

Non-equilibrium organosilane plasma polymerization for modulating the surface of PTFE towards potential blood contact applications

Journal:	Journal of Materials Chemistry B
Manuscript ID	TB-ART-12-2019-002757.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	31-Jan-2020
Complete List of Authors:	VIJAYAN, VINEETH; University of Alabama at Birmingham Tucker, Bernabe; University of Alabama at Birmingham Bobba , Pratheek ; University of Alabama at Birmingham Hwang, Patrick; Endomimetics LLC Catledge, Shane; University of Alabama at Birmingham, Physics Vohra, Yogesh; University of Alabama at Birmingham, Director, UAB Center for Nanoscale Materials Jun, Ho-Wook; U of Alabama at Birmingham, Biomedical Engineering Thomas, Vinoy; University of Alabama at Birmingham,



Non-equilibrium organosilane plasma polymerization for modulating the surface of PTFE towards potential blood contact applications

Vineeth M. Vijayan^{a,b}, Bernabe S. Tucker^b, Patrick T.J. Hwang^d, Pratheek S. Bobba^c, Ho-Wook 3 Jun^c, Shane A. Catledge^a, Yogesh K. Vohra^a, Vinoy Thomas ^{a,b}* 4 ^aCenter for Nanoscale Materials and Biointegration, 5 6 ^bDepartment of Material Science and Engineering, 7 ^c Department of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, United States 8 ^d Endomimetics, LLC, Birmingham, Alabama, 35203, United States. 9 *Corresponding author (vthomas@uab.edu) 10 11 12

Abstract: We report a novel and facile organosilane plasma polymerization method designed to 13 improve the surface characteristics of poly(tetrafluoroethylene) (PTFE). We hypothesized that 14 the polymerized silane coating would provide an adhesive surface for endothelial cell 15 proliferation due to high amount of surface hydroxyl groups, while the large polymer networks 16 on the surface of PTFE would hinder platelet attachment. The plasma polymerized PTFE 17 surfaces were then systematically characterized via different analytical techniques such as FTIR, 18 XPS, XRD, Contact angle, and SEM. The key finding of these characterizations is the time-19 20 dependent deposition of an organosilane layer on the surface of PTFE. This layer was found to endow favorable surface properties to PTFE such as very high surface oxygen content, high 21 hydrophilicity and improved surface mechanics. Additionally, in vitro cellular studies were 22 23 conducted to determine the bio-interface properties of the plasma-treated and untreated PTFE. The important result of these experiments was rapid endothelial cell growth and decreased 24 platelet attachment on the plasma-treated PTFE compared to untreated PTFE. Thus, this new 25 surface modification technique could potentially address the current challenges associated with 26

27 PTFE for blood contact applications, specifically poor endothelial cell growth and risk of28 thrombosis.

29 **1. Introduction**

Surface modification is one of the widely used routes to augment biomaterials for appropriate 30 cell responses. Plasma treatment/polymerization is a facile surface modification technique for 31 polymers that has been employed for decades.^{1,2} The nondestructive and in-situ sterilization 32 capabilities of this technique makes it an attractive candidate for modifying the surface 33 properties of biomaterials without compromising bulk properties. Plasma, the fourth state of 34 matter, is composed of mixtures of ions, electrons, radicals, and neutral atoms/molecuales which 35 upon colliding on the surface of materials can rearrange or alter their surface chemistry.^{3,4} It can 36 introduce various surface functional groups such as amino, carboxyl and hydroxyl groups on the 37 surface.⁵ These functional groups can be further conjugated with various biomolecules, growth 38 factors or peptides for a variety of biomedical applications.^{6,7} Surface properties of biomaterials 39 40 are very critical in determining the proein/cellular responses which in turn will decide the success rate of the implant biomaterials inside the body. Chemical surface modification is 41 typically accomplished through performing certain surface reactions by wet chemistry.⁸ This 42 43 process is time consuming and can also lead some residual chemicals over the surface. This can affect the functional performance of the material inside the body. The absence of multiple 44 reagents that wet chemistry uses for surface functionalization, thus, makes plasma as a safe, 45 alternative method for surface modification of biomaterials.⁹ Plasma surface modification is a 46 simple and robust method, which can safely and reliably modify the surface properties of 47 biomaterials towards different biomedical applications. However, the plasma surface 48 modification of biomaterials is typically accomplished by using conventional feed gases such as 49

Journal of Materials Chemistry B

oxygen, ammonia, nitrogen and hydrogen.¹⁰⁻¹³ These gases can introduce different functional
groups such as carboxyl, amino and hydroxyl groups. However, these conventionally modified
surfaces are always subject to ageing (surface reorganization); thus, ageing hinders the long-term
ability to retain material properties associated with better cellular responses.^{14,15}

