Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology



Environmental Science Water Research & Technology

Emerging investigators series: ultraviolet and Free Chlorine Aqueous-phase Advanced Oxidation Process: Kinetic Simulations and Experimental Validation

Journal:	Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology
Manuscript ID	EW-ART-03-2018-000196.R1
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-May-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Kamath, Divya; Michigan Technological University Minakata, Daisuke; Michigan Technological University,

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts An elementary reaction based kinetic model provides mechanistic insight into the reaction mechanisms induced by both hydroxyl and chlorine radicals and can be used as a comprehensive predictive model for any other compounds in the application of aqueous-phase ultraviolet combined with free chlorine advanced oxidation process for direct potable reuse of reclaimed wastewater.

1	Emerging investigators series: ultraviolet and Free Chlorine Aqueous-phase Advanced
2	Oxidation Process: Kinetic Simulations and Experimental Validation
3	
4	Prepared for Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Divya Kamath ¹ and Daisuke Minakata* ¹
10	
11	¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
12	Michigan Technological University
13	1400 Townsend Drive, Houghton, Michigan 49931, USA
14	
15	*Corresponding author: Phone: +1-906-487-1830; fax: +1-906-487-2943; Email address:
16	dminakat@mtu.edu
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

22 Abstract

23 An emerging advanced oxidation process uses ultraviolet light and free chlorine to 24 produce active hydroxyl radicals and chlorine-derived radicals to degrade a variety of 25 organic compounds in water. The use of free chlorine and reactivity of chlorine-derived 26 radicals with many organic compounds have raised concerns about the potential 27 formation of toxic degradation byproducts, e.g., chlorinated byproducts. An elementary 28 reaction-based kinetic model is an attractive and promising approach to predict the 29 degradation of a target organic compound and its degradation products and to provide 30 mechanistic insight into the reaction mechanisms. We developed a UV/free chlorine 31 elementary reaction-based kinetic model for a test compound, acetone, and its 32 transformation products. The elementary reaction pathways were predicted by quantum 33 mechanical calculations, and the reaction rate constants were predicted using previously 34 developed linear free energy relationships. Ordinary differential equations were generated 35 and numerically solved to obtain the time-dependent concentration profiles of acetone 36 and its transformation products. Our experimental results were used to validate the 37 model.

38 Introduction

39	Ultraviolet (UV) light combined with free chlorine (UV/free chlorine) is an
40	emerging advanced oxidation process (AOP) that produces active hydroxyl radicals
41	(HO') and chlorine radicals (Cl') to degrade a variety of organic compounds in water [1-
42	3]. The UV/free chlorine AOP is an attractive alternative to conventional UV or
43	chlorination disinfection techniques because of the potential to degrade organic
44	compounds via active radicals and the use of residual free chlorine as a secondary
45	disinfectant [4,5]. The UV/free chlorine AOP has recently been shown to degrade some
46	target organic compounds more efficiently than the UV/hydrogen peroxide AOP due to:
47	(1) the larger molar absorptivity of HOCl/OCl ⁻ (ϵ_{HOCl} =59 M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹ and ϵ_{OCL} =66 M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹ at
48	253.7 nm) [6,7] and (2) the contribution of chlorine-derived radicals (i.e., Cl ⁺ : 2.34 V
49	versus SHE; Cl2 ⁻ : 2.13 V; ClO ⁻ : 2.39 V) [8] to organic compound degradation. For
50	example, UV/free chlorine AOP has been considered as an alternative AOP after RO in
51	wastewater reclamation processes for potable reuse of treated wastewater aiming to
52	degrade low molecular weight neutral trace organic compounds that may be present in
53	the RO permeate. UV/free chlorine does not require the quenching of hydrogen peroxide
54	residue in the current practice of UV/hydrogen peroxide AOP because free chlorine can
55	be used as secondary disinfectant.

56	The use of free chlorine and the reactivity of chlorine-derived radicals with many
57	organic compounds in UV/free chlorine AOP results in the potential formation of toxic
58	degradation byproducts, such as chlorinated byproducts [9,10]. Consequently,
59	experimental investigations on the formation of chlorinated byproducts from some
60	organic compounds have become an active research area. Because a number of organic
61	compounds are used and commercially produced [11], a kinetic model that predicts the
62	fate of these degradation products is needed to preliminarily screen organic compounds
63	and AOP designs.
64	An elementary reaction pathway-based kinetic model is an attractive and
65	promising model that predicts the degradation of a target organic compound and the
66	degradation products and provides mechanistic insight into the reaction mechanisms [12].
67	Many experiment-based kinetic models have been developed for UV/hydrogen peroxide
68	AOPs based on experimentally identified reaction pathways, and the rate constants were
69	determined by fitting the experimentally determined concentration profiles [13,14].
70	However, this type of kinetic model often simplifies the reaction pathways and fails to
71	predict the degradation products of other compounds. In contrast, an elementary reaction
72	pathway-based kinetic model contains all possible elementary reactions and can
73	comprehensively predict the degradation pathways of organic compounds [12]. This is
74	particularly important for the UV/free chlorine AOP because chlorine-derived radicals

