

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

# Taming of Tetranitroethane: A Promising Precursor to High Performance Energetic Ingredients

Journal:	Journal of Materials Chemistry A
Manuscript ID	TA-ART-06-2018-005394.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Jul-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Shreeve, Jean'ne; University of Idaho, Department of Chemistry Yin, Ping; University of Idaho, Chemistry Yu, Qiong; University of Idaho, Chemistry Dharavath, Srinivas; University of Idaho, Chemistry; University of Idaho, Chemistry

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

# Journal of Materials Chemistry A

# ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx,

Accepted 00th January 20xx DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



# Taming of Tetranitroethane: A Promising Precursor to High Performance Energetic Ingredients

Ping Yin, Qiong Yu, Srinivas Dharavath, and Jean'ne M. Shreeve\*

The stabilization of nitrogen-rich or oxygen-rich energetic molecules is an ongoing challenging study in the field of energetic materials. Now we describe the stabilization of tetranitroethane by various states including metal complexes, nitrogen rich salts, and ionic complexes with ammonium oxide. These newly prepared energetic compounds are characterized by IR and NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis was used to obtain further structural confirmation of **10**, **11**, **12**, and **14**. The safety parameters associated with impact and friction sensitivities were investigated using BAM (Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und-prüfung) methods. In addition, detonation performance, e.g., detonation velocity, detonation pressure, and specific impulse were calculated using Explo5 program (version 6.01), which gives rise to a significant assessment for the application potential of tetranitroethane derivatives as high performance energetic ingredients.

### Introduction

The investigation of high energy density materials (HEDMs), e.g., explosives, propellants, and pyrotechnics, has drawn wide attention in both military and civilian engineering science. Major efforts are devoted to the innovation in designing new energetic molecules featuring high performance and low sensitivity.<sup>1</sup> However, it is very challenging to meet various requirements of physicochemical properties.<sup>2</sup> In the design of energetic oxidizers, the increase of oxygen balance and density relies on the introduction of nitrate or perchlorate anions, which can be found in some representative traditional propellants, e.g., ammonium nitrate (AN) and ammonium perchlorate (AP).<sup>3</sup> Although AP is still the primary choice in solid rocket propellants, public concerns have been raised regarding its role in environmental pollution.<sup>4</sup> In comparison, AN is more environmentally-friendly but its relatively low detonation performance is the main obstacle for wide applications in explosives and propellants.

Recently, energetic molecules consisting of organic backbones and explosophores exhibit promising potential as propellant oxidizers because of the high density and good oxygen balance.<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, in contrast to inorganic HEDMs, AP and AN, the high detonation performance and low sensitivity enable them to play another role as primary or

secondary explosives.<sup>6</sup> Our recent research interests are focused on expanding the chemistry of 1,1-diamino-2,2-dinitroethene (FOX-7), a star molecule featuring high performance and low sensitivity.<sup>7</sup> A variety of derivatives of FOX-7, e.g., metal complexes, high-oxygen carriers, and hypergolic oxidizers were synthesized and their diversified energetic properties highlight their application potentials as high performance HEDMs.<sup>8</sup> Compared to FOX-7, other C2 based energetic molecules, such as 1,1,2,2-tetranitroethylene (TNEE, **1**), 1,1,2,2-tetranitroethane (TNE, **2**) and their derivatives, are rarely investigated in the energetic field.<sup>9</sup>

To add to the understanding of the difference of these C2 based analogues, their bond dissociation energies (BDE) were calculated employing the Gaussian 03 suite of programs (Scheme 1).<sup>10</sup> As the first trigger bond, the C-nitro bond of FOX-7 shows a high BDE of 280.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which arises from a push-

