



Effect of germination time on antioxidative activity and composition of yellow pea soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds

Journal:	Food & Function
Manuscript ID	FO-ART-04-2019-000799.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Aug-2019
Complete List of Authors:	xu, minwei; North Dakota State University, Plant Sciences jin, zhao; North Dakota State University, Plant Sciences Ohm, Jae-Bom; USDA-ARS Northern Plains Area, , Red River Valley Agricultural Research Center, Cereal Crops Research Unit, Hard Spring and Durum Wheat Quality Lab Schwarz, PAUL; North Dakota State University, Plant Sciences Rao, Jiajia; North Dakota State University, Plant Sciences Chen, Bingcan; North Dakota State University, Plant Sciences



1	Effect of germination time on antioxidative activity and composition of
2	yellow pea soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds
3	Minwei Xu ¹ , Zhao Jin ¹ , Jae-Bom Ohm ² , Paul Schwarz ¹ , Jiajia Rao ¹ , Bingcan Chen ^{1*}
4	¹ Department of Plant Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58108, USA
5	² Edward T. Schafer Agricultural Research Center, Cereal Crops Research Unit, Hard Spring and
6	Durum Wheat Quality Lab, USDA-ARS, Fargo, North Dakota 58108, United States
7	
8	Revision submitted to Food & Function
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel. (701) 231-9450, e-mail: bingcan.chen@ndsu.edu

22 ABSTRACT

This research aims to study antioxidative activities of polar solvent extractable phenolic 23 compounds from yellow pea with different germination time against oil-in-water emulsion 24 oxidation. After germination (0, 2, 4, and 6 days), soluble free and polar soluble bound 25 phenolic compounds were extracted and their antioxidative activity was evaluated using 26 stripped soybean oil (SSO)-in-water emulsions. Liquid chromatography coupled with 27 electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-QTOF-MS) 28 and size-exclusion chromatography with multiangle-light-scattering and refractive-index 29 detection (SEC-MALS-RI) were employed to analyze the phenolic composition and molar 30 mass, respectively. Antioxidative activities of soluble free phenolic compounds increased in 31 SSO-in-water emulsion system, while that of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds 32 decreased with germination. On the basis of chemometric analysis, pratensein (2), phloridzin 33 (4), quercetin (9), sayanedine (12), hesperetin (13), glyzaglabrin (14), and pinocembrin (15) 34 were speculated as the pivotal phenolic compounds responsible for the hydrogen donating 35 capacity. Additionally, decreased molecular weight of soluble bound phenolic compounds 36 was accompanied with the reduction of antioxidative activity in SSO-in-water emulsions 37 38 indicating the moieties of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds also have an important impact on the antioxidative activity of phenolic compounds. 39

40 KEYWORDS: natural antioxidant; lipid oxidation; emulsion; molar mass; dual effect

- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44

45 **1. Introduction**

Edible pulse seeds are high in antioxidant potential, dietary fiber, resistant starch, protein, vitamins, and minerals with a gluten-free status.¹ Germination has been regarded as an effective process to improve nutrient digestibility and accessibility, as well as phenolic compounds of pulse seeds.² An overwhelming amount of publications have reported that germination can improve the quantity of total phenolic compounds, which is considered an indicator of antioxidant potential.³⁻⁶ However, the effect of germination on the quality of phenolic compounds, particularly their antioxidative activity, is inconsistent.

53 The antioxidative activity of phenolic compounds is believed to be associated with phenolic composition. Different phenolic compounds have different antioxidative potential, 54 e.g. chlorogenic acid > quercetin > gallic acid > *trans*-resveratrol > rutin > caffeic acid based 55 on 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging capacity.⁷ During germination, 56 a new class of phenolic compounds can be biosynthesized through the Shikimate pathway, 57 while existing phenolic compounds can be either depleted by free radicals or as the 58 precursors of phytochemicals.⁸ Germination of pulse seeds would either cause the increase or 59 decrease the amount of specific phenolic compounds. Gan et al. reported that after 5 days of 60 germination, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, and p-coumaric acid in black mung bean increased 61 from 2.51 to 6.72, 2.10 to 5.57, and 1.50 to 22.0 mg/100 g dry basis (d.b.), respectively. On 62 the contrary, Kim et al.⁵ reported that after 4 days of germination, veratric acid, 63 protocatechuic acid, and p-coumaric acid in soybean decreased from 2.17 to 0.53, 85.57 to 64 57.36, and 2.96 to 0.39 μ g/g, respectively. 65



6 In comparison to the individual phenolic compound, the moieties of phenolic compounds

play a preponderant role in phenolic antioxidative activity.⁸ Phenolic compounds can be 67 classified as either extractable or non-extractable based on their solubility in different 68 solvents, e.g. ferulic acid is extractable when bound to glucoside; however, it becomes 69 non-extractable after covalently bound to arabinoxylans.⁹ Most extractable phenolic 70 compounds are studied with polar solvent and named as polar solvent extractable phenolic 71 compounds, while non-polar soluble bound phenolic compounds have not been widely 72 concerned and were regularly discarded with the defatting process.^{10,11} Polar solvent 73 extractable phenolic compounds can be further divided into soluble free and polar soluble 74 bound phenolic compounds depending on the absence or the presence of strong polar 75 moieties. Polar solvent extractable phenolic compounds are of great antioxidative activity 76 after extraction.¹² Soluble free phenolic compounds displayed better antioxidative activity 77 than polar soluble bound phenolic compounds in the *in vitro* system.^{13,14} However, the real 78 food system is more complicated than the *in vitro* system. 79

Our recent research found that germination increases the total phenolic content of yellow 80 pea, lentil, and chickpea. However, the antioxidant activities of these phenolic compounds 81 showed different variations using *in vitro* assays.¹⁵ Furthermore, we found only the polar 82 soluble bound phenolics from chickpea can effectively prevent oil-in-water emulsion 83 oxidation.¹⁵ We then focused on the elucidation of how germination impacts the structural 84 properties of both free and polar soluble bond phenolic compounds in germinated chickpea, 85 and proposed a dual-effect antioxidant mechanism to describe the enhanced antioxidative 86 efficacy of soluble bond phenolics in emulsions.¹⁶ In this research, a mixed variety of yellow 87 pea was employed to further our understanding of how the structural and composition 88

changes phenolic compounds during seeds germination could impact their antioxidative 89 activity in real food system. The aims of this study were to (i) evaluate the antioxidative 90 activities of phenolic compounds extracted from germinated yellow pea mixture in stripped 91 soybean oil (SSO)-in-water emulsions oxidation, (ii) analyze the composition and molecular 92 weight of phenolic compounds with electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass 93 spectrometry (LC-ESI-QTOF-MS), and size-exclusion chromatography with 94 multiangle-light-scattering and refractive-index detection (SEC-LC-MALS-RI), respectively, 95 and (iii) unravel the mechanism by which germination affects antioxidative activity of 96 97 phenolic compounds from germinated yellow pea.

