Soft Matter



# Soft Matter

# Sound attenuation in stable glasses

Journal:	Soft Matter
Manuscript ID	SM-ART-05-2019-001092.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Jul-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Wang, Lijin; Colorado State University, Chemistry; Beijing Computational Science Research Center Berthier, Ludovic; University of Montpellier; Laboratoire Charles Coulomb Flenner, Elijah; Colorado State University, Chemistry Guan, Pengfei; Beijing Computational Science Research Center Szamel, Grzegorz ; Colorado State University, Chemistry

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

# **Journal Name**

# ARTICLE TYPE

Cite this: DOI: 00.0000/xxxxxxxxx

Received Date Accepted Date

DOI:00.0000/xxxxxxxxx

# Sound attenuation in stable glasses

Lijin Wang,<sup>ab</sup> Ludovic Berthier,<sup>c</sup> Elijah Flenner,<sup>\*b</sup> Pengfei Guan<sup>\*a</sup> and Grzegorz Szamel<sup>b</sup>

Understanding the difference between universal low-temperature properties of amorphous and crystalline solids requires an explanation of the stronger damping of long-wavelength phonons in amorphous solids. A longstanding sound attenuation scenario, resulting from a combination of experiments, theories, and simulations, leads to a quartic scaling of sound attenuation with the wavevector, which is commonly attributed to Rayleigh scattering of the sound. Modern computer simulations offer conflicting conclusions regarding the validity of this picture. We simulate glasses with an unprecedentedly broad range of stabilities to perform the first microscopic analysis of sound damping in model glass formers across a range of experimentally relevant preparation protocols. We present a convincing evidence that quartic scaling is recovered for small wavevectors irrespective of the glass's stability. With increasing stability, the wavevector where the quartic scaling begins increases by approximately a factor of three and the sound attenuation decreases by over an order of magnitude. Our results uncover an intimate connection between glass stability and sound damping.

# 1 Introduction

Many theoretical descriptions of sound attenuation in low temperature (athermal) amorphous solids predict a quartic scaling of the sound attenuation with the wavevector. Early arguments, used to explain the plateau in the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity<sup>1,2</sup>, invoked the picture of scattering of sound waves by uncorrelated inhomogeneities that are much smaller than the wavelength, which is the physical scenario known as the Rayleigh scattering. In several theories, these inhomogeneities have been modeled as local fluctuations of elastic constants<sup>3–8</sup>. These theories predict that the sound attenuation scales with the fourth power of the wavevector,  $\Gamma_{\lambda}(k) \sim k^4$  ( $\lambda = L$ denotes longitudinal waves and  $\lambda = T$  denotes transverse waves) for small wavevector k. Mean-field theories 9-12 arrive at the same prediction, albeit in a different way. Yet another theoretical treatment, the soft-potential model, predicts that a quartic scaling regime exists due to phonons interacting with soft modes<sup>13</sup>.

Longitudinal sound attenuation can be directly obtained from X-ray and light scattering experiments. A compilation of many experimental results<sup>14–28</sup> shows that the wavevector dependence of the longitudinal sound attenuation parameter,  $\Gamma_L(k)$ , can be divided into three regimes: (1)  $\Gamma_L(k) \sim k^2$  for low k; (2)  $\Gamma_L(k) \sim k^4$ 

for an intermediate *k* regime; and (3)  $\Gamma_L(k) \sim k^2$  for large *k*. While the intermediate wavevector quartic and the large wavevector quadratic scalings of the sound attenuation parameter are welldocumented, the small wavevector quadratic dependence was only seen in a few experiments<sup>17–20</sup>. Because the experiments are performed at finite temperature and the small wavevector quadratic scaling increases with temperature, the small wavevector quadratic scaling can be ascribed to thermal and anharmonic effects<sup>5</sup>.

Computer simulations offer a conflicting view of these results. Most computer studies investigate sound attenuation in the limit of zero temperature in order to remove anharmonic effects. To our knowledge, no simulation reproduced the  $\Gamma_L(k) \sim k^2$  scaling observed at small wavevectors in experiments<sup>17-20</sup>, including a recent finite temperature study of Mizuno and Mossa<sup>29</sup> that included anharmonic effects. Regarding the quartic Rayleigh scattering regime, no firm conclusion can be drawn either. By simulating large glasses created by quenching configurations from a mildly supercooled liquid, Gelin et al.<sup>30</sup> found a logarithmic correction to the quartic scaling,  $\Gamma_{\lambda}(k) \sim k^4 \ln(k)$ . They invoked the existence of correlated inhomogeneities of the elastic constants<sup>31</sup> to rationalize this observation. However, a more recent, largerscale study<sup>32</sup> of harmonic spheres close to their unjamming transition confirmed the Rayleigh scattering scenario in 2D glasses and conjectured its validity in 3D glasses. Finally, a very recent preprint<sup>33</sup> (which appeared when the present paper was being finalized for submission) presented the first convincing evidence of the small wavevector quartic scaling of the transverse sound attenuation in a 3D glass created by quenching from a mildly super-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100193, P. R. China. E-mail: pguan@csrc.ac.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA. E-mail: flennere@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Laboratoire Charles Coulomb (L2C), University of Montpellier, CNRS, 34095 Montpellier, France.



