

Weak anti-localization of two-dimensional holes in germanium beyond the diffusive regime

Journal:	Nanoscale			
Manuscript ID	NR-ART-07-2018-005677.R1			
Article Type:	Paper			
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Sep-2018			
Complete List of Authors:	Chou, Chung-Tao; National Taiwan University, Electrical Engineering Jacobson, Noah; Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico Moussa, Jonathan; Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico Baczewski, Andrew; Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico Chuang, Yen; National Taiwan University, Electrical Engineering Liu, Chia-You; National Taiwan University, Electrical Engineering Li, Jiun-Yun; National Taiwan University, Electrical Engineering Lu, Tzu-Ming; Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico; Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, Sandia National Laboratories			

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Weak anti-localization of two-dimensional holes in germanium beyond the diffusive regime

C.-T. Chou,¹ N. T. Jacobson,² J. E. Moussa,² A. D. Baczewski,²

Y. Chuang,³ C.-Y. Liu,³ J.-Y. Li,^{3,4,1,*} and T. M. Lu^{2,5,†}

¹Department of Electrical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan ²Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185, USA ³Graduate Institute of Electronic Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan ⁴National Nano Device Laboratories, Hsinchu 30078, Taiwan ⁵Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87123, USA (Dated: September 17, 2018)

Abstract

Gate-controllable spin-orbit coupling is often one requisite for spintronic devices. For practical spin field-effect transistors, another essential requirement is ballistic spin transport, where the spin precession length is shorter than the mean free path such that the gate-controlled spin precession is not randomized by disorder. In this letter, we report the observation of a gate-induced crossover from weak localization to weak anti-localization in the magneto-resistance of a high-mobility two-dimensional hole gas in a strained germanium quantum well. From the magneto-resistance, we extract the phase-coherence time, spin-orbit precession time, spin-orbit energy splitting, and cubic Rashba coefficient over a wide density range. The mobility and the mean free path increase with increasing hole density, while the spin precession length decreases due to increasingly stronger spin-orbit coupling. As the density becomes larger than $\sim 6 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$, the spin precession length becomes shorter than the mean free path, and the system enters the ballistic spin transport regime. We also report here the numerical methods and code developed for calculating the magneto-resistance in the ballistic regime, where the commonly used HLN and ILP models for analyzing weak localization and anti-localization are not valid. These results pave the way toward silicon-compatible spintronic devices.

^{*} jiunyun@ntu.edu.tw

[†] tlu@sandia.gov

Spin-orbit coupling (SOC) in low-dimensional semiconductor systems has received much attention for its importance in both fundamental studies and spintronic applications. For example, it is the underlying physical mechanism giving rise to the spin Hall effect [1] and the quantum spin Hall effect [2], and is also one essential ingredient for creating Majorana zero modes in conventional semiconductors [3–5]. For spintronic devices, SOC not only provides a means to control the rotation of carrier spins [6] but also lifts the degeneracy of the two spin states, enabling all-electric spin-selecting nanostructures [7, 8].

SOC in a two-dimensional (2D) system can be seen as a $\mathbf{k}_{||}$ -dependent effective magnetic field induced by inversion asymmetry, where $\mathbf{k}_{||}$ is the in-plane wave vector. Carrier spins precess about the effective magnetic field axis with a precession frequency $|\Omega_3|$ determined by the strength of SOC. There are two types of SOC in a semiconductor heterostructure, the Rashba SOC and the Dresselhaus SOC. The former is caused by structural inversion asymmetry, typically along the growth direction of the thin-film heterostructure. In addition to the built-in structural asymmetry, the Rashba SOC can also be controlled by external electric fields through electrostatic gating. The Dresselhaus SOC exists in crystals with bulk inversion asymmetry, such as those with the Zincblende structure. In spintronic devices, such as the spin field-effect transistor (FET) proposed by Datta and Das [6], the Rashba SOC is the more relevant mechanism, owing to the gate tunability [9]. We also note that in Ge, the material system of interest in this work, the Dresselhaus SOC can be ignored because of the bulk inversion symmetry in this material.

