

# Janus Triple Tripods Build up a Microporous Manifold for $HgCl_2$ and $I_2$ Uptake

Journal:	ChemComm
Manuscript ID	CC-COM-01-2019-000330.R1
Article Type:	Communication



## **Journal Name**



## COMMUNICATION

# Janus Triple Tripods Build up a Microporous Manifold for HgCl<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>2</sub> Uptake<sup>†</sup>

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx Yonghe He,<sup>a‡</sup> Mengjiao Huang,<sup>a‡</sup> Xiangling Deng,<sup>a</sup> Shengxian Cheng,<sup>c</sup> Yan-Lung Wong,<sup>c</sup> Yun-Long Hou,<sup>c</sup> Jun He,<sup>\*a</sup> Matthias Zeller,<sup>b</sup> and Zhengtao Xu<sup>\*c</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

To boost the design of microporous solids, we integrated the two-faced shape (as in cucurbiturils and cyclodextrins) into building blocks of framework materials. Reported here is a planar tritopic carboxyl linker with secondary tripod donors sprouting off both sides at the core region. The two-faced, barrel-like core region imparts a rugged 3D character to the linker architecture, obviating close packing and creating complex-shaped cavities in an Eu(III)-carboxylate network. The merits extend beyond the pleasing shape of the multiple tripod: e.g., the two sets of sulfur tripods at the barrel region, together with the triazine center, offer a rich array of donors for adsorbing Hg(II) ions. The microporous solid also removes iodine from vapor and water, and can be easily cycled in column chromatography.

Postsynthetic metalation of metal-organic frameworks (MOF) is topical,<sup>1</sup> because of the versatile catalytic and electronic properties that can potentially be imparted by the metal guests. To optimize such properties, it is imperative to understand how metal species interact with complex porous materials. The sophisticated functionalities that can be accommodated by the crystalline MOF matrix offer rich opportunities for such fundamental studies. For example, Pardo *et al.* exploited MOF hosts based on methionine and other sulfur-containing biomolecules to effect metalation;<sup>2</sup> also, bioinspired catalyses emulating methane monooxygenase<sup>3</sup> and scorpionate-like binding sites<sup>4</sup> were recently accessed in MOF solids. The field, however, is still emerging, and the design of

<sup>b.</sup> Department of Chemistry, 560 Oval Drives, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, 47907 United States.

‡These authors contributed equally.

relevant.

assemblies.<sup>6</sup>

Reported here is a microporous Eu(III)-carboxylate framework (denoted GDUT-7, Fig. 2) based on the triple tripod molecule  $H_3L$ . Notably, GDUT-7 sports diverse and extensive

Fig. 1 The triple tripod molecule H<sub>3</sub>L and an X-ray conformation.

new binding motifs for probing metal-host interactions remains

with the dense array of S and N donor sites, is of interest. In

particular, the methylsulfanyl (MeS) units, grouping into trios on

both sides of a triazine core, conjure up a double-faced "Janus"

molecule. Together with the three extended carboxyl arms, one

obtains a distinct multiple tripod that connects simultaneously

to the topical areas of MOF construction<sup>5</sup> and supramolecular

cucurbiturils,<sup>6c,7</sup> pillararenes,<sup>8</sup> and a recent Janusarene by Wang

et al.,9 have fomented various investigations on host-guest

chemistry. Also known are doubly tripodal molecules resembling the MeS-triazine core of  $H_3L$ , which include hexakis(arylthio)arenes<sup>10</sup> and the Walsdorff hexathiol.<sup>11</sup> The attachment of polytopic donor arms onto a double-faced core

(e.g., to form the triple tripod  $H_3L$ ), however, is unexplored, in

Already, two-faced molecules

In this regard, the rigid tritopic carboxylic acid  $H_3L$  (Fig. 1),

such

as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> School of Chemical Engineering and Light Industry, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, Guangdong, China junhe@gdut.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c.</sup> Department of Chemistry, City University of Hong Kong, 83 Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China zhengtao@cityu.edu.hk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and general procedures; XRD, IR, Raman, TGA. CCDC 1888673 and 1888674 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for GDUT-7 and GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub>. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x



