

**Materials
Horizons****Sustainable Sulfur-Carbonaceous Composite Electrode
Toward High Specific Energy Rechargeable Cells**

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal: | <i>Materials Horizons</i> |
| Manuscript ID | MH-COM-08-2019-001224.R2 |
| Article Type: | Communication |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 07-Oct-2019 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Hwa, Yoon; E O Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Energy Technologies Area Kim, Hyo Won; University of California Berkeley, Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Shen, Hao; Xi'an Jiaotong University Parkinson, Dilworth; Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, McCloskey, Bryan; Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Cairns, Elton; University of California, Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering; E O Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

New concept

In general, sulfur active materials reported in most previous literature tend to be encapsulated by another medium in order to prohibit direct contact between sulfur and liquid electrolyte to minimize lithium polysulfide dissolution during cell operation. However, it was demonstrated in this work that sufficient contact between sulfur and electrolyte is highly desired, specifically for high mass loading sulfur electrodes prepared with a conventional aluminum foil current collector, because the limited electrochemical interface due to encapsulation of sulfur can seriously hamper the utilization of sulfur. Here, we have developed a new nitrogen doping methodology of graphene oxide (*NrGO*) which enhances the utilization of the highly sulfur-philic functionality along the *NrGO* basal planes for improved chemical and electrochemical stability of sulfur. In addition, high accessibility of lithium ions to the active sulfur and sufficient electrochemical interface of sulfur, achieved by the reticulated structure of the S-*NrGO* composite promotes electrochemical reaction of the sulfur electrode. Consequently, a high peak specific energy of 325 Wh/kg (calculated) was successfully achieved with a high sulfur mass loading of 6.2 mgS cm⁻² and an electrolyte to sulfur ratio (E/S ratio) of 4 without any further modification such as a multifunctional interlayer, membrane, or 3-dimensional current collector.


Table of Contents Graphic

A (calculated) high specific energy of 325 Wh/kg is achieved by via a rational design of a sulfur-carbonaceous composite electrode.

**Reticulate Porous Structure
of S-NrGO Composite**



**Porous Microstructure
of S-NrGO Electrode**



- E/S ratio: 4
- S loading: 6.2 mg/cm²
- Specific energy:
325 Wh/kg

COMMUNICATION

Sustainable Sulfur-Carbonaceous Composite Electrode Toward High Specific Energy Rechargeable Cells

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

Yoon Hwa,^{a,b,†} Hyo Won Kim,^{a,b,c†} Hao Shen,^{d,e} Dilworth Y. Parkinson,^d Bryan D. McCloskey,^{a,b} and Elton J. Cairns^{a,b,*}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

The micrometer-scale reticulated structure of highly sulfur-phillic nitrogen-doped graphene oxide (NrGO) that is achieved by chemically controlled nitrogen-doping degree improves chemical and electrochemical stability of the active sulfur while providing sufficient sulfur/electrolyte interface for facile electrochemical process so that high specific energy of 325 Wh/kg can be achieved.

Introduction

In recent years, the demands for high energy batteries have been growing rapidly. Within the field of electrochemical couples, the lithium/sulfur (Li/S) cell is considered to be the most promising candidate due to very high theoretical specific energy (2600 Wh/kg), the low cost and the low environmental impact of sulfur (S).¹⁻⁴ Despite its enormous potential to advance the state-of-the-art of rechargeable batteries, the actual performance of the Li/S cell was not competitive with that of Li ion cells. However, significant progress has been made in improving the performance of Li/S cells by addressing various issues associated with the S electrode.⁵⁻¹⁹

As a result of recent advances, researchers' attention has focused primarily on the following general practical issue: maintaining high S utilization in cells with high S mass loading and low inactive material content. In particular, an excess amount of electrolyte (i.e., generally > 10 microliters of electrolyte per milligram of S (E/S ratio = 10)) is a critical limitation for achieving high specific energy. According to the

design calculation (Fig. S1), the use of a S loading of at least 6 mg/cm² along with a low E/S ratio (≤ 4), while maintaining good S utilization (>1000 mAh/gS) is highly desired for reaching 300 Wh/kg and more. Especially, with E/S ratio of 6 or higher, it is unable to achieve specific energy of 300 Wh/kg, even with very high S mass loading of 20 mg/cm². Recently, several reports have claimed to satisfy these requirements mainly by engineering the S electrode, where a carbonaceous⁸⁻¹⁶ or aluminum (Al)¹⁷⁻¹⁹ mesh (or foam) current collector was employed. However, due to technical issues associated with the manufacturing of S electrodes with foam or mesh current collectors, such as difficulty of tabbing for terminal connections (e.g. high contact impedance, brittle joint) and penetration of slurry during casting process and high implementation costs, conventional Al foil current collectors are still very desirable.