**Fig. 1** The inherent structure energy versus the parent temperature. The onset of slow dynamics  $T_o$  and the estimated glass transition temperature  $T_g$ <sup>36</sup> are shown. The glass becomes more stable with decreasing inherent structure energy.

cooled liquid. However, the status of the longitudinal sound attenuation, even for simple glass-formers in the zero-temperature harmonic limit, remains unsettled.

To our knowledge, all prior simulations investigated sound attenuation in glasses with stabilities dramatically different from the ones of typical laboratory glasses, preventing direct comparison between results obtained for simulated and real materials. This constraint is imposed by the large preparation times required to equilibrate systems close to the experimental glass transition, which, therefore, cannot be simulated using conventional techniques. In this work, we use an efficient swap Monte-Carlo algorithm<sup>34</sup> that was recently developed<sup>35,36</sup> to prepare glasses with stabilities comparable to, or even exceeding, the stability of experimental glasses. If we quantify the glass stability in terms of a cooling rate, the improvement due to the swap algorithm is equivalent to decreasing the cooling rate by more than 10 orders of magnitude, thus closing the gap between previous computer investigations and realistic materials. In previous studies, it was demonstrated that both the low-frequency vibrational properties<sup>37</sup> and the mechanical properties<sup>38</sup> of computer generated glasses dramatically evolve with increasing the glass stability over such a broad range.

We find that changing the glass stability over a broad range fully clarifies the elusive picture of sound attenuation. Generally, sound attenuation decreases with increasing stability, implying that more stable glasses are also less dissipative solids (classical zero temperature crystalline solids are non-dissipative). More importantly, we find the wavevector dependence of sound attenuation at low wavevectors exhibits a quartic scaling, for both transverse and longitudinal modes and in glasses with very different stabilities. Thus, we unambiguously demonstrate the universality of the Rayleigh scattering scaling in 3D glasses. The quartic scaling of the sound attenuation with the wavevector is more prominent in more stable glasses, which adds to the conjectured connection between glass stability and sound damping.



**Fig. 2** Decay of  $C_T(t)$  for a transverse excitation with a wavevector  $\mathbf{k} = (0, 4\pi/L, 0)$  for our most stable glass,  $T_p = 0.062$  (blue circles). The red curve is a fit to  $C_T(t) = \exp(-\Gamma_\lambda t/2)\cos(\Omega_\lambda t)$ . The velocity field for the whole system is shown in the upper left corner and for a section at representative times corresponding to the peaks in  $C_T(t)$  indicated by the arrows. The longer and brighter red arrows indicate larger velocities.

## 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Simulation details

We perform computer simulations using a three-dimensional cubic system composed of polydisperse particles with equal mass m = 1. The distribution of particle diameters  $\sigma$  follows  $P(\sigma) = \frac{A}{\sigma^3}$ , where  $\sigma \in [0.73, 1.63]$  and A is a normalization factor. The cross-diameter  $\sigma_{ij}$  is determined according to a non-additive mixing rule,  $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_i + \sigma_j}{2}(1 - \varepsilon | \sigma_i - \sigma_j |)$  with  $\varepsilon = 0.2$ . The interaction between two particles i and j is given by the inverse power law potential,  $V(r_{ij}) = \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}}\right)^{12} + V_{cut}(r_{ij})$ , when the separation  $r_{ij}$  is smaller than the potential cutoff  $r_{ij}^c = 1.25\sigma_{ij}$ , and zero otherwise. Here,  $V_{cut}(r_{ij}) = c_0 + c_2 \left(\frac{r_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}}\right)^2 + c_4 \left(\frac{r_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}}\right)^4$ , and the coefficients  $c_0$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_4$  are set to guarantee the continuity of  $V(r_{ij})$  at  $r_{ij}^c$  up to the second derivative.

We produce zero-temperature glasses by instantaneously quenching supercooled liquids equilibrated through the swap Monte Carlo algorithm at different parent temperatures  $T_p$ , which controls the glass's stability<sup>37,38</sup>, to their local potential minima using the fast inertial relaxation engine minimization<sup>39</sup>. We calculate the normal modes by diagonalizing the dynamic matrix using Intel Math Kernel Library (https://software.intel.com/en-us/mkl/) and ARPACK (http://www.caam.rice.edu/software/ARPACK/). We study glasses with  $T_p$  ranging from well above the onset of supercooling, denoted as  $T_p = \infty$ , down to  $T_p = 0.062$ , which is about 60% of the mode-coupling temperature  $T_c \approx 0.108^{-36}$ . The onset of slow dynamics in an equilibrated fluid occurs around  $T_o = 0.2$ . The parent temperature  $T_p = 0.062$  is lower than the estimated experimental glass temperature  $T_g \approx 0.072$  for this model<sup>36</sup>, and thus the glass with  $T_p < 0.072$  qualifies as ultrastable. One robust measure of stability is the energy at the potential energy minimum<sup>40–43</sup>, the inherent structure energy  $E_{IS}$ . Shown in Fig. 1 is the inherent

