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Abstract

Ethane (CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3}), one of the primary components of shale gas, is an attractive candidate for the production of syngas (CO + H\textsubscript{2}) and ethylene (CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}) via the selective C-C and C-H bond cleavage, respectively. Understanding the origin of the selective conversion is essential to design a good catalyst for CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} activation. Herein, we combined density functional theory (DFT) calculations with kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulations to shed light on the mechanism of the oxidative C-H and C-C bond cleavage of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} on a PtNi(111) model catalyst using CO\textsubscript{2} as an oxidant, where the estimated selectivity is in good agreement with the experimental results on PtNi nanoparticles supported on CeO\textsubscript{2}. Our calculations show that PtNi is selective to CO via direct CO\textsubscript{2} dissociation and the oxidative C-C bond scission of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} via the oxygenated (*C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{y}O) intermediates. By comparison the CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} selectivity via the selective C-H bond scission of *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3}, is much lower. The kinetic analysis suggests that the selectivity of PtNi toward syngas can be enhanced by facilitating the formation of key *C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{y}O intermediates; while the selectivity toward CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} is promoted mainly by accelerating the C-H bond scission of *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2} to produce *CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}.
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1. Introduction

Ethane (CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3}) is one of the primary components of shale gas.\textsuperscript{1} The large reserve of shale gas makes CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} an attractive candidate for its use as a feedstock chemical. Dry reforming of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} using one of the greenhouse gases, \textsuperscript{2, 3} CO\textsubscript{2}, as an oxidant\textsuperscript{4-7} has gained significant interests recently.\textsuperscript{8-14} The reaction can occur via two distinct pathways: (1) the C-C bond cleavage of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} (i. e. the reforming reaction) to produce synthesis gas (syngas: CO + H\textsubscript{2}), precursors for the production of long-chain hydrocarbons by the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis\textsuperscript{15, 16}, and (2) oxidative C-H bond cleavage of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} (i. e. the oxidative dehydrogenation reaction) to produce ethylene (CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} + CO + H\textsubscript{2}O),\textsuperscript{12, 17, 18} an important building block in chemical industry for the production of chemicals such as polyethylene, ethylene oxide, styrene, acetaldehyde, vinyl acetate, ethanol, and ethylbenzene, etc.\textsuperscript{19} The production of CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} via the oxidative dehydrogenation of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} occurs at lower temperatures (400 - 600 °C), and therefore more beneficial\textsuperscript{19-21} than the currently used thermal dehydrogenation, which requires elevated temperatures (750-950 °C). Thus, the design of catalysts that selectively promote either C-C or C-H bond cleavage of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} is desirable, but remained challenging due to the lack of detailed understanding on the kinetics of such complex reaction network.

Under the conditions of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} dry reforming using CO\textsubscript{2}, bimetallic PtNi and PtCo catalysts supported on CeO\textsubscript{2} (PtNi/CeO\textsubscript{2} and PtCo/CeO\textsubscript{2} in our notation) were shown previously to promote the oxidative C-C bond cleavage of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} to produce syngas while FeNi/CeO\textsubscript{2} catalysts favored the oxidative C-H bond cleavage to produce CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{22} According to the thermodynamic studies using density functional theory (DFT) calculations on the selective steps involved in this process, the C-C bond cleavage was preferred on Pt(111), PtCo(111) \textsuperscript{12} and
PtNi(111)\textsuperscript{13} model surfaces while the C-H bond cleavage was favored on NiFe(111).\textsuperscript{22} Yet, the mechanistic understanding required for the design of selective catalysts for CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} dry reforming is limited, as the complete reaction network and the reaction kinetics still remain unknown.

In the current study, DFT calculations are coupled with kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulations to establish the complete reaction network and describe the reaction kinetics for the conversion of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} to CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} and syngas on the PtNi(111) bimetallic model surface, being able to well describe the experimentally measured selectivity of PtNi nanoparticles supported on CeO\textsubscript{2} under similar reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{13} To our best knowledge, this is a first detailed theoretical investigation and the first study being able to establish the complex reaction network of CO\textsubscript{2} reduction by ethane and provide in-depth mechanistic understanding of the oxidative C-H and C-C bond cleavage of ethane. It also allows us to identify the key factors that control the selectivity. The results suggest that there are two important steps among the complex reaction network, which are essential to the overall conversion and selectivity. One is the C-O bond scission of CO\textsubscript{2} to form *CO and *O. It is more favorable than the competing hydrogenation reactions to form carboxylate (*COOH) or formate (*HCOO) species because of the lack surface *H. The other is the formation of *C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{x}O intermediates due to oxidation of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{x} by surface *O.\textsuperscript{12,22} The C-C bond scission of *C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{x}O intermediates finally leads to the formation of syngas. The high selectivity toward syngas estimated theoretically as well as observed experimentally depends on the capability of PtNi alloy in promoting C-O bond formation between *C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{y} intermediates and *O and producing the critical *C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{y}O intermediates to facilitate the C-C bond cleavage. The selectivity toward CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} can be promoted by facilitating the two successive C-H bond scission of *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3}.
2. Computational Methods

2.1 Density Functional Theory (DFT) Calculations

Spin polarized density functional theory (DFT)\textsuperscript{23, 24} calculations were performed using the Vienna \textit{Ab-Initio} Simulation Package (VASP) code.\textsuperscript{25, 26} Projector augmented wave (PAW)\textsuperscript{27} potentials were used to describe the core electrons with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using PW91 functionals. The Kohn-Sham one-electron wave functions were expanded by using a plane wave basis set with a kinetic energy cutoff of 400 eV. The Brillouin zone was sampled using a $3 \times 3 \times 1$ k-point grid in the Monkhorst-Pack\textsuperscript{28} scheme. Ionic positions were optimized until Hellman-Feynman force on each ion was smaller than 0.02 eV/Å.

