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Interfacial Analysis of PEM Electrolyzer Using X-ray Computed Tomography

Emily Leonard, Andrew D. Shum, Nemanja Danilovic, Christopher Capuano, Katherine E. Ayers, Lalit M. Pant, Adam Z. Weber, Xianghui Xiao, Dilworth Y. Parkinson, Iryna V. Zenyuk

Polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) electrolyzers are electrochemical energy-conversion devices that convert electricity into hydrogen fuel at high efficiencies. The interface between the porous transport layer (PTL) and catalyst layer is of interest from a transport perspective, as this interface is rough, reducing contact between the layers. Electrons, protons, water and oxygen have to simultaneously meet at this interface and there is a need to understand the optimal morphology. In this study we use operando X-ray computed tomography (CT) and X-ray radiography to visualize operation of PEM electrolyzers under two current densities: 500 and 800 mA/cm². First, we compare performance of catalyst-coated membranes (CCM) electrolyzers with porous transport electrode (PTE) electrolyzers by correlating polarization curves to interface morphology observed with X-ray CT. At 1 A/cm², the micro-CT CCM electrolyzer showed 200 mV improvement in potential primarily due to better contact between the electrocatalyst, membrane, and PTL. From the nano-CT imaging we discovered non-homogeneous distribution of IrO₂ electrocatalyst. The modeling study shows that the primary reason for performance loss in PTE configuration is due to low connectivity of catalyst particles with membrane. This causes bottlenecks in proton transport and results in high ionic potential losses in the anode. Then, we compared the polarization behavior and morphology of cells with CCMs but two types of PTLs. One was made with sintered titanium and the other with titanium fiber. The Ti fiber PTL showed higher porosity and lower tortuosities, however these better morphological properties did not necessarily translate into significantly lower potentials (45 mV difference), as the electrolyser was not operated above 1 A/cm². This was also confirmed by radiography study, where oxygen residence time in the channels showed similar fractions for both types of the PTLs.

Introduction

Renewable energy sources are desirable for electricity generation due to no emission of greenhouse gases (GHGs). Electricity is mainly produced from the combustion of fossil fuels (e.g. coal, oil, natural gas) which have a significant amount of GHG carbon dioxide (CO₂). The electric grid is generally designed to provide electricity to meet instantaneous demand. Natural fluctuation and intermittency of renewable resources pose challenges to implementing renewable-based energy sources without coupling them to energy storage media. Hydrogen is a very suitable option to be a primary energy carrier because of its high energy density. Water electrolysis can be used to produce hydrogen with renewable electricity, where electricity is used to electrochemically split water molecules.

Alkaline (KOH-based) and proton exchange membrane (PEM) electrolyzers are the two main systems on the market today. In alkaline electrolysis systems, the cell anode and cathode are separated by a diaphragm and submerged in a liquid electrolyte. The limited pressure differential across the cell due to the liquid electrolyte is a major limitation of the technology. PEM electrolyzers, on the other hand, use a membrane as both an electrolyte and physical barrier to separate the product gases. PEM electrolyzers require expensive materials, but are more responsive to changes in voltage, can be operated at higher pressures (balanced and differential), and typically generate a purer product at the system outlet than alkaline water electrolyzers.

The overall water electrolysis reaction is expressed as:

\[ H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + 1/2O_2 \]  
(Eq. 1)
(HER) describes the reduction of hydrogen gas:

\[
\text{HER: } 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2, E^o = 0.0 \text{ V} \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

Standard potentials listed in Eqns. 1 and 2 are with respect to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE). Overpotentials can be attributed to inefficiencies such as kinetic, ohmic, and mass transfer losses. Typically, at low current densities, kinetic losses are dominant and they maintain influence as current density increases. For an electrolyzer, kinetic losses are mostly attributed to the charge transfer in the OER reaction on the anode, which is the limiting half-cell reaction. Ohmic losses scale linearly with current density, and finally mass transfer losses largely contribute to the overpotentials at higher current densities, above \(\sim 1.5 \text{ A/cm}^2\). Due to the OER kinetics and ohmic resistances having sustained influence on electrolyzer overpotentials, these two characteristics have been studied in an effort to increase PEM electrolyzer efficiencies. In our previous work we were the first ones to use X-ray CT and X-ray radiography to investigate the oxygen bubble formation and removal in an operating PEM electrolyzer. We observed oxygen bubbles at low current densities transitioning into oxygen slugs (gas occupying the entire channel) at high current densities. Bubble residence, which was defined as the time the bubble spent in the channel before being detached, decreased with increase in current density. This is because oxygen is generated and detached faster at higher current densities. Another major finding was mechanical removal of electrocatalyst at the interface with the PTL, which worsened at higher current densities. The mechanical removal of the electrocatalyst was due to oxygen bubbles exchanging momentum with electrocatalyst and inducing mechanical stress.