Here, we report an advanced S electrode with a conventional Al foil current collector that shows a high discharge specific capacity of 1180 mAh/gS for a high S mass loading electrode (~ 6.2 mg/cm²) with a reduced E/S ratio of 4 without any further modifications in the cell. This achievement is attributed to the rational design of the S active material consisting of S conformally deposited and well-bound, without substantial aggregation, onto a reticulated (referring to the interconnected internal pores and channels) carbonaceous material. The reticulated structure of the S-carbonaceous composite provides a micron-scale porous structure of the S electrode that allows good accessibility of lithium ions to the active S particles and sufficient penetration of the electrolyte into the high mass loading S electrode.

Results and Discussion

To accomplish this, we successfully developed aqueous-dispersed nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide (NrGO) as a multifunctional supporting material and particularly focused on creating a reticulated architecture of the S-NrGO composite (S-NrGO) that optimizes transport of lithium ions within the S electrode. The reticulated architecture of the S-NrGO

^a Energy Storage and Distributed Resources Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, One Cyclotron Rd., Berkeley, California, 94720, USA

^b Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California, 94720, USA

^c Department of Advanced Materials Engineering, Kangwon National University, Samcheok 25931, Republic of Korea

^d Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, One Cyclotron Rd., Berkeley, California, 94720, USA

^e Center for Advancing Materials Performance from the Nanoscale (CAMP-Nano), State Key Laboratory for Mechanical Behavior of Materials, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China

[†] These authors contributed equally.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

composite was successfully constructed by intentionally reducing the aggregation of all composite materials throughout the synthesis process. An aqueous *NrGO* synthetic route that simultaneously reduces the GO oxygen functional groups and introduces N functional groups enables a continuous synthetic process for conformal S deposition onto *NrGO* sheets in suspension. Existence of the N and O functional groups were demonstrated by N_{1s} X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and solid-state ^{13}C CP/MAS nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy and the results are shown in Fig. S2. By adjusting the process temperature, the physical structure of the *NrGO* sheets in the suspension was successfully controlled after the N-doping process so that more basal planes of the *NrGO* were utilized as S deposition sites during the S precipitation process. The prepared S-*NrGO* composite was collected in the form of a powder from a suspension using a lyophilization process that promotes a reticulated porous structure of the S-*NrGO* composite. A melt diffusion process followed to redistribute any S in aggregates formed during the S deposition process. (XPS of the S-*NrGO* are available in Fig. S3 and S4). This synthetic procedure provided an optimal architecture for the S-carbon composites that greatly enhanced S utilization of the high mass loading S electrodes.

Notably, conformally coated S onto the reticulated GO framework in the S-*NrGO* composite exhibited high intrinsic polysulfide absorptivity and the S-*NrGO* electrode cell delivered a (calculated) peak specific energy of 325 Wh/kg. Detailed information for the specific energy calculation is available in Table S1. This is the highest (calculated) specific energy among the Al foil-based S electrodes previously reported (see Fig. 1). Although the S electrodes noted as #6 (10.0 mgS cm⁻²) and #7 (13.9 mgS cm⁻²) in the Fig. 1 achieved very high S loading, they did not offer a specific energy over 300 Wh kg⁻¹ due to their high E/S ratios of 13 and 15, respectively, which emphasizes importance of low E/S ratio to achieve a high specific energy.²⁰

Two S-*NrGO* composites are compared in Fig. 2 (Helium ion microscopy (HIM) images) to highlight the importance of our synthesis procedures. It was demonstrated that the S-*NrGO*