FOX-7	Tetranitroethylene (1)	Tetranitroethane (2)			
BDE (C-NO <sub>2</sub> ) = 280.3 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	BDE (C-NO <sub>2</sub> ) = 203.6 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	BDE (C-NO <sub>2</sub> ) = 151.3 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>			
$\Omega_{CO_2} = -43.2\%; \Omega_{CO} = 0$	$\Omega_{CO_2} = +30.8\%; \Omega_{CO} = +46.1\%$	$\Omega_{CO_2} = +22.9\%; \Omega_{CO} = +38.1\%$			
Scheme 1 . Comparative	study of bond dissociation	energies (BDEs) for C2 based			
energetic molecules (FOX-7	, <b>1</b> , and <b>2</b> ).				

pull substituted structure. In comparison with the high molecular stability of FOX-7, neither TNEE nor TNE can be isolated in stable neat forms at room temperature, which is supported by the low C-nitro BDE of 203.6 and 151.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. However, the high oxygen content and positive oxygen balance (OB) of polynitrated frameworks make them

Department of Chemistry, University of Idaho

Moscow, ID 83844-2343 (USA)

Fax: (+1)208-885-9146

E-mail: <u>ishreeve@uidaho.edu</u>

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: CCDC 1847183 (10), 1847186(11), 1847185 (12), 1847184(14). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Journal Name

#### ARTICLE

highly attractive for designing high energy density oxidizers (HEDOs). Now we report the syntheisis and properties of tetranitroethane derivatives, which were tamed by metal or nitrogen-rich cations. Compared to the highly unstable tetranitroethane, nitrogen-rich ionic derivatives and metal complexes exhibit greater molecular stabilities that may be suitable for practical applications. Furthermore, some tetranitroethane derivatives show favorable detonation performance and oxygen balance, which enable them to be ultilized as potential explosives and propellants.

### **Results and discussion**

As can be seen in Scheme 2a, potassium tetranitroethanide (6) was synthesized from chlorination and nitration of trinitroethanol (4), which was obtained by employing a Mannich reaction of potassium nitroformate (3) with 38% Formalin in the presence of conc. HCl.<sup>9</sup> Preparation of the copper complex **9** was achieved by the reaction of copper (II) nitrate and 6 in aqueous ammonia. Furthermore, when treated with silver (I) nitrate, 6 was converted to silver tetranitroethanide (7) in good yield (Scheme 2a). However, the following attempt to form dihydroxylammonium tetranitroethanide (8) failed in the reaction of 7 with hydroxylammonium chloride. However, the diamminesilver (I) tetranitroethanide (10) was readily prepared from 7 and aqueous ammonia.

When gaseous hydrogen chloride was bubbled into the suspension of  ${\bf 6}$  in chloroform at ~-40 °C, tetranitroethane  ${\bf 2}$  was



Scheme 2 . a) Synthesis of TNE-based metal complexes; b) Reactions of TNE with ammonia and hydroxylamine; c) Synthesis of TNE based guanidinium salts.

prepared in situ and was characterized by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. The reaction of **2** and ammonia in methanol gave rise to diammonium tetranitroethanide  $[(NH_4)_2TNE, 11]$ . However, the reaction of **2** with excess hydroxylamine at room temperature resulted in **12** consisting of dinitroacetaldoxime anion, hydroxylammonium cation and ammonium oxide, as confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and elemental analysis. In comparison, while the reaction was carried out at -10 °C for few minutes, **13** was precipitated from the mixed

solution of chloroform and methanol. In the synthetic attempt to get **8**, tetranitroethane was treated with a stoichiometric amount of hydroxylamine but gave rise to an impure hygroscopic product. When **12** was stored in methanol for an extended period, a new ionic complex **14** was crystallized from the mother liquid, which indicated the transformation from the hydroxylammonium cation to the ammonium cation. Additionally, due to the favorable crystallization characteristics of guanidinium derivatives, **15-17** were prepared directly from **6** and the corresponding guanidinium chlorides.

