

Application of microfluidics to control product selectivity during non-catalytic oxidation of naphthenic-aromatic hydrocarbons

Journal:	Reaction Chemistry & Engineering
Manuscript ID	RE-ART-01-2016-000010.R2
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Apr-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Siddiquee, Muhammad ; University of Alberta, Chemical and Materials Engineering De Klerk, Arno; University of Alberta, Chemical and Materials Engineering Nazemifard, Neda; University of Alberta, Chemical and Materials Engineering;

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

ARTICLE



Application of microfluidics to control product selectivity during non-catalytic oxidation of naphthenic-aromatic hydrocarbons †

M. N. Siddiquee,^a A. de Klerk^a and N. Nazemifard^{a‡}

temperatures. The goal was to investigate how local oxygen availability could be controlled during oxidation and how it affected both oxidation rate and product selectivity. Microfluidic reactor provides a unique opportunity to exactly manipulate local oxygen availability by changing hydrodynamics of the reactor in Taylor flow region. Ketone-to-alcohol selectivity in microreactor was increased by an order of magnitude from less than 1:1 to 14:1 by increasing oxygen availability in the liquid phase at near constant conversion, oxygen partial pressure, and temperature. Similar oxidation experiments were conducted in semi-batch and batch reactors and the results were compared to microreactor experiment. Higher ketone selectivity in microreactor could be explained in terms of the oxidation of alcohol and/or alkoxy radicals formed. It was observed that the selectivity to alcohols and addition products increased at lower oxygen availability. In addition to selectivity, oxygen mass transfer coefficient to the liquid phase was also calculated based on the microreactor geometry and hydrodynamics.

Liquid phase oxidation of a simple naphthenic-aromatic hydrocarbon was studied in a microreactor over low

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Introduction

Liquid phase oxidation of hydrocarbons is widely applied for the production of petrochemicals.^{1, 2, 3} Many of these processes employ oxidation catalysts, but not all feed materials are amenable to catalytic conversion. Homogeneous oxidation catalysts used for the production of fine chemicals generate wastes; catalyst deactivation and recycling are also problematic.⁴ When dealing with complex mixtures that contain potential fouling agents and catalyst poisons, it may be necessary to resort to non-catalytic oxidation. The challenge in free radical oxidation is to achieve good selectivity.

At low temperature some reaction pathways are not favoured, because they require higher activation energies, but in free radical processes selectivity cannot always be controlled by just the kinetics. In practice, oxidation selectivity is governed by temperature in combination with oxygen availability. ^{5, 6, 7} It is the local concentration of oxygen in proximity to the free radical intermediates that influences selectivity and hence reference to oxygen availability rather than oxygen partial pressure. ^{3, 8} Although it is convenient to think in terms of bulk concentrations, the reactions that determine selectivity are local events. Yet, despite the industrial importance of selective liquid phase oxidation of hydrocarbons, only few studies dealt with reaction engineering. ^{3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12}

Oxygen availability is influenced by mass transport. The transport

of oxygen from the gas phase to liquid phase, as well as the transport of oxidation intermediates between the bulk liquid and the gas-liquid interface are likely important. The oxidation intermediates are oxygenates that may be surface active in an apolar hydrocarbon medium.⁵ A proper description of oxygen availability and how it is influenced by mass transport must therefore take oxygen and oxygenate transport into account.

During liquid-phase oxidation of hydrocarbons with molecular oxygen, various oxygenates like hydroperoxides, alcohols and ketones are produced as primary oxidation products.^{5, 13, 14} Secondary oxidation products (oxidized multiple times), and in some cases addition products (dimerized/oligomerized) are also generated.¹⁵ Oxidation proceeds via a free radical mechanism, which is well studied and reviewed in literature.^{5, 13, 14} Alkyl free radicals (R[·]) are formed (initiation) during the induction period, but initiation has no appreciable effect on product selectivity.⁵ The reaction between alkyl radicals (R⁻) and molecular oxygen (O₂) is very fast and follows zero order kinetics at an oxygen partial pressure above 13 kPa.^{3, 8} Once the propagation starts, selectivity to form oxidation products is changed depending on the oxidation conditions and structure of hydrocarbons. Alcohols and ketones are generally formed at 1:1 ratio for primary and secondary hydrocarbons;^{5, 13} however, depending on the oxygen availability, this ratio changes. During industrial applications, low conversion (less than 5 %) is preferred to control selectivity of hydrocarbon autoxidation; otherwise, different kinds of by-products are produced.¹⁶ It is desirable to control selectivity and preferable to control selectivity independent from conversion.

Continuous-flow liquid phase oxidation in microreactors has received a lot of attention as the miniaturized reactor provides high

^{a.} Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2V4, Canada. [‡]E-mail: nn1@ualberta.ca †Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

interfacial areas resulting in enhanced heat and mass transfer rates, safe use of hazardous oxidants, and scale-up potential. The goal of the present study was to investigate how oxygen availability could be manipulated during liquid-phase oxidation of naphthenicaromatic hydrocarbon with molecular oxygen and how it was related to both oxidation rate and product selectivity. In order to have a clearly defined and measurable gas-liquid interface area, the experimental work in this investigation was conducted using a microfluidic reactor.

One of the advantages of using microfluidic reactors is the exact control of liquid and gas flowrates, as well as channel geometry and dimensions. This made it possible to calculate the exact gas-liquid interfacial area and film thickness by performing experiments in the Taylor flow region. The Taylor flow region (also known as slug flow) is characterized by a liquid-gas two-phase flow in which the gas phase exists as bubbles separated by liquid "slugs". The internal circulation within the liquid slug ensures good mixing, $^{17,\ 18,\ 19,\ 20,\ 21}$ and more importantly, could transport the oxygenates from the interface to the bulk liquid. Moreover, the short radial diffusion length of microfluidic reactors ensures better mixing and it leads to a narrow residence time distribution (RTD).²² These are potentially advantageous for preventing the over-oxidation of oxygenates in consecutive processes and for controlling the product selectivity. Another important advantage of microfluidic reactor is that oxidation can be conducted using pure oxygen with reduced risk of explosion. 9, 17, 23

In this manuscript, the microfluidic reactor was used as a tool to proof the fundamental how selectivity can be manipulated at near constant conversion. It is not suggested to use the microfluidic reactor for large-scale production required by industry. The insights from this study can be applied to select appropriate reactor technology to achieve the same at larger scale, for example, the use of loop reactors^{24, 25, 26} for improved mass transfer.

Experimental

Materials

The list of chemicals used in this study is given in **Table 1**. Tetralin, known as hydrogen-donor solvent, was selected as the model

Table 1 List of chemicals used in this study

hydrocarbon due to its relevance to the energy industry and the richness of kinetic data. Di-*tert*-butyl peroxide (DTBP) was used as an initiator for few experiments to overcome initiation limitation. The oxidized model compounds of tetralin-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphthol and α-tetralone were used for identification by GC-MS (gas chromatography-mass spectrometry). Hexachlorobenzene (99 %, analytical standard, Supleco) was used as an internal standard in GC-FID (gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector) analysis for conversion calculation and chloroform (98 %, HPLC grade, Fischer Scientific) was used as a solvent for GC analysis. Extra-dry oxygen (99.6 % molar purity, Praxair Inc., Canada) was used as an oxidizing agent and nitrogen (99.999 % molar purity, Praxair Inc., Canada) was used to control backpressure. Properties of oxygen and tetralin for all the experimental conditions are reported in Supporting Information (**Table S3**).

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering

Equipment and procedure

Oxidation in microfluidic reactor. A 1000 µl glass rectangular microfluidic reactor (Figure S1) having a mixing channel of depth = 1240 μ m, width = 161 μ m, length = 536 mm and reaction channel of depth = 1240 μ m, width = 391 μ m, length = 1844 mm were used for oxidation. These dimensions lead to a hydraulic diameter of the reaction channel of d_H =6.0×10⁻⁴ m and aspect ratio of the reaction channel of width/depth = 0.32. The microfluidic experimental setup consisted of a microfluidic reactor (Dolomite Microfluidics, Charlestown, MA, USA), syringe pump (KDS-210, KD Scientific, USA), gas cylinder, pressure transducer (Swagelok, Canada), gas flow meter (Swagelok, Canada), pressure bomb (Swagelok, Canada), and backpressure regulator (Swagelok, Canada). A syringe pump and syringes (Model: 1005TLL, Hamilton Co., USA) were used to inject the tetralin into reactor at the desired flowrate. A Heidolph MR Hei-Standard hot plate (Model: 505-20000-01-2, Heldolph Instruments, Germany) was used to control the temperature of the microfluidic reactor system. An aluminum block was used to limit the gap between the hotplate and the reactor. Thermal adhesive (Dow Corning Corporation, Midland, MI, USA) was used to ensure better heat transfer between the aluminum block and the microfluidic reactor. Microfluidic reactor temperature was monitored using a surface mounted thermocouple (Model: CO 1, Cement-on

Name	CASRN ^a Formula		Purit	y (wt %)	Supplier
			supplier ^b	analysis ^c	
tetralin	119-64-2	$C_{10}H_{12}$	99	98.9	Sigma-Aldrich
di- <i>tert</i> -butyl-peroxide	110-05-4	$C_8H_{18}O_2$	99	^d	Acros Organics
1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphthol	529-33-9	$C_{10}H_{12}O$	97	^d	Aldrich
α-tetralone	529-34-0	$C_{10}H_{10}O$	97	^d	Aldrich
hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1	C_6CI_6	99	99.1	Supleco
chloroform	67-66-3	CHCl₃	99.1	98.1	Fisher Scientific

^a CASRN = Chemical Abstracts Services Registry Number.

^b This is the purity of the material guaranteed by the supplier.

^c This is the purity based on peak area obtained by GC-FID analysis.

^d Compounds were decomposed while GC-FID analysis and purity was not determined

2 | Reaction Chemistry and Engineering, 2015, 00, 1-3

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx



Figure 1 Experimental setup for tetralin oxidation by molecular oxygen

Thermocouple, Omega Engineering, Inc., USA). A Flea3FL3-U3-13E4M camera (Point Grey Research Inc., Canada) was used to capture the images of gas bubbles and liquid slugs. A Fiber–Lite lamp (Model: 3100, Dolan-Jenner Industries, Inc., USA) was employed to improve lighting and thereby the image quality. The reactor was connected to the syringe pump, gas flow meter, and pressure bomb through PTFE tubing, $1/16^{''}$ OD x 0.8 mm ID (Dolomite Microfluidics, Charlestown, MA, USA).

The experimental setup employed for this investigation is shown in Figure 1. Oxidations were performed at low temperatures (120–160 °C) and pressure to control product selectivity. The barometric pressure at the test location was on average 90 kPa. Taylor flow conditions were maintained in which tetralin slugs were separated by elongated oxygen bubbles (Figure 2). The slug flow was maintained due to the higher boiling point of tetralin (207 °C) and minor changes in density, surface tension and viscosity (Table S3). In a typical experiment, tetralin was loaded into the 5 ml syringe. After the syringe was loaded and before tetralin was fed through the system, the system was pressurized to 90 kPa gauge by flowing oxygen through the system. Tetralin was then fed into the system using a syringe pump. Gas-liquid slugs were formed due to the cofeed of tetralin and oxygen and applied backpressure using a backpressure regulator and nitrogen gas. The flowrate of the gasliquid slug was controlled by observing the flow of gas-liquid slug and by changing the setting of backpressure regulator. The flow patterns of the gas and liquid were monitored during the experiment using a digital camera mounted above the microfluidic reactor. Tetralin and oxygen co-feed in Taylor flow condition was continued for twenty minutes, and the system was then depressurized. After the reaction, oxidized tetralin was collected from the pressure vessel using a needle valve, and stored for the instrumental analyses. After each experiment the reactor was flushed with acetone and dried by flowing nitrogen. Oxygen availability was manipulated by performing experiments at different injection rates (1 to 15 µL/min) of tetralin. Liquid slugs and gas

bubbles of different sizes were obtained. All of the experiments were performed in triplicate. Ten different slugs and gas bubbles from each experiment were used to calculate hydrodynamic parameters, mass transfer characteristics and oxygen availability. Oxidative conversion and product selectivity were calculated from the triplicate gas chromatographic analyses of the liquid phase products from each experiment.

