Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsB



PAPER

Direct cellular organization with ring-shaped composite polymer and glass substrates for urethral sphincter tissue engineering

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Wenqiang Du, Jianfeng Chen, Huan Li, Gang Zhao,* Guangli Liu, Wulin Zhu, Dong Wu and Jiaru Chu

Although fundamental efforts have been made to engineer circular smooth muscle layers in vitro, engineering structured skeletal muscle tissue equivalents acting as sphincters remains to be investigated. Groove patterned substrates made of homogeneous material usually leads to cell monolayers instead of patterned cell sheets while patterned matrix failed to generate circular myotubes because cell chirality blocks the end-to-end cellular sequence corresponding to pattern directions. In this paper, we proposed concentric circular and elliptical microgroove patterned substrates with glass as grooves and polymer as ridges to direct ring-shaped myoblast patterns and maximize cell alignment with respect to constraints directions, which is essential for circular myotubes generation towards sphincter tissue engineering. Our results showed that our substrates direct myoblasts to proliferate in and orient with directions of glass grooves, leading to higher cell alignment degree than homogeneous substrate can achieve. We also found that cell alignment degree depends on dimension and parallelism other than curvature of the constraint. On the basis of these findings, we proposed finite element models that quantitatively account for our experimental data and emphasized the role intercellular forces played in cell alignment modulation. These results suggest that narrow curved constraints with parallel boundaries can favourably maximize myoblast alignment and facilitate myogenic differentiation regardless of constraint curvature, which will underpin the design of substrates and scaffolds for-urethral sphincter or other hollow tissue engineering applications.

22

23

1 Introduction

Muscle tissues are vital for the movement and mechanical 2 3 work of our body. Circular or elliptical muscle layers are wider 4 observed in vivo and vital to many physiological processes. The 5 architectural organization of these muscle cells, including cell 6 patterns (spatial distribution of cells) and cell alignment, ar p 7 crucial for the retention of muscle functions. For example Ó 8 vascular smooth muscle cells are circumferentially aligned t provide blood vessels the strength properties for pulsatile 9 blood flow. Circular skeletal muscles consist of concentric 10 circular or elliptical arranged myofibers serving as sphincters 11 12 to guard entrances and exits of body by contraction and 13 relaxation. In vivo, loss of architectural organization of muscle cells causes $\frac{36}{25}$ 14 15 diseases such as muscular dystrophy. Stress urinar 16 incontinence (SUI) refers to the involuntary loss of urine under 17 stress such as running and sneezing. The external urethra

- 18 sphincter is a small circular skeletal muscle whose contractility
- 19 is weakened while still functioning in the most case of SUL
- 20 patients. Damage of architecture organization of external
- 21 urethral sphincter cells caused by childbirth, surgical trauma 43

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of a48 supplementary information available should be included here]. Sago DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x and so forth is permanent.^{1, 2} Millions of people are affected and billions US dollars are cost annually worldwide. The trends that urethral skeletal muscle reduces rapidly with age increasing makes SUI a major issue to be concerned. Treatments of SUI through related muscle training, pharmaceutical injection, medical devices installation and surgery only have limited effects and are probably associated with complications. Stem cell therapy for SUI has recently provided a promising options for SUI treatment in vivo. But the unhealthy microenvironments inside SUI patients are possibly unable to provide sufficient differentiation and consequential cellular architectural organization cues for urethral sphincter regeneration.³ Therefore, it is crucial to engineer circular ringshaped external urethral sphincter in vitro.⁴

In order to restore circular external urethral sphincter in vitro, both cell patterns and cell alignment have to be engineered. Engineering ring-shaped cell patterns and maximizing cell alignment corresponded to cell patterns are vital to circular myotubes generation and important stages of urethral sphincter engineering. Higher degree of cell alignment corresponded to ring-shaped cell patterns means more end-toend cellular sequence, which can facilitate myoblasts fusion towards ring-shaped myotubes generation. The state-of-theart approaches to engineer cell patterns in two dimensions are usually accompanied with oriented cells. These approaches mainly include chemical cues such as surface matrix patterning.⁵⁻⁹ However, physical cues such as topographical and mechanical simulations have been demonstrated to play a

Department of Precision Machinery and Precision Instrumentation, University of 46 Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230027, China 47 Email: fvc@ustc.edu.cn

Paper

1 significant role that is superior to patterned matrix in calls alignment regulation.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ But with these physical features, 32 continuous cell monolayer instead of hollow cell patterns is 3 usually obtained.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Alternative solutions have be $\delta \Phi$ 4 5 developed by depositing smooth muscle cells on the inner surfaces of orthogonally microtextured hollow tubes. But it62 6 7 difficult to precisely control the dimensions and shapes of the hollow structures, $^{18-21}$ which restricts their applications 648 tissue engineering. In addition, skeletal muscle cells and 9 10 seldom studied on circular microtextured substrates or 66 11 tubes stated above because unlike circular smooth must 12 layers, most of circular skeletal muscle in vivo are macrosca 13 structures except urethral sphincter whose diameter is abo6970 14 two millimetres as minimum.

15 In this paper, we aimed to engineer circular and elliptical ring1 16 shaped cell patterns and maximize cell alignment? 17 corresponded to cell patterns for urethral sphincter 18 engineering. In this scenario, dimension and curvature ph circular constraints should be taken into consideration, 19 Although myoblast behaviours under the influences of circular 20 21 ring-shaped matrix have been investigated, the width of the pattern was fixed.^{22, 23} Moreover, cells exhibit intrinsic chiraling 22 on patterned matrix with circular boundaries which blocks 23 24 end-to-end cellular sequence with respect to circulan pattern.^{22, 24} Cells are badly oriented and circular myotubga 25 can be hardly formed under this situation. Additional 26 considering the shape of urethral sphincter in vivo is more like 27 28 an elliptical than a circular ring, myoblast alignment under the 29 influence of parallelism of the inner and outer boundaries at constraint, which has not been considered in previous worgs 30 where constraints with parallel boundaries are used, 18-22 31 should also be investigated. For these purposes, glagg 32 33 substrates patterned with concentric circular (parallel) and elliptical (unparallel) polymeric microridges were presented 34 These substrates were designed to pattern cells and maximize 35 36 cell alignment through combining the advantages mechanical and topological cues in cellular organization 37 38 regulation. 94

