ChemComm



A proton-responsive ligand becomes a dimetal linker for multisubstrate assembly *via* nitrate deoxygenation

Journal:	ChemComm
Manuscript ID	CC-COM-12-2020-007886.R1
Article Type:	Communication



COMMUNICATION

A proton-responsive ligand becomes a dimetal linker for multisubstrate assembly *via* nitrate deoxygenation

Alyssa C. Cabelof,^a Veronica Carta^a and Kenneth G. Caulton*^a

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

A bidentate pyrazolylpyridine ligand (HL) was installed on divalent nickel to give $[(HL)_2Ni(NO_3)]NO_3$. This compound reacts with a bissilylated heterocycle, 1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4-diaza-2,5cyclohexadiene (TMS₂Pz) to simultaneously reduce one of the nitrate ligands and deprotonate one of the HL ligands, giving octahedral (HL)(L⁻)Ni(NO₃). The mononitrate species formed is then further reacted with TMS₂Pz to doubly deoxygenate nitrate and form $[(L⁻)Ni(NO)]_2$, dimeric *via* bridging pyrazolate with bent nitrosyl ligands, representing a two-electron reduction of coordinated nitrate. Independent synthesis of a dimeric species $[(L⁻)Ni(Br)]_2$ is reported and effectively assembles two metals with better atom economy.

Carbon dioxide reduction is a primary focus of current catalytic interest because the accumulation of carbon dioxide in the planetary atmosphere causes global warming due to greenhouse effect.¹ The widespread use of ammonia fertilizers contributes to nitrate runoff from farm fields, which creates "dead zones" in lakes, bays and coastal regions.² Thus, similar to high oxidation state carbon, high oxidation state nitrogen becomes another target of chemical deoxygenation approaches for remediation purposes, with the goal to recycle the nitrogen into value added compounds. Nitrate reduction has been accomplished by photochemical³ and electrochemical^{2a,4} means, along with the use of chemical reductants or *via* deoxygenation.⁵ Bis-silyl dihydropyrazines⁶ have been shown to be effective for deoxygenations of organic NO_x compounds⁷ and metal-mediated nitrate deoxygenations for complexes carrying multiple nitrate or nitrite ligands.^{5f,5g,8}

This work builds on these existing reductive deoxygenations by using a proton responsive pyrazolylpyridine ligand that provides redox flexibility whether it is neutral or deprotonated. The pyrazolylpyridine ligand has been used primarily as a source of a monoanionic bidentate ligand to replace phenylpyridyl as an optical tuning component in luminescent metal complexes.⁹ It has also attracted interest for applications in magnetism¹⁰ and crystal engineering.¹¹ We envisioned that in the deprotonated state, the pyrazolate moiety will have the ability to bridge multiple metal centers,^{11a, 12} allowing for the formation of bimetallic species with two nitrogen oxyanions in close proximity. This might allow, under reducing conditions, the formation of N-N bonds from nitrate. In this case, NN bond formation is an intramolecular process, hence with minimal entropy loss. Current efforts focus on this intramolecular process.^{12d, 13}

We initially targeted two bidentate ligands per Ni, and therefore reacted 2-methyl-6-[5(3)-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3(5)-yl]pyridine (HL) with Ni(NO₃)₂•6 H₂O at a 2:1 mole ratio in DCM (Scheme 1a). 4 hours of stirring afforded a light blue material which was characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and shown to be paramagnetic, implying either tetrahedral or octahedral Ni(II). Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies establish a compound with the formula [(HL)₂Ni(NO₃)]NO₃, where one nitrate is coordinated bidentate to Ni and the other is a counterion that interacts strongly with the ligand through hydrogen bonding to pyrazole (Figure 1). We sought deoxygenation initially of one nitrate in [(HL)₂Ni(NO₃)]NO₃, and attempted this with the bissilylated 1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)-1,4-diaza-2,5heterocycle, cyclohexadiene (TMS₂Pz). Reaction of [(HL)₂Ni(NO₃)]NO₃ with TMS₂Pz at a 1:1 mole ratio in MeCN (Scheme 1b) occurs with a color change from light blue



Scheme 1. a) Synthesis of $[(HL)_2Ni(NO_3)]NO_3$ and b) its conversion to $(HL)(L^{-})Ni(NO_3)$ via deoxygenation and subsequent deprotonation with TMS_2Pz.