75	are very selective and produce different products depending on the elementary reaction
76	pathways [15]. For example, Cl' reacts by abstracting a hydrogen (H) atom from a C-H
77	bond and reacts with an alcohol functional group via a single electron transfer to produce
78	an alkoxyl radical [16], while HO' favorably abstracts a H atom from a C-H bond to
79	produce a carbon-centered radical. While the overall reactivities of Cl' and HO' are very
80	similar (e.g., second-order reaction rate constant, $k=10^8-10^9$ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) [17-19], their reaction
81	products are different because of the different elementary reaction mechanisms. Thus, the
82	potential formation of typical transformation products such as aldehydes, ketones and
83	carboxylic compounds should be mechanistically understood because of the concern
84	about their toxicity (e.g., halogenated acids).
85	Quantum mechanical calculations using ab initio and density functional theory

86 (DFT) are attractive techniques to identify elementary reaction pathways by calculating 87 the thermodynamic properties using statistical thermodynamics [20]. Our previous 88 studies used this technique with an implicit solvation model [universal solvation model 89 (SMD)] to identify thermodynamically favorable, aqueous-phase elementary reactions for 90 a series of chlorine-derived inorganic reactions produced in the UV/free chlorine AOP 91 [15]. We also calculated the aqueous-phase free energies of activation for a series of Cl[•] 92 reactions with approximately 30 aliphatic organic compounds and found the linear free

93	energy relationships (LFERs) that relate the free energies of activation to the
94	experimental k_{exp} values for H-atom abstraction and Cl-adduct formation [15].
95	In this study, we developed an elementary reaction pathway-based kinetic model
96	for a test compound, acetone, in the UV/free chlorine AOP. The HO'-induced elementary
97	reaction pathways for acetone and the reaction rate constants have been previously
98	investigated [12]. Thus, we focused on the reactions of chlorine-derived radicals with
99	acetone and the degradation products. The ordinary differential equations (ODEs) were
100	developed based on the theoretically identified elementary reaction pathways and
101	reaction rate constants predicted by the LFERs and numerically solved to obtain the time-
102	dependent concentration profiles of acetone and the degradation products. We also
103	performed batch experiments with the UV/free chlorine AOP to validate the model
104	simulation results.

105 Materials and methods

106 Chemicals

107 All chemicals were ACS grade except for the chemicals that were used for the analytical

108 measurements (HPLC grade). Acetone (>99%), sodium hypochlorite (available chlorine

109 10-15%), formic acid (>95%), sodium chlorate, and potassium chloride were purchased

110 from Sigma Aldrich. Acetic acid (glacial) and sodium thiosulfate were purchased from

111 Fisher Scientific. All solutions used during the experiment were prepared with ultrapure

112 water (> 18 Ω) generated from a MilliQ system.

113 **Experimental procedures**

114 The experiments were carried out using an apparatus equipped with a low-pressure UV 115 lamp (Atlantic UV) emitting photons at 254 nm. The intensity of the measured light was 4.18×10^{-8} einstein/L•s using an actinometry procedure [21]. The path length was 116 117 determined to be 44.24 cm based on the photolysis of dilute H₂O₂ [22]. The UV lamp was housed in a double-walled quartz immersion well, and cooling water was passed through 118 119 the system to control the temperature. The temperature of the reactors was monitored, 120 and the temperature of the solutions did not change by more than 1 °C for the duration of 121 the experiments. A detailed description of this photoreactor setup is available [23]. A 122 67.5 µM solution of acetone was prepared, and sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) was added 123 to obtain 150 µM (10.7 mg/L) of free chlorine. After initiating the experiment, the 124 solutions were sampled at different time intervals. These samples were transferred to 125 vials containing a sodium thiosulfate solution (approximately 220 µM) to quench the 126 chlorine and terminate further reactions. All chemical analyses to measure the acetone 127 and transformation byproducts were performed within 24 h of the experiment.

128 Analytical methods

129	Acetone was measured using direct aqueous injection on a gas chromatograph (GC)
130	equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and column (8-ft \times 0.1-in. ID, stainless-
131	steel column) packed with 1% SP-1000 on Carbopak-B 60/80 mesh. The injector and
132	detector temperatures were 200 °C and 220 °C, respectively. Helium was used as the
133	carrier gas, and hydrogen and air were used for the flame. The analysis method was 60 °C
134	for 2 min followed by a 60 min increase of 2 °C /min and holding at 120 °C for 6 min.
135	The retention time of acetone in this method was 4.6 min. The free chlorine in the
136	aqueous solution was measured using a chlorine meter (Hach DPD colorimeter).
137	Transformation byproducts were measured using an ion chromatograph (Dionex ICS
138	2100 series, IonPac AS17-C anion exchange column, 4 mm). The eluent was a potassium
139	hydroxide (KOH) solution. The flow rate was 1.5 mL/min, and the flow conditions were
140	set as follows: 0-15 min, 1 mM KOH (isocratic); 15-20 min, 1-10 mM KOH (ramp); 20-
141	25 min, 10 mM KOH (isocratic); 25-30 min, 10-15 mM KOH (ramp). The retention
142	times for acetate, formate, chloride and chlorate were 7, 9, 15 and 21 min, respectively.