We find that dimension and parallelism other than curvature 39 of curved constraints profoundly affects myoblasts alignment 96 40 To access the underlying mechanism of our experimental data. 41 42 we also proposed finite element models and implemente drug test and hence revealed the role intercellular forces play 43 in cell alignment modulation. Myoblasts tend to align with $t\vec{he}$ 44 45 direction of maximum intercellular principal stress exerted 46 adjacent cells. The process can be strengthened by para 47 while disturbed by unparallel boundaries of constraint. W 48 these models, we also demonstrated why dimensions ďΔ 49 constraints can substantially affect cell alignment wh 50 curvature of constraints cannot and why cells exhibit position 51 dependent orientation behaviour with respect to bounda 405 52 of constraint. Additionally, parallelism and dimension 107 53 constraints also affect the differentiation of myotubes through 54 altering the shape of myoblasts and their nuclei. Due to 10955 simplicity of fabrication, our substrate provide an effective 56 method to maximize curved myoblast alignment for circular 57 myotubes generation towards sphincter tissue engineering.112

Materials and Methods

Substrate fabrication

Substrates were fabricated by standard photolithography procedures. Briefly, coverslips (Citoglas, China), 20 mm × 20 mm × 0.17 mm, were pre-cleaned and dried before used. Then Hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS, Sigma Aldrich, US) was spin coated on coverslips as adhesive layer. AR-P3540T photoresist (Allresist GmbH, Germany) was spin coated, exposed with a mask under UV radiation for 10 s, developed in AR-P200 and then baked at 120 °C for 20 min. Substrates were then plated into 35 mm petri dishes, sterilized and coated with 6 μ g ml⁻¹ collagen rat tail, type I solution (Gibco, US) and sterilized again before used. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) were used to measure the thickness of coated collagen layer and the stiffness (Young's Modulus) of the substrates.

Journal of Materials Chemistry B

Cell culture

C2C12 mouse myoblast cell line (Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% streptomycin/penicillin (Culture medium, Gibco, US) and incubated at 5% CO₂, 37 °C. Cells were trypsinized, centrifuged and resuspended with fresh culture media after confluent. Afterwards, cells were seeded on substrates at 2×10^3 cells cm² and incubated. Cells were examined at 16, 24, 44 and 51 h after culture.

To further probe the effect of cell-cell contacts in cell alignment regulation, cells were treated with 20 μM blebbistatin (Sigma Aldrich, US) to partially inhibit cell-cell adhesion junctions. Blebbistatin were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) and diluted with culture medium. The drug treated cells were incubated for 4 h prior to analysis to ensure the effect of inhibition.

Fluorescent staining

Cells nuclei and F-actin were stained with DAPI (Sigma Aldrich, US) and Rhodamine Phalloidin (Invitrogen, US) in dark room respectively. Briefly, Cell culture media was removed and 4% formaldehyde (Invitrogen, US) was added to petri dishes at room temperature for 15 min to fix cells. Then cells were permeated with 0.5% Triton X-100 solution (Invitrogen, US) at room temperature for another 15 min. Afterwards cells were blocked with 3% BSA (Invitrogen, US) at room temperature for 1 h. Cells were then stained with 5 μ g ml⁻¹ Rhodamine Phalloidin (Molecular Probes, US) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature for F-actin. Afterwards, cells were stained with 300 nM 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Molecular Probes, US) for 5 min at room temperature and mounted for imaging.

Cell shape and alignment

Quantitatively analysis was implemented by outlining the contour of every identifiable cell manually using Fiji/ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, US). For an individual cell, cell aspect ratio was used to characterize cell shape. Cell aspect ratio is defined as the ration of cell length to cell width (defined by the length of long and short axes of cellular best fit ellipse respectively). Cell center was defined as the center of

58

59

Journal of Materials Chemistry B

- 1 the ellipse. Groove direction was defined as the tangent **5**
- 2 direction of the point on groove sidewalls that was nearest $\mathbf{56}$
- 3 cell center. Cell alignment angle was calculated as the angle 4
- between cellular long axis and groove direction. 5 Modelling of mechanical stress of patterned cell sheets
- Mechanical stress distribution of patterned cell sheet was 6 analysed by finite element method.^{23, 25, 26} The circular and 7
- 8 elliptical ring-shaped finite element model consists of a 5 μ thick contractile top layer to simulate cell layer and a 1 μm 9 thick passive bottom layer with a fixed bottom surface $\frac{64}{10}$ 10 simulate extracellular matrix layer. Other dimensions of the 11 12 model were prescribed elsewhere. A thermal strain induced b y13 dropping the temperature by 5 K on top layer was imported $\frac{1}{10}$ simulate cell contraction. The thermal conductivity and 14 coefficient of expansion of top layer were defined as 10 W m 69 15 K^{-1} and 0.05 K^{-1} respectively. Both the top and the bottom 16 layers were treated as isotropic elastic material with value of 17 0.499 for the Poisson's ratio and 500 and 100 Pa for the 18 Young's Modulus respectively. The elemental maximum 19 principal stress of top layer was reported as maximum 20 intercellular principal stress. Meshes of different sizes (varied 21 from 1-10 μ m) were generated to confirm the convergence of the result 22
- 23 the result.

24 Data processing and statistical analysis

25 All images were taken with Leica DMI3000B (Leica, Germany) microscope using phase contrast and fluorescent mode and 26 processed with MATLAB (MathWorks, US) and Fiji/Imageh 27 image processing software and associated plugins (National 28 Institutes of Health, US). Three randomly chosen regions fg5 29 each substrate were imaged. One-way analysis of variance 30 (ANOVA) followed by Turkey's post-hoc analysis for pairwige 31 32 means comparisons was performed to determine the 33 statistically differences between or within each group unless otherwise mentioned. The statistical significance was defined 34 as *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005. Statistical analysis was 35 implemented with and statistical graphs were drawn by Origin 36 37 (OriginLab, US). 88

38 Results

39 Photolithography fabricated ring-shaped composite polymer and 2 40 glass substrates 93

Concentric circular and elliptical groove patterned substrates 41 were fabricated by standard photolithography procedures (Fig. 42 1A-D). Circular substrates consist of 100, 150 and 200 μm wide 43 grooves spacing 100, 150 and 200 μ m apart respective R? 44 Elliptical substrates consist of 50 to 100, 75 to 150 and 100 $\frac{98}{100}$ 45 200 μ m wide grooves spacing 50, 75 and 100 μ m apart in short 46 axis direction and 100, 150 and 200 μ m apart in long $\frac{100}{400}$ 47 direction respectively (Fig. 1E-H). Both circular and elliptical 48 substrates were fabricated with two groove depths of 1.7 and 49 50 0.4 μ m. All cells were cultured on substrates with 1.7 μ m deep grooves with flat substrate as control unless otherwise 51 mentioned. Cellular morphological and alignment parameters 52 106 53 are illustrated in Fig. 1I.

