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Abstract

Cyanide, as an ambidentate ligand, plays a pivotal role in providing a simple diatomic building-block motif, for controlled metal aggregation, M-CN-M’. Specifically, the inherent hard-soft nature of the cyanide ligand, i.e., hard-nitrogen and soft-carbon centers, are electronic handles for binding Lewis acids following the hard-soft acid-base principle. Studies by Holm and Karlin showed structural and electronic requirements for cyanide-bridged (por)FeIII-CN-CuII/I (por = porphyrin) molecular assemblies as biomimetics for cyanide-inhibited terminal quinol oxidases and cytochrome-C oxidase. The dinitrosyliron unit (DNIU) that exists in two redox states, {Fe(NO)2}9 and {Fe(NO)2}10, draws significance as an electronic analogy of CuII and CuI, d9 and d10, respectively. In similar controlled aggregations, L-type [(η5-C5R5)Fe(dppe)(CN)] (dppe = diphenyl phosphinoethane; R = H and Me) have been used as N-donor, μ-cyanoiron metalloligands to stabilize the DNIU in two redox states. Two bimetallic [(η5-C5R5)(dppe)FeII-CN-{Fe(NO)2}9(sIMes)] complexes, Fe-1 (R = H) and Fe*-1 (R = CH3), showed dissimilar FeII-CN angular bends due to the electronic donor properties of the [(η5-C5R5)Fe(dppe)(CN)] μ-cyanoiron metalloligand. A trimetallic [(η5-C5Me3)(dppe)FeII-CN]{Fe(NO)2}10 complex, Fe*-2, engaged two bridging μ-cyanoiron metalloligands to stabilize the {Fe(NO)2}10 unit. The lability of the FeII-CN-{Fe(NO)2}9 bond was probed by suitable X-type (NaSPh) and L-type (PMe3) ligands. Treatment of Fe-1 and Fe*-1 with PMe3 accounted for a reduction-induced substitution at the DNIU, releasing [(η5-C5R5)Fe(dppe)(CN)] and the N-heterocyclic carbene, and generated (PMe3)2Fe(NO)2 as the reduced {Fe(NO)2}10 product.

Introduction

In a comprehensive, 2007 review (now approaching 1000 citations), Kim Dunbar highlighted complex magnetic phenomenon such as photomagnetism and spin crossover that are displayed in single-molecule magnets based on cyanide as an ambidentate ligand for multimetallic assemblies.1 Dunbar also noted instances of linkage isomerism by the electronically versatile cyanide ligand, largely applied to extended arrays of metal cyanides.2,3 Applications of cyanide as ligand in bioinorganic chemistry also abound, typically with single binding sites that use cyanide as a detector of metal-containing enzyme...
active sites via inhibition that correlates with spectral evidence. For example, in the course of developing small molecule models of the O$_2$-inhibited CuFe site of cytochrome-C oxidase, Holm$^{4,8}$ as well as Karlin,$^9$ found CN to bridge an iron-porphyrin to copper, the latter installed with tetra- or tri-nitrogen donor ligation. Dependent on the oxidation state of Cu, different cyanide orientations, (por)Fe$^{III}$-CN-Cu$^{II}$ and (por)Fe$^{III}$-NC-Cu$^{I}$ arose from the same (por)Fe$^{III}$(CN) donor precursors; the preference of C by the softer Cu$^{I}$ receiver overcame the barrier for cyanide flipping or linkage isomerization. In contrast, in a broad series of single cyanide-bridged organo-bimetallic complexes explored by Vahrenkamp, et al., no such isomerism was observed; the orientation of the cyanide bridge was determined solely by the precursors, even when the linkage isomer was accessible by the opposite choice of precursors, and found to be stable.$^{10,11}$

