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ABSTRACT

In this work, the detection ability of nanosensors can be improved extraordinary by surface defects engineering. The kinked SnO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{X}/SnO\textsubscript{2} nanostructure was fabricated by tuning the oxygen flow and used this kinked SnO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{X}/SnO\textsubscript{2} nanostructure to study the mechanism of surface defect (oxygen vacancy, V\textsubscript{O}) affection through the electric measurement. For UV light sensing, the response of SnO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{X} NW device is always better than SnO\textsubscript{2} NW device, two orders higher under pure O\textsubscript{2} surrounding condition. The detection mechanism can be clarified by changing the detection environment (oxygen concentration) and the UV light detection sensitivity can be improved by increasing the surface V\textsubscript{O} density. Furthermore, the SnO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{X} NW device is very sensitive to its surrounding environment due to the high surface V\textsubscript{O} density. Hence, the CO/O\textsubscript{2} alternate-detection was used to verify our hypothesis; the results show that the SnO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{X} NW device presents great detection ability, compared with SnO\textsubscript{2} NW device. The sensitivity of SnO\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{X} NW device is two order enhancements and the reset/response time is faster, compared with SnO\textsubscript{2} NW device. To verify this...
hypothesis, the polycrystalline structure was fabricated to prove that the detection ability of metal-oxide nanosensors can be improved gigantically by increasing surface defect amount.

Keywords: surface defect, low temperature gas detection, metal-oxide

Introduction

Recently, nanomaterials, such as nanowire\textsuperscript{1-4}, nanobelt\textsuperscript{5-7} and nanotube\textsuperscript{8-10}, polymer material\textsuperscript{11}, have been extensively studied and utilized to form nano-devices for different application\textsuperscript{12-18}. For metal-oxide nanomaterials, because the high surface-to-volume ratio and surface defect of nanostructures, which made the metal-oxide nanomaterials are quite sensitive for the environment variation\textsuperscript{19-26}. Many articles reported that Schottky contact mechanism can enhance the performance of metal-oxide nanomaterials, such as piezotronic devices\textsuperscript{27, 28}, nanogenerators\textsuperscript{29-32} and nanosensors\textsuperscript{20, 33-39}.

For sensor application, using Schottky contact as a control gate can improve the sensitivity, response and reset time\textsuperscript{39-42}. Besides, the defect amount of metal-oxide nanomaterials is main parameter for sensor application\textsuperscript{43-49}. The surface defect\textsuperscript{50} and the oxygen vacancy\textsuperscript{51} of meta-oxide nanomaterials have been reported and discussed widely. Most research works tried to control the defect amount and level of metal-oxide in chemical or physical way to create other possibility or application\textsuperscript{52-60}. Besides, the signal output of Schottky contact device is strong relative to the surface defect.

Tin dioxide, SnO\textsubscript{2}, which has potential for ultraviolet (UV) light detection application, due to its large band gap\textsuperscript{61}. Otherwise, SnO\textsubscript{2} is also a candidate for gas detection because the oxygen vacancy\textsuperscript{18, 39, 48, 57, 58}. Besides, SnO\textsubscript{2} also can be used as photo-catalyst and solar-cell applications\textsuperscript{55, 62-64}. In this research work, the Tin oxide nanomaterials were fabricated with different amounts of defect; and the devices were formed as Schottky gate device for the mechanism investigation. The relativity of the detection ability and defect amount of Tin oxide nanosensor can be figure out by using the Schottky contact devices made with this kinked structure.

Results and discussion

The detail analyses of Tin oxide nanostructure (NS) can be obtained from the TEM images, as shown in
Figure 1. The growth direction of Tin oxide NS can be identified from the diffraction pattern and high resolution TEM images, as shown in Figure 1a–c. Due to our synthesis controlling, the oxygen composition of both side would be different; the lower oxygen composition was detected for the NS growth without oxygen flow, as shown in (d)–(f). The schematic and SEM images of nanodevice can be seen in Figure 1 (g). The Pt was deposited by focus ion beam (FIB) to form Ohmic contact; the free contacts of both sides can be formed to be Schottky contacts as detection units. Each side composition of nanodevice can be identified by EDS of SEM system, as illustrated in Figure 1 (h).

For photodetection, the sensitivity of SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device for UV (254nm) light detection is higher than SnO$_2$ NW device; because the dark current (I$_D$) of SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device is smaller than the I$_D$ of SnO$_2$ NW device and the photo current (I$_P$) of SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device is larger than the I$_P$ of SnO$_2$ NW device, respectively, as shown in Figure 2 (a). The temperature of measurement is in room temperature, 25°C. The UV detection ability of both devices is related to the oxygen concentration of sensing environment, as illustrated in Figure 2 (b). The sensitivity of SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device is always higher than SnO$_2$ NW device, no matter what oxygen concentration percentage is. The UV detection sensitivity of both NW devices will be increased when the oxygen concentration increasing. The sensing mechanism can be illustrated as Figure 2 (c) and (d) for each device.

The SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device has impressive improvement of sensitivity is because that the density of surface oxygen vacancy (V$_O$) is higher. When the UV light off, the SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device would trap more oxygen molecules at Schottky contact interface to form O$_2^-$ and raise the Schottky barrier height (SBH) to reduce the current, so the I$_D$ of SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device would be lower than SnO$_2$ NW device. But when the UV light on, the electron-hole pair would be generated and O$_2^-$ would be desorbed by the hole. Because the hole would combine with the O$_2^-$ to form O$_2$(g) and desorb, that would reduce the SBH to increase the I$_P$. Besides, the lone pair electrons will increase the I$_P$ of SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device, compare with SnO$_2$ NW device, the lone pair electrons amount of SnO$_{2\times}$ NW device is larger, as shown in Figure 2 (c) and (d). Due to above-mentioned
results, the response can be improved no matter what oxygen concentration by using the SnO$_2$-x NW device, compare with SnO$_2$ NW device. The SBH variation also can be analyzed from the electrical measurement, the $\Delta \Phi$ (SBH variation) would be stabled for SnO$_2$ NW device after 40 % oxygen concentration. But for SnO$_2$-x NW device, the $\Delta \Phi$ would be raised with the concentration of oxygen increasing, as shown in Figure 2 (e). The SBH can be approximately presented by the following equation:

$$I_r = A A^{**} \exp[-q \Phi_{eff}/kT]$$

Here, $I_r$ is the reverse bias we set, $A$ is the contact area between SnO$_2$ NW and Pt electrode, $A^{**}$ is the effective Richardson Constant, $q$ is electric charge, $\Phi_{eff}$ is the SBH, $k$ and $T$ is the Boltzmann Constant and system temperature, respectively.

The $\Delta \Phi$ of both devices are almost the same for the oxygen concentration around 20%; but when the oxygen concentration above 40%, the $\Delta \Phi$ would be different. This result symbolizes the surface $V_O$ density of SnO$_2$-x NW device is higher than the SnO$_2$ NW device based on the mechanism illustrated in Figure 2 (c) and (d), which also consists with the TEM and SEM analyses. The SBH differences can be measured and analyzed between SnO$_2$-x and SnO$_2$ NW devices by oxygen concentration variation, and the difference will be enlarged when the oxygen concentration increasing as shown in Table 1 (Detail measurement can be seen in Figure S1). Above result shows that the Tin oxide based NW device would be very sensitive to its surrounding environment, especially for oxygen concentration. So we can presume that Tin oxide based NW device will have great detection ability for gas, especially for SnO$_2$-x NW device.

From our data, the gas detection ability of SnO$_2$-x NW device is better than the SnO$_2$ NW device, as shown in Figure 3. For gas detection, the O$_2$-detection current density ($J$) was used for base current ($J_0$=$J_{O2}$) and the CO-detection current density ($J_{CO}$) was used for reaction current ($J=J_{CO}$). The sensitivity ($S$) can be defined as $S$=$\Delta J/ J_0$, $\Delta J$=$J_{CO}$-$J_{O2}$. For sensing, the CO detection signal output of SnO$_2$-x NW device is larger than SnO$_2$ NW device, and the $J_0$ of SnO$_2$-x NW device is smaller than SnO$_2$ NW device, can be seen in Figure 3 (a). So that the sensitivity of SnO$_2$-x NW device is higher than SnO$_2$ NW device for each individual
CO concentration detection, as shown in Figure 3 (b). The repetition of both devices can be seen for 2 ppm CO detection can be seen in Figure S3. The detection mechanism can be described in Figure 3 (c). Because the high surface V$_{O}$ density of SnO$_{2}$, NW device, the J$_{O_{2}}$ can be reduced due to SBH raising since oxygen adsorption. Considering CO/O$_{2}$ alternate-detection, the SBH variation of SnO$_{2}$, NW device is larger than SnO$_{2}$ NW device. Based on this surface V$_{O}$ density difference, the improvement of the gas detection abilities (the sensitivity, response and reset time) of SnO$_{2}$, NW device are gigantic, compared with SnO$_{2}$ NW device. From Table 2, we can see higher sensitivity and faster reset time since increasing the CO concentration by using SnO$_{2}$, NW device (Detail data can be seen in Figure S2). That is because larger CO concentration can reduce more SBH to have higher current (J$_{CO}$); so when the O$_{2}$ flow in to raise the SBH, the current (J$_{O_{2}}$) will be decreased immediately. But the response time is related to the influence of gas-surface interaction, the interaction most depend on the temperature effect. So the response time will be no related with different CO concentration. This results can support our hypothesis, which increasing surface defects can improve the response. So we synthesized another polycrystalline nanostructure to reconfirm our hypothesis. The polycrystalline structure we use is SnO$_{2}$ (p-SnO$_{2}$) and ZnO (p-ZnO) nanowire to compare with single-crystalline SnO$_{2}$ (s- SnO$_{2}$). The sensitivity enhancements of p-SnO$_{2}$ and p-ZnO are two order larger than s-SnO$_{2}$ by the UV light detection; The sensitivities of s-SnO$_{2}$, p-SnO$_{2}$ and p-ZnO are 9.4 %, 132.3 %, and 130.7 %, as seen in Figure S4.