Cell distribution on ring-shaped composite substrates 54

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2016

Distributions of cells cultured on concentric circular and elliptical patterned substrates were characterized by showing the number of cells proliferated in grooves as a percentage of total cells (G for short). Cells crossing groove sidewalls were defined as cells on ridges (Fig. 2A). On circular substrates, cells showed a clearly preference for proliferating in grooves. Percentages of cells in grooves of substrates with all dimensions increased and reached their maximum after 44 h of culture (G = 0.88 ± 0.07 , 0.95 ± 0.07 and 0.83 ± 0.02 for 100, 150 and 200 µm substrates respectively) (Fig. 2B). Cells were separated and formed several circular patterns, no closed pattern though, with regard to substrate patterns (Fig. 2). Then percentage of cells in grooves decreased but no significant difference occurred at 51 h of culture. On elliptical substrates, cells distribution exhibited similar trends (Fig. 2B). The turning point of percentage of cells in 150 and 200 µm wide grooves occurred at 44 h of culture while percentage of cells in 100 µm wide grooves began to decrease at 24 h of culture. No statistically significant difference was found among all groove dimensions at each examine time point (p > 0.1 for all cases).

Cell alignment on ring-shaped composite substrates

With previous definitions (Fig. 1I), cell alignment angle (θ) can be calculated as

$$\theta = \begin{cases} |\alpha - \beta|, if |\alpha - \beta| \le 90^{\circ} \\ 180^{\circ} - |\alpha - \beta|, else \end{cases}$$

With the purpose of obtaining hollow cell patterns with well oriented cells, we only focused on cells that were proliferated in grooves. Cell alignment degree (D) was calculated by using the average value of second order Legendre polynomial of cell alignment angle (θ), an approach commonly employed when characterizing the preferred directionality in liquid crystals.^{27,}

$$D = \left(\frac{3\cos^2\theta - 1}{2}\right)$$

D will approach 1 if θ approach 0 degree, which means there is a strong degree of correlation between cell angle and groove direction. D will approach 0 if θ is randomly distributed, which means cells are randomly oriented. Moreover, D will approach -0.5 if θ approach 90 degree, which means cells orient perpendicular to groove direction (Fig. 2C). On circular substrates, cell alignment degree increased from cell seeding to 44 h after cell culture for 100 and 150 µm substrates (Fig. 2D). After 51 h of culture, alignment degree of cell in 100 (D = 0.86 ± 0.05) and 150 μ m (D = 0.78 \pm 0.06) wide grooves were significantly higher than that of cells in 200 µm wide grooves (D = 0.63 ± 0.03) (p < 0.005 in both cases). For cells proliferated on concentric elliptical patterned substrates, alignment degree of cells in 100 μ m wide grooves (D = 0.90 ± 0.03) was found significantly higher than that of cells in 150 and 200 μm wide grooves after 51 h of culture.(D = 0.57 ± 0.2 and 0.55 ± 0.12 , p =0.048 and 0.044 respectively). In addition, cell alignment angles were more concentrated for cell on all substrates at 51 h of culture because standard deviations of cell alignment degree decreased as culture time increased (Fig. 2D).

In order to study the influence of curvature on cell alignment, we examined cell alignment degree on concentric circular

89

90

Paper

1 patterned substrates. Each ring-shaped groove composes two 2 circular sidewalls with different radii. For an individual cell that 3 proliferates in such a groove, cell alignment is supposed to 57 4 more closely affected by one groove sidewall if that wall 58 5 nearer to cell center than the other one. Thus cells proliferat $\overline{\mathbf{59}}$ 6 on certain substrate can be divided into several groups, each 60 7 characterized by one radius of curvature (Rc) of groofed 8 sidewalls (Fig. 3A-B and Fig. S1). Generally, relative frequen62 declined as cell alignment angle increased for all curvatur $\pmb{63}$ 9 10 (Fig. 3C-E.). One-way ANOVA of cell alignment degree 64 11 different groove curvatures showed no significant differen 65 12 when groove widths are 100 and 150 μ m (Fig. 3F, p = 0.66 and f13 0.23 respectively). For 200 μm substrate, pairwise comparis 67 14 of cell alignment degree of different groove curvatur68 15 showed no significant difference (p > 0.33 for all cases) exce \mathbf{p} 16 when alignment degree of the groove curvature whose Rc7917 300 μ m is compared to those whose Rc are 500 and 700 μ M 18 (Fig. 3E-F, p = 0.016 and 0.048 respectively). 72 19 Cell alignment degree of circular and corresponding elliptical 20 substrates were also compared to evaluate the influence 74 21 parallelism of constraints boundaries on cell orientation. The 75 22 was no statistically difference of cell alignment degrate 23 between parallel and unparallel constraints (Fig. 4A-B). 77 24 Cell and nuclear shape on ring-shaped composite substrates 78 $\,$ 25 Cell and nuclear aspect ratio were used to characterize th $\overline{a}\theta$ 26 shape. By 51 h time point, cell aspect ratio was significan 80 27 higher on 100 µm substrates than on 150 and 200 µ81 28 substrates (Fig. S2, p < 0.005 for both cases). At the same time? 29 cell aspect ratio was independent of the curvatures 88 30 constraints (Fig. 4C). Moreover, there was no statistica84 31 difference of cell aspect ratio between circular ai&b 32 corresponding elliptical substrates with parallel and unparal 33 boundaries respectively (Fig. 4D). Although nuclear aspectively 34 ratio were higher on patterned composite substrates than &8 flat glass substrate, there was no statistically difference of thet 35 between all circular and corresponding elliptical substrates 36 and between all circular and elliptical substrates with different 37 dimensions. However, the significant level of circular and 38 corresponding elliptical substrates compared to flat substrates 39 differs. Nuclei on circular substrates elongated more 40 significantly than on corresponding elliptical substrates (Fig. 5)5 41 96 97