#### Soft Matter



**Fig. 3** Wavevector *k* dependence of sound attenuation (a)  $\Gamma_T(k)$  and (b)  $\Gamma_L(k)$  in from poorly annealed glasses ( $T_p = \infty$ ) to stable glasses ( $T_p = 0.062$ ). The different symbols denote different system sizes: star=1000K, plus=600K, x=450K, triangle=192K, square=96K, circle=48K. The  $k^2$  dependence is evident at large wavevectors and the crossover to  $k^4$  scaling can be seen for  $T_p = \infty$  and  $T_p = 0.062$ . The reduced sound attenuation (c)  $\Gamma_T/k^4$  and (d)  $\Gamma_L/k^4$ . A straight line with negative slope would indicate a logarithmic correction, which is valid only for a small range of wavevectors.

structure energy for our glasses as a function of parent temperature  $T_p$ , and we find that the inherent structure energy dramatically drops below the onset temperature  $T_o$ . The particle number N varies between 48000 and 1000000 for glasses at  $T_p = \infty$ , and between 48000 and 192000 for glasses with  $0.062 \le T_p \le 0.120$ . For all glasses studied the number density  $\rho = 1.0$ .

#### 2.2 Sound attenuation

We use two different methods to obtain sound attenuation:1) we calculate the T = 0 dynamic structure factor utilizing the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the dynamic matrix<sup>44</sup>; 2) we study the decay of an excited sound wave in the harmonic approximation<sup>30</sup>.

We calculate the T = 0 dynamic structure factors using the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the dynamic matrix<sup>44</sup>,

$$S_{\lambda}(k,\omega) = \left(\frac{k^2}{N\omega^2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{3N-3} F_{n,\lambda}(k) \delta(\omega - \omega_n),$$
(1)

where  $\lambda$  is *T* for transverse or *L* for longitudinal structure factor,  $\omega_n$  is the frequency (square root of the eigenvalue) associated with the *n*-th eigenvector. The sum is taken over all but the three modes corresponding to a universal translation. In Eqn. 1

$$F_{n,T}(k) = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathbf{e}_{n,j} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}^{0}} \right|^{2},$$
(2)

and

$$F_{n,L}(k) = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathbf{e}_{n,j} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{j}^{0}} \right|^{2},$$
(3)

where  $\mathbf{e}_{n,j}$  is the polarization vector of particle *j* in the *n*-th eigenvector,  $\mathbf{r}_j^0$  is the position of particle *j* in the inherent structure,  $\mathbf{k}$  is the wavevector satisfying periodic boundary conditions,  $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|$ . We extract the damping coefficients  $\Gamma_{\lambda}$  and the characteristic frequencies  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  by fitting  $S_{\lambda}(k, \omega)$  to a damped harmonic oscillator model<sup>45</sup>,

$$S_{\lambda}(k,\omega) \propto \frac{\Omega_{\lambda}^{2}(k)\Gamma_{\lambda}(k)}{[\omega^{2} - \Omega_{\lambda}^{2}(k)]^{2} + \omega^{2}\Gamma_{\lambda}^{2}(k)}.$$
 (4)

Another method to determine  $\Gamma_{\lambda}$  and  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  is to study the decay of excited sound waves in the harmonic approximation, and most of our results shown in this work are from this method (unless specified). Specifically, following Ref.<sup>30</sup>, we excite a sound wave at t = 0 by giving each particle a velocity  $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_i^0 = \mathbf{a}_{\lambda} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i^0)$ , where  $\mathbf{a}_L \propto \hat{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_T \cdot \mathbf{k} = 0$ . We then numerically solve the equations of motion,

$$\ddot{\mathbf{u}}_i(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{u}_j(t) + \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i^0 \delta(t).$$
(5)

Here,  $D_{ij}$  is dynamic matrix and  $\mathbf{u}_i(t)$  denotes the displacement of particle *i* at *t* from its inherent structure position. We calculate the velocity correlation function,

$$C_{\lambda}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i(0) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i(t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i(0) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{u}}_i(0)},\tag{6}$$



**Fig.** 4 Frequency  $\omega = v_{\lambda}k$  dependence of sound attenuation for our least stable glass  $T_p = \infty$  (filled symbols) and our most stable glass  $T_p = 0.062$  (open symbols). The different symbols denote different system sizes: star=1000K, plus=600K, x=450K, triangle=192K, square=96K, circle=48K. The red symbols are the results for the longitudinal attenuation and the blue symbols are results for the transverse attenuation. The transverse attenuation is scaled by a  $T_p$  dependent factor *n*, where n = 5 for  $T_p = \infty$  and n = 3 for  $T_p = 0.062$ .

and fit it to

$$C_{\lambda}(t) = \exp\left(-\Gamma_{\lambda}(k)t/2\right)\cos(\Omega_{\lambda}(k)t), \tag{7}$$

to determine the frequency  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  and the sound attenuation  $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ . Since the calculation obtains  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  through a fit for a fixed **k**, the wavevector is precisely known but there is uncertainty in  $\Omega_{\lambda}$ .

Shown in Fig. 2 is an example of the excited sound wave method <sup>30</sup>. The snapshots in Fig. 2 show the velocity field for  $\mathbf{k} = (0, 4\pi/L, 0)$  in a 48000 particle system for times at the peak values of  $C_T(t)$  indicated in the figure. As expected, the sound wave is scattered and the initial velocity profile decays.