Plasma has an interesting capability to induce polymerization of volatile organic 54 55 monomers through a process called plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD); the polymers can be deposited over the surface of the substrate.^{16,17} The high energy species formed 56 as a result of this process causes a chain of reactions and, subsequently, causes the 57 58 polymerization of the reactive monomer. But, unlike conventional polymers, plasma based polymers are not well organized they have a random arrangement.¹⁸ Recently, plasma 59 polymerization has played a major role in tissue regeneration applications.¹⁹ Plasma 60 polymerization of reactive monomers can tailor the surface properties of polymeric biomaterials 61 to endow them with favorable cellular responses. More specific examples of such recent studies 62 are plasma polymerization of organic monomers like acrylic acid and allyl amine on polymeric 63 biomaterials.²⁰⁻²² Results of these studies suggest that these organic monomers when plasma 64 polymerized, endow the polymer biomaterials with better cell adhesion and proliferation 65 capabilities. More importantly the stability studies conducted on them, specifically on plasma 66 polymerized acrylic acid coatings, have exhibited high stability; suggesting their potential utility 67 for different biomedical applications.²³ Hence, plasma polymerizations of organic monomers 68 69 have a wide scope to tailor the surface properties of biomaterials for different biointerface applications. 70

PTFE is a fluoropolymer which is widely used as a vascular graft material.^{24,25} The
 chemically inert nature of PTFE makes it an ideal implantable material. Even though the large

diameter PTFE vascular grafts (≥6mm) have been reported as successful ²⁵, PTFE still has 73 serious issues with respect to the small diameter vascular grafts (≤4mm).²⁶ Some of the 74 important challenges associated with small diameter PTFE vascular grafts are thrombosis and 75 lack of endothelial cell growth. The hydrophobic nature of the PTFE makes it very difficult for 76 the endothelial cells to attach and grow to a confluent layer. Hence, it is essential to tailor the 77 78 surface properties of PTFE to meet the requirements of small diameter vascular grafts. One of the most important methods of modifying the surface properties of PTFE is plasma modification. 79 Different types of plasma processing are reported for modifying the surface properties of PTFE. 80 Most of them are oxygen plasma, ammonia plasma and hydrogen plasma processing.²⁷⁻²⁹ The 81 major drawback of these plasma surface modification routes is ageing (significant reduction in 82 functional groups with time).³⁰ Moreover, post-processing multistep conjugations with peptides 83 and antithrombotic agents are further needed to favor endothelial cell growth. Recently, there are 84 hybrid process (plasma modification and chemical modification) which are reported to tailor the 85 surface properties of PTFE for blood contact applications. ^{31,32} These processes utilized the 86 combination of oxygen plasma with dopamine surface functionalization for improving the 87 endothelial cell affinity and anti-thrombogenicity. However, these types of hybrid processes 88 requires multiple chemical reagents with several steps and they are time consuming. Hence, a 89 more efficient and facile method of surface modification of PTFE would be highly appreciated 90 for blood contact applications. Plasma polymerizations of organic monomers are never explored 91 92 to tailor the surface properties of PTFE for blood contact applications. Inspired from this idea, in the current study we explored the plasma polymerization of an organosilane precursor, more 93 specifically tertraethoxysilane (TEOS) to modify the surface properties of PTFE for blood 94 95 contact applications. We hypothesized that the plasma polymerization of TEOS will augment

favorable surface properties for PTFE towards potential blood contact applications. To best of
our knowledge there are no reports exploring the plasma polymerization capability of this
organosilane monomer for tailoring/modifying the surface properties of PTFE for blood contact
applications.

100 2. Materials and Methods

101 PTFE substrate used for the plasma modification (Laboratory grade PTFE sheets) was 102 purchased from Oil sleek company, USA. Harrick Plasma chamber (PDC-001-HP) used for the 103 plasma surface modification was purchased from Harrick Plasma, New York, USA. The reagents 104 used for the experiments such as tetraethoxysilane and acetone were purchased from Sigma 105 Aldrich.

106 2.1 Plasma polymerization of tertraethoxysilane on PTFE

The PTFE sheets were cut into 3 cm \times 1.2 cm (0.2mm thickness) pieces for plasma 107 treatment. Briefly, the samples were washed with acetone for 30 min. before the plasma 108 treatment to remove adsorbed impurities (if any) from the surface. The PTFE samples were then 109 placed inside a Harrick Plasma chamber (PDC-001-HP) and a radiofrequency (13.56 MHz, 45 110 W) were used for plasma treatment. The plasma polymerization process of TEOS was 111 accomplished by using a combination of TEOS-Air system inside the plasma chamber. Briefly, 1 112 mL of TEOS was placed on a glass slide adjacent to the PTFE samples inside the chamber, 113 followed by applying a constant Air flow rate of 50 SCCM inside the chamber. The reduced 114 pressure (500 mTorr inside the chamber) facilitates the formation of TEOS vapors. Different 115 plasma treatment times such as 10, 20 and 30 min were employed for optimizing the plasma 116

polymerization process. Herein they are referred to as PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 which
correspond to 10 min, 20 min, and 30 min respectively.