42 Discussions

43Distribution of myoblasts is a result of complex cellular response44to combinational guidance features100

It has been proved that stiffness is a strong tool to pattern cells 45 even superior to patterned matrix.¹⁰ Spatial stiffness variations 46 can induce durotaxis and form cell patterns.²⁹ Here, the 47 stiffness difference of polymeric ridges and glass grooves collect 48 lead myoblasts to migration towards stiff grooves other than 49 50 soft ridges. Moreover, cells can sense the effective stiffnes rigid substrates that are not in direct cellular contact when 51 they are cultured on a thin polymeric film affixed to a right 52 substrate.³⁰⁻³³ Therefore we could expect that myoblasts were 53 randomly distributed when polymeric film was thin enough $\frac{1}{30}$ 54

Journal of Materials Chemistry B

that myoblasts were unable to sense the difference of the effective stiffness between ridge and groove surfaces because stiffness of glass could be transferred to ridge surface. To test our hypothesis, we first confirmed that the collagen was uniformly coated on glass and photoresist with the same thickness. Then we performed nanoindentation on the surface of collagen coated glass, 0.4 μ m and 1.7 μ m thick photoresist on glass respectively. The results showed that 0.4 μm photoresist is as stiff as glass while stiffer than 1.7 μm photoresist (Fig. S6). Afterwards, we cultured myoblasts on substrates with the same patterns but 400 nm deep grooves. No cell pattern was formed and no organization was observed as expected even at 72 h after culture (Fig. S3). To exclude a mere effect of substrate grooved pattern on cell distribution, we cultured cells on grooved glass substrate (without polymer) with the same pattern and groove depth (1.7 μ m) as the composite substrate previously presented. Myoblasts showed no preference for going inside and proliferating in the grooves after 72 h of culture (Fig. S4). To further exclude the effect of collagen, we cultured cells on collagen coated and non-coated substrates. Although cells were more elongated on collagen coated than non-coated substrates, similar distribution were formed on both substrates (Fig. S5). Therefore, we confirmed that stiffness played an important role in cell distribution because no material surface properties varies but effective stiffness as polymer film thinning. Additionally, cells located on plateau ridges were less elongated and more spread than those located in grooves (Fig. 5A). This infers that the difference of surface chemistry between grooves and ridges also might contribute to cells distribution through forming different collagen crosslinking density. These cues indicate that the distribution of myoblasts could be a result of complex cellular response to combinational guidance features.

Effects of ring-shaped composite substrates on cell alignment

Curvature of curved constraint has no influence on cell alignment. It is found that cell-cell interaction acts as the communication pathway for passing microenvironment information between neighbouring cells to induce the direction of cell growth and migration.³⁴⁻³⁶ Therefore cell contact guidance can be induced not only by subcellular scale constraints, but also by supracellular scale constraints as well. In addition, the diameter of the muscle layer of hollow tissues and organs in vivo varied from microns to centimetres. Recently, influence of supracellular scale curvature on cell mechanics was studied on the surface of cylinders or on the inner surface of tubular structures.^{2, 37-39} But the influence of curvature on myoblast alignment has not been quantitatively elucidated. In this paper we cultured myoblasts on both concentric circular and elliptical substrates. To get rid of the influence of groove width, we mostly focused on cell alignment of concentric circular substrate that composes grooves with a fixed width but varied curvatures. Statistical analysis demonstrated that curvatures had no significant influence on cell alignment for 100 and 150 µm substrates, which means curved constraints can also induce contact guidance effectively (Fig. 3F). As for 200 µm substrate, it is

- 1 worth noting that pairwise comparison of cell alignme $\mathbf{58}$ 2 degree of all radii of curvatures higher and lower than 300 μ **5** $\mathbf{9}$ 3 showed no significant influence on cell alignment (p = 0.460) 4 Therefore we believe the significant decline of cell alignme61 5 degree at 300 μ m was caused by the lack of cell-cell contact 62 6 some local regions near groove sidewall which led 63
- 7 insufficient cell-cell interactions to pass the boundaby
 8 information of constraints to the neighbouring cells. Overably
 9 the results suggest that myoblasts can orient along curves
- 10constraints independent of their curvatures.67
- 11 Dimension of curved constraint significantly affects cells 12 alignment. Although the effects of groove width on myoblas9 13 alignment, morphology and differentiation have beard 14 investigated substantially, few studies have investigated the 15 role which width plays in curved constraints. Recent **1**/2 osteoblasts were observed to orient along groove directi $\overline{\sigma}\mathfrak{B}$ 16 17 when cultured on concentric circular grooves with width $w\vec{e}/4$ 18 below 100 µm. Percentages of cell alignment angle small 25 than 15 degrees decreased from 75% to 20% when groo√€ 19 width increased from 7.5 to 96.2 μ m.⁴⁰ On the contrar $\sqrt{7}$ 20 21 myoblasts were highly oriented along groove direction $\overline{\sigma}_{18}$ 22 substrates with groove width beyond 100 µm here which is 79 23 favour of tissue scaffold fabrication because mesoscate 24 features can be fabricated more easily. In addition, cell-c81 25 contacts weakened as groove width increased because mo82 26 space are available for cells to proliferate and migrate, which 83 27 responsible for the phenomenon that better oriented ce84 28 were observed in narrower grooves in our experiment (F&5 29 2D). 86
- 30 Parallelism of boundaries of curved constraint significant 31 affects cell alignment. Concentric circular substrate can ser 88 32 as the control group of elliptical substrate because c89 33 alignment is not affected by mechanical constraints curvatu 34 as we demonstrated, consistent with previous study that alight myoblasts on matrix constraints.²³ Since substrate dimension2 35 36 instead of curvature significantly affect cell alignment as stated 37 above, it is expected that cells were better oriented aloget 38 groove directions on elliptical substrates because groo95 39 widths of the 100, 150 and 200 μm patterned substrat9640 actually vary from 50 to 100 μ m, 75 to 150 μ m and 100 to 2007 41 μ m respectively. However, there was no statistically significa 9842 difference of cell alignment degree between circular a 59 43 corresponding elliptical substrates (p > 0.1 for all cases) (**1**QO 44 2D). It is worth noting that concentric elliptical grooves held 45 presented do not possess parallel elliptical groove sidew 202 46 indeed (Fig. S7). Therefore, these results infer that parallel 1503 47 of constraint also plays an important role in cell alignm204 48 regulation. Constraint with parallel boundaries can favourations 49 align cells with boundaries directions, thus resulting in highe6 50 cell alignment degree than constraint with unparaller 51 boundaries. 108 52 The mechanisms underlying the influences of dimensions? 53 curvatures and parallelism of boundaries of constraint on tail
- salignment. We observed that before cells filled the surface bit
 the grooves, cells exhibited low degree of alignment. Only cells
 proliferated near groove sidewalls oriented along grooods
 directions and cells proliferated in the middle region of 1114

