

# RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

# Continuous flow synthesis and cleaning of nano layered double hydroxides and the potential of the route to adjust round or platelet nanoparticle morphology

Andreas Flegler<sup>a</sup>, Michael Schneider<sup>a,b</sup>, Johannes Prieschl<sup>a</sup>, Ralph Stevens<sup>c</sup>, Thomas Vinnay<sup>d</sup> and Karl Mandel<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Fraunhofer Institute for Silicate Research ISC, Neunerplatz 2, 97082 Wuerzburg, Germany.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemical Technology of Materials Synthesis, University of Wuerzburg, Roentgenring 11, 97070 Wuerzburg, Germany.

<sup>c</sup>Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research TNO, De Rondom 1, NL-5612 AP Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

<sup>d</sup>Carl Padberg Zentrifugenbau GmbH, Geroldsecker Vorstadt 60, 77933 Lahr, Germany.

\*Corresponding author: karl-sebastian.mandel@isc.fraunhofer.de

## Abstract

Here, we report a continuous flow synthesis of nano LDH, comprising of a continuous precipitation process using static mixers and followed by an immediate cleaning process via a semi-continuous centrifuge to obtain the final product in one-go. Via this synthesis setup, it is possible to independently vary the concentrations of the reactants during precipitation and at the same time ensure constant reaction conditions and an immediate “quenching” of the precipitate due to “on the flow”-washing. We found that this paves the way to adjust the synthesis parameters in a way that the final morphology of the nano-LDH particles can be controlled to be either round or platelet-like.

## 1. Introduction

Anionic clays, so called layered double hydroxides (LDH) are a highly interesting class of layered materials due to their broad application potential. The prototype of a LDH is hydrotalcite  $[\text{Mg}_{0.75}\text{Al}_{0.25}(\text{OH})_2](\text{CO}_3)_{0.125}\cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The positively charged layers allow anions to be intercalated<sup>1</sup>.

The layered structure, in conjunction with its positively charged nature and more-importantly the high water content of the structure, turns LDH into highly interesting building-blocks for a remarkably broad range of applications. The most important fields of application are as adsorbers for anions, adsorbers for gases, drug containers, components for electrochemical storage devices and flame-retardants<sup>2-25</sup>. The selective adsorption of anions such as phosphate in waste water, a currently hot topic, has been reported frequently in the recent research to be potentially successful with LDH<sup>2-9</sup>. Moreover, adsorption of gases is a promising topic with respect to CO<sub>2</sub> capture<sup>10-15</sup>. Since various substances can be intercalated within the layered structure, LDHs have also attracted much attention with respect to drug intercalation, for instance, in the case of ibuprofen, fenbufen or indomethacin<sup>16-21</sup>. Recently, a nice overview work has been published, reviewing the various application possibilities of LDHs in electrochemistry, such as their use as electrode materials in supercapacitors, as electrocatalyst in fuel cells, metal-air batteries or as anode material in lithium-ion batteries<sup>22</sup>.

Last but not least, due to the water and carbon dioxide release upon calcination, the reaction to ceramic-tar-like materials at high temperatures and the overall endothermic nature of the reactions when heating up the material, makes these structures promising candidates for flame-retardants, bearing the potential to ultimately replace halogenated organic flame-retardants in the future<sup>23-25</sup>.

For all these applications, it is desired to make the LDH entities as small as possible, i.e., synthesise *nano-LDH*, as this comes with the advantage of a highly reactive surface and the fact that more active spots (LDH particles) are available for a given mass of material, i.e., the smaller the particles, the higher the chance to achieve a very finely and homogeneously distributed filling e.g. within polymers for flame retardancy. Moreover, depending on the application, it might be desired to obtain different shapes for the nanoparticles. Per se, LDH has a platelet-like shape as this comes from the native crystal structure of the particles<sup>1,6,16,17,26</sup>. To best of our knowledge, there is only one work by the

group of O'Hare that reported on having obtained spherically shaped nano LDH. This shape evolution was attributed to the synthesis at pH conditions above the iso-electric point region of LDH<sup>10</sup>.

In this work, we report on a process we developed, to produce MgAl-LDH nanoparticles under constant reaction conditions via continuous precipitation using static mixers. Continuous syntheses approaches of LDH have already been reported previously. Chang et al.<sup>27</sup> reported the preparation of LDH at steady state conditions via a continuous feed of reactants to a stirred batch reactor of which the continuous effluent is collected. The approach is appealing yet difficult to achieve in exact reproducibility. Another more recent work reports on a continuous-flow hydrothermal reactor that can be used to produce nano-LDH continuously<sup>28</sup>. The draw-back is, that the equipment required for a hydrothermal process is rather expensive.