In this study we focus on the interfacial contact between the transport layers and the catalyst particles in an electrolyzer cell using X-ray CT. We compare porous transport electrode (PTE) and catalyst coated membrane (CCM) MEA configurations as well as two different PTL titanium morphologies. The PTE is selected as an alternative to a CCM, as the PTE fabrication method allows flexibility in membrane selection, higher conformity of catalyst to the PTL and potentially longer shelf life of the electrode. Using X-ray radiography, a transient technique, we observe oxygen content in the channel during operation at 50°C and under two applied current densities. Furthermore, using nano X-ray CT imaging we visualize the electrocatalyst distribution within the CCM. Using continuum modeling we sought to further understand the performance of the two electrocatalyst MEA configurations.

### Experimental

**X-ray Computed Tomography (CT) experiments**

Electrolyzer tomographic and radiographic images were acquired at Argonne National Laboratory (ANL), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) and Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL). At ANL, image acquisition was performed on beamline 2-BM-A at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) using a 20 μm LuAG scintillator, 5x lenses, and a sCMOS PCO Edge camera. Images have a 1.3 μm voxel resolution and a horizontal field of view (FOV) of 3.3 mm. A double-multilayer monochromator was used to select a beam energy of 40 keV.

At LBNL, image acquisition was performed at Beamline 8.3.2 at the Advanced Light Source (ALS) using a 50 μm LuAG:Ce scintillator, 5x lenses, and a sCMOS PCO Edge camera. Images resulted in a voxel resolution of 1.3 μm and a horizontal field of view (FOV) of 3.3 mm. A double multilayer monochromator was used to select a beam energy of 30 keV.

Tomography scans require a sample rotation of 180° to collect the projections for a three-dimensional reconstruction. One FOV was collected per condition and each scan did not exceed 30 minutes of continuous X-ray exposure (during both tomography and radiography). X-ray radiography does not require sample rotation. Radiographic projections were collected with an exposure time of 50
ms in both thru-plane and in-plane orientations. For this experiment, thru-plane is defined as perpendicular to the MEA layers and in-plane is defined as parallel to the MEA layers.

Nano X-ray CT was collected at beamline 18-ID Full Field X-ray Imaging (FXI) at National Synchrotron Light Source II (NSLS II) at BNL. Imaging was performed at 8 keV selected energy, 30 nm spatial resolution with 40 μm FOV. The scan-time was less than one minute in absorption-contrast mode.

Materials

Three distinct water electrolyzer cells were assembled for the micro X-ray CT and radiography experiments. The PTE system had sintered titanium (provided by Nel, Wallingford, CT) and Toray TGP-H-120 carbon paper for the anode and cathode, respectively. The anode catalyst was iridium-based (IrO₂) with a loading of 3 mg/cm² and the cathode had a platinum-based catalyst loading of 2 mg/cm². Nafion ionomer solution (1100 EW, 5 wt% ionomer; DS21 from Ion Power, USA) was used for cathode and anode inks. The PTE was prepared by a proprietary procedure in which it is etched and coated with a small amount of Pt for improved contact resistance. Ir was then deposited from a Nafion based ink using an ultrasonic spray coater. Nafion-117 (purchased from Fuel Cell Store, College Station, TX) was used for the PEM. These three layers made up the PTE MEA. The MEA for the two CCM electrolyzers consisted of a PTL on the anode, a CCM, and a GDL on the cathode. The CCMs had the same iridium-based (IrO₂) anode catalyst with a loading of 2.2 mg/cm² and a platinum-based cathode catalyst loading of 1.0 mg/cm². Each system had the same CCM (both received from Nel, Wallingford, CT) and GDL (carbon paper). The only component that changed was the PTL morphology and we compared sintered titanium to titanium fiber (Nel, Wallingford, CT).