Cyanide linkage isomerism, or flipping, was recently invoked to account for spectral properties of hybrid proteins, i.e., synthetic analogues of the diiron subsite of the H cluster in [FeFe]-hydrogenase that are accepted by an apo-maturase precursor, Hyd-F, on which the native diiron subsite is proposed to be synthesized.$^{12}$ Our attempts to mimic such a possibility included a set of cyanide-bridged [FeFe]–CN–Fe complexes as models of the [FeFe]-NC-[4Fe-4S] arrangement in the [FeFe]-HydF. Consistent with the Vahrenkamp report, *vida supra*, the precursors, an Fe-CN donor and an Fe-acceptor with readily accessible open site, determined the orientation of cyanide in the Fe-CN-Fe$^+$ bridge.$^{10,13}$ Calculations using DFT found that a high kinetic barrier for CN isomerization in [FeFe]–CN–Fe $\rightleftharpoons$ [FeFe]–NC–Fe units, rather than thermodynamic ground state differences, accounted for the stability of the once-formed cyanide bridge.$^{13}$

Drawing on the Holm design which gave positive evidence for cyanide flipping,$^5$ we have recently prepared model diiron carbonyl complex cyanide bridged to copper(I) and copper(II) in nitrogen ligation.$^{14}$ Here cyanide flipping was observed as the [FeFe]-CN-Cu adducts were formed, and, as seen in the Holm and Karlin studies, the oxidation state of copper was the determinant of the final arrangement,
i.e., [FeFe]-NC-Cu$^+$ or [FeFe]-CN-Cu$^{II}$.\textsuperscript{14} Impressed with the similarity of chemical features and coordination preferences of Cu$^+$ and Cu$^{II}$, d$^{10}$ and d$^9$, respectively, and the dinitrosyliron units (DNIU) in reduced and oxidized forms, {Fe(NO)$_2$}$^{10}$ and {Fe(NO)$_2$}$^9$, (Enemark-Feltham notation)\textsuperscript{15}, we have designed [Fe$^{II}$-(CN)]$_n$-{Fe(NO)$_2$}$^{9/10}$ bi-/tri-metals, as a synthetic endeavour for understanding the ambidentate binding modes of cyanide to redox non-innocent units. For example, Cu$^+$ and {Fe(NO)$_2$}$^{10}$ are known to be stabilized by soft, L-type donors, while the oxidized Cu$^{II}$ or {Fe(NO)$_2$}$^9$ ‘prefer’ X-type ligands. There are typically easy routes to redox interchanges. This has been observed for the stabilization of {Fe(NO)$_2$}$^{9/10}$ by redox-active metallodithiolates (MN$_2$S$_2$) as L-type ligands.\textsuperscript{16,17} Herein, neutral, L-type [(η$^5$-C$_5$R$_5$)Fe(dppe)(CN)] (dppe = diphenyl phosphinoethane; R = H$^{18}$ and Me) μ-cyanoiron ligands were employed as N-donors to stabilize DNIUs in two redox states {Fe(NO)$_2$}$^{9/10}$, in order to discern the ambidentate binding modes of the bridging cyanide.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis.** Scheme 2 displays the synthetic approach to Fe$^{II}$-CN-\{Fe(NO)$_2$\}$^{9/10}$ units composed of (η$^5$-C$_5$R$_5$)Fe(dppe)(CN) (where R = H$^{18}$ and CH$_3$; Fe$^+$-CN and Fe$^*$-CN, respectively) and the Fe(NO)$_2$ unit from well established synthons {Fe(NO)$_2$}$^9$ and {Fe(NO)$_2$}$^{10}$.\textsuperscript{19,20} On addition of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solvent at 22°C to a mixture of the precursors as solids, the cyanide-bridged bimetallic products, (dppe)(η$^5$-C$_5$R$_5$)Fe-CN-Fe(NO)$_2$(IMes)]$^+$[BF$_4$]$^-$ (where R = H and CH$_3$; Fe$^-$ and Fe$^*$-$^-$, respectively), formed immediately in near quantitative yields. The efficiency of this reaction stresses the prominent reactivity / electrophilicity of the
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*Figure 1.* Overlay of IR spectra in diatomic ligand region recorded in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of A) (dppe)(η$^5$-C$_5$H$_5$)Fe(CN) (red) and the Fe$^-$ (blue product; B) of (dppe)(η$^5$-C$_5$Me$_5$)Fe(CN) (red) and the Fe$^*$ (blue product. Frozen CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution EPR spectra of Fe$^-$ (C, 5 K) and Fe$^*$ (D, 7 K). The g values are from simulations shown in red trace. The coupling constant A (I = 1, for $^{14}$N) was found to be 13 and 14 MHz, respectively.
(IMes)Fe(NO)₃⁺ synthon which has been effective for forming a number of heterobimetallic complexes. The carbene-bound dinitrosyliron unit (DNIU) acts as a receiver for the N-centered cyanide-based organoiron ligand which was isolated in {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ redox state.