The static mixer approach we report herein is a much simpler setup using only low budget components (a plastic-static mixer is available for a few dollars).

It guarantees constant reaction conditions and, due to the spiral mixer architecture, a very homogenous mixture of reactants.

Subsequently, we combine this synthesis directly on the flow with an innovative washing process. Therefore, we introduce a prototype of a semi-continuous tubular centrifuge.

Via transferring the whole synthesis and washing process to a continuous flow / one-go setup, it is possible to vary the reactants concentrations during precipitation independently. Since the precipitated product is immediately washed on the flow, the precipitated product is immediately "quenched" after synthesis. Compared to processes that collect the precipitation product in a batch container first, the advantage of our method is that any mixing of precipitants of different "generations" (with respect to evolution time) can be excluded and moreover, any ageing of the product is prohibited. With this method, it is possible to study the influence of precursor concentration variations with respect to the nanoparticle product obtained.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1 Establishing a continuous synthesis and washing process for MgAl LDH


#### 2.1.1 Standard synthesis protocol to establish the continuous process

##### *Precursor dissolution*

For the preparation of nano LDH, containing magnesium and aluminium with a molar ratio of 2:1, 57.15 g (0.28 mol) magnesium chloride hexahydrate ( $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , abcr GmbH) and 33.94 g (0.14 mol) aluminium chloride hexahydrate ( $\text{AlCl}_3 \cdot 6 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , abcr GmbH) were dissolved in 250 ml deionized  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  under stirring at 70 °C (SOLUTION A). Simultaneously, 44.99 g (1.125 mol) sodium hydroxide (NaOH, VWR) and 7.46 g (0.07 mol) sodium carbonate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ , abcr GmbH) were dissolved in 250 ml deionized  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  under stirring (SOLUTION B).

##### *Continuous precipitation*

To realise a continuous precipitation process resulting in a homogeneous LDH suspension and a reproducible, constant viscosity, both solutions A and B (at RT) were brought in contact in a static spiral mixer (Series MXR 160, Nordson EFD) consisting of 30 mixing elements. The mixing effect was only caused by the flow of the two fluids being pumped with a peristaltic pump (MCP, ISMATEC)). In the static spiral mixer, the precipitation process started immediately. The architecture of the mixer is such that the mixing elements are rotated spirally by 180° in an alternating way to the right and left side, see Figure 1. The geometry of this kind of mixing system forced the two liquids to get in contact and yield the precipitation reaction highly precisely defined in space and time.



**Figure 1.** Photograph of the static mixer used in this work along with a schematic depiction of the spiral mixing elements.

A whitish, homogeneous LDH suspension left the static mixer. In *case 1*, *case 2* and *case 3* (see below), the product was collected in a beaker and in *case 4* (see below and see Figure 2), the product directly entered a semi-continuous tubular centrifuge (CEPA type Z11) at a flow rate of 16.7 l/h.

In all cases, washing steps were included to obtain a clean product, however in a different manner, as described below. The pH and conductivity values of LDH were measured during all the washing experiments.

### 2.1.2 Washing procedure


In *case 1*, 35 ml of the collected LDH reaction product suspension was centrifuged in a conventional centrifuge (Z513 K, HERMLE) for 10 min at a rotation speed of 2500 rpm. The supernatant was then decanted and the LDH particles were redispersed in deionized H<sub>2</sub>O up to 35 ml. This washing procedure was repeated once (*case 1a*) or six times (*case 1b*) to reach a *cumulative dilution factor* of LDH with washing water of 4.

In *case 2*, 5 ml of the collected LDH reaction product suspension was diluted with 20 ml of deionised water (*immediate* dilution factor 4) and centrifuged once, making use of a conventional lab centrifuge (Z513 K, HERMLE) for 10 min at a rotation speed of 2500 rpm.

In *case 3* washing experiments were carried out using a semi-continuous tubular centrifuge. Here, 500 ml of collected LDH reaction product suspension was pumped with a flow rate of 16.3 l/h

undiluted (*case 3a*), or diluted (*case 3b*) with 2000 ml of deionized H<sub>2</sub>O (i.e., *immediate* dilution factor of 4), into the semi-continuous tubular centrifuge, which rotated at a speed of 25000 rpm. The resulting LDH gel was harvested after centrifugation and redispersed in deionized H<sub>2</sub>O.