119 **2.2** Characterizations

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy 120 (XPS) were employed to elucidate the surface chemistry. The Bruker alpha FTIR spectrometer 121 with ATR mode was used to acquire IR-absorption spectrum (ranging from 4000 to 400 cm-1). 122 XPS spectra of plasma treated samples were obtained using a Phi 5000 Versaprobe made by Phi 123 Electronics, Inc. (Chanhassen, WI USA). The X-ray source of this instrument is a 124 monochromatic, focused, Al K-alpha source (E = 1486.6 eV) at 25 W with a 100 micrometer 125 spot size. The Mg anode (λ =1253.6 eV) was used at 300 W and a barium oxide neutralizer 126 127 eliminated charging. The survey scans (4 scans averaged per analysis) were obtained using pass energy of 187.5 eV with a step size of 0.5 eV. The high resolution scans (8 scans average per 128 analysis) were obtained with pass energy of 23.5 eV and a step size of 0.1 eV. 129

To measure the contact angle, the samples (n=3) and were mounted onto a glass slide. Contact angles were measured using the sessile drop method as reported previously at the room temperature.³³ The water droplet size was 5 μ L. Image J software was used to accurately measure the contact angle of the water droplets on the surface.

The x-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were performed on an Empyrean x-ray diffractometer (Malvern Panalytical, UK) equipped with a Cu LFF HR x-ray tube at 30 kV tension and 10 mA current. The spectrum was recorded for the range of 2θ from 10 to 100. The structure and morphology of the plasma treated and untreated control PTFE tapes were characterized scanning electron microscopy (SEM) after sputter-coated with Au-Pd and observed

using a FE-SEM (Quanta FEG 650 from FEI, Hillsboro, OR) and images were taken at differentmagnifications.

Hardness and Young's modulus were measured using an MTS NanoIndenter XP having a 141 Berkovich diamond tip with nominal radius of 50 nm. Tip calibration was tested on the fused 142 silica standard (accepted Young's modulus of 72 GPa) before and after testing all PTFE samples. 143 All indents, including those on silica, were made to a maximum load of 1.5 mN. The measured 144 Young's modulus and hardness values were determined at maximum load. Young's modulus of 145 the silica before and after testing the PTFE surfaces was 71.9 ± 1.0 GPa and 72.0 ± 3.0 GPa, 146 respectively. Therefore, moduli from the silica standard did not vary by more than 6%. 15 147 indents were made on each sample for statistical analysis. 148

149 **2.3 Cell culture condition**

Human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs) were purchased from Lonza, Inc and cultured in
Endothelial Growth Media (EGM-2 BulletKit; Lonza, Walkersville, MD). HAECs were grown
to 70%–80% confluence at normal cell culture conditions (37°C, 95% humidity, 5% CO2) before
being seeded onto PTFE sheets.

154 **2.4 MTS assay on PTFE sheets**

Samples were prepared by cutting PTFE sheets with varying durations of plasma treatment into circles with diameters of 6.4 mm and then sterilizing them with UV light for 3 hours. The sterile samples were then placed into a 96-well plate. 9,000 HAEC cells in 200 μ L of media were seeded onto each sheet and cultured in an incubator at 37 °C. After culture, an MTS [3-(4,5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)–5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)–2-(4sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium] assay (CellTiter 96 solution, Promega Co.) was performed to quantify HAEC proliferation on the sheets at 1, 3, and 5 days. HAEC proliferation was assessed on 5 PTFE sheets for each durationof plasma treatment.

163 **2.5 Live/Dead assay on PTFE sheets**

Samples were prepared by cutting the PTFE sheets into circles with diameters of 9.5 mm and then UV sterilizing them for 3 hours. The samples were then placed into 48-well plates and 25,000 HAEC cells in 400 μ L of media were seeded onto each sheet. The cells were cultured at 37 °C for 3 days. After 3 days of culture, viable cells on the sheets were stained by conducting a Live/Dead Viability assay (Molecular Probes Inc., OR). Stained cells were imaged using a Nikon fluorescent microscope and image J software.

170 **2.6 Human platelet adhesion on PTFE sheets**

Samples were prepared similarly to those of the LIVE/DEAD assay and placed in 48-well plates.
Platelets (Innovative Research, Inc.) were diluted with Tyrode's solution to a concentration of 6
x 10⁸ platelets/mL. Platelets were then seeded onto the sheets and allowed to incubate for 30
minutes. The sheets were then removed from the plate and washed with PBS to remove free
floating platelets. After staining the sheets with calcein AM solution, platelets were visualized
with a Nikon fluorescent microscope and ImageJ software.

177 **2.7 SEM Imaging for PTFE Sheets**

Samples were prepared similarly to those in the LIVE/DEAD assay and placed in 48-well plates. 25,000 HAEC cells in 400 μ L of media were seeded onto each sheet and the cells were cultured at 37 °C for 3 days. After culture, the cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde. The fixed samples

were dehydrated with ethanol. The PTFE sheets were imaged using a QuantaTM 650 FEG (FEICo.) with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

183 **2.8 Cytoskeletal staining**

- 184 Samples of material were prepared and cells were cultured as described for the live/dead 185 analysis. Post culture samples were washed with PBS (1X, 5 min); fixed with paraformaldehyde
- 186 (4%, 20 min); washed with additional PBS (1X, 5 min, with added Triton X-100 (0.1%).
- 187 Staining was conducted with 200 μ L of staining solution (PBS 1X, BSA 1%, DAPI 0.1 μ g/mL,
- Phalloidin-Rhodamine conjugate (Abcam, 1X conc.) under dark conditions for 40 min. Then, the
 samples were rinsed with PBS (1X, 5 min) and the cover slips were added before imaging with a
 Nikon fluorescent microscope and ImageJ to process the data.