As a useful tool for studying the structural features for solidstate compounds, single crystal X-ray diffraction analyses were carried out for 10, 11, 12, and 14. Crystal 10 crystallizes from an aqueous ammonia solution, whereas crystals of 11 and 12 were obtained from the evaporation of methanol and a mixture of methanol-chloroform, respectively. Crystal 14 was found when the methanol solution of 12 was evaporated very slowly at room temperature. With a good density of 2.705 g cm<sup>-3</sup> at 173 K, **10** crystallizes in the tetragonal space group 14/m with four molecules in each unit cell (Figure 1). Arising from the steric effect, the adjacent two dinitromethyl groups are twisted with each other, which can be seen from the N(2)-C(1)-C(1A)-N(1A) torsion angle of -74.66° and N(1)-C(1)-C(1A)-N(2A) torsion angle of -74.56°. However, an almost planar structure was observed in each dinitromethyl group (O4-N2-C1-N1, -3(2)°; O4-N2-C1-C11, 177.2(16)°). Coordinating with four ammonia units, the silver cation has a nearly planar geometry as shown in Figure 1b. Silver complex 10 is featured as a 1D coordination polymer with an adjacent Ag1…Ag2 distance of 3.2063(19) Å, which is shorter than some reported silver complexes.<sup>11</sup>

Diammonium tetranitroethanide (**11**) crystallizes with a calculated density of 1.797g cm<sup>-3</sup> (at 130 K) in the monoclinic space group P2<sub>1</sub>/n. Analogous to **10**, the gem-dinitro group is essentially planar, as supported by the torsion angle of O4-N2-



**Figure 1.** (a) Single-crystal X-ray structure of diamminesilver (I) tetranitroethanide (**10**); (b) Packing diagram of **10** (unit cell view along the *a* axis).



Figure 2. (a) Single-crystal X-ray structure of diammonium tetranitroethanide (11); (b) Hydrogen bonding interaction of 11 between ammonium and tetranitroethanide anion.

C1-N1, 177.10(9)°. The close contact between ammonium and nitro groups reveals the strong hydrogen-bond interactions, which can be confirmed by short donor-acceptor distance (See electronic supporting information). Both 12 and 14 crystallize in the triclinic space group P-1 with calculated crystal densities of 1.815 and 1.784 g cm  $^{-3}$  at 173(2) K, respectively. The dinitroacetaldoximide anion in 12 and 14 is planar (12, O2-N2-C2-N3, 180.00(14)°, N1-C1-C2-N3, 177.86(16)°; 13, N1-C1-C2-N3, 177.93(2)°, O5-N3-C2-C1, 4.82(3)°) (Figure 3 and 4). The hydrogen bond interactions between ammonium oxide and the hydroxylammonium cation (the donor-acceptor distance) in **12**  $(d_{0\dots0}, 2.453 \text{ Å})$  is shorter than in **14**  $(d_{0\dots0}, 2.559 \text{ Å})$ . These new energetic compounds were fully characterized by FTIR, NMR, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), and elemental analysis (EA). In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, the sole carbon signal of the TNE anion appears at ~131 ppm. In the ammonium salt 11, the characteristic signal for nitro groups is found at -19.25 ppm in the <sup>15</sup>N NMR spectrum using *D*6-DMSO as the solvent. The TNE derivatives exhibit moderate thermal stabilities with decomposition temperatures ranging from 99 to 192 °C, which are comparable to ammonium dinitramide (ADN,







Figure 4. (a) Single-crystal X-ray structure of 14; (b) Packing diagram of 14 (unit cell viewed along the a axis)

 $T_{\rm d}$  = 159 °C). As a critical index for energetic oxidizers, the oxygen balance (OB) of TNE analogues are significantly enhanced by the dinitromethyl group, which is exemplified by **11**, **12**, and **13** (OB%, +13.1%, +3.7%, and +18.1%, respectively; OB% is calculated based on the formation of carbon monoxide during the combustion process). Similarly, the oxygen content of **11-13** falls between 52.1% and 56.9%, which exceeds that of hexogen (RDX, O, 43.2%) and is even slightly higher than ADN (*O*, 51.6%). The other TNE analogues, including the copper and silver complexes (**9** and **10**), and guanidinium derivatives (**15-17**), have lower oxygen content and negative oxygen balance, ranging from 26.0% to 39.0%, and from -19.1% to -3.3%, respectively.