In a 2D hole gas (2DHG), the Rashba SOC is cubic in \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} in the spin-orbit Hamiltonian (\mathbf{H}_{SO}) due to the nature of the heavy hole band [10–12]:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{SO}} = \hbar \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathbf{3}} = \alpha_3 E_z i \left(k_-^3 \sigma_+ - k_+^3 \sigma_- \right) \tag{1}$$

Here \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the Pauli vector, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3}$ is the precession frequency due to the cubic Rashba SOC, α_{3} is the cubic Rashba coefficient, E_{z} is the effective electric field along the z direction, $k_{\pm} = k_{x} \pm ik_{y}$ where k_{x} and k_{y} are the components of \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} , and $\sigma_{\pm} = (\sigma_{x} \pm \sigma_{y})/2$ where σ_{x} and σ_{y} are the Pauli matrices. The details of cubic Rashba SOC are reviewed in Ref. 13.

Measurement of anomalous magneto-resistance due to weak localization (WL) and weak anti-localization (WAL) is one common method to study the SOC in low-dimensional systems. The WL effect is a positive resistance correction at low magnetic fields due to the

quantum interference of time-reversed paths taken by the carriers. The WAL effect, on the other hand, is a negative resistance correction at low magnetic fields caused by SOC in the system. SOC introduces a Berry phase of π between two time reversed paths and reverses the sign of the resistance correction [14]. The introduction of magnetic field breaks the time reversal symmetry and washes out the WL and WAL effect. The strength of SOC can be quantitatively characterized by extracting the spin precession length and time, together with phase coherence length and time, from the magneto-resistance using magneto-transport models developed for the WL and WAL effects. The most commonly used models are the HLN model proposed by Hikami *et al.* [15] and the ILP model proposed by Iordanskii *et al.* [16].

Despite most studies of SOC focused on III-V materials [17–25], recent studies have demonstrated considerable SOC strength in Ge 2DHGs [12, 26–29]. There are two advantages of using Ge 2DHGs for spintronic applications. First, the Dresselhaus SOC is absent in a Ge 2DHG system, leaving the system purely governed by the tunable Rashba SOC. The second, and perhaps more important, advantage of Ge is its compatibility with modern complementary-metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology. The controllability and scalability enabled by the unmatched CMOS technology make Ge a promising material candidate for spintronic devices.

A schematic of the valence band structure of Ge is shown in Fig. 1A. In bulk Ge, the six-fold degenerate valence band is lifted by SOC, forming a two-fold degenerate spin-orbit band (SO), a two-fold degenerate light hole band (LH), and a two-fold degenerate heavy hole band (HH), as shown in the left. The LH and HH bands are degenerate at $\mathbf{k}_{||} = 0$. In a (100)-oriented compressively strained Ge quantum well (QW), this LH-HH degeneracy is lifted by strain and quantum confinement [12], as shown in the middle. The HH band is the lower-energy band for holes, while the LH band is higher in energy by Δ_{hl}^{11} . The HH band is further split by the cubic Rashba SOC in Ge into two spin sub-bands with an energy splitting Δ_{SO} , as shown in the right.

Most of the previous studies on the SOC in Ge 2DHGs were carried out using modulationdoped heterostructures [12, 26–28]. However, those structures suffer from either parallel conduction in the doping layer [26, 28] or very low mobility [12]. Furthermore, the doping layer above the 2DHG could screen out the electric field from the top gate, resulting in limited gate tunability. This limited gate tunability is a significant constraint for spintronic

applications, where gating is used not only to control the Rashba SOC but also to implement spin filtering [30]. Using the undoped heterostructure FET (HFET) architecture allows us to circumvent these problems. We have previously reported the realization of high-mobility 2DHGs with very wide density ranges in Ge HFETs [31]. In particular, the density in a shallow Ge HFET with a QW-to-surface distance of 9 nm can be set as high as 7.5×10^{11} cm⁻² with a mobility of 6.1×10^4 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹. In this work, we use this undoped Ge/GeSi heterostructure to study the SOC of high-mobility 2DHGs in a Ge QW through magnetoresistance measurements. We observe a crossover from WL to WAL as the hole density increases. The phase-coherence time, spin-orbit precession time, spin-orbit energy splitting, and cubic Rashba coefficient are extracted. The density dependence of these parameters shows that the Rashba SOC is widely tunable and that the 2DHG system enters the ballistic spin transport regime at high densities, two essential requirements for realizing Ge-based spin FETs.