The cyanide bridged bimetallic complexes were isolated as dark purple crystalline solids. The molecular structures, as obtained from x-ray diffraction, are depicted in Scheme 1 and are described in detail below. The ν(CN) stretching frequencies of the bimetallic products, Fe-1 and Fe*-1, at 2050 and 2012 cm⁻¹, respectively, showed a bathochromic shift to lower wavenumbers, by 14 and 38 cm⁻¹, compared to the starting materials, [(dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN)], R = H and CH₃, respectively, Figure 1. The greater shift in ν(CN) stretching frequencies for Fe*-1 compared to Fe-1, is ascribed to the increased electron-donor ability of (dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe(CN) over (dppe)(η⁵-C₅H₅)Fe(CN). The decrease in ν(CN) stretching frequencies upon adduct formation, reflects addition of electron density into the empty π* orbital on CN, indicating the μ-cyano ligand functions as a π-acid.⁵ Along with the ν(CN) IR spectral monitor, the ν(NO) also indicated the completeness of reaction. Further, the shifts of ν(NO) are readily interpreted according to the drain of electron density from the [[(dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN)]] metallo-cyanide as ligand, with concurrent enhancement of electron density at the Fe(NO)₂ acceptor. The effect of η⁵-C₅Me₅ over η⁵-C₅H₅ was observed in the lowering of ν(NO) stretching frequencies by 5 cm⁻¹, in case of the former, in the bimetallic products.

**Figure 2.** Diatomic ligand region infrared spectra for CO exchange with the Fe-CN metallocyanid yielding complex Fe*-2 in THF solution.
Positive-ion ESI mass spectrum of Fe-1 and Fe*-1 displayed characteristic signals at the molecular ion masses, \( m/z \) 967.28 and \( m/z \) 1037.33, respectively, with isotopic distribution patterns that closely matched the calculated bundle, for each diiron complex, Figures S18 and S19.† The X-band EPR spectra collected in perpendicular mode field polarization of frozen \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) solutions of the paramagnetic Fe-1 and Fe*-1, at 4 or 7 K, showed the presence of \( S = 1/2 \) species with coupling from the \(^{14}\text{N} \) nuclei (\( I_N = 1 \)) of CN, Figure 1. The \( g_{xyz} \) values and the coupling constants are provided in Figure 1. No coupling to NO was detected in the spectra from these complexes.

Freshly prepared Fe(CO)\(_2\)(NO)\(_2\) at 0 °C in THF was used as precursor to generate the reduced, metallocyanide-bound \{Fe(NO)\(_2\)\}\(^{10}\) adduct with (dppe)(\( \eta^5\)-C\(_5\)R\(_5\))Fe(CN) as donor, Scheme 1. The product is thermally sensitive, requiring all manipulations to be carried out at -35°C. The presence of two cyano-iron donors, displaying \( C_2v \) local symmetry and symmetrical and asymmetrical \( \nu(\text{NO}) \) and \( \nu(\text{CN}) \) stretching vibrations within a triiron species, was confirmed by XRD and mass spectrometry. The \( \nu(\text{NO}) \) values, at 1737 and 1693 cm\(^{-1}\), are ca. 45 cm\(^{-1}\) lower than the corresponding metallocyanide-bound \{Fe(NO)\(_2\)\}\(^9\) adduct, Fe*-1. The \( \nu(\text{CN}) \) IR values of 2083 and 1988 cm\(^{-1}\) average to 2035 cm\(^{-1}\), some 15 cm\(^{-1}\) lower overall than that of the reactant, \( \nu(\text{CN}) = 2050 \) cm\(^{-1}\). The positive-ion ESI mass spectrum of the product displayed a prominent signal at \( m/z \) 1346.47, which corresponded to [(dppe)(\( \eta^5\)-C\(_5\)Me\(_5\))Fe-CN]\(_2\)-Fe(NO)\(_2\), Fe*-2.
Molecular structures

