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Block Copolymer Derived 3-D Interpenetrating Multifunctional Gyroidal Nanohybrid for Electrical Energy Storage

J. G. Werner, G. G. Rodríguez-Calero, H. D. Abruña and U. Wiesner*

Electrical energy storage systems such as batteries would benefit enormously from integrating all device components in three-dimensional (3-D) architectures on the nanoscale to improve their power capability without negatively impacting device-scale energy density. However, the lack of large scale synthesis methods of 3-D architectures with precise spatial control of multiple, functional energy materials at the nanoscale remains a key issue holding back the development of such intricate device designs. To achieve fully integrated, multi-material nano-3-D architectures, next-generation nanofabrication requires departure from the traditional top-down patterning methods. Here we present an approach to such systems based on the bottom-up synthesis of co-continuous nanohybrids with all necessary functional battery components rationally integrated in a triblock terpolymer derived core-shell double gyroid architecture. In our design three-dimensionally periodically ordered, functional anode and cathode nanonetworks are separated by an ultrathin electrolyte phase within a single 3-D nanostructure. All materials are less than 20 nm in their layer dimensions, co-continuous and interpenetrating in 3-D, and extended throughout a macroscopic monolith. Electrochemical analysis of our solid-state nano-3-D Li-ion/sulfur system demonstrated battery-like characteristics with stable open circuit voltage, reversible discharge voltage and capacity, and orders of magnitude decreases in footprint area over two-dimensional thin layer designs.

Broader context: Advances in energy storage will have a growing impact on society with the expansion of portable electronics, and for the future of electric mobility, renewable and intermittent energy sources. Apart from increasing the amount of stored energy, the speed with which energy can be released or stored is a crucial parameter. This so-called power density of batteries is significantly increased when using nanostructured electrodes that provide fast ion transport and reaction kinetics. Such architectures, however, still typically have anode and cathode separate over macroscopic length scales. Therefore, merely nanosizing electrodes increases their porosity and causes a decrease in the amount of energy that a device can store. In contrast, in this work, we fold nanoscopic cathode and electrolyte/separat layers into the nanopores of a monolithic and three-dimensionally continuous anode nanonetwork, removing their macroscopic separation. This enables the most efficient utilization of space, not wasting device volume or mass to excess electrolyte. While this approach has been conceptualized over 15 years ago, translation into a successfully operating device with all dimensions below 50 nm has remained elusive due to the incredible complexity of the necessary multifunctional nanostructure and strict materials’ requirements. This work demonstrates that successful and reversible battery characteristics can be obtained with such nanoscale structures, with orders of magnitude smaller dimensions than used in common composite or even thin-film batteries.
Miniaturization of device components in electronics has brought around the technological revolution of the past decades. The tremendous research and development in top-down micro- and nanofabrication enabled the constant decrease of feature sizes, increasing the density of transistors and with that the capability of modern electronic devices. This technological advancement was made possible by materials innovation in high-resolution lithographic patterning and deposition techniques. Standard nanofabrication processes use two-dimensional (2-D) top-down patterning to transfer designs into multiple functional materials that are deposited layer by layer. The next step in multi-material device design is the utilization of three-dimensionally (3-D) continuous architectures with nanometric features instead of planar 2-D stacks. Use of the third dimension in device architectures is particularly crucial if high interfacial areas or high material loading per footprint area of the device are beneficial. While developments in top-down photolithographic techniques and materials have enabled large-scale access to ever decreasing feature sizes in 2-D architectures, the fabrication of nanosized 3-D features suffers from slow and expensive processes. Some top-down technologies enable precise structural control in three dimensions on the nanoscale, such as two-photon lithography, but are mostly inapplicable to large scale manufacturing. Bottom-up self-assembly is considered a viable and cost-effective path to overcome many of the existing limitations in 3-D nanofabrication. The nature of self-assembly enables the production of nanostructures at large scales without time-consuming and scale-limiting steps of active nanomanipulation.