grooves were random aligned. However, when cells sufficiently filled the surface of the grooves, which led to high level cellcell contacts, they started to realign along grooves directions (Fig. S8). It has been proved that cell-cell contacts can transmit intercellular normal and shear stresses and eventually align the long axis of the cell along the orientation of local minimal intercellular shear stress, which equivalents to the orientation of maximum principal stress.^{35, 41} Therefore, we assumed that the orientation of maximum intercellular principal stress depends not on curvatures but on dimensions and parallelism of curved constraint. To test our hypothesis, we inspected the orientation of maximum intercellular principal stress exerted by adjacent cells under the influence of curved parallel and unparallel constraints with varied dimensions and curvatures. Finite element analysis was implemented to evaluate the bias angle (θ_s) of orientation of maximum principal stress with respect to constraint directions (Fig. 6).

To assess the mechanisms underlying the influence of dimension and curvature of circular ring-shaped constraints on cell alignment, we built circular ring-shaped finite element models with a fixed inner diameter and varied distances between the inner and outer boundaries and with varied inner diameters and a fixed distance of 150 μ m between the inner and outer boundaries, respectively. Other details of the models have been prescribed above. We found that θ_s enlarges significantly as the distance between the inner and outer boundaries increases (p < 0.001, Fig. 6C). This result reconfirms our experimental results which concluded myoblasts orient along directions of boundaries better in narrower constraints than in wider ones and reveals that intercellular stresses are the driven forces that align myoblast along curved constraints. Additionally, the deviation of θ_S also extends as width of constraint increases. The color-coded orientation maps in Fig. 6C shows that θ_S enlarges as the distance between meshed elements that simulates cells and boundaries of constraint increases and reaches its maximum in the middle regions between inner and outer boundaries of constraint with a given width. Previous studies have observed that cell alignment with respect to direction of constraint boundary depends on cellular distance from the boundaries.^{42,} ⁴³ Our result provides this phenomenon a theoretical interpretation and emphasizes the vital role that intercellular forces play in inducing cell alignment.

To explore the mechanisms underlying the influence of curvature of circular ring-shaped constraints on cell orientation, we fixed widths of ring-shaped constraints at 150 μ m while decreased curvatures of boundaries of constraints. We found homogeneous patterns of color-coded maps of θ_S regardless of increasing radii of both boundaries (p > 0.1, Fig. 6D). This indicates that orientation of maximum intercellular principal stress, which equivalent to cell orientation as stated above, is independent of curvature of curved constraints, consistent with our experimental results.

We then explored the influence of parallelism on θ_S . To simplify the model but not loss generality, we built an unparallel elliptical ring-shaped finite element model with corresponding parallel elliptical model as control. The in plane

Page 6 of 16

Paper

1 dimensions of the parallel and unparallel models web8 2 described above. Although width of unparallel model is small **59** 3 than that of parallel model, the finite element result of $\mathbf{60}$ showed no significant difference (p > 0.1, Fig. 6E). The **f** 4 5 simulation results are in conflict with our previous conclusio 626 depicting that narrow curved constraints are superior to wide ones. Instead, it demonstrates the role that parallelism 84 7 boundaries of constraints plays in cell alignment guidances 8 which agrees with our experimental results. To verify that it 9 10 unparallel boundaries of constraints that disorders orientation of maximum principal stress, we also evaluated the degree As 11 parallelism of elliptical ring-shaped constraint through 12 13 calculate the angle (θ_P) between the direction of inner and outer boundaries with respect to locations across the entine 14 constraint (Fig. 6B). We found that the most unparallel region 15 between inner and outer boundaries locate around both ends 16 17 of long axis, consistent with the locations of the most disordered orientation of maximum principal stress in our 18 finite analysis results. The same scenario appears at regions 19 20 near both ends of short axis, where highly ordered maximum principal stress was observed with parallel boundaries (Fig. 648 21 F). These results infer that there is a strong correlation 22 23 between parallelism of boundaries of constraint and cell 24 orientation. Constraints possessing parallel boundaries are 25 more conducive to inducing cell alignment, providing guidange in the design of scaffolds for noncircular hollow tissues 26 27 engineering. 28 To confirm the relationship of cell alignment with respect t 29 cooperative intercellular forces, we performed an drug te 30 experiment to partially inhibit cell-cell connections through treating high level contacted cells with 20 μ M blebbistatin. 31 32 Cell alignment angle with respect to groove direction wa 33 calculated. The results showed that cells were highly aligned 34 along groove directions with over 70% cells aligned within $\frac{2}{3}$ 35 degrees of groove directions before drug treatment for bot circular and elliptical substrates. However, cells begត្តា 36 randomly oriented which resulted in the same parameted 37 mentioned dropped to under 40% when cell-cell connections 38 39 were inhibited (Fig. 7). 40 Taken our experimental, simulation and drug test results together, we can conclude that cooperative intercellular forces 41 42 exerted by adjacent cells are the driving forces that propi 43 cells to reoriented themselves to align along the directions ž boundaries of curved constraint. By combining the effects of 44 the topographic, mechanical and surface chemistry properties 45 46 of boundaries on cell organization, our substrates elimina 47 the impeditive effect cell chirality excreted on the formation end-to-end cellular sequence with respect to cell pattern when 48 49 cells were seeded on patterned matrix. 50 Although glass is not an implantable material, our mo 51 showed that the mechanisms of how dimension, curvature a parallelism affect cellular organization are basically 52 53 independent of the materials of substrate. The model only 108 54 line for stiffness of the material so that cells can 55 substantially deform the substrate. Materials satisfying 56 condition, whether they are porous, biocompatible 57 biodegradable or not, can utilize our model. Therefore,

Journal of Materials Chemistry B

believe that design rules derived from the in vitro studies reported here can be applied when designing scaffolds utilizing implantable materials to improve sphincter-like tissue regeneration strategies in vivo.

