,  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, is shown in Fig. 2a. There are two inequivalent  $^{71}$ Ga (S = 3/2) sites in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, an octahedral (Ga<sup>VI</sup>) and a tetrahedral (Ga<sup>IV</sup>) site, which have  $C_Q$  values of 8.3 and 11.2 MHz.<sup>18</sup> The secondorder quadrupolar broadening is much larger than the spinning frequency causing severe overlap of the ssbs even at a high magnetic field of 19.6 T. The 1D STMAS and IpSTMAS spectra are amplitude-modulated by their offsets in the indirect dimension due to the finite  $t_1$  evolution equal to one rotor period between the P1 and P2 pulses. The IpSTMAS signal is surprisingly high compared to the 1D spin-echo spectrum at 0.5 for both Ga sites using a power level equivalent to an onresonance *rf* field of  $\omega_1/2\pi$  = 55 kHz, whereas the STMAS signal drops to 0.06 for  $Ga^{VI}$  and is almost undetectable for the  $Ga^{IV}$ site even with a much higher  $\omega_1/2\pi$  of 110 kHz. The doublequantum filtered (DQF) STMAS<sup>19</sup> experiment was used for onedimensional sensitivity comparisons in order to remove contributions from the large CT-CT autocorrelation signal. DQF-STMAS has been shown to retain ~80% of the signal compared to STMAS.<sup>19</sup> Thus, the estimated excitation and conversion efficiency of the STs using short, high-power pulses for STMAS is ~0.08. The IpSTMAS experiment is much less sensitive to the size of  $\omega_{Q}$  and retains good efficiency without requiring very high rf fields, validating the theory and simulated results. Notably, no filtering elements are necessary to remove CT-CT autocorrelation signals for IpSTMAS. The ST excitation and conversion pulses (P1 and P2) were applied at a large offset of  $\omega_{irr}/2\pi$  = +36 $v_r$  in order to minimize perturbation of the CT and therefore, the 1D lpSTMAS signal arises entirely from ST magnetization. The absence of any CT-CT signal is evidenced by the 2D IpSTMAS spectrum shown in Fig. 2b.

Page 4 of 6



**Fig. 2.** (a) Experimental comparison of <sup>71</sup>Ga DQF-STMAS and IpSTMAS signal intensities with a spin-echo for  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The vertical scale for the DQF-STMAS spectrum has been increased by a factor of seven to allow for easier visual comparison. The asterisk \* denotes a sample impurity. 2D <sup>71</sup>Ga IpSTMAS spectrum after (b) isotropic shearing, and (c) *Q*-shearing,<sup>22</sup> expansion of the  $f_1$  spectral width and shearing back into the isotropic representation to unravel spectral folding. The base contour level is set at 2% of the maximum intensity.

To the best of our knowledge, the <sup>71</sup>Ga nuclei in  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have the largest quadrupolar interactions ( $\omega_Q/2\pi = 4.15$  and 5.6 MHz) for which high-resolution spectra have been reported,<sup>20</sup> as performed previously using DAS. Our attempts at obtaining an MQMAS spectrum resulted in only  $t_1$  noise due to low efficiency. The conventional STMAS experiment also has much poorer sensitivity, where only the smaller  $C_Q$  Ga<sup>VI</sup> site is discernible (not shown). These results are in good agreement with simulations, where STMAS has a slight advantage over MQMAS, but both experiments perform poorly for  $\omega_Q$  values of these magnitudes.

The 2D lpSTMAS spectrum in Fig. 2b was acquired with rotor-synchronized  $t_1$  evolution to avoid ssbs along the indirect dimension and improve the signal to noise ratio.<sup>21</sup> Rotor-synchronization restricts the indirect  $f_1$  spectral width to  $\omega_r/2\pi$ 