Electrical energy storage (EES) devices such as batteries would benefit tremendously from 3-D integrated architectures. While physical materials’ properties such as (semi)conductivity define performance in electronics, EES devices such as batteries are characterized by the amount of energy that is stored and its accessibility. This poses additional challenges associated with chemical reactions and ionic materials transport integral to device function. In batteries, energy is stored through chemical redox reactions of ions in separate anode and cathode materials, between which the ions shuttle during charge and discharge. The amount of energy stored is proportional to the amount of active anode and cathode material, as well as their storage capacity per mass or volume. The achievable power of a battery depends on how fast this energy is accessible, which in most commonly employed EES systems is limited by the diffusion and reaction of ions in the solid electrode materials. Batteries with nanostructured architectures promise improved power output, as close proximity of the two electrodes is beneficial for fast ion diffusion, while high material loading simultaneously enables high energy density. However, in the past improvement of one often has negative impact on the other. Since ionic diffusion is orders of magnitude faster in liquids than in solids, most lithium ion batteries employ a liquid electrolyte infused porous membrane for ionic transport between composite electrodes (Scheme 1a). A widely employed strategy over the past two decades to increase power capabilities of batteries with faster charge and discharge is based on decreasing active materials’ feature sizes and increasing their porosity, in order to decrease solid state diffusion distances. While this tremendously advances power capabilities, it simultaneously decreases the amount of active material per volume and area, hence the overall energy density of the device. Thin film batteries overcome this issue by using micrometer thin stacks of anode-electrolyte-cathode layers, commonly employing solid electrolytes (Scheme 1b). The thinner the films the shorter are the ion diffusion distances yielding higher power densities, but at the same time decreasing the amount of material and energy stored. By employing the third dimension, short distances of all components can be maintained for fast ion transport while increasing the amount of charge-storing material per footprint area. This has been achieved by using interdigitated designs of 1- and 2-D electrodes, but mostly with micron-scale feature sizes (Scheme 1c). Such interdigitated architectures also lack structural stability, especially when nanoscale dimensions are approached. Continuous networks of anode, electrolyte, and cathode folded in 3-D would improve structural integrity while providing all the beneficial properties of short ion-diffusion distances and 3-D connectivity for electron transport (Scheme 1d). In such 3-D EES architectures, energy and...
power densities on the device scale are decoupled from each other, depending independently on the materials’ storage capacity and separation length-scale, respectively.\textsuperscript{12,13} While the concept of 3-D nano-networked architectures for EES systems offers tremendous advantages over conventional designs,\textsuperscript{12} only very few reports of such systems with nanoscale dimensions exist and a working device remains elusive.\textsuperscript{28,29} The challenges to fabricate the functional materials necessary for a battery in a 3-D continuous nano-architecture are immense. Strict separation of anode from cathode networks throughout the entire nanostructure is required to avoid short circuits which would render the entire device inoperable. The electrode phases have to be redox active and electronically conductive at the same time, while the electrolyte has to provide ionic conductivity while inhibiting electronic contact between the interpenetrating anode and cathode. Incorporating such functional materials and components into a 3-D continuous nano-architecture imposes tremendous processing challenges, and even highly precise deposition techniques such as atomic layer deposition (ALD) to date are inapplicable.\textsuperscript{30}

Here we describe a bottom-up fabrication method that enables the spatially precise synthesis of all necessary functional battery materials folded into a 3-D periodically ordered core-shell double gyroidal morphology with phase dimensions of below 20 nm, and report their first successful proof-of-concept application as an EES system. The core-shell double gyroid is a three-dimensional network morphology that exhibits all the structural requirements discussed above (Scheme 1d),\textsuperscript{31} and can be found in a number of self-assembling systems such as block copolymers, lipids, microemulsions, and occurs in nature as the basis of structural color in butterfly wings.\textsuperscript{32–35} It is described by Schoen’s G minimal surface that separates space into two interpenetrating 3-D continuous volumes with opposite chirality.\textsuperscript{36} In triblock terpolymer-derived core-shell double gyroids (G\textsuperscript{D}), this surface splits laterally, defining a third continuous matrix volume (gray in Scheme 1d) that separates two core-shell networks (red core and blue shell domains in Scheme 1d) with strut dimensions of order tens of nanometers.\textsuperscript{37} The matrix phase is completely separated from the two core network phases by two shell phases, resembling the ideal case of a 3-D anode-electrolyte-cathode architecture and providing a plausible path towards nano-3-D batteries (Fig. 1a). Despite inefficiencies in their performance expected at this early point, the measured capacities of the gyroidal 3-D (ALD) to date are inapplicable.\textsuperscript{30}
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Results and discussion

Gyroidal mesoporous carbon (GMC) monoliths as simultaneous anode active material and current collector.