^{a.} Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, 800 E. Kirkwood Ave., Bloomington, IN, 47401, USA. E-mail: caulton@indiana.edu

 $^{^{+}}$ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available including NMR, FT-IR, and crystal structure data for $[(HL)_2Ni(NO_3)]NO_3$ (CCDC: 2042062), $(HL)(L^-)Ni(NO_3)$ (CCDC: 2042063), $[(L^-)Ni(NO)]_2$ (CCDC: 2042064), $[(HL)NiBr_2]_2$ (CCDC: 2044737), $(HL)NiBr_2$ (CCDC: 2044738) and $[(L^-)Ni(Br)(MeCN)]_2$ (CCDC: 2042065) See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x



Figure 1. Molecular structure (50 % probability ellipsoids) of $[(HL)_2Ni(NO_3)]NO_3$ showing selected atom labelling; unlabelled atoms are carbon. All protons except pyrazole NH protons have been removed for clarity. Ni1-O2, 2.1364(16) Å; Ni1-O1, 2.1545(17); Ni1-N21, 2.1473(17); Ni1-N29, 2.0176(18); Ni1-N9, 2.0197(18); Ni1-N1, 2.1260(18).

to teal within 30 minutes. After 12 h of stirring with no further color changes, volatiles were removed and a teal paramagnetic species was isolated. Single crystal X-ray diffraction of crystals grown by diffusion of pentane vapors into a concentrated THF solution revealed a formula (HL)(L⁻)Ni(NO₃) (Fig 2a). One of the bidentate ligands has a pyrazole with an N-N-C angle < 108.5° (indicating a lone pair on N)¹⁴ while the other has an angle > 111.3° (consistent with H on the N). Ligand deprotonation at only one site is supported by two molecules in the asymmetric unit forming a hydrogen bonded dimer between the protonated pyrazole and the deprotonated pyrazolate (Fig 2b). The octahedral nickel atoms both show one large N-Ni-N angle involving pyrazole and pyrazolate, > 111.0°, and this opens each $(HL)(L^{-})Ni(NO_{3})$ unit for this hydrogen bonded dimerization. Following this reaction progress by ¹H NMR spectroscopy shows the unambiguous formation of hexamethyldisiloxane, indicating that a deoxygenation event occurred. Therefore, we propose that deoxygenation of one of the nitrate ligands in [(HL)₂Ni(NO₃)]NO₃ gives $(HL)_2Ni(NO_3)(NO_2)$ as an intermediate, and the emerging pyrazine byproduct acts as a base⁸ to deprotonate one of the neutral HL ligands and departs with the deoxygenated nitrite to give the crystallographically characterized product, (HL)(L⁻)Ni(NO₃). Our attempts to observe or trap the mixed



Figure 2. a) Molecular structure (50% probability ellipsoids) of one molecule of (HL)(L⁻)Ni(NO₃), showing selected atom labelling; only the NH proton is shown (on N57). Selected structural parameters: Ni1-O2, 2.115(6) Å; Ni1-O3, 2.211(6); Ni1-N53, 2.143(7); Ni1-N58, 2.060(7); Ni1-N67, 2.125(8); Ni1-N76, 2.000(8); O2-N1, 1.270(10); O3-N1, 1.296(10); O4-N1, 1.210(9); values for the second crystallographically independent Ni show no notable deviations from these and b) View of the [(HL)(L⁻)Ni(NO₃)]₂ dimer. Hydrogen bonds are shown with dashed lines. Phenyl groups are shown as wireframe.

nitrate/nitrite intermediate were unsuccessful; no intermediate nickel complex signals are observed on the NMR timescale. The simultaneous deoxygenation of nitrate and deprotonation of one HL ligand forms a molecular mononitrate species.