The cyanide bridged adducts, Fe-1 and Fe*-1, as BF$_4^-$ salts, crystallized as purple and brown needles upon layering CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solutions with hexane at -30 °C. The Fe*-2 co-crystallized with precursor Fe*-CN as thin brown plates via THF/pentane diffusion at -30 °C, under N$_2$. The molecular structures of Fe*-CN, Fe-1, Fe*-1 and Fe*-2 are shown in Figure 3 with selected metric parameters tabulated in Table 1. Full structural reports are deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

A pseudo tetrahedral geometry is observed at the Fe$_{(1)}$ center with C$_{\text{Mes}}$-Fe$_{(1)}$-N$_{\text{CN}}$ (for Fe-1 and Fe*-1) or N$_{\text{CN}}$-Fe$_{(1)}$-N$_{\text{CN}}$ (for Fe*-2) angle ranging from 110° to 113° and the N$_{\text{NO}}$-Fe$_{(1)}$-N$_{\text{NO}}$ angle was ca. 114°. The dinitrosyl iron units display the typical bent Fe-N-O, and the common ‘attracto’ orientation, with individual Fe$_{(1)}$-N-O angles ranging from 156 to 171°. The Fe$^{II}$ center(s) in (dppe)(η$_5$-C$_5$R$_5$)Fe(CN) units displayed a typical piano stool coordination geometry with the dppe and cyanide as the tripodal base; there are no significant differences between the structures of the precursor and the µ-cyanoiron adducts.

Figure 3. Ball and stick representations of the molecular structures of (dppe)(η$_5$-C$_5$Me$_2$)Fe(CN) (Fe*), Fe-1, Fe*-1 and Fe*-2. The phenyl and mesityl groups are represented as wire-forms; counter anions of Fe-1 and Fe*-1 are BF$_4^-$. 
While the Fe(2)-C-N angles in Fe-1 and Fe*-1 are almost linear, 177.5° and 178.5°, respectively, and similar to their precursors, the Fe(1)-N-C angles show significant deviation from linearity. The extent of angular bend as observed for MC≡NTM', in MTC≡NTM' complexes, depend primarily on the electron density of the bridging N of the µ-Tcyano ligand and the electron polarizability of the second metal, M'. Extensive reports have noted the angular dependence of (por)FeIII-C≡NTCuII with regards to the geometry of the CuII center (distorted square pyramidal or distorted trigonal bipyramidal), with values as small as 147° and as large as 173°. In the present context, the angular bend for FeII-C≡NT{Fe(NO)2}9 was found to be 165.2° for Fe-1 and 152.6° for Fe*-1. As the receiver unit, {Fe(NO)2}9, isoelectronic with CuII (d9), maintains a pseudo tetrahedral geometry in both cases, the increase in electron density at the bridging N of the μ-cyanoiron ligand for Fe*-1, due to the η5TC5R5, should account for the marked angular deviation.

Two distinct FeII-C≡N-{Fe(NO)2}9-N≡CFeII angles, 156.8 and 168.5° were observed for Fe*-2; the 12° difference indicates non-equivalent orbital interaction with the two bridging cyanides, perhaps contributing to the distinct ν(CN) stretching frequencies, *vide supra*. Such variation of bond angles were correlated with the increase in the (por)FeIII-CN-CuII bond length, which remains almost invariant in the present case, ca. 1.95 [Å], Table 1. The greater FeII-C≡N-{Fe(NO)2}9 angular bends for Fe*-1 and Fe*-2 were reflected in the decrease of Fe(1)•••Fe(2)/(3) distance by 0.121 [Å] and ca. 0.055 [Å], respectively, compared to Fe-1.

**Electrochemistry**

Cyclic voltammograms (CV) of Fe-1 and Fe*-1 as BF4- salts, and, for comparison, the starting materials, (dppe)(η5-C5R5)Fe(CN), were recorded at 22 °C under Ar in CH2Cl2 containing 0.1 M...
[\text{[Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{PF}_6]]$ as supporting electrolyte and referenced to $\text{Fe}^{3+/2}$, using a glassy carbon working electrode. Figure 4 shows the scans at 200 mV/sec, with assignments listed therein. Scan rate overlays to determine reversibility or quasi-reversibility are given in Figures S11-S15.†

![Cyclic voltammograms of Fe complexes](image)

**Figure 4.** Cyclic voltammograms of A) overlay of $(\text{dppe})_{\eta^5-C_5R_5}\text{Fe(CN)}$, where $R = \text{H}$ (red), Me (blue); B) Fe-1; C) Fe*-1 and D) Fe*-2.