The two methods introduced above encode the same dynamical information, but there exists a finite size effect that is impossible to correct for using the normal mode analysis. See **Appendix** section for details on how we account for this finite size effect and for details on how we obtain  $\Gamma_{\lambda}$ .

## 3 Sound attenuation in stable glasses

Shown in Fig. 3 are  $\Gamma_{\lambda}(k)$  for a range of stabilities for (a) transverse sound waves and (b) longitudinal sound waves. For large wavevectors we observe quadratic scaling, which is consistent with previous results. There is no difference in the attenuation for  $T_p = 0.2$  and  $T_p = \infty$  suggesting that zero-temperature glasses quenched from parent temperatures above the onset temperature  $T_o = 0.2$  have identical attenuation. There is a crossover to quartic scaling, Rayleigh scaling, for our least stable glasses  $T_p = \infty$  and our most stable glasses  $T_p = 0.062$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma_{\lambda}(k) = B_{\lambda}k^4$  for small wavevectors irrespective of the glass's stability.

To examine the stability dependence of  $B_{\lambda}$  and the possibility of a logarithmic correction, in Fig. 3 we plot  $\Gamma_{\lambda}(k)/k^4$  for  $T_p = \infty$ , 0.1, 0.085, 0.075, and 0.062 for transverse sound (c) and the longitudinal sound (d). There is a factor of 15 decrease in  $B_{\lambda}$ from our least stable glass to the most stable glass. We note that in the representation of Fig. 3 a straight line with a negative slope would indicate the  $-k^4 \ln(k)$  scaling suggested by Gelin *et*  al. <sup>30</sup>. We can identify a range of wavevectors that is described by  $\Gamma_T(k) \sim -k^4 \ln(k)$  for our least stable glasses, but this fit appears to be just a crossover from quadratic scaling at large wavevectors to quartic scaling at small wavevectors. Indeed, we observe a distinct plateau at low wavevectors, indicating a purely quartic scaling without a logarithmic correction. The small wavevector quartic scaling is clearly observed for the least stable glass  $T_p = \infty$  and the most stable glass  $T_p = 0.062$ , and thus it would be expect to exist for intermediate stability.

As noted by Monaco and Mossa<sup>45</sup> when studying glasses created by quenching from mildly supercooled liquids, the transverse and longitudinal sound attenuation differ by a constant factor when examined as a function of frequency  $\omega = v_{\lambda}k$ , where  $v_T = \sqrt{G/\rho}$ ,  $v_L = \sqrt{(K+4G/3)/\rho}$ , *G* is the shear modulus, and *K* is the bulk modulus, Fig. 4. We find  $\Gamma_L(\omega) = \Gamma_T(\omega)/n$  irrespective of the glass's stability, but the scaling factor *n* is stability dependent with  $n \approx 5$  for our poorly annealed glass,  $T_p = \infty$ , and  $n \approx 3$ for our most stable glass,  $T_p = 0.062$ , indicating a decreasing difference between  $\Gamma_T(\omega)$  and  $\Gamma_L(\omega)$  with increasing stability. This scaling suggests that the sound attenuation is governed by a stability dependent frequency (time) scale and possibly not a characteristic length scale. However, a changing length scale cannot be ruled out.

With increasing stability, the glass becomes less dissipative and quartic scalings of  $\Gamma_T$  and  $\Gamma_L$  start at larger wavevectors. The wavevector at which the quartic scaling begins depends on the polarization, transverse or longitudinal, of the sound wave. In contrast, if we plot the sound attenunation as a function of frequency, the frequency where the quartic scaling begins does not depend on the transverse or longitudinal sound wave. Again, this crossover frequency increases with increasing stability. The glass is becoming more uniform, resulting in a decrease in the dissipation  $^{30,31}$  with an increase in the stability.

For small and intermediate wavevectors the wavevectordependent speed of sound  $v_T(k) = \Omega_T/k$  is a well defined quantity. In particular, for every parent temperature the  $k \rightarrow 0$  limit is given by  $\sqrt{G/\rho}$ , which is shown as horizontal lines in Fig. 5. However, with increasing wavevector different methods lead to slightly but systematically different results for the wavevectordependent speed of sound. If we determine the speed of sound from the fit to the frequency-dependent dynamic structure factor (filled circles), the resulting quantity exhibits a minimum, which has been reported in previous simulations<sup>8,30,32,45</sup> and experiments<sup>23,24,47</sup>. This minimum is replaced by a plateau for our stable glasses. However, if we rely upon the fit to the time-dependent function  $C_{\lambda}(t)$  (open symbols), the wavevector-dependent speed of sound exhibits a more pronounced minimum, which is also present for the stable glasses. The difference between the two methods is small (less than 7% for wavevectors shown in Fig. 5) but systematic.

It would be expected that the two methods could disagree when the excitation is no longer well described as a propagating sound wave, which is generally associated to when the mean free path is equal to half the wavelength, *i.e.* the Ioffe-Regel limit. Shown in the inset to Fig. 5 is the Ioffe-Regel limit obtained from when  $\Omega_T(k_{IR}) = \pi \Gamma_T(k_{IR})$  as a function of the parent temperature.