We attempted further deoxygenation of (HL)(L⁻)Ni(NO₃) with two equivalents of TMS₂Pz, targeting (HL)(L⁻)Ni(NO). This reaction proceeds rapidly in THF with a color change from teal to dark green within 5 minutes. Following the reaction progress by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Figure S8) we observed conversion to a diamagnetic species and the expected two stoichiometric equivalents of hexamethyldisiloxane and pyrazine. The infrared spectrum confirms the absence of -NH and contains a band at 1729 cm⁻¹, consistent with a nitrosyl ligand. Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies establish a dimeric compound with the formula $[(L^-)Ni(NO)]_2$ (Figure 3). Geometry about each Ni center is best described as seesaw $(\tau_4 \text{ values}^{15} = 0.41 \text{ and } 0.35 \text{ for Ni1 and Ni2 respectively}) and is held$ into a dimer by bridging pyrazolate. The two nitrosyl ligands are bent slightly, with Ni1-N7-O1 angle of 165.7° and Ni2-N8-O2 angle of 148.4°. Although the nitrosyl angles are slightly bent which might suggest NO[•] or even NO⁻, the diamagnetism of this compound suggests that the nickel centers are best described as Ni⁰ and thus, the nitrosyls are NO⁺. This transformation is accompanied by the loss of a neutral HL ligand, which is confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, after washing the crude material with diethyl ether to collect HL. The overall balanced reaction for this transformation is shown in Scheme 2. We propose that ligand loss occurs when the nitrosyl is formed, which exerts a strong trans effect and liberates neutral HL, then dimerization gives the stable 18 valence electron species [(L⁻)Ni(NO)]₂. The pendent pyridyl donor in this ligand has the flexibility to form a seesaw structure with Ni, and is not limited to planar and octahedral metal preferences.



Figure 3. Molecular structure (50% probability ellipsoids) of [(L[−])Ni(NO)]₂ showing a) top view of the non-hydrogen atoms and b) side-on view with selected structural parameters: Ni1-N2, 1.9840(16); Ni1-N1, 2.0737(18); Ni1-N6, 2.0040(17); Ni1-N7, 1.6470(19); N7-O1, 1.164(3); O1-N7-Ni1, 165.72(19).



Scheme 2. Balanced reaction for formation of [(L-)Ni(NO)]2

Journal Name

Due to the loss of half of the ligand from the original $[(HL)_2Ni(NO_3)]NO_3$, we sought a route to a bimetallic species with better atom economy. Our first attempts at this simply altered the stoichiometry shown in Scheme 1a from a 2:1 ligand:metal ratio to a 1:1, but regardless of the equivalents of ligand delivered, [(HL)₂Ni(NO₃)]NO₃ preferentially forms. We attribute this to the very poor solubility of the nickel source, $Ni(NO_3)_2 \bullet 6H_2O$, causing a high concentration of ligand in solution compared to metal reagent. We therefore turned to reaction of HL with a more soluble metal starting material, NiBr₂(DME) (DME = dimethoxyethane) according to Scheme 3a. This reaction proceeds in THF solution to give a single, paramagnetic complex, with evidence of only one ligand per metal by mass spectrometry. The product is orange in the solid state, but upon dissolution in THF solvent, a notable color change to bright pink is observed (Figure S1). This color change is reversible. Crystals were grown by vapor diffusion of either pentane or diethyl ether vapors into a concentrated THF solution, and two different crystalline materials are present with detectably different colors. The majority of the crystalline material is orange (<95%) with a small amount of pink crystals (>5%) present. Single crystal XRD establishes the chemical formula of the orange crystals as [(HL)NiBr₂]₂, dimeric by bromide bridging (Figure 4a). This structure might suggest that HL is insufficiently bulky for the metal to achieve coordination number four. However, single crystal XRD of the pink crystals shows that a four-coordinate tetrahedral ($\tau_4 = 0.84$) monomer with formula (HL)NiBr₂ is formed, with no bromide bridges and with a THF molecule hydrogen bonding to the pyrazole NH (Figure 4b). It is especially interesting that the presence of THF in the solid state does not lead to five coordinate nickel via THF coordination. Since monomer and dimer form concurrently the energy difference between the two must be small. Overall, this should have no significant influence on chemical reactivity, but it represents an opportunity to compare monomer and dimer structures (see SI).

This new species was then reacted with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LiHMDS) in THF at -78 °C (Scheme 3b), where a color change from orange to light green was observed in the time of mixing. The product was characterized as a single



Scheme 3. a) Synthesis of (HL)NiBr2 and b) dehydrohalogenation of (HL)NiBr2 to form $[(L^-)NiBr]_2$

[(L[−])NiBr]₂

(HL)NiBr₂

paramagnetic species, distinct from starting material. Single crystals were grown by slow evaporation of acetonitrile, establishing the formula of this compound as $[(L^-)Ni(Br)(MeCN)]_2$ (Figure 4c), and confirming that this dehydrohalogenation route successfully generates a dimeric species without sacrificing a ligand; coordination of acetonitrile is due to crystal growth conditions. The dimer is centrosymmetric with two 5-coordinate nickel centers and is best described as a distorted square pyramid (τ_5 value = 0.16). The Ni1-Ni2 distance is 3.821 Å and is therefore non-bonding.