98 2. Materials and Methods

99 2.1. Materials and Chemicals

Yellow pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) from the 2017 crop year was gifted from JM Grain, Viterra Inc, and AGT Food and Ingredients. The manufactures have noted that yellow pea is the mixture of different cultivars collecting from farmers. Commercial soybean oil was purchased locally. Ammonium thiocyanate, hexanal, and hexanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals and solvents were purchased from VWR International.

106 **2.2. Germination of Yellow Pea**

Yellow peas from three different sources were mixed thoroughly before germination to
 better represent the species. The method of yellow pea germination was adapted from Xu and
 co-workers without modification.¹⁵

110 **2.3. Extraction of Phenolic Compounds**

Extraction of phenolic compounds from germinated yellow pea was performed as 111 described by Xu and co-workers.¹⁶ Briefly, 12 g of ground yellow pea flour was defatted with 112 60 mL of n-hexane. After shaking for 15 min under nitrogen, the solvent layer was discarded. 113 A duplication was applied to ensure the complete defatting. After evaporating the solvent, the 114 defatted meal was mixed with 60 mL of acetone/H₂O (7/3, v/v), purged with N₂, and then 115 shaken for 1 h. After centrifugation of the resulting slurry for 5 min at $2,000 \times g$, the 116 supernatant was collected. The extraction was triplicated, and supernatants were combined. 117 The crude phenolic solution was then prepared by evaporating the acetone from the 118 supernatants at 40 °C with rotary evaporation (RE 111 Buchi, Flawil, Switzerland), and the 119 pH value of the solution was adjusted to 3.0. 120

Soluble free phenolic compounds were extracted from the crude phenolic solution by partitioning three times with ethyl ether/ethyl acetate (1/1, v/v). The supernatants were combined, and the solvent was removed with rotary evaporation. Remaining solvent traces were removed with a nitrogen purge. The dried samples were redissolved using 15 mL methanol and the solution was transferred into storage vials. The vials were stored at 4 °C. Soluble free phenolic compounds extracted from yellow pea after 0, 2, 4, and 6 days germination were labeled as YF0, YF2, YF4, and YF6, respectively.

Polar soluble bound phenolic compounds were collected by evaporating any remaining organic solvent from the subnatant of the abovementioned ethyl ether/ethyl acetate solution. The remaining extract was transferred to storage vials using 50 mL water as a solvent and stored at 4 °C. Polar soluble bound phenolic compounds extracted from yellow pea after 0, 2, 4, and 6 days germination were labeled as YB0, YB2, YB4, and YB6, respectively.

Page 7 of 36

133

134	Stripped soybean oil (SSO) and SSO-in-water emulsions were prepared according to Xu
135	et al without modification ¹⁵ . The final emulsion consisted of 2.0 wt % SSO and 0.2 wt%
136	Tween 20, and the pH was adjusted to 7.0.
137	Yellow pea extracts were added into freshly prepared SSO-in-water emulsions at a fixed
138	concentration of 200 μ g gallic acid equivalence (GAE)/g oil to study the antioxidative
139	activity. A 0.5 mL aliquot of mixture was transferred into a 20 mL vial which was then sealed
140	with an aluminum cap. These vials were stored in an incubator (Heratherm Incubator
141	ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 25 °C and covered with aluminum foil for
142	oxidative stability study.

2.4. Preparation of Stripped Soybean Oil-in-Water Emulsions

143 **2.5. Measurement of Emulsion Oxidation**

Lipid hydroperoxides were determined using a method adapted from Xu et al.¹⁵ The 144 concentration of hydroperoxides was calculated from a cumene hydroperoxide standard 145 curve. Hexanal and hexanol were determined directly from the sealed vials during incubation. 146 A 50/30 mm DVB/Carboxen/PDMS solid-phase microextraction (SPME) fiber needle 147 (57298U, Supelco, Bellefonte, USA) was employed to absorb volatiles in the headspace of 148 GC vials. The analysis was performed with Agilent Technologies 7890B GC system and 149 5977A mass detector equipped with a PAL RSI 120 auto-sampler. Both SPME and GC-MS 150 parameters were adapted from the method of Xu et al. Selected ion monitoring (SIM) was 151 used for the detection of hexanal (m/z: 72 and 82) and hexanol (m/z: 55, 56, and 69). 152 Identification of hexanal and hexanol were carried out by comparing GC retention time with 153 those of reference standard compounds. The concentration of hexanal and hexanol (µmol/L 154

emulsion) were determined on the basis of the calibration curves. Limit of detection and quantification (LOD and LOQ, respectively) were calculated based on ratio of signal to noise (3 times and 10 times, respectively). Seven replicates of 2 mL fresh SSO-in-water emulsion were performed to evaluate the ratio of signal to noise. Finally, the LOD and LOQ of hexanal were 0.87 and 2.90 μ mol/L, while those of hexanol were 0.39 and 1.30 μ mol/L, respectively.

160 2

2.6. SEC-LC-MALS-RI Analysis

Polar soluble bound phenolic compounds collected in Section 2.3 were concentrated by 161 reducing the volume by 90%, and the resulting solutions were filtered through a 0.2 μ m 162 163 polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) disposable membrane filter prior to SEC-MALS-RI analysis. SEC-MALS-RI analysis was carried out using a Yarra 3 μ m SEC-4000, 300 \times 7.8 mm SEC 164 column, an Agilent G1315 C DAD detector, an Agilent 1362 A RI detector, and a DAWN 165 166 HELEOS II MALS detector. ASTRA 7.1.2.5 software was used for data analysis. Deionized water was used as the eluent for the SEC-MALS-RI analysis. SEC conditions were set as 167 follows: injection volume of 10 μ L, mobile phase flow rate of 0.4 mL/min, and a column 168 temperature of 30 °C. Specific-refractive-index increments (dn/dc) of sample solutions with 169 concentrations in the range of 0.8-1.5 mg/mL were determined using an Agilent 1362 A RI 170 detector. The dn/dc values of YB0, YB2, YB4, and YB6 were 0.134, 0.109, 0.096, and 0.087 171 mL/g, respectively. 172

173 2.7. Hydrolysis of Polar Soluble Bound Phenolic Compounds

Thirty milliliters of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds were concentrated into 3 mL by freeze-drying; 200 μ L of concentrated polar soluble bound phenolic compounds was hydrolyzed using 2 mL sodium hydroxide (3 M), purged with nitrogen, and shaken for 1 h.