191 **2.9 Statistical Analysis**

192 The number of specimens tested for each group was 5 (n=5). The obtained data in the present 193 study were tested for statistical significance using ANOVA method (Using the GraphPad Prism 194 software) and $p \le 0.05$ was defined as significant.

195 **3. Results and Discussion**

Plasma polymerization is a phenomenon in which vapors of an organic monomer undergo a series of chemical reactions in the plasma phase such as hydrolysis and condensation and get polymerized. TEOS is one such monomer which can undergo plasma polymerization via the hydrolysis and condensation reactions. The silica polymerization is usually accomplished via a sol-gel reaction in wet chemistry methods. However, plasma based polymerization doesn't requires the usage of any bases, solvents and high temperature. Hence, it is a far greener method

in comparison with the conventional sol-gel method. In the current work, we have employed the 202 plasma polymerization capability of TEOS to polymerize and modify the surface properties of 203 PTFE, which is a widely used vascular graft material. Air plasma was combined with the vapors 204 of TEOS to facilitate the necessary hydrolysis and condensation reactions to form a plasma 205 polymerized silane coating over the surface of PTFE (Scheme 1). To optimize our process of 206 207 surface modification of PTFE with the silane derivative, we have comprehensively assessed the influence of the polymerization time over the surface of PTFE. More specifically, we have used 208 different time intervals such as 10, 20 and 30 min of plasma polymerization of TEOS over PTFE. 209 210 These 3 different plasma polymerized PTFE batches are referred to as PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 respectively. FTIR spectral comparison of pristine PTFE with PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 211 and PTFE-t30 has clearly shown additional bands specifically at 3420 cm⁻¹ (attributed to the OH 212 stretching vibrations of the polymerized silane derivatives), 1068 cm⁻¹ (attributed to the Si-O 213 stretching vibrations of the polymerized silane derivatives) (Fig 1a). More specifically, PTFE-t10 214 and PTFE-t20 was exhibiting a clear peak emergence at the region of Si-O stretching vibrations. 215 This suggested the plasma polymerization of silane over the surface of PTFE. The pristine PTFE 216 only exhibited the characteristic stretching vibrations of -CF₂ at 1153 cm⁻¹ and 1210 cm⁻¹ and 217 rolling vibrations of -CF₂ groups at 635 cm⁻¹. This clearly supported our hypothesis that the 218 possible plasma polymerization of the TEOS occurred over the surface of PTFE. In order to 219 220 validate this point, as a control experiment we have also performed the surface modification of 221 PTFE using air (ambient atmosphere as feed gas) plasma alone at similar time points. We found that the FTIR spectrum was exhibiting similar bands for both pristine and air plasma modified 222 PTFE (Fig 1b). This clearly indicates that air plasma alone cannot impart any significant 223 224 functionalization on PTFE surface. Also, it was clear that when TEOS vapors were combined

with air plasma it has clearly resulted in the plasma assisted polymerization of TEOS and 225 subsequent deposition/modification of PTFE surfaces. Hence, taken together the FTIR spectral 226 data was clearly suggesting the possible plasma polymerization and further modification of the 227 silane derivative on PTFE. Further, we have employed another complementary technique to 228 FTIR specifically, Raman spectral analysis, of the PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30. Raman 229 230 spectra have shown similar bands for both pristine PTFE and PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces, interestingly, it was found that there was a clear peak emergence at 854 cm⁻¹ for the 231 PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 in comparison with the pristine PTFE. This peak at 854cm⁻¹ 232 can be assigned to hydrogen associated with silicon fluoride (H-Si-F) modes.³⁴ During the 233 process of plasma polymerization of silane, the surface of the fluorinated polymer PTFE can get 234 attached with the silicon and hydrogen atoms of the silane precursor (TEOS) to form H-Si-F type 235 linkages on the surface. This process is expected to be time dependent; hence the corresponding 236 peak also emerged more predominantly with respect to plasma polymerization time. (Fig1c). 237 New additional peaks were also present at 2899, 2932 and 2980 cm⁻¹(attributed to the -CH 238 stretching vibrations) for the PTFE-t20 in comparison with pristine PTFE (Fig 1d). It was worthy 239 to note that PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 were showing the most predominantly emerged additional 240 241 peaks at this region. Hence, together both the FTIR and Raman spectra suggested the successful silane plasma polymerization process on PTFE. Further, we studied the surface chemistry 242 changes taking place during the plasma polymerization process using the XPS. The XPS spectra 243 244 of the PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces have clearly shown the presence of silica and surface oxygen (Fig 2a). The oxygen and silica atomic percentages over the surface have 245 depicted a time dependent behavior with respect to the plasma polymerization time. More 246 247 specifically, the amount of both oxygen and silica increased when the polymerization time was