This work highlights the potency of TMS₂Pz for deoxygenations of coordinated nitrate anions along with showing the possibility of deprotonation via emerging pyrazine base as an unintended side reaction of deoxygenation. All together, this work details the cooperative efforts of a proton responsive ligand and TMS₂Pz in the bimetallic species $[(L^{-})Ni(NO)]_{2}.$ formation of Dehydrohalogenation of (HL)NiBr₂ is an effective way this pyrazolylpyridine ligand naturally accomplishes assembly of two metal centers to form [(L⁻)NiBr]₂, which will offer routes to [(L⁻)Ni(NO)]₂ with better ligand atom economy. This dimeric species also provides the potential for installing two nitrogen oxyanion substrates for subsequent reductive deoxygenation.



Figure 4. Molecular structure (50% probability ellipsoids) showing selected structural parameters of a) [(HL)NiBr₂]₂: Br2-Ni1, 2.4849(3); Br2-Ni1', 2.5506(3); Br1-Ni1, 2.4235(3); Ni1-N1, 2.1005(15); Ni1-N2, 1.9834(15); Br1-Ni1-Br2, 140.284(11); N1-Ni1-Br2', 167.61(4), b) (HL)NiBr₂: Br1-Ni1, 2.3527(4); Br2-Ni1, 2.3552(4); Ni1-N1, 2.019(2); Ni1-N2, 1.983(2); Br1-Ni1-Br2, 129.928(17); N1-Ni1-Br1, 111.51(6); N1-Ni1-Br2, 106.35(6); N2-Ni1-Br1, 108.79(7); N2-Ni1-Br2, 108.24(6), c) [(L⁻)Ni(Br)(MeCN)]₂: Br1-Ni1, 2.4888(3); Ni1-N2, 1.9851(17); Ni1-N3', 2.0067(18); Ni1-N1, 2.0800(18); Ni1-N4, 2.0997(19); N4-Ni1-Br1, 164.16(6); N3'-Ni1-N1, 173.71.

Journal Name

This work was supported by the Indiana University Office of Vice President for Research and the National Science Foundation by grant CHE-1362127. Support for the acquisition of the Bruker Venture D8 diffractometer through the Major Scientific Research Equipment Fund from the President of Indiana University is gratefully acknowledged.