A near surface alloy motif, Pt/Ni/Pt(111) or PtNi(111) in our notation, was used to model the PtNi alloy surface, where the subsurface (the 2\textsuperscript{nd} layer) of Pt(111) was replaced by Ni atoms. In our previous experimental studies, we have determined, based on the characteristic vibrational frequencies of CO adsorption using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), that PtNi bimetallic particles prefer the Pt surface termination.\textsuperscript{13} This is also consistent with the DFT prediction of favorable Pt segregation to the surface of PtNi(111).\textsuperscript{29, 30} Such model is to represent the favorable segregation near the surface of PtNi alloy, which results in a Pt-enriched surface and Ni-enriched subsurface layers.\textsuperscript{31} Furthermore, PtNi(111) model was used previously, being able to successfully describe the performances of Pt-based bimetallic catalysts during various catalytic hydrogenation reactions.\textsuperscript{32, 33} Indeed, for CO$_2$ reduction by CH$_3$CH$_3$ (see Section 3.2), it is able to describe well the selectivity of PtNi/CeO$_2$ reported experimentally, based on combined DFT and KMC simulations under similar reaction conditions. The bimetallic surface was modeled using a four layer $3 \times 3$ surface slab. A 14 Å thick vacuum was added along the direction perpendicular to the surface in the initial slab model to avoid the artificial interactions.
between the slab and its periodic images. During geometry optimization, the atoms in the bottom two layers were fixed, while all other atoms were allowed to relax. The binding energy (BE) of an adsorbate is calculated as

\[ \text{BE(adsorbate)} = E(\text{slab + adsorbate}) - E(\text{slab}) - E(\text{adsorbate}) \]

where \( E(\text{slab + adsorbate}) \), \( E(\text{slab}) \) and \( E(\text{adsorbate}) \) are the total energies of slab with adsorbate, clean slab, and adsorbate species in gas phase, respectively.

The transition state of a chemical reaction was located using the climbing image nudged elastic band (CI-NEB) method implemented in VASP. The activation energy \( (E_a) \) of a chemical reaction is defined as the energy difference between the initial and transition states while the reaction energy \( (\Delta E) \) is defined as the energy difference between the initial and final states.

### 2.2 Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) Simulations

The kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulations were performed with a Kinetix module implemented in Materials Studio 5.5. The details about the implementation of the KMC method is provided in ref. The present KMC simulations were carried out at reaction conditions in previous experiments: ratio of partial pressure of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_3 \) \( (P_{\text{CO}_2}/P_{\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_3}) = 2:1 \) and temperature \( (T) = 873 \text{ K} \). The surface chemical reactions were modeled on a \( 128 \times 128 \) matrix. For simplicity, each atop site represents a surface site in the KMC simulations.

The reaction rates were computed based on calculated reaction barriers using the Arrhenius equation given by \( A_0 \exp(-E_a/k_B T) \). \( E_a \) is the activation energy of the corresponding reaction obtained from DFT calculations, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the temperature of the KMC simulations. For the reactions involving molecules in the gas-phase, the contribution from the entropy was taken from the NIST database and was included in the KMC simulations.
The adsorption coefficient was calculated according to $PA_{site}\sigma/\sqrt{2\pi mk_BT}$, where $P$, $A_{site}$, $\sigma$ and $m$ represent the pressure of the adsorbed gas, the area of a single site, the sticking coefficient and the mass of the adsorption gas, respectively. $A_0$ is the prefactor. A gas phase prefactor of $1.0 \times 10^{13}$ s$^{-1}$ was used for all surface reactions.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 DFT Results

The DFT calculations were performed to obtain $\Delta E$ and $E_a$ of 89 elementary reaction steps relevant to possible pathways for dry reforming of CH$_3$CH$_3$ by CO$_2$ on the PtNi(111) surface. Depending on the products, the reaction can be grouped into two pathways: (1) production of CH$_2$CH$_2$ via the oxidative C-H bond cleavage pathway (Figure 1); (2) production of syngas via the C-C bond cleavage pathway (Figures 2 and 3). The formation of methanol (CH$_3$OH) and methane (CH$_4$) was also included as possible side products (Figure 4).