In *case 4* the LDH suspension with a flow rate of 3.4 l/h was pumped together with a constant flow of deionized H<sub>2</sub>O (flow rate 13 l/h). In other words the LDH suspension was (*cumulatively*) diluted by a factor of 4 and pumped (flow rate 16.3 l/h) directly into the semi-continuous tubular centrifuge rotating at a speed of 25000 rpm (see Figure 2). The resulting continuously produced LDH gel was harvested after centrifugation and redispersed in deionized H<sub>2</sub>O.



**Figure 2.** (a) Schematic process flowsheet of the continuous LDH synthesis with (b) the corresponding photograph of the setup.


### 2.1.3. Working principle of the tubular centrifuge used for down-stream processing

The semi-continuous tubular centrifuge for down-stream processing, used in this work, is designed as follows:

The high speed rotor (Figure 3a), with a maximum speed of 60.000 rpm, can achieve an RCF up to 80.000 g-forces. The suspension is injected at the rotors bottom via a nozzle (Pos. 1), entering immediately the rotors accelerator (Pos. 2). Within a split second, the liquid is accelerated to nearly full rotor speed. As a result of high g-force, the liquid attaches to the rotor's wall in a nearly cylindrical shape (rotation paraboloid, Pos. 3), flowing to the head of the rotor towards the weir

(Pos. 5), while the particles are sedimenting (Pos. 4) in accordance to Stokes' law. By sticking to the wall of the rotor, the particles remain inside, while the liquid leaves the rotor via the weir and the collection trays (Pos. 6).

The working principle of the centrifuge is depicted in Figure 3a, a technical drawing of the centrifuge is shown in Figure 3b.



**Figure 3.** (a) Working principle and (b) sketch of the tubular centrifuge used for down-stream processing.

## 2.2. Variation of reaction conditions via the continuous synthesis process to control the morphology of the nano-LDH

### 2.2.1 Synthesis variations during continuous precipitation

#### *Temperature variation*

All precipitation experiments were carried out at room temperature (25°C). To exclude the influence of temperature variations during precipitation, the synthesis was carried out once, at 60°C as well.

#### *Concentration variation*

Six different LDH precipitants with different reactant concentrations were synthesized via continuous precipitation. The used concentrations of the reactants are listed in Table 1.



**Table 1.** Concentration variation of reactants for precipitation of LDH particles.

LDH particles (like in Figure 7)	c (Mg <sup>2+</sup> ) in mol/l	c (Al <sup>3+</sup> ) in mol/l	c (NaOH) in mol/l	c (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ) in mol/l
1 Figure 7a	1.124	0.563	4.5	
2 Figure 7b	0.18	0.09	0.15	
3 Figure 7c	0.18	0.09	4.5	
4 Figure 7d	1.124	0.563	4.5	0.281
5 Figure 7e	0.18	0.09	0.15	0.045
6 Figure 7f	0.18	0.09	4.5	0.281

### 2.2.2. Post-treatment (recrystallization)

Recrystallization experiments were carried out on LDH samples 1 and 2 (Table 1). 1.5 g of LDH particles (gel from the centrifuge) from sample 1 was redispersed in 48.5 ml deionized water and in a 0.281 mol/l Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution. In the same manner, 1.5 g of LDH particles (gel from the centrifuge) from sample 2 were redispersed in 48.5 ml deionized water and in a 0.045 mol/l Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution.

N.B. The concentration of the particles in dispersion was set equal in both cases.

Every dispersion was aged at 80°C for one week.

### 2.3 Analytical instrumentation

The pH value and conductivity measurements of all LDH suspensions were carried out with a pH- and conductivity electrode (METTLER TOLEDO). The structure and morphology of the LDH particles was observed with a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Zeiss Supra 25 SEM) at 3 keV (field emission) and with a JEOL JEM2010 microscope. The size distribution of particles in dispersion was determined via Fraunhofer diffraction (Microtrac S 350, Bluewave) and dynamic light scattering (ALV CGS-3 Multi Detection Goniometry System) respectively. The crystal structure of LDH was investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical 943006003002 Empyrean Series). The XRD patterns were recorded, using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.15406$  nm) in a range between 5 and 80 2 $\theta$  with a step size of 0.00164 2 $\theta$  and a count time of 60 s.