After adjusting pH to 3.0, 3 mL ethyl ether/ethyl acetate (1/1, v/v) was added to extract the 177 liberated phenolic compounds. The extraction was repeated thrice, and supernatants were 178 collected. The residues were further hydrolyzed using 3 mL hydrochloric acid (6 M) in a 179 95 °C water bath, purged with nitrogen for 20 min. Aliquots of 3 mL of ethyl ether/ethyl 180 acetate (1/1, v/v) were added to extract the phenolic compounds. The combined ethyl 181 ether/ethyl acetate solvents were taken to dryness using a stream of nitrogen. Acetonitrile 182 (500 μ L) was added to each sample, and the samples were stored at -80 °C for further 183 analysis. 184

185 **2.8. LC-ESI-QTOF-MS Analysis**

Both soluble free phenolic compounds and hydrolyzed polar soluble bound phenolic 186 compounds extracted from germinated yellow pea were characterized using 187 LC-ESI-QTOF-MS according to the method described by Kadam and Lele¹⁷ with minor 188 modifications. An Agilent 1290 series liquid chromatography system utilizing a Kinetex C18 189 $(2.6 \,\mu\text{m}, 150 \times 4.6 \,\text{mm})$ column was used to separate phenolic compounds. The mobile phase 190 comprised of water (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B) with the following gradients: 0-5 191 min (A: 95%, B: 5%), 30-40 min (A: 0%, B: 100%), and 41-45 min (A: 95%, B: 5%). The 192 flow rate was 0.5 mL/min, and the column temperature was 30 °C. The injection volume was 193 20 μ L with a total run time of 45 min. A diode-array detector (DAD) with a working range 194 from 190 to 600 nm was employed to observe the UV absorption of the separated yellow pea 195 extracts. An Agilent G6540 UHD Accurate QTOF-MS was utilized to analyze the 196 chromatographed yellow pea extracts. Sample ionization was achieved using an electrospray 197 ionization (ESI) interface in negative-ion mode. The gas and vaporizer temperatures were set 198

to 300 °C, with a drying-gas flow rate of 7 L/min. The nebulizer (N₂) was set at 50 psi, the 199 fragmentor voltage was set to 200 V, the skimmer voltage was set at 65 V, the octopole RF 200 voltage was set at 750 V, and the capillary voltage was set at 4000 V. The collision energy 201 was set as 0, 10, 25, 30, and 40 eV. The Agilent high-resolution mass spectrometer was 202 operated for data acquisition using Data Dependent Acquisition mode (Auto MS/MS) 203 combining a TOF scan (m/z 100-1000) followed by dependent TOF-MS scans (m/z 50-1000). 204 Data analysis was performed using MassHunter Qualitative Analysis software B.05.00 205 (Agilent Technologies). The identification of the detected compounds proceeded by the 206 207 generation of candidate molecular formulas with mass-accuracy limits of 5 ppm and MS scores >80. Agilent Personal Compound Database Library (PCDL) version B.05.01 build 92 208 was employed to create the custom database. For the retrieval of phenolic-compound 209 210 chemical structures, the following databases were consulted: Agilent METLIN Metabolomics database, HMDB (http://www.hmdb.ca/), Phenol-Explorer and 211 (http://www.phenol-explorer.eu). 212

213 **2.9. Statistical Analysis**

The experiments were performed at least twice, and data were expressed as means \pm standard deviations of duplicate or triplicate from each of two independent experiments. Data were statistically analyzed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted, and the significant difference was defined at *p* < 0.05 by Tukey's test. The relationships between germination time and phenolic compounds of yellow pea extracts were analyzed by principal-component analysis (PCA) and constellation plot-cluster analysis (CPCA) based on the counts of each phenolic compounds using JMP Pro 221 14.0.0 (SAS Institute Inc.).

3. Results and Discussion

223 **3.1.** Antioxidative Activity of Germinated Yellow Pea Extracts on Emulsion Oxidation

Although *in vitro* assays have certain advantages in estimating antioxidative activity of phenolic compounds¹⁵, their role in preventing lipid oxidation in a real food system is required to confirm the real antioxidative activity. In this study, SSO-in-water emulsions in absence of endogenous antioxidants were prepared as a model food system. The antioxidative activity of both soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds (200 μ g GAE/g oil) in raw and germinated yellow pea against emulsion oxidation was assessed by measuring the formation of lipid hydroperoxides (LOOH) and hexanal during storage.

231

Figure 1 inserted here

With the addition of soluble free phenolic compounds extracted from yellow pea of 232 variable germination time (i.e., YF0, YF2, and YF4), the primary oxidation products LOOH 233 (Figure 1A) increased exponentially after the second day of incubation, sharing a similar 234 pattern with the control emulsions. Nevertheless, YF6 can retard the emulsion oxidation by 235 suppressing the generation of LOOH for 3 days. Likewise, YF6 retarded the formation of 236 hexanal in emulsions for 3 days, 1 days longer than other soluble free phenolic compounds 237 (Figure 1B). This finding from the mixed sources of yellow pea was corroborated with our 238 previous study on the sole source of the samples and suggested that the soluble free phenolic 239 compounds from raw and short time germinated yellow pea had little or no retardation effect 240 on preventing emulsion oxidation, while the antioxidative activity of soluble free phenolic 241

compounds was improved with germination particularly after 6 days .¹⁵

Interestingly, with the addition of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds YB0, YB2, 243 YB4, and YB6, the formation of LOOH in emulsions increased exponentially after 11, 7, 4, 244 and 6 days of storage, respectively (Figure 2A). In terms of secondary oxidation products, it 245 was unexpected that hexanal had not increased significantly, except in YB4 (Figure 2B). 246 Meanwhile, an exponential increase of hexanol was observed after 11, 10, and 13 days 247 storage, with the addition of YB2, YB4, and YB6, respectively. While with the addition of 248 YB0, hexanol had not boosted yet in the SSO-in-water emulsions after 17 days (Figure 2C). 249 Hexanal is widely used as a secondary oxidation marker for the oxidation of high linoleic oil 250 251 system. A significant increase of hexanal was observed in SSO-in-water emulsions in the presence of soluble free phenolic compounds during storage (Figure 1B). However, hexanal 252 did not increase significantly in the presence of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds 253 during storage, despite the degradation of LOOH. Alternatively, the substantial increase of 254 hexanol was observed during storage which also correlated well with the development of 255 LOOH. Interestingly, emulsions with the addition of soluble free phenolic compounds did not 256 have any variation in terms of hexanol even when hexanal had boosted. As a consequence, 257 we selected hexanol as an alternative oxidation marker only for polar soluble bound phenolic 258 compounds to track the development of secondary oxidation products. Hexanol could be 259 formed when antioxidants donate electron to fatty acid decomposition products that have a 260 free radical. Reducing groups on the moieties of polar soluble bound phenolic compound may 261 be responsible for this pathway.¹⁰ On the contrary, soluble free phenolic compounds without 262 moieties did not have a variation of hexanol. Moreover, since hexanol has a higher aroma 263 threshold (2,500 ppb) than hexanal (22.8 ppb),¹⁸ pushing the pathway from hexanal to 264

265 hexanol could be a strategy to minimize flavor changes.