#### Soft Matter



**Fig. 5** The wavevector dependence of sound speed for different parent temperatures  $T_p$ . The horizontal lines indicate the corresponding macroscopic values in the long-wavelength limit. The open symbols are obtained through fits of  $C_T(t)$  and the closed symbols are obtained through fits to  $S_T(k; \omega)$ . (Inset) loffe-Regel wavevector  $k_{IR}$  as a function of  $T_p$ .

For this calculation we used  $\Omega_T$  determined from the fits to the dynamic structure factor. The result is not sensitive to which method is used to determine  $\Omega_T$ . For  $T_p = 0.2$ ,  $k_{IR} \approx 0.5$  and for  $T_p = 0.062$ ,  $k_{IR} \approx 0.87$ . Both of these quantities lie slightly above where the two methods to obtain the wavevector-dependent speed of sound begin to diverge. Thus, the classification of these excitations as propagating sound waves is breaking down for wavevectors slightly smaller than  $k_{IR}$ .

Nevertheless, we find that increasing the stability of the glass allows for propagating sound waves at smaller wavelengths, and this can be quantified by the change in  $k_{IR}$ . For decreasing  $T_p$ ,  $k_{IR}$  increases by a factor of 1.8 over our range of stability. For wavevectors above  $k_{IR}$  it is expected that the vibrations are more localized and there is a change in the energy transport from a propagating regime below  $k_{IR}$  to a diffusive regime above  $k_{IR}$  <sup>48–50</sup>. Therefore, the decreased dissipation and increase in  $k_{IR}$  should have significant effects on the thermal conductivity and the stability dependence of thermal energy transport.

# 4 Connection between sound attenuation, vibrational modes, and the boson peak

A recurring idea is that sound attenuation and the excess in vibrational modes over the Debye theory are intimately connected. Recall that in the Debye theory the density of states increases with a decrease in the speed of sound. Using this idea, the minimum in  $v_T(\omega)$  has been associated with an increase of the density of states  $D(\omega)$  and the boson peak using a generalized plane wave approach <sup>45</sup>. However, we find that the description of the vibrational modes as well defined sound waves breaks down for wavevectors below the boson peak whose position is close to  $k_{IR}^{51}$ .

In previous studies<sup>37,52</sup> it was found that the low-frequency modes could be divided into extended and quasi-localized modes. The density of the low-frequency extended modes obeys Debye theory and the density of the localized modes  $D_{\text{loc}} = A_4 \omega^4$ . Therefore, these localized modes are the modes in excess of the Debye theory. The density of the low-frequency quasi-localized modes was found to decrease significantly with the glass stability<sup>37</sup>. Here we find that the sound attenuation and the Rayleigh



**Fig. 6** The coefficient  $A_4$  describing the the density of low-frequency quasi-localized modes,  $D_{\rm loc} = A_4 \omega^4$  correlates very well with the plateau height of  $\Gamma_T/k^4$  for small wavevectors. They are both strongly suppressed when glass stability increases.

scattering plateau  $\Gamma_{\lambda}/k^4$  also decrease rapidly glass stability. In Fig. 6 we show that coefficient  $A_4$  quantifying the density of the low-frequency quasi-localized modes and the Rayleigh scattering plateau  $B_T = \Gamma_T/k^4$  are proportional to each other,  $B_T \propto A_4$ .

Our findings for the transverse sound attenuation in moderate and low stability glasses are in general agreement with the very recent results of Moriel *et al.*<sup>33</sup>. Specifically, both our study and that of Moriel *et al.* find quartic small wavevector scaling of the transverse sound attenuation in 3D glasses. Moriel *et al.* also investigated the dependence of the sound attenuation of glasses with different densities of low-frequency quasi-localized modes. They found that the decreasing density of these modes correlates with the decreasing extent of the intermediate regime between the small wavevector quartic scaling and the large wavevector quadratic scaling, which can be fitted to the  $-k^4 \ln k$  form proposed by Gelin *et al.*<sup>30</sup>. Our finding  $B_T \propto A_4$  significantly extends the qualitative correlation found by Moriel *et al.*<sup>33</sup>.

A generalized Debye model of Mizuno and Ikeda<sup>32</sup> and the theoretical treatment of Schirmacher et al.4, referred to as heterogenous elasticity theory, both relate the excess number of low-frequency modes above the Debye model,  $D_{\rm ex}(\omega)$ , to sound attenuation. Both of these treatments predict that  $D_{\rm ex} \approx$  $4B_T/(\pi k_D^2 v_T^6)\omega^4$ , where  $k_D = (6\pi\rho)^{1/3}$ , for small wavevectors. Physically, these are the same modes as identified in Refs.<sup>37,52</sup> and thus  $D_{\rm ex}(\omega)/\omega^4 = 4B_T/(\pi k_D^2 v_T^6)$  can be identified with  $A_4$ . We find that  $A_4$  is 20% larger than  $4B_T/(\pi k_D^2 v_T^6)$  for our poorly annealed glass and 150% larger for our most stable glass. Mizuno and Mossa<sup>29</sup> compare the heterogeneous elasticity theory to zero temperature and finite temperature simulations and find that the theory captures the main features of the frequency dependence of the transverse sound attenuation and velocity, but there were quantitative differences. Therefore, the models<sup>4,32</sup> are currently not quantitatively predictive and get worse with increasing stability.