#### Journal Name

causing resonances farther than half the spectral width from the  $\omega_{irr}$  of P1 and P2 to alias and not appear at their proper location in  $f_1$ , as observed in Fig. 2b. Higher MAS frequencies could be applied to alleviate some of the signal aliasing by providing a larger window in the indirect dimension. In the current instance, it is possible to resolve the aliasing by separating the two Ga signals using Q-shearing<sup>22</sup> (Fig. S4). The spectrum can then be expanded in the  $f_1$  dimension and sheared back to an isotropic representation to obtain a spectrum wherein the resonances are restored to their appropriate  $f_1$  frequencies (Fig. 2c). A comparison of the spinecho spectrum (Fig. 2a) and the isotropic spectrum (Fig. 2c,  $f_1$ projection) illustrates the resolution enhancement achievable by IpSTMAS. The degree of overlap among ssbs in the direct dimension does not appear to have a significant deleterious effect on the ability of IpSTMAS to achieve high resolution in  $f_1$ for this crystalline sample. In fact, the ssbs become clearly resolved in the 2D spectrum. Furthermore, the total intensities summed over the ssbs for the two sites are comparable in agreement with the presence of two equally populated crystallographic sites in the  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crystal structure.<sup>18,23</sup> Once again emphasizing the ability of IpSTMAS to uniformly excite sites of significantly different  $C_Q$  values.

An additional benefit of the IpSTMAS experiment can be observed from its application to samples with smaller  $C_{Q}$ values, such as the <sup>87</sup>Rb nuclei of RbNO<sub>3</sub> (Fig. S5), and <sup>27</sup>Al nuclei of AIPO<sub>4</sub>-berlinite (Fig. S6). Though in these cases IpSTMAS provides 20 to 40% less signal than conventional STMAS, the power requirement is also significantly lower, being equivalent to on-resonance  $\omega_1/2\pi$  values of only 12 and 5.5 kHz for RbNO<sub>3</sub> and berlinite, respectively, compared to 80 and 71 kHz for the conventional high-power STMAS experiment. The low rf field requirement is of particular importance for low- $\gamma$  nuclei for which large  $\omega_1$  are hard to achieve, especially when large diameter rotors are used. Notably, the 2D <sup>27</sup>Al lpSTMAS spectrum of berlinite (Fig. S6) also shows that the long-pulse excitation scheme is capable of selectively exciting only the innermost  $|\pm 3/2 \rangle \leftrightarrow |\pm 1/2 \rangle$  STs without interference from higher-order  $|\pm 5/2\rangle \leftrightarrow |\pm 3/2\rangle$  STs. Though excitation of high-order STs using  $\tau_r$  long pulses is expected to be efficient, these coherences are only efficiently converted into lower-order ST polarization instead of the CT polarization typically observed during acquisition.

#### Conclusions

In summary, it has been shown by simulations and experiments that long pulses selective only to the STs can achieve much higher efficiency than short high-power pulses for STMAS of samples with large quadrupolar interactions. The new scheme dramatically reduces the requirement for high *rf* fields, thus greatly enhancing applicability to a variety of nuclei with large quadrupolar interactions and/or low gyromagnetic ratios. This advance opens up an entirely new regime of samples for which high-resolution isotropic STMAS spectra can potentially be obtained. It is hoped that researchers will see past the stringent but surmountable technical requirements

necessary for STMAS-type experiments including lpSTMAS; primarily, accurate calibration of the magic-angle, so they may benefit from its rich information content.

### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) through NSF DMR-1644779 and the State of Florida. The NHMFL NMR facility is available to users free of charge. For more information please visit https://nationalmaglab.org/user-facilities/nmr-mri.