266

Figure 2 inserted here

According to the formation of hexanol and LOOH, germination time was inversely 267 proportional to the antioxidative activity of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds in 268 SSO-in-water emulsions at the first 4 days. The decreased electron transfer capability 269 (DPPH) and hydrogen atom transfer capability (ORAC) of polar soluble bound phenolic 270 compounds following yellow pea germination may be responsible for it.¹⁵ However, a 271 contradictory phenomenon was observed in terms of the antioxidative activity of yellow pea 272 273 extracts in *in vitro* assays and in emulsions, i.e., in comparison with polar soluble phenolic compounds, soluble free phenolic compounds had greater antioxidative activity in in vitro 274 assays;¹⁵ however, the opposite was revealed in SSO-in-water emulsions. The difference 275 276 between polar soluble phenolic compounds and soluble free phenolic compounds, mainly phenolic composition and molecular weight, may be related to this contradictory 277 phenomenon. 278

279 **3.2.** Compositional Changes of Phenolic Compounds during Yellow Pea Germination

The main differences between soluble free phenolic compounds and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds in general are (i) the chemical profiles of phenolic compounds and (ii) the moieties the polar soluble phenolic compounds attached. Therefore, the composition of phenolic compounds, including soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds, were investigated using LC-QTOF-MS. In addition, the molar mass of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds was measured with SEC-LC-MALS-RI.

286 **3.2.1.** Effect of Germination on Phenolic Composition of Yellow Pea Extracts

Sixteen phenolic compounds, including 1 phenolic acid, 2 chalcones, and 13 flavonoids, were identified in yellow pea extracts based on their *m/z* values in conjunction with product ions (**Table 1**). In fact, more than 16 compounds may present in yellow pea extracts as indicated by the UV spectrum; the phenolic compounds reported here are restricted to the availability of databases and the fragments we observed (**Supporting Information**). Significant variations of individual phenolic compounds were identified in both soluble free and polar soluble bound forms during yellow pea germination.

294

Table 1 inserted here

295 Phenolic acids widely exist in all kinds of pulse seeds. Shikimate pathway and acetate pathway are two main metabolic pathways that are responsible for the biosynthesis of 296 phenolic acids, that can be classified as benzoic acid and cinnamic acid.¹⁹ p-Salicylic acid 297 298 (10) in the soluble free phenolic compounds reduced significantly, while it remained consistently in polar soluble bound phenolic compounds during yellow pea germination 299 (Table 2). *p*-Salicylic acid (10) is a benzoic acid that has been reported in wheat, barley, 300 sorghum,²⁰ and pulse seeds.²¹ It is a key phytohormone for inducing the expression of the 301 gene encoding phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL).²² 302

303

Table 2 inserted here

The precursor of chalcones is coumaric acid that can be synthesized by PAL from phenylalanine.²³ Chalconaringenin (1) and phloridzin (4), a glucoside of phloretin, are two chalcones identified in the germinated yellow pea extracts with LC-QTOF-MS. Both chalconaringenin (1) and phloridzin (4) increased in the forms of soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds during yellow pea germination (**Table 2**). Chalcones such as phloretin and chalconaringenin (1) can spontaneously cyclize to flavanones. Flavanones can be further modified into isoflavanone, flavone, flavonol, flavanol, and anthocyanidins by all kinds of enzymes.²⁴ These flavonoids are closely related to the color, fragrance, and taste of the pulse seeds.²³ Totally, 1 flavanonol, 2 flavonols, 2 flavones, 2 flavanones, 3 isoflavans, and 3 isoflavones were identified and listed in **Table 1**.

314 **3.2.2.** Chemometric Analysis of Phenolic Compounds

In the present study, constellation plot-cluster analysis (CPCA) and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed to statistically analyze the impact of germination time on the compositional changes of phenolic compounds in yellow pea.

According to the results of constellation plot-cluster analysis (CPCA), phenolic 318 compounds were separated into 6 groups at the distance 3.939 on account of the variation in 319 the forms of soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds during yellow pea 320 germination (Figure 3 & Table 2). Cluster I, which involved pratensein (2), epigallocatechin 321 (5), arachidoside (7), p-salicylic acid (10), tangeretin (11), and sayanedine (12), located at the 322 bottom of Y-axis. The amounts of these phenolic compounds were higher in polar soluble 323 bound phenolic compounds than these in soluble free phenolic compounds. Nevertheless, 324 their concentration decreased over the course of germination. Cluster II was kaempferol (8) 325 that decreased in both soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds. Cluster III, 326 involving chalconaringenin (1), (2S, 3S, 4R)-3,4,4',7-tetrahydroxyflavan (3), and aromadendrin 327 (6), presented both in soluble free and soluble bound forms and had a significant increase in 328 soluble bound form during yellow pea germination. Hesperetin (13), the only phenolic 329 compound located in Cluster IV, raised sharply in soluble free phenolic compounds, while 330

increased subtly in polar soluble bound phenolic compounds during germination. Genkwanin 331 (16) presented in Cluster V in soluble free form and had a significant decrease during 332 germination. Cluster VI, involving phloridzin (4), guercetin (9), glyzaglabrin (14), and 333 pinocembrin (15), located at the top of the Y axis, which was low in polar soluble bound 334 form while had an increment in soluble free form during germination. Researchers have 335 studied the variation of individual phenolic compounds in germinated pulse seeds. Wu et al.²⁵ 336 reported an increase of soluble free pratensein (2) in germinated chickpea. López-Amorós et 337 al.²⁶ declared that soluble quercetin (9) was increased from 0 to 291-311 μ g/100 g in 338 germinated beans. Epigallocatechin (5), kaempferol (8), and pinocembrin (15) had been 339 identified in the seed coat of pea.^{27,28} However, none of these studies separately evaluated the 340 antioxidative activities of polar solvent extractable phenolic compounds (i.e., soluble free and 341 342 polar soluble bound) in food systems.

343

Figure 3 inserted here

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to further analyze the relationship between phenolic clusters and germination treatment. The principal component 1 (PC1) and principal component 2 (PC2) explained 48.2% and 30.3% of the total variance in the data set, respectively (**Figure 4**). Soluble free phenolic compounds and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds can be well differentiated based on PC1 and PC2. Polar soluble bound phenolic compounds located in the second and third quadrant, while soluble free phenolic compounds located in the first, third, and fourth quadrants.