Our nano-3-D battery concept employs the core-shell double gyroid morphology to fold anode, electrolyte, and cathode into a 3-D continuous nanostructure. Homogeneity, mechanical properties, and transport characteristics make the gyroidal morphology a promising candidate for the synthesis and exploration of fully nano-integrated 3-D EES devices. While certain triblock terpolymers spontaneously self-assemble into the core-shell double gyroid morphology, polymers with blocks exhibiting anode, electrolyte, and cathode properties are unheard of. We therefore employed a gyroidal mesoporous anode framework and synthesized the remaining functional battery components confined within its porous nanonetworks in a step-wise bottom-up approach (Fig. 1a). The facile casting procedure to fabricate the core-shell double gyroid hybrids is inherently compatible with standard polymer processing and enables the formation of macroscopic monoliths of fairly arbitrary size and shape (Fig. 1b). The free-standing, monolithic GMCs used here had a homogeneous thickness chosen to be approximately 70 microns and accessible mesoporosity from all surfaces (Fig. 1c,d). The geometry led to an average carbon area density of 5 mg cm$^{-2}$, although the thickness is tunable to obtain a desired areal carbon loading. The homogeneous morphology of the gyroid networks ensured good accessibility for the subsequent nanoconfined synthesis steps, a key requirement for the step-wise nanoconfined synthesis of all EES components.

Gyroidal mesoporous carbon (GMC) monoliths recently developed matched these properties well and were chosen as the anode framework. GMC materials were synthesized through self-assembly of a large molar mass triblock terpolymer (130 kDa, Table S1†), poly(isoprene)-block-poly(styrene)-block-poly(ethylene oxide) (ISO), in the presence of oligomeric phenol-formaldehyde resols as carbon precursor yielding a polymer-organic hybrid with the core-shell double gyroid morphology. Pyrolysis of the hybrids led to degradation of the block copolymer and carbonization of the resols, resulting in electrically conductive, ordered 3-D gyroidal carbon networks with large, homogeneous mesopores of 40 nm diameter, porosity of 63 vol%, and carbon wall thickness of 15 nm (Figs. 1b-d, Fig. S1†, Table S2†). Comprehensive synthesis and characterization details of these carbons are described elsewhere. The facile casting procedure to fabricate the core-shell double gyroid hybrids is inherently compatible with standard polymer processing and enables the formation of macroscopic monoliths of fairly arbitrary size and shape (Fig. 1b). The free-standing, monolithic GMCs used here had a homogeneous thickness chosen to be approximately 70 microns and accessible mesoporosity from all surfaces (Fig. 1c,d). The geometry led to an average carbon area density of 5 mg cm$^{-2}$, although the thickness is tunable to obtain a desired areal carbon loading. The homogeneous morphology of the gyroid networks ensured good accessibility for the subsequent nanoconfined synthesis steps, a key requirement for the step-wise nanoconfined synthesis of all EES components. Inhomogeneous porosity as found in aerogels or inverse opal...
structures exhibit bottle necks that can proof detrimental for nanoconfined depositions. The gyroidal mesoporous carbon material was evaluated as an anode material in a standard coin cell test, which confirmed the carbon-lithium redox activity with a reversible capacity of 220 mAh g\(^{-1}\) (Fig. S2†). Free-standing G\(^{3}\)MC monoliths were wire-contacted (Fig. 1b), rendering the entire gyroidal carbon network electrochemically accessible for the subsequent surface-confined polymer electrolyte synthesis, and serving as the anode contact of the final nano-3-D EES device.

Conformal ultrathin solid electrolyte/seperator coating.