A recent experiment by Pogna *et al.*<sup>46</sup> reported on a connection between sound attenuation and the boson peak. They find a decrease in the boson peak height and sound attenuation for hyperaged amber (conjectured to be much more stable) compared to annealed amber (with ordinary stability), which mirrors our

results<sup>37</sup>. Pogna *et al.* used the fluctuating elasticity theory of Schirmacher *et al.*<sup>5</sup> (which predicts the quartic scaling of sound attenuation with the wavevector) to fit the vibrational density of states. There are two main parameters in the theory, one quantifies the strength of the disorder and is related to the width of the local elastic constant distribution, and another quantifying the spatial range of correlations of elasticity. They concluded that upon lowering the fictive temperature by 9% that there was a six percent decrease of the strength of the fluctuations and a 22% increase of the elastic correlation length. Therefore, they conjectured that the change of the low-frequency vibrational properties is mainly driven by an increased elastic correlation length. Future work should examine the change of the disorder strength and the elastic correlation length with stability more directly to verify this conclusion.

A competing theoretical explanation for the relationship between sound attenuation and the boson peak is that the sound modes interact with additional soft modes<sup>13</sup>, the soft potential model. Examination and evaluation of the soft potential model requires the determination of several parameters, and this exercise is left for future work.

## 5 Discussion

The idea that a Rayleigh scattering mechanism may be responsible for the small wavevector scaling of sound attenuation spans for over 60 years<sup>32,53</sup>. Mizuno and Ikeda considered scattering of an elastic wave. Their analysis determined that  $\Gamma_{\lambda} =$  $\delta \gamma_{\lambda}^2 D_{\lambda}^3 \Omega_{\lambda}^4 / (4\pi v_{\lambda}^3)$ , where  $\delta \gamma$  is the strength of the elastic inhomogeneities and D is their characteristic size  $^{32}$ . Since it has been suggested that  $k_{BP} = \omega_{BP}/v_T$  is related to the inverse of the length scale of elastic inhomogeneities  $^{3,47}$ , and thus D, we checked to see if this was consistent with the quartic scaling regimes for  $\Gamma_T$ . We used the approach studied by Mizuno, Mossa, and Barrat<sup>54</sup> to obtain the strength  $\delta \gamma_T = \delta G/G$ , where  $\delta G$  is the fluctuations of the shear modulus, of the elastic inhomogeneities. We find that this naive approach does not correctly predict the change in the sound attenuation for each parent temperature. One unchecked assumption is that  $k_{BP}$  is related to the length scale of elastic inhomogeneities, and future work needs to examine the spatial correlations of the elastic modulus and the relationship to  $k_{BP}$  and sound attenuation.

Recent experiments on amber aged for 110 million years suggest that the vibrational properties of amorphous materials are controlled by the distribution of elastic constants and their spatial correlation<sup>46</sup>. Future numerical studies should examine this relationship for simulated ordinary and stable glasses. The stability dependence of sound attenuation using ultrastable glasses, experimentally available via the method of physical vapor deposition<sup>55</sup>, has shown that sound damping decreases with increasing stability<sup>56</sup>. It would be interesting to examine the wavevector dependence of sound attenuation play, for these ultrastable glasses. Mizuno and Mossa<sup>29</sup> found that anharmonicities changes the small frequency sound attenuation from  $\Gamma \sim \omega^4 \sim k^4$  to  $\Gamma \sim \omega^{3/2} \sim k^{3/2}$ , and the nature of this effect may be illuminated by its stability dependence.



**Fig. 7** Velocity correlation function C(t) for wavevectors of similar magnitude,  $k \approx 0.24$ , for two different system sizes. The decay rate is clearly different and does not appear exponential.



**Fig. 8** The envelope of of C(t) for three system sizes for three wavevectors of nearly equal magnitude. The solid line represents a fit of the envelope to  $\exp(-\Gamma_{\lambda}t/2)$ .

# Appendix

Molecular dynamics simulations can be subject to effects due to small size of the simulation cell compared to experimental systems and the use of periodic boundary conditions. Bouchbinder and Lerner recently commented on finite size effects in the calculation of the frequency width of phonon bands<sup>58</sup>, which indicates that finite size effects exist for the calculation of sound attenuation in amorphous solids. We find that there are strong finite size effects for the lowest wavevector sound waves in our simulations, especially for our most stable glasses. Here we describe a method to calculate sound attenuation that is independent of system size.

One route to calculate the attenuation of sound waves is to study the decay of an excitation in the harmonic approximation as described in the **Methods** section. After exciting a sound wave, we study the decay of the velocity correlation function C(t), Eqn. (6). For small wavevectors we expect that  $C(t) = \exp(-\Gamma_{\lambda}t/2)\cos(\Omega_{\lambda}t)$ .