#### Notes and references

- 1 A. Samoson, E. Lippmaa and A. Pines, High-Resolution Solid-State NMR Averaging of 2nd-Order Effects by Means of a Double-Rotor, *Mol. Phys.*, 1988, **65**, 1013–1018.
- A. Llor and J. Virlet, Towards High-Resolution NMR of More Nuclei in Solids - Sample Spinning with Time-Dependent Spinner Axis Angle, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1988, **152**, 248–253.
- 3 L. Frydman and J. S. Harwood, Isotropic Spectra of Half-Integer Quadrupolar Spins from Bidimensional Magic-Angle-Spinning NMR, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 5367–5368.
- 4 Z. H. Gan, Isotropic NMR spectra of half-integer quadrupolar nuclei using satellite transitions and magic-angle spinning, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 3242–3243.
- 5 C. Huguenard, F. Taulelle, B. Knott and Z. Gan, Optimizing STMAS, J. Magn. Reson., 2002, **156**, 131–137.
- 6 Z. Gan, P. Srinivasan, J. R. Quine, S. Steuernagel and B. Knott, Third-order effect in solid-state NMR of quadrupolar nuclei, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, **367**, 163–169.
- 7 S. E. Ashbrook, S. Antonijevic, A. J. Berry and S. Wimperis, Motional broadening: an important distinction between multiple-quantum and satellite-transition MAS NMR of quadrupolar nuclei, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **364**, 634–642.
- 8 S. E. Ashbrook and S. Wimperis, High-resolution NMR of quadrupolar nuclei in solids: the satellite-transition magic angle spinning (STMAS) experiment, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 2004, **45**, 53–108.
- 9 J.-P. Amoureux, C. Fernandez and L. Frydman, Optimized multiple-quantum magic-angle spinning NMR experiments on half-integer quadrupoles, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, **259**, 347–355.
- 10 Z. Gan, P. L. Gor'kov, W. W. Brey, P. J. Sideris and C. P. Grey, Enhancing MQMAS of low-gamma nuclei by using a high B-1 field balanced probe circuit, J. Magn. Reson., 2009, 200, 2–5.
- 11 I. Hung, P. Gor'kov and Z. Gan, Efficient and sideband-free 1H-detected 14N magic-angle spinning NMR, J. Chem. Phys., 2019, **151**, 154202.
- 12 I. Hung and Z. Gan, High Resolution NMR of S = 3/2 Quadrupole Nuclei by Detection of Double-Quantum Satellite-Transitions via Protons, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, , DOI:10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c01236.
- 13 A. J. Pell, K. J. Sanders, S. Wegner, G. Pintacuda and C. P. Grey, Low-power broadband solid-state MAS NMR of 14N, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **146**, 194202.
- 14 M. Bak, J. T. Rasmussen and N. C. Nielsen, SIMPSON: A general simulation program for solid-state NMR spectroscopy, J. Magn. Reson., 2000, 147, 296–330.

#### ARTICLE

- 15 J. P. Amoureux, C. Fernandez and S. Steuernagel, Z filtering in MQMAS NMR, J. Magn. Reson. A, 1996, **123**, 116–118.
- 16 Z. H. Gan, Satellite transition magic-angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of half-integer quadrupolar nuclei, J. Chem. Phys., 2001, 114, 10845–10853.
- 17 P. Caravatti, G. Bodenhausen and R. R. Ernst, Selective pulse experiments in high-resolution solid state NMR, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1983, **55**, 88–103.
- 18 T. Vosegaard, I. P. Byriel, L. Binet, D. Massiot and H. J. Jakobsen, Crystal structure studies by single-crystal NMR spectroscopy. Ga-71 and Ga-69 single-crystal NMR of beta-Ga2O3 twins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, **120**, 8184–8188.
- 19 H. T. Kwak and Z. H. Gan, Double-quantum filtered STMAS, J. Magn. Reson., 2003, **164**, 369–372.
- 20 D. Massiot, I. Farnan, N. Gautier, D. Trumeau, P. Florian and P. Grandinetti, 69Ga,71Ga Solid-State Static, MAS and DAS NMR-Study of Beta-Ga2O3, *J. Chim. Phys.-Chim. Biol.*, 1995, 92, 1847–1850.
- 21 D. Massiot, Sensitivity and lineshape improvements of MQ-MAS by rotor-synchronized data acquisition, *J. Magn. Reson. A*, 1996, **122**, 240–244.
- 22 I. Hung, J. Trebosc, G. L. Hoatson, R. L. Vold, J. P. Amoureux and Z. Gan, Q-shear transformation for MQMAS and STMAS NMR spectra, J. Magn. Reson., 2009, **201**, 81–86.
- 23 S. Geller, Crystal Structure of Beta-Ga2O3, J. Chem. Phys., 1960, **33**, 676–684.