The densities of TNE-based energetic salts were measured with a gas pycnometer at 25 °C. Two metal complexes  $Cu(NH_3)_4TNE$  (9) and  $[Ag(NH_3)_2]_2TNE$  (10) have good densities of 1.933 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and 2.586 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively, which are comparable to those of  $Cu(NH3)_2(FOX)_2$  (18, d, 1.973 g cm<sup>-3</sup>)<sup>8a</sup> and  $Ag(NH_3)FOX$  (19, d, 2.510 g cm<sup>-3</sup>).<sup>8b</sup> The CHNO based ionic derivatives exhibit moderate to good densities from 1.653 (17) to 1.805 (13) g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Heats of formation ( $\Delta H_f$ ) were computed using B3LYP function with 6-31+G<sup>\*\*</sup> basis set with Gaussian 03, Revision E. 01, and the lattice energies were predicted employing Jenkins method.<sup>12</sup> Based on the calculated  $\Delta H_f$  and experimental densities, detonation velocity ( $v_D$ ), detonation pressure (*P*) as well as specific impulse ( $I_{so}$ ) were obtained

Journal Name

#### ARTICLE

using Explo5 v6.01.<sup>13</sup> These calculated  $v_{\rm D}$  and P values for the new salts range between 8306 (15) and 9264 m s<sup>-1</sup> (12), and 27.2 (15) and 37.5 GPa (12), repectively. Most of the salts (11-**13**, **17**) have better detonation velocities (8757-9264 m s<sup>-1</sup>) than ADN (8635 m s<sup>-1</sup>) and RDX (8748 m s<sup>-1</sup>). The sensitivity tests were carried out using BAM Fallhammer impact and friction testers. These energetic salts have similar impact (IS) and friction sensitivity (FS) values as RDX and ADN with the

confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

Ammonium oxide serves as an important building block to

stabilize the ionic derivatives 12-14 with enhanced interionic

interaction. Of them, 12 exhibits the most favorable integrated

detonation performance with acceptable sensitivity

parameters (v<sub>D</sub>, 9264 m s<sup>-1</sup>; P, 37.5 Gpa; IS, 8 J; FS, 80 N). In

comparison with the unstable precursor of tetranitroethane,

polynitroalkanes and their promising energetic properties

highlight the application prospect as high performance

these new compounds expand the chemistry

secondary explosives and oxygen-carriers.

exception of 15, which may be attributed to H-bond interactions between the TNE anion and the guanidinium cation (RDX, IS, 7 J, FS, 120N; ADN, IS, 3-5 J, FS, 64-72N; 15, IS, 25 J, FS, 160N). Additionally, 12 and 13 exhibit promising calculated specific impulse values (Isp, 12, 284 s; 13, 268 s), which are superior to that of ADN (202 s) and RDX (258 s).