The electrolyte has to ensure electronic insulation, but allow ionic transport, between cathode and anode networks. Any pinholes that cause contacting of the two interpenetrating electrodes within the 3-D nanostructure would result in a short circuit and render the architecture inoperable as an energy storage device. Additionally, the electrolyte layer must not block the gyroidal mesopores to enable the subsequent deposition of the cathode phase. Coating of macroscopic 3-D nanoporous materials with conformal nanometric layers poses significant challenges to most commonly employed deposition techniques, including ALD.\(^{30}\) Electropolymerization of insulating polymers enables self-regulating conformal growth until the entire electrochemically accessible surface is homogeneously covered, due to the propensity of deposition on previously uncoated areas (the current density on uncoated areas is much larger than on coated ones).\(^{41}\) Here, the G\(^{3}\)MC anode monoliths were conformally coated with an ultra-thin solid polymer electrolyte layer, poly(phenylene oxide) (PPO), of less than 10 nm in thickness using self-limiting electropolymerization of phenol (Fig. 2). PPO had previously been shown on 2-D substrates to have the capability for forming pinhole-free, ultrathin ion-conducting yet electronically insulating layers, with selective molecular permeability tunable through the synthesis conditions.\(^{32}\) In order to afford homogenous PPO thin films on the continuous gyroidal carbon surfaces, we used pulsed potential-static electropolymerization at +0.6 V vs. Ag/Ag\(^{+}\). During deposition the oxidation current decayed substantially with increasing number of pulses, while the double layer current remained with attenuation (Fig. 2a). Subsequent cyclic voltammograms of PPO coated G\(^{3}\)MCs in phenol solution showed no oxidation peak, demonstrating the electrochemical insulation of the entire accessible gyroidal carbon surfaces (Fig. 2b). The resulting bifunctional monoliths (anode + solid electrolyte) were extensively characterized with scanning electron microscopy (SEM), confirming deposition of thin films throughout the G\(^{3}\)MC monoliths (Figs. 2e,f, Fig. S3d†). The PPO film thickness was around 8-10 nm, estimated from the wall-to-wall distance (SEM) before and after electropolymerization, with remaining 3-D continuous mesopores of 16-18 nm in size.

The insulating, ultra-thin PPO polymer electrolyte layer was further evaluated using two-probe resistance and lithiation measurements. An increase in resistance of more than 5 orders of magnitude, following PPO deposition, was measured via liquid metal surface contacts (Fig. 2c). Reversible lithiation/delithiation of PPO coated G\(^{3}\)MCs was achieved in two-electrode liquid cells against lithium metal, demonstrating the known permeability of the PPO layer to lithium ions and the retained carbon redox activity in our gyroidal core-shell nanoarchitecture (Fig. 2d, Fig. S2†). The observed reversible charge capacity of the PPO-coated monolithic gyroidal carbon anode was 1 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) or 200 mAh g\(^{-1}\). This was in good agreement with the reversible capacity obtained from the G\(^{3}\)MC material without PPO coating in a standard coin cell test (Fig. S2a,b†). The outer monolith surfaces remained porous after PPO polymerization, maintaining accessibility of the inner mesoporosity (Fig. 2f), critical for subsequent nanoconfined cathode syntheses steps.

Bifunctional cathode composite infiltration.