An undoped Ge/GeSi heterostructure was grown on a Si (100) wafer by reduced pressure chemical vapor deposition with GeH₄ and SiH₄ as the precursors. First, 200 nm of Si followed by 200 nm relaxed Ge were grown on top of a Si (100) wafer. High-temperature in-situ annealing was then performed at 825°C. On top of the Ge layer, the following layers were epitaxially grown in order: 100 nm of Ge, 3 μ m of Ge_{0.85}Si_{0.15}, 24 nm of Ge, and 9 nm of Ge_{0.85}Si_{0.15}. The epitaxial layer structure is shown in Fig. 1**B**. The details of the growth and hole transport behavior were reported in our prior work [31]. The thicknesses of the Ge QW and GeSi top barrier layers were confirmed to be 24 and 9 nm, respectively, by cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (XTEM), as shown in Fig. 1**C**. The Ge QW was designed to be thick to minimize interface roughness scattering [32], but not too thick to cause strain relaxation [33], which results in additional dislocations and limits mobility. As stated before, the very shallow depth (9 nm) of the QW allows us to achieve high hole densities and high mobilities simultaneously, a requisite for ballistic spin transport [31].

Ge HFETs were fabricated using standard photolithography. Al was deposited by electron beam evaporation followed by lift-off. Rapid thermal annealing was performed to create Ohmic contacts. Then, 60 nm of Al_2O_3 was deposited using atomic layer deposition, followed by Ti/Au deposition for the gate layer. Electrical access to the Ohmic contacts was made by etching away Al_2O_3 and deposition of metal pads. Low-field magneto-resistance was measured at 260 mK in a ³He cryogenic system using standard low-frequency lock-in

techniques with the hole density modulated by varying the gate bias.

Figures 2A, 2B, and 2C show the longitudinal magneto-resistance $\rho_{xx}(B)$ at densities of 3.6, 4.7, and 6.6 ×10¹¹ cm⁻², respectively. In the low-density regime (Fig. 2A), the resistance decreases mostly monotonically with the magnetic field, which is known as the WL effect. A weak resistance dip at zero magnetic field due to the WAL effect is barely visible. As the hole density is increased by the gate voltage, the zero-field resistance dip becomes stronger, with a persisting broad background from the WL effect (Fig. 2B). At an even higher density, only the WAL peak remains and no WL effect is observed (Fig. 2C).

Since the WAL effect is caused by the SOC in the 2DHG system, the observed crossover from the WL-dominant regime to the WAL-dominant regime is evidence that the SOC is gate tunable. The WAL peak becomes more pronounced as the hole density increases, showing that the SOC effect is stronger at higher hole densities. This is consistent with the Rashba SOC. The increased bias voltage provides larger structural asymmetry through a larger electric field E_z . Furthermore, the higher density corresponds to a larger Fermi wave vector k_F . Both the larger E_z and k_F lead to stronger SOC.

To be more quantitative, one can extract the spin coherence length and the spin precession frequency from the magneto-resistance. The most common method of extracting the SOC parameters is to fit the magneto-resistance curves to the HLN model [15, 18] or the ILP model [16, 35, 36]. However, for those two models to be applicable, the following two criteria need to be satisfied: (i) the system is in the diffusive spin transport regime, where the spin precession length L_{SO} is much larger than the mean free path L_{tr} , and (ii) the applied magnetic field is smaller than the transport characteristic magnetic field B_{tr} , defined as $\hbar/2eL_{tr}^2$. In our highmobility 2DHG system, L_{tr} ranges from 0.2 μ m to 1 μ m, and B_{tr} ranges from 0.1 to 10 mT. The measured magneto-resistance in this work is mostly outside the parameter space where the HLN and the ILP models are valid. To extract the spin-orbit parameters from our data, we use a more general formalism developed by Glazov and Golub (G&G) [34], which is valid beyond the two criteria and is applicable to our data. The nontrivial part in performing this analysis is to properly evaluate the numerical integrals for magneto-resistance corrections. In the Supplementary Information, we include a discussion on how to properly perform the numerical integral calculation as well as the details of the fitting process. Also included in the Supplementary Information is the code for performing such calculations. In Fig. 2D we show the magneto-conductivity $\Delta \sigma(B)$, defined as $\sigma(B) - \sigma(0)$, for a series of densities

and the best fit curves. We can see that G&G's model fits the measured magneto-resistance reasonably well.