Pressure drop has an influence on the oxygen partial pressure and on the Taylor flow hydrodynamics that would change the bubble sizes during the experiments. Considering all the variations, many researchers have used the slug flow geometry to investigate different reaction kinetics.^{9, 10, 11}

Oxidation in semi-batch and batch reactor. Oxidation of tetralin with molecular oxygen was performed in a semi-batch reactor to determine the induction period for oxidation by measuring oxygen



Figure 2 (a) Microfluidic reactor; (b) typical gas-liquid (tetralinoxygen) slugs in a microfluidic reactor; (c) sketch of gas bubbleliquid slug to represent length of gas (oxygen) bubble (L_G), length of liquid (tetralin) slug (L_S), unit cell length (L_{UC}), liquid film and liquid cap. Liquid can circulate within liquid slug. (Reactor was placed horizontally during experiments).

consumption, and to compare oxidative conversion and product selectivity achieved using the microfluidic reactor. The oxidation procedure was similar to that employed in the study of lowtemperature autoxidation of various hydrocarbon classes.¹⁵ Approximately 50 g of the tetralin was placed in a 250 mL threenecked round bottom flask, which was connected to a reflux condenser having a supply of chilled water (10 °C). Nitrogen was supplied first to remove the dissolve oxygen and then heated up the reactor to reach the oxidation temperature. Residence time was calculated from the time of nitrogen-to-oxygen supply and from the time of sample collections at different time interval. Oxygen flow was controlled at 110 mL/min at near atmospheric pressure and ambient temperature, which is equivalent to about 125 mL oxygen /h per g of tetralin. Oxidation was performed at 150 °C for one hour. The temperature in the flask was controlled using a thermocouple in the solution connected to a Heidolph MR Hei-Standard heat-on-block heater. Small samples, approximately 0.5 g, were withdrawn from the flask at different time intervals to monitor the progress of oxidation. A cold trap was employed to ensure liquid free off-gas, which was then passed through a preweighed and pre-dried calcium chloride (Fisher Scientific, Canada) bed to absorb water vapor. Oxygen consumption and CO₂ production were continuously monitored by measuring liquid free off-gases using an O_2 and CO_2 analyzer (Series 9600, Alpha Omega Instruments, USA). Liquid free off-gases were also analyzed continuously using a mass spectrometer (Extorr XT Series RGA, XT300 M, Extorr, Inc., New Kensington, PA, USA).

A 25 ml batch reactor manufactured from 316 standard stainless steel Swagelok fittings and tubing was used to compare the tetralin conversion and product selectivity with a microfluidic reactor. The reactor was equipped with a thermocouple and a pressure gauge to monitor the operating temperature and pressure, respectively. The batch reactor setup is shown in Supporting Information (Figure S2). Oxidation was performed at 130 °C for 2 min and 5 min (oxidation/residence time) after reaching the internal temperature within 1 °C of the heater temperature. Heating and cooling time excluded from the oxidation time reported. The heat-up time required to reach an internal temperature within 1 °C of the heater temperature was six minutes. Tetralin was first charged into reactor, and then oxygen was introduced into the reactor by maintaining the liquid-to-gas volume and the operating pressure same value as used for the microfluidic reactor. The reactor was then submerged into a temperature controlled preheated sandbath heater (Model: FSB-3, Omega Engineering, Inc., USA) to control the oxidation temperature. The reactor was removed from sand bath heater at the end of oxidation and allowed to cool for 10 minutes. Sands from the surface of the reactor were cleaned. The reactor was depressurized and liquid oxidation products were collected for chromatographic analyses to calculate oxidative conversion and product selectivity. A balance (Mettler-Toledo Model XP1203S, 1.2 kg weighing range, with 1 mg readability) was used to record the mass of the complete microreactor system after each step of the experiment.

Analyses

Gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID) was used for quantitative analysis. An Agilent GC-FID (Agilent 7890A GC system) equipped with DB-5 MS column 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μ m column was employed for this purpose. The GC injector temperature was 250 °C, the split ratio was 10:1. Column flow of helium as carrier gas was 2 mL/min and was kept constant. The oven temperature was 75 °C for 0.5 minutes and then temperature was increased from 75 °C to 325 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min, and finally, the temperature was kept constant at 325 °C for 5 minutes. The samples were prepared using chloroform as solvent and hexachlorobenzene was added as an internal standard.

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering

A GC-MS using an Agilent 78820A GC system (Agilent Technologies Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON) and an Agilent 5977E MSD (Agilent Technologies Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON) mass spectrometer was used to identify the oxidation products of tetralin. The products were separated on an HP-5 30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 μ m column. The same temperature program as that in GC-FID analyses was used; the HP-5 and DB-5 MS columns also have similar separation characteristics. Oxygenates were classified as primary, secondary and addition products. Primary oxidation products are alcohol and ketones of tetralin, secondary oxidation products contain more than one ketone and/or alcohol functional groups, and addition products contain at least a dimer having different functional groups. Primary oxidation products were identified using GC-MS spectrum of commercially available alcohol and ketone of tetralin; secondary and addition products were identified using GC-MS spectrum and NIST library. Details of product identification are available in our previous study.¹⁵

A high-pressure differential scanning calorimeter (HP DSC) was used to evaluate the thermal behavior of the initiator (di-*tert*-butyl-peroxide). The instrument, Mettler-Toledo HP DSC 1, was equipped with an FRS-5 sensor employing 56 thermocouples, a Chiller (JULABO GmbHE, Germany) and a 400 W power amplifier. In a typical analysis, approximately 10 mg of sample was placed in an aluminum crucible with a pin (40 μ L). The lid was pierced with a needle on a clean rubber surface and the crucible was sealed with a Mettler crucible sealing press. Measurements were conducted under a nitrogen atmosphere and at 1 MPa pressure. The temperature program was to heat the sample from 25 to 250 °C at different heating rates: 5 °C/min, 10 °C/min, 15 °C/min and 20 °C/min.

Calculations

Different hydrodynamic parameters and mass transfer coefficients were calculated from the captured images of flow in the microfluidic reactor as follows:

(a) Gas liquid interfacial area per unit liquid slug volume, a: this was calculated from the dimension of the rectangular channel reactor (h x w) and image analysis of gas bubbles and liquid slugs (Figure 2(c)).

Surface area of gas bubble:

$$S_G = 2(wL_{G,actual} + hL_{G,actual}) + 4\pi((w+h)/4)^2$$
(i)

$$L_{G,actual} = L_G \cdot (w+h)/2$$
(ii)

Volume of liquid slug:

$$V_L = whL_S + wh[(w+h)/2] - (4/3)\pi[(w+h)/4]^3$$
 (iii)

Gas liquid interfacial area per unit liquid slug volume:

$$a = S_G / V_L$$
 (iv)

Here, S_G is the surface of the gas bubble, L_G and L_S are the lengths of the gas bubble and liquid slug respectively, and w and h are the width and depth of the reactor channel, respectively.

Approximated radius of the cap (Figure 2c) of liquid slug:

$$r_{cap} = d_{H}/2 \tag{v}$$

The approximation was made since geometry formed by the two liquid caps is not a complete sphere. d_H is defined by equation (xii).

(b) Superficial liquid slug velocity, U_L and gas bubble velocity, U_G : these were calculated from the distance travelled by the slug and bubble in a particular time. Two phase superficial velocity (U_{TP}) was calculated as follows:

$$U_{TP} = \varepsilon_G U_G + (1 - \varepsilon_G) U_L$$
 (vi)

Here, the volume fraction of gas bubble:

$$\varepsilon_G = \frac{V_G}{V_G + V_L} \tag{vii}$$

 V_L is the volume of liquid slug was calculated according to equation (iii).

 V_G is the volume of gas bubble:

$$V_G = whL_{G,actual} + (4/3)\pi((w+h)/4)^2$$
 (viii)

(c) Average residence time: this was calculated from the twophase superficial velocity (U_{TP}) and the reactor length. (d) Liquid film thickness surrounding a gas bubble, δ : this was calculated from the captured images and also using the correlations provided by Yun et al.²⁷ for a rectangular microchannel as follows:

$$\frac{\delta_{max}}{D_h} = 0.39 \text{ We}^{0.09} \tag{ix}$$

$$\frac{\delta_{\min}}{D_h} = 0.02 \ W e^{0.62} \tag{x}$$

Here, Weber number,
$$We = \frac{D_h U_T^2 \rho_l}{\sigma_l}$$
 (xi)

Hydraulic diameter of the channel,

$$D_{h} = 2[wh/(w+h)]$$
(xii)

 δ_{\max} and δ_{\min} are the maximum and minimum thicknesses of the liquid film (m), respectively.

 U_{TP} (m/s) is the two phase superficial gas velocity, ρ_l is the

density of liquid and σ_l is the surface tension of liquid (N/m).

w and h are the width and depth of the reactor channel, respectively.

(e) Volumetric mass transfer coefficient, $k_L a$ (s⁻¹): this was calculated using film theory: ^{19, 21}

$$k_L = \frac{D_A}{\delta} \tag{xiii}$$

Here, D_A is the diffusivity of oxygen in tetralin, δ is the thickness of liquid film surrounding the oxygen bubble. k_L can also be calculated based on the penetration theory, shown in supporting information.