(synthesized using the *NrGO* prepared at 80 °C, *NrGO*) network appears to be more externally accessible and reticulated porous structure at the micron-scale and has a uniform S deposition (Fig. 2a) compared to the S-*NrGO*-100 composite (Fig. 2b) that is synthesized using aggregated *NrGO* suspension prepared at 100 °C (*NrGO*-100). At the higher temperature of 100 °C, N doping and O reduction of the GO occur more extensively, so higher N and lower O contents were obtained (Table S2), which may enhance the electronic conductivity of *NrGO*.²¹ However, due to the fact that the reduction of GO (including reduction caused by the N doping) generally increases hydrophobicity of the GO sheets,²² the *NrGO*-100 exhibited more aggregation than the *NrGO* sheets in the suspension (Fig. S5). Because of the unwanted aggregation of the *NrGO*-100 sheets, a significant portion of their basal planes, which we believe are useful to enhance specific interactions with S species, are concealed within the aggregated structure, limiting the functionality associated with the O or N functional groups. Moreover, the surface area of the *NrGO*-100 available for S deposition is also limited, which means that a thicker and less homogeneous S layer forms during the S deposition process.

While the S content of both samples was approximately the same (S-*NrGO*: 85 %, S-*NrGO*-100: 84 %) as demonstrated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, Fig. S6 and S7), the S-*NrGO* composite exhibited a higher S vaporization temperature than that of the S-*NrGO*-100 composite. This behaviour is similar to the slightly improved thermal stability of polymer composites attributed to a homogeneously mixed nano-filler with a polymeric matrix.²³ Hence, the enhanced thermal stability could be related to the strong interaction between the conformal S layer and the *NrGO* sheets of the S-*NrGO* composite, whereas the S-*NrGO*-100 composite may contain more S that is not strongly bound by the *NrGO* sheets. Despite significantly different morphologies of the S-*NrGO* (Fig. 2a) and the S-*NrGO*-100 (Fig. 2b) composites, the isotherms of both composites follow a reversible Type II isotherm (Fig. 2c) and slightly different Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area (28.5 m²/g for the S-*NrGO* composite vs. 23.0 m²/g for the S-*NrGO*-100 composite) along with a similar trend of pore size distribution (Fig. 2d), implying that similar nano-scale porous structures were formed by the lyophilization process of both composites.

Since the BET surface area analysis does not show the distinct reticulated structure of the S-*NrGO* composite, we performed three-dimensional micro X-ray computed tomography (micro X-ray CT) for the S-*NrGO* and the S-*NrGO*-100 composites. As shown in volume rendering images of the S-*NrGO* composite constructed from the 3D micro X-ray CT images (Fig. 2e), the reticulated pore structure of the S-*NrGO* composite forms continuous open channels throughout the particle, whereas the S-*NrGO*-100 composite (Fig. 2f) seems to be composed of stacked S-*NrGO* flakes with some empty spaces in between them. Based on these results, we speculate that this aggregated structure of the S-*NrGO*-100 composite restricts the Li⁺ transport at high S mass loading in comparison with the more accessible and reticulated structure of the S-*NrGO* composite.

To confirm this concept, we prepared high S mass loading (~




Fig. 1 Calculated peak specific energy of Li/S cells using S electrodes with a conventional aluminum foil current collector. Only the previous reports containing numerical values used for the specific energy calculation are compared in the figure (*: The cell includes an interlayer or specially-coated separator). Detailed information for the specific energy calculation, as well as the other previous reports that are not included in the figure are listed in Table S1. The numbers for the datapoints correspond to the reference numbers in the Table S1.




Fig. 2 Helium ion microscopy images of (a) the S-NrGO and (b) the S-NrGO-100 composite. (scale bar: 20 μm) (c) BET surface area measurement result and (d) pore size distribution of the S-NrGO and S-NrGO-100 composites. $dV/d\log D$ data is used for differential pore volume on Y-axis. Volume rendering images of (e) the S-NrGO and (f) the S-NrGO-100 composites.