To demonstrate that a finite size effect exists we can examine C(t) for similar wavevectors in two systems of different sizes. The magnitude of the third smallest allowed wavevector for the 96K system  $k_3^{96K} = 0.238$  and the magnitude of the second smallest allowed wavevector is  $k_2^{48K} = 0.245$ . The attenuation of these sound



**Fig. 9** Sound attenuation  $\Gamma_T(k)$  calculated using fits to  $S_T(k, \omega)$  (red) and the envelope fits (blue). The different symbols correspond to different system sizes. The inset shows an expanded view of the results for one wavevector. There is a clear finite size effect when  $\Gamma_T(k)$  is obtained by fitting  $S_T(k, \omega)$ , which can be removed by using the restricted envelope fits.

waves should be similar, but we find that they are very different, Fig. 7. Specifically, at long times the peak heights of the 96K system are much larger than for the 48K system. However, C(t)nearly overlaps at short times for both system sizes. To study the decay of C(t) we calculate the envelope of C(t), which is the absolute value of the maximum and minimum of the oscillations.

Shown in Fig. 8 on a linear-log scale is the envelope for three different sizes for a wavevector of similar magnitude. We note that the initial decay of all three envelopes is exponential, but there are deviations from the exponential decay at a system size dependent time. To determine  $\Gamma_{\lambda}$  we fit the envelope to  $\exp(-\Gamma_{\lambda}t/2)$  up to a time when the decay is no longer exponential. Our uncertainty in  $\Gamma_{\lambda}$  reflects the uncertainty in this fitting range.

Another method to obtain sound attenuation is through the dynamic structure factor  $S_{\lambda}(k, \omega)$  using the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the dynamic matrix, as described in the **Methods** section, or Fourier transforming C(t). Sound attenuation  $\Gamma_{\lambda}$  is then obtained by fitting with the damped harmonic oscillator model, Eqn. (4).

Shown in Fig. 9 as red symbols are the results of fitting  $S_T(k, \omega)$  and as blue symbols are the results of the restricted envelope fits. The different symbols indicate different system sizes. The inset shows an expanded view of a region of very similar wavevectors for four different system sizes. There is a clear finite size effect when  $\Gamma_T$  is found through fits of  $S_T(k, \omega)$ , which is removed by using the envelope fits.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We thank E. Lerner and E. Bouchbinder for correspondence on an earlier version of this work. L.W., E. F., and G.S. acknowledge funding from NSF DMR-1608086. This work was also supported

by a grant from the Simons foundation (No. 454933 L. B.). L. W., and P. G. acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51571011), the MOST 973 Program (No. 2015CB856800), and the NSAF joint program (No. U1530401). We acknowledge the computational support from Beijing Computational Science Research Center.

### References

- 1 R. C. Zeller and R. O. Pohl, Phys. Rev. B, 1971, 4, 2029-2041.
- 2 M. P. Zaitlin and A. C. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1975, **12**, 4475-4486.
- 3 W. Schirmacher, T. Scopigno and G. Ruocco, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2015, **407**,133-140.
- 4 W. Schirmacher, G. Ruocco and T. Scopigno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **98**, 025501.
- 5 W. Schirmacher, B. Schmid, C. Tomaras, G. Baldi, G. Viliani,
  G. Ruocco and T. Scopigno, *Condens. Matter Phys.*, 2010, 13, 23606.
- 6 W. Schirmacher, B. Schmid, C. Tomaras, G. Viliani, G. Baldi and G. Ruocco, *Phys. Status. Solidi.* (c), 2008, **5**, 862-866.
- 7 W. Schirmacher, Europhys. Lett., 2006, 73, 892C898.
- 8 A. Marruzzo, W. Schirmacher, A. Fratalocchi and G. Ruocco, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 1407.
- 9 M. Wyart, Europhys. Lett., 2010, 89, 64001.
- 10 E. DeGiuli, A. Laversanne-Finot, G. Düring, E. Lerner, M. Wyart, *Soft Matter*, 2014, **10**, 5628-5644.
- 11 V. Vitelli, Soft Matter, 2010, 6, 3007-3012.
- 12 T. S. Grigera, V. Martin-Mayor, G. Parisi, P. Urbani and P. Verrocchio, *J. Stat. Mech.*, 2011, **11**, P02015.
- 13 U. Buchenau, Yu. M. Galperin, V. L. Gurevich, D. A. Parshin,
   M. A. Ramos, H. R. Schober, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1992, 46, 2798-2808.
- 14 G. Ruocco, F. Sette, R. Di Leonardo, D. Fioretto, M. Krisch, M. Lorenzen, C. Masciovecchio, G. Monaco, F. Pignon and T. Scopigno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1999, **83**, 5583-5586.
- 15 F. Sette, M. Krisch, C. Masciovecchio, G. Ruocco and G. Monaco, *Science*, 1998, **280**, 1550-1555.
- 16 G. Ruocco and F. Sette, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2001, 13, 9141-9164.
- 17 C. Masciovecchio, A. Gessini, S. Di Fonzo, L. Comez and S. C. Santucci, D. Fioretto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **92**, 247401.
- 18 P. Benassi, S. Caponi, R. Eramo, A. Fontana, A. Giugni, M. Nardone, M. Sampoli and G. Viliani, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2005, 71, 1782201.
- 19 C. Masciovecchio, G. Baldi, S. Caponi, L. Comez, S. Di Fonzo, D. Fioretto, A. Fontana, A. Gessini, S. C. Santucci, F. Sette, G. Viliani, P. Vilmercati and G. Ruocco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, 97, 035501.
- 20 A. Devos, M. Foret, S. Ayrinhac, P. Emery and B. Rufflé, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2008, 77, 100201(R).
- 21 T. Scopigno, J.-B. Suck, R. Angelini, F. Albergamo and G. Ruocco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **96**, 135501.
- 22 B. Rufflé, G. Guimbretière, E. Courtens, R. Vacher and G. Monaco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **96**, 045502.