increased from 10 to 20 min and afterwards it started decreasing at 30 minutes (Table S1). This 248 can be attributed to the fact that the plasma polymerization process of TEOS at short time scale 249 progresses well, and after reaching a point it get saturated, then reaches equilibrium. This is 250 followed by the phenomenon of surface etching that can reduce the polymerization products 251 through ablation. The control experimental set (air plasma modified PTFE surfaces) has not 252 253 shown any significant changes in the elemental composition or the presence of silica or higher oxygen content with respect to the pristine PTFE (Fig 2b). The high resolution C1s spectrum of 254 pristine PTFE has shown two important peaks at 291 eV (attributed to the C-F bonds) and 284 255 256 eV (attributed to the C-C bonds present in the surface) (Fig 2c). Interestingly, the PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 were clearly exhibiting an increased percentage of the C-C bonds with 257 respect to time. More specifically, it was seen that PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 were showing 258 259 increase in C-C bond percentage while for PTFE-t30 it was found to decrease. Thus, XPS spectra were also supporting the successful silane plasma polymerization process over PTFE. Further, 260 we have studied the XPS surface chemical mapping on PTFE, PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-261 t30. The chemical mapping of pristine PTFE has shown the presence of carbon and Fluorine 262 only on the surface. The surface mapping of PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 has clearly 263 shown the additional presence of oxygen (from TEOS) and silica (from TEOS) (Fig 3). Hence, 264 the surface chemical mapping has strongly suggested the plasma polymerization and subsequent 265 deposition of a silane layer on PTFE surface. Further, we have systematically evaluated the water 266 267 contact angle on PTFE, PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30. There was a drastic reduction in the water contact angle of the PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces in comparison with the 268 pristine PTFE (Fig 4a). More specifically, with water contact angle of $102^{\circ} \pm 1.23$ (for pristine 269 270 PTFE), 25°±1.54 (for PTFE-t10), 61°±1.76 (for PTFE-t20) and 64°±2.01 (for PTFE-t30). The

wettability measurements suggested that PTFE-t10 produced the most hydrophilic surface 271 modification. The observed high hydrophilicity of the PTFE-t10 may be attributed to the 272 presence of multiple numbers of surface hydroxyl groups that are generated through the plasma 273 polymerization process. However, after reaching saturation, the surface etching phenomenon 274 predominates the surface coating process, this may be increase the surface roughness and thereby 275 increasing the hydrophobic behavior at longer plasma exposure times. XRD analysis was 276 performed to study the effect of plasma polymerization on the crystalline behavior of PTFE. It 277 was found that both pristine PTFE and PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 exhibited highly 278 279 crystalline behavior with narrow peak at 20 18.018° (100 plane) (Fig 4b). However, up on close looking this characteristics peak, it was found that the peak position slowly increased for the 280 PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 (18.084° &18.17°) (Fig 4c). The PTFE-t30 has shown a slight decrease 281 in the peak position to that of pristine PTFE, 17.87°. This observed trend can be attributed to the 282 fact that the plasma polymerization/deposition can induce strain in the crystal lattice during the 283 silane coating process. This may be the reason for the observed peak shift from the pristine 284 PTFE. However, for the PTFE-t30 the surface etching become more predominant and the strain 285 in the lattice offered by the polymerized layer may have got decreased rapidly, this may be the 286 287 reason for the observed peak shift towards lower values. We hypothesized that the plasma polymerization of the silane precursor and subsequent coating will reduce the surface roughness 288 of PTFE and will make the surface more smooth making it favorable for endothelial cell 289 290 adhesion and proliferation. In order to validate this hypothesis, we have employed the scanning electron microscopy evaluation of the surface features on PTFE, PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and 291 PTFE-t30. It was found that the pristine PTFE were having irregular surface topography with 292 293 high irregularities and roughness. The PTFE-t10, and PTFE-t20 surfaces were clearly exhibiting

smoother surface topography with much less surface irregularities and roughness (Fig 5a). 294 During longer plasma exposure time, along with the deposition of the polymerized layer, the 295 process of surface etching from the plasma becomes predominant. This was clearly visualized for 296 PTFE-t30 where the surface etching of the plasma polymerized layer and the etched coating can 297 be clearly visualized on the surface. This observation was consistent with respect to XPS results, 298 299 which was showing that this process of plasma polymerization after reaching a threshold for PTFE-t20 got reduced significantly and destabilizes or surface etching happens for PTFE-t20, 300 suggesting the possible surface etching phenomenon. The plasma polymerized surface coating 301 302 was clearly visualized by comparing two different regions in PTFE (one with coating and the other one without any plasma coating). It was seen that the region which was exposed for plasma 303 polymerization was clearly exhibited surface coating in compared to the region unexposed to 304 plasma polymerization (Fig S2). The inferences drawn from the SEM imaging was further 305 supported by the 3D laser scanning confocal microscope (Fig 5b). It was seen that for PTFE-t30, 306 the surface was clearly having significant height differences which indicates potential surface 307 etching taking place. Taken together, the SEM and laser scanning microscopy were showing that 308 plasma polymerization has resulted in the deposition of a silane polymerized layer over PTFE. It 309 310 was also found that this modification is more stable for PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 in comparison with PTFE-t30. The surface mechanical properties of PTFE are relevant to consider for various 311 biomedical applications. Hence, we have compared the surface mechanics on PTFE-t10, PTFE-312 313 t20 and PTFE-t30 at different time points through nanoindentation studies (Fig 6a). The surface modulus and hardness exhibited by the pristine PTFE sheet was consistent with respect to the 314 previously reported values for PTFE.³⁵ Furthermore, the nanoindentation studies indicate that the 315 316 PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30, have increased Young's modulus and hardness in comparison with