**Fig. 2** Crystal structures of GDUT-7 and GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub>: a) Linker L and its three Eu(III) clusters in GDUT-7, with selected crystallographic atom labeling; the DMF and H<sub>2</sub>O molecules bonded to the Eu sites are only partially shown. b) A view of GDUT-7 along the *b* axis. c) Linker L, its three Eu(III) clusters and the three HgCl<sub>2</sub> guests in GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub>. d) A view of GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub> along the *b* axis. e) Topology of the Eu-carboxyl net. Purple sphere: center of Eu cluster; green sphere: center of linker L.

interactions with metal and iodine guests. For example, an HgCl<sub>2</sub>-treated sample was found by single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) to contain a total of three distinct HgCl<sub>2</sub> sites located in the unit cell: besides two associated with the soft S

#### Journal Name

and N donors at the Janus core, another one peculiarly links to the Eu(III) center via bridging O atoms from water and ethanol ligands. Apart from distinct coordination bonding with the HgCl<sub>2</sub> guests, their snug fit into the microporous matrix of GDUT-7 suggests van der Waals pockets also help sequester the HgCl<sub>2</sub> guests. Besides the relevance to heavy metal removal<sup>1e,13</sup> and iodine sequestration,<sup>14</sup> the diverse host–guest interactions unveiled in the GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub> system offers insight into how microporous solids interact with metal guests.

H<sub>3</sub>L was synthesized as follows (Fig. S1): nitrile trimerization first forms the triazine core (the modest yield of 25% is likely due to the electron-withdrawing and steric effects from the crowded F atoms), followed by a Suzuki coupling to install the carboxyl arms, and the replacement of the F groups by the strong nucleophile thiomethoxide. By comparison, crystallizing framework GDUT-7 is simpler, i.e., by solvothermally reacting H<sub>3</sub>L and EuCl<sub>3</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O in 1:1 water and DMF (*N*,*N*dimethylformamide). The single crystal X-ray structure reveals a composition of Eu·L·(DMF)<sub>3.5</sub>·(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>, which is also consistent with the results of CHN elemental analysis, solution <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Fig. S10) of the dissolved the GDUT-7 solid in DCl/DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, Fig. S11) (see ESI for details).

The GDUT-7 crystal structure (space group: C2/c)<sup>15</sup> features a pair of Eu(III) centers straddled by four carboxyl groups from the L linker (Eu-O distances: 2.348, 2.423, 2.340, 2.408 Å); each Eu is also bonded by a chelating carboxyl (Eu-O: 2.536, 2.471 Å) group, and a DMF and water molecule at Eu-O distances of 2.457 and 2.466 Å, respectively (Fig. 2A). Overall, the Eu center is 8-coordinated and the Eu dimer centrosymmetric. With a total of six L linkers connected, the Eu dimer acts as a 6connected node (Fig. 2D): together with the tritopic L linker, a 3D, non-interpenetrated (6,3)-net was generated; the topology had been reported,<sup>16</sup> and can be understood through subnet deconstruction,<sup>17</sup> namely, as two interesecting ThSi<sub>2</sub>-type, (10, 3)-b subnets (see Fig. S12). The framework features small channels about 8.0 Å in diameter and smaller pockets aligned along the b axis (Fig. 2B): both types of voids are occupied by the DMF guests.

The colorless crystals of as-made GDUT-7 crystals turns yellow upon immersion in an ethanol (or water) solution of HgCl<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S13; see also Fig. S14 for the solid-state UV-Vis spectra), with concomitant suppression of the red photoluminescent emission (Fig. S15) from the Eu(III) centers. The Hg(II) adsorption isotherm (Fig. S16; aqueous solution, pH = 6.8) can be fitted against the Langmuir equation to yield an adsorption capacity  $q_{max}$  of 85 mg/g and a distribution coefficient  $K_d$  of  $7.5 \times 10^2$  mL g<sup>-1</sup>. Compared to other thioether-equipped MOF sorbents (e.g.,  $q_{max} > 300$  mg/g;  $K_d > 10^4$ ), the Hg(II) uptake performance of GDUT-7 is modest; but one can imagine modifying the sulphur side groups of GDUT-7, e.g., with more donor atoms for a stronger chelation effect.