Hardware Design

Due to its properties of X-ray transparency and electric conductivity, graphite was chosen for the bipolar plates (BPP). Fuel cell grade graphite (Fuel Cell Store, College Station, TX) was milled using a micro-mill. Graphite corrodes under high applied potentials of the anode, however the corrosion currents are on the order of ~1 mA/cm². Each system had the same CCM (both received from Nel, Wallingford, CT) and GDL (carbon paper). The only component that changed was the PTL morphology and we compared sintered titanium to titanium fiber (Nel, Wallingford, CT).

Electrochemical characterization

Copper wire electric leads were coiled around the graphite plates via the extended tab (Figure 1a) to establish an electric connection. Water was introduced at the top inlet on the anode side using PEEK tubing with a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA) at a flow-rate ranging from 0.8 mL/min to 1.6 mL/min depending on the sample and chronopotentiometric conditions. Electrochemical chronopotentiometric holds were conducted with Gamry1000E potentiostat/galvanostat (Gamry Instruments, Warminster, PA). The portable size of the potentiostat/galvanostat allows for the transportation to the synchrotron source. The current limit is 1 A for Gamry1000E limiting this study to 1 A or below. Steady-state performance was achieved by operating the cell for 5 minutes at each condition prior to X-ray CT scanning.

Once the micro-CT cell sample was loaded onto the beamline stage (Figure 1b), we introduced water to the system. A tomography scan was taken at open circuit voltage (OCV) as a reference image to observe the sample’s morphological properties. After the tomography scan, radiography was conducted for 30 seconds in the in-plane and thru-plane orientations at OCV. These scans depicted the cell in a fully flooded condition which would be used to normalize the radiography scans at the two operating conditions.

Radiography scans consisted of two current density conditions, 500 mA/cm² and 800 mA/cm². Current densities were chosen to ensure that the active area of each sample was tested under the operating conditions.
same operating condition. Thru-plane and in-plane radiographs were collected at a temporal resolution of 50 ms over a total scan time of 30 seconds. An EIS test and polarization curves were taken after the OCV, 500 mA/cm² and 800 mA/cm² scans. A frequency range from 500 kHz to 100 mHz was used with a 5 mV AC perturbation for the EIS experiments. Polarization curves were recorded from 1.3 V until the potential that corresponded to 1 A/cm² of current density. The scan rate was 5 mV/s and the sweep was conducted in the direction of increasing potential. Five polarization curves were collected prior X-ray CT imaging and post X-ray CT imaging for each cell.

Image processing and visualization

Two-dimensional images are acquired while the sample rotates 180° in the path of the X-ray beam. A tomographic reconstruction combines these images into a three-dimensional rendering. Tomographic reconstructions and phase retrieval were performed using the Gridrec algorithm 25-27 with Tomopy 28. In our previous publications we describe the reconstruction process in detail 29, 30. Image processing, 8-bit conversion, transformations and analysis were carried out with Fiji/ImageJ 31. Segmentation of the image was performed manually for the solid (titanium or carbon paper) and voids of the transport layers and to isolate the catalyst particles. Segmented PTL layers were analyzed for porosity and tortuosity using the MatLab application TauFactor 1. Pore size distribution (PSD) was determined using the BoneJ macro 32 available in ImageJ/Fiji. To identify the bubble formation in the radiography experiments the data was normalized to the corresponding OCV condition because at OCV no oxygen bubbles are evolving in the channels. As such, the radiographs reported in this work represent the applied current density conditions normalized to the corresponding sample OCV condition. The presence of oxygen bubbles was determined by mapping the greyscale pixel values over time across the water channels.

Interface Analysis

Using tomography data for the two electrolyzer comparisons (PTE vs. CCM and sintered titanium PTL vs. titanium fiber PTL), we were able to quantify the interfacial contact of the catalyst and bordering phases. After ensuring that all three phases (PTL, catalyst, PEM) were captured in the through-plane direction, we cropped sub-samples with a 1 mm² area parallel to the interface. An area of 1 mm² was used because the corresponding volumes based on the PTL thickness were larger than the REV determined by TauFactor (See SM, Figure S5). The sample selection allowed a minimum of three unique system interfaces per electrolyzer configuration.