7.2 mgS/cm² S-NrGO electrodes and S-NrGO-100 electrodes with Al foil and elucidated the micro-scale structure of the prepared electrodes using micro X-ray CT. Because of the reticulated structure of the S-NrGO composite, the S-NrGO electrode obviously exhibits highly porous structure as shown in the volume rendering image (Fig. 3a) and cross section image (Fig. S8a), compared to the S-NrGO-100 electrode (Fig. 3b and S8b). According to the average porosity estimation results (from top surface to the interior of the electrodes, Fig. 3c), the S-NrGO electrode exhibits significantly higher porosity with larger average pore size (Fig. S9) than the S-NrGO-100 electrode, where the S-NrGO electrode exhibited up to 50 % porosity, while the that of the S-NrGO-100 electrode is below 30 %.

In order to investigate the electrochemical behaviour of the S-NrGO and S-NrGO-100 electrodes, the electrodes were discharged and charged in Type 2032 coin cells (Fig. 3d) with electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement being performed at the end of each process. Because of the more aggregated architecture of the S-NrGO-100 composite, the S-NrGO-100 electrode exhibited a significant discharge overpotential that caused a relatively low discharge specific capacity of 960 mAh/gS, whereas the S-NrGO electrode showed a specific capacity of 1220 mAh/gS with discharge plateaus around 2.30 V and 2.03 V. The Nyquist plots shown in Fig. S10 support the galvanostatic test results, where the S-NrGO-100 electrode exhibits larger impedance than the S-NrGO electrode, which is mainly due to the aggregated structure of the S-NrGO-100 electrode. The reticulated-structured S-NrGO electrode




Fig. 3 Volume rendering images of (a) the S-NrGO electrode and (b) the S-NrGO-100 electrode (Scale bar: 100 μm , subvolume: 400 μm \times 400 μm \times 50 μm). (c) Porosity distribution of the S-NrGO and the S-NrGO-100 electrodes. (d) Voltage profiles of the S-NrGO and the S-NrGO-100 electrodes at 0.02C (1C=1675 mA/g_S). The electrolyte was composed of DOL:DME (1:1, v/v) with 1.0 M LiTFSI and 0.5 M LiNO₃. (S loading: \sim 7.2 mg/cm², E/S ratio: 5).

(Fig. S11a) provides sufficient channels to allow the electrolyte to penetrate the S-NrGO composite particles and the electrode and maintains its open structure during cell operation (Fig. S11c), while the aggregated structure of the S-NrGO-100 electrode (Fig. S11b) provides limited electrochemical interface due to aggregation of the active material (Fig. S11d).

To verify that our S-NrGO electrode is able to meet the requirements for high specific energy Li/S cells, a galvanostatic cycling test was performed for a high mass loading S-NrGO electrode (\sim 6.2 mgS/cm²) with a low E/S ratio of 4 (\sim 25 $\mu\text{L}/\text{cm}^2$ electrolyte) in a coin cell. Notably, the S-NrGO electrode exhibited a discharge specific capacity of \sim 1180 mAh/gS at 0.3 mA/cm² (Fig. 4a) and maintained a specific capacity of 700-900 mAh/gS (Fig. 4b) along with mean discharge voltage of 2.09-2.11 V for 50 cycles, which indicates significant progress in improving the specific energy (Wh/kg) of the Li/S cells. A high calculated specific energy of 325 Wh/kg was obtained, which is mainly attributed to the low E/S ratio of 4, while maintaining high S utilization (differential capacity plots are shown in Fig. S12). Long term cycling performance of the S-NrGO electrodes was also demonstrated with an E/S ratio of 5 (Fig. S13) and 7 (Fig. 4c) at 0.1 C, where a specific capacity of over 650 mAh/gS was maintained after 300 cycles.

Notably, the electrochemical performances of the high S loading S-NrGO electrodes discussed above were achieved by designing an externally accessible and reticulated structure of the active materials. However, we expect that a larger electrochemical interface between the S electrode and electrolyte raises the chance of lithium polysulfide release that causes loss of active S from the electrode. Since the S-NrGO electrode exhibited promising cycle life without any unique protection in the cell, it is worthwhile investigating the physical/chemical properties of the S-NrGO composite. To do so, we prepared S-NrGO composite that has almost identical