143 **Computational studies**

144 All of the *ab initio* molecular orbital and DFT-based quantum mechanical calculations

- 145 were performed with the Gaussian 09 revision D.02 program [24] using the Michigan
- 146 Tech high-performance cluster "Superior". The electronic structures of the molecules and
- 147 radicals in the ground and transition states were optimized at the level of B3LYP/6-

148 31G(2df,p) implemented in Gaussian-4 theory (G4) [25] in both the gaseous and aqueous 149 phases. The aqueous-phase calculations were performed using a universal solvation 150 model (SMD) [26]. We previously verified the combination of G4 with the SMD model 151 by successful applying the combination to other aqueous-phase, radical-involved 152 reactions [27]. Finally, these elementary reactions and rate constants were used to 153 generate the kinetic rate equations in the form of ODEs and were solved using a 154 numerical solver based on the Adam-Gear method from IMSL Roguewave's solver suite 155 [28] by modifying an original UV/H₂O₂ model without assuming constant pH at non-156 steady-state condition [29].

157 **Results and discussion**

158 Experimental product study

159 Figure 1 shows the time-dependent concentration profiles of the acetone, free chlorine, 160 and transformation byproducts measured in this experiment. While the free chlorine was 161 completely consumed after 60 min of UV irradiation, only 53.6% of the acetone was 162 degraded. The acetone degradation ceased upon complete photolysis of free chlorine, and 163 no further degradation was observed. This indicates that acetone degradation occurred via 164 acetone directly reacting with free chlorine and/or the photolysis caused by free chlorine. 165 Thermal degradation of acetone by free chlorine is possible only for the enolate form, and the reported acetone enolization is insignificant ($k=0.173 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) [30] during the observed 166 167 experimental time. In the dark, only 3% acetone degradation was observed after 2 h. 168 Thus, the degradation of acetone results from the photolysis of free chlorine. The initial 169 150 µM sodium hypochlorite solution contained 15 µM chlorate, 300 µM chloride, and a 170 few micromoles of acetic acid and formic acid to reach the given pH. As we eliminated 171 all possible contamination from the vials, ultrapure water, source water, and ion 172 chromatography measurements, the presence of chlorate, chloride, and trace organic 173 acids seemed to result from the stock sodium hypochlorite chemical. For example, 174 hypochlorite auto decomposes into chlorate [31], which is the method used to form 175 chloride for bleach (NaOCl) production. Previous literature reported trace quantities of 176 perchlorate measured by an ion chromatograph tandem mass spectrometer (0.0003 to 177 $0.0005 \ \mu M$ for the method detection limit) [32]. However, we did not detect perchlorate 178 in our free chlorine source due to the higher detection limit using ion chromatography (\sim 179 1 μ M). Accordingly, the initial concentrations of these species were at some levels.

180 (Figure 1 goes here)

181 Elementary reaction pathways and reaction rate constants

182 The HO' and Cl' produced from the photolysis of free chlorine react with the target

183 compound, acetone, to generate Cl-derived radicals, such as Cl_2 , ClO', and ClO_2 . The

184 HO'-induced elementary reaction pathways of acetone degradation have been previously

identified, and an elementary reaction-based kinetic model has been proposed [12]. Table

186 1 summarizes the elementary reaction pathways that involve Cl-derived radicals with the

187 theoretically calculated aqueous-phase free energy of reaction, $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{react}$, and free energy

188 of activation, $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act}$, values and the reaction rate constants. While the $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{react}$ values

- 189 indicate the thermodynamical feasibility of elementary reaction pathway (e.g., if the
- 190 value is negative, the reaction is exothermic and thermodynamically favorable to occur),
- 191 the $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act}$ values represent the kinetics. It should be noted that the kinetics overruns
- 192 the thermodynamics for fast radical reactions. The HO' and Cl-derived radicals react with

193	acetone via H-atom abstraction from a C-H bond in a methyl functional group to produce
194	a carbon-centered radical [15,17,18]. The $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act}$ values for the reactions of HO [•] and Cl [•]
195	with acetone were previously determined to be 7 kcal/mol and 3.2 kcal/mol, respectively.
196	Our new calculations using the same method obtained a $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act}$ value of 7.8 kcal/mol
197	for Cl ₂ ⁻ , 1.5 kcal/mol for ClO ⁺ , and 14.1 kcal/mol for ClO ₂ ⁺ . The second-order reaction
198	rate constant of Cl_2^{\bullet} with acetone was experimentally determined and is $1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$
199	[33], which indicates the insignificant contribution of this reaction to the overall
200	degradation of acetone. However, as indicated by the $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act}$ value and postulated by
201	several other experimental studies [34-35], the reaction of ClO' with acetone is not
202	insignificant. More discussion on the reactivity of ClO [•] will be provided in the following
203	section and kinetic simulation section.
204	While Cl-derived radicals react with acetone initially, Cl-derived radicals also
205	react with the other transformation byproducts formed during the degradation of acetone.
206	For example, Cl and ClO abstract H atoms from a C-H bond in HCOOH and HCOO
207	with $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act}$ values of 15.0 kcal/mol and 21 kcal/mol, respectively. Additionally, Cl [•]
208	reacts with the OH functional group of HCOOH with a $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act}$ value of 6.0 kcal/mol by
209	forming a Cl-adduct and then transferring a single electron to produce the alkoxyl radical
210	HCOO'. Using the previously developed LFERs: $\ln k = -0.50 \Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act} + 20.53$ for H-atom
211	abstraction and $\ln k = -0.95 \Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act} + 23.43$ for Cl-adduct formation by Cl [•] [15], the k_{cal}
212	values were estimated to be $4.56 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ for the H-atom abstraction and $5.01 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$
213	¹ s ⁻¹ for the Cl-adduct formation. The k_{exp} value is (1.3±0.1)×10 ⁸ M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ , and Cl-adduct

formation is the dominant reaction. We obtained a similar result for the reaction of Cl[•]
with CH₃COOH.