Due to their density, the anode and cathode catalyst particles are the most highly X-ray attenuating materials on their respective sides of the micro-CT cell. For this reason, the catalyst is distinguishable from other features. As a conservative measure, when segmenting the catalyst particles, we remained consistent across the sample pool by assigning only the most attenuating features the value of ‘255’ and all else ‘0’. This method was in good agreement with the greyscale reconstruction and minimized overlap with the non-catalyst features. For the purposes of this investigation, minimizing the pixel redundancy while segmenting the different phases is crucial to reporting triple phase contact area (TPCA). TPCA is the interfacial area where the catalyst particles are in contact with both the PEM and the respective transport layer (PTL or GDL).

Once the phases were segmented, an interfacial projection of each phase was generated. In this application, a projection is the coverage area for the phase of interest over the thru-plane distance of ~5 μm. This thickness was chosen as a representation of the interface because the interfaces are not perfectly flat.

Projections were re-segmented and the phases of interest (i.e. catalyst particles, titanium, membrane) were set to ‘1’ and all else ‘0’. Corresponding projections were multiplied to determine overlap (resulting pixel values of ‘1’). The equation for TPCA is described as:

\[
TPCA\% = \frac{\text{Overlap}_{\text{Catalyst}}}{\text{Catalyst}} \times 100\% \quad (\text{Eq. 4})
\]

where TPCA% is the triple phase contact area, Catalyst, is the quantity of pixels representing the catalyst features at the interface and Overlap_{Catalyst} is the quantity of catalyst pixels overlapping with the PTL and PEM after projection multiplication.

Modeling

A 2-D macro-homogeneous model was developed for simulating the performance of the different electrolyzer configurations. A 2-D MEA cross-section is used as the simulation domain. Figure S5 shows the modeling domains for both the CCM and PTE configurations. In CCM configuration a uniform catalyst layer is assumed to be in contact with the membrane. The roughness of the PTL is modeled using a hybrid layer approach. While the majority of the PTL is modeled as macro-homogeneous media, close to the catalyst a discrete micro-structure consisting of solid and pores is assumed. The discrete points of contact between PTL and catalyst will be able to elucidate the effects of in-plane electronic conductivity in catalyst. For the PTE configuration, the entire catalyst layer is assumed to consist of discrete catalyst particles supported on the PTL solid phase. To account for the low connectivity of these catalyst particles to membrane, small points of contact are assumed between catalyst and membrane.

The mathematical framework for modeling multi-component diffusion, gas and liquid convection, electronic conduction, heat conduction, proton transport, and membrane water transport is adapted from PEM fuel cell model presented by Zenyuk et al. 31. The reaction kinetics for HER and OER are given as:

\[
\dot{j}_{\text{OER,HER}} = \dot{j}_{\text{OER}} \left(1 - 0.023 \frac{j_{\text{OER}}}{1[A/m^2]} \right) \quad (\text{Eq. 5})
\]

\[
\dot{j}_{\text{OER}} = i_{0,\text{OER}} \left[RH \exp \left(\frac{\alpha_{\text{OER}}}{RT} \eta \right) - \frac{p_{\text{O}_2}}{n_{\text{O}_2}} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha_{\text{OER}}}{RT} \eta \right) \right] \quad (\text{Eq. 6})
\]

\[
\dot{j}_{\text{HER}} = i_{0,\text{HER}} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{\alpha_{\text{HER}}}{RT} \eta \right) - \frac{p_{\text{H}_2}}{n_{\text{H}_2}} \exp \left(\frac{\alpha_{\text{HER}}}{RT} \eta \right) \right] \quad (\text{Eq. 7})
\]

Several of the layer properties and correlations are the same between PEM electrolyzer and fuel cell, and therefore are the same.
Results and Discussion

PTE and CCM Electrolyzer Configurations

Figure 2 shows the cross sections for the PTE and CCM electrolyzer configurations. The high X-ray attenuation of the anode and cathode catalyst particles make it easy to see the differences between the two MEAs. For the PTE (Figure 2a) the catalyst inks are deposited directly on both transport layers. It can be seen clearly at the cathode-PEM interface that the catalyst particles follow the non-uniform topography of the carbon paper GDL. This feature is more subtle at the anode-PEM interface where anode catalyst particles follow the topography of the sintered titanium. In Figure 2b the CCM configuration has lower anode and cathode catalyst loading as the cross section reflects, and the catalyst was deposited on the surface of the PEM, which is morphologically uniform relative to the transport layers.