Experiment

Asymmetric kinked SnO$_{2}$/SnO$_{2}$, nanowires

The kinked SnO$_{2}$, SnO$_{2}$ NWs were synthesized on silicon substrates at 850 °C via the general catalyst-free thermal evaporation method using SnO$_{2}$ and carbon powders as sources in a horizontal quartz tube connected to vacuum pump and a programmable mass flow controller (MFC). The source was placed in an alumina boated located at the high temperature zone 1000 °C. The substrates then positioned in the source downstream. After the tube had been sealed and evacuated to the base pressure, a carrier gas, Ar/O$_{2}$, was kept flowing through the tube to direct the deposition process. Initially, SnO$_{2}$ NWs were grown in 1°.
standard cubic centimeters per minute (s.c.c.m.) \( \text{Ar/O}_2 \) mixture gas with the volume ratio of 5:1 for 15 min to create the stoichiometric SnO\textsubscript{2} segment, as shown in Figure S5. After reaction, the oxygen input was turned off, and the background pressure was kept at 4 torr to create the SnO\textsubscript{2-\textit{X}} segment. The growth plane of the kinked NW shifts from \( (200) \) to \( (002) \) owing to the external pressure perturbation.

**Conclusions**

In this research work, we have demonstrated that the kinked SnO\textsubscript{2-\textit{X}}/SnO\textsubscript{2} nanostructure can be formed by controlling the oxygen flow; used this kinked SnO\textsubscript{2-\textit{X}}/SnO\textsubscript{2} nanostructure to form Schottky contact devices and to study the affection of the surface \( V_0 \) density through the optical and gas condition electric measurement. For UV light detection, the gigantic enhancement in sensitivity of SnO\textsubscript{2-\textit{X}} NW device is because the \( V_0 \) can generate more electron-hole pairs to improve the photo response. We also studied the mechanism by controlling the detection environment (oxygen concentration) and we figured out that the \( \Delta \Phi \) is related with oxygen concentration. Based on this result, we found that the SnO\textsubscript{2-\textit{X}} NW device is quite sensitive to its surrounding environment. So we used the CO/O\textsubscript{2} alternate-detection to verify our hypothesis and the results show that the SnO\textsubscript{2-\textit{X}} NW device presents great detection ability, compared with SnO\textsubscript{2} NW device. The sensitivities of the SnO\textsubscript{2-\textit{X}} NW device are two order larger than the SnO\textsubscript{2} NW device when CO concentration over 50 ppm. The response and reset time all improved by using the SnO\textsubscript{2-\textit{X}} NW device. We proved that increasing the surface defects and using Schottky contact can improve the detection ability of metal-oxide nanosensors extraordinary. Based on above idea, we also designed another nanostructure, polycrystalline nanostructure, to reconfirm the hypothesis. The sensitivities of \( p \)-SnO\textsubscript{2} and \( p \)-ZnO devices are 132.3 % and 130.7 %, all perform better than \( s \)-SnO\textsubscript{2}, 9.4 %. We can use the technology of material science engineering and physics to design high-resolution and fast monitor speed nanosensor.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. (a) Low magnification TEM image of a kinked SnO$_{2}$X/SnO$_{2}$ nanostructure with the growth orientation changed from (200) to (002), the growth orientation can be indicated by the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern in the inset. (b) and (c) show the high resolution images and lattice constant of SnO$_{2}$ and SnO$_{2}$X, respectively. (d) The Sn and O concentrations of a kinked SnO$_{2}$X/SnO$_{2}$ nanowire can be analyzed by EDS element line scan. (e) and (f) show the elemental mapping of Sn and O, respectively. (g) Schematic diagram and SEM image of SnO$_{2}$X/SnO$_{2}$ nanowire Schottky contacted device. (h) EDS analysis and the atomic percent data of SnO$_{2}$X/SnO$_{2}$ NWs.