Fig. 4 Electrochemical test results of high-loading S-NrGO electrodes. (a) Voltage profiles and (b) cycling performances of the S-NrGO electrode at 0.1 C (S loading: ~ 6.2 mg/cm², E/S ratio: 4). (c) Cycling performance at 0.1 C and 0.05 C for discharge and charge processes, respectively (S loading: 3.0 mg/cm², E/S ratio: 7). The electrolyte composed of 1.0 M LiTFSI in DOL/DME (1:1, v/v) with 0.5 M LiNO₃ was used for the tests. Type 2032 coin cells were used.

chemical composition of the NrGO as that of the S-NrGO composite (XPS, Fig. S14), but has a rather undesirable aggregated S-NrGO microstructure, prepared by a conventional filtration process conducted to collect the S-NrGO composite as a powder (referred to as aggregated S-NrGO, HIM and SEM images shown in Fig. 5a and 5b, respectively). While the S content of both samples was 85 % as demonstrated by TGA (Fig. S15), the S-NrGO composite exhibited a higher vaporization temperature of the S than that of the aggregated S-NrGO composite, which corresponds to the phenomenon demonstrated for the S-NrGO and the S-NrGO-100 composites (Fig. S4). Since both the S-NrGO-100 composite and the aggregated S-NrGO composite form S aggregates during the melt diffusion process, it can be hypothesized that thermal stability is related to the S distribution on the NrGO.

The polysulfide dissolution test results also provide evidence that the S-NrGO composite exhibited improved retention of S compared to the aggregated S-NrGO composite. As shown in Fig. 5c, the test solution of the S-NrGO composite remained colorless after 8 hours, whereas the test solution of the aggregated S-NrGO composite rapidly displayed a dark yellow color, indicative of polysulfide formation due to the reaction of the S contained in the composite with the Li₂S dissolved in the test solution. The results indicate that the S in the S-NrGO composite dissolved into the test solution more slowly and was retained to a higher degree than the S in the aggregated S-NrGO composite. These results ostensibly conflict with the BET surface area analysis of each composite (Fig. S16), where the S-NrGO has a higher surface area and therefore likely exposes a larger S surface area to the test solution. A possible explanation of the enhanced S retention in the S-NrGO is an enhanced interaction between S and the non-aggregated NrGO, presumably due to the larger NrGO surface area available for interaction with S. S redistribution occurred by the thermal S infiltration process enhances binding between S and NrGO, possibly due to thermal new C-S bond formation on the NrGO carbon substructure,²⁴ and improved contact between S and

highly S-philic N-based²⁵ and O-based functional groups²⁶ on the surface of the NrGO flake, while the aggregated S-NrGO forms aggregated S in the composite (Fig. 5b). Consequently, S-reticulated NrGO substrate established secures deposited S well, which enhances the thermal and chemical stability of the S in the S-NrGO composite. In addition, the S-philic surface of the NrGO can be fully utilized during cell operation, which was confirmed by chemical and electrochemical polysulfide adsorption tests (Fig. S17-S19). Li polysulfides in either the polysulfide absorption test solution and the polysulfide catholyte form Li bond with a N or O electron donor as a kind of dipole-dipole interaction, leading to secure Li polysulfide near the surface of the NrGO flakes.^{27,28}

To demonstrate the importance of the reticulated porous structure of the S-NrGO composites along with chemical stability of active S on the electrochemical behaviour of the Li/S cells, galvanostatic cell tests were conducted at 0.05 C. Both the S-NrGO and the aggregated S-NrGO electrodes exhibited the typical discharge voltage profiles of a S electrode with plateaus around 2.4 V and 2.1 V (Fig. 5d). Identical first discharge plateaus that represent the formation of high-order polysulfides were demonstrated for the S-NrGO and the aggregated S-NrGO electrodes. However, a more prolonged second discharge plateau results in a higher total discharge capacity of 1410 mAh/gS for the S-NrGO electrode than that of the aggregated S-NrGO electrode (1310 mAh/gS). A similar trend was also observed during the charge process, where the S-NrGO electrode recovered 95.3 % of the discharge capacity after the complete charge whereas the aggregated S-NrGO electrode showed 90.1 % recovery of the discharge capacity. Ex-situ ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy results of the electrolytes collected from the cycled cells (Fig. 5e) confirm that a smaller amount of polysulfides remained in the electrolyte of the S-NrGO electrode cell after the complete discharge and charge process than the aggregated S-NrGO electrode cell, which illustrates the high intrinsic polysulfide absorptivity of the S-NrGO electrode (Absorbance peaks: 320 nm,²⁹⁻³¹ 350 nm,^{29, 31} 420 nm²⁹⁻³¹ and 470 nm^{29,31}). In accordance with the above results, the S-NrGO electrode exhibited 1.4–1.5 times higher specific discharge capacity than that of the aggregated S-NrGO electrode at 0.1 C and 1.0 C, which mainly resulted from the prolonged second discharge plateau (Fig. S20). EIS results at the charged state demonstrate that the reticulated structure of the S-NrGO significantly reduces the cell impedance associated with the electrochemical reaction rate and mass transport compared to that of the aggregated S-NrGO electrode (Fig. S21). As a result, the S-NrGO electrode exhibited significantly improved cycling performance over 300 cycles (Fig. S22).