The remaining 3-D continuous porosity in the anode-electrolyte core-shell gyroidal monoliths was utilized for the nanoconfined synthesis of the cathode phase. Similar to the anode phase, electrical conductivity and lithium redox activity are a prerequisite for this second electrode nano-network. Additionally, the synthesis pathway has to be compatible with the already present carbon anode and polymer electrolyte. PPO-coated G\(^{3}\)MC monoliths were infiltrated with a
bifunctional sulfur-polymer composite, completing the 3-D interpenetrating EES nanostructure (Fig. 1a). Sulfur was chosen as the redox-active functional material. It exhibits a discharge voltage of 2-2.5 V versus lithium and is efficiently infiltrated into pores down to the microporous regime (<2 nm) using liquid/vapor infiltration at moderate temperatures (155 °C). This made sulfur a preferred cathode material for our solid-state interpenetrating 3-D gyroidal hybrids over commonly employed oxides such as lithium cobalt oxide (LCO). Synthesis conditions of LCO necessitated high temperatures to obtain the required crystal structure. The presence of thin polymer electrolyte (PPO) layers excluded these or other aggressive conditions. Additionally, many lithium metal oxides exhibit high lithiation potentials (>3.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺), exceeding the limit of our polymer electrolyte. The electronically conducting polymer poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) was included in the cathode network as an integrated nanostructured current collector, since sulfur is electronically insulating. PEDOT is commonly applied using aqueous polymer dispersions, which are inefficient for nanopore infiltration. Therefore, we utilized an in-situ infiltration-polymerization method. To this end, the monomer, EDOT, and the oxidizing reagent, iron(III) para-toluenesulfonate, were infiltrated as small molecular entities enabling nanoconfined PEDOT synthesis within the remaining PPO-coated and sulfur infiltrated mesopore network of the G₃DMP monoliths by step-wise and bottom-up synthesis (Fig. S4a†), indicating minimal levels of external sulfur. PEDOT overlayers of a few microns on either surface of the monoliths could be readily distinguished after PEDOT infiltration (Fig. 3c). However, the outer monolith surfaces still exhibited gyroidal features after only sulfur infiltration (Fig. S4a†), indicating minimal levels of external sulfur. PEDOT overlayers were used for subsequent electrical contacting of the cathode composite phase (Fig 4a).

At this point, the step-wise and bottom-up synthesized gyroidal nanohybrids contained all the necessary EES components fully integrated on the nanoscale in 3-D, but both electrodes were present unlithiated and in their oxidized state. To introduce lithium, the sulfur-PEDOT phase was electrochemically reduced using lithium metal as the counter electrode in a two-electrode configuration (Fig. 4b). The discharge curve exhibited two plateaus around 2.4 V and 1.4 V vs. Li/Li⁺, respectively (Fig. 4b). The first plateau at 2.4 V with a capacity of approximately 1 mAh cm⁻² matched well with the sulfur reduction potential and capacity estimated above to form long-chain polysulfides, while the second plateau at 1.4 V was over 500 mV below the commonly observed one. The large overpotential of the second plateau was anticipated, however, as PEDOT becomes insulating at these low potentials, adding ohmic resistance. The shuttling of polysulfide species is a common problem in lithium-sulfur batteries due to their high solubility in organic electrolytes suggesting possible leakage of polysulfides during this external
reduction of the gyroidal sulfur phase to lithium sulfide. Since polysulfides show strong absorption in the visible regime, but no such discoloration was observed in the electrolyte solutions during this step, we can safely exclude that excessive leakage took place.\textsuperscript{15}

![Graphs of electrochemical characterization of the solid-state 3-D gyroidal nanohybrids.](image)

**Fig. 5** Electrochemical characterization of the solid-state 3-D gyroidal nanohybrids. (a-c) Discharge curves of a gyroidal nanohybrid EES at a current of 0.125 mA cm\(^{-2}\): (a) First two cycles with the lower cut-off potential at 1 V. (b) Selected subsequent cycles with the lower cut-off potential at 1.5 V. (c) Overlay of selected cycles at 0.125 mA cm\(^{-2}\). (d) Discharge curves of selected cycles of a gyroidal nanohybrid EES at a current of 0.25 mA cm\(^{-2}\) with the lower cut-off potential at 1.5 V.