Higher Hg(II) loading, however, can be achieved under more forcing conditions, e.g., by immersing GDUT-7 crystals (6.0 mg) in a saturated EtOH solution (3.0 mL) of HgCl<sub>2</sub>. GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub> thus obtained features the composition  $Eu(L)\cdot(HgCl_2)_{2.8}\cdot(EtOH)_{0.9}\cdot(H_2O)_{5.5}$  [calculated: C (29.97%), H (2.65%), N (2.11%), S (9.64%)], as per elemental analysis [found:

#### Journal Name

C (29.99%), H (2.64%), N (2.31%), S (9.57%)]. The EtOH content is also consistent with solution <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Fig. S17) of the GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub> solid dissolved in MeOH- $d_4$ /DCl/DMSO- $d_6$ ; while the corresponding adsorption capacity ( $q_{max} = 214 \text{ mg/g}$ ) matches the TGA results (Fig. S11). The powder X-ray diffraction pattern (PXRD) of the GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub> solid indicates an intensity redistribution to higher angle (Fig. 3), as a result of the occupation of the void space by the heavy HgCl<sub>2</sub> guests.

The single crystal structure of GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub> indicates the DMF guests to be replaced by HgCl<sub>2</sub> and EtOH guests (see Fig. S17 for the <sup>1</sup>H NMR verification). Quantification of the guest species from the X-ray dataset, however, proves difficult, because of severe disorder and low occupancy of the guests. A total of three distinct HgCl<sub>2</sub> sites were identified in the unit cell (Figs. 2C and S18). Two of these are associated with the soft S and N donors at the Janus core, albeit at weak contacts (Hg1-N1, 2.788; Hg1-S3, 3.112; Hg2-N2, 2.938; Hg2-S4, 3.481 Å) considerably longer than typical Hg-N (about 2.0 Å) and Hg-S (2.3 Å) coordination distances. Both Hg1 and Hg2 sites are disordered over two sites, with total occupancy rates refined to be 0.33 for Hg1 and 0.087 for Hg2. Hg1 and Hg2 also each share the site with a disordered ethanol molecule (ccupancies: 0.17 and 0.63, respectively). The third HgCl<sub>2</sub> guest, being chemically soft, is peculiarly linked to the hard Eu(III) center via two bridging O atoms: one from a water ligand (Eu-O, 2.477; Hg-O, 3.214 Å) and the other from an ethanol ligand on Eu (Eu-O, 2.486; Hg-O, 2.662 Å). This HgCl<sub>2</sub> site is not disordered but is only 0.031-occupied and it also shares a site with an oxygen atom of another (more prevalent, with 0.67 occupancy) solvent molecule of ethanol. These local bonding features point to relatively weak binding of the HgCl<sub>2</sub> units, which is consistent with the modest  $K_d$  constant of 7.5  $\times$  10<sup>2</sup> mL g<sup>-1</sup> determined from the above sorption tests. All together, the X-ray structure features a formula  $\text{Eu}(\textbf{L})\cdot(\text{HgCl}_2)_{0.44}\cdot(\text{EtOH})_{2.61},$  with an underestimated HgCl<sub>2</sub> content (compared with the above result from elemental analysis), suggesting that some of the HgCl<sub>2</sub> guests were too disordered to be located by SCXRD.

The GDUT-7 crystals also effectively take up  $I_2$  molecules. For example, at 95 °C (2 hours), the crystals were impregnated by  $I_2$  vapor to form the adduct Eu(L)·( $I_2$ )<sub>2.6</sub> ( $I_2$  content: 37.5%, as determined by elemental analysis and TGA, Fig. S19), while PXRD indicates the retention of the host lattice (Fig. S20). SCXRD



Fig. 3 PXRD patterns (Cu K $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda$  = 1.5418 Å) for GDUT-7 samples as: a) calculated from the crystal structure; b) of an as-made sample; c) of an activated sample; d) of GDUT-7 treated with excess HgCl<sub>2</sub> in ethanol.

