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Proton and ammonia intercalation into layered iron chalcogenides†
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Structurally related to the iron-based superconductors, two new intercalated iron chalcogenides \((H_{0.5}NH_3)Fe_2Ch_2\) where \(Ch = S, Se\) have been prepared. By topochemical conversion, the protons were exchanged by lithium to form \((Li_{0.5}NH_3)Fe_2Ch_2\). Hydrogen bonding plays a significant role in the guest-host interactions of these intercalated phases.

Superconducting FeSe has rich intercalation chemistry owing to weak van der Waals interactions between sheets of edge-sharing FeSe₄ tetrahedra. Upon intercalation, its superconducting critical temperature \((T_c)\) can be increased from 8.5 K to (42-44) K.1–5 The species that readily intercalate into the FeSe sheets can be classified into two categories: I) simple cations such as K⁺ and Rb⁺, and II) partially charged Lewis acid-base adducts such as metal cations coordinated to amine or hydroxo groups.6,7 In the former case, ionic interactions predominate, whereas in the latter, the reduced charge of the adducts causes additional interactions between the FeSe host and its guest adduct. We demonstrate here that hydrogen bonding is a relevant guest-host interaction in iron chalcogenides and that intercalated phases can be prepared without the need for metal cations as the Lewis acids.

Previous work has shown that FeSe intercalates adducts including Li⁺ with bases such as OH⁻,8 ammonia,9 diamines \(H_2N(CH_2)_nNH_2 (n=2, 3, 6)\) and pyridine.10 A common denominator shared by these adducts is a strong hydrogen donating group such as -OH or -NH₂. Neutron diffraction studies (including on deuterium substituted specimens) have revealed that the -OH and -NH₂ groups are directed towards the FeSe layers. These structural studies have reported H(D)-···Se distances of 2.75 Å,11 2.60 Å12 and 3.05-3.10 Å13,14 for Li-ammonia, Na-ethylenediamine(EDA), and Li-OH adducts, respectively, and \((2.62-3.00)\) Å10 for EDA coordinated FeSe₂ chains. The effect of hydrogen bonding becomes more evident when looking beyond FeSe. Its structural analogues such as FeS, CoSe and FeTe0.6Se0.4, can all be similarly intercalated by adducts with strong hydrogen donating groups.17–21

If hydrogen bonding is indeed a major driving force for intercalation, then intercalated FeCh free of alkali metals should be possible. Up to now, most adducts were intercalated under highly-reducing conditions such as alkali or alkali earth metals dissolved in liquid ammonia (i.e. the Birch reduction), or mild hydrothermal conditions with concentrated alkali metal hydroxides.8 Therefore, finding an alkali-metal-free route would prove that new and metastable phases of layered chalcogenides can be obtained without the need for alkali metal co-intercalation.
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**Fig. 1** Crystal structure of \((H_{0.5}NH_3)Fe_2Ch_2\) (1) and its topochemical conversion to related phases FeCh (2) and \((Li_{0.5}NH_3)Fe_2Ch_2\) (3).
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First, we targeted ammonia-intercalated FeCh (1 in Fig. 1) without any alkali metals. Ammonia is small, polar, and capable of forming strong hydrogen bonds. Our previous hydrothermal synthesis included Fe metal powder with a sulfur source under strong basic conditions as an effective and versatile means to intercalate different alkali bases into FeS. To prepare our title compounds, we first utilized under hydrothermal conditions an organic metal-free base - guanidine, (NH\(_2\))\(_2\)C=NH to afford a strong basic conditions. Guanidine, analogous to urea, exhibits a basicity (pK\(_b\) = 13.6) comparable to KOH in aqueous solution. Therefore, it can effectively digest Fe metal powder under hydrothermal conditions. Furthermore, it rapidly decomposes to NH\(_3\) and CH\(_4\) above 150 °C. By keeping the hydrothermal conditions mild (T < 150°C), ammonia can be slowly released as FeS sheets crystallize in situ.