Three dimensional renderings of the sintered Ti PTLs and anode catalyst layers are depicted in Figure 2a and b for the PTE and CCM, respectively. The representative catalyst volume renderings are consistent with our observation that the CCM configuration had a more uniform catalyst distribution, but still portrays two extremes: areas with catalyst clusters and large areas without catalyst. Furthermore, it seems the IrOx electrocatalyst within the studied resolution (~1 µm) has poor in-plane connectivity for both configurations. CCM cross-section SEMs are shown in Figure S1, where layer morphology is shown too.

Ionic conductivity is essential to remove protons away from the reaction sites. In the case of the PTE MEA, some active sites are buried within the PTL. Adding ionomer to the catalyst ink can facilitate proton conduction away from the active site and to the PEM. On the other hand, electron conductivity is not a concern for the PTE configuration because the electrocatalyst is deposited directly onto a conductive titanium substrate. For the CCM, however, electrocatalyst is deposited onto the membrane and in some locations is not in direct contact with the Ti PTL. As such, in-plane electric conductivity is essential to remove electrons from the active sites and therefore poor in-plane conductivity can be performance-limiting. These effects are studied in more detail in Section 3.5.

The polarization curves for the PTE and CCM are plotted in Figure 2c. The polarization curve reproducibility study is shown in Figure S2. The CCM electrolyzer cell reaches higher current densities compared to the PTE cell for the same iR-corrected potentials. As current density increases, the difference between the two polarization curves increases. For example, at 800 mA/cm² the potential difference is more than 200 mV. The dissimilarity in polarization curves can be explained by the differences in ionic and electronic conductivity between the two MEAs which will be discussed in more detail in Section 3.5.

Nano-CT tomography scans allow further elucidation of the CCM catalyst distribution (the more uniform, and better performing configuration).
Porosity and pore size distribution (PSD) are two PTL properties that provide additional context to tortuosity factor values for the void phase over the solid phase in both samples. This means the void pathways are more tortuous than the solid pathways which can be explained by the sample to have more solid phase rather than void. (Figure 5f). Sintered titanium has the highest void tortuosity factor of 3.9, compared to the Ti fiber PTL tortuosity factor of 3.3. This result is consistent with the average porosity of the two samples, with the lower average (0.36 void fraction) for sintered Ti.

The void phase for the two cases demonstrates anisotropic behavior with respect to tortuosity factor as the PTLs' in-plane values differ greatly from the thru-plane. Sintered Ti and Ti fiber had similar thru-plane tortuosity factors of 2.2 and 2.3, respectively. As stated previously titanium fiber and the solid line represents isolation of catal

Figure 3a shows the in-plane distance between two electrocatalyst clusters of (~7 µm) and Figure 3b is a volume rendering of this nano-CT scan supporting the non-uniformity of the two samples) at a smaller resolution of ~30 nm. The results of this are in Figure 3. Figure 3a shows the in-plane distance between two electrocatalyst clusters of (~7 µm) and Figure 3b is a volume rendering of this nano-CT scan supporting the non-uniformity of the two samples) at a smaller resolution of ~30 nm. The results of this are in Figure 3.
before, the difference between tortuosity in-plane factors for the two PTLs is mainly due to sintered Ti having lower volume fraction, but it is worth noting that the mechanism of laser sintering perhaps introduces directionality. For the fiber PTL, in-plane tortuosity is higher than thru-plane, which can be seen in the volume rendering (Figure 3b) as a higher quantity of void pathways are observable in the thru-plane direction compared to the in-plane direction. This result is in contrast with GDLs that are used in a fuel cell, which demonstrate the reverse tortuosity factor trend where preferential fiber alignment allows a less restricted flow in-plane than in the thru-plane direction (i.e., a higher thru-plane tortuosity factor). The major differences between the Ti fiber PTLs and fuel cell carbon GDLs are the fabrication techniques and the porosity (the GDL porosity is often more than twice that of the Ti fiber [36-39]). Here we do not observe strong preferential fiber orientation in-plane (Figure 4b). We also report representative, area-averaged streamlines from the TauFactor simulations in SM, Figure S5, to show the path line of flow through the void fractions of both PTLs. Figure S5a and c support the similar tortuosity factors reported for both samples, but in-plane sintered Ti has a more uniform flux density (Figure S5b) than the flux density of titanium fiber (Figure S5d). This is consistent with the thru-plane and in-plane porosities for the two materials shown in SM, Figure 5a and b, respectively. Ti fiber in-plane porosity is consistently higher than sintered Ti with notable periodic exceptions (e.g., at ~0.3 mm and ~0.9 mm in Figure S6b), which is consistent with areas of higher flux depicted in the representative projection in Figure S5d. Similarly, the sintered Ti has a uniform porosity across the in-plane width (Figure S6b) and a uniform flux density (Figure S5b).