#### COMMUNICATION

on the I<sub>2</sub>-loaded crystal revealed only the host net, indicating the I<sub>2</sub> guests to be highly disordered; while Raman spectra reveals the distinct peak of I<sub>2</sub> at 180 cm<sup>-1</sup> (see Fig. S21). Further study (e.g., EXAFS; X-ray absorption fine structure) is needed for probing the I<sub>2</sub>—host interactions. Besides the vapor phase, water offers an interesting medium for I<sub>2</sub> uptake tests,<sup>18</sup> because of the difficulty in removing I<sub>2</sub> contamination (e.g., the radioactive <sup>131</sup>I) by ion exchange or reverse osmosis. As most MOF solids are water-sensitive, the performance of GDUT-7 here merits attention. Specifically, shaking the crystals in an I<sub>2</sub>-KI solution overnight leads to the adduct Eu(L)·(I<sub>2</sub>)<sub>1.2</sub> (see ESI for elemental analysis, see Fig. S19 for TGA and Fig. S22 for PXRD), and uptake from non-polar solvents (e.g., petroleum ether) is also viable, leading to an even higher I<sub>2</sub> loading, as in Eu(L)·(I<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2.3</sub>



**Fig. 4** Photographs of simple column chromatography (a pipette packed with crystalline powder of GDUT-7): a) a  $I_2$  petroether solution being gravity-flown through; b) the pipette from step a being eluted by EtOH to regenerate the GDUT-7 sample; c) a Lugol ( $I_2$ -KI) solution being gravity-flown through; d) the pipette from step c being eluted by EtOH to regenerate GDUT-7.

(see ESI for details). Sorbent regeneration is simple, as the  $I_2$  guests can be readily eluted by ethanol (e.g., see the simple chromatography experiments of Fig. 4).

In conclusion, the diverse guests--featuring the peculiar Obound HgCl<sub>2</sub> and two other HgCl<sub>2</sub> units located by SCXRD-reflect the complex shapes and functions of the cavities in the triple-tripod-based MOF host. Besides the large number of donor functions (the S and N donors, as well as the O donors on the metal clusters), the non-planar, branchy and rigid triple tripod thwarts close packing, and forges various van der Waals pockets (micropores) for incorporating the guests. The extensive inclusion of HgCl<sub>2</sub> into this multiple-tripod-based MOF host is also in line with the propensity of other two-faced molecules (cucurbiturils, Janusarenes and pillararenes) to embrace guest molecules, pointing to the versatile guest-host chemistry that can be thus integrated into framework materials.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Notes and references

 a) X.-P. Zhou, Z. Xu, M. Zeller and A. D. Hunter, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 5439-5441; b) C. J. Doonan, W. Morris, H. Furukawa and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9492-9493; c) E. D. Bloch, D. Britt, C. Lee, C. J. Doonan, F. J. Uribe-Romo, H. Furukawa, J. R. Long and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14382-14384; d) C.-D. Wu, A. Hu, L. Zhang and W. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 8940-8941; e) K.-K. Yee, N. Reimer, J. Liu, S.-Y. Cheng, S.-M. Yiu, J. Weber, N. Stock

#### COMMUNICATION

and Z. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 7795-7798; f) K.-K. Yee, Y.-L. Wong, M. Zha, R. Y. Adhikari, M. T. Tuominen, J. He and Z. Xu, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 10941-10944; g) B. Gui, K.-K. Yee, Y.-L. Wong, S.-M. Yiu, M. Zeller, C. Wang and Z. Xu, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 6917-6920; h) K. Manna, T. Zhang, F. X. Greene and W. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 2665-2673; i) H. Fei and S. M. Cohen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 2191-2194; j) G. Chang, M. Huang, Y. Su, H. Xing, B. Su, Z. Zhang, Q. Yang, Y. Yang, Q. Ren, Z. Bao and B. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 2859-2862; k) J. D. Evans, C. J. Sumby and C. J. Doonan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 5933-5951; I) J. E. Mondloch, W. Bury, D. Fairen-Jimenez, S. Kwon, E. J. DeMarco, M. H. Weston, A. A. Sarjeant, S. T. Nguyen, P. C. Stair, R. Q. Snurr, O. K. Farha and J. T. Hupp, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10294-10297; m) Y.-L. Hou, K.-K. Yee, Y.-L. Wong, M. Zha, J. He, M. Zeller, A. D. Hunter, K. Yang and Z. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 14852-14855; n) J. Cui, Y.-L. Wong, M. Zeller, A. D. Hunter and Z. Xu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 14438-14442; o) T. Zhang, K. Manna and W. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, **138**, 3241-3249.