At each density, we extract two parameters from the fitting: the spin-orbit precession frequency Ω_3 and the phase coherence time τ_{ϕ} . The spin precession time τ_{SO} is defined as $1/\Omega_3$, and the transport scattering time τ_{tr} is defined as $(m^*\mu)/e$, where $m^* = 0.08m_0$ is the hole effective mass in the 2D plane, obtained from temperature-dependent Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) oscillations. τ_{SO} , τ_{ϕ} , and τ_{tr} are shown in Fig. 3A. Figure 3B shows the three corresponding length scales, the spin precession length $L_{SO} = \tau_{SO} \times v_F$, the mean free path $L_{tr} = \tau_{tr} \times v_F$, and the phase coherence length $L_{\phi} = \sqrt{D\tau_{\phi}}$, where v_F is the Fermi velocity, defined as $v_F = \frac{\hbar k_F}{m^*}$, and D is the diffusion constant, defined as $D = v_F^2 \times \tau_{tr}/2$. For the densities relevant to this work, the Fermi velocity is in the range of $2 \times 10^5 - 3 \times 10^5$ m/s, and the diffusion constant is in the range of 0.02 - 0.2 m²/s. As shown in Fig. 3(B), the spin precession length decreases monotonically with density. This is consistent with the argument earlier that the SOC in our 2DHG system become stronger as the density increases. Due to the nature of the WAL effect, in the regime where the WL background is absent, the magneto-resistance curve is mostly determined by τ_{ϕ} , and fitting only provides an upper bound of τ_{SO} [37].

The results in Fig. 3 demonstrate the potential of using Ge 2DHG for spintronic applications. First, at $p > 6 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$, the 2DHG enters the ballistic spin regime, where $\tau_{SO} < \tau_{tr} < \tau_{\phi}$. This allows holes to transport ballistically through a channel while the spin precesses [38]. Furthermore, the tunability of the strength of Rashba SOC is a crucial property for building spintronic devices, for it allows for a direct control of the spin precession rate using electrostatic gating [6]. The tunability of Rashba SOC in the Ge 2DHG is demonstrated by the gate-induced change in L_{SO} , from ~2 μ m at $3.6 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ to below $0.1 \ \mu\text{m}$ at $6.4 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$, as shown in Fig. 3B. The observation of tunable Rashba SOC in the ballistic spin regime paves the way toward CMOS-compatible spin FETs, where gating controls whether a spin is parallel or antiparallel with the magnetization of the spin detector [39].

The cubic Rashba coefficient α_3 and the spin-orbit energy splitting Δ_{SO} at the Fermi energy are shown in Fig. 4A and B, respectively. α_3 and Δ_{SO} are calculated using the relation $\Delta_{SO} = \hbar |\Omega_3| = \alpha_3 E_z k_F^3$, where E_z is the average z-direction electric field [40]. In the low-density regime where the error bars are small, the red dotted line shows that α_3 decreases

as E_z increases. This counter-intuitive phenomenon has been reported experimentally [10, 41] and explained theoretically [40] by the change in LH-HH spin splitting energy with respect to E_z . In a 2DHG system in a single heterojunction, a triangular well approximation can be used to obtain a power-law relationship $\alpha_3 \propto E_z^{-s}$ with s=4/3 [41]. In a 2DHG system with a square QW, on the other hand, the negative E_z dependence of α_3 is expected to be weaker and s is expected to be smaller. Furthermore, in strained QW systems, the strain effect contributes to a portion of the LH-HH splitting and leads to an even smaller exponent in the power-law relationship. The weak E_z dependence of α_3 observed in this work can be attribute to the square QW confinement and/or the strain effect on the LH-HH splitting. Our result $\alpha_3 \propto E_z^{-0.5}$ is equivalent to $\beta_3 \propto E_z^{+0.5}$, where β_3 is defined as $\beta_3 = \alpha_3 E_z$. The positive E_z dependence of β_3 observed in this work is qualitatively consistent with results reported in other square QW systems [12, 42, 43].

On the other hand, α_3 is expect to be invariant with respect to E_z when the LH-HH splitting is dominated by strain-induced energy splitting. A weak power-law with an exponent of ~-0.5 observed in this work suggests that both quantum confinement and strain contribute to the LH-HH splitting.

The Δ_{SO} shown in in Fig. 4B is simply the rescaled spin-orbit precession frequency Ω_3 for comparison purposes. It allows us to compare our results to the fitting results obtained by using the HLN model, shown as the red dots in Fig. 4. Although the criteria required by the HLN model are not satisfied, surprisingly the HLN model appears to fit the magneto-resistance reasonably well. Using the HLN model outside the regime where the model is valid, as is sometimes done in the literature [37], provides a reasonable estimate of the SOC strength. In Table I, we compare our extracted Rashba coefficient with those reported by other groups for a Ge 2DHG system. Here we use $\beta_3 = \alpha_3 E_z$ for comparison purposes. While the mobility of the 2DHG system in different studies varies significantly, the values of β_3 are all comparable in magnitude, on the order of 1×10^{-28} eVm³.