Our targeted synthesis afforded two new intercalated phases using either large amounts of excess guanidine or saturated ammonia water at 125 °C for 3-5 days. Both their powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns can be indexed to the same body-centered tetragonal space group (I4/mmm). The unit cell resembles that of the ThCr\(_2\)Si\(_2\)-type (or 122-type) structure seen for K\(_x\)Fe\(_2\)Ch\(_2\). Using the 122-type structural model, we successfully fitted both PXRD patterns with a phase of composition NFe\(_2\)Ch\(_2\) as shown in Fig. S1. Rietveld refinement led to occupancies near unity for the N, Fe and Ch sites. We could not resolve the positions of hydrogen atoms with PXRD, but it is obvious that hydrogens must be added to the formula NFe\(_2\)Ch\(_2\) since this compound does not contain free nitrogen or N\(^5\)\(^-\) species. Thus, the real formula is likely to be NH\(_3\)Fe\(_2\)Ch\(_2\) for 3 < x < 4.

To definitively determine the crystal structure and composition of NH\(_3\)Fe\(_2\)Ch\(_2\), a partially deuterated sulfide sample was prepared using 2:1 ratio of D\(_2\)O to 25% (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)S(aq) and studied by neutron powder diffraction (NPD). The deuterium helped reduce the incoherent scattering from hydrogen so that minor reflections would not be overwhelmed by background. Although all reflections of the NPD data were accounted for by the NFe\(_2\)Ch\(_2\) structural model from PXRD, we still observed a large discrepancy between the observed and calculated intensities (Fig. 2 top panel). Obviously, ammonia molecules rather than free nitrogen located at the cell corners or 2a site (0, 0, 0) were necessary to better model the neutron data.

Our first model for locating the hydrogen was to place H/D atoms within a 1 Å radius of the N atom, which would place them in both the 8i (x, 0, 0) and 16m (x, x, z) sites. We also assumed that the H and D atoms were disordered on these two sites. Notwithstanding a noticeable improvement in the structural refinement, this new addition to the crystallographic model was not adequate. Fourier difference maps revealed significant discrepancies in the nuclear densities located at the edge-center of the unit cell, which would correspond to the 2b site (0, 0, 1/2).

Our second model placed H/D atoms on the 2b site and allowed the respective occupancies to vary independently. Interestingly, addition of D at the 2b site improved the statistics significantly, while addition of any H at this site worsened the fit, particularly for the (002) reflection. It is important to recall that H has a coherent neutron scattering length of -3.7423(12) fm, while D has a scattering length of 6.674(6) fm. Thus, a high contrast exists between the two isotopes for their contribution to the structure factors. Unlike the 8i and 16m sites where both H and D atoms coexist, the 2b site is exclusively populated by D. Our final model therefore has D exclusively at the 2b site, and a mixture of H/D on the 8i and 16m sites.

The final Rietveld refinement to the overall NPD pattern is shown in Fig. 2 (bottom panel). The proposed chemical formula is (D\(_{0.48}\)\(_x\)NH\(_{1-x}\))\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.4}\)(\(NH_4\))\(_{0.2}\)Se\(_2\). All the structural parameters are gathered in Table S1. Although we did not carry out NPD studies of the selenide analogue, it is likely that both chalcogenides share similar structure and composition. Hence, the ammonia-intercalated FeCh can be described using a generic formula of (H\(_0.5\)\(_x\)NH\(_{1-x}\))\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.4}\)(\(NH_4\))\(_{0.2}\)Se\(_2\), where the proton is explicitly written separately to distinguish it from the amine hydrogen.

The structural solution for (H\(_0.5\)\(_x\)NH\(_{1-x}\))\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.4}\)(\(NH_4\))\(_{0.2}\)Se\(_2\) shares several similarities with the structure of Li\(_2\)Fe\(_2\)O\(_2\)Br\(_2\) by Clarke and co-workers. In both compounds the cations are found at the cell edges between the Se\(^2-\) anions of the FeSe sheets. There are some interesting differences, however. In our compound, the 2b site is now populated by a proton instead of Li\(^+\), and the the 4c site (1/2, 0, 0) is now empty rather than occupied by Li\(^+\). This suggests that even without alkali metals, ammonia and excess protons alone provide sufficient driving force for intercalation through hydrogen bonding and some Coulombic attraction between the H\(^+\) and (FeCh\(_x\))\(^{0.25-}\) layers.