- 23 G. Monaco and V. M. Giordano, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 2009, 106, 3659-3663.
- 24 G. Baldi, V. M. Giordano, G. Monaco and B. Ruta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **104**, 195501.
- 25 G. Baldi, V. M. Giordano, B. Ruta, R. Dal Maschio, A. Fontana and G. Monaco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2014, **112**, 125502.
- 26 G. Baldi, M. Zanatta, E. Gilioli, V. Milman, K. Refson, B. Wehinger, B. Winkler, A. Fontana and G. Monaco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **110**, 185503.
- 27 B. Ruta, G. Baldi, F. Scarponi, D. Fioretto, V. M. Giordano and G. Monaco, J. Chem. Phys., 2012, 137, 214502.
- 28 H. Mizuno, S. Mossa and J.-L. Barrat, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 2014, 111, 11949-11954.
- 29 H. Mizuno and S. Mossa, arXiv:1906.09012, 2019.
- 30 S. Gelin, H. Tanaka and A. Lemaitre, Nat. Mater., 2016, 15, 1177-1181.
- 31 S. John and M. Stephen, Phys. Rev. B, 1983, 28, 6358-6368.
- 32 H. Mizuno and A. Ikeda, Phy. Rev. E, 2018, 98, 062612.
- 33 A. Moriel, G. Kapteijns, C. Rainone, J. Zylberg, E. Lerner and E. Bouchbinder, 2019, arXiv:1905.03378.
- 34 T. S. Grigera and G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. E, 2001, 63, 045102(R).
- 35 L. Berthier, D. Coslovich, A. Ninarello and M. Ozawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2016, **116**, 238002.
- 36 A. Ninarello, L. Berthier and D. Coslovich, *Phys. Rev. X*, 2017, 7, 021039.
- 37 L. Wang, A. Ninarello, P. Guan, L. Berthier, G. Szamel and E. Flenner, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 26.
- 38 M. Ozawa, L. Berthier, G. Biroli, A. Rosso and G. Tarjus, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 2018, 115, 6656.
- 39 E. Bitzek, P. Koskinen, F. Gähler, M. Moseler and P. Gumbsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **97**, 170201.
- 40 H. Staley, E. Flenner and G. Szamel, J. Chem. Phys., 2016, 145, 184505.

- 41 J. Helfferich, I. Lyubimov, D. Reid and J. J. de Pablo, *Soft Matter*, 2016, **12**, 5898.
- 42 I. Lyubimov, M. D. Ediger and J. J. de Pablo, J. Chem. Phys., 2013, 139, 144505.
- 43 S. Singh, M. D. Ediger and J.J. de Pablo, *Nature Mat.*, 2013, 12, 139.
- 44 X. Wang, W. Zheng, L. Wang and N. Xu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2015, 114, 035502.
- 45 G. Monaco and S. Mossa, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 2009, **106**, 16097-16912.
- 46 E. A. A. Pogna, A. I. Chumakov, C. Ferrante, M. A. Ramos and T. Scopigno, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2019, 10, 427-432.
- 47 Y. Wang, L. Hong, Y. Wang, W. Schirmacher and J. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2018, **98**, 174207.
- 48 Y. M. Beltukov, D. A. Parshin, V. M. Giordano and A. Tanguy, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2018, **98**, 023005.
- 49 N. Xu, V. Vitelli, M. Wyart, A. J. Liu and S. R. Nagel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2009, **102**, 038001.
- 50 V. Vitelli, N. Xu, M. Wyart, A. J. Liu and S. R. Nagel, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2010, **81**, 021301.
- 51 H. Shintani and H. Tanaka, Nature Mater., 2008, 7, 870.
- 52 H. Mizuno, H. Shiba and A. Ikeda, Proc. Natl Aca. Sci. USA, 2017, 114, E9767-E9774.
- 53 P. G. Klemens, Proc. Royal Soc. A, 1951, 208, 108-133.
- 54 H. Mizuno, S. Mossa and J.-L. Barrat, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2013, **87**, 042306.
- 55 S. F. Swallen, K. L. Kearns, M. K. Mapes, Y. S. Kim, R. J. McMahon, M. D. Ediger, T. Wu, L. Yu and S. Satija, *Science*, 2007, **315**, 353-356.
- 56 E. A. A. Pogna, C. Rodríguez-Tinoco, G. Cerullo, C. Ferrante, J. Rodríguez-Viejo and T. Scopigno, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 2015, **112**, 2331-2336.
- 57 H. Mizuno, G. Ruocco, and S. Mossa, arXiv: 1905.10235.
- 58 E. Bouchbinder and E. Lerner, New J. Phys., 2018, 20, 073022.

We examine the wavevector dependence of sound attenuation in simulated glasses with a wide range of stability.