In conclusion, we observed a clear crossover from WL to WAL in magneto-resistance in an undoped Ge/GeSi 2DHG system. The gate tunability of Rashba SOC strength is demonstrated. Further analyses suggest that the system enters the ballistic spin transport regime at $p > 6 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻². The ballistic spin transport, combined with the tunable Rashba SOC, makes undoped Ge HFETs a promising architecture for CMOS-compatible spintronic devices.

Reference	This work	Ref. 29	Ref. 12	Ref. 28
Structure	Undoped QW	MOS	Modulation-	Modulation-
			doped QW	doped QW
Extraction Method	WL-WAL	WL-WAL	WL-WAL	SdH
$\beta_3 \; (\times 10^{-28} \; \mathrm{eVm^3})$	0.3	0.7	0.2	1.0
Peak Mobility $(cm^2V^{-1}s^{-1})$	61,000	4,000	5,000	780,000

TABLE I. Comparison of the values of β_3 obtained from different groups for a Ge 2DHG system.

This work has been supported by the Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). This work was performed, in part, at the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, a U.S. DOE, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, user facility. Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-mission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Energy or the United States Government. The work at National Taiwan University has been supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology under the contract 106-2112-M-002-009- and the Ministry of Education through the Higher Education Sprout Projects (career development project: NTU-107L7818 and NTU core consortium: 107L891702).

- [1] J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1834 (1999).
- [2] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 226801 (2005).
- [3] J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 040502 (2010).
- [4] R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 077001 (2010).
- [5] J. Alicea, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 125318 (2010).
- [6] S. Datta and B. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. 56, 665 (1990).
- [7] T. Koga, J. Nitta, H. Takayanagi, and S. Datta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 126601 (2002).
- [8] P. Debray, S. Rahman, J. Wan, R. Newrock, M. Cahay, A. Ngo, S. Ulloa, S. Herbert, M. Muhammad, and M. Johnson, Nature Nanotech. 4, 759 (2009).

- [9] J. Nitta, T. Akazaki, H. Takayanagi, and T. Enoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1335 (1997).
- [10] R. Winkler, H. Noh, E. Tutuc, and M. Shayegan, Phys. Rev. B 65, 155303 (2002).
- [11] X. Bi, P. He, E. M. Hankiewicz, R. Winkler, G. Vignale, and D. Culcer, Phys. Rev. B 88, 035316 (2013).
- [12] R. Moriya, K. Sawano, Y. Hoshi, S. Masubuchi, Y. Shiraki, A. Wild, C. Neumann, G. Abstreiter, D. Bougeard, T. Koga, and T. Machida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 086601 (2014).
- [13] R. Winkler, D. Culcer, S. J. Papadakis, B. Habib, and M. Shayegan, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 23, 114017 (2008).
- [14] G. Bergmann, Phys. Rep. **107**, 1 (1984).
- [15] S. Hikami, A. I. Larkin, and Y. Nagaoka, Progr. Theor. Exp. Phys. 63, 707 (1980).
- [16] S. Iordanskii, Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, and G. Pikus, ZhETF Pisma Redaktsiiu 60, 199 (1994).
- [17] G. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. 100, 580 (1955).
- [18] P. D. Dresselhaus, C. M. A. Papavassiliou, R. G. Wheeler, and R. N. Sacks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 106 (1992).
- [19] J. P. Heida, B. J. van Wees, J. J. Kuipers, T. M. Klapwijk, and G. Borghs, Phys. Rev. B 57, 11911 (1998).
- [20] D. Grundler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 6074 (2000).
- [21] T. Koga, J. Nitta, T. Akazaki, and H. Takayanagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 046801 (2002).
- [22] J. B. Miller, D. M. Zumbühl, C. M. Marcus, Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, D. Goldhaber-Gordon, K. Campman, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 076807 (2003).
- [23] J. Wunderlich, B. Kaestner, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 047204 (2005).
- [24] M. Studer, G. Salis, K. Ensslin, D. C. Driscoll, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 027201 (2009).
- [25] I. van Weperen, B. Tarasinski, D. Eeltink, V. S. Pribiag, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers,
 L. P. Kouwenhoven, and M. Wimmer, Phys. Rev. B 91, 201413 (2015).
- [26] C. Morrison, P. Winiewski, S. D. Rhead, J. Foronda, D. R. Leadley, and M. Myronov, Appl. Phys. Lett. 105, 182401 (2014).
- [27] M. Failla, M. Myronov, C. Morrison, D. R. Leadley, and J. Lloyd-Hughes, Phys. Rev. B 92, 045303 (2015).
- [28] J. Foronda, C. Morrison, J. E. Halpin, S. D. Rhead, and M. Myronov, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 27, 022201 (2015).