216 The reactivity of ClO[•] with organic compounds is not well understood. A very 217 limited number of k_{exp} values have been reported for ionized aromatic compounds, and these values range from 10^7 - 10^9 M⁻¹s⁻¹. The upper limit of the k_{exp} values for aliphatic 218 compounds (e.g., formate ion) is reported to be 1×10^6 M⁻¹s⁻¹. Our series of theoretical 219 calculations results in a $\Delta G_{aq, calc}^{act}$ value of approximately 15-25 kcal/mol (Table 1). We 220 obtained a $\Delta G_{aq,calc}^{act}$ value of 1.5 kcal/mol for the reaction of ClO[•] with acetone, but the 221 222 reason for this abnormally low free energy of activation is not clear. 223 (Table 1 goes here)

224 Acetone degradation simulation

225 **Overall results**

226 Based on newly identified and previously known elementary reaction pathways and the 227 predicted reaction rate constants, we developed a kinetic model by modifying a UV/H_2O_2 228 kinetic model. To validate the kinetic model, we first simulated the time-dependent 229 concentrations of various initial free chlorine concentrations and a target organic 230 compound, benzoic acid, in the presence or absence of *tert*-butanol (*t*-BuOH), which acts 231 as a radical scavenger for HO', without accounting for the transformation byproducts. 232 The simulated concentration profiles were compared to those experimentally obtained 233 and reported in the literature [37] (Figures SI 1-4 of Supporting Information). t-BuOH is 234 known to scavenge HO', but it also reacts with Cl' via Cl-adduct formation followed by a 235 single electron transfer [15]. The presence of *t*-BuOH inhibits benzoic acid decay, which is induced only by HO^{\cdot}; thus, the difference in the benzoic acid decay observed between the addition and non-addition of *t*-BuOH is due to the reaction with Cl^{\cdot}[37].

238 Once we validated our kinetic model with the experimentally obtained 239 concentration profiles of a parent compound and free chlorine at various concentrations 240 in the presence or absence of chloride ion, we added the elementary reaction pathways 241 for acetone degradation induced by both HO' and Cl' and the predicted reaction rate 242 constants (Table 1 and Table S1 of Supporting Information). Acetone has a small molar absorptivity, $\varepsilon = 16 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, at 254 nm, and the degradation of acetone by photolysis is 243 244 negligible. We solved the ODEs to predict the concentration profiles of acetone, free 245 chlorine and the transformation byproducts. Figure 1 shows the simulated concentration 246 profiles of acetone, free chlorine, acetic acid, formic acid, chlorate, and chloride. The 247 sample deviation (SD) calculated using equation (1) indicates how much the predicted 248 data deviate from the experimental data [27,38].

249
$$\operatorname{SD}_{j} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{j} - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \left(\frac{C_{\exp,i} - C_{\operatorname{cal},i}}{C_{\exp,0}}\right)^{2}}$$
 (1)

where N_i is the total number of data points for compound j, $C_{exp,i}$ and $C_{calc,i}$ are the 250 251 experimentally determined and simulated concentration at time point *i*, respectively, and $C_{exp,0}$ is the initial experimental concentration at time zero. The SD was 0.54 for free 252 253 chlorine, 0.14 for acetone, 1.1 for acetic acid, 0.58 for formic acid, 0.21 for chlorate, and 254 0.014 for chloride. One example of how to calculate the SD for free chlorine was given in 255 SI. Although we did not detect the formations of other transformation products, the 256 simulated concentration profiles of hydroxylacetone, oxyalic acid, glycolic acid, pyruvic 257 aldehyde, formaldehyde, and glyoxylic acid are shown in Figure 2 as a comparison to

those that were obtained from UV/hydrogen peroxide AOP. The concentrations of these transformation products were smaller by several magnitude of orders than those detected in UV/hydrogen peroxide. Figure S5 shows the predicted concentration of methanol.

261 **Contribution of Cl-derived radicals to acetone degradation**

262 The preliminary simulation of the acetone concentration profile included the reactions of HO^{\cdot}, Cl^{\cdot} and Cl^{\cdot} (Figure 3) with acetone (SD of 0.23). However, the simulated acetone 263 264 degradation was slower than the experimental observation, which indicated that acetone may be degraded by other active Cl-derived radicals, such as ClO[•] and ClOH[•]. The 265 266 simulated concentrations of these two radicals in the absence of a target organic compound were approximately 10⁻⁹ M for ClO[•] (Figure S6) and 10⁻¹⁶ M for ClOH[•] 267 268 (Figure S7). These results further confirm that CIO[•] is the active radical contributing to 269 the degradation of acetone, which was supported by our theoretical calculation. The 270 absolute reaction rate constants of ClO[•] with 2,5 dimethoxybenzoate ions and benzoate are 7×10^8 M⁻¹s⁻¹, and $< 3 \times 10^6$ M⁻¹s⁻¹, respectively. Because no rate constants for ClO[•] 271 272 with aliphatic compounds have been reported, we determined the reaction rate constants of ClO[•] with acetone via fitting the experimentally determined concentration profile of 273 acetone by minimizing the SD. The determined rate constant was 3×10^4 M⁻¹s⁻¹. By 274 275 including this rate constant for the acetone decay, the SD for acetone was 0.14.