### 3. Results and Discussion

As stated in the introduction, we hereby for the first time report on setting up a continuous synthesis process of nano-LDH ensuring steady-state synthesis conditions and to directly obtain a cleaned product via down-stream treatment. Establishing this setup is a precondition to eventually be able to independently vary the reactants concentrations during precipitation while at the same time ensuring constant reaction conditions and an immediately quenched product that does not react or age further due to being collected in a container in a non-washed state before batch centrifugation. We found that the continuous precipitation and cleaning one-go / on the flow process paves the way to adjust the synthesis parameters in a way that the final morphology of the nano-LDH particles can be controlled. This will be reported in the second part of the results and discussion section.

#### 3.1 Establishing the continuous synthesis and washing process for MgAl LDH

Figure 2 in the experimental section depicts the setup for the continuous precipitation of LDH which directly enter the tubular centrifuge for solid liquid separation and washing of the product.

Via the static mixer approach, the precipitation reaction can be adjusted by independently varying the conditions in the reaction solutions A and B. However, to guarantee steady and constant conditions and exclude any change of the product after it left the static mixer, the precipitation product should be directly washed *without* being first collected in a batch container. Therefore, initial focus had to be put to the down-stream cleaning of the precipitation product continuously in one-go. Therefore, we investigated the potential of an innovative, scalable approach using a prototype semi-continuous tubular centrifuge in combination with integrated washing steps and compared its performance to a conventional lab-scale centrifuge-washing approach. The efficiency of the different washing procedures (*cases 1-4*, see experimental section) was quantitatively evaluated, firstly by comparing the resulting pH and secondly by the conductivity drop in the samples after washing with the initial values, i.e., of an unwashed product (Figure 4a). Moreover, in all cases, the samples were dried and the intensity ratio of the (003) X-ray diffraction peak of LDH to the (002) NaCl peak in each sample were compared (Figure 4b). The NaCl peak occurrence was attributed to a residual salt by-product and thus being related to a quality measure of the washing procedure.



**Figure 4a.** Resulting pH and conductivity values for the *cases 1-4*. **Figure 4b.** Resulting intensity ratios of LDH (003) to NaCl (002) XRD peaks.

From Figure 4, it can be seen that the pH and conductivity values hardly drop when the particles are only separated once from the by-products with a batch lab centrifuge (*case 1a*) but drastically drop when the sample is cleaned in six tedious consecutive washing steps with the lab centrifuge (*case 1b*). The initial and final XRD-ratios of LDH (003) to NaCl (002) also suggest hardly any cleaning effect for one centrifuge pass but a drastic cleaning effect yielding a nearly NaCl free product after six rounds.

To find out whether it is simply the absolute amount of washing water used that counts to clean the particles, the same dilution factor (deionized water to synthesis product) as cumulatively used in *case 1b* was set for a single centrifugation pass with a standard laboratory batch centrifuge (*case 2*). As can be seen from Figure 4, the product cleanness is quite good but still inferior with respect to *cases 1b*, particularly with respect to the measure for cleanness from XRD.


In *cases 3* and *case 4*, the capabilities of the semi-continuous tubular centrifuge with respect to cleaning an LDH product were exploited.

*Case 3a* resembles *case 1a* (only one centrifugation pass). From the cleanness indicators (Figure 4) it can be seen that without introducing any washing water, the results are pretty similar but slightly better compared to the results, obtained with the batch centrifuge. *Case 3b* resembles *case 2*, as also here, a pre-dilution prior to centrifugation was conducted. Again, the purity results are quite comparable to (slightly better than) the batch mode.

To design the process in a more elegant way in order to yield a continuous flow production of clean LDH starting from the reaction and ending with harvesting the final product, *case 4* was considered. Here, an additional stream of washing water mixes with the undiluted LDH reaction product directly coming from the static mixer right before entering the centrifuge (see Figure 2). To compare the efficiency of this approach to *case 3*, the same amount of water for dilution was used for the same amount of LDH. As can be seen from Figure 4, the cleanness of the product is nearly equal to *case 3* which shows that a fully continuous synthesis and washing cascade can be established.