Conflicts of interest

COMMUNICATION

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) A. J. Morris, G. J. Meyer, E. Fujita, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1983; (b) J. Qiao, Y. Liu, F. Hong, J. Zhang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 631; (c) S. Drouet, M. Robert, C. Costentin, J.-M. Savéant, Science, 2012, 338, 90; (d) B. Schille, M. Roemelt, R. Francke, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 4631; (e) Y. Y. Birdja, E. Pérez-Gallent, M. C. Figueiredo, A. J. Göttle, F. Calle-Vallejo, M. T. M. Koper, Nat. Energy, 2019, 4, 732; (f) S. Nitopi, E. Bertheussen, S. B. Scott, X. Liu, A. K. Engstfeld, S. Horch, B. Seger, I. E. L. Stephens, K. Chan, C. Hahn, J. K. Nørskov, T. F. Jaramillo, I. Chorkendorff, Chem. Rev., 2019, 119, 7610.
- 2 (a) M. Duca, M. T. M. Koper, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 9726; (b) R. Rosenberg, R. J. Diaz, *Science*, 2008, 321, 926; (c) W. W. Bouska, J. L. Eitzmann, T. J. Pilger, K. L. Pitts, A. J. Riley, J. T. Schloesser, D. J. Thornbrugh, W. K. Dodds, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2009, 43, 12; (d) M. A. Sutton, J. Galloway, Z. Klimont, W. Winiwarter and J. W. Erisman, *Nat. Geosci.*, 2008, 1, 636; (e) J. N. Galloway, J. D. Aber, J. W. Erisman, S. P. Seitzinger, R. W. Howarth, E. B. Cowling and B. J. Cosby, *BioScience*, 2003, 53, 341.
- 3 (a) J. Sá, C. A. Agüera, S. Gross, J. A. Anderson, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2009, **85**, 192; (b) M. Shand, J. A. Anderson, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **3**, 879; (c) T. Yang, K. Hristovski, P. Westerhoff, K. Doudrick, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2013, **136**, 40.
- 4 (a) J. Shen, Y. Y. Birdja, M. T. M. Koper, *Langmuir*, 2015, 31, 8495; (b) M. Lanzarini-Lopes, K. Hristovski, P. Westerhoff, S. Garcia-Segura, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2018, 236, 546; (c) S. Xu, D. C. Ashley, H.-Y. Kwon, G. R. Ware, C.-H. Chen, Y. Losovyj, X. Gao, E. Jakubikova, J. M. Smith, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, 9, 4950; (d) J.-X. Liu, D. Richards, N. Singh, B. R. Goldsmith, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, 9, 7052.
- 5 (a) J. C. Fanning, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **199**, 159; (b) C. L. Ford, Y. J. Park, E. M. Matson, Z. Gordon, A. R. Fout, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 741; (c) P. L. Damon, G. Wu, N. Kaltsoyannis, T. W. Hayton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 12743; (d) M. Delgado and J. D. Gilbertson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 11249; (e) J. Gwak, S. Ahn, M.-H. Baik and Y. Lee, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 4767; (f) J. Seo, A. C. Cabelof, C.-H. Chen, K. G. Caulton, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 475; (g) D. M. Beagan, V. Carta, K. G. Caulton, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 1681.
- 6 (a) H. Tsurugi, H. Tanahashi, H. Nishiyama, W. Fegler, T. Saito,
 A. Sauer, J. Okuda, K. Mashima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135,
 5986; (b) T. Saito, H. Nishiyama, H. Tanahashi, K. Kawakita, H.
 Tsurugi, K. Mashima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 5161; (c)
 H. Tsurugi, K. Mashima, Acc. Chem. Res., 2019, 52, 769.
- 7 (a) A. Bhattacharjee, H. Hosoya, H. Ikeda, K. Nishi, H. Tsurugi,
 K. Mashima, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 11278; (b) D. M. Beagan,
 I. J. Huerfano, A. V. Polezhaev, K. G. Caulton, *Chem. Eur. J.*,
 2019, 25, 8105.
- 8 A. C. Cabelof, V. Carta, C.-H. Chen, K. G. Caulton, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 7891.
- 9 (a) D. Ma, L. Duan, Y. Wei, L. He, L. Wang, Y. Qiu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 530; (b) D. Sykes, S. C. Parker, I. V.

Sazanovich, A. Stephenson, J. A. Weinstein, M. D. Ward, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 10500; (*c*) Y. Liu, P. Zhang, X. Fang, G. Wu, S. Chen, Z. Zhang, H. Chao, W. Tan, L. Xu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 4777.

- 10 (a) B. A. Leita, B. Moubaraki, K. S. Murray, J. P. Smith, J. D. Cashion, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 156; (b) B. A. Leita, B. Moubaraki, K. S. Murray, J. P. Smith, *Polyhedron*, 2005, 24, 2165-2172; (c) S.-L. Zhang, X.-H. Zhao, Y.-M. Wang, D. Shao, X.-Y. Wang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 9682.
- (a) L. F. Jones, K. D. Camm, C. A. Kilner, M. A. Halcrow, *CrystEngComm.*, 2006, 8, 719; (b) J. Pons, A. Chadghan, J. Casabó, A. Alvarez-Larena, J. F. Piniella, X. Solans, M. Font-Bardia, J. Ros, *Polyhedron*, 2001, 20, 1029.
- (a) P. J. Zinn, D. R. Powell, V. W. Day, M. P. Hendrich, T. N. Sorrell, A. S. Borovik, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 3484; (b) A. Prokofieva, A. I. Prikhod'ko, E. A. Enyedy, E. Farkas, W. Maringgele, S. Demeshko, S. Dechert, F. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 4298; (c) V. Colombo, S. Galli, H. J. Choi, G. D. Han, A. Maspero, G. Palmisano, N. Masciocchi, J. R. Long, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 1311; (d) Y. Arikawa, T. Asayama, Y. Moriguchi, S. Agari, M. Onishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 14160.
- 13 E. Ferretti, S. Dechert, S. Demeshko, M. C. Holthausen, F. Meyer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 1705.
- 14 S. Kuwata, T. Ikariya, Chem. Eur. J., 2011, 17, 3542.
- 15 $τ_4 = (360 β α)/141$, refer to L. Yang, D. R. Powell, R. P. Houser, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, **9**, 955.