While the starting materials, $(\text{dppe})_{\eta^5-C_5R_5}\text{Fe(CN)}$ showed single reversible events at -0.05 and -0.32 V for the $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)\text{Fe}^{II/III}$ redox couples of $R = \text{H}$ and CH$_3$, respectively, the complexes Fe-1 and Fe*-1, overall showed two redox events, a reversible reduction, assigned to the $\{\text{Fe(NO)}_2\}_{9/10}$ couple and a quasi-reversible wave assigned to the $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)\text{Fe}^{III/II}$ event. The event at 0.31 V, assigned to the $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Fe}^{III/II}$ couple for the Fe-1 complex, was shifted less positive by ca. 250 mV for Fe*-1, implying stabilization of the oxidized Fe due to the enriched electron donation by the $\eta^5-C_5\text{Me}_5$ unit. A similar shift was observed for the $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)\text{Fe}^{III/II}$ redox couple in the MN$_2$S$_2$[$\text{Fe(\eta^5-C_5R_5)(CO)}]$ complexes, (M = [NOFe]$^{II}$, [NOCo]$^{II}$, Ni$^{II}$). The effect of the enriched electron donor properties of the $\eta^5-C_5\text{Me}_5$ unit was conveyed via the bridging cyanide ligand, and felt, to a lesser extent, at the Fe(NO)$_2$ center. The reversible $\{\text{Fe(NO)}_2\}_{9/10}$ couple at -1.15 V for Fe-1, was shifted by 60 mV more negative for Fe*-1 ($E_{1/2}$ = -1.21 V), indicating greater stabilization of the $\{\text{Fe(NO)}_2\}_{9}$ state of the latter. Minor redox features were
observed at ~ -0.05 and ~ -0.32 V for Fe-1 and Fe*-1 complexes, respectively, and assigned to the (η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe^{II/III} redox couple of (dppe)(η⁵-C₅H₅)Fe(CN)and (dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe(CN), respectively, as impurities. Repeated scans, however, did not show an increase in the current intensity of these redox features, indicating no further decomposition of Fe-1 and Fe*-1 complexes at the electrode surface, Figure S16T17.†

The cyclic voltammogram of Fe*-2 was recorded at ~ -5 °C, under argon, using a glassy carbon working electrode, with 0.1 M [tBu₄N][PF₆] supporting electrolyte THF solution, Figure 4(D). A reversible redox event, assigned to the {Fe(NO)₂}⁹/¹⁰ couple, was observed at -1.16 V. The value indicates greater ease of reduction, compared to the {Fe(NO)₂}⁹/¹⁰ redox couple of Fe*-1 (-1.21 V), by around 60 mV. Two irreversible cathodic events were observed at -0.13 and 0.31 V, assigned to the sequential oxidation of (η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe^{III/II} units. The scan rate dependence studies of Fe*-2 for the {Fe(NO)₂}⁹/¹⁰ couple are shown in Figure S15.†

