ChemComm

Remarkably Enhanced Proton Conduction of {NBu2(CH2COOH)2}[MnCr(ox)3] by Multiplication of Carboxyl Carrier in the Cation

COMMUNICATION

Remarkably Enhanced Proton Conduction of {NBu2(CH2COOH)2}[MnCr(ox)3] by Multiplication of Carboxyl Carrier in the Cation

Accepted 00th January 20xx DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 20xx,

Hisashi Ōkawa, $*^{a,b}$ Kazuya Otsubo, $*^a$ Yukihiro Yoshida a and Hiroshi Kitagawa $*^{\hat{a}}$

The proton conduction of {NBu² (CH2COOH)² }[MnCr(ox)³] (*dic***-***MnCr***) is studied in comparison with its analogous {NBu³ (CH2COOH)}[MnCr(ox)³] (***moc***-***MnCr***). The proton conductivity is enhanced remarkably by the multiplication of the carboxyl carrier in the cation, from 5.2×10–⁷ Scm–¹ at 90% RH (25 °C) in** *moc***-***MnCr* **to 1.8×10–³ Scm–¹ at 95% RH (25 °C) in** *dic***-***MnCr***.**

Studies of proton-conductive materials have come into the limelight for their potential application to the solid-state electrochemical devices. 1 Most recent works are based on metal-organic frameworks $(MOFs)^2$ because MOFs enable the rational design of proton transfer pathways in their frameworks. Various approaches have been employed to generate proton conduction in MOFs. Generally adopted is the incorporation of proton-carrier groups like hydroxy, 3 carboxyl, 4^{-8} phosphono 9^{-11} or sulfo 12^{-15} groups in the framework of MOFs by assembling metal ions and organic sources functionalized with these carrier groups. We have adopted another approach based on the bimetallic MOFs: $(A)[M_b^{^\text{II}}M_a^{^\text{II}]}(\text{ox})_3].$ ^{16–18} They have the layer constitution of the honeycomb-based bimetallic sheets and the intercalation of the A^* cations between the sheets. Proton transfer pathway is formed between the bimetallic sheets by introducing proton carrier(s) into the cationic component. This approach has the advantage of evaluating the proton conduction for various

cations while maintaining the layered network of the MOFs. In

Fig. 1 The dissymmetric sheet of moc-MnCr (left) with the carboxy-face and the Bu₃-stratum and that of **dic-MnCr** (right) with the carboxy-face and the AcBu₂-stratum. The AcBu₂-stratum of *dic-MnCr* is drawn based on the powder X-ray diffraction analysis (see ESI). Manganese, chromium, carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms are drawn in yellow, green, grey, blue and red,

this work, we report the proton conduction of {NBu² (CH2COOH)² }[MnCr(ox)³] (*dic*-*MnCr*) in comparison with {NBu³ (CH2COOH)}[MnCr(ox)³] (*moc*-*MnCr*), to examine the carboxyl multiplication effect upon proton conduction. The preparation of *dic*-*MnCr* is given in Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI).

^a Division of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan. Email: okawa134@outlook.jp, kazuya@kuchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp,

kitagawa@kuchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp

^b Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan.

⁺Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details, powder X-ray diffraction, FTIR, TG and Nyquist plots. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Fig. 2 Relative humidity dependences of the proton conductivity of *dic-MnCr* (circles) and *moc-MnCr*¹⁷ (triangles) at 25 °C. Due to sample stability at highly humidified condition, the conductivity plots for *moc-MnCr* are shown up to 90% RH.

Fig. 3 The water adsorption isotherms of dic-MnCr (circles) and *moc-MnCr*¹⁷ (triangles) at 25 °C.

 The structure of *moc*-*MnCr* was reported previously.¹⁷ The structural characteristics relevant to the present discussion are shortly mentioned below. In the crystal, $\{NBu_3(CH_2COOH)\}^+$ ions are aligned in one direction with the insertion of the carboxyl group into the honeycomb cavity and the orientation of the NBu₃ residue in the interlayer space (Fig. 1, left). The bimetallic sheet is dissymmetric with respect to two faces. One face is characterized by the carboxyl OH groups protruding out of the cavities. The face is defined as the "*carboxy-face*". The other face is covered by the stratum of *n*-butyl groups (Bu₃stratum) from the NBu₃ residues. The proton transfer occurs through the interlayer space between the *carboxy-face* of one sheet and the *Bu*₃-stratum of the adjacent sheet. A similar layer structure is supposed for *dic*-*MnCr* because of the