276 Fa

Fate of the transformation byproducts

The transformation byproducts measured in the experiments included acetic acid, formic acid, chlorate, and chloride. We recently elucidated the fate of HO[•]-induced acetone degradation byproducts, including those from peroxyl radical reactions. In this study, we added the Cl[•]-induced reaction pathways and the reactions of Cl[•] with the transformation 281 byproducts. Other than the reaction of ClO[•] with acetone, we did not include the reactions 282 of ClO' with the transformation byproducts because the reaction rate constants are not 283 known. This may have caused the larger SD values in the concentration profiles of acetic 284 acid and formic acid. Our kinetic simulation also predicted other transformation 285 byproducts (e.g., formaldehyde, pyruvic aldehyde, hydroxyacetone and pyruvic acid) that 286 were experimentally identified in the UV/H₂O₂ AOP (Figures 5-7). These products were 287 simulated at very low concentrations ($\sim 0.1 \mu$ M), and our analytical instruments did not 288 detect these species because of the limitations of our detection capabilities.

289 Chloride was generated from the production of free chlorine, and the initial sample contained approximately 300 µM chloride. During the UV/free chlorine AOP, 290 291 the increase in the chloride concentration was not significant, and a 24.9% increase was 292 observed due to the decay of free chlorine. Chlorate, ClO₃, was mainly generated by the 293 reaction of HO' with the chlorine dioxide radical (ClO₂) that was generated via the reaction of HO' with the chlorite ion, ClO₂. ClO₂ was generated by the 294 295 disproportionation reaction of ClO[•]. Typically, 2 to 17% of photolyzed free chlorine is 296 converted to ClO₃. In this study, 12% of the photolyzed free chlorine was converted to 297 ClO_3 , and ClO_3 was present at a concentration of 2.5 mg/L until the free chlorine was 298 completely consumed. No ClO₃⁻ degradation mechanisms are known. ClO₃⁻ is included in 299 the contaminant candidate list (CCL 4) by the U.S. EPA [39], and a national guideline of 300 1 mg/L of ClO₃ is used in Canada [40]. Thus, caution must be exercised when using free 301 chlorine.

302 **Conclusions**

303 This study highlights the importance of an elementary reaction-based kinetic model for 304 the UV/free chlorine AOP. The elementary reaction pathways and reaction rate constants 305 were predicted by quantum mechanical calculations. ODEs were numerically solved to 306 predict the concentration profiles of a target organic compound, acetone, and the 307 transformation products. CIO' was identified as a potential oxidant in this system because 308 of its high concentration, and its reaction rate constant with acetone was determined to be 3×10^4 M⁻¹s⁻¹. Chlorate formation was in the range of 2.5 mg/L with 10.7 mg/L of free 309 310 chlorine. Although chlorate is not yet regulated, this may be a potential cause for concern 311 when using this treatment technology.

312 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Award: CBET-1435926.
Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication
are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the supporting
organization. The authors appreciate the support from the Michigan Tech HPC cluster

317 'Superior'.

- 319 Figure Captions
- 320 Figure 1: Experimental and predicted time-dependent concentration profiles of acetone,
- 321 acetic acid, formic acid, free chlorine, chlorate and chloride.
- 322 Figure 2: Predicted time-dependent concentration profiles of hydroxyacetone, oxalic acid,
- 323 glycolic acid (left) and pyruvic aldehyde, formaldehyde, and glyoxylic acid (right)
- Figure 3: Predicted time-dependent concentration profiles of HO[•], Cl[•] and Cl₂^{•-} radicals.
- 325
- 326 Table Caption
- 327 Table 1: Theoretically identified elementary reaction pathways and predicted reaction
- 328 rate constants for Cl-derived radical reactions with organic compounds.