Calculations performed using of the results obtained by analysis of the products using gas chromatography were:

(f) Product selectivity: this was calculated from the relative peak area of the products as follows:

Table 2A Hydrodynamic properties, mass transfer coefficients and oxygen availability during tetralin oxidation performed with oxygen at 150 °C and 90 kPa gauge pressure

				experi	mental ^ª		
Series	length of liquid slug,	length of bubble,	superficial liquid	superficial bubble	two-phase superficial	residence time	gas-liquid interfacial
Jenes	L _s ×10 ³ (m)	L _G ×10 ² (m)	velocity, U _s ×10 ² (m/s)	velocity, U _G ×10 ² (m/s)	velocity, $U_{TP} \times 10^2$	(min)	area, a $\times 10^{-4} (m^2/m^3)$
		1			(11/5)		1
A	1.6 ± 0.5	$2.1 \times 10^{-1} \pm 5.3$	2.6 ± 1.0	2.6 ± 1.0	2.6 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 0.4	$3.0 \times 10^{1} \pm 5.2$
В	2.7 ± 0.3	9.8 ± 4.1	2.1 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.4	1.8 ± 0.4	$1.5 \times 10^{1} \pm 4.7$
С	4.9 ± 1.9	5.1 ± 1.5	2.0 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.7	6.2 ± 1.8
D	4.3 ± 0.6	$1.1 \pm 4.1 \times 10^{-1}$	1.6 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.8	$1.6 \pm 5.8 \times 10^{-1}$
E	4.9 ± 0.6	$0.4 \pm 6.0 \times 10^{-2}$	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	3.5±0.7	$5.4 \times 10^{-1} \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$

^a based on 30 different slugs of each series of experiments

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering

Table 2B Hydrodynamic properties, mass transfer coefficients and oxygen availability during tetralin oxidation performed with oxygen at 150 °C and 90 kPa gauge pressure

	С	alculated based on	equation (x) ^a		calculated based or	n equation (ix)ª
	film	mass transfer	liquid side volumetric	film	mass transfer	liquid side volumetric mass
Series	thickness, ^c	coefficient,	mass transfer	thickness, ^c	coefficient,	transfer coefficient,
	δ_{min}	k,	coefficient,	δ_{max}	k,	$k_L a \times 10^{-1} (s^{-1})$
	×10 ⁶ (m)	×10 ³ (m/s) ^b	$k_L a \times 10^{-2} (s^{-1})$	×10 ⁴ (m)	×10 ⁴ (m/s) ^b	
А	5.4 ± 3×10 ⁻²	6.3 ± 3.1×10 ⁻²	$1.9 \times 10^{1} \pm 3.3$	1.6 ± 9.0×10 ⁻²	$2.2 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-1}$	6.4 ± 1.2
В	$5.4 \pm 2 \times 10^{-2}$	$6.3 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-2}$	9.6 ± 2.9	$1.5 \pm 4.8 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.2 \pm 7.4 \times 10^{-2}$	3.4 ± 1.1
С	5.4 ± 3×10 ⁻²	6.3 ± 3.8×10 ⁻²	3.9 ± 1.1	$1.5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.3 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.4 \pm 4.1 \times 10^{-1}$
D	$5.4 \pm 3 \times 10^{-2}$	6.3 ± 4.0×10 ⁻²	$1.0 \pm 3.0 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.4 \pm 9.8 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.4 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.7 \times 10^{-1} \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-1}$
Е	$5.3 \pm 2 \times 10^{-2}$	6.4 ± 2.3×10 ⁻²	$3.4 \times 10^{-1} \pm 8.0 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.3 \pm 5.4 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.5 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.4 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.0 \times 10^{-2}$
^a based o	n 30 different cluge	of each series of exp	ariments			

^b based on film theory and $k_L = D_A/\delta$

^c maximum and minimum film thickness were calculated based on the correlation provided for Taylor flow in rectangular channel by Yun et al. (2010).²⁷

Ketone-to-alcohol selectivity in primary oxidation products was calculated from the relative peak areas of ketones and alcohols in primary oxidation products.

(g) Calculation of GC-FID response factor and tetralin conversion is included in Supporting Information. Flame ionization detector response factors for the feed materials were used to calculate conversion. Response factors for products were not used in the selectivity calculations, due to the diversity of oxidation products.

Results

Role of oxygen availability on conversion and selectivity

The experimental parameter that influenced oxygen availability most, without changing the oxygen partial pressure, is the gasliquid interfacial area. A series of experiments were conducted at different flow conditions to evaluate the role of oxygen availability on conversion and selectivity. Conditions of low conversion were selected so that initial product selectivity values could be obtained.

Reactor hydrodynamics. It was necessary to characterize the hydrodynamic behavior of the microfluidic reactor. This was accomplished by taking pictures of the flow under different flow

Table 3 Oxidative conversion and product selectivity of tetralin oxidation with oxygen at 150 °C and 90 kPa gauge pressure at different oxygen availabilities

series	oxidative conversion ^a	sele F	ketone-to- alcohol in		
	×10 ¹ (wt %)	primary	secondary	addition	primary oxidation products ^a
Α	7.4 ± 1.5	100	0	0	$1.4 \times 10^{1} \pm 2.2$
В	$2.1 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	100	0	0	7.0 ± 2.0×10 ⁻¹
С	$1.7 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	100	0	0	$1.6 \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-1}$
D	$1.2 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	100	0	0	$1.3 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$
E	$1.6 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	100	0	0	$1.3 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$

^a calculated based on the GC-FID relative peak area of triplicate runs of each experiment

6 | Reaction Chemistry and Engineering, 2015, 00, 1-3

conditions of interest. Five different flow conditions were employed. Flow conditions were manipulated to achieve a range of gas-liquid interfacial areas (*a*), Series A having the largest *a*, and Series E having the lowest *a*. The measurements and calculated film thickness, gas-liquid transfer area and mass transfer coefficient are reported. **Table 2** shows hydrodynamic parameters, mass transfer coefficients and gas-liquid interfacial area (oxygen availability) for the oxidation performed at 150 °C and 90 kPa gauge by using pure oxygen as oxidizing agent.

Two-phase superficial velocity (U_{TP}) varied with different sizes of the slugs and gas bubbles and was a consequence of the manipulation of gas-liquid flow ratio. The highest two-phase superficial velocity was 2.6×10⁻² m/s, which was obtained in the case of smaller liquid slugs and larger gas bubbles (series A). Residence time decreased with increasing two-phase superficial velocity (U_{TP}).

The maximum and minimum thicknesses of liquid film surrounding the gas bubble were calculated, respectively, based on equations



Figure 3 Effect of oxygen availability during tetralin oxidation with oxygen at 150 °C and 90 kPa gauge pressure [triplicate results for the experiment series A-E: (\bullet) conversion rate and (\blacksquare) product selectivity]

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

(ix) and (x). Minimum film thickness was almost identical for all test conditions at 5.4×10^{-6} m and maximum film thickness was $^{-1.4}\times 10^{-4}$ m for all experimental conditions.

Liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient was calculated using equation (xiii). The mass transfer coefficient increased with increasing gas-liquid interfacial area, which was calculated using equation (iv). The maximum liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient was 1.9×10^3 s⁻¹ and was obtained for minimum film thickness at the largest gas-liquid interfacial area, 3×10^5 m²/m³ (Series A).

Conversion and selectivity. The conversion and product selectivity data for the oxidation of tetralin with oxygen at different hydrodynamic conditions were determined (**Table 3**).

Conversion rates were very close at low gas-liquid interfacial area (**Figure 3**). When the gas-liquid interfacial area was increased by a factor ~5 it resulted in an increase in tetralin conversion by a factor ~8. Despite the magnitude of change in conversion rate, overall conversion remained low.

Selectivity of the products varied with gas-liquid interfacial area

(Figure 3). Addition products and secondary oxidation products were not identified at the low conversion levels reported (Table 3). The ketone-to-alcohol selectivity in primary oxidation products increased by an order of magnitude as the gas-liquid interfacial area increased. The chromatograms of tetralin oxidized at 150 °C (Series A and Series E) are reported in Supporting Information (Figure S3) to illustrate the ketone-to-alcohol selectivity in the primary oxidation product.

Effect of temperature and initiator on conversion and selectivity

The conversion levels that could be achieved when manipulating the gas-liquid interfacial area in the microfluidic reactor was limited to less than 1 wt %. Although it enabled initial reaction selectivities to be determined, it did not provide any information on the influence on secondary oxidation. Secondary oxidation required somewhat higher conversion. The conversion range could be extended in two ways. First, by adding an oxidation initiator to reduce the initiation period. Second, by increasing the temperature

 Table 4A
 Hydrodynamic properties, mass transfer coefficients and oxygen availability of tetralin oxidized at 90 KPa gauge pressure and different temperature using oxygen as oxidizing agent

						experime	ental ^a		
	ts	nre	length of	length of gas	liquid slug	bubble	two-phase	residence	gas-liquid interfacial
ies	tan	c) rat	liquid	bubble,	velocity,	velocity, U _G	superficial	time	area, a
ser	sact	adı "")	slug, Ls	L _G	U _s ×10 ²	×10 ²	velocity, UTP	(min)	×10 ⁻⁴
	re	en	×10 ³	×10 ³	(m/s)	(m/s)	×10 ² (m/s)		(m²/m³)
		-	(m)	(m)					
F		120	3.5 ± 0.4	8.0 ± 1.7	1.1 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.7	$1.4 \pm 3.1 \times 10^{-1}$
G		130	3.8 ± 0.5	8.4 ± 2.8	1.8 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.7	$1.4 \pm 4.5 \times 10^{-1}$
Н	Tetralin	140	3.7 ± 0.3	8.7 ± 2.4	1.3 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 1.1	$1.4 \pm 3.8 \times 10^{-1}$
I.		150	4.3 ± 0.6	$1.1 \times 10^{1} \pm 4.1$	1.6 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.8	$1.6 \pm 5.8 \times 10^{-1}$
J		160	3.7 ± 0.4	9.4 ± 2.3	1.4 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 1.3	$1.5 \pm 3.7 \times 10^{-1}$
K ^b	Tatualia	120	3.4 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 2.2	1.5 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.6	$1.4 \pm 4.5 \times 10^{-1}$
Lb	retrain	130	3.6 ± 0.4	7.9 ± 2.3	2.0 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 0.8	$1.3 \pm 4.2 \times 10^{-1}$
Mb	WILLI O. F. u.+0/	140	3.7 ± 0.5	7.8 ± 3.0	1.9 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.7	$1.3 \pm 5.1 \times 10^{-1}$
N ^b	0.5 Wt%	150	3.7 ± 0.3	6.9 ± 1.6	1.4 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 0.7	$1.2 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{-1}$
0 ^b	DIBP	160	4.1 ± 0.3	9.8 ± 2.8	1.5 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 0.0	2.5 ± 0.7	$1.4 \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-1}$

^a based on 30 different slugs of each series of experiments

^b the experiments performed in the presence of 0.5 wt% initiator (di-*tert*-butyl peroxide)

^c DTBP(di-tert-butyl peroxide) was used as an initiator

 Table 4B
 Hydrodynamic properties, mass transfer coefficients and oxygen availability of tetralin oxidized at 90 KPa gauge pressure and different temperature using oxygen as oxidizing agent

			calcula	ted based on equa	ation (x) ^a	calcula	ted based on equa	tion (ix) ^a
ies	tants	rature C)	film thickness, ^d	mass transfer coefficient,	liquid side volumetric mass	film thickness, ^d	mass transfer coefficient,	liquid side volumetric mass
ser	read	tempe (°	δ _{min} ×10 ⁶ (m)	k∟ ×10 ³ (m/s) ^e	transfer coefficient, $k_L a \times 10^{-1}$ (s ⁻¹)	δ_{max} ×10 ⁴ (m)	k∟ ×10 ⁴ (m/s) ^e	transfer coefficient, $k_L a (s^{-1})$
F		120	5.3 ± 1.8×10 ⁻²	4.0 ± 1.4×10 ⁻²	5.6 ± 1.3	1.3 ± 5.1×10 ⁻²	$1.6 \pm 6.0 \times 10^{-2}$	2.2 ± 5.0×10 ⁻¹
G		130	5.4 ± 2.6×10 ⁻²	4.7 ± 2.2×10 ⁻²	6.4 ± 2.1	$1.5 \pm 7.8 \times 10^{-2}$	1.7 ± 9.2×10 ⁻²	$2.4 \pm 8.5 \times 10^{-1}$
н	Tetralin	140	5.4 ± 3.3×10 ⁻²	5.5 ± 3.3×10 ⁻²	7.7 ± 2.0	1.4 ± 9.4×10 ⁻²	2.1 ± 1.4×10 ⁻¹	3.0 ± 7.9×10 ⁻¹
I		150	5.4 ± 3.3×10 ⁻²	6.3 ± 4.0×10 ⁻²	9.9 ± 3.6	1.5 ± 9.8×10 ⁻²	2.4 ± 1.7×10 ⁻¹	3.7 ± 1.3
J		160	5.4 ± 3.3×10 ⁻²	7.3 ± 4.4×10 ⁻²	$1.1 \times 10^{1} \pm 2.7$	1.4 ± 9.3×10 ⁻²	2.8 ± 1.9×10 ⁻¹	4.3 ± 1.1
К ^b	Tatualia	120	5.4 ± 1.8×10 ⁻²	4.0 ± 1.4×10 ⁻²	5.9 ± 1.8	1.4 ± 5.4×10 ⁻²	1.5 ± 5.9×10 ⁻²	2.2 ± 6.7×10 ⁻¹
Lb	Tetraiin	130	5.4 ± 3.5×10 ⁻²	6.3 ± 4.1×10 ⁻²	8.4 ± 2.6	1.5 ± 1.1×10 ⁻¹	2.3 ± 1.6×10 ⁻¹	3.0 ± 9.0×10 ⁻¹
M ^b	With O 5 wt%	140	5.4 ± 2.7×10 ⁻²	5.5 ± 2.7×10 ⁻²	7.1 ± 2.8	1.5 ± 8.0×10 ⁻²	$2.0 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-1}$	2.6 ± 1.0
N ^b	0.5 Wt%	150	5.4 ± 2.5×10 ⁻²	6.3 ± 3.0×10 ⁻²	7.6 ± 1.7	1.4 ± 7.5×10 ⁻²	2.4 ± 1.2×10 ⁻¹	2.9 ± 6.1×10 ⁻¹
0 ^b	DIBP	160	$5.4 \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.0 \pm 4.3 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.0 \times 10^{1} \pm 2.7$	1.4 ± 7.3×10 ⁻²	$2.6 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.8 \pm 9.2 \times 10^{-1}$