**Electrochemical properties.**

Our bottom-up synthesis concept of a fully 3-D nano-integrated EES system yielded a gyroidal nanohybrid consisting of a carbon anode network conformally coated with an electronically insulating and ionically conducting polymer solid state electrolyte (PPO) that in turn is infiltrated with a bifunctional cathode composite of lithium sulfide and PEDOT (Fig. 1a). Cathode and anode phases were individually contacted during the process, making them accessible for electrochemical characterization. Gyroidal nanohybrid monoliths exhibited stable open-circuit voltage at around 2.8 V (Fig. 4c) after being charged to 3.5 V. The high charging potential and lower open-circuit voltage after charging was assumed to originate from large polarization and leakage currents through the electrolyte layer at potentials above 3 V. Well-defined discharge plateaus were observed upon galvanostatic cycling the 3-D nano-interpenetrating anode and cathode phases as an EES (Fig. 5, Fig. S5f). When discharged to 1 V at a current density of 0.125 mA cm\(^{-2}\), the discharge curves exhibited a reversible plateau around 2.7 Volts with a capacity of up to 0.18 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) and further irreversible capacity at lower potentials with a maximum capacity of 0.9 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 5a). The reversibility of the first discharge plateau was confirmed by stable cycling up to ten times with a lower voltage cut-off at 1.5 V (Figs. 5b,c) with reversible capacities of around 0.2 mAh cm\(^{-2}\). Cycling of the solid-state gyroidal nanohybrid EES at twice the rate (0.25 mAh cm\(^{-2}\)) for another 10 cycles, also yielded the reversible discharge plateau above 2.5 V with the same discharge capacities of around 0.2 mA cm\(^{-2}\), and irreversible discharge below 2.1 V (Fig. 5d). The irreversibility at lower potentials was assumed to originate from the conductor-insulator transition of PEDOT. The measured reversible capacity correlates to approximately 16 mAh g\(^{-1}\) on the device scale, taking into account the mass of all 3-D integrated components (anode, electrolyte, cathode and integrated current collector), 45 times higher than the only previously reported solid-state 3-D prototype with nanoscale dimensions.\textsuperscript{28} Other microbatteries using 3-D architectures with higher capacities have been reported, however, using designs with micronscale electrode separation and liquid electrolytes.\textsuperscript{24,26}

The sulfur loading in the present architecture would allow for capacity matching of the carbon anode with the first discharge plateau of sulfur that lies within the conductivity window of PEDOT with an overall theoretical capacity of 1 mAh cm\(^{-2}\). This capacity matching and utilization of only the first sulfur discharge plateau has the advantage of minimal volume expansion of the cathode as compared to full lithiation of sulfur. The achieved reversible capacity displayed by our gyroidal nanohybrid EES is approximately 20% of its theoretical capacity, but it clearly arises from accessing material throughout the 3-D monoliths, since there is no appreciable amount of sulfur on the top or bottom surfaces of the monoliths (vide supra). Similarly, based on the mass of sulfur the maximum specific capacity obtained is 375 mAh g\(^{-1}\), 22% of its theoretical capacity. These results suggest the formation of electronically disconnected parts of the nano-integrated cathode phase from the external current collector after, or the dissolution of polysulfide species during, external lithiation. The volume change of sulfur during full lithiation and delithiation, especially during the initial external lithiation, potentially caused the conductive PEDOT network to lose connectivity to parts of the gyroidal cathode network. Despite its inefficiencies though, our results clearly establish proof-of-concept and feasibility of a self-assembly derived nanoscale 3-D interpenetrating cathode and anode architecture for electrical energy storage. This is particularly noteworthy in light of the fact that a solid-state separator only 10 nm in thickness, covering a large absolute area of approximately 400 cm\(^2\) throughout a tortuous macroscopic monolithic device, was able to maintain an open circuit voltage of over 2.8 V for hours and a well-defined discharge plateau for up to 20 cycles. Our results suggest that after all processing steps and despite its small thickness, the polymer electrolyte is sufficiently pinhole-free and impermeable to the sulfur-PEDOT cathode phase over this entire area to prevent short circuits. While at first this appears surprising, it is perhaps instructive to remember that biology uses self-assembly of lipids to maintain a potential difference of around 0.1 V across even thinner liquid-state cell membranes throughout the entire body.\textsuperscript{47}