From these results, it can be concluded, that a continuous synthesis and washing procedure using a tubular centrifuge in a process setup as developed and demonstrated in *case 4* is a feasible approach towards producing nano-LDH under steady state conditions, at constant quality and in an acceptably clean form. Also, the potential of product yield already becomes obvious for the small static mixer used in this work: Figure 5 shows the harvested product of 144 g nano-LDH gel. It was obtained in the cylinder of the centrifuge after 2.5 l of a nano-LDH suspension of a concentration of 30 mg/ml passed through the centrifuge at a flow rate of 16.3 l/h at a centrifugal rotation speed of 25000 rpm for 10 minutes, *only*.

The gel can be simply harvested from the cylinder (Figure 5a) keeping the cylinder body shape as a rigid gel (Figure 5b) which is composed of the LDH nanoparticles (Figure 5c).



**Figure 5.** 144 g of nano-LDH, harvested from the cylinder (Figure 5a) of the semi-continuous centrifuge keeping the cylinder body shape as a rigid gel (Figure 5b). Figure 5c. SEM image of the LDH nanoparticles.

The obtained gel can be easily redispersed by gentle ultrasonication to the primary particle size. Figure 6a shows a histogram depicting the particle size distribution ( $45\pm 20$  nm) of a typical sample of nano LDH particles (sample obtained from standard synthesis protocol; see experimental section 2.1.1), which was obtained from counting 150 particles from SEM images. Figure 6c shows the size distribution (measured with DLS) of the particles after the gel, harvested from the centrifuge, was redispersed in deionised water. It can be seen that the hydrodynamic diameter of these redispersed particles quite well corresponds to the size of the primary particles as determined from SEM images. Compared to Figure 6b, which shows the size distribution of the particles in dispersion right after precipitation before washing (measured with Fraunhofer diffraction), it becomes obvious that the continuous washing process is very effective, as the large agglomerates that occur due to salt presence right after precipitation, could be completely redispersed to the primary particles.



**Figure 6.** Size distribution of nano LDH determined from SEM images (Figure 6a) compared to size distribution of as-precipitated particle agglomerates in dispersion obtained via Fraunhofer diffraction (Figure 6b) and redispersed from the gel which was harvested from the centrifuge, obtained via DLS (Figure 6c).

### 3.2. Varying the reaction conditions via the continuous synthesis process to control the morphology of the nano-LDH


Having established a continuous flow process from reactant reaction to the final clean product with constant conditions throughout all steps and the immediate quenching of the precipitated product, it was possible to study different precipitation conditions with respect to their influence on the LDH nanoparticle morphology. Precipitation syntheses were conducted at 25°C, however, they were also repeated with precursor solutions priorly heated to 60°C to ensure that there was no temperature influence on the results.

The process established, allows the independent and arbitrary variation of salt concentration (n.b. the ratio of Mg : Al was always kept constant at 2 : 1) and NaOH concentration. This is a crucial difference compared to a batch approach where the conditions change all the time when reactants are added to the batch-vessel (even though the ratio of reactants may stay the same, the overall concentrations in the batch reactor change continuously). Figure 7 shows SEM images and Figure 8 the respective XRDs of products, synthesised under different conditions, namely either at high salt and high NaOH concentration (Figure 7a), at low salt and NaOH concentration (Figure 7b) or at low salt and high NaOH concentration (Figure 7c). The 4<sup>th</sup> case, low NaOH and high salt concentration, did not lead to any precipitation, as expected, for the pH was not high enough in that case.

It can be seen from the experiments that higher concentrations of both, salt and NaOH, yields slightly more round particles in this continuous process in comparison to the other cases. This can potentially be explained by assuming that a high supersaturation (Figure 7a) yields a kinetically driven particle formation. The thermodynamically favoured shape of LDH are platelets, i.e., a high aspect ratio of diameter to thickness. However, under a kinetic regime, the precipitation is probably driven by an isotropic growth. The more pronounced growth in c-axis yields for instance a somewhat more pronounced (113) peak in the respective XRD (see Figure 8a).


Interestingly, repeating the same precipitation conditions but with a deliberate addition of carbonate during precipitation, more spherically shaped particles form in all cases (Figure 7d –7f). As carbonate ideally fits in the interlayers of MgAl (2:1) LDH<sup>1,29,30</sup>, it probably supports the stacking of Mg and Al along the c-direction of the crystal, i.e., as there is an anion in the interlayers that helps the cations to

arrange above it, the growth along the c-direction is supported. The XRDs in Figure 8d and 8f also support this assumption as the (113) peak, indicating a proper repetition of the ordering of the cations in the stacked layers, is properly pronounced. However, it also becomes obvious that too low NaOH concentration, i.e., too low pH, hinders the proper crystallization of the structure (XRD Figure 8e: absence of (113)).