3.1.1 Activation of CO$_2$

The activation of CO$_2$ is one of the key steps for dry reforming of CH$_3$CH$_3$ by CO$_2$, which produces CO and provides *O species as oxidizing agent for CH$_3$CH$_3$ oxidation. CO$_2$ is physisorbed on PtNi(111) (BE = -0.04 eV). The direct *CO$_2$ dissociation to *CO + *O is a potentially difficult step ($\Delta E = 1.52$ eV and $E_a = 2.13$ eV, Table S3). By comparison, in the presence of *H dissociated from *CH$_3$CH$_3$, *CO$_2$ prefers to undergo the reverse-water-gas-shift (RWGS) reaction to form *HOCO ($\Delta E = 0.23$ eV, $E_a = 1.02$ eV) or *HCOO ($\Delta E = 0.40$ eV, $E_a = 1.33$ eV) intermediate with lower barriers according to the DFT calculated barriers. Here
*HOCO is an active intermediate on PtNi(111), as reported for Pt(111)\textsuperscript{38}, which leads to the formation of *CO and hydroxyl (*OH) species (\(\Delta E = 0.69\) eV, \(E_a = 0.83\) eV). The surface *O is generated preferentially via the *OH dissociation (\(\Delta E = 0.62\) eV, \(E_a = 1.40\) eV) in this case which can be reduced back to *OH (\(\Delta E = -0.62\) eV; \(E_a = 0.78\) eV) and eventually *H\(_2\)O (\(\Delta E = -1.08\) eV; \(E_a = 0.31\) eV), or help the C-H bond cleavage via the formation of *H\(_2\)O. Yet, as will be seen in section 3.2 the KMC simulations indicate that the direct CO\(_2\) dissociation to *C and *C is dominant under reaction conditions and is essential to the overall conversion and selectivity toward syngas. By comparison, the dissociation of *CO to *C and *O is rather difficult with very large activation energy (\(\Delta E = 2.94\) eV; \(E_a = 4.09\) eV) and is thus unlikely to occur. Therefore this reaction was not included in the DFT and KMC analysis of the reaction network for oxidative C-H and C-C bond cleavage of ethane.

### 3.1.2 Dehydrogenation of CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) to CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)

The dehydrogenation of CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) to CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) is the only path way leading toward the production and therefore controlling the selectivity of CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) during the dry reforming of CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) by CO\(_2\). The binding of CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) on PtNi(111) is weak with the BE of -0.05 eV (Table S1) due to the high stability of the molecule. Consequently, the first C-H bond scission of *CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) is rather difficult (\(\Delta E = 0.57\) eV; \(E_a = 1.12\) eV), though it is feasible at the typical experimental reaction temperature of \(~873\) K.\textsuperscript{13} The dissociated *CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\) is strongly bound on the surface (BE = -1.62 eV) and undergoes the second C-H bond scission, which produces either *CH\(_3\) (\(\Delta E = 0.61\) eV; \(E_a = 1.21\) eV) or *CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) (\(\Delta E = 0.33\) eV; \(E_a = 1.06\) eV). Once *CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) is formed, desorption (BE = -0.54 eV) is preferred over the C-H bond cleavage to *CH\(_2\)CH (\(\Delta E = 0.71\) eV, \(E_a = 1.21\) eV). The third C-H bond scission favors the formation of
*CH₃C (\(\Delta E = -0.34 \text{ eV}, E_a = 0.53 \text{ eV}\)), yet the further dehydrogenations successively to*CH₂C, *CHC and *CC are much more difficult (\(E_a > 1.4 \text{ eV}\), Table S2).

Alternatively, *O can assist the dehydrogenations of *CH₃CH₃, via the concerted step

\[\text{*CH₃CH₃} + *O \rightarrow \text{*CH₃CH₂} + *OH (\Delta E = 0.01 \text{ eV}, E_a = 1.39 \text{ eV})\]

and

\[\text{*CH₃CH₂} + *O \rightarrow \text{*CH₂CH₂} + *OH (\Delta E = -0.31 \text{ eV}; E_a = 1.26 \text{ eV})\].

The dissociated *O fragment in this case forms *H₂O via its two sequential hydrogenation reactions (*O + *H \rightarrow *OH + * and *O + *OH \rightarrow *H₂O + *). Thus, the presence of *O can help to sequester atomic *H. On the other hand, *O can also participate directly in the oxidation of the *C₂H₃ intermediates to facilitate the C-C bond scission, as discussed below. However, both O-assisted dehydrogenation steps are more difficult than the corresponding direct dehydrogenation discussed above. Therefore, the direct dehydrogenation of *CH₃CH₃ is likely the pathway to produce CH₂CH₂ on PtNi(111).

### 3.1.3 Oxidation of C₂Hₓ to C₂HₓO intermediates and syngas

The oxidation of C₂Hₓ for the production of C₂HₓO intermediates is essential to promote the C-C bond cleavage and therefore the production of syngas. Figures 2 and 3 summarize the reaction networks for the oxidative C-C bond cleavage of CH₃CH₃ with CO₂ as an oxidant to produce syngas. The initial step for the oxidative C-C bond cleavage, similar to the pathways for the oxidative C-H bond cleavage, is the formation of *CH₃CH₂ and *O intermediates. The reforming of CH₃CH₃ by CO₂ to syngas may occur via the C-C bond scission of *C₂Hₙ intermediates formed from *CH₃CH₃ dehydrogenation as demonstrated in Section 3.1.1.