**Conclusions**
We have demonstrated the bottom-up synthesis of a macroscopic nano-3-D interpenetrating solid-state electrochemical energy storage device architecture with precise spatial control over four distinct functional materials with individual domain dimensions of 20 nm or less. The 3-D architecture allows for a substantial decrease in footprint area, due to folding and integration of all nanoscaled electrochemical components into interpenetrating networks, and by exploiting the third dimension. Thus, it represents a truly atom-efficient approach. Our co-continuous nanohybrids consist of two interpenetrating redox-active cathode/current collector composite nanonetworks (sulfur/PEDOT) separated from a carbon anode matrix by an ultrathin, pinhole-free polymer electrolyte layer (PPO) that is wrapped around the interpenetrating gyroidal electrodes. The synthesis concept allowed separate electric contacting of the carbon and sulfur nano-interpenetrating electrode networks. The resulting nano-3-D EES maintained a stable open-circuit voltage and well-defined discharge plateau at 2.7 V with a reversible capacity of 0.2 mAh cm\(^{-2}\). As an illustrative comparison, keeping layer dimensions and materials the same, a flat, three-layer (anode, separator, cathode) battery design, with comparable capacity, would take up an area that would be 4,700 times larger. In other words, a planar thin film battery with the same critical dimension would have a maximum theoretical capacity of 2.7·10\(^4\) mAh cm\(^{-2}\). Even at only 20% utilization of the theoretical maximum capacity of the device demonstrated here, this would still account for an area about 940 times larger.

While these proof-of-principle results on 3-D gyroidal electrochemical energy storage devices are stimulating and promising, continued work is required to carefully assess how improved materials, processes, and housing can lead to enhanced performance. For example, the glass-vial set-up employed here for housing the 3-D nanohybrid energy storage device (Fig. 4a) was not optimized in any way and likely led to air contamination and subsequent failure of the device during the storage period after the 20 cycles described here. Other limitations in the device presented here are the relatively low coulombic efficiency, non-quantitative utilization of the cathode material, and poor conductivity of the cathode current collector at low potentials. Some of these drawbacks may be overcome with alterations to the cathode composite, such as widening the conductivity window, or combining redox activity and current collector in one material. The exploration, synthesis, and integration of such materials into nano-3-D battery designs as described here provides fuel for an exciting future in this field of research as our work demonstrates that such devices are feasible and their further development worth pursuing. In particular, implementation of different functional materials in our nano-3-D battery concept requires adjustment of the synthetic procedures, since the electrolyte and the 2\(^{nd}\) electrode are synthesized successively within the 1\(^{st}\) electrode. This aspect makes their fabrication fundamentally different from classic battery research, in which the individual components are fabricated separately and then physically assembled in a stack, requiring no compatibility of their individual syntheses. Materials that could potentially be implemented with only limited synthetic alterations are block copolymer derived mesoporous metal oxide-carbon hybrids as anode materials, and redox-active and conductive polymers as anode materials, and redox-active and conductive polymers as infiltrated cathode.\(^{48–52}\)

It is important to emphasize that at this early stage of research into the synthesis of such multifunctional nano-3-D interpenetrating EES architectures, it is not realistic to expect the resulting monolithic devices to exhibit optimal electrochemical performance. Indeed, to the best of our knowledge, no reports exist to date of the synthesis of such complex co-continuous 3-D gyroidal device nano-architectures described herein. Instead, our intent was to establish a “proof-of-principle” for overcoming a number of the existing hurdles and answering critical questions. For example, could chemical pathways generate interpenetrating nanonetworks of all phases with the right connectivity and separation that serve the functions of all battery components? And would it be possible to observe a stable potential across the fully assembled monolithic nano-3-D EES device, potentially even with a few reversible charge/discharge cycles in which lithium ions travel only 10s of nanometers while electrons travel over macroscopic dimensions through an external electrical circuit to provide electrical energy? In this report, we demonstrated that such complex co-continuous, multifunctional, and interpenetrating gyroidal solid-state hybrid architectures can be successfully synthesized and that they indeed display battery-like redox behavior and charge/discharge profiles with stable open circuit voltage, thereby demonstrating a plausible path to solid-state nano-3-D batteries. Additionally, all components of our synthesis concept were chosen to be mutually compatible with facile laboratory processing. They are either polymer chemistry based (polymer self-assembly based anode formation; electropolymerization of solid electrolyte; solution polymerization of cathode current collector) or moderate temperature processable (active cathode material). In particular, the synthesis does not include any expensive or time-consuming top-down 3-D nanofabrication, making the approach accessible to a wide community. We hope that this work will spur interest in further development and improvement of multifunctional, fully integrated 3-D nanohybrid architectures for electrical energy storage.