351

Figure 4 inserted here

352 Soluble free phenolic compounds varied from negative regions to positive regions of

PC1 and PC2 with 6 days of germination. Phenolic compounds involving in Cluster V played 353 important roles in the soluble free phenolic compounds from raw yellow pea (YF0), while 354 Cluster IV and Cluster VI was charged for YF6 (Figure 4). In accordance to the greater 355 antioxidative activities of YF6 than that of YF0 in SSO-in-water emulsions (Figure 1), it 356 could be speculated that phenolic compounds in Cluster IV and Cluster VI have better 357 antioxidative activities than those in Cluster V. Unlike soluble free form, polar soluble bound 358 phenolic compounds in raw yellow pea varied from the second quadrant to zero point after 6 359 days of germination (Figure 4A). By combining the CPCA with the loading plot (Figure 360 **4B**), it was deduced that Cluster I and II exerted remarkable impacts on polar soluble bound 361 phenolic compounds. As shown in Figure 2, antioxidative activity of polar soluble bound 362 phenolic compounds decreased in SSO-in-emulsion system during germination. The decrease 363 364 of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds, such as pratensein (2), epigallocatechin (5), kaempferol (8), and sayanedine (12), in Cluster I and II were accounted for the reduced 365 antioxidative activities. 366

Comprehensively, soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds shared the 367 same variation trend on PC1, from negative to positive (Figure 4A) upon germination. 368 Nevertheless, soluble free phenolic compounds altered from negative to positive on PC2, 369 where polar soluble bound phenolic compounds varied oppositely following germination. 370 Two pivotal phenolic compounds, pratensein (2) and sayanedine (12) in Cluster I (Figure 3) 371 increased in soluble free phenolic extracts, while decreased in polar soluble bound phenolic 372 extracts, which may account for the opposite antioxidative performances of soluble free and 373 polar soluble bound phenolic compounds in SSO-in-water emulsions (Figure 1&2). Senthil 374

et al.²⁹ reported that pratensein (**2**) required lower energy for both hydrogen atom and electron transfer mechanisms than prunetin, genistein, and calycosin, which resulted in the better antioxidative activity. In addition, sayanedine (**12**) has been identified in peas as a plant growth regulator, although its antioxidative activity has not been studied individually.³⁰

379 3.2.3. Effect of Germination on the Molar Mass of Polar Soluble Bound Phenolic 380 Compounds in Yellow Pea

Opposite to our *in vitro* assays, the antioxidative activity of polar soluble bound phenolic 381 compounds in raw yellow pea was greater than that of soluble free phenolic compounds in 382 SSO-in-water emulsions (Figure 1 & 2).¹⁵ The phenolic composition of yellow pea extracts 383 may partly explain their antioxidative activity. According to our previous study, molar mass 384 difference between soluble bound and soluble free phenolic compounds might be another 385 factor that affects their antioxidative activity.¹⁶ Therefore, molar mass of major polar soluble 386 bound phenolic compounds in germinated yellow pea was investigated by means of 387 SEC-MALS-RI (Figure 5). 388

389

Figure 5 inserted here

390

Polar soluble bound phenolic compounds were separated with size exclusion chromatography based on the size of molecules. The major peak eluted out at 27-32 min were believed to have larger molecular weight than the following substances. Concentrations of the phenolic compounds, quantified by UV and RI detectors, are the fundamental for the calculation of molar mass. The resonance of chemical bonds, such as hydroxyl group and phenyl ring, is responsible for the UV absorbance. The remarkable increase of UV signal

(260 nm) from 0 to 4 days of germination implied that composition of polar soluble bound 397 phenolic compounds changed substantially. The increased area of eluting peaks indicated that 398 the type of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds increased. This is most probably 399 attributed to the biosynthesis of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds originating from 400 soluble free phenolic compounds and/or the decomposition of non-extractable phenolic 401 compounds over the course of yellow pea germination. RI detector is responsible for the 402 concentration changes of solutes based on the refractive index dn/dc. As displayed in Figure 403 5, the major changes of RI value were on the peak eluting at 27-32 min. Area of RI peaks 404 increased tremendously over the course of germination, which meant the concentration of the 405 solutes had a great increment during germination. The increase of total phenolic content 406 (TPC) during yellow pea germination corresponded with the increase of RI peak areas. 407

408 Multiangle-laser-light-scattering (MALS) detector is responsible for the molecular shape and absolute molar mass. With the detection of the molecules scattering light, coupled with 409 the variation of molecule concentration determined by the RI detector, the instrument can 410 calculate the absolute molar mass of molecules. Figure 5 and Table 3 depicted the molar 411 mass of major polar soluble bound phenolic compounds during germination. Opposite to our 412 previous finding of chickpea¹⁶, the germination decreased the molecular weight of soluble 413 bound phenolic compounds in yellow pea. Number average molecular weight (Mn) of major 414 polar soluble bound phenolic compounds in yellow pea dropped from 6722 ± 195 to $5271 \pm$ 415 199 g/mol after 4 days of germination. Similarly, the weight average molecular weight (Mw) 416 of major polar soluble bound phenolic compounds in yellow pea declined from 7110 ± 69 to 417 6266 ± 14 g/mol after same germination time. However, both Mn and Mw of polar soluble 418

bound phenolic compounds in yellow pea after 6 days of germination were statistically larger 419 than those in the shorter germination periods. In addition, germination had no impact on the 420 shapes of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds as indicated by Mw/Mn. By correlating 421 the molar mass to the antioxidative activity of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds in 422 SSO-in-water emulsions, a similar variation trend was observed that both decreased from 0 to 423 4 days of germination and reversed after 6 days of germination. This finding was 424 corroborated with our previous research that molecular weight of polar soluble bound 425 phenolic compounds is associated with their antioxidative activity in SSO-in-water 426 emulsions.16 427

428

Table 3 inserted here

429 3.3. Insight into the Antioxidative Activity of Polar Solvent Extractable Phenolic 430 Compounds in Germinated Yellow Pea

The current findings reinforce the concept that a protective or a dual antioxidant effect 431 derived from moieties might contribute to the enhanced antioxidative activity of polar soluble 432 bound phenolic compounds as compared to the phenolic compounds free of moiety. Since 433 free radicals gradually generate from emulsions during storage, large amounts of phenolic 434 compounds can scavenge free radicals immediately on the first 2 days. Then soluble free 435 phenolic compounds lose their antioxidative capability in the aerobic environment, while 436 polar soluble bound phenolic compounds are protected by their moieties against oxygen. 437 Oxidized polar soluble bound phenolic compounds can even be regenerated by moieties of 438 polysaccharides or proteins that may carry reducing power. The schematic diagram for the 439 speculated antioxidative mechanism of soluble phenolic compounds was exhibited in our 440