Reactivity Studies

In an attempt to test the lability of Fe^{II-CN}-{Fe(NO)₂}⁹/¹⁰ bond, the complexes Fe-1, Fe*-1 and Fe*-2 were exposed to nucleophiles, of various electronic and steric features, Scheme 2. Treatment of Fe-1 and Fe*-1 with Na’SPh⁺, showed an immediate displacement of (dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN), with concomitant formation of sIMesFe(NO)₂(SPh). The IR spectral monitor indicated a shift in the ν(NO) vibrational bands from 1795, 1733 cm⁻¹ (Fe-1) or 1790, 1729 cm⁻¹ (Fe-1*) to 1763, 1715 cm⁻¹, consistent with the known values of sIMesFe(NO)₂(SPh). The ν(NO) bands in both cases indicated formation of L₂Fe(NO)₂, (L = PMe₃). This result was similar to the reaction of sIMesFe(NO)₂(SPh) with PMe₃ that also showed ν(NO) vibrational bands at 1705, 1659 cm⁻¹. The product was isolated and characterized using ³¹P NMR spectroscopy and the X-ray diffraction studies confirmed the compound was (Me₃P)₂Fe(NO)₂. The (Me₃P)₂Fe(NO)₂ complex derived in this fashion was diamagnetic, indicating a reduced {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ species, formed by the simultaneous oxidation of PhS⁻ to diphenyldisulfide. Thus, the aforementioned reactions of Fe-1 and Fe*-1 with PMe₃, led to a double substitution of the sIMes and dppe(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN) with the concomitant reduction of the DNIU from {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ to {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰. Reactions of Fe-1 and Fe*-1, with PPh₃ (> 10 equiv.) over a period of 12 h, however, did not show a displacement of the (dppe)(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CN). Neither was there reaction with CO, bubbled at 1 atm.
The identity of L₂Fe(NO)₂ as (Me₃P)₂Fe(NO)₂ from such reactions was further established upon treating Fe*-2, having a reduced {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ DNIU, with PMe₃ at 0 °C. The ν(NO) spectral monitor showed a shift in the ν(NO) vibrational frequencies from 1737, 1693 cm⁻¹ to 1705, 1660 cm⁻¹ (THF); the displaced (dppe)(η⁵-C₅Me₅)Fe(CN) was identified by its characteristic ν(CN) stretching frequencies.

**Scheme 3.** Ligand exchange studies of Fe-1, Fe*-1 and Fe*-2 with various nucleophiles.

**Conclusion**

Three bi-/trimetallic molecular complexes containing Fe⁺⁺⁺CN-{Fe(NO)₂}⁹/¹⁰ unit were synthesized and structurally characterized, establishing the role of cyanide as a bridging ambidentate ligand. The question of whether the dinitrosyliron units (DNIU), {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ and {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰, as delocalized electronic analogs of the isoelectronic Cu⁺⁺ and Cu⁺, d⁹ and d¹⁰, respectively, was only partially answered; the binding occurs, however no linkage isomerism or flipping was seen.⁵⁰ Our studies were inspired as mimics of cyanide’s ambidentate ligating attributes with reference to biological cyanide-inhibited cytochrome-C oxidase as well as in Hyd-F of [FeFe]-H₂ases. We found that the L-type neutral μ-cyanoiron metalloligands indeed bound to the DNIU in both {Fe(NO)₂}⁹ and {Fe(NO)₂}¹⁰ redox states, however the Fe⁺⁺⁺CN orientation of the starting cyanoiron(II) complex precursor was maintained in both. As our mechanistic understanding of cyanide flipping in bioorganometallic mimetics increases,¹³,¹⁴ we ascribe the difference in propensities of the redox levels of copper vs DNIU towards C vs. N bound cyanide to the lack of an easy path towards isomerization in the delocalized DNIU.¹³,¹⁴ The lability of the
Fe^{II}-CN-{Fe(NO)}_2^{9/10} bond was examined with different nucleophiles that showed loss of the \( \mu \)-cyanoiron metalloligand always with cleavage at the N-Fe. Reduction of \( \{Fe(NO)_2\}^9 \) to \( \{Fe(NO)_2\}^{10} \) was observed concomitant with exchange of L-type PMe_3, but no redox changes were seen with X-type Na'SPh'. No exchange was seen under a blanket of CO and likewise the bulky PPh_3 failed. These interesting ligand substitution reactions, and phosphine-induced reduction, are the subject of an ongoing kinetic and mechanistic study.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Methods and Materials.**