**Experimental**

**Gyroidal mesoporous carbon (G\(^6\)MC).**

The G\(^6\)MC monoliths were synthesized using block copolymer (BCP) self-assembly. The structure directing amphiphilic triblock terpolymer poly(isoprene)-block-poly(styrene)-block-poly(ethylene oxide) (ISO) was synthesized via a step-wise anionic polymerization.\(^{51}\) The characteristics of the ISO are summarized in Table S1\(^\dagger\). Phenol-formaldehyde resols were used as carbon precursors and synthesized using oligomerization of phenol and formaldehyde in a molar ratio of 1:2 under basic conditions.\(^{40}\) Monolithic ISO:resols hybrids...
with double gyroidal (G\textsuperscript{5}) morphology were obtained by dissolution of both components in a 1:0.54 weight ratio in tetrahydrofuran and chloroform (1:1 by weight), followed by solvent evaporation and annealing. The polymer-organic hybrid monoliths were plasma treated to remove surface capping layers before carbonization under inert gas flow above 1000 °C. Free-standing G\textsuperscript{5}MC monoliths were cut into rectangular shapes with footprint areas of 0.08-0.14 cm\textsuperscript{2}, attached to a wire in an edge-on geometry with silver epoxy (EPO-TEK H20E from EMS), and the contact was sealed with silicone rubber (Momentive RTV 108). Insulating gyroidal (EPO-TEK H20E from EMS), and the contact was sealed with silicone rubber. 

**Sulfur lithiation and galvanostatic testing.**

The content of carbon and sulfur in the fully assembled gyroidal nanohybrids was approximately 5 mg cm\textsuperscript{-2} and 2.4 mg cm\textsuperscript{-2}, respectively. The contacted tetrafunctional monolithic nanohybrid was immersed horizontally (i.e. parallel to the gas-liquid interface) in 1 M lithium perchlorate in a mixture of dioxolane (DOL) and dimethoxyethane (DME) (1:1 by volume) together with lithium foil in a septum capped vial under an argon atmosphere. The sulfur-PEDOT phase was discharged to 1 V vs. Li/Li\textsuperscript{+} at a current of 0.125 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}. After lithiation of the sulfur-PEDOT nanocomposite, the lithium foil was disconnected, and the penta-continuous and tetrafunctional nanohybrids were cycled with the nanostructured G\textsuperscript{5}MC and sulfur-PEDOT phases connected as anode and cathode, respectively, with varying cut-off voltages as described in the text after removal of the liquid electrolyte.

**Characterization.**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of carbonized samples was carried out on a Zeiss LEO 1550 FE-SEM or a Tescan Mira SEM operating at an accelerating voltage of 10-20 kV. The SEM was equipped with a Bruker energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) for elemental analysis. SAXS measurements were performed on monolithic parent hybrid and resulting carbon materials at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source (CHESS). Nitrogen sorption isotherms were obtained on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface area and porosity analyzer at -196 °C. Lithiation and galvanostatic tests of monolithic carbon materials and tetrafunctional nanohybrids were executed using a BST8-WA 8-channel battery analyzer from MTI Corporation. Resistance through the PPO thin film of the PPO-coated G\textsuperscript{5}MC and of the sulfur-PEDOT phases of monolithic carbon nanohybrids was measured using cyclic voltammetry at a scan rate of 50 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} with an AUTOLAB Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT204. The second contact for the uncoated and PPO-coated carbon monoliths was made using a liquid gallium-indium eutectic contact on one of the surfaces. Contacts for the PEDOT and sulfur-PEDOT infiltrated insulating gyroidal mesoporous polymer (G\textsuperscript{5}MP) frameworks were made with silver epoxy (EPO-TEK H20E from EMS) on both surfaces.
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All necessary battery components are synthesized within a three-dimensionally periodic, co-continuous nanostructure and first reversible charge-discharge characteristics are demonstrated.