The PTLs' PSD is expressed as a probability density function (PDF) histogram for the pore radii. Figure 5c and d show representative pore-size distributions for the sintered and fiber PTLs, respectively, and Figure 5e reports the mean pore radius which was the average of three analyzed volumes each. The Ti fiber PTL has larger average void radius size of 7.7 µm compared to sintered PTL of 5.4 µm, providing a less tortuous network for water and gas transport through. Visual observations of the Ti fiber PTL volume rendering (Figure 4b) depicts pore openings in the thru-plane direction to be larger than the 7.7 µm average. The smaller result is attributed to the Bone image analysis method. BoneJ computes the maximum spherical volume in the void phase before encountering a solid barrier. The resulting sphere diameter is exported as the local pore diameter. Thus, the fitted sphere method accounts for the shallower pixel resolution so the actual error may be different. Porosity was calculated using segmented tomographic PTL volumes and it was found that Ti fiber had a higher porosity (44%) than sintered Ti (36%) (Figure 5f). An ex-situ PTL porosity characterization by weight resulted in porosities of 44% and 36%, for Ti Fiber and sintered Ti PTLs respectively, which is consistent with our image analysis technique.

Overall, higher porosity and larger pore-sizes are desirable when designing a PTL as they enable faster water and oxygen transport. Furthermore, higher porosity will result in lower void tortuosity, which seems to be one of the critical morphological factors. Fiber PTL did show a slightly higher current densities for the same applied iR-corrected potential, which can be attributed to its higher porosity, larger void sizes and lower void tortuosity, with overall low tortuosity values for the solid phase. This difference might become more pronounced at larger current densities, where mass-transport becomes increasingly significant.

Interfacial Analysis

Using tomography data for the two electrolyzer comparisons (PTE vs. CCM and sintered titanium PTL vs. titanium fiber PTL), we were able to quantify the interfacial connectivity of the catalyst and bordering phases. For the PTE we assumed that the catalyst was in direct contact with the PTL because the ink (catalyst particles and ionomer) is directly deposited onto the titanium PTL. Similarly, we...
assumed the catalyst particles and surrounding ionomer were in contact with the membrane for the CCM configuration, as the ink was directly deposited onto the membrane. Figure 6 shows our results for TPCA ratio calculated using Eqn. 4 and defined as the interfacial ratio of areas where transport layer, catalyst, and PEM are all in physical contact.

Figure 6a shows the anode side of the electrolyzer, where the OER occurs (Eq. 2); the CCM has a higher interfacial contact of 53% compared to the PTE of 30%. This is consistent with the electrolyzer performance shown by polarization curves in Figure 2c as the micro-CT CCM cell achieves superior performance with respect to the micro-CT PTE cell despite having lower catalyst loadings on both the anode and cathode. On the cathode side, the PTE had a higher catalyst connectivity at the interface. The PTE cathode had a higher catalyst loading than the cathode side of the CCM which explains the higher interfacial contact area for the PTE configuration. This did not notably affect performance because the OER on the anode side (Eq. 2) is the limiting half reaction for water electrolysis.