The DFT results (Figure 2 and Table S4) show that the C-C bond scissions of *CH₃CH₂ (\(\Delta E = 1.01 \text{ eV}, E_a = 2.38 \text{ eV}\)), *CH₃CH (\(\Delta E = 0.35 \text{ eV}, E_a = 1.46\)), *CH₂CH₂ (\(\Delta E = 1.44 \text{ eV}, E_a = 2.18\)), *CH₃C (\(\Delta E = 1.31 \text{ eV}, E_a = 2.03\)), *CH₂CH (\(\Delta E = 0.79 \text{ eV}, E_a = 1.67\)), *CHCH (\(\Delta E = 0.39 \text{ eV}, E_a = 2.43\))...
0.38 eV, E_a = 1.74), *CH_2C (∆E = 1.27 eV, E_a = 2.71), *CHC (∆E = 0.06 eV, E_a = 1.35) and *CC intermediates (∆E = 0.07 eV, E_a = 1.32 eV) are rather difficult on PtNi(111) and can be hindered kinetically. Alternatively, in the presence of *O from *OH and/or *CO_2 dissociation, the oxidations of *C_2H_y to *C_2H_2O likely occur preferentially over the C-C bond scission, which may facilitate the formation of *CO via the C-H and/or C-C bond scissions. Indeed, the oxidations and formations of *CH_3CH_2O (∆E = -0.72 eV, E_a = 1.08 eV), *CH_3CHO (∆E = -1.58 eV, E_a = 1.20 eV), *CH_3CO (∆E = -1.57 eV, E_a = 1.16 eV), *CH_2CH_2O (∆E = -0.39 eV, E_a = 0.64 eV), *CH_2CHO (∆E = -1.42 eV, E_a = 1.16 eV), *CH_2CO (∆E = -1.82 eV, E_a = 0.99 eV), *CHCHO (∆E = -1.49 eV, E_a = 0.14 eV), *CHCO (∆E = -2.50 eV, E_a = 1.06 eV) and *CCO (∆E = -3.46 eV, E_a = 1.41 eV) intermediates are more favorable than the corresponding C-C bond scissions to produce *CH_y species (Table S5). According to the DFT calculations, there are two possible ways for the formation of syngas. One starts with the *CH_3CH_2O intermediate from *CH_3CH_2 oxidation, which is followed by the sequential dehydrogenations to *CCO (blue highlights, Figure 2). The *CCO intermediate enables the C-C bond cleavage and therefore the formations of *CO and *C (∆E = 0.10 eV, E_a = 0.81 eV), where *C is subsequently oxidized to *CO by the *O species (∆E = -2.94 eV, E_a = 1.15 eV). Along the other pathway (blue highlights, Figure 3 and Tables S2 and S4), the production of syngas starts with dehydrogenation of *CH_3CH_2 to *CH_3CH or *CH_2CH_2 as that shown in section 3.1.1. Both intermediates lead to the common *CH_2CO species via oxidation and dehydrogenation reactions. Eventually, *CO is formed either via C-H bond cleavage of *CH_2CO to *CCO and C-C bond cleavage of *CCO, or via C-C bond breaking of *CH_2CO, where the dissociated *CH_2 is then converted to CO via *CH (∆E = -0.04 eV, E_a = 0.55 eV), *CHO (∆E = -1.55 eV, E_a = 1.04 eV) and *CO (∆E = -0.55
eV, \( E_a = 0.50 \) eV) intermediates. The two paths are energetically comparable according to the DFT-calculated barriers, and may run in parallel for the production of CO.

### 3.1.4 Hydrogenation of CO to CH\(_3\)OH and CH\(_4\)

Previously it has been reported that Pt-based catalysts convert CO\(_2\) selectively to CO or CH\(_4\) upon reactions with H\(_2\) or CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\).\(^{12, 39, 40}\) Therefore, *CO here was considered as the possible source for CH\(_3\)OH production. Due to the strong Pt-CO interaction (BE = -1.27 eV, Table S1), the desorption of *CO to gas phase is hindered; instead, the reactions with *H from CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) decomposition to form *CHO (\( \Delta E = 0.55 \) eV, \( E_a = 0.95 \) eV), *CH\(_2\)O (\( \Delta E = 0.34 \) eV, \( E_a = 0.72 \) eV), *CH\(_3\)O (\( \Delta E = -0.08 \) eV, \( E_a = 0.06 \) eV) and eventually *CH\(_3\)OH (\( \Delta E = -0.98 \) eV, \( E_a = 0.25 \) eV) are more favorable (Figure 4a and Table S3). The hydrogenation of *C from *CCO dissociation produces CH\(_4\) via *CH (\( \Delta E = -0.75 \) eV, \( E_a = 0.63 \) eV), *CH\(_2\) (\( \Delta E = 0.04 \) eV, \( E_a = 0.59 \) eV), *CH\(_3\) (\( \Delta E = -0.67 \) eV, \( E_a = 0.44 \) eV) and *CH\(_4\) (\( \Delta E = -0.61 \) eV, \( E_a = 1.86 \) eV) intermediates (Figure 4b and Table S3); by comparison, the oxidation of *C to *CO (\( \Delta E = -2.94 \) eV, \( E_a = 1.15 \) eV) is less favorable.