Solvents, dichloromethane (CH_2Cl_2), methanol (MeOH) hexane, pentane and diethylether, were purified and degassed on the MBraun Manual Solvent Purification System with Alcoa F200 activated alumina desiccant. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled after reflux in the presence of sodium and benzophenone. Standard Schlenk conditions under N_2 and Ar atmospheres were used to carry out all reactions. All reagents were used as received from standard vendors unless otherwise stated. The complexes, \((\text{dppe})(\eta^5-C_5H_5)\text{Fe}(CN) (\text{Fe}^\ast\text{CN}),^18 [(\text{sIMes})\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_3][\text{BF}_4],^{20, 25} \text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2(\text{NO})_2,^{19} (\text{sIMes})\text{Fe}(\text{NO})_2(\text{SPh})^{20, 25} \text{and (dppe})(\eta^5-C_5\text{Me}_5)\text{FeCl}^{26}\) were prepared according to published procedures; sIMes = the N-heterocyclic carbene, NHC, was derived from 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazolinium chloride. HPLC-grade acetonitrile, [n-Bu_4N][PF_6], Na'SPh' and Fe^+PF_6' were reagent grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Physical Measurements.** Solution infrared spectra were recorded using the Bruker Tensor 37 Fourier transform IR (FTIR) spectrometer and a CaF_2 cell of 0.2 mm path length. All mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was provided and performed by the laboratory for Biological Mass Spectrometry at Texas A&M University. The Inova 500 MHz superconducting NMR instrument was used to acquire \(^1\text{H}\) NMR, \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR, and \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR spectra. Cyclic voltammograms (CV’s) were recorded on the CHI600E electrochemical analyzer (HCH instruments, Inc.) using a three-electrode cell: a) working electrode a 0.071 cm\(^2\) glassy carbon disc; counter electrode, a platinum wire; reference electrode, a CH_3CN solution of Ag/AgNO_3 in a Vycor-tipped glass tube. Throughout the CV experiments, diamond paste (3 \(\mu\)m) was used to polish the glassy carbon electrode as needed. Solutions used in CV scans were purged with Ar for 5 min and a blanket of Ar was kept over the solution during the experiments. Solutions (2.0 mM) of Fe-1, Fe^\ast-1, and Fe^\ast-CN (DCM) contained 0.1 M [n-Bu_4N][PF_6] as the electrolyte. A 2.0 mM THF solution of Fe^\ast-2, containing 0.1 M [n-Bu_4N][PF_6] as the electrolyte was kept at \(-5^\circ\)C. The Fe/Fc' couple at 0.00 V was used as an internal reference for all reported potentials.
X-ray Diffraction Analyses. The crystal data for Fe-1, Fe*-1, and Fe*-2 were determined with the BRUKER Venture X-ray (kappa geometry) diffractometer with Cu-åμs X-ray tube (K\(_{\alpha}\) = 1.5418Å) at 100 K. The Fe*-CN crystal structure was measured with the BRUKER APEX 21 X-ray (three-circle) diffractometer with Mo sealed X-ray tube (K\(_{\alpha}\) = 0.70173Å) at 110 K. Weighted least squares refinement on \(F^0\) was used for refining the structures. At idealized positions, hydrogen atoms were placed and fixed isotropic displacement parameters were used to refine them. Anisotropic displacement parameters were employed for all non-hydrogen atoms.

The following programs were used: data collection, APEX3;\(^{27}\) data reduction, SAINT;\(^{28}\) absorption correction, SADABS;\(^{29}\) cell refinement and structure solutions, SHELXS/XT;\(^{29}\) The final data presentation and structure plots were generated in Olex2.\(^{30}\)

Crystallographic data for the complexes Fe-1, Fe*-1, Fe*-2, Fe*-CN, and (PMe\(_3\))\(_2\)Fe(NO)\(_2\) are deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre; their numbers are: CCDC 1840853 (Fe-1), CCDC 1840809 (Fe*-1), CCDC 1840824 (Fe*-2), CCDC 1840810 (Fe*-CN), and CCDC 1840854 ((PMe\(_3\))\(_2\)Fe(NO)\(_2\)). Crystal data and structure refinement parameters are summarized in Tables T21-T25.