^a based on 30 different slugs of each series of experiments

^b the experiments performed in the presence of 0.5 wt% initiator (di-*tert*-butyl peroxide)

^c DTBP(di-tert-butyl peroxide) was used as an initiator

^d maximum and minimum film thickness were calculated based on the correlation provided for Taylor flow in rectangular channel by Yun et al. (2010).²⁷

^e based on film theory and $k_{\scriptscriptstyle L} = D_{\scriptscriptstyle A}/\delta$

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering, 2015, **00**, 1-3 | **7**



Figure 4 Effect of temperature on conversion rate [triplicate results: tetralin (\blacklozenge) and tetralin with initiator (\blacktriangle)] and product selectivity [triplicate results: tetralin (\blacksquare) and tetralin with initiator (\blacklozenge)] during tetralin oxidation with oxygen in the presence or absence of initiator (0.5 wt % di-*tert*-butyl peroxide) at 90 kPa gauge pressure

of oxidation. Both strategies were explored.

Di-tert-butyl peroxide was used as an initiator and five different temperatures (120–160 °C) were maintained. Liquid flow rate was constant at 10 μ L/min. Like oxygen availability investigation, experiments were performed at low pressure (90 kPa gauge) and oxygen was used as oxidizing agent.

Reactor hydrodynamics. Hydrodynamic parameters, mass transfer

coefficients and gas-liquid interfacial area (oxygen availability) for the oxidation performed to investigate the effects of temperatures and initiator are reported (**Table 4**).

Reported parameters were varied due to the variation of temperatures and the presence of initiator. Size of liquid slugs varied between 3.4×10^{-3} m (Series K) and 4.3×10^{-3} m (Series I). Gas bubbles changed within 6.9×10^{-3} m (Series N) and 1.1×10^{-3} m (Series I). Slugs and gas bubbles of different sizes resulted variation in superficial liquid velocity (U_s), superficial bubble velocity (U_g), two-phase superficial velocities (U_{TP}), residence times and gas-liquid interfacial area (a). Gas-liquid interfacial area varied between 1.2×10^4 m²/m³ (Series N) and 1.6×10^4 m²/m³ (Series I).

Like manipulating oxygen availability investigation, the calculated minimum thicknesses of liquid film surrounding the gas bubble was almost identical for all experimental conditions, 5.4×10^{-6} m and maximum film thickness was ~1.4×10⁻⁴ m for all experimental conditions.

Liquid side mass transfer coefficient (k_L) was increased from 4×10^{-3} m/s to 7×10^{-3} m/s for minimum film thickness and 2.2 m/s to 4.3 m/s for maximum film thickness as temperature increased from 120 °C to 160 °C. Liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient (k_La) was increased with increasing temperature and gas-liquid interfacial area, which was calculated using equation (iv). The maximum liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient was $1.1 \times 10^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and was obtained at 160 °C and at $1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^3$ (Series J) gas-liquid interfacial area.

Conversion and selectivity. As anticipated, conversion rate increased with oxidation temperature for both tetralin and tetralin with initiator (**Figure 4**) at temperatures higher than 130 °C. Conversion rates were almost constant at temperatures below 130 °C. Maximum conversion rate was 1.6×10^{-6} mol/s for tetralin oxidized with 5 wt % initiator at a temperature of 160 °C, and conversion rate was 2×10^{-7} mol/s for tetralin oxidized without initiator at a temperature of 160 °C was increased by an order of magnitude due to the use of 5 wt % initiator. Selectivity of ketone-to-alcohol was also changed with

Table 5 Product selectivity during tetralin oxidation with oxygen at 150 °C and 90 kPa gauge pressure in the presence/ absence ofinitiator (di-tert-butyl peroxide)

series	T (°C)	oxidative conversions ^{b, c}	selectiv	vity of oxidation p	ketone-to-alcohol in primary oxidation	
	. ,	× 10 ² (wt %)	primary	secondary	addition	products ^b (×10 ¹)
F	120	3.0 ± 1.0	100	0	0	$5.4 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$
G	130	4.0 ± 1.0	100	0	0	$5.7 \pm 5.0 \times 10^{-1}$
Н	140	6.0 ± 1.0	100	0	0	$6.4 \pm 3.0 \times 10^{-1}$
I.	150	$1.2 \times 10^{1} \pm 4.0$	100	0	0	$1.3 \times 10^{1} \pm 6.0 \times 10^{-1}$
J	160	$4.4 \times 10^{1} \pm 6.0$	100	0	0	$1.3 \times 10^{1} \pm 8.0 \times 10^{-1}$
K ^a	120	$1.0 \times 10^{1} \pm 1.0$	100	0	0	$1.2 \times 10^{1} \pm 6.0 \times 10^{-1}$
L ^a	130	$1.8 \times 10^{1} \pm 4.0$	100	0	0	$1.4 \times 10^{1} \pm 6.0 \times 10^{-1}$
M ^a	140	$5.0 \times 10^{1} \pm 9.0$	100	0	0	$1.5 \times 10^{1} \pm 8.0 \times 10^{-1}$
N ^a	150	$1.6 \times 10^2 \pm 1.6 \times 10^1$	95.5	2.8	1.4	$2.1 \times 10^{1} \pm 9.0 \times 10^{-1}$
O ^a	160	$3.3 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^1$	90.0	5.1	4.9	$1.6 \times 10^{1} \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-1}$

^a the experiments conducted in the presence of 0.5 wt% initiator (di-*tert*-butyl peroxide)

^b calculated based on the GC-FID relative peak area of triplicate runs of each experiment

^c selectivity and conversion of tetralin oxidized in presence of 0.5 wt% initiator (di-*tert*-butyl peroxide) was calculated by subtracting the product formed due to the initiator before oxidation in microfluidic reactor

Table 6 Comparison of oxidative conversion and product selectivity during tetralin oxidation performed in different reactors

reactor series type		temperature	residence/ oxidation	gas-liquid interfacial	oxidative conversion	selectivity of oxidation products (wt %) ^e			ketone to alcohol in
			time (min)	area ^d (a, m²/m³)	(wt%) ^e	primary	secondary	addition	primary oxidation products ^e
microfluidic ^a	G	130	2.0	1.4×10 ⁴	0.1	100	0	0	0.6
	I	150	2.4	1.6×10 ⁴	0.1	100	0	0	1.3
	Р	130	2	2.4×10 ¹	0.1	100	0	0	0.6
batch °	Q	130	5	2.4×10 ¹	0.1	100	0	0	0.8
	R1	150	2	9.8×10 ²	0.1	100	0	0	1.3
	R2	150	4	9.8×10 ²	0.1	100	0	0	1.3
count la state C	R3	150	6	9.8×10 ²	0.1	100	0	0	1.4
semi-batch	R4	150	10	9.8×10 ²	0.2	100	0	0	1.8
	R5	150	15	9.8×10 ²	0.5	100	0	0	2.0
	R6	150	30	9.8×10 ²	2.0	96	1	3	2.0
	R7	150	45	9.8×10 ²	2.9	91	2	7	2.0
	R8	150	60	9.8×10 ²	4.5	88	1	11	1.1

 a oxidation performed with pure oxygen at 90 kPa pressure gauge at the tetralin input rate of 10 μ L/min.

^b oxidation performed with pure oxygen at 90 kPa pressure gauge using tetralin-to-oxygen volume identical to microfluidic reactor

^c oxidation performed with pure oxygen at near atmospheric pressure.

^d calculated from the gas-liquid surface area (m^2) and the volume of the liquid (m^3) used in the reactor

^e calculated based on the GC-FID relative peak area of triplicate runs

conversion (Figure 4).

Table 5 summarizes the oxidative conversion product selectivity of tetralin oxidized at different temperatures and oxygen availabilities with and without initiator. Primary products (alcohol and ketone) were observed in all cases; but secondary and addition products were observed during tetralin oxidation performed with 0.5 wt % initiator at temperatures 150 °C and 160 °C. Ketone-to-alcohol formation was varied with temperature and initiator (di-tert-butyl peroxide). In the case of tetralin oxidation at temperatures 120-140 °C without initiator, alcohol formation dominated over ketone formation (Table 5), however, at temperatures 150-160 °C, tetralin oxidations without initiator formed ketones as major products compared to alcohols in primary oxidation products. Oxidation performed in the presence of initiator resulted in dominant ketone formation compared to alcohol as primary oxidation products. Maximum ketone-to-alcohol selectivity in the primary oxidation product was 2.1 (Series N) which was obtained at the temperature of 150 °C, but the ratio was decrease to 1.6 (Series O) at the temperature 160 °C.

Microfluidic reactor compared to batch and semi-batch reactors

Gas-liquid interfacial area and hence oxygen availability was also manipulated by using reactors of different geometries. Oxidation was performed in batch and semi-batch reactors to compare the oxidative conversion and product selectivity with oxidation performed in a microfluidic reactor. Oxidation induction period was also determined from the oxidation performed in a semi-batch reactor. Oxidation performed in all three reactors in our experiments showed very low conversion (about 0.1 wt %) after 2 to 6 minutes at oxidation conditions (**Table 6**). Low oxidation conversion enabled direct comparison of selectivities and provided information about the initial selectivity of oxidation in the different reactors.

Ketone-to-alcohol selectivity in primary oxidation products was



Figure 5 Oxygen consumption over time during the oxidation of tetralin at 150 °C using 124-130 mL oxygen/ h per g feed [triplicate results: (•) based on conversion and product selectivity (water generation was not considered)) and (**■**) based on oxygen flowrates]

identical (0.6:1.0) for the oxidation performed at 130 °C in microfluidic and batch reactor for two minutes. Identical ketone-toalcohol selectivity (1.3:1.0) was also observed for the oxidation completed in microfluidic reactor and semi-batch batch reactor (series R1–R3) at 150 °C.

Induction time was fourteen min (**Figure 5**) for the oxidation of tetralin in a semi-batch reactor at 150 °C having an oxygen flowrate of 124–130 mL/h per g tetralin. During the induction period, oxygen consumption and oxidation rate was low; ketone-to-alcohol selectivity in primary oxidation products was 1.3:1.0 in first 6 minutes.