Table 1. Physical prop	erties of tet	ranitroetha	ne derivatives ar	nd comparison with	ADN and RDX						
Comp	ОВ <sup>[а]</sup> [%]	O <sup>[b]</sup> [%]	d <sup>[c]</sup> [g cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	Δ <i>H</i> <sub>f</sub> <sup>[d]</sup> [kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	τ <sub>d</sub> <sup>[e]</sup> [°C]	v <sub>D</sub> <sup>[f]</sup> [m s⁻¹]	<i>P</i> <sup>[g]</sup> [GPa]	/S <sup>[h]</sup> [J]	FS <sup>[i]</sup> [N]	ال <sub>sp</sub> [] [s]	
9	-4.7	37.7	1.933	-	192	-	-	15	120	-	
10	-3.3	26.0	2.586	-	128	-	-	5	60	-	
11	+13.1	52.4	1.772	-386.4	104	8900	33.0	6	80	260	
12	+3.7	52.1	1.791	-74.5	114	9264	37.5	8	80	284	
13	+18.1	56.9	1.805	-288.3	103	9133	35.3	4	60	268	
15	-9.8	39.0	1.713	-318.6	179	8306	27.2	25	160	229	
16	-13.4	35.7	1.677	-49.8	99	8447	27.5	10	120	242	
17	-19.1	30.6	1.653	425.5	116	8757	29.2	5	80	258	
Cu(NH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (FOX) <sub>2</sub> <sup>[k]</sup>	-12.2	32.7	1.973	-	167	-	-	>5	-	-	
Ag(NH₃)FOX <sup>[k]</sup>	-21.8	23.5	2.510	-	190	-	-	>40	-	-	
ADN	+25.8	51.6	1.810	-149.7	159	8635	30.6	3-5	64-72	202	
RDX	0	43.2	1.806	80.0	205	8748	34.9	7.5 <sup>[I]</sup>	120	258	

[a] Oxygen balance (based on CO) for  $C_aH_bO_cN_d$ , 1600(c-a-b/2)/MW, MW = molecular weight. [b] Oxygen content. [c] Density measured by gas pycnometer (25 °C). [d] Heat of formation. [e] Decomposition temperature (onset). [f] Detonation velocity (calculated with Explo5 v6.01). [g] Detonation pressure (calculated with Explo5 v6.01 [h] Impact sensitivity. [i] Friction sensitivity. [j] Specific impulse. [k] Ref. 8a and 8b. [l] expt'l. Idaho value.

### Conclusions

#### Safety precautions

All experimental manipulations of TNE derivatives must be carried out by using safety precautions. Eye protection and Tetranitroethane-based metal complexes (9 and 10), nitrogenleather gloves must be worn at all times. Mechanical actions of rich salts (11, 13, and 15-17), and ionic complexes of these energetic materials involving scratching or scraping must dinitroacetaldoximate (12 and 14) were synthesized and be avoided. characterized. Compounds 10, 11, 12, and 14 were further

#### **General Methods**

All chemical reagents were pure ACS grade materials obtained from Aldrich, Oakwood, Acros Organics or AK Scientific and used as received. Decomposition points were recorded on a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC, TA Instruments Q2000) at a scan rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>14</sup>N and <sup>15</sup>N NMR spectra were recorded on a 300 MHz (Bruker AVANCE 300) or 500 MHz (Bruker AVANCE 500) nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported relative to Me<sub>4</sub>Si or deuterated solvents. Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed on a CHN Elemental Analyzer (Elementar, vario MICRO cube). Impact and friction sensitivity measurements were made using a standard BAM Fallhammer and a BAM friction tester. IR spectra were recorded using KBr pellets with a Thermo Nicolet AVATAR 370 spectrometer. Densities were

**Experimental section** 

of

#### Journal Name

Synthesis of K<sub>2</sub>TNE (**6**) was based on the literature procedure.<sup>9</sup> Yellow solid, 85% yield. <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $D_6$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  130.9; IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  1464, 1383, 1260, 1214, 1183, 1119, 1007, 791 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (286.24): C, 8.39; H, 0.00; N, 19.57; found: C, 8.37; H, 0.03; N, 19.14.

Synthesis of Ag<sub>2</sub>TNE (**7**): Sliver nitrate (680 mg, 4 mmol) was added in one portion to the magnetically stirred solution of **6** (286 mg, 1 mmol) in distilled water (3 mL). The final suspension was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then the desired product **7** was obtained by filtration. Yellow solid, 71 % yield. IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  1655, 1533, 1467, 1383, 1361, 1271, 1117, 1009, 850, 793, 774, 755, 741 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>2</sub>Ag<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (423.78): C, 5.67; H, 0.00; N, 13.22; found: C, 5.80; H, 0.13; N, 12.95.