Effects of ring-shaped composite substrates on myoblast shape

The altered cell and nuclear shape play an important role in the differentiation of myotubes.^{46, 47} Based on our observations that cells were more elongated in circular narrow constraints than in wide ones and that curvature showed no influence on cell aspect ratio, we can preliminary count that substrate dimension dominates cell aspect ratio. However, there was no statistically difference of cell aspect ratio between circular and corresponding elliptical substrates whose dimensions are narrower than circular ones expect 100 µm substrates (Fig. 4D). Therefore we can conclude that parallelism of constraint boundaries also significantly affects cell aspect ratio. Additionally, cytoplasmic actin filaments are essential features in the modulation of nuclear shape and function. It has been proved that there exists a mechanistic coordination between cell and nuclear shape.48, Consequently, nuclear aspect ratio obey the same rules set by dimension and parallelism of constraint for cell aspect ratio.

Conclusions

This study revealed a simple way to engineer circular and elliptical ring-shaped myoblast patterns and maximize corresponding cell alignment simultaneously. Different from previous methods that pattern cells with patterned matrices and stiffness fabricated through microcontact printing and soft lithography, the method presented is mold-free. We demonstrated that myoblasts are able to orient along our substrates with width of several folds beyond typical individual cell scale even when the constraints is curved. This result is important because it means that we can engineer large-scale ring-shaped tissue in a cheap way since supracellular scale constraints can be fabricated easier than subcellular ones. We also proved, both experimentally and theoretically, that myoblasts track direction of curved constraints better in narrower constraints than in wider ones. Therefore, compromises should be made between the degree of cell alignment and the cost of the fabrication of scaffolds when we design the dimensions of scaffolds. Interestingly, as a typical character of curved constraints, curvature showed no influence on myoblasts alignment. Additionally, we also investigated the influence of parallelism of the constraints on cell behaviour and demonstrated that constraints with parallel boundaries are prone to induce contact guidance better than unparallel ones. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that influence of parallelism of constraints on cells behaviour is investigated. In fact, parallelism of curved constraint is one character that we cannot disregard in the design of the substrate or scaffold for noncircular ring-shaped tissue engineering. We concluded that narrow and parallel features are key parameters that should be considered in the design of curved constraints in order to maximize cell

20.

67

68

69

73

74

75

76

25.

26.

35.

36.

39.

40.

Journal of Materials Chemistry B

- 17. 1 alignment for ring-shaped myotubes generation. These designs Φ
- 2 rules can be incorporated into the surface of scaffolds utilizing 3 implantable materials for urethral sphincter engineering
- 4 Finally, although rigid materials such as glass are not ideal
- candidates for optimal myotubes differentiation, our 5
- substrates hold the potential to server as a compliant botton 6
- layer of glass-attached myotubes with tissue like stiffness for 7
- cell-on-cell sphincter-like tissue engineering,^{50, 51} which cap 8
- potentially benefit the treatment of stress urina65 9 66
- 10 incontinence.

11 Acknowledgements

12 We appreciate Dr. Zhen Guo and the Laboratory of Cellul

- Dynamics at University of Science and Technology of China $f \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ 13
- 14 their kindly support.

15 References

- A. Stenzl and K. D. Sievert, European urology, 2007, 52? 16 1. 78 17 1574-1575.
- F. A. Zouraq, M. Stölting and D. Eberli, Skeletal Muscle 18 2. 80 19 Regeneration for Clinical Application, 2013.
- Y. Shi, Y. Li, J. Liu and Y. Zhang, Cell Therapy and Musel 20 3. Regeneration: Skeletal Myogenic Differentiation of Urin \mathbb{R}^2 21 8₽ Derived Stem Cells for Potential Use in Treatment 22 84 23 Urinary Incontinence, 2013.
- D. Huh, Y. S. Torisawa, G. A. Hamilton, H. J. Kim and D. 85 24 4. 86 25 Ingber, Lab Chip, 2012, 12, 2156-2164.
- F. Khan, M. Tanaka and S. R. Ahmad, J Mater Chem \$? 26 5. 88 27 2015, 3, 8224-8249.
- M. Verhulsel, M. Vignes, S. Descroix, L. Malaquin, D. 89 28 6. Vignjevic and J. L. Viovy, Biomaterials, 2014, 35, 18180 29 91 30 1832.
- X. Yao, R. Peng and J. D. Ding, Adv. Mater., 2013, 232 31 7. 93 32 5257-5286.
- C. A. DeForest and D. A. Tirrell, Nat. Mater., 2015, 14, 33 8. 95 34 523-531.
- M. V. Tsurkan, R. Wetzel, H. R. Perez-Hernandez, \Re 35 9. Chwalek, A. Kozlova, U. Freudenberg, G. Kempermann, 9? 36 Zhang, A. F. Lasagni and C. Werner, Adv Healthc Mater, 37 38 2014, DOI: 10.1002/adhm.201400395.
- Y. S. Choi, L. G. Vincent, A. R. Lee, K. C. Kretchmer 39 10. 40 Chirasatitsin, M. K. Dobke and A. J. Engler, Biomateriols, 102 41 2012, 33, 6943-6951.
- A. Higuchi, Q. D. Ling, Y. Chang, S. T. Hsu and A. Umezawa, 42 11. 104 43 Chemical reviews, 2013, 113, 3297-3328.
- J. L. Charest, M. T. Eliason, A. J. Garcia and W. P. King, 44 12. 106 Biomaterials, 2006, 27, 2487-2494. 45
- H. Y. Hsieh, G. Camci-Unal, T. W. Huang, R. Liao, 197 46 13. Chen, A. Paul, F. G. Tseng and A. Khademhosseini, 19847 109 48 Chip, 2014, 14, 482-493.
- C. T. Ho, R. Z. Lin, R. J. Chen, C. K. Chin, S. E. Gong, H.10 49 14. Chang, H. L. Peng, L. Hsu, T. R. Yew, S. F. Chang and C. H1 50
- 112 51 Liu, Lab Chip, 2013, 13, 3578-3587. A. M. Ross, Z. Jiang, M. Bastmeyer and J. Lahann, Small, 52 15.
- 114 53 2012, 8, 336-355. L. Altomare, N. Gadegaard, L. Visai, M. C. Tanzi and 3. 54 16.
- 116 41. 55 Fare, Acta Biomater, 2010, 6, 1948-1957. 117