Changes in selectivity with conversion

Tetralin was oxidized in a semi-batch reactor at 150 °C at near atmospheric pressure for longer oxidation time (**Table 6**) to understand the role of conversion on selectivity beyond the induction time.

Oxidation rate was increased after the induction time (14 minutes). Maximum conversion 4.5 wt % was obtained in one hour oxidation (Series R). Multifunctional groups containing oxidation products (secondary products) and addition products (dimerized products containing functional groups) were observed after 30 minutes of oxidation in semi-batch reactor. Those products were not observed in case of microfluidic and batch reactor at the studied experimental conditions. Maximum addition product selectivity was 11 wt % which was observed after one hour of oxidation at 150 °C (Series R).

After the induction period (15–45 minutes), ketone-to-alcohol selectivity in primary oxidation products was constant at 2.0:1.0, but the ratio decreased to 1.1:1.0 after 45 minutes of oxidation indicating increasing alcohol production compared to ketone. Similar observation was noticed for the oxidation of tetralin in microfluidic reactor in the presence of di-*tert*-butyl peroxide initiator (**Figure 4** and **Table 5**).

Approximately 1000 mg H₂O/kg tetralin was produced in the course of 1-hour oxidation of tetralin in semi-batch reactor at 150 °C having an oxygen flowrate of 124–130 mL/h per g tetralin. The change in CO₂ was insignificant (0.4 mg CO₂/kg tetralin) and no acid product was identified during one hour of oxidation. The online mass spectrometer also did not detect any other gases except feed gases.

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering

Discussion

Oxidation selectivity

It is clear from all the selectivity data that alcohol and ketones are the two main primary oxidation products regardless of experimental conditions and of the reactor types. Although alcohols and ketones are generally formed at 1:1 ratio according to reaction (6) of **Table 7** for compounds having secondary carbons,^{5, 13, 28} different alcohol to ketone selectivities are observed that describe the nature of the propagation and termination. Alcohol and ketone selectivities are discussed separately:

Alcohol selectivity. Chain propagation by the decomposition of alkyl hydroperoxide (ROOH) can play a role in alcohol selectivity. In addition to the thermal homolytic decomposition of alkyl hydroperoxides shown in reaction (3) of **Table 7**, bimolecular decomposition according to reaction (7) and radial induced decomposition shown as reaction (8) can also occur.^{5, 13} Alcohol is produced during radial induced decomposition of hydroperoxide in reaction (8).

	(-)
$BO + BOO + H_0$	(7)

 $ROOH + ROO \cdot \longrightarrow ROH + RO \cdot + O_2$ (8)

Alkoxy radicals (RO[']) form in all cases and it plays an important role

Table 7 Free radical mechanism of tetralin oxidation with molecular oxygen and corresponding activation energy, pre-exponential factor, and reaction rate constant ¹³

reaction		activation energy, $E_a \times 10^{-1}$ (kJ/mol)	pre-exponential factor (A) ^b	reaction rate constant $\left(k_r\right)^{ extsf{b}}$
$RH + O_2 + RH \longrightarrow 2 R \cdot + H_2O_2$	(0)	8.7 ¹	3.5×10^{3} L ² /mol ² . s	7.1×10 ⁻⁸ L ² /mol ² . s
$R \cdot + O_2 \xrightarrow{k_1} ROO \cdot$	(1)	0.0	6.8×10 ⁷ L/mol. s	6.8×10 ⁷ L/mol. s
	(2)	1.9	2.5×10 ⁴ L/mol. s	120 L/mol. s
ROOH $\xrightarrow{k_3}$ RO·+·OH	(3)	1.0×10 ¹	9.3×10 ⁸ s ⁻¹	2.29×10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹
$R \cdot + R \cdot - \frac{k_4}{k_4} \rightarrow R - R$	(4)	1.1	5.5×10 ⁸ L/mol. s	2.5×10 ⁷ L/mol. s
$ROO + R = \frac{k_5}{2}$ ROOR	(5)	_a	_ ^a	_ ^a
ROO + ROO · k_5 ROH + $R_1 COR_2$ + O_2	(6)	1.7×10 ⁻¹	4.2×10 ⁷ L/mol. s	2.6×10 ⁷ L/mol. s

^a not reported

^b calculated for the reaction temperature, 150 °C.

10 | Reaction Chemistry and Engineering, 2015, 00, 1-3

This journal is C The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

in changing the product selectivity. It can form alcohol via hydrogen abstraction from α -position of aromatic ring of tetralin as illustrated by generic reactions (9) and (10).⁵

$$RO \cdot + RH \longrightarrow ROH + R \cdot$$
 (9)

$$ROOH + RH \longrightarrow [RO + OH] + RH \longrightarrow ROH + R + HO$$
(10)

Alkoxy radicals can also form alcohol through hydrogen disproportionation, reaction (11), and alkyl peroxide via free radical addition, reaction (12).²⁹

$$RO + RO \rightarrow R = O + ROH$$
 (11)

$$RO \cdot + RO \cdot \longrightarrow ROOR$$
 (12)

Alcohols can readily form by reaction (8). The reactions (9) and (10) result in alcohol only if the alkoxy radical (RO') exists without *in situ* reaction within the molecular cage.⁵ Alcohol production through reaction (11) also produces ketone in 1:1 ratio and cannot be the cause of higher alcohol than ketone selectivity. Hence, reaction (8) would explain the higher alcohol than ketone selectivity during the oxidation of tetralin without initiator at low temperatures (series F-H in **Table 5** and series G, P, Q in **Table 6**).

Ketone selectivity. In addition to the ketone formation mentioned in reactions (6) and (11), ketones are formed by the reactions (13) and (14).^{5, 13, 30} Ketone formation by reaction (14) involves formation of alkyl peroxy radicals via hydrogen abstraction from the C-H bond adjacent to peroxy groups. Ketone formation via reaction (13) depends on the reaction within the molecular cage. Reactions (8), (10), (13) and (14) take place in direct competition because these are involved with the consumption of alkyl hydroperoxide (ROOH). Hence, the reactions (13) and (14) do not explain higher ketone than alcohol selectivity.

$$ROOH \longrightarrow [RO + OH] \longrightarrow R=O + H_2O$$
(13)

$$R_1CH(OOH)R_2 + ROO \cdot / R \cdot \longrightarrow R_1C(OOH)R_2 + ROOH / RH$$
 (14 A)

$$R_1(C=0)R_2 + OH$$
 (14 B)

At very high oxygen availability reaction (15) takes place ³¹ and produces ketone and hydroperoxy radical. Hydroperoxy radical can react with other free radicals by reaction (16) or tetralin by reaction (17).

$$RO \cdot + O_2 \longrightarrow R=O + HOO \cdot$$
 (15)

$$ROO + HOO \rightarrow ROOH + O_2$$
(16)

$$RH + HOO \rightarrow R + H_2O_2$$
(17)

Tolman and co-workers, however, reported that oxidation of alcohol formed during oxidation (**Figure 6**) would result higher ketone-to-alcohol ratio rather than equation (15).²⁹ They heated a solution of dicyclohexyl peroxide (ROOR) at 160 °C under N₂ and under 3.45 MPa (500 psi) O₂, and obtained dominant alcohol (ketone-to-alcohol (K/A) = 1/4) and dominant ketone (K/A= 15/1)

selectivities, respectively. In order to support their claim, they added cyclopentyl alcohol in their experiments and found no cyclopentanone under N₂; but 80 % cyclopentyl alcohol was converted to cyclopentanone under O₂. This explained that autoxidation of alcohol to ketone higher ketone-to-alcohol ratio.

Oxidation of alcohol not only produces ketone, but also leads to the formation of ring opening products (**Figure 6**). Alcohols can readily lose hydrogen from the C–H bond of the α -position that is also the α -position from the benzene ring.²⁹ Ring opening products (structure VI) can also be formed; but those were not observed at the studied experimental conditions.

Higher ketone can also be formed by the oxidation of alcohol to produce ketone and water (reaction 18).

$$ROH + 0.5 O_2 \longrightarrow R=O + H_2O$$
 (18)

Oxidation of the alkoxy radical by reaction (15) and oxidation of the alcohol (**Figure 6** and reaction (18)) are the two main aspects that explain higher ketone formation compared to alcohol. Although oxidation of alcohol can be dominant for cyclohexane oxidation, reaction (15) can be dominant for tetralin oxidation due to the longevity of alkoxy radical (RO') of tetralin. For naphthenic compounds such as cyclohexane and decalin, the alkoxy radical (RO') might not exist for a long time at the cage condition to react with oxygen to form ketone as shown in reaction (15).¹⁵ However, longevity of RO' from tetralin (a naphthenic-aromatic compound) would be longer due to resonance stabilization by the aromatic ring.¹⁵ This alkoxy radical (RO') could react with oxygen to form a ketone. Exact confirmation of the higher ketone formation pathway is beyond the scope of current study.



Figure 6 Illustration of oxidation of 1-tetranol (alcohol of tetralin)

Parameters that affected oxidation selectivity

In the introduction it was postulated that temperature and that reactor hydrodynamics would affect oxidation selectivity. Based on all of the results presented, the following parameters appeared to affect oxidation selectivity at near constant conversion:

Temperature. Form **Tables 5** and **6** it is clear that temperature influences product selectivity. The main reasons could be increase in formation of free radical intermediates during induction period for the oxidation to take place and increase in oxidation rate (conversion rate) with temperature. Diffusion coefficient of oxygen to tetralin also increases with temperature, but it is only to a limited extent (**Table S3**) and is not considered for the discussion on product selectivity.

Although hydrocarbon oxidation with oxygen is exothermic, few initial steps especially free radical formation steps via bond dissociations are endothermic, slow, and require activation energy (Table 7). $^{3, 13, 29}$ During the induction period, hydrogen abstraction via C-H bond dissociation and decomposition of peroxides (O-O) are two main free radical formation steps that are influenced by temperature. Free radicals are formed from the hydrocarbon via C-H bond dissociation. C-H bond strength varies (typically 300-420 kJ/mol) depending on the carbon type, for example, primary, secondary, tertiary, aromatic, or benzylic.^{13, 29, 32} Tetralin has four aromatic C-H bonds which are very strong, four aliphatic C-H bonds of intermediate strength and four benzylic C-H bonds which are weak by comparison. Hydrogen can be readily abstracted from benzylic C-H by increasing temperature. Bond strength of peroxides (O-O) (typically 150-180 kJ/mol) varies depending on the group attached to the oxygen atom and decomposes typically at temperature about 150 °C.^{5, 13, 29, 32} Decomposition of peroxides increases the formation of free radical intermediates. Hence, the formation of free radical intermediates would increase with temperature that would change the product selectivity.

After the induction period, sufficient free radical intermediates are available for autocatalytic oxidation to proceed and temperature has comparatively less effect on free radical formation. For instance, hydroxyl radicals (HO') formed from the decomposition of hydroperoxides is strong enough to abstract hydrogen exothermically from paraffinic hydrocarbon, and hydrogen abstraction by alkoxy radicals is mildly endothermic. ²⁹ Ketone formation also facilitates peroxide decompositions.^{13, 29} However, our focus was on initial product selectivity during the induction period.