Despite the location of the cations, the nature of the intercalated amine groups are different between our title compounds and the superconductor prepared by Burrard-Lucas et al. The formula of their phase is Li\(_{10.5}\)(ND\(_2\))\(_{0.2}\)(ND\(_3\))\(_{0.5}\)Fe\(_{2}\)Se\(_2\), which suggests that approximately 20% of the amine group is actually an amide. The extra Li\(^+\) is therefore charge compensated by anionic (ND\(_2\))\(^-\) groups for a total charge transfer of 0.2\(^-\) per FeSe unit. Our refinement indicated exclusively neutral ammo-

![Fig. 2 Neutron powder diffraction (NPD) pattern of ammonia-intercalated FeS collected at 5 K showing Rietveld refinement without (top) and with (bottom) considering the hydrogen or deuterium positions.](image-url)
nia molecules at the body-center of the unit cell as a total of 3.04(8) H/D atoms are located within a 1 Å radius of each N atom. Compared to dissolved alkali metals in liquid ammonia, our hydrothermal conditions did not provide a strong enough reducing environment to stabilize (ND₃)⁻ groups. Lack of an amide group may provide better stability for ammonia-intercalated FeCh, which remained unchanged when exposed to air for days.

To verify the composition derived from diffraction studies, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out. For NH₃.5Fe₂Ch₂, the combined contribution of nitrogen and hydrogen would be 9.04 wt.% and 6.09 wt.% for the sulfide and selenide, respectively. These theoretical numbers are in good agreement with the results from TGA (Fig. S2) where 9 wt.% and 6 wt.% losses were observed for the sulfide and selenide, respectively.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) provides a qualitative measure of the hydrogen bonding strength in these samples. For the sulfide sample, the abrupt weight loss near 300 °C was accompanied with a sharp endothermic transition in the DSC (Fig. S2a). Therefore the thermal stability of (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂S₂ exceeds by more than 100 °C that of tetragonal FeS before intercalation. FeS starts to decompose above 100 °C and completely converts to other sulfides near 200 °C.⁶ The selenide is less stable as a gradual weight loss occurred between (150-300) °C (~5%) without any sharp transition in the DSC curve (Fig. S2b). The initial decomposition temperature of (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂Se₂ is fairly consistent with the Li-ammine-intercalated FeSe, which decomposes around 100 °C. However, the thermal stability of the two selenide phases diverges at higher temperature as the decomposition of the Li₀.₆(ND₃)₀.₃(NH₃)₀.₃Fe₂Se₂ is complete by 150 °C.⁶

The DSC results suggest that hydrogen bonding, especially between H⁺ and Se³⁻ at the cell edges (2.491 Å), enhanced the overall stability of the structure. Such bonding seems to also be more effective for the smaller and more electronegative sulfur, where the S–H distance was 2.435 Å. Hence, the enhanced thermal stability of NH₃Fe₂Ch₂ can be attributed to stronger hydrogen bonds compared to other intercalated FeCh (2.6-3.1 Å) phases. Interestingly, the bond lengths in (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂S₂ are comparable to Li-NH₃-intercalated TiS₂ with H(D)–S between 2.49-2.66 Å, which decomposes above 200 °C.

Despite structural and chemical similarities to the Li-ammine intercalated FeSe superconductor, neither (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂S₂ nor (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂Se₂ display superconductivity. The lack of superconductivity may be a result of iron vacancy (about 3(1)% from our NPD data) which is detrimental for the superconductivity of Fe chalcogenides.¹²⁻¹⁴ For the sulfide, Curie-like paramagnetism was observed by magnetic susceptibility measurements (Fig. 3). A Curie-Weiss fit gave a Curie constant C = 0.685 cm³ K/mol and Weiss temperature Θ = -13.6 K. The magnetic moment (µ_eff) calculated based on the Curie constant is 2.33 µ₀, suggesting a spin state intermediate between S = 1/2 and S = 1 for Fe²⁺. The effective moment is much smaller than high-spin (HS) Fe³⁺ with S = 2(4.9 µ₀) or Fe⁵⁺ with S = 5/2 A (5.9 µ₀). In contrast, µ_eff of Fe in non-superconducting Li₁₋ₓFe(OH)FeS was found to be 4.98 µ₀,⁰¹ which is in very good agreement with HS-Fe⁵⁺. The smaller µ_eff of (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂S₂ could be the result of mostly vacancy-free FeS layers and electron doping (~0.25e⁻/Fe) by the protons.