resemblance of the powder X-ray diffraction pattern with that of *moc*-*MnCr*¹⁷ (see Figs. S1 and S2 in ESI). Fourier transform infrared spectrum also supported the formation of the MnCr bimetallic layer structure as shown in Fig. S3 in ESI. The sheet of *dic*-*MnCr* has the *carboxy face* on one side and the stratum of the -N(CH₂COOH)Bu₂ residues (AcBu₂-stratum) on the other side (Fig. 1, right). The AcBu₂-stratum has some hydrophilic character in contrast to the hydrophobic nature of the Bu₃*stratum* in *moc*-*MnCr*. The *AcBu*² -*stratum* is probably disordered with respect to the conformation of the $NACBu_{2}$ residues unless otherwise restricted. In Fig. 1, the disordered feature of the *AcBu*₂-stratum is shown based on the powder Xray diffraction analysis (see ESI). Similar to *moc*-*MnCr*, ¹⁷ *dic*-*MnCr* is stable up to 300 °C as confirmed by thermogravimetric analysis (see Fig. S4 in ESI).

 The proton conductivity vs. relative humidity (RH) profile of *dic*-*MnCr* at 25 °C is shown in Fig. 2 along with the profile of *moc*-*MnCr* for comparison. The proton conductivity of *moc*-*MnCr* is virtually invariant with \sim 1.1×10⁻¹¹ Scm⁻¹ in the 45–60% RH range and then increases to a moderate conductivity of 5.2×10^{-7} Scm⁻¹ at 90% RH. On the other hand, the conductivity of *dic-MnCr* increases from 5.3×10⁻¹² Scm⁻¹ at 40% RH to a high value of 1.8×10^{-3} Scm⁻¹ at 95% RH. Obviously the $AcBu_2$ *stratum* is involved in the proton conduction under humidification conditions. Selected Nyquist plots of *dic*-*MnCr* are also shown in Figs. S5–S9 in ESI. The water adsorption isotherm of *dic*-*MnCr* is given in Fig. 3. Contrary to virtually no water adsorption in case of *moc*-*MnCr*, 17b the water uptake in *dic*-*MnCr* increases to one molecule at ~70% RH and then runs up to ca. 5 molecules at 95% RH. The Grotthuss mechanism¹⁹ and the Vehicle mechanism²⁰ are proposed to explain the proton transfer in solid compounds. The Grotthuss mechanism refers to the proton mediation by infinite network of hydrogen bonds while the Vehicle mechanism refers to the transfer of H₃O⁺ through space. In the water adsorption isotherm of *dic*-*MnCr*, the saturation tendency above 90% RH is particularly noteworthy. This can be regarded as the completion of hydrogen-bonded network between the *carboxy-face* and the *AcBu*² -*stratum*. It is well known that the activation energy of proton transfer (*E*^a) is informative to inspect the proton conduction mechanism. In general, the *E*^a is lower than 0.5 eV for the Grotthuss mechanism whereas higher than 0.5 eV for the Vehicle mechanism. 21 To examine the proton transfer in *dic*-*MnCr* in regard to the water adsorption process, the activation energies were evaluated at different RHs by the Arrhenius plots of the proton conductivities (Fig. 4). Judging from the RH dependence of *E*^a , the proton conducting mechanism in *dic*-*MnCr* is similar to that of the Vehicle mechanism below 80% RH, whereas it is likely that the Grotthuss mechanism is dominant above 90% RH. Note that *dic*-*MnCr* shows unique RH sensitive *E*^a values that straddle the energy range between Vehicle- and Grotthuss-type proton conducting mechanisms.²²

 In Fig. 4, the activation energies below 80% RH vary significantly depending upon the RH investigated. This fact implies that the degree of conformational disorder of $AcBu$ ₂*stratum* is dependent on RH to influence the proton transfer through the interlayer space. Above 90% RH, the *carboxy-face* and the *AcBu*² -*stratum* work together in forming the hydrogen-bonded network. In the resulted network, the *AcBu*² -*stratum* must be ordered with the same conformation about the -NAcBu₂ residues. We suppose that the Vehicle \leftrightarrow Grotthuss interconversion is accompanied by the "disordered" (below 80% RH) \leftrightarrow "ordered" (above 90% RH) phase interchange in the *AcBu*₂-stratum. A sharp increase of water adsorption was observed at this humidity range (~ 80% RH, see Fig. 3). In addition, XRPD pattern of hydrated form also suggested the expansion of interlayer spacing and structural transition induced by water-vapour adsorption (see Fig. S10 in ESI). These results would support this assumption.