The strength of O–H bond that is formed via hydrogen abstraction would determine the degree of product selectivity.²⁹ Regardless of the reactor types, alcohol selectivity (initial product selectivity) was dominating at temperatures below 140 °C; but ketone selectivity was higher at temperatures of 150 °C or higher (**Tables 5** and **6**). As discussed earlier, reaction (8) would explain the higher alcohol selectivity during the oxidation of tetralin without initiator at low temperatures (series F-H in **Table 5** and series G, P, Q in **Table 6**), and oxidation of alkoxy radical (reaction 15) or oxidation of alcohol

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering

(Figure 6 and reaction 18) would explain dominant ketone selectivity compared to alcohol.

Oxygen availability. It is clear from **Tables 3** and **7**, and from the discussion on alcohol and ketone selectivity that oxygen availability affects product selectivity.

Free radicals formed via H–abstraction during initiation would react depending on the oxygen availability by reactions (1) and (4) of **Table 7**. If oxygen is present reaction (1) will take place, because it requires zero activation energy (**Table 7**). In the absence of oxygen or oxygen partial pressure below 13 kPa, reaction (4) would take place.^{3, 8} Although it indicates the product immediately after the initiation, it could happen for the reaction between any free radicals and/or oxygen.

Both aspects to describe higher ketone formation (oxidation of alkoxy radical and oxidation of alcohol) require oxygen. But ketone formation via reaction (15) would require oxygen at the molecular cage where the alkoxy radical (RO') is formed. The most significant ketone-to-alcohol ratio in the primary oxidation product (14:1, series A, **Table 3**) was obtained at gas-liquid interfacial area 3.0×10^5 m²/m³ (**Table 2**) where oxygen availability was the highest. Due to the longer gas bubbles (**Table 2**) and the smaller film thickness a higher amount of oxygen was available for the oxidation to take place at the cage conditions. Hence, the reaction (15) could be dominant pathway to form more ketone. As noted earlier, longevity of alkoxy radical (RO') might play an important role for such high ketone selectivity in tetralin (14:1).

At the cage condition if oxygen is available the reactions (9), (10), (11) and (12) would not take place because the reaction between alkoxy radical and oxygen would be very fast. This would also support the higher ketone formation via reaction (15). If alcohol is formed, however, as described earlier by equations (8), (9), (10), and (11), the oxidation of alcohol wold be the dominant reaction for the higher ketone formation.

In case of constant oxygen supply, oxygen availability would change with conversion and oxidation time which could lead to different product selectivities. This aspect is evaluated in following sections.

Conversion and oxidation time. Influence of conversion and oxidation time on product selectivity is clear from the series R5–R8 of **Table 6**. This explains how product selectivity would change after the induction period at constant temperature and at constant supply of oxygen.

It is mentioned earlier that sufficient free radical intermediates are available for autocatalytic oxidation after the induction period. The concentration of free radical intermediates would increase with oxidative conversion and oxidation time. Immediately after the induction period (14 minutes), dissolved oxygen and supplied oxygen might be sufficient for the available free radical intermediates to produce more ketones compared to alcohol (2:1). However, a further increase in free radical intermediates and decrease in dissolved oxygen would influence the product selectivity. This situation is found 15 minutes after the induction

Table 8 Selectivity of tetralin oxidized at room temperature (21 °C) at different initiator (di-tert-butyl peroxide) concentrations

di-tert-butyl peroxide	Tetralin conversion	selectivi	ty of oxidation pro	ketone-to-alcohol in primary	
in tetralin (wt %)	×10° (wt %)°	primary	secondary	addition	oxidation products
0.03	7.0 ± 2.0	22.5	0	77.5	_b
0.1	$1.0 \times 10^{1} \pm 1.0$	19.1	0	80.9	_b
0.5	$2.2 \times 10^{1} \pm 1.0$	18.7	0	81.3	0.8

calculated based on the GC-FID relative peak area of triplicate runs

^b ketone to alcohol ratios were not reported as no ketone was identified

time (series R6–R8 of **Table 6**) when secondary oxidation products and addition products are observed.

A near constant amount of oxygen was supplied as bubbles. Yet, the oxygen distribution might not be homogeneous due to transport effects and local consumption of oxygen in the presence of a higher concentration of free radicals. Hence, some parts would oxidize more to produce secondary oxidation products; but some parts would form addition products due to oxygen starvation. This would explain the secondary and addition product formation after 30 minutes of oxidation (series R6–R8). Addition products could form via olefin formation as reported in our previous study.¹⁵

Another notable observation was decrease in ketone-to-alcohol selectivity (2.0:1.0 to 1.1:1.0) in primary oxidation products (series R8 of **Table 6**). It indicates ketone-to-alcohol selectivity changed and had a local maximum (series R of **Table 6**) during oxidation. A similar observation was also made in our previous study of autoxidation (oxidation with air) of tetralin in a semi-batch reactor at 130 °C for 6 hour (**Table S4**).¹⁵ The decrease in ketone-to-alcohol selectivity can be explained by the oxygen starvation compared to free radical intermediates available at higher conversion and longer oxidation time. This observation also supports addition product formation at low oxygen availability.

Initiator concentration. Effect of initiator on product selectivity is clear from the **Table 5**. As experiments were performed at different temperatures, and conversion was increased, it was anticipated that initiator would have the same accelerating effect on conversion and on product selectivity.

It is worthwhile to mention here that tetralin was partly oxidized immediately after adding the initiator (**Table 8**) without performing oxidation in the microfluidic reactor and main identified products were addition products of tetralin. Ketone was not observed at 0.03 wt % and 0.1 wt % di-*tert*-butyl peroxide. Lower amount of ketone compared to alcohol was formed at 0.5 wt % di-*tert*-butyl peroxide. Secondary oxidation products were not identified in any cases. As described earlier, oxygen unavailability could play a role for such product selectivities. However, 0.5 wt % initiator was selected to perform experiments as it was the amount which could provide higher conversion without interfering too much with product identification.

Conversion rate was greatly increased in the presence of the initiator (di-*tert*-butyl peroxide) at 150 $^{\circ}$ C or higher temperatures that affected the product selectivity (series N and O). Two distinct

observations in product selectivity were noticed: secondary and addition products formation increased and ketone-to-alcohol ratio passed through a maximum with increasing temperature (**Figure 4**). These can be explained by the decomposition temperature of the initiator (di-*tert*-butyl peroxide) and peroxides formed during oxidation.

The decomposition temperature of di-*tert*-butyl peroxide was reported at 150 °C by thermal screening unit (TSu) and thermokinetic parameters of DTBP were measured by accelerating rate calorimeter (ARC) and reported as heat of reaction = 4.6×10^2 kJ/kg, reaction order n=1, activation energy (E_a)= 1.70×10^2 kJ/mol and pre-exponential factor (A) = 1.06×10^{20} s^{-1.33} Our DSC experiments performed in N₂ atmosphere at different heating rates showed that decomposition started at a temperature greater than 133 °C (**Figure S4**). At 150 °C or higher, the initiator would decompose. Hydroperoxide (ROOH) and/or (HOOH) formed via oxidation would also decompose at this temperature or higher. As mentioned earlier, the decomposition of peroxides leads to formation of free radical intermediates that would help in subsequent oxidations and would increase the oxidative conversion and also affect product selectivity.

The most noticeable changes in product selectivities were observed for the oxidation performed at 150 °C and 160 °C with equal amount of oxygen supply. But, supplied oxygen might not be sufficient for converting the free radicals produced at 160 °C into oxygenates and that might be the cause of the decrease in ketoneto-alcohol selectivity at 160 °C and increase in addition product formation. However, decreasing ketone-to-alcohol selectivity at 160 °C does not mean that there was a decrease in the yield of alcohol and ketone, it is just the ratio that changed. Overall yield of alcohol and ketone at 160 °C was much higher than that obtained at 150 °C.

The changes in product selectivities at higher conversion were analogous to the observation reported for tetralin oxidation after the induction time in a semi-batch reactor (series R5–R8 of **Table 6**) and in our previous study (**Table S4**). ¹⁵ These illustrate that oxidation performed in different reactors could proceed in a similar way regardless of oxidants (air or oxygen) and temperatures. However, manipulating oxygen availability could change the product selectivity.

The influence of temperature, oxygen availability, conversions and reaction time were anticipated from literature. $^{5,\ 6,\ 7,\ 9,\ 13,\ 29}$ Our



Figure 7 Sketch of bubble profiles in a rectangular channel under different flow conditions: (a) Interface shape at $Ca<10^{-3}$; (b) bubble shape at $Ca>10^{-3}$ in rectangular channel with aspect ratio, width (w)/depth (h) >1.5^{37,40,41}

interest was mainly in the influence of oxygen availability, which is related to reactor hydrodynamics.

Mass transfer coefficients

The first parameter that affected oxidation selectivity and that was affected by hydrodynamics, is the mass transfer coefficient. The mass transfer coefficient is affected by film thickness and gas-liquidinterfacial area, which is related to the reactor design and operation.

Improving the mass transfer coefficient would increase the oxygen transfer to tetralin and hence increase the oxygen availability in the liquid phase. Oxygen transfer to tetralin can be described by three different models: film model, penetration model, and surface renewal model.^{34, 35, 36} The main parameter that changes with different models is liquid side mass transfer coefficient (k_L).^{19, 34} In most cases the results are very similar.^{35, 36, 37, 38} Film theory is simple and was used in this study to explain the process. According to film theory, the liquid side mass transfer coefficient is $k_L = \frac{D_A}{\delta}$ and it is assumed that film is stagnant and uniform.^{19, 34, 36} Hence, the oxygen transfer rate to tetralin can be presented as:

$$J_A = k_L a \left(C_A^* - C_b \right) = \frac{D_A}{\delta} a \left(C_A^* - C_b \right)$$
(xv)

Here, J_A is the mass transfer rate across the gas-liquid interface (mol/m³.s), D_A is the diffusivity of oxygen in tetralin (m²/s), k_L is the liquid side mass transfer coefficient, (m/s), a is the gas-liquid interfacial area, (m²/m³), δ is the film thickness (m), C_A^* is the concentration of gas at the interface, (mol/m³); C_B is the concentration of gas in bulk liquid (mol/m³). As D_A and C_A^* can be changed only to a limited extent, δ and a are the two most important parameters to enhance the gas-liquid mass transfer because. These two aspects are discussed separately:

Film thickness. Thin film thickness ensures shorter radial diffusion path and hence would increase the mass transfer as shown in equation (xv). Film thickness could influence hydrodynamic conditions in the microfluidic reactor and this aspect had to be evaluated.

Liquid film thickness depends on reactor geometry, liquid agitation, interfacial properties of the channel, etc.^{20, 34} For a rectangular microchannel, liquid film thickness (δ) surrounding a gas bubble is

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering

expected to be different at different positions: diagonally, laterally, and at the top and bottom of the bubble (**Figure 7**) depending on the channel aspect ratio w/h. ^{20, 27, 37} It can be explained by the Capillary number, equation (xvi).Generally, film thickness increases with the Capillary number.³⁹

Capillary number, $Ca = U_G \mu / \sigma$ (xvi)

Where, U_G is superficial bubble velocity, μ is the viscosity of liquid, σ is the surface tension.

For values of *Ca* less than 10^{-3} , the film surrounding the gas bubble would be very thin except in the corners (**Figure 7a**). For values of *Ca* greater than 10^{-3} , the liquid film occupies a significant portion of the microchannel (**Figure 7b**).^{37, 40} Since *Ca* is a function of bubble velocity, increasing velocity would increase the film thickness. Moreover, thicknesses would be different laterally and at the top and bottom of the channel due to the velocity differences. In this study, the calculated *Ca* value of $2.1 \times 10^{-4} - 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$ (**Table 9**) suggests that **Figure 7(a)** would represent the most likely film thickness pattern.