**Figure 7.** SEM images of the obtained LDH particle morphology for different concentrations of reactants during continuous precipitation without (a-c) and with (d-f) deliberately added carbonate during precipitation.





**Figure 8.** X-ray diffractograms of the samples, depicted in SEM in Figure 7. The numbering a)-f) corresponds to the equivalent samples as they are depicted in Figure 7. All XRDs are normalized in intensity with respect to the (003) peak.

It is known from literature that post-treatment of precipitated and washed LDH as aqueous dispersion at elevated temperature for several days leads to recrystallization and therefore a re-ordering of atoms in the LDH crystalline lattice<sup>30</sup>.


Of course, it depends on the application that is aimed at to choose whether this step is desired / necessary or not. Conducting this step on our samples, we found that the as-precipitated morphology of the LDH, to a certain extent also determines the morphology after recrystallization. This might be highly interesting for applications where the shape of the particles is the most important factor. For instance, more round (spherical) particles might be easier to process in dispersion as they contribute less to a viscosity increase compared to platelets. This might be for instance desired when polymer-LDH composites for flame retardant applications need to be processed.

Platelets with sharp edges, however, are highly anisotropic and might be desired for instance as horizontally aligned fillers in moisture-barrier-coatings.

Figure 9 shows the rather spherically shaped particles that have been obtained from precipitation at very high salt and NaOH concentration in presence of carbonate. It can be seen that the



recrystallization (80°C for 1 week) in either pure deionized water, or in water which contained dissolved carbonate, transformed the shape of the particles to a somewhat more platelet-like. However, the transformation is not very well pronounced and there are no remarkable changes in the XRD either.




**Figure 9.** Initially rather spherically shaped LDH nanoparticles (a) transform to particles with slightly more platelet-like morphologies when recrystallized. Apparently, carbonate presence has no significant influence (b) with, c) without carbonate). The respective XRDs are rather similar for all cases (d) corresponds to a), e) to b) and f) to c).

Much more remarkable is the recrystallization behaviour for the particles that have been precipitated at low salt and low NaOH concentration with no carbonate present, i.e. the other extreme compared to the above case (Figure 10a).


After recrystallization with dissolved carbonate in an aqueous dispersion, a somewhat platelet-like morphology is obtained (Figure 10b). Without any addition of extra carbonate during recrystallization, perfect hexagonal platelets with very sharp edges form (figure 10c). Interestingly, the ordering of the MgAl ions in the stacked layers is poor (XRD, Figure 10f). That might be explained by a dominated growth in the lateral direction but hardly any growth along the c-direction of the crystal, which again

can be attributed to missing carbonate that would be needed for an ordered setup of stacked Mg and Al ions in the subsequent layers.



**Figure 10.** LDH nanoparticles, precipitated at low salt and NaOH concentrations (a) turn into slightly more defined platelets upon recrystallization in presence of carbonate (b). Remarkably, however, they turn into perfect hexagonal platelets when recrystallized without carbonate (c). Respective XRDs: d) corresponds to a), e) to b) and f) to c).

Thus, depending on the synthesis conditions, two extremes in morphology can be achieved: rather round (spherical) LDH nanoparticles wfor precipitation conditions of high salt, high NaOH and carbonate presence without recrystallization (see Figure 7d) and very well defined hexagonal platelets with sharp edges, if precipitation takes place at low salt and NaOH concentration without carbonate presence and a follow-up thermal treatment at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  in deionized, pure water is conducted (see Figure 10c). These two extremes in morphology also become obvious from TEM images which are depicted in Figure 11.



**Figure 11.** Two distinct morphologies of nano-LDH can be obtained with the process reported herein: a) rather round shaped particles and b) well-defined hexagonal platelets with sharp edges.

#### 4. Conclusion

It can be concluded that a combination of continuous precipitation of LDH in static mixers, followed by a down-stream separation and washing in a semi-continuous tubular centrifuge in one go is ideal to obtain LDH at nano size and ensure steady state conditions and an immediately “quenched” precipitation result. By that, it is possible to freely vary the precipitation parameters during synthesis. It was found that the morphology of the particles can be controlled via these parameters to be either round or very well pronounced as hexagonal platelets. The latter can be achieved, with the addition of a recrystallization step after very specific precipitation conditions that need to be carefully chosen beforehand.