pristine PTFE and PTFE-T10. The load/displacement curves (Fig 6a) confirm the plastic depth of 317 indentation to decrease significantly from as high as 57% for the pristine PTFE and PTFE-T10 318 samples to as low as 34% for the PTFE-T30 sample. The modulus and hardness results are 319 summarized in Fig 6b and 6c and in Table S3. Hence, it was clear that this plasma polymerized 320 silane coating not only contributed in making hydrophilic PTFE surface but also improved the 321 surface mechanical properties of the PTFE, depending on the polymerization time. It is 322 noteworthy that PTFE and PTFE-T10 have exhibited no significant change in elastic modulus or 323 hardness due to thin-layer surface polymerization. This could be beneficial for their use towards 324 325 cardiovascular applications where there is need for flexibility of a vascular graft with systolic and diastolic pressures. 326

Thrombosis and poor endothelial cell attachment are one of the major drawbacks for 327 PTFE towards blood contact applications. We hypothesized that our modified surfaces with both 328 329 high surface oxygen content and high hydrophilicity could address these existing challenges. In order to validate this hypothesis, we studied endothelial cell behaviors on PTFE, PTFE-t10, 330 PTFE-t20. First, we conducted live/dead cell assay and the PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 exhibited 331 higher number of live endothelial cells than the pristine PTFE at 3 days (Fig 7a). Next, to obtain 332 quantitative information on the proliferation of the endothelial cells on the plasma polymerized 333 PTFE surfaces, we performed the MTS assay for different time points such as 1,3 and 5 days 334 (Fig 7b). The PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 showed significantly higher endothelial cell proliferation 335 than the pristine PTFE group at 3 and 5 days. Platelet adhesion studies were further done to 336 assess the thrombogenicity of the plasma polymerized PTFE surfaces. Interestingly, the PTFE-337 t10 and PTFE-t20 have shown significantly less adhesion of platelets compared to pristine PTFE 338 surfaces (Fig7c). Thus the platelet adhesion studies were suggesting the potential non 339

thrombogenicity of the PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 surface. Albumin is the most abundant protein 340 in the blood plasma which when adsorbed on the surface of vascular prosthesis found to reduce 341 the nonspecific protein adsorption cascades and reduce the thrombosis.³⁶ Hence, we have 342 performed a preliminary qualitative albumin adsorption study on PTFE-t10. More specifically 343 FITC tagged bovine serum albumin (BSA) protein adsorption studies were done to compare the 344 albumin adsorption between pristine PTFE and PTFE-t10. Very bright fluorescence was 345 observed from PTFE-t10 in comparison with pristine PTFE surfaces (Fig S4a). This was clearly 346 suggesting the higher albumin adsorption on PTFE-t10. This may be the reason for the observed 347 low platelet adhesion of the PTFE-t10 in comparison with the pristine PTFE surfaces. The 348 observed higher BSA adsorption also supported the higher endothelial cell proliferation on 349 PTFE-t10. Polymers like polyethylene glycol (PEG) were found to exhibit similar antifouling 350 properties due to a number of factors such as steric effect, hydration and chain mobility.³⁷ We 351 hypothesize that the observed trend of low platelet adhesion on PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 surfaces 352 can be also attributed to the similar effects which can be offered by the random silane polymer 353 chains such as steric effect, hydration (due to very high number of surface hydroxyl groups) and 354 random polymer chains (which is hallmark of plasma polymerization process). 355

SEM imaging of the fixed endothelial cells on PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 and pristine PTFE surface also showed a drastic difference in their morphology. Interestingly, the 10 min plasma polymerized PTFE surface has clearly showed more spreaded endothelial cells with formation of pseudopods when compared to the pristine PTFE (Fig S4b). We also observed a similar trend of cell adhesion through the phalloidin cytoskeleton staining. The plasma polymerized PTFE surfaces exhibited cell sprouting and the images showed extended cytoskeleton when compared to the pristine PTFE (Fig 8). The observed higher endothelial proliferation and cytoskeleton

spreading on PTFE-t10, PTFE-t20 can be attributed to a number of factors such as very high 363 surface oxygen content, high hydrophilicity and a smooth surface (offered by the coating). Taken 364 together, the endothelial cell proliferation and platelet adhesion studies suggested that the PTFE-365 t10, PTFE-t20 surfaces were found to exhibit good endothelial cell adhesion with low platelet 366 adhesion. The observed phenomenon of low platelet adhesion and higher endothelial cell 367 368 proliferation was consistent with the previously reported chondroitin sulfate coating on PET surfaces for vascular implants.³⁸ This study attributed the higher endothelial cell adhesion to the 369 presence of negatively charged surface chondroitin sulfate coating which can selectively adsorb 370 371 fetal bovine serum (FBS) proteins or growth factors. We hypothesize that in our present plasma polymerized silane coating having very high number of surface hydroxyl groups can bind 372 selectively to FBS proteins similar to that of the chondroitin sulfate coating that can facilitate 373 more endothelialization. 374