Film thickness could also vary axially from the head side to the tail side of the bubble when inertial effects are not negligible. ^{20, 27} The bubble caps can be assumed to be spherical at low Capillary number (*Ca*), but ripples start to form at the tail side with increase in *Ca*.³⁹ At *Ca*>10⁻³, the effect of inertia cannot be ignored and with increase in Reynolds number amplitude of ripples increases and the tail side becomes flattened, which would result increased film thickness.³⁹ It would not be the case, however, in this study due to the *Ca*<10⁻³ (**Table 9**).

Yun et al.²⁷ investigated the variation in film thickness for a rectangular microchannel experimentally and suggested correlations (equations (ix) and (x)) to calculate maximum and minimum film thickness. As calculated maximum film thickness δ_{max} (~1.6×10⁻⁴ m) is ~ 30 times higher than the minimum film thickness δ_{min} , (~5.4×10⁻⁶ m), both are considered during analysis of results.

Film thicknesses (lateral) calculated from the captured images were identical to those calculated using the correlation for δ_{min} , equation (x). It was difficult to measure exact film thickness, because proper film thickness determining methods, for instance, Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF) or confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (LSM),⁴² were not available to us. Our intention was also to know only the order of magnitude of film thickness instead of investigating film thickness per se.

Since the thickness of liquid film calculated using either equation (ix) or (x) was almost identical (**Table 2B**) and diffusivity of oxygen in tetralin was expected to be constant at 150 °C, a change in liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient ($k_L a$) was mostly due to increase in interfacial area. Hence, gas-liquid interfacial area would be the most important parameter to assess the role of oxygen availability during the oxidation of tetralin with molecular oxygen.

Gas-liquid interfacial area. Since it was concluded that mass transfer coefficient (k_La) under different hydrodynamic conditions

14 | Reaction Chemistry and Engineering, 2015, 00, 1-3

Table 9 Dimensionless numbers for the oxidation of tetralin withmolecular oxygen at 150 °C and 90 kPa gauge pressure in a microfluidicreactor

dimensionless number	value
$Re_L = U_L d_H \rho_L / \mu_L$	9–27
$Re_G = U_G d_H \rho_G / \mu_G$	0.5–0.2
$Re_{TP} = U_{TP}d_H\rho_L/\mu_L$	9–27
$We = D_h U_{TP}^2 \rho_L / \sigma_L$	2.2×10 ⁻³ -1.7×10 ⁻²
$Ca = U_G \mu_L / \sigma_L$	2.1×10 ⁻⁴ -5.4×10 ⁻⁴
$Bo = (\rho_L - \rho_g) d_H^2 g / \sigma$	1.1×10 ⁻¹ –1.4×10 ⁻¹
$Pe_L = \delta_L^2 U_L / D_A$	8.2×10 ⁻⁶ -2.2×10 ⁻⁵ (a)
	5.2×10 ⁻³ -2.0×10 ^{-2 (b)}
$M_H = \delta \sqrt{k_r C_A^* / D_A}$	32–33 ^{(a) (c)}
	800–984 ^{(b) (c)}
$Da = (k_r C_A^* \delta^2) / D_A$	1.0×10 ³ –1.1×10 ^{3 (a) (c)}
	6.4×10 ⁵ -9.4×10 ^{5 (b) (c)}

(a) based on minimum film thickness

(b) based on maximum film thickness

 $^{(c)}$ calculated for reaction (1) Table 7

in the microfluidic reactor was due to the change in gas-liquid interfacial area (a), this aspect had to be evaluated.

Gas-liquid interfacial area proportionally increases the mass transfer as shown in equation (xv). It is clear from the **Table 2** that gas-liquid interfacial area influences the mass transfer coefficient that increases the oxygen availability. The impact of gas-liquid interfacial area on conversion rate and product selectivity is clear from the **Figure 3.** Conversion rate rather than conversion was calculated, because conversion is affected by flow rate.

At the inflexion point, liquid film formation (not the film thickness, rather the length of film) was increased (series B, **Table 2A**) compared to the series C, D and E (**Table 2A**). Increased film formation ensured higher gas-liquid interfacial area and hence oxygen availability for the oxidation to take place, and resulted in higher conversion rate and it changed the product selectivity. As explained earlier, due to very high oxygen availability, higher ketone compared to alcohol selectivity (14:1) was attributed to either the oxidation of alkoxy radical or alcohol with molecular oxygen.

Although gas-liquid interfacial areas were also manipulated by performing oxidation with different reactor geometries (**Table 6**), initial ketone-to-alcohol selectivities were not changed due to the longer induction period (14 minutes) at the studied oxygen availability. Then, it was quite suspiring to get order of magnitude higher ketone (series A, **Table 3**) at very high oxygen availability, but even shorter residence time. Two possible things could happen: induction time changed at very high oxygen supply at 150 °C, or alcohol and/or alkoxy radicals reacted very fast at very high oxygen availability increase ketone formation.

Mass transfer coefficient is greatly influenced by gas-liquid interfacial area compared to film thickness. Gas-liquid interfacial area and hence oxygen availability can be manipulated by changing reactor geometry and operating conditions that would change the product selectivity.

Additional effects

Additional effects that can potentially influence the product selectivity and that are affected by reactor hydrodynamics are mixing by liquid circulation within the slug (Marangoni effects (**Figure 2c**), pressure drop, residence time distribution, etc. However, these aspects were not investigated.

Characteristic dimensionless numbers

Although hydrodynamics of microscale reactors can be explained by the principles used for macroscale reactors, many physical characteristics, for instances, surface tension forces, viscous forces, area-to-volume ratio, diffusion, cannot be scaled up linearly. Different characteristics dimensionless numbers may be useful to approximate the gas-liquid two-phase flow behaviour in microchannel reactors.

Characteristic dimensionless numbers (**Table 9**) were calculated from the studied gas-liquid systems.

Reynolds numbers (Re) from 9 to 27 indicate laminar flow throughout the microchannel that was typical for Taylor flow in microchannels.^{18, 39} Smaller Re also indicated that viscous forces dominated over inertial forces.

The smaller Weber numbers (We) indicated that inertial forces were not high enough to overcome surface tension forces and to break down the slug between two phases.

Capillary number (Ca) characterizes the relative importance of viscous forces relative to surface tension forces. Smaller Ca indicated that surface tension had a larger effect than viscous force. Both the Ca and We indicated surface tension is dominant and that slug formation should be anticipated. As noted earlier, film thickness would be estimated from these numbers.

Peclet number (Pe) represents the relative importance of diffusive and convective transport. For both minimum and maximum film thickness, Pe<<1 which suggests diffusive transport far outweighs convective transport through the thin film.

Bond number (Bo) estimates the magnitude of gravitational forces over surface tension forces. Bo values of $1.1 \times 10^{-1} - 1.4 \times 10^{-1}$ (**Table 9**) suggests that values of surface tension has a larger influence on the system than gravitational forces.

Hatta modulus (M_H) and Damköhler number (Da) indicates whether a reaction is kinetically controlled or mass transfer limited. Since calculated M_H >>3 and Da>>1 for the reaction (1) (**Table 7**), the reaction would be mass transfer limited and based on these values the reaction would be completed in the film. ^{35,43,44} This would true for any reaction between a free radial and oxygen molecule. The experimental observations do not support such an interpretation, since it was possible to manipulate ketone-to-alcohol selectivity through microfluidic reactor operation to control oxygen availability in the liquid phase at near constant conversion, oxygen partial pressure and temperature.

Application of microfluidic devices to control selectivity

Microfluidic devices are not suitable for large-scale production, although microfluidic reactors may have some niche applications for the production of low volume high value materials. The real benefit of applying microfluidic devices if for the study and understanding of conversion processes. This knowledge can then be applied to the design of reactor types that are amenable to largescale production. This is particularly important in the field of selective oxidation, where microfluidic devices also provide a safer means for exploring energetic reactions.

Upgrading of oilsands bitumen. Little is known about the impact of transport effects during bitumen conversion. It is in this field where microfluidic devices might be an unconventional, but useful tool. Recently, Sinton's group developed a microfluidic platform to measure CO_2 diffusivity in bitumen.⁴⁵ To the best of our knowledge, conversion of bitumen in a microfluidic reactor is not reported in literature. Reducing the high viscosity of bitumen is the main challenge in studying bitumen in a microfluidic setup and it requires the judicious use of solvents and/or temperature.

Pharmaceutical products. Order of magnitude increase in ketone formation in this study indicates that microfluidic reactors have that potential to improve selectivity for small volume, high value products, such as pharmaceutical products. The scale at which active ingredients for pharmaceuticals are produced is small enough that one may consider microfluidic reactors or reactors that mimic microfluidic reactor behavior, such as microchannel reactors. Literature also indicated that microfluidic reactors might be useful for the production of pharmaceuticals.^{23, 46} Some complex processes could be modified to simpler and faster ones by applying microfluidic reactors. For instance, ibuprofen can be synthesized only in three minutes in a microfluidic reactor;⁴⁶ traditional ibuprofen synthesis involves highly complex oxidation process and generates much waste (E-factor: 20-100).⁴⁷

Petrochemical products. Microfluidic reactors are useful tools to explore the impact of transport on selectivity in processes with a complex reaction network, such as petrochemical oxidation processes. For instance, selective oxidation of cyclohexane to produce caprolactum (a precursor to nylon-6) and adipic acid requires higher ketone-to-alcohol selectivity.^{2, 48} As mentioned earlier, low conversion is practiced industrially to achieve a mixture of alcohol and ketone that converted later to mostly the ketone via catalytic oxidation.^{2, 49} Capillary and micro-structured reactors were investigated for cyclohexane oxidation, although these studies did not specifically investigate how oxygen availability controls product selectivity.^{9, 11, 12} The fundamental understandings from this study could be applied to produce cyclohexanone (ketone of cyclohexane) by ensuring very high oxygen availability even when the reaction rate is not transport limited. In this specific case improved transport is needed to improve selectivity rather than reaction rate. Selective oxidation can also be performed to synthesize small amounts of chemicals that are not readily available commercially.

For example, diketone of tetralin could be produced by oxidizing the tetralin or ketone (or alcohol) of tetralin with air or oxygen in a microfluidic reactor.

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering

Conclusions

Oxidation of a naphthenic-aromatic compound (tetralin) was investigated mainly in a microfluidic reactor to understand how product selectivity could be manipulated by ensuring oxygen availability and how mass transfer would affect the oxidation process. The main observations and conclusions are as follows:

- (i) Liquid phase mass transfer (k_La) in the microfluidic reactor could be manipulated over two orders of magnitude. This was possible mainly through changing the gas-liquid interfacial area (a). The mass transfer coefficient (k_L) was fairly insensitive to changes in operation.
- (ii) It was possible to increase the ketone-to-alcohol ratio in the product by an order of magnitude at near similar conversion, pressure and temperature. This was possible through microfluidic reactor operation only through the manipulation of hydrodynamics in a microfluidic reactor
- (iii) The influence of operating conditions on oxidation selectivity could be explained in terms of the reaction network. The ketone-to-alcohol selectivity ratio was strongly affected by oxygen availability in the liquid phase, which promoted alcohol and/or alkoxy radical oxidation to produce ketones.
- (iv) Addition products were not observed during tetralin oxidation at high oxygen availability. For example, when oxidation was performed with oxygen instead of air in a microfluidic reactor no additions products were observed. When oxygen availability decreased, or the reaction rate was increased to decrease oxygen availability in relation to reaction rate, addition products were observed. Hence, some addition products were noticed in the presence of initiator (di-tert-butyl peroxide) at higher temperature (150 °C and higher). Addition products were also observed after 30 minutes of tetralin oxidation performed in a semi-batch reactor. There was also a concomitant increase in alcohol selectivity with an increase in addition product selectivity. This was anticipated from the reaction network that favored ketone selectivity at high oxygen availability, but favored alcohol and addition product selectivity at lower oxygen availability.
- (v) Higher Damköhler number (Da) and Hatta modulus (M_H) suggested that gas-liquid oxidation might take place at liquid film surrounding the gas bubbles rather than liquid bulk. This was not consistent with the experimental observations, which recorded meaningful selectivity control based on control of oxygen availability in the liquid phase through microfluidic reactor operation at near constant conversion, pressure and temperature.