Overall, according to the DFT-calculated activation barriers the most favorable pathway to produce CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) on PtNi(111) is via two successive but selective C-H bond cleavage of *CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) (highlighted in blue, Figure 1). The formation of syngas occurs via the formation of *CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\), *CH\(_3\)CH/*CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)/*CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)O, *CH\(_3\)C/*CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O/*CH\(_3\)CHO, *CH\(_3\)CO/*CH\(_2\)CHO and *CH\(_2\)CO intermediates (highlighted in blue, Figure 2 and 3). It is noted that *CH\(_2\)CO is a common reaction intermediate in all DFT-predicted pathways for the C-C bond cleavage of CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\), and a key precursor leading to the formation of *CO via the direct C-C bond scission.
During the reaction, PtNi(111) is able to provide selective binding motifs and energies to the reaction intermediates. All the C\textsubscript{x}H\textsubscript{y} species are bound to the surface via the unsaturated C atoms (Figure 5). This is also the case for some of the C\textsubscript{x}H\textsubscript{y}O species (Figure 6), including *CO, *HCO, *H\textsubscript{2}CO, *CCO, *CHCO, *CH\textsubscript{2}CO, *CH\textsubscript{3}CO, *CH\textsubscript{2}CHO, *CH\textsubscript{3}CHO and *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2}O. For the others, e.g. *H\textsubscript{2}CO, *CCH\textsubscript{2}O, *CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O and *CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}, the interaction is through C and O atoms on two adjacent surface sites. The *OH\textsubscript{x} species bind with PtNi(111) only via O at hollow, bridge or top sites (Figure 7). According to the DFT calculated binding energies (Table S1), PtNi(111) is able to provide strong binding to most of *C\textsubscript{x}H\textsubscript{y}, *C\textsubscript{x}H\textsubscript{y}O and *OH\textsubscript{x} species, while it is selectively inactive toward *CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}, *CH\textsubscript{2}O, *CH\textsubscript{2}CO, *CH\textsubscript{3}CHO and *H\textsubscript{2}O intermediates.

3.2 KMC results

Although the DFT calculations have addressed the energetics for CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} reforming by CO\textsubscript{2} on PtNi(111), the effect on the reaction kinetics remains elusive. What is the selectivity of PtNi(111) toward syngas and CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} under the reaction conditions? Which are the dominant pathways and reaction intermediates? What are the key kinetic parameters or descriptors that control the activity and selectivity? To answer these questions, KMC simulations followed by a sensitivity analysis were conducted. The KMC simulations were performed at typical experimental reaction conditions (see Section 2.2) by including 91 elementary reactions leading to the productions of CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} and syngas as well as CH\textsubscript{4} and CH\textsubscript{3}OH.

3.2.1 Selectivity

Figure 8a shows the formation rate of H\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} and CO obtained from the KMC simulations. On PtNi(111) the dominant pathway for CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} reforming by CO\textsubscript{2} is the
production of CO. The corresponding selectivity is ~54%, which is estimated according to rate[CO] / (rate[CO] + rate[C2H4] + rate[H2O] + rate[H2]). Here, rate[CO], rate[C2H4], rate[H2O] and rate[H2] are the steady state rate of CO, C2H4, H2O and H2 formation, respectively, in the KMC simulations. The major contribution to the production of CO is from CO2 via the direct dissociation and/or the RWGS reaction; in contrast the CO selectivity resulting from the C-C bond scission of *CH3CH3 is found to be lower (32 %). The KMC simulation results reveal that the rate for *CO2 dissociation to *CO is much higher than the rate for *HOCO formation and dissociation (Figure S3), though the preference should be opposite according to the barriers from DFT calculations in section 3.1. The formations of CH4 and CH3OH are not observed in the KMC simulations, indicating that the *CO intermediate formed during the reaction prefers to desorb rather than being hydrogenated to CH4 or CH3OH.

The observed selectivity can be well described according to the coverage of various surface species observed in the KMC simulations. The main surface species identified from the KMC results (Figure 8b) are *C, *O and *OH, where the coverage of *C and *OH are very low (< 10%) and that for *O is also less than 40%. That is, the surface is only partially oxidized under the reaction condition. This is consistent with our previous experimental results on PtNi/CeO2. 13 The presence of *O as a surface intermediate suggests that direct *CO2 dissociation to *CO + *O is plausible, rather than hydrogenation to *HOCO. Furthermore, the presence of *C as a surface intermediate suggests that the CO formation, among many possible pathways, occurs via the C-C bond scission predominantly from *CCO, *CHCO, *CH2CO*CHC, and *CC. The lack of *H species on the surface favors CO2 dissociation over hydrogenation, and therefore the partial oxidation on PtNi(111). At the high temperature in the KMC simulations, the *H species on the surface are not stable and likely desorb as H2 (Figure
8). As a result, the *CO₂ hydrogenation to *HOCO, which corresponds to a lower barriers than that of the *CO₂ dissociation according to DFT calculations, is not as favorable as CO₂ dissociation kinetically. Our results highlight that the kinetic modeling under reaction conditions is essential to describe such complex reaction networks appropriately. However, these surface species do not poison the catalyst but rather act as active intermediates or precursors for the steady formation of the products: H₂, H₂O, CH₂CH₂ and CO.