 In conclusion, the proton conduction of ${NBu_2}$ (CH₂COOH)₂}[MnCr(ox)₃] (*dic*-*MnCr*) is remarkably enhanced relative to {NBu₃(CH₂COOH)}[MnCr(ox)₃] (*moc*-*MnCr*) by the multiplication of the carboxyl carrier in the cation component. Studies on analogous MOFs composed of tri(carboxyl)- or tetra(carboxyl)-ammonium ions are underway.

 This work was supported by Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST) "Creation of Innovative Functions of Intelligent Materials on the Basis of the Element Strategy", ACCEL from Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20350030, JP23245012, JP15H05479, JP17H05366, JP19K05494 and JP19H04572 (Coordination Asymmetry). Synchrotron XRD measurements were supported by the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (JASRI) (Proposal No. 2017B1488).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) R. F. Service, *Science*, 2002, **296**, 1222; (b) M. Winter and R. J. Brodd, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 4245; (c) K. D. Kreuer, *Solid State Ionics*, 1997, **97**, 1; (d) S. M. Halle, D. A. Boysen, C. R. I. Chisholm and R. M. Merle, *Nature*, 2001, **410**, 910.
- 2 (a) P. Ramaswamy, N. E. Wong and G. H. K. Shimizu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 5913; (b) T. Yamada, K. Otsubo, R. Makiura and H. Kitagawa, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 6655; (c) S. Horike, D. Umeyama and S. Kitagawa, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2376; (d) M. Yoon, K. Suh, S. Natarajan and K. Kim, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 2688; (e) H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 1230444.
- 3 (a) M. Fujishima, S. Kanda, T. Mitani and H. Kitagawa, *Synth. Met.*, 2001, **119**, 485; (b) Y. Nagao, R. Ikeda, S. Kanda, Y. Kubozono and H. Kitagawa, *Mol. Cryst. Liquid Cryst.*, 2002, **379**, 89; (c) Y. Nagao, M. Fujishima, S. Kanda, R. Ikeda and H. Kitagawa, *Synth. Met.*, 2003, **133–134**, 431; (d) Y. Nagao, R. Ikeda, K. Iijima, T. Kubo, K. Nakasuji and H. Kitagawa, *Synth. Met.*, 2003, **135–136**, 283; (e) Y. Nagao, T. Kubo, K. Nakasuji,

R. Ikeda, T. Kojima and H. Kitagawa, *Synth. Met.*, 2005, **154**,

Fig. 4 Arrhenius plots of the proton conductivity for dic-MnCr at different RHs.

89.