Figure 6b compares the interfacial contact area between two different PTL titanium morphologies. As shown in Figure 4f, sintered titanium has a higher solid fraction than titanium fiber. Even so, the titanium fiber TPCA exceeded the catalyst connectivity of the sintered titanium in a CCM system by 10% (63 % for Ti fiber and 53 % for sintered Ti). Both CCMs had the same anode catalyst loading. From SM, Figure S6a we observe the thru-plane porosity as consistent for both PTLs. Therefore, we believe the slight difference in TPCA in favor of the Ti fiber is due to the PEM being more conformal with the fiber PTL at the interface. The polarization curves in Figure 4c are consistent with this contact result as the titanium fiber PTL electrolyzer performed slightly better than the sintered titanium in our micro-CT electrolyzer cell.

Radiography

From radiography we calculated fraction of oxygen bubble residence in the channel, where ‘0’ indicates the channel is filled by water and ‘1’ when the channel is filled by oxygen (Figure 7). From Figure 7a, for 500 mA/cm$^2$ and 800 mA/cm$^2$ both sintered Ti and Ti fiber showed similar fractions of oxygen in the channel ~0.5 and 0.6, respectively. As already mentioned above, the polarization curves in this lower current density regime were also similar for these two PTLs. Therefore, the selection of using sintered Ti or Ti fiber as the PTL when operating below 1 A/cm$^2$ is not as critical, as mass-transport is not the dominant cause of overpotentials.

Modeling

Using the simulation model, polarization curves were simulated for CCM and PTE configurations. Figure 8a shows the simulated pol-curves for both configurations.

Quantitatively, the model shows performance similar to the experimental results in Figure 2c, with the CCM performing better than the PTE configuration in both cases. While analyzing the electronic potential profile in CCM configuration, no significant effect was found due to the discrete solid-void configuration as long as an electrically percolating network of particles exists. The continuum model does not capture the discrete nature of connecting particles, and if the network is not electrically percolating the electric connectivity can be limiting. In the anode catalyst layer there are no electrically conductive supports to enhance electric conductivity.

In the PTE configuration, connectivity of the catalyst layer to the
membrane plays a critical role as shown in Figure 8b. The points of contact between the catalyst layer and membrane were simulated given the sintered Ti PTL morphology, as shown by Figure S7. Since in the PTE configuration catalyst ink conforms to the Ti PTL the contact points between the catalyst layer and membrane can be simulated with the PTL geometry. Significant ionic potential loss occurs at the constriction points where catalyst layer meets membrane. Bottlenecks are formed in proton conduction pathways due to low connectivity between catalyst layer and the membrane, due to the rough interface, resulting in high flux and therefore significant potential gradients.

**Conclusions**

In this study we use X-ray CT and radiography to visualize morphology and oxygen transport in PEM electrolyzers. We use electrochemical polarization curves to quantify the micro-CT electrolyzer cell performance. First, we compare performance of two different electrolyzer MEA configurations, CCM and PTE. We correlate the polarization curves to interface morphology observed with X-ray CT. At 1 A/cm², the micro-CT CCM electrolyzer showed 200 mV improvement in potential primarily due to better contact between the electrocatalyst, membrane, and PTL. From the nano-CT imaging we discovered non-homogeneous distribution of IrOx electrocatalyst and from the images it is also not clear whether the larger IrOx agglomerates are electrically connected via a percolating conductive network of nanoparticles. This results in poor in-plane connectivity from the non-uniform and disconnected catalyst dispersion on the anode side of the electrolyzer.

Then, we compared the polarization behavior and morphology of cells with CCMs but two different titanium PTLs. One was made with sintered Ti and the other with Ti fiber. The Ti fiber PTL showed higher porosity, larger pore sizes and lower tortuositues, both in-plane and thru-plane, translating into slightly lower cell potentials. At 1 A/cm² the Ti fiber cell had potential lower by 45 mV compared to the sintered Ti cell. In our radiography study, oxygen residence in the channels showed similar fractions for both types of PTLs, indicating minor differences in oxygen transport properties of these PTLs. Furthermore, the CCM in contact with the Ti fiber PTL showed higher contact area, which might be due to better conformity of fibers vs. the solid phase of the sintered Ti PTL.

The modeling study shows that the primary reason for performance loss in the PTE configuration is due to low ionic connectivity of catalyst layer with membrane. This causes bottlenecks in proton transport and results in high potential losses in anode.
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