#### Acknowledgements


We thank Dr. Maurice Mourad very much for the fruitful discussions on the manuscript and his valuable recommendations. We thank Dr. Alexander Reinholdt for taking the TEM images. Pavan Muralidhar (Ulm University) is acknowledged for proofreading the manuscript.

This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 645993.

**References**

1. V. Rives and M. Angeles Ulibarri, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **181**(1), 61.
2. K. Kuzawa, Y.-J. Jung, Y. Kiso, T. Yamada, M. Nagai and T.-G. Lee, *Chemosphere*, 2006, **62**(1), 45.
3. P. Koilraj and S. Kannan, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2010, **341**(2), 289.
4. H. He, H. Kang, S. Ma, Y. Bai and X. Yang, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2010, **343**(1), 225.
5. R. Chitrakar, S. Tezuka, J. Hosokawa, Y. Makita, A. Sonoda, K. Ooi and T. Hirotsu, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2010, **349**(1), 314.
6. N. Baliarsingh, K. M. Parida and G. C. Pradhan, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**(46), 23865.
7. K. Mandel, A. Drenkova-Tuhtan, F. Hutter, C. Gellermann, H. Steinmetz and G. Sestl, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**(5), 1840.
8. A. Drenkova-Tuhtan, K. Mandel, A. Paulus, C. Meyer, F. Hutter, C. Gellermann, G. Sestl, M. Franzreb and H. Steinmetz, *Water research*, 2013, **47**(15), 5670.
9. A. Drenkova-Tuhtan, M. Schneider, K. Mandel, C. Meyer, C. Gellermann, G. Sestl and H. Steinmetz, *Colloid Surf., A*, 2016, **488**, 145.
10. Q. Wang, Y. Gao, J. Luo, Z. Zhong, A. Borgna, Z. Guo and D. O'Hare, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**(10), 3414.
11. Z. Yong and A. E. Rodrigues, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2002, **43**(14), 1865.
12. Q. Wang, Z. Wu, H. H. Tay, L. Chen, Y. Liu, J. Chang, Z. Zhong, J. Luo and A. Borgna, *Catal. Today*, 2011, **164**(1), 198.
13. Q. Wang, H. H. Tay, Z. Zhong, J. Luo and A. Borgna, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**(6), 7526.
14. Q. Wang, J. Luo, Z. Zhong and A. Borgna, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**(1), 42.
15. S. Choi, J. H. Drese and C. W. Jones, *ChemSusChem*, 2009, **2**(9), 796.
16. Alcântara, A. C. S., P. Aranda, M. Darder and E. Ruiz-Hitzky, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**(42), 9495.
17. V. Ambrogi, G. Fardella, G. Grandolini and L. Perioli, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2001, **220**(1-2), 23.
18. C. R. Gordijo, C. A. Barbosa, Da Costa Ferreira, A. M., V. R. Constantino and de Oliveira Silva, Denise, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2005, **94**(5), 1135.

19. B. Li, J. He, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2004, **287**(1-2), 89.
20. M. Del Arco, E. Cebadera, S. Gutiérrez, C. Martín, M. J. Montero, V. Rives, J. Rocha and M. A. Sevilla, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2004, **93**(6), 1649.
21. C. Ribeiro, Arizaga, G G C, F. Wypych and M.-R. Sierakowski, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2009, **367**(1-2), 204.
22. J. Wang, L. Wang, X. Chen, Y. Lu and W. Yang, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2015, **19**(7), 1933.
23. Y. Gao, J. Wu, Q. Wang, C. A. Wilkie and D. O'Hare, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**(29), 10996.
24. Z. Matusinovic and C. A. Wilkie, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**(36), 18701.
25. Y. Feng, P. Tang, J. Xi, Y. Jiang and D. Li, *Recent Pat. Nanotechnol.*, 2012, **6**(3), 231.
26. X. Guo, F. Zhang, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**(29), 5197.
27. Z. Chang, D. G. Evans, X. Duan, C. Vial, J. Ghanbaja, V. Prevot, M. de Roy and C. Forano, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2005, **178**(9), 2766.
28. Q. Wang, S. V. Y. Tang, E. Lester and D. O'Hare, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**(1), 114.
29. T. Sato, H. Fujita, T. Endo and M. Shimada, *React. Solid.*, 1988, **5**, 219.
30. T. Stanimirova and G. Kirov, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 2003, **22**(6), 295.



146x77mm (150 x 150 DPI)