Syntheses

\[\text{[(dppe)(η^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(CN)], (Fe*-CN).}\] A 0.50 g (0.81 mmol) portion of (dppe)(η^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(Cl) and 0.27 mg (4.1 mmol) of KCN were placed in a 100 mL Schlenk flask, and the contents were dissolved in MeOH; the resulting orange solution was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\). The CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution was filtered through celite to remove any unreacted starting material. X-ray quality crystals for complex Fe*-CN were obtained by layering a CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution of the product with pentane.

\[\nu(CN) 2050;\] ESIMS\(^+\): m/z 638.18 (for [M] + Na\(^+\)).

\[\text{[(dppe)(η^5-C_5H_5)Fe-CN-Fe(NO)_2(IMes)][BF_4], (Fe-1).}\] As described above, 0.11 g (0.20 mmol) of (dppe)(η^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CN) and 0.12 g (0.22 mmol) of [(sIMes)Fe(NO)\(_3\)][BF\(_4\)] were added in a 100 mL Schlenk flask, and the contents were dissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\); the resulting dark purple solution was stirred for 10 min. Dichloromethane was removed in vacuo and the resulting solids were washed once with ether/hexane (1:1, v/v) and twice with hexane. The purple solid was redissolved in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and filtered through celite to remove any possible solid insoluble impurities. X-ray quality crystals for complex Fe-1 were obtained by layering a CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution of the product with pentane at -30 °C. IR (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), cm\(^{-1}\)): \(\nu(CN) 2050;\) \(\nu(NO) 1795, 1733.\) ESI-MS\(^+\): m/z 967.28.

\[\text{[(dppe)(η^5-C_5Me_5)Fe-CN-Fe(NO)_2(IMes)][BF_4], (Fe*-1).}\] The reaction conditions, reaction work up, and recrystallization methods used for Fe*-1 were the same as Fe-1 except 0.12 g (0.20 mmol) of (dppe)(η^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(CN) (Fe*-CN) and 0.12 mg (0.22 mmol) of [(sIMes)Fe(NO)\(_3\)][BF\(_4\)] were
dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ giving an emerald green solution. IR (CH$_2$Cl$_2$, cm$^{-1}$): $\nu$(CN) 2012; $\nu$(NO) 1790, 1729. ESI-MS$^+$: m/z 1037.33.

$[(\text{dppe})(\eta^5$-C$_5$Me$_5$)Fe-CN]$$_2$$-$$\text{Fe(NO)}$_2$, (Fe*-2). Approximately 0.2 mmol of Fe(CO)$_2$(NO)$_2$ in THF was prepared in a 50 mL Schlenk flask according to previously reported procedures and was condensed into a 50 mL Schlenk flask placed into a Dewar flask filled with liquid N$_2$ containing 0.61 g (0.11 mmol) of (dppe)(\eta^5-C$_5$Me$_5$)Fe(CN) through a vacuum adapter. After the condensation of Fe(CO)$_2$(NO)$_2$, removal of Schlenk flask from Dewar, and replacement of the vacuum adapter, the reaction flask was placed in a -10 °C acetone/ice bath to thaw the contents and then stirred for 30-45 min. The reaction was monitored by IR spectroscopy and upon no further changes, the solvent was removed in vacuo, maintaining a temperature of -5 °C to also remove the volatile Fe(CO)$_2$(NO)$_2$. The dark brown solid was redissolved in THF and filtered quickly through celite to remove any insoluble impurities while maintaining the temperature at -5 °C. IR (CH$_2$Cl$_2$, cm$^{-1}$): $\nu$(CN) 2083, 1988; $\nu$(NO) 1737, 1693: ESI-MS$^+$: m/z 1346.47.

(Me$_3$P)$_2$Fe(NO)$_2$ In a 50 mL Schlenk flask 0.050 g (0.10 mmol) of (sIMes)Fe(NO)$_2$(SPh) was dissolved in 20 mL of THF and reacted with excess PMe$_3$ (0.20 mL, 2.0 mmol) by stirring at room temperature. Reaction completion and formation of (Me$_3$P)$_2$Fe(NO)$_2$ was monitored by IR spectroscopy. The solvent and excess PMe$_3$ were removed in vacuo. The orange-red solid was dissolved in THF then filtered through celite. X-ray quality crystals for complex (Me$_3$P)$_2$Fe(NO)$_2$ were obtained by layering a THF solution of the product with pentane. IR (THF, cm$^{-1}$): $\nu$(NO) 1705, 1659: Elemental Anal. Calculated for FeC$_6$CH$_{18}$N$_2$O$_2$P$_2$: C, 29.70 (28.94); H, 7.48 (7.05); N, 9.90 (9.57). $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR (THF): $\delta$ 14.3 (s, 2P).
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