- 4 (a) T. Kundu, S. C. Sahoo and R. Banerjee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 4998; (b) A. Mallick, T. Kundu and R. Banerjee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 8829; (c) T. Panda, T. Kundu and R. Banerjee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6197; (d) S. C. Sahoo, T. Kundu and R. Banerjee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 17950.
- 5 X. Y. Dong, X. P. Hu, H. C. Yao, S. Q. Zang, H. W. Hou and T. C. W. Mak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 12050.
- 6 M. Wei, X. Wang and X. Duan, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 1607.
- 7 B. Gil-Hernandez, S. Savvin, G. Makhloufi, P. Nunez, C. Janiak and J. Sachiz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 1597.
- 8 M. Zhu, Z. M. Hao, X. Z. Song, X. Meng, S. N. Zhao, S. Y. Song and H. J. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 1912.
- 9 J. M. Taylor, R. K. Mah, I. L. Moudrakovski, C. I. Ratcliffe, R. Vaidhyanathan and G. K. H. Shimizu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14055.
- 10 (a) R. M. P. Colodrero, K. E. Papathanasiou, N. Stavgianoudaki, P. Olivera-Pastor, E. R. Losilla, M. A. G. Aranda, L. Leon-Reina, J. Sanz, I. Sobrados, D. Choquesillo-Lazarte, J. M. García-Ruiz, P. Atienzar, F. Rey, K. D. Demadis and A. Cabeza, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 3780; (b) R. M. P. Colodrero, P. Olivera-Pastor, E. R. Losilla, M. A. G. Aranda, L. Leon-Reina, M. Papadaki, A. C. McKinlay, R. E. Morris, K. D. Demadis and A. Cabeza, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 4045; (c) R. M. P. Colodrero, P. Olivera-Pastor, E. R. Losilla, D. Hernandez-Alonso, M. A. G. Aranda, L. Leon-Reina, J. Rius, K. D. Demadis, B. Moreau, D. Villemin, M. Palomino, F. Rey and A. Cabeza, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 7689; (d) R. M. P. Colodrero, G. K. Angeli, M. Bazaga-Garcia, P. Olivera-Pastor, D. Villemin, E. R. Losilla, E. Q. Martos, G. B. Hix, M. A. G. Aranda, K. D. Demadis and A. Cabeza, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 8770.
- 11 (a) F. Costantino, A. Donnadio and M. Casciola, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 6992; (b) M. Taddei, A. Donnadio, F. Costantino, R. Vivani and M. Casciola, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 12131.
- 12 (a) J. A. Hurd, R. Vaidhyanathan, V. Thangaradurai, C. I. Ratcliffe, I. L. Moudrakovski and G. K. H. Shimizu, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 705; (b) R. S. Kim, K. W. Dawson, R. S. Gelfand, J. M. Taylor and G. K. H. Shimizu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 963.
- 13 P. Ramaswamy, R. Matsuda, W. Kosaka, G. Akiyama, H. J. Jeon and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 1144.
- 14 (a) X. Y. Dong, R. Wang, J. B. Li, S. Q. Zang, H. W. Hou and T. C. W. Mak, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10590; (b) X. Y. Dong, R. Wang, J. Z. Wang, S. Q. Zang and T. C. W. Mak, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2015, **3**, 641.
- 15 G. L. Zheng, G. C. Yang, S. Y. Song, X. Z. Song and H. J. Zhang, *CrystEngComm.*, 2014, **16**, 64.
- 16 H. Ōkawa, A. Shigematsu, M. Sadakiyo, T. Miyakawa, K. Yoneda, M. Ohba and H. Kitagawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 13516.
- 17 (a) M. Sadakiyo, H. Ōkawa, A. Shigematsu, M. Ohba, T. Yamada and H. Kitagawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 5472; (b) H. Ōkawa, M. Sadakiyo, T. Yamada, M. Maesato, M. Ohba and H. Kitagawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 2256.
- 18 H. Ōkawa, Y. Yoshida, K. Otsubo and H. Kitagawa, *Inorg. Chem.* 2020, **59**, 63.
- 19 (a) A. T. Howe and M. G. Shilton, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1980, **24**, 149; (b) L. Bernard, A. Fitch, A. F. Wright, B. E. F. Fender and A. T. Howe, *Solid State Ionics*, 1981, **5**, 459.
- 20 (a) K. D. Kreuer, A. Rabenau and W. Weppner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng.* 1982, **21**, 208; (b) M. Pham-Thi and Ph. Colomban, *Solid State Ionics*, 1985, **17**, 295; (c) K. D. Kreuer, *Chem. Mater.* 1996, **8**, 610.
- 21 K. D. Kreuer, *Solid State Ionics*, 2000, **136-137**, 149.
- 22 M. K. Sarango-Ramírez, D.-W. Lim, D. I. Kolokolov, A. E. Khudozhitkov, A. G. Stepanov and H. Kitagawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, in press (doi: 10.1021/jacs.0c00303).

 ${NBu_2(CH_2COOH)_2}[MnCr(\text{ox})_3]$ (*dic-MnCr*) shows significantly enhanced proton conduction (1.8× 10^{-3} Scm⁻¹ at 95% RH) relative to $\{NBu_3(CH_2COOH)\}[MnCr(\alpha x)_3]$ by the multiplication of the carboxyl carrier in the cation.

TOC image

ORCID information for Authors

Dr. Kazuya Otsubo: 0000-0003-4688-2822 Dr. Yukihiro Yoshida: 0000-0003-4630-9559 Prof. Hiroshi Kitagawa: 0000-0001-6955-3015