337 Figure 3

343 Table 1

Elementary reaction pathways	k _{exp}	$k_{\rm calc}$	$\Delta G^{ m act}_{ m aq,calc}$	$\Delta G^{\mathrm{react}}_{\mathrm{aq,calc}}$
	M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	kcal/mol	kcal/mol
$CH_3COCH_3 + HO' \rightarrow CH_2COCH_3 + H_2O$	1.1×10^{8}	7.5×10^7	7.0	-25.4
	[17]			[12]
$CH_3COCH_3 + Cl' \rightarrow CH_2COCH_3 + HCl$	(7.8 ± 0.7)	1.66×10^{8}	3.2	-12.2
	× 10 ⁷ [36]			[15]
$CH_3COCH_3 + Cl_2 \rightarrow CH_2COCH_3 + HCl + Cl$	1.4×10^{3}		7.8*	
	[33]			
$CH_3COCH_3 + ClO' \rightarrow CH_2COCH_3 + H^+ + OCl^-$		3.0×10^4	1.5	
$CH_3COCH_3 + ClO_2 \rightarrow CH_2COCH_3 + HCl$		< 10	14.1	
$CH_{3}COCHO + Cl' \rightarrow CH_{2}COCHO + HCl$		6.12×10^{7}	5.2	-1.5
$CH_3COCHO + ClO' \rightarrow CH_2COCHO + H^+ + OCl^-$			20.0	-1.9
$CH_3COCH_2OH + Cl \rightarrow CH_2COCH_2OH + HCl$		1.75×10^8	3.1	-11.3
$CH_3COCH_2OH + ClO' \rightarrow CH_2COCH_2OH + H^+ + OCl^-$			20.0	-11.7
$CH_3COCH_2OH + Cl' \rightarrow CH_3CO'CHOH + HCl$		6.77×10^{7}	5.0	-24.8
$CH_3COCH_2OH + ClO^{\bullet} \rightarrow CH_3CO^{\bullet}CHOH + H^+ + OCl^-$			14.4	-25.2
$CH_3COCH_2OH + Cl' \rightarrow CH_3COCH_2O(^{\circ}Cl)H$		7.88×10^8	3.1	0.74
$CH_3COCH(OH)_2 + Cl \rightarrow CH_2COCH(OH)_2 + HCl$		1.23×10^{8}	3.8	-12.8
$CH_{3}COCH(OH)_{2} + ClO' \rightarrow CH_{2}COCH(OH)_{2} + H^{+} + OCl^{-}$			18.5	-13.2
$CH_3COCH(OH)_2 + Cl^{\bullet} \rightarrow CH_3CO^{\bullet}CH(OH)_2 + HCl$			-18.8	-26.4
$CH_{3}COCH(OH)_{2} + CIO' \rightarrow CH_{3}CO'CH(OH)_{2} + H^{+} + OCI^{-}$			14.7	-26.8
$CH_3COCH(OH)_2 + Cl^{\bullet} \rightarrow CH_3COCH(OH)O(^{\bullet}Cl)H$		5.79×10^{9}	1.0	0.71
$CH_3COCOOH + Cl' \rightarrow CH_2COCOOH + HCl$		3.53×10^{7}	6.3	-11.4
$CH_{3}COCOOH + CIO^{\bullet} \rightarrow {}^{\bullet}CH_{2}COCOOH + H^{+} + OCI^{-}$			22.5*	-11.8

$CH_{3}COCOOH + Cl' \rightarrow CH_{3}COCO(Cl)OH$		6.06×10^{7}	5.8	2.2
$CH_3COCOO^- + Cl^\bullet \rightarrow CH_2COCOO^- + HCl$		9.34×10^{8}	-0.25	-1.57
$CH_{3}COCOO^{-} + ClO^{-} \rightarrow CH_{2}COCOO^{-} + H^{+} + OCl^{-}$			2.5*	-1.95
$HCOOH + Cl' \rightarrow COOH + HCl$	(1.3 ± 0.1)	4.56×10^{5}	15.0	-3.5
$\mathrm{HCOOH} + \mathrm{Cl}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCOO}(^{\bullet}\mathrm{Cl})\mathrm{H}$	$\times 10^{8} [18]$	5.01×10^{7}	6.0	37.2
$HCOOH + CIO^{\bullet} \rightarrow {}^{\bullet}COOH + H^{+} + OCI^{-}$			21.0	-3.9
$HCOO^{-} + Cl^{\bullet} \rightarrow COO^{-} + HCl$	(4.2 ± 0.5)		-10.1	40
$HCOO^{-} + Cl^{-} \rightarrow HCOO(^{-}Cl)^{-}$	× 10 ⁹ [18]	3.96×10^{9}	1.4	3.0
$HCOO^{-} + ClO^{-} \rightarrow COO^{-} + H^{+} + OCl^{-}$			-6.4	39.1
$CH_3COOH + Cl' \rightarrow CH_2COOH + HCl$	(3.2 ± 0.2) × 10 ⁷ [18]	5.82×10^{7}	5.3	-8.3
$CH_3COOH + Cl' \rightarrow CH_3COO(Cl)H$	(1.0 ± 0.2)	8.67×10^{8}	3.0	29.7
	× 10 ⁸ [36]			
$CH_{3}COOH + ClO^{\bullet} \rightarrow CH_{2}COOH + H^{+} + OCl^{-}$			26.3*	-9.1
$CH_3COO^- + Cl^- \rightarrow CH_2COO^- + HCl$	(3.7 ± 0.4)	5.15×10^{8}	0.94	-7.5
$CH_3COO^- + Cl^- \rightarrow CH_3COO(^{-}Cl)^-$	× 10 ⁹ [18]	4.79×10^{9}	1.2	-3.3
$CH_3COO^- + ClO^- \rightarrow CH_2COO^- + H^+ + OCl^-$			18.7	-7.9
$CH_3OH + Cl' \rightarrow CH_2OH + HCl$	(1.0 ± 0.2) × 10 ⁹ [18]	5.82×10^{7}	5.3	-5.0 [15]
$CH_3OH + Cl' \rightarrow CH_3O(Cl)H$	(1.0 ± 0.1)	9.53×10^{8}	2.9	27.1 [15]
	× 10 ⁹ [36]			
$CH_3OH + ClO' \rightarrow CH_2OH + H^+ + OCl-$			15.7	-5.4
$CH_3CHO + Cl \rightarrow CH_2CHO + HCl$	(6.3 ± 0.4)	7.86×10^{7}	4.7	-0.89
	× 10 ⁸ [18]			
$CH_3CHO + ClO' \rightarrow CH_2CHO + H^+ + OCl^-$			-1.56	-1.27