Food & Function

previous research.¹⁶ Curcio et al.³¹ and Xie et al.³² grafted phenolic compounds on 441 polysaccharides, such as gallic acid conjugated chitosan. The stability of phenolic compounds 442 was improved due to the protective effect of polysaccharides. In addition, a synergistic theory 443 that soluble polysaccharides donate hydrogen from their activated reducing ends to these 444 oxidized phenolic compounds was reported by Xu et al.¹⁰ An adamant evidence is that polar 445 soluble bound phenolic compounds have been shown to exhibit higher antioxidant activity 446 than their hydrolyzed counterparts.^{33,34} Synergistic effect between polymers and phenolic 447 compounds has also been proposed to explain improved antioxidative activity of caffeic acid 448 and polysaccharides from *Echinacea purpurea*.³⁵ 449 An interesting finding on the opposite antioxidant efficacy of phenolic compounds from 450 germinated chickpea and yellow pea against emulsion oxidation may relate to their different 451 452 molecular weight changes during germination. Larger molecular weight of moieties may exert greater protection effect and synergistic effect with the phenolic compounds attached. 453 Thus, polar soluble phenolic compounds extracted from ungerminated yellow pea had higher 454 antioxidative activity than that from germinated yellow pea (2 and 4 days). Nevertheless, the 455 increased molecular weight of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds after 6 days of 456 germination (Figure 5 and Table 3) did not follow such speculation in a review of their 457 antioxidative activity in emulsions since it was still inferior to those extracted from the raw 458 yellow pea. This indicates that molecular weight of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds 459 is not a sole factor to regulate the antioxidative activity; the composition of phenolic 460

462 concentration are crucial to their antioxidative activity.^{7–9} With the germination, the improved

compounds also matters. Numerous studies have found that phenolic composition and

antioxidative activities of soluble free phenolic compounds in yellow pea against 463 SSO-in-water emulsions oxidation is accompanied by the increased amounts of crucial 464 phenolic compounds such as pratensein (2), phloridzin (4), quercetin (9), sayanedine (12), 465 hesperetin (13), glyzaglabrin (14), and pinocembrin (15) (in Cluster I, IV, and VI). It is 466 plausible that the composition of phenolic compounds is also responsible for the antioxidative 467 activity of polar soluble bound compounds in SSO-in-water emulsions. The decrease of 468 pivotal phenolic compounds, e.g., pratensein (2) and sayanedine (12) in Cluster I, may be 469 responsible for their attenuation of antioxidative activity. Such phenolic composition changes 470 471 after 6 days germination in yellow pea overruns the protective or the dual antioxidant effect stemming from the increased molecular weight of moieties, which explains its relatively 472 poorer antioxidative activity. The current research in conjunction with our previous findings 473 474 indicate that both phenolic composition and the nature of the moieties phenolic compounds attached are critical to determine their antioxidative activity against lipid oxidation in 475 emulsions. 476

477 **4.** Conclusion

With the 6 days of germination, soluble free phenolic compounds and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds extracted from yellow pea had an opposite variation of antioxidative activity in SSO-in-water emulsion systems. The phenolic compositions of both types of phenolic compounds are undoubtedly contributed to their antioxidative activity. Surprisingly, molecular weight is positively related to the antioxidative activity of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds. Synergistic effect between polar soluble bound phenolic compounds and their moieties is proposed to explain the variation of antioxidative activity following germination. This research shed new light on the development of antioxidants with effectiveness, safety, and sustainability. Our future research would focus on the critical fractions of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds separated by SEC. With the composition and structure analysis of these fractions, mimic polar soluble bound phenolic compounds would be synthesized to verify the synergistic effect between phenolic compounds and their moieties.

491 Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatchproject number ND01593.

494 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

495 **Reference**

- 496 1 C. Hall, C. Hillen and J. G. Robinson, *Cereal Chem.*, 2017, **94**, 11–31.
- 497 2 R. K. Mamilla and V. K. Mishra, *LWT Food Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **75**, 51–58.
- M. Dueñas, T. Sarmento, Y. Aguilera, V. Benitez, E. Mollá, R. M. Esteban and M. A.
 Martín-Cabrejas, *LWT Food Sci. Technol.*, 2016, 66, 72–78.
- 500 4 R. Gan, M. Wang, W. Lui, K. Wu and H. Corke, *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2016, 51,
 501 2090–2098.
- 502 5 M. Y. Kim, G. Y. Jang, Y. Lee, M. Li, Y. M. Ji, N. Yoon, S. H. Lee, K. M. Kim, J. Lee
- and H. S. Jeong, *Food Sci. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **25**, 1551–1559.
- 504 6 F. A. Guzmán-Ortiz, E. San Martín-Martínez, M. E. Valverde, Y. Rodríguez-Aza, J. D.
- 505 J. Berríos and R. Mora-Escobedo, *CyTA J. Food*, 2017, **15**, 516–524.
- 506 7 K. Helmja, Tallinn university of technology, 2010.
- 507 8 F. Shahidi and J. D. Yeo, *Molecules*, 2016, **21**, 1–22.

- 508 9 F. Shahidi and P. Ambigaipalan, J. Funct. Foods, 2015, 18, 820–897.
- 509 10 M. Xu, J. Rao and B. Chen, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 2019, 1–20.
- 510 11 A. S. Elder, J. N. Coupland and R. J. Elias, *Food Chem.*, 2019, 272, 174–181.
- 511 12 M. Naczk and F. Shahidi, J. Chromatogr. A, 2004, 1054, 95–111.
- 512 13 Y. Wang, X. Zhang, G. Chen, J. Yu, L. Yang and Y. Gao, *J. Funct. Foods*, 2016, 24,
 513 359–372.
- P. X. Chen, Y. Tang, M. F. Marcone, P. K. Pauls, B. Zhang, R. Liu and R. Tsao, *Food Chem.*, DOI:10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.03.100.
- 516 15 M. Xu, Z. Jin, A. Peckrul and B. Chen, *Food Chem.*, 2018, **250**, 140–147.
- 517 16 M. Xu, Z. Jin, J. Ohm, P. Schwarz, J. Rao and B. Chen, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2018,
 518 66, 6179–6187.
- 519 17 D. Kadam and S. S. Lele, J. Food Sci. Technol., 2017, 54, 3936–3947.
- 520 18 G. A. Burdock, *Fenaroli's handbook of flavor ingredients*, CRC Press, Boca Raton,
 521 sixth., 2010.
- 522 19 G. R. Velderrain-Rodríguez, H. Palafox-Carlos, A. Wall-Medrano, J. F. Ayala-Zavala,
- 523 C.-Y. O. Chen, M. Robles-Sánchez, H. Astiazaran-García, E. Alvarez-Parrilla and G.
- 524 A. González-Aguilar, *Food Funct.*, 2014, **5**, 189–197.
- 525 20 T. Wang, F. He and G. Chen, J. Funct. Foods, 2014, 7, 101–111.
- I. M. Abu-Reidah, M. del Mar Contreras, D. Arráez-Román, A. Fernández-Gutiérrez
 and A. Segura-Carretero, *Electrophoresis*, 2014, 35, 1571–1581.
- 528 22 F. He, L. Mu, G. L. Yan, N. N. Liang, Q. H. Pan, J. Wang, M. J. Reeves and C. Q.
- 529 Duan, *Molecules*, 2010, **15**, 9057–9091.