3.2.2 Dominant pathways

The rates obtained from the KMC simulations were used to determine the dominant reaction channels that lead to the various products under reaction conditions. According to rate of each elementary step (Figures S1-S5), the formation of *CH₂CH₂ primarily occurs via two successive direct C-H bond scission reactions of *CH₃CH₃ (Figures 9 and S1), consistent with the DFT hypothesis in section 3.1. A small amount of *CH₂CH₂ is observed via the *O assisted dehydrogenation of *CH₃CH₃ (Figure S1), where *O species act as *H acceptors to form *H₂O, similarly to the role of lattice oxygen in oxide-based catalysts.⁴¹-⁴³ *CH₂CH₂ is then desorbed as a product. The dehydrogenation of *CH₂CH₂ to *CH₂CH is not observed from the KMC simulations and only a small amount of *CH₂CH₂ reacts with *O to form *CH₂CH₂O (Figures 7a and S5), which eventually produces CO via the C-C bond scission. It is observed that ~95% CH₂CH₂ is desorbed as a product since *CH₂CH₂ is weakly bound on PtNi(111).

Different from the hypothesis merely according to the DFT-calculated barriers, the synthesis of syngas does not solely prefer the path via the oxidation of *C₂H₂ intermediates and the common *CH₂CO intermediate (Figures 2 and 3). The KMC results show that this is the case in term of the dominate path for the conversion to CO (Figure 9). The path starts with the
oxidation of \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2 \) to an oxygenated \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O} \) intermediate (Figure S5). The \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O} \) intermediate then undergoes three successive C-H bond scission steps to form \( \text{CH}_2\text{CO} \), which subsequently follows the path leading to the formation of \( \text{CO} \) via \( \text{CHCO} \). There are two rate-comparable paths for \( \text{CHCO} \) to react (Figures S2 and S4). One is the C-C bond scission to produce \( \text{CH} \) and \( \text{CO} \), where \( \text{CH} \) is then converted to \( \text{CO} \) via \( \text{CHO} \); the other involves the C-H bond scission to \( \text{CCO} \), which is followed by the C-C bond cleavage to form \( \text{C} \) and \( \text{CO} \) intermediates.

In addition, the KMC simulations also identify a new path, not predicted in the DFT calculations, contributed to CO production. Along the new path, the sequential C-H bond scission reactions of \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_3 \) lead to the formation of \( \text{CC} \) via the intermediates: \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3\text{CH}, \text{CH}_3\text{C}, \text{CH}_2\text{C} \) and \( \text{CHC} \) (Figure 9). The C-C bond cleavage of \( \text{CHC} \) and \( \text{CC} \) occurs to form \( \text{C} \), which reacts with \( \text{O} \) and forms \( \text{CO} \) (Figure S4). Alternatively \( \text{CH}_3\text{C} \) (Figure 9) can be oxidized to \( \text{CH}_3\text{CO} \) by \( \text{O} \). (Figure S5). Both steps are comparable in rate (Figures S1 and S5). \( \text{CH}_3\text{CO} \) undergoes two successive C-H bond scissions to form \( \text{CHCO} \) (Figure S4). The KMC results show that the combined rate for the direct and \( \text{O} \) assisted dehydrogenation of \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2 \) to \( \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2 \) (Figure S1) is \(~3\) times higher than that of \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O} \) formation from \( \text{O} \) insertion reaction of \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2 \) (Figure S5). However, the sequential steps leading to the formation of \( \text{CO} \) are more activated than those along the dominant pathway.

Overall, the results show that KMC simulations provide a more accurate and complete description of such complex reaction network than the DFT calculations alone, being able to identify not only the active source for \( \text{O} \) species different from that expected using DFT, but also the new routes for CO production in addition to the favorable pathways predicted from the
DFT calculations. The KMC analysis suggests that the most dominant pathway for the formation of CO occurs via $*\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2 \rightarrow *\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow *\text{CH}_3\text{CHO} \rightarrow *\text{CH}_3\text{CO} \rightarrow *\text{CH}_2\text{CO} \rightarrow *\text{CHCO} \rightarrow *\text{CH}+*\text{CO}/*\text{CCO} \rightarrow *\text{C} + *\text{CO}$ (Figure 9). The C-C bond scission is mainly promoted when $*\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ intermediates are formed, consistent with the mechanism suggested on NiO based catalysts.\(^{44}\) By comparison, the contribution from the direct C-C bond scission of $*\text{C}_2\text{H}_3$ species to the overall CO production is very small, only via the dissociation of $*\text{CHC}$ and $*\text{CC}$ intermediates (Figure S4). Therefore, the formation of the $*\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ intermediates ($*\text{C}_2\text{H}_3 + *\text{O} \rightarrow *\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) is essential for the selective C-C bond cleavage of CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) to produce syngas.