Previously, we demonstrated that (Li₁₋ₓFeₓOH)FeS could be tuned into a superconductor with optimal electron doping of 0.13 e⁻/Fe.²⁷ It is possible that over-doping of (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂S₂ suppressed superconductivity by leading to vacancies on the iron position. This occurs because Fe is over-reduced by the high amount of electron doping, and the formation of vacancies help re-oxidize iron up to Fe(II) as occurs in the vacancy-ordered K₂Fe₃Se₅ phase.²⁷ It is also likely that over-doping enhanced the covalent characters of Fe–S bonding, leading to a lower spin state for Fe²⁺. The selenide analogue also displays paramagnetism (Fig. 3), but its molar susceptibility is an order of magnitude smaller than that of the sulfide. Due to some ferromagnetic impurity not detectable by PXRD, we did not carry out a Curie-Weiss analysis of (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂Se₂. Interestingly, a cusp in the magnetic susceptibility near 162 K (Fig. 3) suggests that long-range antiferromagnetic ordering may be present in the selenide. Further neutron diffraction studies would be interesting to know whether this over-doping regime (optimal for superconductivity is near 0.15-0.2e⁻/Fe) leads to an antiferromagnetic parent phase as found for the iron arsenide superconductors.

Electronic band structure calculations could enlighten our understanding of the magnetic properties and predict the electrical transport properties. It seems that the doping of electrons in (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂Ch₂ leads to enhanced Fermi-surface nesting suggested by the two-dimensional electronic density of states (DOS) (Fig. S4). The overall DOS and band structure are similar to those of FeCh, but larger electron pockets at the M-points were found for (H₀.₆NH₃)Fe₂Se₂. This also means that both compounds should be metallic in their electrical transport.

To further probe any interesting electronic behavior and possible structural distortion induced by intercalates, we performed electron diffraction (ED) using a transmission electron microscope (TEM). ED patterns of both compounds show clear square-lattice with no indication of structural distortion that would break the 4-fold symmetry. However, forbidden reflections were observed at h + k = 2n + 1 for the sulfide, which may be attributed to defects such as strain or stacking faults, common in layered materials.²⁸ For both samples, superlattice reflections with a vector k =
Se in ethylene glycol at 200°C was used to afford superconducting FeSe. Interestingly, the de-intercalated FeSe is partially disordered due to the presence of alkali hydroxide intercalations while FeS always contained some alkali hydroxide intercalation.

The allowed, forbidden and superlattice reflections are indicated by yellow, red and blue, respectively. (1/2, 1/2, 0) were also observed. A possible explanation for these extra reflections is a charge density wave (CDW) due to enhanced Fermi-surface nesting. Such CDWs have been observed in other phases proximate to superconductivity such as layered dichalcogenides. Although it is unclear whether the true nature of the superlattice reflections is a CDW or other electronic instability, the likely cause is overdoping of electrons as superlattice reflections were not observed for the FeCh phases.

Finally, we explored the de-intercalation and cation exchange properties of FeSe. The allowed, forbidden and superlattice reflections are indicated by yellow, red and blue, respectively.

We can then exchange the H+ in (H5N3H4)Fe2C2H4 by Li+ by carrying out the reaction by topochemical conversion in liquid ammonia (1 to 3 in Fig. 1). This would be the first preparation of (Li0.5NH3)Fe2S2 (Fig. S6) and it displays magnetic susceptibility with a broad peak centered at 32 K (Fig. S7). This lack of a clear cusp in the susceptibility could be indicative of short-range antiferromagnetism. By instead using LiOH for the conversion, the H+ and NH3 species are completely replaced by LiOH (Fig. S8). These topochemical conversion reactions proved that (H0.5NH1.5)Fe2C2H4 is a versatile precursor for a variety of chemical manipulations. New emergent properties such as superconductivity and magnetic ordering may be induced by finer tuning of the electron doping into the FeCh layers.
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