530	23	J. Mierziak, K. Kostyn and A	. Kulma, <i>Molecul</i>	les, 2014, 19 , 16240–162	265.
531	24	G. P.	Moss,	Flavonoid	Biosynthesis,
532		http://www.sbcs.qmul.ac.uk/i	ubmb/enzyme/rea	action/phenol/flavonoid.l	ntml.
533	25	Z. Wu, L. Song, S. Feng, Y.	Liu, G. He, Y. Y	Yioe, S. Q. Liu and D. I	Huang, J. Agric.
534		Food Chem., 2012, 60 , 8606–	-8615.		
535	26	M. L. López-Amorós, T. Her	nández and I. Es	trella, J. Food Compos.	Anal., 2006, 19 ,
536		277–283.			
537	27	N. S. Stanisavljević, M. Ilić,	Ž. S. Jovanović,	T. Čupić, D. C. Dabić,	M. M. Natić, Ž.
538		L. Tešić and S. S. Radović, A	rch. Biol. Sci., 20	15, 67 , 829–840.	
539	28	R. Amarowicz and R. B. Pegg	g, Eur. J. Lipid Sc	ti. Technol., 2008, 110 , 8	865–878.
540	29	K. Senthil and R. Kumaresan,	, Comput. Theor.	Chem., 2012, 985 , 14–22	2.
541	30	Y. Isogai, Y. Komoda and T.	Okamoto, Chem.	Pharm. Bull., 1970, 18,	1872–1879.
542	31	M. Curcio, F. Puoci, F. Iemm	na, O. I. Parisi, G	. Cirillo, U. G. Spizzirri	and N. Picci, J.
543		Agric. Food Chem., 2009, 57 ,	5933–5938.		
544	32	M. Xie, B. Hu, Y. Wang and	X. Zeng, J. Agric	. Food Chem., 2014, 62 ,	9128–9136.
545	33	R. Gan, W. Lui, M. Wang, Z	Z. Sui and H. Co	orke, Funct. Foods Hea	<i>l. Dis.</i> , 2016, 6 ,
546		519–535.			
547	34	H. Wang, C. Qiu, A. M. Abba	asi, G. Chen, L. Y	You, T. Li, X. Fu, Y. Wa	ang, X. Guo and
548		R. Liu, Int. J. Food Sci. Techn	nol., 2015, 50 , 25	45–2553.	
549	35	L. Dalby-Brown, H. Barsett, J.	AK. R. Landbo,	A. S. Meyer and P. Mo	lgaard, J. Agric.
550		Food Chem., 2005, 53 , 9413-	9423.		
551					

554	Table 1	Phenolic c	ompounds p	orofiles of	yellow pea	a extracts	identified by	LC-ESI-0	QTOF-MS
-----	---------	------------	------------	-------------	------------	------------	---------------	----------	---------

Peak	RT (min)	collision	observed m/z	calculated m/z	molecular	diff.	product ions	proposed compounds	class
No.		energy (ev)	[M-H] ⁻	[M-H] ⁻	formula	(ppm)			
1	15.32	10	271.0619	271.0612	$C_{15}H_{12}O_5$	-2.70	109.0166, 125.0098	chalconaringenin	chalcone
2	16.03	25	299.0584	299.0561	$C_{16}H_{12}O_{6}$	-7.62	131.0348, 147.0276, 159.0263,	pratensein	isoflavone
							201.0320, 241.0616		
3	16.89	25	273.0777	273.0768	$C_{15}H_{14}O_5$	-3.16	109.0166, 121.0151, 137.0082	(2s,3s,4r)-3,4,4',7-tet	flavan
								rahydroxyflavan	
4	17.31	10	435.1314	435.1297	$C_{21}H_{24}O_{10}$	-3.96	273.0768, 167.0350	phloridzin	chalcone
5	17.44	25	305.0676	305.0667	$C_{15}H_{14}O_7$	-3.17	110.9956, 125.0094, 281.0104	epigallocatechin	flavan
6	17.65	25	287.0577	287.0561	$C_{15}H_{12}O_{6}$	-5.6	107.0016, 121.0154, 150.9858	aromadendrin	flavanonol
7	18.80	30	303.0869	303.0874	$C_{16}H_{16}O_{6}$	1.85	161.0429, 217.0263, 245.0175	arachidoside	flavan
8	19.42	10	285.0413	285.0405	$C_{15}H_{10}O_{6}$	-4.71	108.0090, 117.0205, 131.0507,	kaempferol	flavonol
							227.0081		
9	21.16	10	301.0365	301.0354	$C_{15}H_{10}O_7$	-3.75	109.0174, 134.9938, 216.9664	quercetin	flavonol
10	22.56	10	137.0245	137.0244	$C_7H_6O_3$	-0.68	93.0346, 65.0397	<i>p</i> -salicylic acid	phenolic
									acid
11	23.40	10	372.5577	372.5562	$C_{20}H_{20}O_7$	-4.03	255.0656, 135.0081	tangeretin	flavone
12	24.78	30	297.0777	297.0768	$C_{17}H_{14}O_5$	-2.99	116.9952, 130.9928, 199.0765,	sayanedine	isoflavone
							225.0545, 239.0354		
13	26.90	10	301.0734	301.0718	$\mathrm{C_{16}H_{14}O_{6}}$	-5.29	286.0483, 242.0585	hesperetin	flavanone
14	27.06	30	297.0395	297.0405	$C_{16}H_{10}O_{6}$	3.10	183.0129, 255.0294	glyzaglabrin	isoflavone
15	31.40	10	255.0671	255.0663	$C_{15}H_{12}O_4$	-2.62	213.0557	pinocembrin	flavanone
16	33.47	10	283.0633	283.0612	$C_{16}H_{12}O_5$	-7.38	268.0377	genkwanin	flavone

556 RT, retention time; Diff., difference between calculated m/z and observed m/z.

557

Table 2 Dynamic changes of proposed phenolic compounds during yellow pea germination ^a