- C. L. Gilchrist, D. S. Ruch, D. Little and F. Guilak, Biomaterials, 2014, 35, 10015-10024.
- 18. Y. M. Wang, H. G. Shi, J. Qiao, Y. Tian, M. Wu, W. Zhang, Y. Lin, Z. W. Niu and Y. Huang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 2958-2962.
- 19. B. Yuan, Y. Jin, Y. Sun, D. Wang, J. S. Sun, Z. Wang, W. Zhang and X. Y. Jiang, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 890-+.
 - J. S. Choi, Y. Piao and T. S. Seo, Biomaterials, 2014, 35, 63-70.
- 21. M. T. McClendon and S. I. Stupp, Biomaterials, 2012, 33, 5713-5722.
- 22. L. Q. Wan, K. Ronaldson, M. Park, G. Taylor, Y. Zhang, J. M. Gimble and G. Vunjak-Novakovic, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011, 108, 12295-12300.
- 23. P. Bajaj, B. Reddy, L. Millet, C. N. Wei, P. Zorlutuna, G. Bao and R. Bashir, Integr. Biol., 2011, 3, 897-909.
- 24. Y. H. Tee, T. Shemesh, V. Thiagarajan, R. F. Hariadi, K. L. Anderson, C. Page, N. Volkmann, D. Hanein, S. Sivaramakrishnan, M. M. Kozlov and A. D. Bershadsky, Nat. Cell Biol., 2015, 17, 445-+.
 - C. M. Nelson, R. P. Jean, J. L. Tan, W. F. Liu, N. J. Sniadecki, A. A. Spector and C. S. Chen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2005, 102, 11594-11599.
 - B. Li, F. Li, K. M. Puskar and J. H. Wang, J Biomech, 2009, **42**, 1622-1627.
- 27. A. Leclerc, D. Tremblay, S. Hadjiantoniou, N. V. Bukoreshtliev, J. L. Rogowski, M. Godin and A. E. Pelling, Biomaterials, 2013, 34, 8097-8104.
- 28. W. F. Zheng and X. Y. Jiang, Colloid Surface B, 2014, 124, 97-110.
- 29. T. H. Qazi, D. J. Mooney, M. Pumberger, S. Geissler and G. N. Duda, Biomaterials, 2015, 53, 502-521.
- 30. A. Higuchi, Q. D. Ling, S. S. Kumar, Y. Chang, A. A. Alarfaj, M. A. Munusamy, K. Murugan, S. T. Hsu and A. Umezawa, J Mater Chem B, 2015, 3, 8032-8058.
- 31. A. Buxboim, K. Rajagopal, A. E. X. Brown and D. E. Discher, J. Phys.-Condes. Matter, 2010, 22.
- 32. B. Oommen and K. J. Van Vliet, Thin Solid Films, 2006, 513, 235-242.
- 33 I. T. Hoffecker, W. H. Guo and Y. L. Wang, Lab Chip, 2011, 11. 3538-3544.
- X. Trepat, M. R. Wasserman, T. E. Angelini, E. Millet, D. A. 34. Weitz, J. P. Butler and J. J. Fredberg, Nat Phys, 2009, 5, 426-430.
 - D. T. Tambe, C. C. Hardin, T. E. Angelini, K. Rajendran, C. Y. Park, X. Serra-Picamal, E. H. H. Zhou, M. H. Zaman, J. P. Butler, D. A. Weitz, J. J. Fredberg and X. Trepat, Nat. Mater., 2011, 10, 469-475.
 - S. R. K. Vedula, M. C. Leong, T. L. Lai, P. Hersen, A. J. Kabla, C. T. Lim and B. Ladoux, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2012, 109, 12974-12979.
- 37. A. Y. Hsiao, T. Okitsu, H. Onoe, M. Kiyosawa, H. Teramae, S. Iwanaga, T. Kazama, T. Matsumoto and S. Takeuchi, PLoS One, 2015, 10, e0119010.
- 38. H. Kang do, S. M. Kim, B. Lee, H. Yoon and K. Y. Suh, The Analyst, 2013, 138, 6230-6242.
 - M. Junkin, S. L. Leung, S. Whitman, C. C. Gregorio and P. K. Wong, J. Cell Sci., 2011, 124, 4213-4220.
 - K. Wang, L. Cai, L. Zhang, J. Y. Dong and S. F. Wang, Adv. Healthc. Mater., 2012, 1, 292-301.
 - X. Trepat and J. J. Fredberg, Trends Cell Biol., 2011, 21, 638-646.

Paper

1

- 42. F. J. Segerer, F. Thuroff, A. P. Alberola, E. Frey and J. O. Radler, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2015, 114, 5.
- 2 3 43. S. J. He, C. L. Liu, X. J. Li, S. P. Ma, B. Huo and B. H. Ji, Biophys. J., 2015, 109, 489-500.
- 4 5 6 7 8 44. Z. Liu, J. L. Tan, D. M. Cohen, M. T. Yang, N. J. Sniadecki, S. A. Ruiz, C. M. Nelson and C. S. Chen, Proc Natl Acad Sci U SA, 2010, **107**, 9944-9949.

M. Vicente-Manzanares, X. F. Ma, R. S. Adelstein and A. R. 45. 9 Horwitz, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2009, 10, 778-790.