Synthesis of Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>TNE (9): Potassium tetranitroethanide (K<sub>2</sub>TNE, **6**, 1 mmol, 286 mg) and copper(II) nitrate hemipentahydrate (Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>•2.5H<sub>2</sub>O, 1 mmol, 233 mg) was placed in aqueous ammonia (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 30 min and then was filtered using a Buchner funnel, giving rise to the copper complex **9**. Green solid, 75% yield. IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  3341, 3352, 1468, 1350, 1194, 1134, 1003, 846, 793, 743 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>12</sub>CuN<sub>8</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (339.71): C, 7.07; H, 3.56; N, 32.99; found: C, 7.09; H, 3.42; N, 32.17.

Synthesis of  $[Ag(NH_3)_2]_2$ TNE (**10**): Ag<sub>2</sub>TNE (**7**, 1mmol, 424 mg) was dissolved in aqueous ammonia (5 mL) at room temperature. The slow evaporation of resulting solution gave rise to **9** as a yellow crystalline solid. 51% yield. IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  3438, 3317, 1655, 1534, 1467, 1401, 1349, 1220, 1187, 1141, 1116, 1005 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>12</sub>Ag<sub>2</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (491.90): C, 4.88; H, 2.46; N, 22.78; found: C, 4.83; H, 2.29; N, 22.15.

Synthesis of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>TNE (11): Gaseous hydrogen chloride was slowly bubbled into a suspension of  ${\bf 6}$  (1mmol, 286 mg) in anhydrous chloroform (30 mL) at ~-40 °C for 30 min. The suspension was stirred at -40 °C for 1 h and then was treated by a quick suction filtration using a Büchner funnel. The cold filtrate was collected and concentrated to ~15 mL by blowing air over the liquid surface at -20°C. With the TNE solution in hand, ammonia (7N in methanol, 0.5 mL) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at 0-5°C for 10 min. The yellow precipitate was collected by filtration and dried in vacuum to yield **11**. Yellow solid, 55% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $D_6$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  7.06 (br, 8H);  $^{13}$ C NMR ( $D_6$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  131.0;  $^{14}$ N NMR ( $D_2$ O):  $\delta$  -29.22, -363.47; <sup>15</sup>N NMR (*D*<sub>6</sub>-DMSO): δ -19.25, -358.53; IR (KBr pellet): v 3394, 3194, 1655, 1542, 1401, 1302 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (244.12): C, 9.84; H, 3.30; N, 34.43; found: C, 9.83; H, 3.11; N, 33.02.

Synthesis of hydroxylammonium dinitroacetaldoximideammonium oxide (**12**): Hydroxylammine (50 wt. % in H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.4 mL, 6 mmol) in methanol (1 mL) was added to the TNE solution (freshly prepared as above in the synthesis of **11**). This reaction was stirred at -10 °C for 5 min and the resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with cold chloroform and dried in vacuum to yield **12**. Yellow solid, 42% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $D_{6^{-}}$ DMSO):  $\delta$  8.19 (s, 1H), 7.75 (br, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $D_{6^{-}}$ DMSO):  $\delta$  141.0, 130.5; IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  3421, 3192, 1629, 1479, 1442, 1376, 1269, 1209, 1128, 994, 959, 881, 792, 738 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (215.12): C, 11.17; H, 4.22; N, 32.56; found: C, 11.37; H, 4.248; N, 32.69.

Synthesis of hydroxylammonium tetranitroethanideammonium oxide (**13**): Hydroxylammine (50 wt. % in H<sub>2</sub>O, 2 mL, 30 mmol) in methanol (5 mL) was added to the TNE solution (freshly prepared as above in the synthesis of **11**). This reaction was stirred at room temperature for three days. The solvent was remove by rotatory evaporation and the residue was washed by cold methanol, giving rise to **13**. Yellow solid, 36% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $D_6$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  8.56 (br, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $D_6$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  131.0; IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  3404, 3161, 1613, 1526, 1459, 1263, 1205, 1182, 1109, 1007, 790, 772, 744 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>11</sub> (309.15): C, 7.77; H, 3.59; N, 31.72; found: C, 7.36; H, 3.92; N, 30.21.