344 *estimated based on the gaseous phase free energy of activation

345 **References**

216	1	C.K. Romucal and D. Manlay, Emerging investigators series: the office ov of
247	1.	c.K. Keinucai, and D. Mainey, Energing investigators series, the energy of
240		trootmont Empiren Soi - Water Des Technol 2016 2 565 570
340 240	C	M L Watta E L Decenfeldt and K C Linden Comparative OU radical evidetion
349	Ζ.	M.J. Watts, E.J. Kosenieldi, and K.G. Linden, Comparative Of Tadical Oxidation
350		using $\cup V$ - Cl_2 and $\cup V$ - H_2O_2 processes. J. Water Supply Res. Technol. AQUA.,
351		2007, 56 (8), 469-477.
352	3.	M.J. Watts, and K.G. Linden, Chlorine photolysis and subsequent OH radical
353		production during UV treatment of chlorinated water. <i>Wat. Res.</i> , 2007, 41 , 2871-
354		2878.
355	4.	S. Rattanakul, and K. Oguma, Analysis of hydroxyl radicals and inactivation
356		mechanisms of bacteriophage MS2 in response to a simultaneous application of
357		UV and chlorine. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 2017, 51 (1), 455-462.
358	5.	W.L. Wang, Q.Y. Wu, N. Huang, T. Wang, and H.Y. Hu, Synergistic effect
359		between UV and chlorine (UV/chlorine) on the degradation of carbamazepine:
360		Influence factors and radical species. <i>Water Res.</i> , 2016, 98 , 190–198.
361	6.	L.H. Nowell, and J. Hoigné, Photolysis of Aqueous Chlorine at Sunlight and
362		Ultraviolet Wavelengths, 1, Degradation Rates, <i>Water Res.</i> , 1992, 26 (5), 593–598.
363	7	L H Nowell and J Hoigné Photolysis of Aqueous Chlorine at Sunlight and
364		Ultraviolet Wavelengths 2. Hydroxyl Radical Production <i>Water Res</i> 1992 26
365		(5) 599–605
366	8	D.M. Stanbury S. Steenken and P. Wardman. Standard electrode potentials
367	0.	involving radicals in aqueous solution inorganic radicals <i>Biolnorg React Mech</i>
368		$2013 \ 9(1-4) \ 59-61$
360	0	D Wang IR Bolton S A Andrews and R Hofmann Formation of disinfection
370).	by products in the ultraviolet/chlorine advanced oxidation process. Sci. of the
271		Total Environ 2015 518 510 40 57
371	10	7 D Cue VI Lin D Vu II Ilyong TV Theng EV Tien and NV Coe
372	10.	Z. D. Ouo, I.L. Lill, D. Au, H. Hualig, I.I. Zilalig, F.A. Hall, and N.I. Odo,
373 274		formation of disinfaction by products in acquential chloringtion. Chem. Eng. I
3/4		formation of disinfection by-products in sequential chlorination. Chem. Eng. J.,
3/5	11	2010, 283, 412-419.
3/6	11.	CAS website; https://www.cas.org/ accessed in March 24, 2018.
3//	12.	D. Kamath, S. Mezyk, and D. Minakata, Elucidating the elementary reaction
378		pathways and kinetics of hydroxyl radical-induced organic compound degradation
379		in aqueous phase advanced oxidation processes. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2018,
380		under revision.
381	13.	M.I. Stefan, A.R. Hoy, and J.R. Bolton, Kinetics and mechanisms of the
382		degradation and mineralization of acetone in dilute aqueous solution sensitized by
383		the UV photolysis of hydrogen peroxide. <i>Environ. Sic. Technol.</i> , 1996, 30 , 2382-
384		2390.
385	14.	M. Rodigast, A. Mutzel, J. Schindelka, and H. Herrmann, A new source of
386		methylglyoxal in the aqueous phase. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2016, 16, 2689-2702.
387	15.	D. Minakata, D. Kamath, and S. Maetzold, Mechanistic insight into the reactivity
388		of chlorine-derived radicals in the aqueous-phase UV-chlorine advanced