- [29] R. Mizokuchi, P. Torresani, R. Maurand, Z. Zeng, Y.-M. Niquet, M. Myronov, and S. De Franceschi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 111, 063102 (2017).
- [30] P. Chuang, S.-C. Ho, L. Smith, F. Sfigakis, M. Pepper, C.-H. Chen, J.-C. Fan, J. Griffiths,
 I. Farrer, H. Beere, G. Jones, D. Ritchie, and T.-M. Chen, Nature Nanotech. 10, 35 (2015).
- [31] Y.-H. Su, Y. Chuang, C.-Y. Liu, J.-Y. Li, and T.-M. Lu, Phys. Rev. Mater. 1, 044601 (2017).
- [32] U. Penner, H. Rcker, and I. N. Yassievich, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 13, 709 (1998).
- [33] J. Matthews and A. Blakeslee, J. Cryst. Growth 27, 118 (1974).
- [34] M. M. Glazov and L. E. Golub, Semiconductors 40, 1209 (2006).
- [35] H. Nakamura, T. Koga, and T. Kimura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 206601 (2012).
- [36] H. Liang, L. Cheng, L. Wei, Z. Luo, G. Yu, C. Zeng, and Z. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 92, 075309 (2015).
- [37] B. Grbić, R. Leturcq, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, D. Reuter, and A. D. Wieck, Phys. Rev. B 77, 125312 (2008).
- [38] S. Sugahara and J. Nitta, Proc. IEEE **98**, 2124 (2010).
- [39] H. C. Koo, J. H. Kwon, J. Eom, J. Chang, S. H. Han, and M. Johnson, Science 325, 1515 (2009).
- [40] R. Winkler, S. Papadakis, E. De Poortere, and M. Shayegan, Spin-Orbit Coupling in Two-Dimensional Electron and Hole Systems, Vol. 41 (Springer, 2003).
- [41] B. Habib, E. Tutuc, S. Melinte, M. Shayegan, D. Wasserman, S. A. Lyon, and R. Winkler, Appl. Phys. Lett. 85, 3151 (2004).
- [42] S. J. Papadakis, E. P. De Poortere, H. C. Manoharan, M. Shayegan, and R. Winkler, Science 283, 2056 (1999).
- [43] J. P. Lu, J. B. Yau, S. P. Shukla, M. Shayegan, L. Wissinger, U. Rössler, and R. Winkler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1282 (1998).



FIG. 1. (A) The valence band structure of a compressively strained Ge QW. The degenerate LH and HH bands in the bulk band structure, shown in the left, split with an energy spacing of Δ_{hl}^{11} because of strain and quantum confinement, as shown in the middle. SOC further splits the HH band into two spin subband at finite $\mathbf{k}_{||}$, as shown in the right. (B) Schematic cross-section and (C) XTEM image of the epitaxial heterostructure.



FIG. 2. The magneto-resistance at densities $p = (\mathbf{A})$ 3.6, (**B**) 4.7, and (**C**) 6.6 ×10¹¹ cm⁻², respectively. (**D**) The magneto-conductance $\Delta \sigma$ at $p = 3.6, 4.1, 4.7, 5.1, 5.5, \text{ and } 6.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, from top to bottom. The black lines represent the best fit curves from G&G's model [34]. The curves are shifted vertically for clarity.



FIG. 3. (A) Time and (B) the corresponding length scales obtained from the curve fitting of magneto-resistance. The subscripts SO, ϕ , and tr indicate spin-orbit, phase coherence, and transport. The uncertainty of fitting parameters is indicated by the shaded regions.



FIG. 4. (A) The cubic Rashba coefficient (α_3) as a function of the vertical electric field in a log-log plot. The inset shows a zoom-in view at smaller electric fields. The red dashed line indicates a power-law dependence with an exponent of -0.5. (B) The energy splitting between the two spin sub-bands Δ_{SO} extracted from the parameters in Fig. 3. The uncertainty of fitting parameters is indicated by the shaded regions.