General synthetic procedure of **15-17**: potassium tetranitroethanide (K<sub>2</sub>TNE, **6**, 1mmol, 286 mg) was added to a solution of guanidinium hydrochloride (G•HCl, 6 mmol, 573 mg) or aminoguanidinium hydrochloride (AG•HCl, 6 mmol, 663 mg), or triaminoguanidinium hydrochloride (TAG•HCl, 6 mmol, 843 mg) in a minium amount of distilled water. The suspension was heated to 50 °C and distilled water was added dropwise until a clear solution was obtained. The resulting solution was placed in an ice bath to cool to ~5 °C, where the crystalline product (**15-17**) can be collected by filtration and then dried in vacuum.

G<sub>2</sub>TNE (**15**): Yellow solid, 69% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (*D*<sub>6</sub>-DMSO): δ 6.91 (br, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (*D*<sub>6</sub>-DMSO): δ 157.9, 130.9; IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  3462, 3399, 3274, 3206, 1654, 1456, 1374, 1333, 1187, 1119, 1008, 849, 793, 539 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (328.20): C, 14.64; H, 3.69; N, 42.68; found: C, 14.33; H, 3.72; N, 41.88.

(AG)<sub>2</sub>TNE (**16**): Yellow solid, 41% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $D_6$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  8.54 (s, 2H), 7.18 (br, 4H), 6.80 (br, 4H), 4.67 (s, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $D_6$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  158.8, 130.9; IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  3453, 3356, 3298, 1655, 1578, 1533, 1467, 1420, 1352, 1234, 1186, 1130, 1006, 850, 795, 767, 746, 669, 621, 507, 460 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (358.23): C, 13.41; H, 3.94; N, 46.92; found: C, 13.21; H, 3.95; N, 46.00.

(TAG)<sub>2</sub>TNE (**17**): Orange crystalline solid, 47% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $D_{6}$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  8.57 (s, 6H), 4.80 (s, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR ( $D_{6}$ -DMSO):  $\delta$  159.0, 131.0; IR (KBr pellet):  $\tilde{v}$  3319, 3214, 1684, 1615, 1473, 1350, 1188, 1126, 1006, 952, 851, 788, 769, 747, 638, 610 cm<sup>-</sup>

### ARTICLE

11.49; H, 4.34; N, 53.58; found: C, 11.08; H, 4.45; N, 51.65.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support of the Office of Naval Research (N00014-16-1-2089), and the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (HDTRA 1-15-1-0028), and the M. J. Murdock Charitable Trust (No. 2014120:MNL: 11/20/2014) for funds supporting the purchase of a 500 MHz NMR are gratefully acknowledged. The authors appreciate the considerable help with the X-rav crystallography from Dr. Richard Staples and Dr. Orion Berryman.