375 **4. Conclusions**

376 In conclusion, we report a new facile organosilane plasma polymerization method to tailor the surface properties of PTFE. We hypothesized that the plasma polymerization of silane can 377 augment the PTFE surface properties with characteristics such as high hydrophilicity, high 378 surface oxygen content and improved endothelial cell adhesion. This hypothesis was clearly 379 justified by the material and biological characterization performed on the plasma modified PTFE 380 surfaces. The results of different techniques such as FTIR, XPS, XRD and SEM have clearly 381 proved the successful plasma polymerization and subsequent coating of hydrophilic thin layer on 382 the surface of PTFE. The endothelial cell proliferation and platelet adhesion studies have shown 383 384 that the plasma polymerized PTFE surfaces favored good endothelial cell adhesion with minimal platelet adhesion. More importantly, the reported method was a facile single step surface 385

386 modification technique which doesn't require any further post modification steps to augment 387 PTFE surfaces with better endothelial cell adhesion and reduced platelet adhesion. Taken 388 together, these results suggest the potential of this methodology towards potential blood contact 389 applications.

390 5. Acknowledgments

This work was supported by funding through NSF EPSCoR RII-Track-1 Cooperative Agreement 391 OIA-1655280. We also acknowledge the NSF Major Research Instrumentation (MRI) Grant No. 392 DMR-1725016 for XRD facility. HWJ and PTH acknowledge the partial support from NIH 393 1R01HL125391-01 and NIH 2R44DK109789-02. BT acknowledges the fellowship from 394 Department of Education GAANN Grant No. P200A180001. Any statement, opinion, 395 396 recommendation, or conclusions shared are those only of the authors and do not necessary relay the official positions of the National Science Foundation or the US Department of Education. 397 V.M.V. expresses special thanks to Dr Paul Baker for assistance in XPS and XRD analysis. 398

399 6. References

- 400 1 C. Oehr, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. At.*, 2003, 208, 40–
 401 47.
- 402 2 D. L. Elbert and J. A. Hubbell, Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci., 1996, 26, 365–394.
- 403 3 P. Chu, Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep., 2002, 36, 143–206.
- 404 4 R. N. S. Sodhi, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., 1996, 81, 269–284.
- 5 R. Landgraf, M.-K. Kaiser, J. Posseckardt, B. Adolphi and W.-J. Fischer, *Procedia Chem.*,
 2009, 1, 1015–1018.

- 407 6 B. R. Coad, T. Scholz, K. Vasilev, J. D. Hayball, R. D. Short and H. J. Griesser, *ACS Appl.*408 *Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, 4, 2455–2463.
- 409 7 K. S. Siow, L. Britcher, S. Kumar and H. J. Griesser, *Plasma Process. Polym.*, 2006, 3, 392–
 410 418.
- 411 8 H. S. Sundaram, X. Han, A. K. Nowinski, N. D. Brault, Y. Li, J.-R. Ella-Menye, K. A.
- 412 Amoaka, K. E. Cook, P. Marek, K. Senecal and S. Jiang, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 1,
 413 1400071.
- 414 9 A. A. John, A. P. Subramanian, M. V. Vellayappan, A. Balaji, S. K. Jaganathan, H. Mohandas,
- T. Paramalinggam, E. Supriyanto and M. Yusof, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 39232–39244.
- 416 10 A. Vesel and M. Mozetic, *Vacuum*, 2012, **86**, 634–637.
- 417 11 P. Chevallier, M. Castonguay, S. Turgeon, N. Dubrulle, D. Mantovani, P. H. McBreen, J.-C.
 418 Wittmann and G. Laroche, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 12490–12497.
- 419 12 S. B. Idage and S. Badrinarayanan, *Langmuir*, 1998, 14, 2780–2785.
- 13 N. Inagaki, S. Tasaka, K. Narushima and K. Teranishi, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2002, 83, 340–
 348.
- 422 14 J. Nakamatsu, L. F. Delgado-Aparicio, R. Da Silva and F. Soberon, *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.*,
 423 1999, 13, 753–761.
- 424 15 M. Modic, I. Junkar, A. Vesel and M. Mozetic, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2012, 213, 98–104.
- 16 M. C. Vasudev, K. D. Anderson, T. J. Bunning, V. V. Tsukruk and R. R. Naik, ACS Appl.
 Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 3983–3994.
- 427 17 F. Khelifa, S. Ershov, Y. Habibi, R. Snyders and P. Dubois, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, 116, 3975–
 428 4005.