Figure 2. (a) 254nm UV detection performance in 40% oxygen gas environment (with 40% oxygen and 60% nitrogen). (b) The sensitivities in different oxygen concentration of SnO$_{2}$X and SnO$_{2}$ NW devices. (c) and (d) show the mechanism diagrams of SnO$_{2}$X and SnO$_{2}$ NW devices of oxygen molecules interaction at the interface. Step (i) and (ii) represent the adsorption of oxygen molecules. (iii) shows the desorption of oxygen molecules by UV illumined. The SnO$_{2}$X NW device has higher sensitivity is because that the V$_{O}$ is higher. When the UV light off, more oxygen molecules were trapped at Schottky contact interface to form O$_{2}^{-}$ and raise the Schottky barrier height (SBH) to reduce the current, so the I$_{D}$ of SnO$_{2}$X NW device was lower. But turn on the UV light, the electron-hole pairs would be generated and O$_{2}^{-}$ would be desorbed by the hole. Because the hole would combine with the O$_{2}^{-}$ to form O$_{2}(g)$ and desorb, that would reduce the SBH to increase the I$_{P}$. (e) The variation of SBH from vacuum environment to pure oxygen environment (with 100% oxygen) of SnO$_{2}$X and SnO$_{2}$ NW devices.

Figure 3. (a) represents detection performance of O$_{2}$ with different CO concentration sensing at 200°C operation temperature. (b) The sensitivities of SnO$_{2}$X NW device are higher than SnO$_{2}$ NW device for different CO concentrations detection. (c) shows the detection mechanism of SnO$_{2}$X and SnO$_{2}$ NW devices. Considering CO/O$_{2}$ alternately-detection, the SBH variation of SnO$_{2}$X NW device is larger than SnO$_{2}$ NW device ($\Delta \Phi_{\text{SnO}_{2}X} > \Delta \Phi_{\text{SnO}_2}$), that is due to the high surface V$_{O}$ density of SnO$_{2}$X NW device.
Table 1. The variation and difference of two Schottky barrier height in different $O_2$ concentration environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxygen concentration ($\gamma%$)</th>
<th>$\Delta \Phi_{\gamma% - 20%}$ $\Phi_{\text{SnO}_2 - x}$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{\text{SnO}_2}$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{\text{SnO}_2 - x}$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{\text{SnO}_2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>85 meV</td>
<td>83 meV</td>
<td>2 meV</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>27 meV</td>
<td>21 meV</td>
<td>8 meV</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>6 meV</td>
<td>3 meV</td>
<td>11 meV</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>18 meV</td>
<td>5 meV</td>
<td>25 meV</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>26 meV</td>
<td>4 meV</td>
<td>47 meV</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The sensitivity, response time and reset time of different CO concentration gas sensing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO concentration</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{response}}$</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{reset}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 ppm</td>
<td>SnO$_2$-X</td>
<td>490 % ± 43 %</td>
<td>169 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SnO$_2$</td>
<td>75 % ± 7 %</td>
<td>180 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ppm</td>
<td>SnO$_2$-X</td>
<td>27549 % ± 1521 %</td>
<td>234 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SnO$_2$</td>
<td>254 % ± 53 %</td>
<td>451 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>SnO$_2$-X</td>
<td>43657 % ± 2232 %</td>
<td>420 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SnO$_2$</td>
<td>541 % ± 124 %</td>
<td>630 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td>SnO$_2$-X</td>
<td>46726 % ± 780 %</td>
<td>225 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SnO$_2$</td>
<td>551 % ± 76 %</td>
<td>341 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2 (a) 254nm UV detection performance in 40% oxygen gas environment (with 40% oxygen and 60% nitrogen). (b) The sensitivities in different oxygen concentration of SnO2-X and SnO2 NW devices. (c) and (d) show the mechanism diagrams of SnO2-X and SnO2 NW devices of oxygen molecules interaction at the interface. Step (i) and (ii) represent the adsorption of oxygen molecules. (iii) shows the desorption of oxygen molecules by UV illumined. The SnO2-X NW device has higher sensitivity is because that the VO is higher. When the UV light off, more oxygen molecules were trapped at Schottky contact interface to form O2- and raise the Schottky barrier height (SBH) to reduce the current, so the Id of SnO2-X NW device was lower. But turn on the UV light, the electron-hole pairs would be generated and O2- would be desorbed by the hole. Because the hole would combine with the O2- to form O2(g) and desorb, that would reduce the SBH to increase the IP. (e) The variation of SBH from vacuum environment to pure oxygen environment (with 100% oxygen) of SnO2-X and SnO2 NW devices.
Figure 3 (a) represents detection performance of O2 with different CO concentration sensing at 200 °C operation temperature. (b) The sensitivities of SnO2-x NW device are higher than SnO2 NW device for different CO concentrations detection. (c) shows the detection mechanism of SnO2-X and SnO2 NW devices. Considering CO/O2 alternate-detection, the SBH variation of SnO2-X NW device is larger than SnO2 NW device ($\Delta \Phi_{\text{SnO}_2-x} > \Delta \Phi_{\text{SnO}_2}$), that is due to the high surface VO density of SnO2-X NW device.