### 3.3 Key descriptors

Using the KMC model, the sensitivity analysis was performed to identify the selectivity-controlling steps and the corresponding descriptors for PtNi(111) for the reaction of CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\) with CO\(_2\). The sensitivity analysis was carried out by shifting the $E_a$ of each elementary step by a small amount from its original value and keeping the other parameters constant, which was successfully used to identify descriptors to control catalytic performance in previous studies.\(^{45,46}\) Our results show that the CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) selectivity on PtNi is more sensitive to the formation of $*\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$. As shown in Figure 10a, a slight promotion to the C-H bond scission of $*\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2$ can result in a significant increase in CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) production. The suppression of $*\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$ desorption from PtNi(111) lowers the CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) selectivity (Figure 10a), but enhancing the syngas selectivity (Figure 10b). When $*\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$ is strongly bound, desorption is hindered, while the oxidation to form $*\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}$ and syngas formation via the C-C bond cleavage are promoted during the activation of CH\(_3\)CH\(_3\). Following the same idea, the hindered formation $*\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$ from $*\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2$ also helps in syngas selectivity (Figure 10b), which tunes the reaction of $*\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2$ toward formation of $*\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O}$, a key intermediate for CO production as indicated above.
Besides, *O insertion reactions of *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2} to *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2}O and *CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} to *CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O are also important to the syngas production, and promoting the formations of *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2}O and *CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}O can facilitate the oxidative C-C bond cleavage of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} to produce syngas (Figure 10b).

According to the sensitivity analysis, the enhanced CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} selectivity primarily depends on a facilitated dehydrogenation of *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2}. To increase the selectivity toward syngas, the reaction between *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2} and *O to form the critical *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2}O intermediate is essential. Improving the syngas selectivity depends on bindings of *CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{2}/*CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} and *O intermediates, which should be strong enough to allow a reasonable amount of the species present on the surface, but weak enough to enable the oxidation reaction to occur. Our study suggests that *O binding energy is a potential descriptor that affects the key steps leading to different products during CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} reforming by CO\textsubscript{2}. The weakened *O binding on PtNi can hinder the CO production from *CO\textsubscript{2} dissociation, a significant contribution for CO selectivity according to the KMC simulations. Furthermore, it may result simultaneously in a hindered syngas production due to the decreased amount of *O species on the surface necessary for the oxidation of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{x} intermediates as well as an enhanced syngas production via the facilitated oxidative C-C bond cleavage of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3}, and vice versa for the strengthened *O binding. In addition, the complete oxidation and the deactivation of the PtNi catalyst may occur if the *O is over-stabilized. A small tuning in *O binding on the PtNi catalyst can affect several key steps involved in the CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} reforming by CO\textsubscript{2} and consequently the catalytic performance.

4. Conclusions

The DFT calculations were combined with the KMC simulations to shed light on the mechanisms of the oxidative C-H and C-C bond cleavage of CH\textsubscript{3}CH\textsubscript{3} to produce CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2} and
syngas, respectively on the bimetallic PtNi(111) model surface using CO$_2$ as an oxidant. Here, the KMC simulations were performed at typical experimental reaction conditions, including not only the elementary steps to produce CH$_2$CH$_2$, CO and H$_2$, but also those leading to the formation of CH$_4$ and CH$_3$OH. The results show that the production of CH$_2$CH$_2$ occurs via two successive but selective C-H bond scission reactions of *CH$_3$CH$_3$. To produce syngas from CH$_3$CH$_3$ activation, *CH$_3$CH$_3$ primarily undergoes the C-C bond scission of *C$_2$H$_y$O intermediates produced via the C-H bond scission and *O insertion reactions of *C$_2$H$_y$ species. In contrast, the contribution from the direct C-C bond scission of C$_2$H$_y$ species is very small. The direct dissociation of *CO$_2$ is also important, which is the primary source for CO and provides *O species to facilitate the formation of *C$_2$H$_y$O intermediates for syngas production.

Based on the DFT results on PtNi(111), the KMC simulations are able to well describe the high CO or syngas selectivity of PtNi nanoparticles supported on CeO$_2$ reported observed experimentally. During this process, the formation of *C$_2$H$_y$O intermediates is essential for the C-C bond cleavage and therefore syngas production, which can be further enhanced by facilitating the reaction between *C$_2$H$_y$ and *O via either increasing the amount of both species or lowering the barriers for *O insertion. By comparison the lower CH$_2$CH$_2$ selectivity can be increased primarily when the C-H bond scission of *CH$_3$CH$_2$ to produce *CH$_2$CH$_2$ is promoted.