- 18 A. Michelmore, J. D. Whittle, R. D. Short, R. W. Boswell and C. Charles, *Plasma Process*. *Polym.*, 2014, 11, 833–841.
- 431 19 G. Aziz, R. Ghobeira, R. Morent and N. D. Geyter, in *Recent Research in Polymerization*, ed.
- 432 N. Cankaya, InTech, 2018.
- 20 P. Cools, C. Mota, I. Lorenzo-Moldero, R. Ghobeira, N. De Geyter, L. Moroni and R.
 Morent, *Sci. Rep.*, DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-22301-0.
- 435 21 R. Bitar, P. Cools, N. De Geyter and R. Morent, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **448**, 168–185.
- 436 22 X. Liu, Q. Feng, A. Bachhuka and K. Vasilev, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 6, 9733–
 437 9741.
- 438 23 P. Cools, H. Declercq, N. De Geyter and R. Morent, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **432**, 214–223.
- 439 24 S. Roll, J. Müller-Nordhorn, T. Keil, H. Scholz, D. Eidt, W. Greiner and S. N. Willich, *BMC*440 *Surg.*, DOI:10.1186/1471-2482-8-22.
- 441 25 E. A. Santiago-Delpin and T. Aviles, *Am. J. Surg.*, 1980, **140**, 315–319.
- 442 26 A. G. Nordestgaard, J. A. Buckels and S. E. Wilson, Br. J. Exp. Pathol., 1986, 67, 839–849.
- 443 27 S. Zanini, R. Barni, R. D. Pergola and C. Riccardi, J. Phys. Appl. Phys., 2014, 47, 325202.
- 444 28 S. D. Pringle, V. S. Joss and C. Jones, Surf. Interface Anal., 1996, 24, 821–829.
- 445 29. N. Inagaki, S. Tasaka and T. Umehara, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 1999, 71, 2191–2200.
- 30. J. Nakamatsu, L. F. Delgado-Aparicio, R. Da Silva and F. Soberon, *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.*,
 1999, 13, 753–761.
- 448 31. H.-Y. Mi, X. Jing, J. A. Thomsom and L.-S. Turng, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2018, 6, 3475–3485.
- 449 32. B. Cheng, Y. Inoue and K. Ishihara, *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*, 2019, **173**, 77–84.
- 33. B. S. Tucker, P. A. Baker, K. G. Xu, Y. K. Vohra and V. Thomas, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A*,
 2018, 36, 04F404.

- 452 34. P. G. Spizzirri, J.-H. Fang, S. Rubanov, E. Gauja and S. Prawer, 2010 (arXiv:1002.2692).
- 35. I. C. Halalay, M. J. Lukitsch, M. P. Balogh and C. A. Wong, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, 238, 469–477.
- 455 36. B. Sivaraman and R. A. Latour, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**, 1036–1044.
- 456 37. L.-C. Xu and C. A. Siedlecki, J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater., 2017, 105, 668–678.
- 457 38. K. Thalla, H. Fadlallah, B. Liberelle, P. Lequoy, G. De Crescenzo, Y. Merhi and S. Lerouge,
- 458 *Biomacromolecules*, 2014, **15**, 2512–2520.
- 459
- 460

Figures





Scheme 1: Schematic representation of the silane plasma polymerization process taking place onthe surface of PTFE.



Figure1: FTIR spectral analysis of the pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces
(a), Comparison of pristine PTFE,PTFE-Air 10, PTFE-Air 20 and PTFE-Air 30 surfaces (b),
Raman spectral analysis of the pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces in the
spectral range 180-1350 cm⁻¹ (the inset of the graph represents the magnified spectral region
from 650-900 cm⁻¹) (c), Raman spectral analysis of the pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and
PTFE-t30 surfaces in the spectral range 2800-3500 cm⁻¹.



Figure 2: XPS comparison of the pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces (a),
Comparison of pristine PTFE and air plasma modified PTFE at different time periods (b), High
resolution C1S XPS spectrum of pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces (c).



479 Figure 3: XPS chemical mapping images of the pristine PTFE, PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-

⁴⁸⁰ t30 surfaces.



Figure 4: Contact angle measurements of pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30
surfaces (a), X ray Diffraction experiments on pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30
surfaces (b), Magnified X ray Diffraction spectra (2θ ranging 15-20°) on pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces (c).



Figure 5: Scanning electron microscopy of pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30
surfaces (a), 3D laser scanning confocal microscopy images of the pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces (b).



Figure 6: Nanoindendation studies on pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces
(a), Hardness comparison on pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces (b)
Elastic modulus comparison on pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10,PTFE-t20 and PTFE-t30 surfaces.



501

Figure 7: Live/dead assay on pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 surfaces after 3 days of
endothelial cell seeding (a), MTS assay on pristine PTFE,PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20 surfaces after
1,3 and 5 days of endothelial cell seeding (b) Platelet adhesion studies on pristine PTFE,PTFEt10 and PTFE-t20 surfaces (c).



Figure 8: Rhodamine Phalloidin cytoskelton staining on pristine PTFE, PTFE-t10 and PTFE-t20
surfaces after 3 days of endothelial cell seeding.