2. a) M. Mon, M. A. Rivero-Crespo, J. Ferrando-Soria, A. Vidal-Moya, M. Boronat, A. Leyva-Perez, A. Corma, J. C. Hernandez-Garrido, M. Lopez-Haro, J. J. Calvino, G. Ragazzon, A. Credi, D. Armentano and E. Pardo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 6186-6191; b) M. Mon, F. Lloret, J. Ferrando-Soria, C. Martí-Gastaldo, D. Armentano and E. Pardo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 11167-11172.

3. J. Baek, B. Rungtaweevoranit, X. Pei, M. Park, S. C. Fakra, Y.-S. Liu, R. Matheu, S. A. Alshmimri, S. Alshehri, C. A. Trickett, G. A. Somorjai and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 18208-18216.

4. C. Sun, G. Skorupskii, J.-H. Dou, A. M. Wright and M. Dinca, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 17394-17398.

a) B. F. Hoskins and R. Robson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5962-5964;
b) L. R. MacGillivray, S. Subramanian and M. J. Zaworotko, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1325-1326; c) G. B. Gardner, D. Venkataraman, J. S. Moore and S. Lee, Nature 1995, 374, 792-795;
d) O. M. Yaghi, G. M. Li and H. L. Li, Nature, 1995, 378, 703-706;
e) M. Kondo, T. Yoshitomi, K. Seki, H. Matsuzaka and S. Kitagawa, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 1725-1727;
f) Y. Bai, Y. Dou, L.-H. Xie, W. Rutledge, J.-R. Li and H.-C. Zhou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 2327-2367;
g) T. Islamoglu, S. Goswami, Z. Li, A. J. Howarth, O. K. Farha and J. T. Hupp, Acc. Chem. Res., 2017, 50, 805-813;
h) B. Li, M. Chrzanowski, Y. Zhang and S. Ma, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2016, 307, 106-129;
i) K. Adil, Y. Belmabkhout, R. S. Pillai, A. Cadiau, P. M. Bhatt, A. H. Assen, G. Maurin and M. Eddaoudi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 3402-3430;
j) J. He, M. Zeller, A. D. Hunter and Z. Xu, CrystEngComm, 2015, 17, 9254-9263.

a) L. R. MacGillivray, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, **51**, 1110-1112;
b) S. M. Oburn, D. C. Swenson, S. V. S. Mariappan and L. R. MacGillivray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, **139**, 8452-8454; c) J. Murray, K. Kim, T. Ogoshi, W. Yao and B. C. Gibb, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, **46**, 2479-2496; d) D. Nieckarz and P. Szabelski, Chem. Commun., 2016, **52**, 11642-11645; e) K. T. Holman, A. M. Pivovar and M. D. Ward, Science, 2001, **294**, 1907-1911; f) Y. Wang, Z. Yin, Y. Zhu, J. Gu, Y. Li and J. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, **41**, 587-591.

7. a) E. Pazos, P. Novo, C. Peinador, A. E. Kaifer and M. D. Garcia, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 403-416; b) L. Isaacs, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 2052-2062; c) L. Isaacs, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 619-629.

8. a) H. Zhang, Z. Liu and Y. Zhao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 5491-5528; b) T. Kakuta, T.-a. Yamagishi and T. Ogoshi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 1656-1666; c) K. Jie, Y. Zhou, E. Li and F. Huang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 2064-2072.

9. T. Li, L. Fan, H. Gong, Z. Xia, Y. Zhu, N. Jiang, L. Jiang, G. Liu, Y. Li and J. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 9473-9477.

10. a) A. D. U. Hardy, D. D. MacNicol and D. R. Wilson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1979, 1011-1019; b) D. D. MacNicol, P. R. Mallinson, A. Murphy and G. J. Sym, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, **23**, 4131-4134; c) K. Li, Z. Xu, H. Xu, P. J. Carroll and J. C. Fettinger, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 1032-1037; d) K. Li, G. Huang, Z. Xu and P. J. Carroll, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2006, **179**, 3688-3694; e) G. Huang, H. Xu, X.-P. Zhou, Z. Xu, K. Li, M. Zeller and A. D. Hunter, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2007, **7**, 2542-2547.