Our study not only provides the mechanistic understandings of such complex reactions, but also enables the identification of key descriptors to potentially tune the catalytic selectivity, where the combination of DFT calculations and KMC simulations is essential to well describe the complex reaction kinetics.
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**Figure 1.** Reaction pathways for the oxidative CH$_3$CH$_3$ dehydrogenation to CH$_2$CH$_2$. Numbers adjacent to arrows represent $E_a$ (in eV) for the corresponding elementary reactions. The DFT predicted most favorable pathways for CH$_2$CH$_2$(g) formation are highlighted in blue.
Figure 2. Reaction pathways for the oxidative C-C bond cleavage of CH$_3$CH$_3$ to produce CO + H$_2$. Along the reaction pathways, *CH$_3$CH$_2$ produced due to the initial C-H bond scission of CH$_3$CH$_3$ leads to the formation of *C$_x$H$_y$ and *C$_x$H$_y$O species due C-H bond scission and *O insertion reactions. *C$_x$H$_y$ and *C$_x$H$_y$O species undergo C-H bond scission and *O insertion reactions to form a common *CCO intermediate which finally undergoes C-C bond scission reaction. For simplicity, 2CO(g) produced due to *CO$_2$ dissociation (3$^{rd}$ step in this reaction mechanisms) is omitted in rest of the reaction steps. Numbers adjacent to arrows represent $E_a$ (in eV) for the corresponding elementary reactions. The DFT predicted most favorable pathways for C-C bond scission of CH$_3$CH$_3$ to produce CO(g) are highlighted in blue.
Figure 3. Reaction pathways for the oxidative C-C bond cleavage of CH$_3$CH$_3$ to produce CO + H$_2$. Along the reaction pathways, CO formation via the direct the C-C bond scission of *C$_x$H$_y$ and *C$_x$H$_y$O species were included. For simplicity, the reaction was assumed to be started from *CH$_3$CH$_2$ + *H + 2*O + 2CO(g) (3rd step in reaction mechanism shown in Figure 2) and 2CO(g) was omitted in rest of the reaction steps. Numbers adjacent to arrows represent $E_a$ (in eV) for the corresponding elementary reactions. The DFT predicted most favorable pathways for C-C bond scission of CH$_3$CH$_3$ to produce CO(g) are highlighted in blue. The dashed arrows only show the final products without the intermediates steps since these pathways are replicas and do not add new routes for the formation of the products. For simplicity two elementary reactions for H$_2$ desorption are not shown explicitly here. As shown in Figure 2, H$_2$ desorption reaction always consists of two elementary reactions: *C$_x$H$_y$/*C$_x$H$_y$O + * → *C$_x$H$_{y-1}$/*C$_x$H$_{y-1}$O + *H and *H + *H → H$_2$(g) + 2*. 
Figure 4. Pathways for the formation of CH$_3$OH(g) and CH$_4$(g). Numbers adjacent to arrows represent $E_a$ (in eV) for the corresponding elementary reactions.
Figure 5. Side and top views of optimized geometries of (a) *C, (b) *CC, (c) *CH, (d) *CH₂, (e) *CH₃, (f) *CH₄, (g) *CCH, (h) *CHCH (i) *CH₂C (j) *CH₃C (k) *CH₃CH, (l) *CH₂CH₂ and (m) *CH₃CH₂ on the PtNi(111) surface. * = adsorbed species. Pt: grey, Ni: green, C: brown and H: blue.
Figure 6. Side and top views of optimized geometries of (a) *CO, (b) *CHO, (c) *CH$_2$O, (d) *CH$_3$O, (e) *CH$_3$OH, (f) *CCO, (g) *CHCO, (h) *HOCO, (i) *CHCHO, (j) *CCH$_2$O, (k) *CH$_2$CO, (l) *CH$_3$CO, (m) *CH$_2$CHO, (n) *CHCH$_2$O, (o) CH$_2$CH$_2$O, (p) *CH$_3$CHO and (q) *CH$_3$CH$_2$O on the PtNi(111) surface. * = adsorbed species. Pt: grey, Ni: green, O: red, C: brown and H: blue.
Figure 7. Side and top views of optimized geometries of (a) *O, (b) *OH and (c) *H₂O on the PtNi(111) surface. * = adsorbed species. Pt: grey, Ni: green, O: red and H: blue.
Figure 8. KMC results. (a) The rate of formation of products: H₂, H₂O, CH₂CH₂ and CO and (b) the coverage of surface species *C, *O and *OH.
Figure 9. KMC predicted pathways the oxidative C-H and C-C bond cleavage of CH₃CH₃ to produce CH₂CH₂ and CO + H₂. The preferred pathways for the production of CH₂CH₂(g) and syngas were shown in blue and black, respectively. For simplicity two elementary reactions for H₂ desorption are not shown explicitly here. As shown in Figure 2, H₂ desorption reaction always consists of two elementary reactions: *C₂Hₓ/[*C₂HₓO + * → *C₂Hₓ₋₁/*C₂Hₓ₋₁O + *H and *H + *H → H₂(g) + 2*. 
Figure 10. Sensitivity of CH₂CH₂ and CO production during CH₃CH₃ dry reforming by CO₂. (a) CH₂CH₂ production to variation of Eₐ of *CH₂CH₂ → CH₂CH₂ + * and *CH₃CH₂ + * → *CH₂CH₂ + *H, (b) CO production to variation of Eₐ of *CH₃CH₂ + *O → *CH₃CH₂O + *, *CH₂CH₂ → CH₂CH₂ + *, *CH₂CH₂ + *O → *CH₂CH₂O + * and *CH₃CH₂ + * → *CH₂CH₂ + *H. In this case only CO produced from C-C bond scission of CH₃CH₃ was included, which contributes to the syngas production.
Combined DFT and KMC study pinpoints the origin of high selectivity toward syngas during CO₂ reduction by CH₃CH₂ on a PtNi model catalyst.