Conclusions

In summary, we demonstrated a (calculated) peak specific energy of 325 Wh/kg for a Li/S-NrGO cell by the rational design of a S-NrGO electrode consisting of a conformal S layer deposited onto a NrGO framework without aggregation. The high S loading S-NrGO electrode (~ 6.2 mgS/cm²) with a conventional Al foil exhibited a high discharge specific capacity




Fig. 5 (a) HIM and (b) SEM images of the aggregated S-NrGO composite (scale bar: (a) 20 μm , (b) 2 μm). White arrows indicate S aggregations. (c) Polysulfide dissolution test results of the S-NrGO and aggregated S-NrGO composites. The test solution is composed of 1.0 M LiTFSI and 0.5 M LiNO₃ in DOL/DME (1:1, v/v) with a stoichiometric amount of Li₂S powder added (molar ratio of 1:7 between Li₂S and S in the S-NrGO composite). (d) Voltage profiles of the S-NrGO and the aggregated S-NrGO electrodes at 0.05 C. The electrolyte composed of 1.0 M LiTFSI in DOL/DME (1:1, v/v) with 0.5 M LiNO₃ was used for the tests. (S loading: 1.0 mgS/cm²). (e) UV-vis test results of the electrolyte collected from the cycled cells.

of ~ 1180 mAh/gS at 0.3 mA/cm² with a low E/S ratio of 4, which ultimately provides one of the highest specific energy Li/S cells reported to date. It was found that this externally accessible and reticulated structure of the S-NrGO composite enables enhanced utilization of the highly S-philic O, N-based functional group and thermally formed C-S bonding along the NrGO sheet basal planes, thereby providing improved chemical and electrochemical stability of the S. In addition, the externally accessible and reticulated structure allows Li ions in the electrolyte to have good access to the inside of the S-NrGO composite particle and to sufficiently penetrate the electrode, while allowing dissolved polysulfides to be redeposited onto the S-NrGO electrode more uniformly during cell operation. This achievement improves upon the existing state-of-the-art for inexpensive and high specific energy Li/S cells, and provides critical insight into appropriate electrode microstructures and synthesis procedures for S-graphene based composites.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare

Acknowledgement

Work at the Molecular Foundry was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. B.D.M. and H.W.K. gratefully acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation under grant number CBET-1604927. Micro X-ray CT (beamline 8.3.2) experiment was performed at the Advanced Light Source, which is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Notes and references