11. C. Walsdorff, W. Saak, D. Haase and S. Pohl, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1931-1932.

a) J. Tian, P. K. Thallapally, S. J. Dalgarno, P. B. McGrail and J. L. Atwood, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 5492-5495; b) L.-L. Tan, Y. Zhu, H. Long, Y. Jin, W. Zhang and Y.-W. Yang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 6409-6412; c) C. M. Kane, A. Banisafar, T. P. Dougherty, L. J. Barbour and K. T. Holman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 4377-4392; d) Q. Song, S. Jiang, T. Hasell, M. Liu, S. Sun, A. K. Cheetham, E. Sivaniah and A. I. Cooper, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2629-2637.

13. a) M. Zha, J. Liu, Y.-L. Wong and Z. Xu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 3928-3934; b) M. Carboni, C. W. Abney, K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow, J. L. Vivero-Escoto and W. Lin, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 15187-15197; c) N. D. Rudd, H. Wang, E. M. A. Fuentes-Fernandez, S. J. Teat, F. Chen, G. Hall, Y. J. Chabal and J. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 30294-30303; d) Y. Han, H. Zheng, K. Liu, H. Wang, H. Huang, L.-H. Xie, L. Wang and J.-R. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 23331-23337; e) Q. Zhang, J. Yu, J. Cai, L. Zhang, Y. Cui, Y. Yang, B. Chen and G. Qian, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 14732-14734; f) H. Xue, Q. Chen, F. Jiang, D. Yuan, G. Lv, L. Liang, L. Liu and M. Hong, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 5983-5988; g) C. O. Audu, H. G. T. Nguyen, C.-Y. Chang, M. J. Katz, L. Mao, O. K. Farha, J. T. Hupp and S. T. Nguyen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 6492-6498.

14. a) D. F. Sava, T. J. Garino and T. M. Nenoff, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 612-618; b) N. R. Soelberg, T. G. Garn, M. R. Greenhalgh, J. D. Law, R. Jubin, D. M. Strachan and P. K. Thallapally, Sci. Technol. Nucl. Install., 2013, 702496; c) J.-P. Lang, Q.-F. Xu, R.-X. Yuan and B. F. Abrahams, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 4741-4745; d) Z. Yin, Q.-X. Wang and M.-H. Zeng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 4857-4863; e) A. P. Katsoulidis, J. He and M. G. Kanatzidis, Chem. Mater., 2012, 24, 1937-1943; f) D. Banerjee, X. Chen, S. S. Lobanov, A. M. Plonka, X. Chan, J. A. Daly, T. Kim, P. K. Thallapally and J. B. Parise, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 10622-10626; g) X. Zhang, I. da Silva, H. G. W. Godfrey, S. K. Callear, S. A. Sapchenko, Y. Cheng, I. Vitorica-Yrezabal, M. D. Frogley, G. Cinque, C. C. Tang, C. Giacobbe, C. Dejoie, S. Rudic, A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, M. A. Denecke, S. Yang and M. Schroder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 16289-16296; h) B. Li, X. Dong, H. Wang, D. Ma, K. Tan, S. Jensen, B. J. Deibert, J. Butler, J. Cure, Z. Shi, T. Thonhauser, Y. J. Chabal, Y. Han and J. Li, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 1-9; i) K. Jie, Y. Zhou, E. Li, Z. Li, R. Zhao and F. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 15320-15323; j) R.-X. Yao, X. Cui, X.-X. Jia, F.-Q. Zhang and X.-M. Zhang, Inorg. Chem., 2016, 55, 9270-9275; k) Z. Yan, Y. Yuan, Y. Tian, D. Zhang and G. Zhu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 12733-12737.

15. CCDC 1888673 and 1888674 contain crystallographic data for GDUT-7 and GDUT-7-HgCl<sub>2</sub>, respectively.

16. a) W. Yang, X. Lin, J. Jia, A. J. Blake, C. Wilson, P. Hubberstey, N. R. Champness and M. Schroeder, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 359-361; b) J. Xu, L. Sun, H. Xing, Z. Liang, J. Yu and R. Xu, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **14**, 978-981.

17. C. Yang, Y.-L. Wong, R. Xiao, M. Zeller, A. D. Hunter, S.-M. Yiu and Z. Xu, *ChemistrySelect*, 2016, **1**, 4075-4081.

18. a) L. Fu, Y. Liu, M. Pan, X.-J. Kuang, C. Yan, K. Li, S.-C. Wei and C.-Y. Su, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 8575-8580; b) Q.-K. Liu, J.-P. Ma and Y.-B. Dong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 7185-7187.

**Journal Name**