- 10 46. N. M. Rodriguez, R. A. Desai, B. Trappmann, B. M. Baker 11 and C. S. Chen, Langmuir, 2014, 30, 1327-1335.
- 12 47. L. Wang, Y. Wu, B. Guo and P. X. Ma, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 13 9167-9179.
- 14 48. M. Versaevel, T. Grevesse and S. Gabriele, Nature 15 communications, 2012, 3.
- 16 B. Chen, C. Co and C. C. Ho, Biomaterials, 2015, 67, 129-49. 17 136.
- 18 50. A. J. Engler, M. A. Griffin, S. Sen, C. G. Bonnetnann, H. L. 19 Sweeney and D. E. Discher, J. Cell Biol., 2004, 166, 877-20 887.
- 21 D. E. Discher, P. Janmey and Y. L. Wang, Science, 2005, 51. 22 **310**, 1139-1143.



Fig. 1. Substrates fabrication and definition of cell shape and alignment. (A-D) Standard lithography procedures to fabricate substrate with circular and elliptical constraints. Linear constraints were drawn as example to illustrate the procedures. SEM images showed the fabricated circular (E-F) and elliptical (G-H) substrates with 150 μ m wide concentric circular grooves spacing 150 μ m apart. (I) Diagram illustrating cell aspect ratio and cell alignment angle (θ) on patterned substrate. The ellipse is the best fitted ellipse of cell shape. Angle of inclination of long axis and groove direction are represented by α and β respectively. Scale bar is 500 μ m in (E) (G) and 50 μ m in (F) (H).







Page 10 of 16



Fig. 2. Cell distribution and alignment on substrates patterned with curved constraints. (A) Diagram illustrating definition of cell located in groove or on ridge. (B) Percentage of cells located in grooves of concentric circular and elliptical patterned substrates as a function of time. Inset figures are phase contrast images at different time. (C) Diagram illustrating cell alignment angle and alignment degree. Cell angle and groove direction are shown as α and β . Cell alignment angle is shown as θ . (D) Alignment degree of cells in grooves of concentric circular and elliptical substrates as a function of time. All parameters were calculated and showed as mean ± SD.



Fig. 3. Cell alignment angle distribution and cell alignment degree over radii of groove curvatures on circular patterned substrates at 51 h of culture. (A) Diagram illustrating groove sidewalls (top) and groove regions divided by the distance to groove sidewalls (bottom). Groove sidewalls were represented by radii of their curvatures from Rc1 to Rc4. Points on each dash line are equidistant from both groove sidewalls. Cells located in between Rc1 and dash line were supposed to be affected more by Rc1 and so on. (B) Fluorescent image of myoblasts nuclei (blue) and polymeric ridges (red) on 150 µm patterned substrate. Plots showed the distribution of cell alignment angle of different curvatures of grooves with widths of (C) 100 µm, (D) 150 µm and (E) 200 µm. (F) Degree of cell alignment (D) changed with groove curvatures on 100, 150 and 200 µm circular patterned substrates. If there is a high degree of parallel or perpendicular alignment between cell and groove direction, D will approach 1 or 0 respectively.

J. Mater. Chem. B, 2016 | 3



Fig. 4. Influence of parallelism of boundaries of constraints on cell alignment and cell aspect ratio. (A) Diagram illustrating constraints with parallel and unparallel boundaries. Parallel constraint provides fixed width while unparallel constraint provides varied width that is narrower than the former. Scale bars = 40 μ m. (B) Comparisons of cell alignment degree between circular constraints with width of 100, 150 and 200 μ m and corresponding elliptical constraints with width of 50 to 100, 75 to 150 and 100 to 200 μ m. (C) Influence of curvature on cell aspect ratio. (D) Comparisons of cell aspect ratio between circular and elliptical constraints.

Page 12 of 16



Fig. 5. Influence of substrate patterns on nuclear aspect ratio. (A) Fluorescent images of F-actin (red) and nuclei (blue) of cells on 150 μ m circular composite and flat glass substrate (B) after 51 h of culture. Polymeric ridges were also imaged and showed in red. (C) Comparisons of nuclear aspect ratio of different substrate patterns.



Paper



Fig. 6. Finite element results of orientation maps of intercellular maximum principal stresses with respect to directions of constraint boundary and parallelism maps of elliptical ring-shaped constraints with varied width (unparallel boundaries). Overlain upon theses maps are white arrows depicting vectors of maximum principal stresses. (A) The bias angle of maximum principal stress (red line with arrows) was defined as θ_s based on the deviation from the circumferential direction (green dash line). (B) The degree of parallelism of inner and outer boundaries was defined as θ_p based on the interangle between tangent lines (black solid lines) of the nearest points on inner (point A) and outer (point B) boundaries with respect to cell center (point O). (C) Left panel shows color-coded maps of θ_s of circular ring-shaped models with inner diameter of 750 µm and a distance of 100 (left), 150 (middle) and 200 µm (right) between the inner and outer boundaries. Right panel shows θ_s of corresponding circular ring-shaped models with different width. (D) Left panel shows oclor-coded maps of θ_s of circular ring-shaped models with different width. (D) Left panel shows oclor-coded maps of θ_s of circular ring-shaped models with inner diameter of 750 µm and a distance of 150 µm between the inner and outer boundaries. Right panel shows θ_s of corresponding circular ring-shaped models with different width. (D) Left panel shows color-coded maps of θ_s of circular ring-shaped models with different width. (D) Left panel shows color-coded maps of θ_s of circular ring-shaped models with inner diameter of 750 µm and a distance of 150 µm between the inner and outer boundaries. Right panel shows θ_s of corresponding corresponding of θ_s of elliptical ring-shaped models with inner diameter of 150 µm between the inner and outer boundaries. Stell the panel shows θ_s of corresponding end bot axis of 750 and 375 µm and a distance of 150 µm terverues. (E) Left panel shows θ_s of corresponding elliptical ring-s



Fig. 7. Effect of blebbistatin induced cell-cell contact inhibition on cell alignment angle distribution. Alignment angle (θ) of cells on 150 μ m circular (A) and elliptical (B) were calculated before and 4 h after blebbistatin treatment respectively. Insets were phase contrast images of cells at the same position of the substrate before and after drug treatment.

J. Mater. Chem. B, 2016 | 7

We introduce substrates of composite materials for sphincter tissue engineering and demonstrate the mechanisms of how dimension, curvature and parallelism of constraint affect cellular organization.