389		oxidation process: quantum mechanical calculations. Environ. Sci. Technol.,
390		2017, 51 , 6918-6926.
391	16.	B.C. Gilbert, J.K. Stell, W.J. Peet, and K.J. Radford, Generation and reactions of
392		the chlorine atom in aqueous solution. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 1988, 84
393		(10), 3319-3330.
394	17	G V Buxton C L Greenstock W P Helman and A B Ross Critical review of
395	- / •	rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl
396		radicals ($\cdot OH/\cdot O-$) in aqueous solution J Phys Chem Ref Data 1988 17
397		513-886
398	18	G V Buxton M Bydder G A Salmon and LE Williams The reactivity of
399	10.	chlorine atoms in aqueous solution PCCP 2000 2 237-245
400	19	H Herrmann Kinetics of aqueous phase reactions relevant for atmospheric
400	17.	chemistry Chem Rev 2003 103 4691-4716
401	20	I.W. Gibbs Elementary Principles in Statistical Mechanics 1002 Charles
402	20.	Sarihnar's Song New York
403	21	Schulter S Solis. New Tork.
404 405	21.	S. Mulov, I. Calificiael, and G.L. Hug, <i>Hundbook of photochemistry</i> , 2nd ed.,
405	\mathbf{r}	T. Caroma and M.D. Cural Propage Using Ovalia Asid as Proha Chamical
400	22.	T. Garoma, and M.D. Guroi, Process Using Oxane Acid as Probe Chemical.
407	22	<i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 2005, $39(20)$, 7964–7969.
408	23.	L. Varanasi, E. Coscarelli, M. Knaksari, L.K. Mazzoleni, and D. Minakata,
409		I ransformations of dissolved organic matter induced by UV photolysis, hydroxyl
410		radicals, chlorine radicals, and sulfate radicals in aqueous-phase UV-based
411	• •	advanced oxidation processes. <i>Wat. Res.</i> , 2018, 135 , 22-30.
412	24.	M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R,
413		Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji,
414		Caricato, X. Li, H.P. Hratchian, A.F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J.L.
415		Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida,
416		T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J.A. Montgomery, Jr.,
417		J.E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J.J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K.N. Kudin, V.N.
418		Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J.C. Burant,
419		S.S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J.E. Knox,
420		J.B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R.E. Stratmann, R.
421		O. Yazyev, A.J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J.W. Ochterski, R. Martin, K.
422		Morokuma, V.G. Zakrzewski, G.A. Voth, P. Salvador, J.J. Dannenberg, S.
423		Dapprich, A.D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J.B. Foresman, J.V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and
424		D.J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision D.1; Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
425	25.	L.A. Curtiss, P.C. Redfern, and K. Raghavachari, Gaussian-4 theory. J. Chem.
426		<i>Phys.</i> , 2007, 126 , 084108.
427	26.	A.V. Marenich, C.J. Cramer, and D.G. Truhlar, Universal solvation model based
428		on solute electron density and on a continuum model of the solvent defined by the
429		bulk dielectric constant and atomic surface tensions. J. Phys. Chem. B., 2009,
430		113, 6378–6396.
431	27.	D. Minakata, S.P. Mezyk, J.W. Jones, B.R. Daws, and J.C. Crittenden,
432		Development of linear free energy relationships for aqueous phase radical-
433		involved chemical reactions. Environ. Sci. Technol 2014. 48. 13925-13932.
434	28.	Rogue Wave Software. Parallel Programming and the IMSL Libraries. 2012.

435	29.	J.C. Crittenden, H. Hu, D.W. Hand, and S.A. Green, A kinetic model for
436		H_2O_2/UV process in a completely mixed batch reactor. <i>Wat. Res.</i> 1999, 33 (10),
437		2315-2328.
438	30.	J.P. Guthrie, and J. Cossar, The chlorination of acetone: a complete kinetic
439		analysis. Can. J. Chem., 1986, 64, 1250-1266.
440	31.	B.D. Stanford, A.N. Pisarenko, S.A. Snyder, and G.Gordon, Perchlorate, bromate
441		, and chlorate in hypochlorite solutions : Guidelines for utilities. JAWWA, 103
442		(6), 71–83.
443	32.	D. Wang, J.R. Bolton, S.A. Andrews, and R. Hofmann, Formation of disinfection
444		by-products in the ultraviolet / chlorine advanced oxidation process. Sci. Total
445		Environ., 2015, 518–519 , 49–57.
446	33.	K. Hasegawa, and P. Neta, Rate Constants and Mechanisms of Reaction of Cl2-
447		Radicals. J. Phys. Chem., 1978, 82(8), 854-857.
448	34.	K. Guo, Z. Wu, C. Shang, B. Yao, S. Hou, X. Yang, W. Song, and J. Fang,
449		Radical chemistry and structural relationships of PPCP degradation by
450		UV/chlorine treatment in simulated drinking water. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017,
451		51 , 10431-10439.
452	35.	X. Kong, Z. Wu, Z. Ren, K. Guo, S. Hou, Z. Hua, X. Li, and J. Fang, Degradation
453		of lipid regulators by the UV/chlorine process: Radical mechanisms, chlorine
454		oxide radical (ClO')-mediated transformation pathways and toxicity changes.
455		Wat. Res., 2018, 137(15), 242-250.
456	36.	F. Wicktor, A. Donati, H. Herrmann, and R. Zellner, Laser based spectroscopic
457		and kinetic investigations of reactions of the Cl atom with oxygenated
458		hydrocarbons in aqueous solution. PCCP., 2003, 3, 2562–2572.
459	37.	J. Fang, Y. Fu, and C. Shang, The roles of reactive species in micropollutant
460		degradation in the UV/free chlorine system. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2014, 48(3),
461		1859–1868.
462	38.	X. Guo, D. Minakata, J. Niu, and J. Crittenden, Computer-based first-principles
463		kinetic modeling of degradation pathways and byproduct fates in aqueous-phase
464		advanced oxidation processes. Environ. Sci. Technol., 2014, 48, 5718-5725.
465	39.	U.S. EPA. Chemical Contaminants -CCL4. Accessed on March 13, 2018:
466		https://www.epa.gov/ccl/chemical-contaminants-ccl-4
467	40.	Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality : Guideline Technical

468 *Document Chlorite and Chlorate*; Ottawa, Ontario, 2008.

Table of Content



An elementary reaction based kinetic model was developed for the fate of acetone degradation in UV/free chlorine advanced oxidation process