- P. G. Bruce, S. A. Freunberger, L. J. Hardwick and J.-M. Tarascon, *Nat. Mater.* 2011, **11**, 19-29
- X. Ji and L. F. Nazar, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2010, **20**, 9821-9826.
- M.-K. Song, E. J. Cairns and Y. Zhang, *Nanoscale* 2013, **5**, 2186-2204.
- Y. Yang, G. Zheng, and Y. Cui, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, **42**, 3018-3032.
- X. Ji, K. T. Lee and L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Mater.* 2009, **8**, 500.
- M.-K. Song, Y. Zhang and E. J. Cairns, *Nano Lett.* 2013, **13**, 5891-5899.
- Y. Hwa, J. Zhao, and E. J. Cairns, *Nano Lett.* 2015, **15**, 3479-3486.
- Q. Long, Z. Chenxi and M. Arumugam, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2016, **6**, 1502459.
- P. Quan, L. Xiao, K. C. Yuen, K. Joern and L. F. Nazar, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, **7**, 1601630.
- H.-J. Peng, W.-T. Xu, L. Zhu, D.-W. Wang, J.-Q. Huang, X.-B. Cheng, Z. Yuan, F. Wei, and Q. Zhang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2016, **26**, 6351-6358.
- Z. Yuan, H.-J. Peng, J.-Q. Huang, X.-Y. Liu, D.-W. Wang, X.-B. Cheng and Q. Zhang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2014, **24**, 6105-6112.
- Z. Li, J. T. Zhang, Y. M. Chen, J. Li and X.W. Lou, *Nat. Commun.* 2015, **6**, 8850.
- S.-H. Chung, and A. Manthiram, *Joule* 2018, **2**, 710-724.
- S.-H. Chung, and A. Manthiram, *Adv. Mater.* 2018, **30**, 1705951.
- C. Wang, K. Su, W. Wan, H. Guo, H. Zhou, J. Chen, X. Zhang and Y. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, **2**, 5018-5023.
- G. Zhou, L. Li, C. Ma, S. Wang, Y. Shi, N. Koratkar, W. Ren and F. Li, *Nano Energy* 2015, **11**, 356-365.
- X.-B. Cheng, H.-J. Peng, J.-Q. Huang, L. Liu, H.-W. Zhang, W. Zhu, F. Wei and Q. Zhang, *J. Power Sources* 2014, **261**, 264-270.
- Y. Hwa, H. K. Seo, J.-M. Yuk and E. J. Cairns, *Nano Lett.* 2017, **17**, 7086-7094.
- J. Liu, D. G. D. Galpaya, L. Yan, M. Sun, Z. Lin, C. Yan, C. Liang and S. Zhang, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2017, **10**, 750-755.
- B. D. McCloskey, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2015, **6**, 4581-4588.
- C. Mattevi, G. Eda, S. Agnoli, S. Miller, K. A. Mkhoyan, O. Celik, D. Mastrogianni, G. Granozzi, E. Garfunkel and M. Chhowalla, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2009, **19**, 2577-2583.
- K. Erickson, R. Erni, Z. Lee, N. Alem, W. Gannett and A. Zetti, *Adv. Mater.* 2010, **22**, 4467-4472.
- H. W. Kim, J. H. Yoon, K. M. Diederichsen, J. E. Shin, B. M. Yoo, B. D. McCloskey and H. B. Park, *Macromole. Mater. Eng.* 2017, **302**, 1700039.
- J. Park, J. Moon, C. Kim, J. H. Kang, E. Lim, J. Park, K. J. Lee, S.-H. Yu, J.-H. Seo, J. Lee, J. Heo, N. Tanaka, S.-P. Cho, J. Pyun, J. Cabana, B. H. Hong and Y.-E. Sung, *Npg. Asia Materials* 2016, **8**, e272.
- J. Song, T. Xu, M. L. Gordin, P. Zhu, D. Lv, Y.-B. Jiang, Y. Chen, Y. Duan and D. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2014, **24**, 1243-1250.
- L. Ji, M. Rao, H. Zhang, L. Zhang, Y. Li, W. Duan, J. Guo, E. J. Cairns and Y. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, **133**, 18522-18525.
- T. Maihom, S. Kaewruang, N. Phattharasupakun, P. Chiochan, J. Limtrakul and M. Sawangphruk, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2018, **122**, 7033-7040.
- T.-Z. Hou, W.-T. Xu, X. Chen, H.-J. Peng, J.-Q. Huang and Q. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, **56**, 8178-8182.c
- C. Barchasz, F. Molton, C. Duboc, J.-C. Lepretre, S. Patoux and F. Alloin, *Anal. Chem.* 2012, **84**, 3973-3980.
- N. A. Cañas, D. N. Fronczek, N. Wagner, A. Latz and K. A. Friedrich, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2014, **118**, 12106-12114.
- Q. Zou and Y.-C. Lu, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2016, **7**, 1518-1525.