Peak	proposed	class	YF0	YF2	YF4	YF6	YB0	YB2	YB4	YB6
No.	compounds									
1	chalconaringenin	chalcone	0.13±0.01 ab	0.61±0.04 c	1.44±0.24 d	0.49±0.05 bc	0.05±0.00 a	0.25±0.02 abc	0.57±0.01 c	1.42±0.15 d
2	pratensein	isoflavone	0.05±0.00 a	0.16±0.02 a	0.30±0.11 a	3.00±0.11 d	1.37±0.02 c	0.65±0.13 b	0.43±0.03 a	0.17±0.02 a
3	(2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>S</i> ,4 <i>R</i>)-3,4,4',7- tetrahydroxyflavan	flavan	0.01±0.00 a	0.22±0.06 a	1.32±0.28 b	2.22±0.58 b	N.A.	0.36±0.02 a	1.31±0.14 b	1.83±0.05 b
4	phloridzin	chalcone	0.01±0.00 a	0.14±0.01 a	1.88±0.56 b	3.42±0.26 b	0.01±0.00 a	0.01±0.00 a	0.12±0.02 a	0.14±0.00 a
5	epigallocatechin	flavan	N.A.	0.02±0.00 a	0.27±0.04 b	0.36±0.03 bc	1.11±0.09 e	0.65±0.12 d	0.51±0.01 cd	0.42±0.02 bc
6	aromadendrin	flavanonol	1.35±0.2 cd	1.33±0.05 cd	1.21±0.01 bcd	0.66±0.02 a	0.91±0.03 ab	1.07±0.09 bc	1.40±0.01 d	1.43±0.00 d
7	arachidoside	flavan	0.02±0.01 a	0.14±0.01 ab	0.36±0.04 c	0.59±0.00 d	0.83±0.05 e	0.96±0.08 e	0.86±0.10 e	0.3±0.04 bc
8	kaempferol	flavonol	0.94±0.03 b	0.12±0.00 a	0.01±0.00 a	0.01±0.01 a	2.55±0.05 e	1.86±0.06 d	1.36±0.17 c	0.74±0.03 b
9	quercetin	flavonol	0.10±0.00 a	0.49±0.04 c	0.68±0.03 d	1.09±0.04 e	0.11±0.01 a	0.11±0.02 a	0.22±0.02 b	0.30±0.01 b
10	<i>p</i> -salicylic acid	phenolic acid	1.59±0.08 d	0.11±0.02 a	0.04±0.01 a	0.04±0.01 a	0.60±0.01 b	0.83±0.01 c	0.56±0.04 b	0.54±0.03 b
11	tangeretin	flavone	0.01±0.01 a	0.02±0.00 a	0.03±0.00 a	0.04±0.01 a	0.68±0.05 c	0.29±0.08 ab	0.51±0.15 bc	0.60±0.13 c
12	sayanedine	isoflavone	N.A.	0.02±0.00 a	0.14±0.03 a	0.32±0.00 b	1.46±0.18 d	1.22±0.04 d	0.78±0.04 c	0.32±0.02 b
13	hesperetin	flavanone	0.05±0.00 a	2.83±0.10 b	7.89±1.09 c	12.86±0.79 d	0.01±0.00 a	0.01±0.00 a	0.05±0.00 a	0.06±0.00 a
14	glyzaglabrin	isoflavone	1.27±0.22 b	1.65±0.23 bc	2.07±0.31 c	3.74±0.20 d	0.01±0.00 a	N.A.	0.01±0.00 a	N.A.
15	pinocembrin	flavanone	0.41±0.02 b	0.36±0.09 b	0.82±0.04 c	3.22±0.06 d	0.01±0.00 a	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
16	genkwanin	flavone	6.29±0.99 c	2.75±0.68 b	1.42±0.24 ab	0.14±0.03 a	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	0.01±0.00 a

³YF and YB denote soluble free phenolic compounds and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds extracted from germinated yellow pea, respectively,

560 followed by the different germination times (0, 2, 4, or 6 days). The amounts of phenolic compounds were expressed by absolute area of extracted ion

561 chromatography (EIC) with the unit $\times 10^6$ counts; N.A., absolute area was below 10,000 counts. Different letters indicate statistically significant intraspecies 562 differences (p < 0.05).

- 564
- 565
- 566

567 Table 3 Molar mass of soluble bound phenolic compound extracted from germinated yellow pea ^a

568

germination time (days)	Mn (g/mol)	Mw (g/mol)	Mw/Mn
0	6722±195 bc	7110±69 b	1.06
2	6095±117 b	6879±65 ab	1.13
4	5271±199 a	6266±14 a	1.19
6	7353±112 c	8574±546 c	1.17

^aData points represent means \pm standard deviations. Different letters indicate statistically significant intraspecies differences (p < 0.05)

1 Figure captions

Figure 1. Formation of lipid hydroperoxide (A) and hexanal (B) in SSO-in-water emulsion system, without (control) and with the addition of 200 μ g GAE/g oil soluble free phenolic compounds extracted from yellow pea. Data points represent means ± standard deviations.

5 Figure 2. Formation of lipid hydroperoxide (A), hexanal (B), and hexanol (C) in SSO-in-water

6 emulsion system, without (control) and with the addition of 200 μ g GAE/g oil polar soluble

7 bound phenolic compounds extracted from yellow pea. Data points represent means \pm standard

8 deviations.

9 Figure 3. Constellation plot-cluster analysis (CPCA) representing the relation between eighteen

10 phenolic compounds. Six clusters were separated based on the distance 3.939 with the different

symbols: cluster I +, II \diamond , III \circ , IV Y, V \triangle , and VI X. Numbers indicate the different

12 phenolic compounds: chalconaringenin (1), pratensein (2), (2S,3S,4R)-3,4,4',7-

13 tetrahydroxyflavan (3), phloridzin (4), epigallocatechin (5), aromadendrin (6), arachidoside (7),

14 kaempferol (8), quercetin (9), *p*-salicylic acid (10), tangeretin (11), sayanedine (12), hesperetin

15 (13), glyzaglabrin (14), pinocembrin (15), genkwanin (16).

Figure 4. Principal component analysis (PCA) (A) score plot and (B) loading plot of phenolic 16 compounds extracted from yellow pea during the period of germination. YF and YB denoting 17 soluble free and polar soluble bound phenolic compounds after 0, 2, 4, and 6 days of 18 germination; numbers indicate the different phenolic compounds: chalconaringenin (1), 19 pratensein (2), (2S,3S,4R)-3,4,4',7-tetrahydroxyflavan (3), phloridzin (4), epigallocatechin (5), 20 aromadendrin (6), arachidoside (7), kaempferol (8), quercetin (9), p-salicylic acid (10), 21 tangeretin (11), sayanedine (12), hesperetin (13), glyzaglabrin (14), pinocembrin (15), 22 genkwanin (16). 23

Figure 5. Physical characteristics of polar soluble bound phenolic compounds dynamicallychanged during yellow pea germination.