This work was financially supported by Cenovus FCCL Ltd as Operator for FCCL partnership in conjunction with NSERC-CRD (Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada through the Co-operative Research and Development) program.

Nomenclatures

а	gas-liquid interfacial area, (m²/m³)
Α	pre-exponential factor
Во	Bond number, $Bo = (\rho_L - \rho_q)d_H^2 g/\sigma$
Са	Capillary number, $Ca = U_G \mu_L / \sigma_L$
C_{A}^{*}	concentration of gas at the interface, (mol/m^3)
\tilde{C}_{h}	concentration of gas in bulk liquid (mol/ m^3)
Da	Damköhler number: $Da = (k_r C_A^* \delta^2)/D_A$
D₄	diffusivity of oxygen in tetralin (m^2/s)
d_{μ}	hydraulic diameter; $d_H = 2[wh/(w+h)]$, (m)
DTBP	di-tert-butyl peroxide
Ea	activation energy. (kJ/mol)
FID	flame ionization detector
GC	gas chromatography
h	depth of the reactor. (m)
HP DSC	high-pressure differential scanning calorimeter
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
I.	mass transfer rate across the gas-liquid interface
JA	(mol/m ³ .s)
k,	mass transfer coefficient: $k_L = D_A/\delta$. (m/s)
k a	overall mass transfer coefficient. (s^{-1})
k _r	reaction rate constant
L_{c}	length of gas bubble, (m)
$L_{\rm s}$	length of liquid slug, (m)
M _H	Hatta modulus: $M_{II} = \delta_{a} \sqrt{k_{II} C_{a}^{*}/D_{A}}$
MS	mass spectrometry
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
Ρρ.	Peclet number: $Pe_{1} = \delta^{2} II_{2} / D_{1}$
	Poly tetra fluoro ethylene
Pa.	a_{2} phase Reynolds number: $R_{a} = II d$ o $/u$
Re _G	gas-phase Reynolds number; $Re_G = O_G u_H \rho_G / \mu_G$
Rema	two-phase Reynolds number: $Re_{} = U_{}d_{+-}o_{-}/u_{+}$
RTD	residence time distribution
S-	surface area of gas hubble (m^2)
5 ₆ 11.	superficial gas hubble velocity (m/s)
0 ₆ 11.	superficial liquid slug velocity (m/s)
U	two phase superficial velocity, (m/s)
U_{TP}	volume of gas hubble (m^3)
V _G V-	volume of liquid slug (m^3)
v L W	width of the reactor (m)
Wo	Weber number: $W_a = D II^2 = 1/\pi$
vv e	we ber number; $w e = D_h \sigma_{\bar{T}P} \rho_L / \sigma_L$
Greek lot	ters

Greek letters

- thickness of liquid film, (m)
- ε_{G} volume fraction of gas bubble ρ_{G} density of gas, (kg/m³)
- PG
 density of gas, (kg/m³)

 Pi
 density of liquid, (kg/m³)
- μ_G density of liquid, (kg/m
 μ_G gas viscosity, (Pa.s)
- μ_G gas viscosity, (Pa.s) μ_I liquid viscosity, (Pa.s)
- μ_L liquid viscosity, (Pa.s) σ surface tension, (N/m)
- Subscripts
- b bulk

G gas L liquid

TP two-phase

References

- C. D. Pina, E. Falletta and M. Rossi, Liquid phase oxidation of organic compounds by supported metal-based catalysts with a focus on gold. In *Liquid Phase Oxidation via Heterogeneous Catalysis: Organic Synthesis and Industrial Applications,* First Edition; M. G. Clerici, and O. A. Kholdeeva, Eds.; Wiley: Hoboken, 2013, 221–262.
- 2 N. Dimitratos, J. A. Lopez-Sanchez, and G. J. Hutchings, Supported metal nanoparticles in liquid-phase oxidation reactions. In *Handbook of Advanced Methods and Processes in Oxidation Catalysis: From Laboratory to Industry*; Duprez, D., Cavani, F. Eds.; Imperial College Press: London, 2014; 631–678.
- 3 A. K. Suresh, M. M. Sharma, and T. Sridhar, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2000, **39**, 3958–3997.
- 4 C. L. Hill, and O. A. Kholdeeva, Selective liquid phase oxidation in the presence of supported polyoxometalates. In *Liquid Phase Oxidation via Heterogeneous Catalysis: Organic Synthesis and Industrial Applications*, First Edition; M. G. Clerici, and O. A. Kholdeeva, Eds.; Wiley: Hoboken, 2013; 263–319.
- 5 A. De Klerk, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2003, 42, 6545–6548.
- 6 A. Goosen, and D. H. Morgan, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., 1994, **2**, 557–562.
- 7 R. Jevtic, P. A. Ramachandran, and M. P. Dudukovic, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2009, 48, 7986–7993.
- 8 C. C. Hobbs, E. H. Drew, H. A. Van't Hof, F. G. Mesich, and M. J. Onore, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Develop.*, 1972, **11**, 220–225.
- 9 U. Neuenschwander and K. F. Jensen, Olefin Autoxidation in Flow, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **53**, 601–608.
- 10 R. Jevtic, P. A. Ramachandran, and M. P. Dudukovic, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 2010, **88**, 255–262.
- 11 A. Leclerc, M. Alame, D. Schweich, P. Pouteau, Delattreb, C. and C. de Bellefon, *Lab Chip*, 2008, **8**, 814–817.
- 12 J. Fischer, T. Lange, R. Boehling, A. Rehfinger, and E. Klemm, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2010, **65**, 4866–4872.
- 13 N. M. Emanuel, E. T. Denisov, and Z. K. Maizus, *Liquid-phase* oxidation of hydrocarbons; Plenum Press: New York, 1967.
- 14 G. H. Twigg, Chem. Eng. Sci. Suppl., 1954, 8, 5-16.
- 15 M. N. Siddiquee, and A. De Klerk, *Energy Fuels*, 2014, 28, 6848–6859.
- 16 I. Hermans, J. Peeters, and P. A. Jacobs, *Top Catal.*, 2008, **50**, 124–132.
- L. Vanoye, A. Aloui, M. Pablos, R. Philippe, A. Percheron, A. Favre-Réguillon, and de Bellefon, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 5978–5981.
- 18 A. Günther, S. A. Khan, M. Thalmann, F. Trachsel, and K. F. Jensen, *Lab Chip*, 2004, 4, 278–286.

- 19 L. K. Doraiswamy, and D. Üner, *Chemical Reaction Engineering, Beyond the Fundamentals*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2014.
- 20 P. Sobieszuk, J. Aubin, and R. Pohorecki, *Chem. Eng. Tech.*, 2012, **35**, 1346–1358.
- 21 Gemoets et al. [H. P. L. Gemoets, Y. Su, M. Shang, V. Hessel, R. Luque and T. Noël, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 83-117.
- 22 L. Kiwi-Minsker, and A. Renken, *Catal. Today*, 2005, **110**, 2–14.
- 23 M. Hamano, K. D. Nagy, and K. F. Jensen, *Chem. Comm.*, 2012, 48, 2086–2088.
- 24 E.H Stitt, Chem. Eng. J., 2002, 90, 47–60;
- 25 P.H.M.R. Cramers and A.A.C.M. Beenackers, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2001, **82**,131–141;
- 26 P. Havelka, V. Linek, J. Sinkule, J. Zahradník, and M. Fialová, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2000, **55**, 535-549.
- 27 J. Yun, Q. Lei, S. Zhang, S. Shen, and K. Yao, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2010, **65**, 5256–5263.
- 28 G. A. Russell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 3871-3877.
- 29 C. A. Tolman, J. D. Druliner, M. J. Nappa, and N. Herron, N. Alkane oxidation studies in du Pont's central research department. In *Activation and Functionalization of Alkanes*; C. L. Hill Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1989; 303–360.
- 30 D. G. Hendry, C. W. Gould, D. Schuetzle, M. G. Syz, and F. R. Mayo, J. Org. Chem., 1976, 41, 1–10.
- 31 J. E. Bennett, G. Brunton, J. R. L. Smith, T. M. F. Salmon, and D. J. Waddington, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* 1, 1987, 83, 2433–2447.
- 32 W. A. Pryor, Free Radicals; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1966.
- 33 L. Jiayu, C. Wanghua, C. Liping, T. Yingtao, and S. Xin, *Procedia Eng.*, 2012, 43, 312–317.
- 34 P. V. Danckwerts, *Gas-Liquid Reactions*; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1970.
- 35 F. Kaštánek, J. Zaharadník, J. Kratochvil, and J. Čermák, Chemical Reactors for Gas-Liquid Systems, 1st ed.; Ellis Horwood: West Sussex, 1993.
- 36 E. L. Cussler, *Diffusion: Mass Transfer in Fluid Systems*, 3rd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 2009.
- 37 N. Völkel, Design and Characterization of Gas-Liquid Microreactors. Ph.D. Thesis, Universite de Tolousé, December 2009.
- 38 A. K. Suresh, T. Sridhar, and O. E. Potter, AIChE J., 1988, 34, 81–93.
- 39 V. Hessel, P. Angeli, A. Gavriilidis, and H. Löwe, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2005, 44, 9750–9769.
- 40 A. L. Hazel and M. Heil, J. Fluid Mech., 2002, 470, 91–114.
- 41 H. Wong, S. Morris, and C. J. Radke, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, 1992, **148**, 317–336.
- 42 D. M. Fries, F. Trachsel, and P. R. von Rohr, *Int. J. Multiphase Flow*, 2008, **34**, 1108–1118.
- 43 R. L. Hartman, J. P. McMullen, and K. F. Jensen, Angew Chemie Int. Ed. Angew Chemie Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7502–7519.

Reaction Chemistry and Engineering

- 44 E. V. Rebrov, T. Duisters, P. Löb, J. Meuldijk, and V. Hessel, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 8719–8725.
- 45 H. Fadaei, B. Scarff, and D. Sinton, *Energy Fuels*, 2011, **25**, 4829–4835.
- 46 D. R. Snead, and T. F. Jamison, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 1521–3773.
- 47 G. Rothenberg, *Catalysis: Concepts and Green Applications*; Wiley: Weinheim, 2008; 1–38.
- 48 P. L. Alsters, J. M. Aubry, W. Bonrath, C. Daguenet, M. Hans, W. Jary, U. Letinois, V. Nardello-Rataj, T. Netscher, R. Rarton, J. Schütz, J. Van Soolingen, J. Tinge, and B. Wüstenberg, Selective oxidation in DSM: Innovative catalysts and technology. In *Handbook of Advanced Methods and Processes in Oxidation Catalysis: From Laboratory to Industry*; D. Duprez, F. Cavani, Eds.; Imperial College Press: London, 2014; 382–419.
- 49 R. A. Sheldon, J. K. Kochi, *Metal-Catalyzed Oxidations of Organic Compounds*; Academic Press: New York, 1981.





178x109mm (150 x 150 DPI)