## Notes and references

- 1 (a) T. M. Klapötke, Chemistry of High-Energy Materials; Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG: Berlin/New York, 2011; pp 179; (b) W. Zhang, J. Zhang, M. Deng, X. Qi, F. Nie and Q. Zhang, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 181-187; (c) C. Zhang, C. Sun, B. Hu, C. Yu and M. Lu, Science, 2016, 355, 374-376; (d) Y. Xu, Q. Wang, C. Shen, Q. Lin, P. Wang and M. Lu, Nature, 2017, 549, 78-81.(e) M. Rahm, G. Belanger-Chabot, R. Haiges and K. O. Christe, Angew. Chem. In. Ed., 2014, 53, 6893-6897.
- (a) T. M. Klapötke, C. Petermayer, D. G. Piercey and J. 2 Stierstorfer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 20827-20836; (b) D. E. Chavez, J. C. Bottaro, M. Petrie and D. A. Parrish, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 12973-12975; (c) M. S. Klenov, A. A. Guskov, O. V. Anikin, A. M. Churakov, Y. A. Strelenko, I. V. Fedyanin, K. A. Lyssenko and V. A. Tartakovsky, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 11472-11475. (d) P. Yin, Q. Zhang and Shreeve, J. M. Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49, 4–16; (e) G. Belanger-Chabot, M. Rahm, R. Haiges and K. O. Christe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 10881-10885.
- (a) P. W. M. Jacobs and H, M, Whitehead. Chem. Rev., 1969, 69, 551-590; (b) V. V. Boldyrev, Thermochim. Acta, 2006, 443, 1-36; (c) C. Oommen and S. R. Jain, J. Hazard. Mater., 1999, 67, 253-281.
- 4 E. T. Urbansky and M. R. Schock, J. Environ. Manage., 1999, **56**, 79–95.
- (a) D. Fischer, T. M. Klapötke and J. Stierstorfer, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 916–918; (b) T. M. Klapötke, N. Mayr, J. Stierstorfer and M. Weyrauther, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 1410–1417; (c) Q. Yu, P. Yin, J. H. Zhang, C. L. He, G. H. Imler, D. A. Parrish and J. M. Shreeve, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8816-8819; (d) D. E. Chavez, D. A. Parrish and L. Mitchell, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 8666-8669.
- 6 (a) C. He and J. M. Shreeve, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 772–775. (b) P. Yin, J. H. Zhang, C. L. He, D. A. Parrish and J. M. Shreeve, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 3200-3208; (c) M. Gobel and T. M. Klapötke, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2009, 19, 347-365; (d) P. Yin, D. A. Parrish and J. M. Shreeve, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 4778-4786.
- 7 (a) N. V. Latypov, J. Bergman, A. Langlet, U. Wellmar and U. Bemm, Tetrahedron, 1998, 54, 11525-11536; (b) T. T. Vo and J. M. Shreeve, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 8756-8763; (c) H. Gao and J. M. Shreeve, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 56271-56277.

- <sup>1</sup>; elemental analysis (%) calcd for  $C_4H_{18}N_{16}O_8$  (418.29): C, 8 (a) S. Garg, H. Gao, Y. -H. Joo, D. A. Parrish, Y. Huang and J. M. Shreeve, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 8888-8890; (b) S. Garg, H. Gao, D. A. Parrish and J. M. Shreeve, Inorg. Chem., 2011, 50, 390-395; (c) T. T. Vo, J. Zhang, D. A. Parrish, B. Twamley and J. M. Shreeve, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11787-11790.
  - (a) K. Baum and D. Tzeng, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 2736-2739; (b) T. S. Griffin and K. Baum, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 2880–2883; (c) F. G. Borgardt, A. K. Seeler, and P. Noble, Jr. J. Org. Chem., 1966, 9, 2806-2811; (d) G. V.Nekrasova, E. S. Lipina, and V. V.Perekalin, Zh. Org. Khim+. 1974, 10, 2457-2458; (e) G. V. Nekrasova, E. S. Lipina, L. Ya. Zagibalova and V. V. Perekalin, Zh. Org. Khim+. 1981, 17, 711-716.
  - 10 Gaussian 03 (Revision E.01), M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
  - 11 (a) S. H. Li, Y. Wang, C. Qi, X. X. Zhao, J. C. Zhang, S. W. Zhang and S. P. Pang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 14031–14035; (b) C. Shen , Y. Xu and M. Lu, J. Mater. Chem. *A*, 2017, **5**, 18854–18861.
  - 12 H. D. B. Jenkins, D. Tudela and L. Glasser, Inorg. Chem., 2002, 41, 2364-2367.
  - 13 EXPLO5, version 6.01, M. Sućeska, 2013.

6 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3



Taming of tetranitroethane gives rise to various ionic complexes as new energetic ingredients